- Acidic reaction products of mono- and sesquiterpenes in atmospheric fine particles in a boreal forest
- Vestenius<sup>1</sup> M., Hellén<sup>1</sup> H., Levula<sup>2</sup> J., Kuronen<sup>1</sup> P., Helminen<sup>3</sup> K.J., Nieminen<sup>2</sup> T., Kulmala<sup>2</sup> M. 5 and Hakola<sup>1</sup> H.
- *1) Finnish Meteorological Institute, Atmospheric Composition Research, P.O. Box 503, 00101 Helsinki, Finland*
- *2) Department of Physics, P.O. Box 64, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*
- *3) Department of Chemistry, P.O. Box 55, FI-00014 University of Helsinki, Finland*
- 
- 
- Abstract

 Biogenic acids were measured in aerosols at the SMEAR II station (Station for Measuring Forest Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations II) in Finland from June 2010 until October 2011. The analysed organic acids were pinic, pinonic, caric, limonic and caryophyllinic acids from oxidation of α-18 pinene, β-pinene, limonene,  $Δ^3$ -carene and β-caryophyllene, respectively. Due to lack of authentic standards, the caric, limonic and caryophyllinic acids were synthesized for this study. The mean, 20 median, maximum and minimum concentrations (ngm<sup>-3</sup>) were as follows: limonic acid (1.26, 0.80, 16.5, below detection limit (<LOD)), pinic acid (5.53, 3.25, 31.4, 0.15), pinonic acid (9.87, 5.07, 80.1, <LOD), caric acid (5.52, 3.58, 49.8, <LOD) and caryophyllinic acid (7.87, 6.07, 86.1, <LOD). The highest terpenoic acid concentrations were measured during the summer. Of the acids, β- caryophyllinic acid showed the highest concentrations in summer, but during other times of the year pinonic acid was the most abundant. The β-caryophyllinic acid contribution was higher than expected, based on the emission calculations of the precursor compounds and yields from oxidation experiments in smog chambers, implying that the β-caryophyllene emissions or β-caryophyllinic acid yields were underestimated. The concentration ratios between terpenoic acids and their precursors were clearly lower in summer than in winter, indicating stronger partitioning to the aerosol phase during the cold winter season. The β-caryophyllinic and caric acids were weakly correlated with the accumulation-mode particle number concentrations. 

## **1. Introduction**

 Large amounts of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOCs) (isoprene, monoterpenes and sesquiterpenes) are emitted to the atmosphere by vegetation, especially in the densely forested boreal regions (Hakola et al., 2001, 2006; Tarvainen et al., 2005, 2007; Hellén et al., 2006; Wiedinmyer et al., 2004; Steiner and Goldstein, 2007). In the atmosphere, these compounds are oxidized, resulting in reaction products, e.g., acids and carbonyl-containing compounds that participate in the formation and growth of new particles (Kulmala et al., 2004; Tunved et al., 2006). Current estimates suggest that global biogenic secondary organic aerosol (SOA) sources are larger than anthropogenic sources (Hallquist et al., 2009). Even though organic compounds account for 20-90% of the total fine particle mass concentration in a wide variety of atmospheric environments (Kanakidou et al., 2005), little information is available on their detailed composition.

 In smog chamber studies, the SOA yields for the various hydrocarbons and even for the 47 monoterpenes vary considerably (Griffin et al., 1999; Yu et al., 1999; Jaoui et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2006). The produced compounds have very different vapour pressures, and partitioning between the  gas and aerosol phases varies widely. Therefore, detailed knowledge of the occurrence of individual compounds is essential for atmospheric studies.

52 Some studies have focused on the concentrations of the reaction products of  $α$ - and β-pinene (pinonic and pinic acids) in real atmospheres (Kavouras and Stephanou, 2002; Kourtchev et al., 2008, 2009; Zhang et al., 2010; Cheng et al., 2011; Kristensen and Glasius, 2011), but very little information is available on the concentrations of other terpenoic acids, mainly due to the lack of authentic standards. In some studies, the concentrations of other terpenoic acids were estimated by indirect calibration methods (Gomez-Gonzalez et al., 2012; Fu et al., 2009; Warnke et al., 2006). Sesquiterpene products are especially interesting, because their parent compounds are often too reactive to be measured in ambient air. β-Caryophyllene was the main sesquiterpene in many emission studies in boreal forests (Hakola et al., 2006; Tarvainen et al., 2005), but it has never been detected in the ambient air, due its high reactivity. β-Caryophyllene could be a major source of SOA, due to its reactivity and high aerosol yields in smog chamber studies (Jaoui et al., 2003; Lee et al., 2006; Chen et al., 2012).

 In this study, specific acid reaction products of BVOCs, which affect the formation and growth of fine particles, were analysed from ambient aerosols in boreal forests. Fine particle filter samples were taken at the SMEAR II station (Station for Measuring Forest Ecosystem-Atmosphere Relations II; Hari and Kulmala, 2005) in Finland from June 2010 until October 2011. 

### **2. Experimental**

# **2.1 Sampling**

 The measurements were conducted at the SMEAR II station ( 61˚51'N, 24˚18'E, 181 m above sea level, a.s.l) at Hyytiälä, southern Finland (Hari and Kulmala, 2005). The largest nearby city is Tampere, with 200 000 inhabitants. It is located 60 km to the southwest of the site. The most common vegetation at the sampling site is a homogeneous Scots pine *Pinus sylvestris* L. forest, with some birches *Betula* and Norway spruces *Picea abies* growing nearby.

