DMS gas transfer coefficients from algal blooms in the Southern Ocean

3

T. G. Bell^{1,2*}, W. De Bruyn³, C.A. Marandino⁴, S.D. Miller⁵, C.S. Law^{6,7}, M.J. Smith⁶ and E.S. Saltzman²

- 6 [1] Plymouth Marine Laboratory, Prospect Place, The Hoe, Plymouth, PL1 3DH, UK
- 7 [2] Department of Earth System Science, University of California, Irvine, CA, USA
- 8 [3] School of Earth and Environmental Science, Chapman University, Orange, California, CA, USA
- 9 [4] Forschungsbereich Marine Biogeochemie, GEOMAR / Helmholtz-Zentrum für Ozeanforschung
- 10 Kiel, Düsternbrooker Weg 20, 24105 Kiel, Germany
- 11 [5] Atmospheric Sciences Research Center, State University of New York at Albany, NY, USA
- 12 [6] National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA), Evans Bay Parade, Kilbirnie
- 13 Wellington, 6002, New Zealand
- 14 [7] Department of Chemistry, University of Otago, Dunedin, New Zealand
- 15 *Correspondence to: T.G. Bell (tbe@pml.ac.uk)
- 16

17 Abstract

18 Air/sea dimethylsulfide (DMS) fluxes and bulk air/sea gradients were measured over the Southern 19 Ocean in February/March 2012 during the Surface Ocean Aerosol Production (SOAP) study. The cruise 20 encountered three distinct phytoplankton bloom regions, consisting of two blooms with moderate DMS 21 levels, and a high biomass, dinoflagellate-dominated bloom with high seawater DMS levels (>15 nM). 22 Gas transfer coefficients were considerably scattered at wind speeds above 5 m/s. Bin averaging the 23 data resulted in a linear relationship between wind speed and mean gas transfer velocity consistent with 24 that previously observed. However, the wind speed-binned gas transfer data distribution at all wind 25 speeds is positively skewed. The flux and seawater DMS distributions were also positively skewed, 26 which suggests that eddy covariance-derived gas transfer velocities are consistently influenced by

additional, log-normal noise. A flux footprint analysis was conducted during a transect into the
prevailing wind and through elevated DMS levels in the dinoflagellate bloom. Accounting for the
temporal/spatial separation between flux and seawater concentration significantly reduces the scatter in
computed transfer velocity. The SOAP gas transfer velocity data shows no obvious modification of the
gas transfer-wind speed relationship by biological activity or waves. This study highlights the
challenges associated with eddy covariance gas transfer measurements in biologically active and
heterogeneous bloom environments.

34

35 **1** Introduction

36 Gas exchange across the ocean-atmosphere interface influences the atmospheric abundance of many 37 compounds of importance to climate and air quality. Such compounds include greenhouse gases, 38 aerosol precursors, stratospheric ozone-depleting substances, and a wide range of photochemically 39 reactive volatile organic carbon compounds that influence tropospheric ozone. Estimating the air/sea 40 fluxes of all of these compounds requires knowledge of their distributions in near surface air and 41 seawater and an understanding of the transport processes controlling gas exchange across the air/sea 42 interface. The transport processes are not well understood, in large part because of the paucity of direct 43 air/sea gas flux observations. The parameterization of gas exchange is a significant source of 44 uncertainty in ocean/atmosphere exchange in global models, particularly at high wind speeds (Elliott, 45 2009).

46 Gas flux is typically calculated using the concentration gradient across the air/sea interface (ΔC) and the 47 gas transfer coefficient (*K*):

48

$$Flux = K.\Delta C$$
 Eqn. 1

49 *K* represents the inverse of the resistance to gas transfer on both the water and air sides of the interface 50 (i.e. $1/K = r_w + r_a$) and can be expressed in either waterside or airside units (Liss and Slater, 1974). 51 Equation 1 is a very simple expression that belies the complex physical process involving diffusive and 52 turbulent mixing at the boundary between two mediums of very different densities. Wind stress is the 53 predominant forcing for gas transfer, but mixing at the interface is also influenced by buoyancy, wind-54 wave interactions, wave breaking, surfactants, and bubble generation. The interface is chemically complex owing to the presence of organic films or particles, and for some gases the interface may be
biologically/photochemically reactive.

57 Most air/sea gas transfer calculations utilize wind speed-based parameterizations derived from deliberate 58 dual tracer observations (Ho et al., 2011; Nightingale et al., 2000), sometimes scaled to agree with the long-term global average oceanic uptake of ¹⁴CO₂ (Sweeney et al., 2007). The dual tracer technique is a 59 60 waterside method that requires data averaging over periods of hours to days, thus averaging over 61 significant changes in conditions. Eddy covariance is a direct flux measurement carried out on the air 62 side of the interface. In conjunction with measurements of the air/sea concentration difference, eddy covariance studies can determine the gas transfer coefficient, K, on short time scales (10 minutes-1 63 64 hour). This provides a capability to assess variability in K due to the influence of rapid changes in near 65 surface processes (e.g. wind-wave interactions, bubbles, surfactants). Eddy covariance requires high 66 frequency sensors, and flux studies to date have been carried out on only a few compounds: DMS, CO₂, 67 methanol, acetaldehyde, acetone, ozone, carbon monoxide, dinitrogen pentoxide, chloro(oxo)azane 68 oxide and glyoxal (Huebert et al., 2004; McGillis et al., 2001; Yang et al., 2013; Kim et al., 2014; 69 Blomquist et al., 2012; Bariteau et al., 2010; Marandino et al., 2005; Coburn et al., 2014).

70 DMS air/sea transfer resistance is predominantly on the water side, a characteristic it shares with CO₂. 71 DMS is moderately soluble and weakly influenced by bubble-mediated gas transfer, in contrast to CO_2 , 72 which is sparingly soluble and strongly influenced by bubble-mediated gas transfer. This makes DMS a 73 useful tracer for waterside-controlled, interfacial gas transfer. Measurements of gas exchange using 74 insoluble gases have suggested that the relationship between K and wind speed is non-linear 75 (Nightingale et al., 2000; Sweeney et al., 2007; Miller et al., 2010; Ho et al., 2011). In contrast, the 76 majority of DMS eddy covariance data suggests a linear relationship between K and wind speed (Yang 77 et al., 2011). Blomquist et al. (2006) suggest that the differences in functional form of these 78 relationships may be due to the disproportionate influence of bubbles upon the flux of insoluble gases 79 (Woolf, 1997).

Physical process models have made significant progress in parameterizing gas exchange with input terms that include but are not limited to wind speed. However, these models are still in development and are capable of substantially different estimates of *K* depending on how non-wind speed terms such as wind-wave dynamics are applied in the model (Fairall et al., 2011; Soloviev, 2007). Bell et al. (2013) recently demonstrated that some of the scatter in eddy covariance measurements may be explained by

85 spatial/temporal differences in wind-wave interaction, although the role of surfactants cannot be ruled 86 out. Gas exchange measurements in an artificial surfactant patch (Salter et al., 2011) and in laboratory 87 studies using natural surfactants (Frew et al., 1990) have demonstrated marked suppression of gas transfer. Additional eddy covariance gas exchange observations are required to improve these gas 88 89 exchange models. Eddy covariance DMS flux measurements have been made in the Atlantic Ocean 90 (Bell et al., 2013; Marandino et al., 2008; Salter et al., 2011; Blomquist et al., 2006) and Pacific Ocean 91 (Marandino et al., 2007, 2009; Yang et al., 2009), with three of these studies at high northern latitudes. 92 Only one previous study has been performed in the Southern Ocean (Yang et al., 2011). 93 The Southern Ocean has a unique wind and wave environment: minimal land mass in the Southern

94 Hemisphere leads to strong, consistent winds and waves with a long fetch. The duration of the wind 95 speed event rather than the wind fetch is the most important factor influencing the waves (Smith et al., 96 2011). This region is very important in determining the global uptake of atmospheric CO_2 by the ocean 97 (Sabine et al., 2004) and the supply of DMS as a source of atmospheric sulfate aerosol (Lana et al., 98 2011). This paper presents data collected in the Southern Ocean summer (Feb-March 2012) as part of 99 the New Zealand Surface Ocean Aerosol Production (SOAP) cruise (Figure 1). During the cruise, a 100 variety of oceanic, atmospheric and flux measurements were collected. The cruise targeted regions of 101 extremely high biological activity (blooms of dinoflagellates and coccolithophores) and encountered a 102 number of atmospheric frontal events leading to winds in excess of 11 m/s.

103

104 **2 Methods**

105 **2.1 Mast-mounted instrumentation and data acquisition setup**

106 The eddy covariance setup was mounted on the bow mast of the R/V Tangaroa, 12.6 m above the sea 107 surface. Three dimensional winds and sonic temperature (Campbell CSAT3) and platform angular rates 108 and accelerations (Systron Donner Motion Pak II) were measured on the mast and co-located with the 109 air sampling inlets for DMS. Air was drawn through the sampling inlets at 90 SLPM under fully 110 turbulent flow conditions (Re > 10,000). Analog signals from all of these instruments were filtered at 15 111 Hz and then logged at 50 Hz (National Instruments SCXI-1143). The ship's compass and GPS systems 112 were digitally logged at 1 Hz. The mast configuration was similar to that used during the Knorr_11 113 North Atlantic cruise (Bell et al., 2013), with the following two changes:

114 1) An air sampling inlet with integral ports for standard delivery was fabricated from a solid block of

115 PTFE. The design minimized regions of dead space that might attenuate high frequency fluctuations

and result in loss of flux signal.

- 117 2) A shorter length of $\frac{3}{8}''$ ID Teflon tubing was used between the mast and the container van. A 19 m
- 118 inlet was used during SOAP in contrast to the 28 m inlet used during Knorr_11 (Bell et al., 2013).
- 119

120 **2.2 Atmospheric and seawater DMS**

121 DMS was measured in air and in gas equilibrated with seawater using two atmospheric pressure

122 chemical ionization mass spectrometers (Bell et al., 2013). In both instruments, a heated (400°C)

123 radioactive nickel foil (Ni-63) generates protons that associate with water molecule clusters in the

sample stream. Protonated water vapor (H_3O^+) undergoes a charge transfer reaction to form protonated

- 125 DMS ions (m/z=63) that are then quadrupole mass filtered and counted. Tri-deuterated DMS (d3-DMS,
- 126 m/z=66) was used as an internal standard for both instruments.
- Atmospheric measurements were made with the University of California, Irvine (UCI) mesoCIMS instrument (Bell et al., 2013). A gaseous d3-DMS standard was introduced to the atmospheric sample stream at the air inlet via a 3-way valve mounted at the base of the bow mast. The gas standard was diverted to waste every 4 hours and the response of the d3-DMS signal recorded as a measure of the inlet tubing impact on signal delay and frequency loss. Air from the bow mast was sub-sampled at approximately 1 L min⁻¹ and DMS levels were calculated as follows:

133
$$DMS_a = \frac{S_{63}}{S_{66}} \cdot \frac{F_{Std}}{F_{Total}} \cdot C_{Tank}$$
Eqn. 2

Where S_{63} and S_{66} represent blank-corrected signals from DMS and d3-DMS respectively (Hz), F_{Std} and F_{Total} are the gas flow rates of the d3-DMS standard and the inlet air (L min⁻¹), and C_{Tank} is the gas standard mixing ratio.

- 137 Seawater measurements were made with a smaller instrument (UCI miniCIMS), which utilizes a
- 138 modified residual gas analyzer as the mass filter and ion detector (Stanford Research Systems RGA-
- 139 200; Saltzman et al. (2009)). Aqueous d3-DMS standard was delivered by a syringe pump (New-Era
- 140 NE300) to the ship's underway seawater supply upstream of the equilibrator (see Bell et al., 2013, for

details). The natural DMS and the d3-DMS standard are both transported across the membrane and the
DMS concentration in seawater in the equilibrator is then calculated as follows:

143
$$DMS_{SW} = \frac{Sig_{63}}{Sig_{66}} \cdot \frac{F_{Syr}}{F_{sw}} \cdot C_{Std}$$
 Eqn. 3

Sig₆₃ and Sig₆₆ represent the average blank-corrected ion currents (pA) of protonated DMS (m/z=63) and d3-DMS (m/z=66), respectively, C_{Std} is the concentration of d3-DMS liquid standard (nM), F_{Syr} is the syringe pump flow rate (L min⁻¹), and F_{sw} is the seawater flow rate (L min⁻¹). Seawater concentrations were averaged at 1 minute intervals for the entire SOAP dataset. Lag correlation analysis between the ship surface seawater temperature and equilibrator temperature records identified that a 3-4 minute adjustment in the DMS_{sw} was required to account for the delay between water entering the seawater intake beneath the hull of the ship and it reaching the miniCIMS equilibrator.