 The aerosol samples were collected, using pumped sampling from June 2010 until October 2011, 81 from the particulate matter  $(PM)_{2.5}$  fractions in air onto quartz filters (Pallflex Tissuquartz 2500QAT-UP, internal diameter i.d. 47 mm; Pall Corp., Port Washington, NY, USA). A total of 86 83 samples were collected. Before sampling, the filters were heated to  $600^{\circ}$ C for over 8 h. Occasionally, we used an additional backup filter, but no breakthrough was observed. Airflow 85 through the filters was  $16 \text{ 1 min}^{-1}$ . The collection times were 1-7 days per filter. The sampling dates are shown in Table 3. Longer, 7-day samples were collected during winter, due to expected low concentrations. Shorter, 1-3-day samples were collected during summer for better time resolution. Three-day samples were collected during the weekends. When calculating the monthly mean values, the samples were considered to belong to the month where most of the sampling took place. 90 The gases were removed from the airflow before the filters, using a parallel-plate carbon denuder<br>91 (Sunset Laboratory Inc., Portland, OR, USA). The efficiency of the denuder was checked by taking (Sunset Laboratory Inc., Portland, OR, USA). The efficiency of the denuder was checked by taking samples of VOCs (aromatic hydrocarbons and monoterpenes) more volatile than those measured in this study, using pumped adsorbent tube sampling and thermal desorpter-gas chromatography-mass spectrometry (TD-GC-MS) analysis. Aromatic hydrocarbons (benzene, toluene, ethylbenzene and 95 xylene), as well as monoterpene traces, were negligible after the denuder. The  $PM_1$  concentrations were measured, using Dekati model PM10 impactors (Dekati, Tampere, Finland) at the same site and time period as the terpenoic acids. 

## **2.2 Sample preparation and analysis**

 The samples were extracted into 50 ml of methanol (J.T.Baker 8402; Mallinkrodt Baker, now Avantor Performance Materials Inc., Center Valley, PA, USA), using an ultrasonic bath for 90 min and then evaporated into a 1-ml volume, using a Büchi Syncore evaporator (Büchi Labortechnik AG, Flawil, Switzerland), and further evaporated into 100 µl under nitrogen flow. The samples were analysed using high-performance liquid chromatography with electrospray ionization and an ion trap mass spectrometer (HPLC-ESI-ITMS) (Agilent 1100 Series LC/MSD Trap; Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA) in negative-ion mode. The column used was a Waters 108 XTerra ® MS  $C_{18}$  (3.5 µm, 2.1 x 150 mm) (Waters Corp., Milford, MA, USA). The main components of the mobile phase were MilliQ water (Millipore Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) and acetonitrile (ACN) (VWR HiPerSolv Chromanorm; VWR International, Radnor, PA, USA). The 111 pH of the mobile phase was adjusted to  $\sim$ 3 with acetic acid (Fluka 99.5 %). The 80-min-long gradient program was initiated with 95% water and 5% ACN, and after 5 min the ACN was gradually increased to 8% at 10 min. After 10 min, the ACN concentration was held at 8% until 40 min, and thereafter quickly increased to 90% at 45 min and to 95% at 50 min. The concentration was then held constant until 70 min and later decreased to 5% at 71 min and held at 5% until the end of the run. The column was held at a constant temperature of 65 ˚C. The samples were analysed using external standards on a four-point calibration curve representing the entire measurement area. The uncertainty of the analysis based on duplicate analysis was less than 50% close to the detection limits, and less than 20% for higher concentrations. Camphoric acid was used as an internal standard to correct for losses in sample preparation, matrix effects and changes in sensitivity of the instrument. The concentrations of the analytes in the samples varied between below detection limit 122 and 145 ng  $m<sup>-3</sup>$ . The limit of detection was calculated using the standard deviation of the blank 123 samples, and was typically from 0.1 to 0.8 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, being lowest for the limonic acid. The variation was lower within compounds than between compounds. Each of the compounds was measured individually, using the mass spectrometer's scan mode to determine the retention times and representative ions for each compound from the standard solution. In the analysis runs, the detector was used in multiple reaction monitoring (MRM) mode, in which each compound was monitored in its own retention time window, using its representative ion.

## **2.3 Synthesis of** *cis***-3-caric, limonic and β-caryophyllinic acids**

 We selected the main acid products (e.g. Yu et al., 1999; Jaoui et al., 2006) of the most common monoterpenes (α-pinene, β-pinene, 3-carene, limonene) and the most common sesquiterpene, i.e. β- caryophyllene, emitted in boreal forests (Tarvainen et al., 2007) as target compounds of the study. The pinic and pinonic acids were commercially available, but the β-caryophyllinic acid, *cis*-3-caric acid and limonic acid (Fig. 1) were synthesized at the Laboratory of Organic Chemistry, University of Helsinki.

 The nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) spectra were recorded on a Varian Unity Inova 500 spectrometer (Varian Medical Systems, Palo Alto, CA, USA). The mass spectra were obtained with a Bruker microTOF (ESI-time-of-flight, ESI-TOF) (Bruker Corp., Billerica, MA, USA) or JEOL JMS-700 (electron ionization-MS, EI-MS) (JEOL Ltd., Tokyo, Japan) instruments. The infrared (IR) spectra were recorded on a Bruker Alpha-P Fourier-transform-IR (FT-IR) instrument. The melting points (Mp) were determined in open capillary tubes with a Büchi B-545 apparatus.

- *Cis*-3-caric acid was prepared from *delta*-3-carene (Aldrich, 95% purity; Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis,
- 146 MO, USA) by RuCl<sub>3</sub>-catalysed oxidation with NaIO<sub>4</sub> (Aldrich) to *ci*s-3-caronic acid (Nair et al.,
- 2010) and subsequent haloform reaction with NaOBr in aqueous NaOH (Semmler and von Schiller,
- 1927) to the final product. The product was purified by recrystallization from cyclohexane-toluene-

149 isopropanol to give a white powder with 10% overall yield and 95% purity (estimated by NMR). 150 Mp. 109-112 °C (lit. 112-113 °C, Semmler and von Schiller, 1927). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ: 151 12.22 (br, 2H, 1 & 6 COOH), 2.62 (dd, J = 17 Hz, 3.5 Hz, 2H, 2 & 5), 2.03 (m, 2H, 2 & 5), 1.04 (s, 3H, 8), 1.01 (m, 2H, 3 & 4), 0.92 (s, 3H, 9); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz) δ: 180.9 (1 & 6), 30.2 (2 153 & 5), 28.5 (8), 22.0 (3 & 4), 17.3 (7), 15.4 (9). High-resolution MS (HRMS) (ESI-TOF) mass-to-154 charge ratio (m/z) calculated for  $C_9H_{14}NaO_4$  (M+Na) 209.0784, found 209.0788. IR attenuated total<br>155 reflection (ATR) v: 3013 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2992 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2919 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2868 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2849 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2655 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2620 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 155 reflection (ATR) v: 3013 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2992 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2919 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2868 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2849 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2655 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2620 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 156 2591 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2551 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1694 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 941 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 923 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 905 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

157 Limonic acid was prepared, starting from (R)-limonene (Aldrich, 97% purity), which was 158 epoxidized with *meta*-chloroperbenzoic acid (Aldrich, 85%) and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in dichloromethane 159 (DCM) to limonene epoxide (Naves and Grampoloff, 1961). Oxidative ring opening of the epoxide 160 with NaIO4 (Aldrich, 99.8%) in water-tetrahydrofuran (THF) (Cane et al., 1992) resulted in 161 limonon aldehyde, which was oxidized with NaClO2 (Aldrich, 80%) in dimethyl sulphoxide 162 (DMSO) (Binder et al., 2008) to limononic acid. The ketoacid was esterified with ethyl bromide 163 and NaHCO<sub>3</sub> in DMSO (Bocchi et al., 1979) and purified by flash chromatography (solvent 164 gradient from pentane to 1:1 Et<sub>2</sub>O-pentane) to give ethyl limononate as a colourless oil. Thin-layer 165 chromatography (TLC)  $r_F$ : 0.37 (EtOAc:hexane 1:2, vanillin staining). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) 166  $\delta$ : 4.77 (d, J = 28 Hz, 2H, 10), 4.10 (g, J = 7.2 Hz, 2H, 11), 2.55 (m, 1H, 5), 2.40-2.31 (m, 4H, 3 & 167 6), 2.12 (s, 3H, 1), 1.74-1.67 (m, 1H, 4), 1.64 (s, 3H, 8), 1.64-1.58 (m, 1H, 4), 1.23 (t, J = 7.2 Hz, 168 3H, 12); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl3, 126 MHz) δ: 208.5 (s, 2), 172.4 (7), 145.5 (9), 113.0 (10), 60.4 (11), 169 43.3 (5), 41.3 (3), 39.3 (6), 30.1 (1), 26.4 (4), 18.5 (8), 14.3 (12). HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated 170 for C<sub>12</sub>H<sub>20</sub>NaO<sub>3</sub> (M+Na) 235.1305, found 235.1313. IR (ATR) v: 3075 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2982 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2937 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 171 1731 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1715 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1646 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 894 cm<sup>-1</sup>.

172 Haloform reaction of ethyl limononate with NaOBr in dioxane/water (Staunton and Eisenbraun, 173 1973) yielded limonic acid, which was purified by flash chromatography on silica (solvent gradient 174 from 50:1 CHCl3-AcOH to 5:45:1 MeOH-CHCl3-AcOH) to give a white solid with 24% yield 175 (based on limonene) and 97% purity (estimated by NMR). Mp. 115-117 °C (lit. 117-118 °C; Abe, 176 1943).  $[α]_D^{22,6} = +7.416$  (c = 0.5, *i*-PrOH). <sup>1</sup>H NMR (acetone-d6, 500 MHz) δ: 10.48 (br, 2H, 1 & 6 177 COOH), 4.80 (d, J = 12 Hz 2H, 9), 2.62 (m, 1H, 4), 2.44-2.36 (m, 2H, 5), 2.31-2.20 (m, 2H, 2), 178 1.83-1.76 (m, 1H, 3), 1.73-1.65 (m, 1H, 3), 1.70 (s, 3H, 7); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (acetone-d6, 126 MHz) δ: 179 174.5 (1), 173.4 (6), 147.0 (8), 112.8 (9), 43.8 (4), 39.2 (5), 32.0 (2), 28.6 (3), 19.0 (7). HRMS 180 (ESI-TOF) m/z calculated for C9H14NaO4 (M+Na) 209.0784, found 209.0780. IR (ATR) ν: 3081 181 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2976 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2941 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2911 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2668 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 2573 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1699 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1694 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 1647 cm<sup>-1</sup>, 896 182  $cm^{-1}$ .