151 We compared our seawater measurements with discrete samples collected by the NIWA team and 152 analysed using sulfur chemiluminescence detection (SCD). The NIWA discrete analyses were 153 performed on water collected from both the underway supply and from CTD Niskin bottles fired in the 154 near surface (<10 m). The analytical techniques (SCD and miniCIMS) typically agreed well and these 155 results will be discussed elsewhere. Throughout the cruise, data from the underway and CTD bottles 156 were in good agreement (Figure 2), with the exception of Day of Year (DOY) 54-55 when the ship's 157 underway supply became significantly contaminated. The contamination was biological and resulted in 158 DMS levels at least twofold higher than from a Niskin bottle fired at the same depth. Flushing and soaking the underway lines in a biologically-active cleaning solution (GamazymeTM) and cleaning the 159 160 equilibrator with dilute (10 %) hydrochloric acid resolved the problem. The data from DOY 54-55 has 161 been excluded from our analysis.

162 **2.3 DMS flux calculation: eddy covariance data processing and quality control**

Air/sea flux calculation involved the same procedure detailed in Bell et al. (2013). Apparent winds were corrected for ship motion according to the procedures of Edson et al. (1998) and Miller et al. (2008). Relative wind speed was adjusted to correct for air-flow distortion according to the wind directiondependent correction presented by Smith et al. (2011), which uses the computational fluid dynamics Gerris model (Popinet et al., 2004). Ten minute flux intervals with a mean relative wind direction within $\pm 90^{\circ}$ (where winds onto the bow = 0°) were retained for subsequent data analysis. The DMS signal was adjusted relative to the wind signals to account for the timing delay due to the inlet tubing.

- 170 The delay was estimated to be 1.9 seconds from the periodic firing of a 3-way valve on the bow mast.
- 171 An equivalent delay estimate was ascertained by optimization of the cross correlation between DMS and
- 172 vertical wind. Flux intervals were computed from the co-variation in fluctuations in vertical winds (w')
- 173 and DMS (c') flux. The internal d3-DMS standard exhibited negligible covariance with vertical wind,
- 174 confirming that no density correction due to water vapor or temperature fluctuations (i.e. 'Webb'
- 175 correction) was required for our DMS fluxes.

176 Cospectral analysis objectively removed intervals with large low frequency fluctuations and the criteria

177 for elimination is defined in Bell et al. (2013). This process reduced scatter in the data without

178 introducing an obvious bias. High frequency flux loss in the inlet tubing was estimated by modeling a

179 filter based on the d3-DMS signal attenuation when the bow mast valve was switched. The inverse filter

- 180 was then applied to wind speed binned DMS cospectra. This enabled an estimate of the necessary wind
- 181 speed-dependent high frequency loss correction (Flux Gain = $0.004U_{10n} + 1.012$).

182 **2.4 DMS gas transfer velocity calculation**

183 Gas transfer velocities were calculated following:

184
$$K_{DMS} = \frac{F_{DMS}}{\Delta C} = \frac{F_{DMS}}{DMS_{sw} - (DMS_{air}/H_{DMS})}$$
 Eqn. 4

185 Where F_{DMS} is the measured DMS air/sea flux (mol m⁻² s⁻¹), DMS_{sw} is the seawater DMS level (mol m⁻³), DMS_{air} is the atmospheric DMS partial pressure (atm), and H_{DMS} is the temperature-dependent DMS 187 solubility in seawater (atm m³ mol⁻¹; Dacey et al. (1984)). K_{DMS} values were calculated from the cruise 188 data using 10 minute averages.

189 The water side only gas transfer coefficient, k_w , was obtained from the expression:

190
$$k_{w} = \left[\frac{1}{K_{DMS}} - \frac{1}{\alpha . k_{a}}\right]^{-1}$$
 Eqn. 5

- 191 Where K_{DMS} is the total DMS gas transfer coefficient, α is the dimensionless Henry's Law constant for
- 192 DMS, and k_a is the air side gas transfer coefficient. In situ k_a values were obtained from NOAA
- 193 COARE driven by *in situ* measurements of wind speed, atmospheric pressure, humidity, irradiance and
- 194 air and seawater temperature. The relative influence of k_a upon our estimates of k_w was greater when

- 195 measured K_{DMS} was high (Figure A, Supplemental material). This has little impact upon our data as the
- 196 average (mean) difference between k_w and K_{DMS} was 7% and showed no wind speed dependence (Figure
- 197 B, Supplemental material). In order to compare our results with various other gas transfer
- 198 parameterizations, k_w was then normalized to a Schmidt number of 660 (CO₂ at 25°C):

199
$$k_{660} = k_w \cdot \left(\frac{660}{Sc_{DMS}}\right)^{-1/2}$$
 Eqn. 6

Where Sc_{DMS} is calculated using the ship's seawater temperature recorded at the bow and Eqn. 15 in Saltzman et al. (1993).

202

203 **3 Results**

3.1 Cruise track, meteorological, and oceanographic setting

205 The SOAP cruise sampling strategy was to identify phytoplankton blooms using ocean color imagery 206 and then use underway sensors (e.g. chlorophyll a fluorescence, DMS) to map out the in situ spatial 207 distribution. Three blooms were identified and sampled: B1, B2 and B3 (Figure 1). B1 was an intense 208 dinoflagellate-dominated bloom at approx. 44.5°S, 174.7°E (DOY 45.9-49.8) with extremely high levels 209 of seawater DMS (16.8±1.5 nM). After B1 the ship headed south-west to a waypoint (WP1) at approx. 210 46.3°S, 172.5°E (DOY 50.5). The waters at WP1 contained moderate DMS signals (3.8±0.4 nM) and 211 weakening fluorescence $(0.83\pm0.38 \text{ mg/m}^3)$ so minimal time was spent at this location. The return 212 transect into B1 from WP1 is discussed in detail in Section 3.3. The second bloom (B2) was a 213 coccolithophore-dominated bloom at approx. 43.6°S, 180.2°E (DOY 52.9–56.1) that had stronger DMS signals (9.1±2.9 nM) and fluorescence (0.99±0.35 mg/m³). After sampling B2, the B1 location was 214 215 revisited and a new bloom (B3) was identified with a mixed population of coccolithophores, flagellates 216 and dinoflagellates (DOY 57.9-60.5). B3 DMS levels (5.9±1.5 nM) were substantially lower than in 217 *B1*.



218

222

219 **Figure 1**

Cruise track during the SOAP study, which began and finished in Wellington, New Zealand. The phytoplankton blooms (*B1-3*) and waypoint 1 (*WP1*) locations are identified.

223 The time series plot in Figure 2 describes the oceanographic and meteorological variability throughout 224 the cruise. Surface ocean temperatures (SSTs) were consistent at 14.7±1.0°C while atmospheric 225 temperature fluctuated just above and below the SST. Weather systems from the North brought 226 relatively warm air and systems from the South brought cooler air. For example, the atmospheric front 227 on DOY 55 from the South caused air temperatures to drop from approximately 18°C to 12°C (Figure 228 2a). Frontal systems passed over the ship regularly throughout the cruise and the final system (DOY 229 61.6–64) brought intense winds from the North. During SOAP, the horizontal wind speeds 230 predominantly ranged between 1 and 15 m/s. The atmospheric boundary layer was stable (z/L > 0.05) 231 for approximately 25% of the cruise (Figure 2a). Yang et al. (2011) suggest that a stable boundary layer 232 leads to greater scatter and a potentially negative bias in k_{660} vs. wind speed plots. Our data do not

suggest increased scatter or any bias during stable periods (Figure C, Supplemental material) and we

have not filtered the SOAP k_{660} data on this basis.

Oceanic and atmospheric DMS levels were extremely high during the first half of the cruise (DOY 44– 54; Figure 2c). The majority of this period was spent in and around *B1* waters, with elevated seawater

- 237 DMS (> 10 nM) and atmospheric DMS (> 600 ppt). Oceanic DMS was always at least an order of
- 238 magnitude greater than atmospheric DMS, meaning that the air/sea concentration gradient was
- effectively controlled by DMS_{sw} . The second half of the cruise (DOY 55–65) encountered less
- 240 productive blooms with lower seawater DMS levels. The reduction in oceanic DMS was mirrored by
- lower atmospheric DMS levels (151±73 ppt, DOY 55–65).
- 242 Ten minute average DMS fluxes (F_{DMS}) measured by eddy covariance are plotted in Figure 2. F_{DMS}
- reflected the seawater DMS levels, with three notable peaks while inside B1 waters (> 60 μ mol m⁻² day⁻
- ¹, DOY 48–50). F_{DMS} was generally lower during the second half of the cruise (13±10 µmol m⁻² day⁻¹,
- 245 DOY 55–65) but elevated fluxes were still observed due to increased horizontal wind speeds (e.g.
- approx. 45 μ mol m⁻² day⁻¹ on DOY 61.6). SOAP gas transfer coefficients were calculated at 10 minute
- intervals (Figure 2e) following Eqns. 1-6 using measurements of F_{DMS} , oceanic and atmospheric DMS
- 248 levels and SST. During some periods of constant wind speed, the NOAA COARE (v3.1) estimates are
- close to the observed k_{660} values (e.g. DOY 51). However, at various times during the cruise, the
- 250 NOAA COARE estimates exhibit significant divergence from the observed k_{660} values. The difference
- 251 was sometimes positive, as on DOY 48 and sometimes negative, as on DOY 53. These divergences are
- 252 not random scatter about the COARE prediction and suggest that unaccounted-for processes are
- 253 influencing our measurements of gas transfer.



254 255 **Figure 2**

Time series data (10 min averages) from the SOAP cruise. Dashed black line on (a) indicates neutral atmospheric stability (z/L=0). SOAP k_{660} data (e) are divided into on station (squares, ship speed < 1.5 m/s) and off station (circles, ship speed \ge 1.5 m/s).

259

3.2 Wind speed dependence of gas transfer coefficients

261 The SOAP gas transfer coefficients exhibit a positive correlation with wind speed (Spearman's $\rho = 0.57$,

262 p < 0.01, n = 1327; Figure 3a). A linear least squares fit to the data gives $k_{660} = 2.31 \pm 0.11 U_{10n} - 1.51 \pm 0.97$

with an adjusted R^2 =0.25. As with previous shipboard eddy covariance DMS studies, using a second

- order polynomial does not improve the fit to the data (adjusted $R^2=0.25$). The linear model is not well
- suited for this data set because the residuals are not normally distributed (Figure 3b). The frequency
- distribution of the SOAP k_{660} measurements exhibits positive skewness at all wind speeds (Figure D,
- 267 Supplemental material). The skew in the SOAP k_{660} data appears to originate in the frequency

distribution of seawater DMS. Surface ocean DMS distributions are typically characterized by positive
skew and this is evident in the global surface ocean DMS database (Lana et al., 2011).



271 Figure 3

270

272 (a) 10 minute average DMS gas transfer coefficients vs. mean horizontal wind speed during the SOAP cruise, expressed as k_{660} and U_{10n} (see Methods). For reference the NOAA COARE model output for 273 DMS is plotted, calculated using average SOAP input parameters and the turbulent/molecular 274 coefficient, A=1.6, and the bubble-mediated coefficient, B=1.8. Red dashed line is interfacial transfer 275 276 velocity only. Blue solid line includes the bubble contribution to gas transfer. Green dashed line is least 277 squares linear regression fit to the SOAP 10 min averaged data ($k_{660} = 2.31U_{10n} - 1.51$). (b) Residual 278 values from the least squares linear regression fit in (a). Green dashed line is exact agreement with 279 linear regression model. Red squares are the median residual within each 1 m/s wind speed bin. 280 Negative deviation of the median residuals from the linear regression demonstrates the positive skew in 281 k_{660} . 282

283 It is not surprising to see skewed distributions in the SOAP data as the cruise encountered strong, non-

284 linear gradients in biological activity. There is no skewness in the distribution of winds within each

wind speed bin. Skewness in the seawater DMS distribution should propagate into the DMS flux

- distribution simply because air/sea flux is proportional to air/sea concentration gradient, which is
- 287 controlled in turn by seawater DMS levels (Figures E&F, Supplemental material). If F_{DMS} and ΔC are
- highly correlated, then the variance in k_{660} should be considerably less than that in either parameter and
- 289 would exhibit less skew. This is not the case: k_{660} exhibits a similar skew to F_{DMS} and ΔC . For
- example, the correlation coefficient between DMS flux and seawater concentration in the 13-14 m/s
- wind speed bin (Spearman's $\rho = 0.45$, p < 0.01, n = 47) is considerably lower than expected. Decorrelation

of DMS flux and seawater concentration is likely due to mismatches between seawater DMS levels
 measured aboard ship and those in the actual footprint of the flux. Misalignment between seawater
 DMS levels and the flux footprint is virtually unavoidable in a region of strong spatial heterogeneity,
 where wind direction and ship track are never perfectly aligned.