183 β-Caryophyllinic acid was prepared from β-caryophyllene oxide (Aldrich, 99% purity) by a method 184 that will be published separately. It was obtained as a glassy solid of > 98% purity after flash 185 chromatography on silica (solvent gradient from 50:1 CHCl3-AcOH to 5:45:1 MeOH-CHCl3- 186 AcOH). TLC  $r_F$  0.62 (10:90:2 MeOH-DCM-AcOH, Ce-phosphomolybdate staining).<sup>1</sup>H NMR 187 (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 500 MHz) δ: 10.89 (br, 4 & 5 COOH), 4.76 (s, 1H, 14), 4.72 (s, 1H, 14), 2.50 (t, J = 7.7 188 Hz, 2H, 6), 2.42 (q, J = 9.3 Hz, 1H, 9), 2.35-2.22 (m, 4H, 3 & 7), 1.94 (dt, J = 9.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H, 1), 189 1.81 (dd, J = 8.3 Hz, 10.2 Hz, 1H, 10), 1.71 (q, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H, 2), 1.47 (t, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H, 10), 190 1.06 (s, 3H, 12), 1.06 (s, 3H, 13); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (CDCl<sub>3</sub>, 126 MHz) δ: 180.2 (4), 179.8 (5), 150.5 (8), 191 107.8 (14), 47.9 (1), 41.8 (9), 39.6 (10), 33.8 (11), 32.6 (6), 32.6 (3), 31.1 (12), 28.9 (7), 25.7 (2), 192 22.4 (13). HRMS (EI), derivatization with N,O-*bis*(trimethylsilyl)trifluoroacetamide (BSTFA) to 193 bis-trimethylsilyl ester, m/z calculated for  $C_{20}H_{38}O_4Si_2(M^+)$  398.2327, found 398.2318. EI-MS (70 194 eV) m/z (%): 400 (3), 399 (4), 398 (12) [M], 385 (6), 384 (13), 383 (50) [M-CH3], 355 (8) [M-195 CH3-CO], 328 (7) [M-C5H10], 313 (26), 309 (100), 299 (37), 279 (19), 200 (81) [M-2(C5H10)], 149 196 (67) 117 (85).

197

 

#### **3. Results and discussion**

# **3.1 Annual variability of terpenoic acids**

 The highest terpenoic acid concentrations were measured during summer (Fig. 2), but high concentrations were measured occasionally also during winter, especially of pinonic acid. Hakola et al. (2012) measured BVOC concentrations at the same site and found occasionally very high BVOC concentrations originating from the nearby sawmills. These emissions cause high concentrations of aerosol particles (Liao et al., 2011) and may cause high acid concentrations as well. At least reactions with nitrate radicals are fast enough to produce acids in less than half an hour (Hakola et al., 2003). The results are tabulated in Table 3, which also shows the length of each measurement. Table 1 shows the seasonal average concentrations. Those values below the detection limit were taken as half of the detection limits in calculation of averages. β-Caryophyllinic acid showed the highest concentrations in summer, but during other times of the year pinonic acid was the most abundant. β-Caryophyllene is emitted mainly in July (Hakola et al., 2006), so the product concentrations are expected to peak at that time too. Limonic acid emissions are distributed more evenly throughout the year, with a maximum already in spring. Scots pine emits only small amounts of limonene, but Norway spruce emits limonene mainly in May (Hakola et al., 2003). There are also few birches growing in the area and birches emit limonene early summer (Hakola et al., 2001). The concentrations were also studied in relation to meteorological parameters, such as temperature, wind speed, relative humidity and the amount of rain at sampling time, but no clear correlations were found. Averaging over the whole day or several days complicates this inspection. 

 The acid concentrations were higher in 2011 than in 2010. The temperatures at the time of the 224 measurements were several degrees lower in 2011 than in 2010 (the difference was 2.7<sup>°</sup>C in July and 4.3˚C in August) and colder temperatures could have caused higher concentrations in the aerosol phase, although the emissions were probably higher at warmer temperatures. Kamens and 227 Jaoui (2001) showed in their simulations and smog chamber experiments with  $\alpha$ -pinene that 228 decreasing the temperature by 10 °C increased aerosol yields by a factor of  $\sim$ 2. 

 The concentrations of pinonic and pinic acids had relatively good correlation during the summer 231 months  $(r^2 = 0.42)$ . This was expected, since they have the same precursors, i.e. they are both reaction products of α- and β-pinene. The average concentrations for pinonic acid were 40% higher 233 than for pinic acid. Caric acid and caryophyllinic acid were also somewhat correlated ( $r^2$  = 0.47) in summer.

 The pinic and pinonic acids were also measured previously at the SMEAR II station in short 1- or 2-month campaigns in spring and summer. The results from these previous studies are listed in Table 2. Kourtchev et al. (2008) measured pinic acid in July-August 2005 in the PM1 fraction and found a median value of  $7.7 \text{ ng m}^3$ , which is similar to the summer median in our measurements 240 (6.7 ng m<sup>-3</sup>). Other results (Warnke et al. 2006, Kourtchev et al., 2008, Parshintsev et al. 2010) also showed values similar to ours.

## 3.2 **Comparison of terpenoic acids with corresponding monoterpenes**

 Ambient monoterpene concentrations were measured at the same site from October 2010 until November 2011 near the filter-sampling site by in-situ TD-GC-MS. Detailed description of the monoterpene measurements can be found in Hakola et al. (2012). In Figure 2, we compared the

 BVOC mixing ratios with the corresponding acid concentrations and found that the overall seasonal patterns were similar, although not all the acid peaks were seen in the parent monoterpene data. This was expected, since the measurement times of the VOCs and corresponding acids did not cover whole months and did not always match. In the on-line VOC measurements, there were several breaks due to malfunction of the instrument and because the sampling times of the acids where sometimes several days, the overlapping of VOC and acid data are not complete. Thus comparing these seasonal means represents approximates only. However, since the daily variation in VOC mixing ratios is quite modest compared to the seasonal variability, it is justified to compare VOC and acid concentrations. The seasonal means of the acid and monoterpene concentrations and the ratios between the acids and precursor monoterpenes are tabulated in Table 1.

 We calculated the seasonal acid/monoterpene ratios and they were lower in summer than in winter (Table 1). This could indicate that during cold seasons the acids are partitioned more to the particle phase than to the gas phase and vice versa during warm seasons. Temperature, together with carbon and oxygen numbers, are known to be controlling factors in phase partitioning of organic acids (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000).

 Tarvainen et al. (2007) calculated the BVOC emissions in the Middle Boreal Zone in Finland, utilizing satellite land-cover information, actual meteorological data and published emission factors in a Biogenic Emissions Inventory System (BEIS)-type canopy emission model. They did not calculate the emissions for β-caryophyllene, but rather for total sesquiterpenes. However, in the published sesquiterpene emission rates (Hakola et al., 2006; Tarvainen et al., 2005), β- caryophyllene was clearly the predominant sesquiterpene species emitted in boreal forests. We compared these emissions with the corresponding acid concentrations (Fig. 3) and found that they 272 were in relatively good agreement. The pinic and pinonic acids and  $\alpha$ - and β-pinenes are added together since both of these monoterpenes produce both acids. The caric and limonic acids showed lower contributions than their precursors in the emission calculations. This was expected, since their yields in the smog chamber experiments were lower than the yields of the other acids (Yu et al., 276 1999; Jaoui et al., 2006, 2003). However, the yield of β-caryophyllinic acid (Jaoui et al., 2003) was also lower than the pinic and pinonic acid yields, but its contribution was higher than expected, based on the emission calculations, especially since the calculations also included other sesquiterpenes. This could imply that the β-caryophyllene emissions or β-caryophyllinic acid yields were underestimated. 

### **3.3 Comparison with particulate data**

284 The highest seasonal means for PM<sub>1</sub> were observed in summer, together with the highest terpenoic acid concentrations (Table 1), but the measured terpenoic acids explained only small fraction of 286 total PM<sub>1</sub> mass,  $0.2\%$  in winter and  $0.7\%$  in summer.

 The average submicrometre organic carbon (OC) concentration in Hyytiälä in 2007/2008 was 1100 289 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, 1200 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in summer and 1300 ng m<sup>-3</sup> in winter (Aurela et al., 2011). The sum of the terpenoic acids measured in this study comprised only 0.9-3.4% of this OC, showing the highest fraction in summer and lowest in winter. Although the measurements were conducted in different years, we expected that these five terpenoic acids would have only a small impact on the total OC concentrations, especially in winter. However, this fraction was clearly higher than the 0.6% value for the 12 terpenoic acids found by Gomez-Gonzalez et al. (2012) in summer in a forest site in Belgium.

 The acid concentrations were also studied in relation to the particle concentrations in different size fractions. The particle number concentrations were measured in the size range 3-1000 nm with a 299 differential mobility particle sizer (DMPS) and the PM<sub>1</sub> mass concentration was calculated from it (Aalto et al., 2001). No correlation was found between the acid concentrations and the nucleation- mode particles (3-25 nm) or the Aitken-mode particles (25-100 nm). In the accumulation-mode 302 particles (100-1000 nm), the caric ( $r^2$ =0.28) and caryophyllinic ( $r^2$ =0.13) acids were somewhat 303 correlated with the particle number concentration, as shown in Fig. 4. The PM<sub>1</sub> mass concentration 304 correlated weakly with the caric ( $r^2$ =0.28) and caryophyllinic ( $r^2$ =0.1) acids. The pinic and pinonic acids did not correlate with any particle-size fractions. Smog chamber studies showed that pinonic and pinic acids are partitioned more to the gas phase than the other studied acids (Yu et al., 1999). 

## **4. Conclusions**

 The highest terpenoic acid concentrations were measured in summer. The results were compared with the parent mono- and sesquiterpene mixing ratios. Pinonic and β-caryophyllinic acids were the most abundant acids in summer. The β-caryophyllinic acid contribution was higher than expected, based on the emission calculations and smog chamber yields, implying that the β-caryophyllene emissions and/or β-caryophyllinic acid yields were underestimated. The limonic acid concentration peaked already in spring, which is in accordance with the measured limonene emissions from Norway spruce, which also reach their maximum in spring. The pinonic and limonic acids also showed quite high concentrations in winter. These winter concentrations may be of anthropogenic origin. Higher ratios between the terpenoic acids and their precursors in winter indicated higher partitioning to the aerosol phase during the colder winter months.

 These five terpenoic acids comprised only a small fraction (~1-3%) of the total OC in particles measured at the site and only 0.2-0.7% of the PM1 mass. The β-caryophyllinic and caric acids were weakly correlated with the accumulation-mode particle number concentrations, implying that they participated in the particle growth process, which is crucial for formation of cloud condensation nuclei. 

## **Acknowledgements**

 Financial support by the Academy of Finland Centre of Excellence programme (project no. 1118615) is gratefully acknowledged. The authors also gratefully acknowledge the Tor and Maj Nessling foundation for financially supporting this project.

We thank Mr. Valtteri Vuorikoski for his assistance in Japanese translation.