As a result of the frequency distribution observations in the SOAP dataset, we reexamined data from a recent North Atlantic cruise (Bell et al., 2013; Figures E-G, Supplemental material). The frequency distributions of k_{660} , F_{DMS} and DMS_{sw} exhibit similar positive skewness to that in the SOAP data set. In order to better represent the central tendency of the k_{660} data and assess the relationship with wind speed, geometric means were computed for 1 m/s wind speed bins (Figure 4). Geometric binned k_{660} data from both cruises are lower than the arithmetic binned data. The binned k_{660} SOAP data demonstrate a shallower slope using the geometric means.

303



304

Figure 4: Bin average gas transfer coefficients for this study (SOAP) and the data collected in the North Atlantic (Knorr '11). Mean values were calculated for 1 m/s U_{10n} bins using arithmetic (solid lines, filled symbols) and geometric (dashed lines, open symbols) approaches.

308

- 309 The SOAP k_{660} bin average data (Figure 5) exhibit a linear relationship with wind speed for low and
- 310 intermediate winds, as found in previous DMS flux studies (e.g. Huebert et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011;
- 311 Marandino et al., 2007, 2009). For wind speeds up to 14 m/s, the binned geometric mean SOAP data

312 yields a linear regression equation of $k_{660} = 2.07U_{10n} - 2.42$, which is slightly shallower than that 313 obtained from a compilation of previously published DMS gas transfer measurements ($k_{660} = 2.6U_{10n} -$ 314 5.7; Goddijn-Murphy et al., 2012). In the higher wind speed bins (above 10 m/s), the relationship 315 between k_{660} and wind appears to weaken. A weaker relationship between k_{660} and wind speed at high 316 wind speeds was also observed in the North Atlantic (Bell et al., 2013). In both cruises, there is limited 317 data at wind speeds above 10 m/s, so this phenomenon should be viewed with caution. Bell et al. (2013) 318 suggested that the effect could be due to suppression of near surface waterside turbulence due to 319 wind/wave interactions (Soloviev et al., 2007; Donelan et al., 2010).





Figure 5: Bin average gas transfer coefficients from this study compared with prior published DMS eddy covariance measurements: Wecoma (Marandino et al., 2007), Knorr '06 (Marandino et al., 2009), SO-GasEx (Yang et al., 2011), DOGEE (Huebert et al., 2010), BIO (Blomquist et al., 2006), TAO (Huebert et al., 2004), VOCALS (Yang et al., 2011) and Knorr '11 (Bell et al., 2013). Geometric mean SOAP k_{660} values were calculated for 1 m/s U_{10n} bins (error bars represent ±2 SE; minimum data points per interval = 6).

327

- 328 The SOAP study did not include direct measurements of wave properties or surfactants. Significant
- 329 wave height was estimated using satellite reanalysis products from ECMWF and NCEP, which agreed
- 330 well (Spearman's $\rho = 0.91$, p < 0.01, n = 2876). Significant wave height exceeded 4.5 m during SOAP.
- 331 There is no obvious relationship between significant wave height and the scatter in the relationship

332 between gas transfer and horizontal wind speed during SOAP (Figure J, Supplemental material). In situ 333 fluorescence was used as an indicator of biological activity during SOAP. Fluorescence sensors were 334 located in seawater continuously pumped through the ship from the near surface intake beneath the hull. 335 The variability in the gas transfer velocity data is not explained by surface ocean fluorescence (Figure K, 336 Supplemental material). Note that fluorescence is not necessarily a reliable indicator of surfactant 337 concentrations. The relative importance of waves and/or surfactants in air/sea gas exchange remains 338 unclear and requires dedicated measurements to be made concurrent with direct assessments of gas 339 exchange by eddy covariance.

340 **3.3 Uncertainties in** *K* **introduced by flux footprint and seawater DMS heterogeneity**

As discussed above, spatial heterogeneity of seawater DMS can introduce uncertainty in gas transfer coefficients derived from eddy covariance studies. It is logistically challenging to quantify footprint effects from a single ship, and it has not been done on prior studies. On the SOAP cruise, the fortuitous alignment of winds and ship track downwind of the dinoflagellate-dominated bloom (*B1*) provided a unique opportunity to quantify the length scale associated with the flux footprint.



346

Figure 6: Latitude-longitude map of surface ocean DMS concentrations (nM) in and around *B1* waters between DOY 45.65 and 51.35. Start and End points of the transect into *B1* are indicated. Arrow

349 indicates prevailing wind direction along the transect.

350

351 The SOAP cruise spent approximately 5 days mapping out the spatial extent of *B1* waters, then transited 352 out of the bloom to WP1 about 150 km to the southwest. The ship then steamed back into and across 353 B1 at a ship speed of 5.1±0.7 m/s, over about 18 hours (DOY 50.85–51.35; Figure 6). Meteorological 354 and oceanographic conditions were relatively constant during the B1 transect, with wind speeds ranging 355 from 5.5-9.7 m/s, wind direction from 5-33°, air temperature of 15.4 \pm 0.8, and SST of 14.4 \pm 0.5 (Figure 356 7). Atmospheric stability was neutral-stable during this period. A detailed picture of surface ocean 357 DMS levels in and around B1 can be seen from the data collected between DOY 45.65 and DOY 51.35 358 (Figure 6). DMS levels exhibit a sharp step-change at approximately 44.6°S. DMS concentrations 359 south of the bloom were less than 5 nM. Near the bloom center, levels increased rapidly over a few kilometers from below 10 nM to greater than 15 nM. Atmospheric DMS levels were quite stable during 360 361 the transect with a mean of 489±58 ppt. The ship's heading (approx. 27°) meant that winds blew almost 362 directly onto the bow, with $<10^{\circ}$ difference for the final 60 km of the transect back into B1.



363364 Figure 7

Shipboard measurements during the South-North transect into *B1*. The data are plotted as a function of distance from the southern perimeter of the bloom. Symbols represent 10 minute averages, with the exception of 1 min average seawater DMS concentrations (d). Red line in (e) is the COARE model

368 output for DMS, shown for reference.