- 
- 
- 

#### **References**

- Aalto P. P., Hämeri K., Becker E., Weber R., Salm J., Mäkelä J. M., Hoell C., O'Dowd C. D., Karlsson H., Hansson H.-C., Väkevä M., Koponen I., Buzorius G. and Kulmala M., 2001. Physical characterization of aerosol particles during nucleation events. Tellus, 53B, 344- 358.
- Abe S.,1943. The chemical constitution of the perillaldehyde, the component of the oil of Perilla nankinensis. Nippon Kagaku Kaishi, 64, 845-847.
- Aurela M., Saarikoski S., Timonen H., Aalto P., Keronen P., Saarnio K., Teinila K., Kulmala M. and Hillamo R., 2011. Carbonaceous aerosol at a forested and an urban background sites in Southern Finland. Atmos Environ, 45, 1394-1401.
- Binder C. M., Dixon D. D., Almaraz E., Tius M. A. and Singaram B., 2008. A simple procedure for C – C bond cleavage of aromatic and aliphatic epoxides with aqueous sodium periodate under ambient conditions. Tetrahedron Lett., 49, 2764-2767.
- Bocchi V., Casnati G., Dossena A., Marchelli R., 1979. Esterification of amino acids and dipeptides under mild conditions; Part II: via sodium salts. Synthesis, 1979, 961-962.
- Cane D. E., Yang G., Coates R. M., Pyun H.-J. and Hohn T. M. 1992. Trichodiene synthase. Synergistic inhibition by inorganic pyrophosphate and aza analogs of the bisabolyl cation. J. Org. Chem., 57, 3454-3462.
- Chen Q., Li Y.L., McKinney K.A., Kuwata M. and Martin S.T., 2012. Particle mass yield from β-caryophyllene ozonolysis. Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 3165-3179.
- Cheng Y., Brook J.R., Li S.-L., Leithead A., 2011. Seasonal variation in the biogenic secondary organic aerosol tracer cis-pinonic acid: Enhancement due to emissions from regional and local biomass burning. Atmos Environ 45, 7105-7112.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. and Pitts, J.N., 2000. Chemistry of the upper and lower atmosphere. Academic Press, San Diego, CA.
- Fu P., Kawamura K., Chen J. and Barrie L.A., 2009. Isoprene, monoterpene, and sesquiterpenes oxidation products in the high arctic aerosols during late winter to early summer. Environ Sci Technol, 43, 4022-4028.
- Gomez-Gonzalez Y., Wang W., Verneylen R., Chi X., Neirynck J., Janssens I.A., Maenhaut W. and Claeys M., 2012. Chemical characterization of atmospheric aerosols during a 2007 summer field campaign at Brassschaat, Belgium: sources and source processes of biogenic secondary organic aerosol. Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 125-138.
- Griffin R.J., Cocker III D.R., Flagan R.C., Seinfeld J.H., 1999. Organic aerosol formation from the oxidation of biogenic hydrocarbons. J Geophys Res, 104, 3555-3567.
- Hakola H., Laurila T., Lindfors V., Hellén H. Gaman A., and Rinne J., 2001. Variation of the VOC emission rates of birch species during the growing season. Boreal Environ Res, 6, 237-249.
- Hakola H., Tarvainen V. Laurila T., Hiltunen V., Hellén H. and Keronen P., 2003. Seasonal variation of VOC concentrations above a boreal coniferous forest. Atmos Environ, 37, 1623- 1634.
- Hakola H., Tarvainen V., Bäck J., Rinne J., Ranta H., Bonn B., and Kulmala M., 2006. Seasonal variation of mono- and sesquiterpene emission rates of Scots pine. Biogeosciences, SRef-ID: 1726-4189/bg/2006-3-93, 93-101.
- Hakola H., Hellén H., Rinne J., Hemmilä M., and Kulmala M., 2012. In situ chromatographic measurements of volatile organic compounds in a Boreal Forest. Atmos Chem Phys, 12, 11665-11678.
- Hallquist, M., Wenger, J.C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N.M., George, C., Goldstein, A.H., Hamilton, J.F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M.E., Jimenez, J.L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W.,
- McFiggans, G., Mentel, T.F., Monod, A., Prevot, A.S.H., Seinfeld, J.H., Surratt, J.D.,
- Szmigielski, R., Wildt, J., 2009. The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues. Atmos Chem Phys 9, 5155-5235.
- Hari, P. and Kulmala, M., 2005. Station for measuring ecosystem-atmosphere relations (SMEAR 391 II). Boreal Environ Res, 10, 315-322.
- 392 Hellén H., Hakola H., Pystynen K.-H., Haapanala S., Rinne J., 2006.  $C_2$ - $C_{10}$  hydrocarbon emissions from boreal wetland and forest floor. Biogeosciences, SRef-ID: 1726-4189/bg/2006-3-167, 167-174.
- Jaoui M., Leungsakul S. and Kamens R.M., 2003. Gas and particle products distribution from the reaction of β-caryophyllene with ozone. J Atmos Chem, 45, 261-287.
- Jaoui M. and Kamens R.M., 2003. Gaseous and particulate oxidation products analysis of a mixture of alpha-pinene plus beta-pinene/O3/air in the absence of light and alpha-pinene plus beta-pinene/NOx/air in the presence of natural sunlight. J Atmos Chem, 44, 259-297.
- Jaoui M., Corse E., Kleindienst T.E., Offenberg J.H., Lewandowski M. and Edney E.O., 2006. Analusis of secondary organic aerosol compounds from the photooxidation of d-limonene in the presence of NOx and their detection in ambient PM2.5. Environ Sci Technol, 40, 3819- 3828.
- Kamens R.M. and Jaoui M., 2001. Modeling aerosol formation from a-pinene + NOx in the presence of natural sunlight using gas-phase kinetics and gas-particle pertitioning theory. Environ Sci Technol, 35, 1394-1405.
- Kanakidou M., Seinfeld J.H., Pandis S. N., Barnes I., Dentener F. J., Facchini M.C., Van Dingenen R., Ervens B., Nenes A., Nielsen C. J., Swietlicki E., Putaud J.P., Balkanski Y., Fuzzi S., Horth J., 409 Mootgat G.K., Winterhalter R., Myhre C. E. L., Tsigaridis K., Vignati E., Stephanou E. G., Wilson J,<br>410 Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2005, 5, 1053-1123 Organic aerosol and global climate modelling: a review. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 2005, 5, 1053-1123
- Kavouras I.G. and Stephanou E.G., 2002. Particle size distribution of organic primary and secondary aerosol constituents in urban, background marine, and forested atmosphere. J. Geophys. Res., 107(D8), doi:10.1029/2000JD000278, 2002.
- Kourtchev I., Ruuskanen T.M., Keronen P., Sogacheva L., Dal Maso M., Reissell A., Chi X., Vermeylen R., Kulmala M., Maenhaut W. and Claeys M., 2008. Determination of isoprene and a-/b-pinene oxidation products in boreal forest aerosols from Hyytiälä, Finland: diel variations and possible link with particle formation events. Plant Biol 10, 138-149.
- Kourtchev I.,Copolovici L., Claeys M., Maenhaut W.. 2009. Characterization of Atmospheric Aerosols at a Forested Site in Central Europe. Environ. Sci. Technol. 43, 4665–4671.
- Kristensen K. and Glasius M., 2011. Organosulfates and oxidation products from biogemic hydrocarbons in fine aerosols from a forest in North West Europé during spring. Atmos Environ, 45, 4546-4556.
- Kulmala M., Suni T., Lehtinen K.E.J., Dal Maso M., Boy M., Reissell A., Rannik U., Aalto P., Keronen P., Hakola H., Back J.B., Hoffmann T., Vesala T. & Hari P. 2004. A new feedback mechanism linking forests, aerosols, and climate. Atmos. Chem. Phys*.* 4: 557–562.
- Lee A., Goldstein A.H. Kroll J.H., Ng N.L., Varutbangkul V., Flagan R. C. and Seinfeld J.H., 2006. Gas-phase products and secondary aerosol yields from the photooxidation of 16 different terpenes. J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17305, doi:10.1029/2006JD007050, 2006
- Liao, L., Dal Maso, M., Taipale, R., Rinne, J., Ehn, M., Junninen, H., Äijälä, M., Nieminen, T., Alekseychik, P., Hulkkonen, M., Worsnop, D.R., Kerminen, V.-M., Kulmala, M.,: Monoterpene pollution episodes in a forest environment: indication of anthropogenic origin and association with aerosol particles. Boreal Env. Res.16, 288-303, 2011.
- Nair L. G., Saksena A., Lovey R., Sannigrahi M., Wong J., Kong J., Fu X. and Girijavallabhan V., 2010. A facile and efficient synthesis of 3,3-dimethyl isopropylidene proline from (+)-3- carene. J. Org. Chem. 75, 1285–1288.
- Naves Y.-R. and Grampoloff A.-V., 1961. Etudes sur les matières végétales volatiles CLXXV. Sur les produits obtenus à partir de l'ozonolyse du (+)-Δ3-carène. Helv. Chim. Acta, 44, 637– 642.
- Parshintsev J., Hyötyläinen T., Hartonen K., Kulmala M. and Riekkola M.-L., 2010. Solid-phase extraction of organic compounds in atmospheric aerosol particles collected with the particle- into-liquid sampler and analysis by liquid chromatography-mass spectrometry. Talanta, 80, 1170-1176.
- Semmler F. W. and von Shciller H., 1927. Beiträge zur Kenntnis des ätherischen Öles aus den Kienstubben und Wurzeln von Pinus silvestris (Kiefernwurzelöl) und sein Vergleich mit Stamm- und Nadelölen dieser Pinus-Art. Ber. Dtsch. Chem. Ges. B, 60, 1591–1607.
- Staunton J. and Eisenbraun E. J.,1973. 3-β-Acetoxyetienic acid [3-β-Acetoxy-5-androstene-17β-carboxylic acid]. Org. Synth. Coll. Vol. V, 8-11, 1973.
- Steiner, A. H. and Goldstein, A. L., 2007. Biogenic VOCs. In: Volatile organic compounds in the atmosphere, Koppmann, R. (Ed.), Blackwell Publishing Ltd, Oxford, 82-128.
- Tarvainen V., H. Hakola, H. Hellén, J. Bäck, P. Hari, M. Kulmala, 2005. Temperature and light dependence of the VOC emissions of Scots pine. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 6691-6718.
- Tarvainen V. Hakola H., Rinne J., Hellén H. and Haapanala S., 2007. Towards a comprehensive emission inventory of the Boreal forest. Tellus, 59 B, 526-534
- Tunved P., Hansson H.-C., Kerminen V.-M., Ström J., Dal Maso M., Lihavainen H., Viisanen Y., Aalto P.P., Komppula M., and Kulmala M., 2006. High natural aerosol loading over boreal forests. Science 312, 261-263.
- Yu J., Cocker III D.R., Griffin R.J., Flagan R.C. and Seinfeld J.H., 1999. Gas-phase ozone oxidation of monoterpenes: Gaseous and particulate products. J Atmos Chem, 34, 2007-258.
- Warnke J., Bandur R. and Hoffmann T., 2006. Capillary-HPLC-ESI-MS/MS method for the determination of acidic products from the oxidation of monoterpenes in atmospheric aerosol samples. Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 385, 34-45.
- Wiedinmyer, C., Guenther, A., Harley, P., Hewitt, N., Geron, C., Artaxo, P., Steinbrecher, R., and Rasmussen, R., 2004. Global organic emissions from vegetation. In: Emissions of atmospheric trace compounds, Granier, C. et al. (eds), Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 115-170.
- Zhang Y.Y., Müller L., Winterhalter R., Moortgat G.K., Hoffmann T., and Pöschl1 U., 2010. Seasonal cycle and temperature dependence of pinene oxidation products, dicarboxylic acids and nitrophenols in fine and coarse air particulate matter. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7859– 7873, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7859-2010.
- 
- 
- 