369

370

371 120 km away from the bloom were below 5 nM and consistently 5-10 nM until the southern perimeter of 372 the bloom (0 km). DMS levels increased rapidly to 15-20 nM as the ship moved into the bloom. DMS 373 flux divided by the horizontal wind speed is also presented. We assume a relatively linear relationship 374 between k_{660} and U_{10n} and that fluctuations in F_{DMS}/U_{10n} (Figure 7, blue symbols) are driven primarily 375 by changes in ΔC (i.e. DMS_{sw}). Spikes in F_{DMS}/U_{10n} are evident in DMS_{sw} after a consistent 376 distance/time lag. The gas transfer velocities are shown in Figure 7e during the transect into B1. 377 COARE model output for DMS is plotted as a reference line. Spikes in k_{660} are coincident with sharp 378 changes in F_{DMS}/U_{10n} prior to the lagged corresponding change in DMS_{sw} . 379 On this transect the eddy covariance flux footprint was directly ahead of the ship, so a lag would be 380 expected between the F_{DMS} and ΔC (*i.e.* DMS_{sw}). The maximum correlation between F_{DMS}/U_{10n} (using 381 the midpoint of the flux interval) and ΔC was obtained for a lag of 8 minutes. This lag represents a 382 distance of ~ 2.5 km at 5.1m/s ship speed. Applying this lag to the calculation of gas transfer velocity 383 reduced the scatter (Figure 8). We compared the flux footprint obtained from the lag calculation to a 384 flux footprint calculation using an online version of an analytic dispersion model 385 (http://www.geos.ed.ac.uk/abs/research/micromet/java/flux.html; Kormann & Meixner, 2001). We ran 386 the model with representative conditions for the SOAP B1 transect: measurement height = 12 m; wind 387 speed = 8 m/s; roughness length = 0.02 m (minimum value available); zero-plane displacement = 0.5 m(minimum value available); sensible heat flux = -20 W/m^2 ; air temperature = 15° C. The footprint model 388 389 predicts a peak relative flux contribution (defined as 90% of the relative flux) 0.8 km ahead of the ship, 390 less than half of the distance inferred from the field observations. The calculated footprint is highly 391 sensitive to the input parameters. During the SOAP B1 transect, atmospheric stability was slightly 392 stable but close to neutral ($z/L \sim +0.1$). Relatively small changes in wind speed (± 1 m/s), temperature $(\pm 1^{\circ}C)$ or sensible heat flux $(+10 \text{ W/m}^2)$ alter the stability such that model predictions of the peak 393 394 footprint contribution range from 0.3 km to 1.9 km. Model runs where measurement height was varied 395 to reflect the limits of ship motion (significant wave height from ECMWF suggests the vertical 396 displacement of the flux inlet was at least 2.5 m) gave minimum and maximum peak flux footprint 397 contributions of 0.4 and 2.0 km respectively.

Figure 7 depicts seawater DMS levels (green symbols) as the ship steamed into B1 waters. DMS levels

398 Despite the sensitivity of the model to the input parameters, none of these estimates are as large as the 399 footprint derived from the lag calculation. Flux footprint models make the assumption that the surface 400 source is spatially homogeneous. This was not true during the SOAP *B1* transect – the location of the 401 peak contribution to the flux was not the same as the peak in the footprint model. Greater DMS_{sw} 402 concentrations at the furthest extent of the flux footprint will cause the flux signal to be dominated by a 403 signal from further afield than implied by the footprint model. This is the likely explanation for the 404 mismatch between our correlation analysis and the flux footprint model output.



405

406 **Figure 8**

407 10 minute average DMS gas transfer coefficients (k_{660}) vs. mean horizontal wind speed (U_{10n}) during the 408 South-North transect into *B1* waters. Data are coloured by the relative standard deviation (RSD) for 409 corresponding DMS_{sw} (see text). (a) Gas transfer velocities calculated before adjustment of DMS_{sw} to 410 account for decoupling from the flux footprint. (b) k_{660} calculated using seawater DMS shifted by 8 411 minutes to account for the lag between measured flux and ΔC (see text). 412

413 Huebert et al. (2010) addressed surface ocean spatial heterogeneity for their estimates of DMS gas

414 transfer velocity during the June 2007 Deep Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment (DOGEE) in the North

- 415 Atlantic. When hourly DMS_{sw} relative standard error of the mean (RSEM) exceeded 0.25, gas exchange
- 416 data was not included in their analysis. Removing k_{660} data with high DMS_{sw} variability during DOGEE
- 417 improved the correlation between k_{660} and wind speed. We assessed variability in our high frequency
- 418 DMS_{sw} data by calculating the forward-looking, running standard deviation (SD) on a one hour
- 419 timescale. The relative standard deviation (RSD) was then calculated by dividing the SD by DMS_{sw}.
- 420 Using the RSD would not have been reliable for identifying the outlying k_{660} data during the B1 transect

421 (Figure 8a). The scatter in k_{660} versus U_{10n} in the entire SOAP dataset cannot be reduced on the basis of 422 the associated RSD values (Figure L, Supplemental material).

423

424 **4** Conclusions

425 The SOAP k_{660} bin average values are in good agreement with previous gas transfer studies using eddy 426 covariance of DMS (Yang et al., 2011; Bell et al., 2013; Marandino et al., 2007). As noted earlier, these 427 studies provide evidence that interfacial gas transfer is a relatively linear function of wind speed for low-428 intermediate wind speeds. There is some evidence that the dependence on wind speed weakens at 429 higher wind speeds both in this study and in the Knorr_11 study (Bell et al., 2013). There is no evidence 430 in any of the DMS eddy covariance data sets that the interfacial (non-bubble mediated) component of 431 gas transfer has a wind speed-dependence greater than linear. However there is still very limited data 432 above 10 m/s and the high wind speed trends are uncertain.

433 The scatter in the SOAP data is typical of shipboard eddy covariance flux measurements. This arises 434 from fluctuations in near surface turbulence and vertical entrainment, vertical shear, ship motion, 435 heterogeneity in seawater DMS and variations in atmospheric DMS due to chemical losses (Blomquist 436 et al., 2010). We note the skewness of the gas transfer velocities in a given wind speed range and use 437 geometric statistics to characterize the central tendency and variance of the data. This skewness is likely 438 driven by the inherent lognormal distribution of seawater DMS levels. We propose that spatial 439 heterogeneity in seawater DMS causes decorrelation between the measured seawater DMS and the 440 observed DMS flux, which results in skewness propagating into the calculated transfer coefficients. The 441 data from this study may be particularly influenced by the large differences in seawater DMS values 442 inside and outside the phytoplankton blooms. Similar skewness was observed in data from the North 443 Atlantic ocean (Bell et al., 2013) and this phenomenon likely affects all DMS eddy covariance studies to 444 some degree. If so, then some transformation of the DMS gas transfer velocities is warranted.

The transect from *WP1* into *B1* provided a unique opportunity to quantitatively estimate the spatial extent of the eddy covariance flux footprint. The data suggest that the shipboard flux measurements were sensitive to changes in seawater DMS approximately 2.5 km upwind of the ship, a surprisingly large distance. This transect was conducted under neutral to stable conditions, when one might expect the flux footprint to be relatively large. This result is much greater (twofold or more) than that predicted using an analytic dispersion model (Kormann and Meixner, 2001). The discrepancy between the flux 451 footprint model output and our correlation analysis is probably because the model assumes spatial 452 homogeneity in the DMS_{sw} concentrations within the flux footprint. A flux footprint model developed 453 for marine air/sea gas flux measurements would be an invaluable tool for the ocean/atmosphere gas 454 exchange research community.

455 During the SOAP cruise we saw no obvious evidence of a first order biological effect on gas transfer 456 coefficients. From this it could be inferred that surfactants in the dinoflagellate and coccolithophore 457 blooms did not exert a significant effect on water side turbulence. Any modification of the gas transfer 458 velocity vs. wind speed relationship by surfactants or waves during SOAP was masked by other 459 influences upon the variability in gas flux measurements. Minimizing the scatter in gas transfer velocity 460 is critical in order to observe the influence of non-wind speed processes and to draw firm conclusions 461 about their impact upon air/sea gas transfer. The challenge for the gas exchange community is that 462 heterogeneity in seawater DMS concentrations is linked to phytoplankton growth, which likely also 463 determines surfactant effects upon the gas transfer velocity.

464

465 **Acknowledgements**

466 The authors thank the New Zealand National Institute of Water and Atmospheric Research (NIWA) and 467 the Captain and crew of the R/V Tangaroa for their assistance in carrying out this cruise. We also thank 468 Cyril McCormick (UCI Instrument Development Facility) for essential technical support and to Mike 469 Harvey for logistical assistance before, during and after the SOAP cruise. Thanks to Mark Gall (NIWA) 470 for processing the underway fluorescence data to provide calibrated chlorophyll a values. We are 471 grateful to Brian Ward and Kai Christensen for providing ECMWF-derived significant wave height data 472 and to Mingxi Yang for insightful discussion during data analysis. This research was supported by the 473 NSF Atmospheric Chemistry Program (Grant Nos. 08568, 0851472, 0851407 and 1143709) as a 474 contribution to US SOLAS, and funding from the NIWA Atmosphere Centre to the Ocean-Atmosphere 475 Programme as a contribution to NZ SOLAS.

476

477 **References**

Bariteau, L., Helmig, D., Fairall, C. W., Hare, J. E., Hueber, J., and Lang, E. K.: Determination of oceanic ozone deposition
by ship-borne eddy covariance flux measurements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 2, 441-455, 2010.

- 480 Bell, T. G., De Bruyn, W., Miller, S. D., Ward, B., Christensen, K., and Saltzman, E. S.: Air/sea DMS gas transfer in the
- 481 North Atlantic: evidence for limited interfacial gas exchange at high wind speed, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11073-11087,
- 482 2013.
- 483 Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Huebert, B. J., Kieber, D. J., and Westby, G. R.: DMS sea-air transfer velocity: Direct
- measurements by eddy covariance and parameterization based on the NOAA/COARE gas transfer model, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 33, 7, art. no.-L07601, 10.1029/2006gl025735, 2006.
- Blomquist, B. W., Huebert, B. J., Fairall, C. W., and Faloona, I. C.: Determining the sea-air flux of dimethylsulfide by eddy
- 487 correlation using mass spectrometry, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 1, 1-20, 2010.
- Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Huebert, B. J., and Wilson, S. T.: Direct measurement of the oceanic carbon monoxide flux by eddy correlation, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 12, 3069-3075, DOI 10.5194/amt-5-3069-2012, 2012.
- 490 Coburn, S., Ortega, I., Thalman, R., Blomquist, B., Fairall, C. W., and Volkamer, R.: Measurements of diurnal variations and 491 eddy covariance (EC) fluxes of glyoxal in the tropical marine boundary layer: description of the Fast LED-CE-DOAS
- 492 instrument, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 10, 3579-3595, 10.5194/amt-7-3579-2014, 2014.
- 493 Dacey, J. W. H., Wakeham, S. G., and Howes, B. L.: Henry's law constants for dimethylsulfide in fresh water and seawater, 494 Geophys. Res. Lett., 11, 10, 991-994, 1984.
- Donelan, M. A., Haus, B. K., Plant, W. J., and Troianowski, O.: Modulation of short wind waves by long waves, J. Geophys.
- 496 Res.-Oceans, 115, C10003, Doi 10.1029/2009jc005794, 2010.
- 497 Edson, J. B., Hinton, A. A., Prada, K. E., Hare, J. E., and Fairall, C. W.: Direct covariance flux estimates from mobile
- 498 platforms at sea, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 15, 2, 547-562, doi: 10.1175/1520-
- 499 0426(1998)015<0547:DCFEFM>2.0.CO;2, 1998.
- 500 Elliott, S.: Dependence of DMS global sea-air flux distribution on transfer velocity and concentration field type, Journal of
- 501 Geophysical Research, 114, G2, doi:10.1029/2008JG000710, 2009.
- 502 Fairall, C. W., Yang, M., Bariteau, L., Edson, J. B., Helmig, D., McGillis, W., Pezoa, S., Hare, J. E., Huebert, B., and
- 503 Blomquist, B.: Implementation of the Coupled Ocean-Atmosphere Response Experiment flux algorithm with CO2, dimethyl sulfide, and O3, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116, C00F09, 10.1029/2010jc006884, 2011.
- Frew, N. M., Goldman, J. C., Dennett, M. R., and Johnson, A. S.: Impact of phytoplankton-generated surfactants on air-sea
 gas-exchange, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 95, C3, 3337-3352, Doi 10.1029/Jc095ic03p03337, 1990.
- 507 Ho, D. T., Wanninkhof, R., Schlosser, P., Ullman, D. S., Hebert, D., and Sullivan, K. F.: Toward a universal relationship 508 between wind speed and gas exchange: Gas transfer velocities measured with ³He/SF₆ during the Southern Ocean Gas
- 509 Exchange Experiment, Journal of Geophysical Research, 116, C4, 10.1029/2010jc006854, 2011.
- 510 Huebert, B. J., Blomquist, B. W., Hare, J. E., Fairall, C. W., Johnson, J. E., and Bates, T. S.: Measurement of the sea-air
- 511 DMS flux and transfer velocity using eddy correlation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 31, 23, L23113, 10.1029/2004gl021567, 2004. 512 Huebert, B. J., Blomquist, B. W., Yang, M. X., Archer, S. D., Nightingale, P. D., Yelland, M. J., Stephens, J., Pascal, R. W.,
- and Moat, B. I.: Linearity of DMS transfer coefficient with both friction velocity and wind speed in the moderate wind speed range, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, 1, L01605, 10.1029/2009gl041203, 2010.
- 515 Kim, M. J., Farmer, D. K., and Bertram, T. H.: A controlling role for the air-sea interface in the chemical processing of
- reactive nitrogen in the coastal marine boundary layer, Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences, 111, 11, 3943 3948, 10.1073/pnas.1318694111, 2014.
- Kormann, R., and Meixner, F. X.: An analytical footprint model for non-neutral stratification, Boundary-Layer Meteorology,
- 519 99, 2, 207-224, 10.1023/a:1018991015119, 2001.
- 520 Lana, A., Bell, T. G., Simó, R., Vallina, S. M., Ballabrera-Poy, J., Kettle, A. J., Dachs, J., Bopp, L., Saltzman, E. S., Stefels,
- 521 J., Johnson, J. E., and Liss, P. S.: An updated climatology of surface dimethylsulfide concentrations and emission fluxes in 522 the global ocean, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 25, 1, art. no.-GB1004, 10.1029/2010gb003850, 2011.
- 523 Liss, P. S., and Slater, P. G.: Flux of gases across the air-sea interface, Nature, 247, 5438, 181-184, 1974.
- 524 Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., Prather, M. J., and Saltzman, E. S.: Oceanic uptake and the global
- 525 atmospheric acetone budget, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, 15, art. no.-L15806, 10.1029/2005gl023285, 2005.
- Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., and Saltzman, E. S.: Eddy correlation measurements of the air/sea flux of
 dimethylsulfide over the North Pacific Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 112, D3, art. no.-D03301,
- 528 10.1029/2006jd007293, 2007.
- 529 Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., and Saltzman, E. S.: DMS air/sea flux and gas transfer coefficients from
- the North Atlantic summertime coccolithophore bloom, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, 23, art. no.-L23812, 10.1029/2008gl036370, 2008.
- Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., and Saltzman, E. S.: Open ocean DMS air/sea fluxes over the eastern South
 Pacific Ocean, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2, 345-356, 2009.
- McGillis, W. R., Edson, J. B., Hare, J. E., and Fairall, C. W.: Direct covariance air-sea CO₂ fluxes, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans,
 106, C8, 16729-16745, 2001.