473

Table 1. Seasonal mean concentrations (standard deviations) of terpenoic acids from this study and precursor monoterpenes from Hakola et al. (2012) in Hyytiälä (June 2010-October 2011). Also included are the ratios between acids and precursors (%) and mean PM<sub>1</sub> concentrations.

	winter	spring	summer	autumn
Terpenoic acids (ng $m-3$ )				
Limonic acid	0.6(0.5)	1.7(0.9)	1.4(2.4)	1.1(0.9)
Pinic acid	1.7(1.4)	2.6(3.2)	7.2(7.3)	3.6(4.8)
Pinonic acid	10.2(18)	6.5(3.3)	10.7(13.6)	8.3(9.6)
Caric acid	2.6(1.4)	3.6(0.9)	7.2(8.6)	2.9(2.6)
Caryophyllinic acid	1.2(0.8)	4.5(4.2)	10.9(12.1)	3.8(5.0)
Monoterpenes (ng $m^{-3}$ )				
$\alpha$ -pinene	40 (230)	180 (530)	1070 (1030)	220 (220)
$\beta$ -pinene	4(30)	20(30)	300 (310)	40 (40)
$\alpha$ -pinene+ $\beta$ -pinene	40	200	1370	260
$\Delta^3$ -carene	20 (100)	60 (230)	480 (520)	110 (110)
Limonene	10(40)	10(40)	130 (150)	20(20)
Ratios (%)				
Pinic acid/( $\alpha$ -pinene+ $\beta$ -pinene)	4.3	1.3	0.5	1.4
Pinonic acid/( $\alpha$ -pinene+ $\beta$ -pinene)	11	3.3	0.8	3.2
Limonic acid/limonene	5.5	17	1.1	4.9
Caric acid/ $\Delta$ 3-carene	12	5.7	1.5	2.6
$PM_1$ (µg m <sup>-3</sup> )	4.8	3.1	5.3	2.9

Table 2. Concentrations of pinic and pinonic acids in comparison to previous studies at the SMEAR II station in Hyytiälä





Figure 1. Structures of a) commercially available and b) synthesized terpenoic acids. The numbers refer to the C atoms in the NMR spectra.







Figure 2. Monthly mean terpenoic acid concentrations and their standard deviations together with monthly mean monoterpene concentrations from Hakola et al. (2012).



Figure 3. Relative contributions of terpenoic acids in summer (left) and average terpenoid emission fluxes (right) from Middle Boreal Zone forests in summer from Tarvainen et al. (2007).



4b)

4a)



Figure 4. Correlations between caric acid (4a) and β-caryophyllinic acid (4b) and the accumulationmode particle number concentrations.

<b>START</b>	<b>END</b>	Limonic acid $ng m-3$	Pinic acid $ng m-3$	Pinonic acid $n g m^{-3}$	Caric acid $ng m-3$	Caryophyllinic acid $\frac{ng}{m^3}$
100604	100607	0.71	4.86	8.10	2.27	10.25
100607	100609	1.10	$<$ LOD	7.18	5.96	6.40
100609	100611	1.62	0.52	11.35	14.87	12.44
100611	100614	0.35	2.64	13.68	1.07	13.79
100614	100616	2.45	7.28	6.77	9.10	13.50
100616	100618	1.98	$<$ LOD	3.12	4.42	$<$ LOD
100621	100623	0.33	7.29	8.91	1.36	5.47
100628	100630	1.41	4.94	4.01	5.25	5.45
100630	100702	3.24	7.28	8.02	9.72	8.10
100705	100707	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	21.13	18.77
100707	100709	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	23.69	14.09
100709	100712	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD
100716	100719	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	4.37	5.41
100719	100721	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	2.43	$<$ LOD
100723	100726	2.08	5.77	2.46	9.30	8.89
100726	100728	1.29	2.34	8.50	6.27	10.36
100729	100730	1.46	8.53	23.21	6.73	16.05
100730	100802	0.72	1.78	2.22	2.22	3.67
100802	100804	1.97	7.28	7.35	9.39	6.13
100804	100806	0.88	3.49	5.74	7.59	8.82
100806	100809	0.74	4.86	2.07	2.25	4.14
100811	100813	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	6.72	10.80	2.96
100813	100816	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	6.59	4.27
100915	100922	2.39	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	3.13	$<$ LOD
100922	100930	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	1.38	$<$ LOD
101004	101011	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD
101025	101101	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	6.68	5.03	$<$ LOD
101108	101119	1.98	$<$ LOD	32.99	5.16	$<$ LOD
101119	101122	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	8.27	4.43	$<$ LOD
101122	101129	0.15	0.15	5.50	0.74	1.30
101129	101207	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	2.55	3.74	0.68
101213	101220	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	$<$ LOD	1.68	$<$ LOD

Table 3. Sampling dates and acid concentrations of all samples.