536 Miller, S. D., Hristov, T. S., Edson, J. B., and Friehe, C. A.: Platform motion effects on measurements of turbulence and air-

sea exchange over the open ocean, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 25, 9, 1683-1694, Doi
 10.1175/2008jtecho547.1, 2008.

- 539 Miller, S. D., Marandino, C., and Saltzman, E. S.: Ship-based measurement of air-sea CO₂ exchange by eddy covariance,
- 540 Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres, 115, D2, art. no.-D02304, 10.1029/2009jd012193, 2010.
- 541 Nightingale, P. D., Malin, G., Law, C. S., Watson, A. J., Liss, P. S., Liddicoat, M. I., Boutin, J., and Upstill-Goddard, R. C.:
- 542 In situ evaluation of air-sea gas exchange parameterizations using novel conservative and volatile tracers, Global
- 543 Biogeochemical Cycles, 14, 1, 373-387, 2000.
- 544 Popinet, S., Smith, M., and Stevens, C.: Experimental and numerical study of the turbulence characteristics of airflow around
- 545 a research vessel, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic Technology, 21, 10, 1575-1589, Doi 10.1175/1520-
- 546 0426(2004)021<1575:Eansot>2.0.Co;2, 2004.
- 547 Sabine, C. L., Feely, R. A., Gruber, N., Key, R. M., Lee, K., Bullister, J. L., Wanninkhof, R., Wong, C. S., Wallace, D. W.
- R., Tilbrook, B., Millero, F. J., Peng, T. H., Kozyr, A., Ono, T., and Rios, A. F.: The oceanic sink for anthropogenic CO₂,
 Science, 305, 5682, 367-371, DOI 10.1126/science.1097403, 2004.
- 550 Salter, M. E., Upstill-Goddard, R. C., Nightingale, P. D., Archer, S. D., Blomquist, B., Ho, D. T., Huebert, B., Schlosser, P.,
- and Yang, M.: Impact of an artificial surfactant release on air-sea gas fluxes during Deep Ocean Gas Exchange Experiment
 II, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116, C11, 10.1029/2011jc007023, 2011.
- 553 Saltzman, E. S., King, D. B., Holmen, K., and Leck, C.: Experimental determination of the diffusion coefficient of
- dimethylsulfide in water, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 98, C9, 16481-16486, 1993.
- 555 Saltzman, E. S., De Bruyn, W. J., Lawler, M. J., Marandino, C. A., and McCormick, C. A.: A chemical ionization mass
- spectrometer for continuous underway shipboard analysis of dimethylsulfide in near-surface seawater, Ocean Science, 5, 4,
 537-546, 2009.
- 558 Smith, M. J., Ho, D. T., Law, C. S., McGregor, J., Popinet, S., and Schlosser, P.: Uncertainties in gas exchange
- parameterization during the SAGE dual-tracer experiment, Deep-Sea Res. Part II-Top. Stud. Oceanogr., 58, 6, 869-881, DOI
 10.1016/j.dsr2.2010.10.025, 2011.
- 561 Soloviev, A. V.: Coupled renewal model of ocean viscous sublayer, thermal skin effect and interfacial gas transfer velocity, 562 Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 1-4, 19-27, 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.024, 2007.
- 563 Soloviev, A. V., Donelan, M., Graber, H., Haus, B., and Schlüssel, P.: An approach to estimation of near-surface turbulence
- and CO₂ transfer velocity from remote sensing data, Journal of Marine Systems, 66, 1-4, 182-194,
- 565 10.1016/j.jmarsys.2006.03.023, 2007.
- 566 Sweeney, C., Gloor, E., Jacobson, A. R., Key, R. M., McKinley, G., Sarmiento, J. L., and Wanninkhof, R.: Constraining
- 567 global air-sea gas exchange for CO_2 with recent bomb ¹⁴C measurements, Global Biogeochemical Cycles, 21, 2, art. no.-568 GB2015, 10.1029/2006gb002784, 2007.
- 569 Woolf, D. K.: Bubbles and their role in gas exchange, in: The Sea Surface and Global Change, edited by: Liss, P. S., and 570 Duce, R. A., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 173-205, 1997.
- 571 Yang, M., Blomquist, B. W., and Huebert, B. J.: Constraining the concentration of the hydroxyl radical in a stratocumulus-
- topped marine boundary layer from sea-to-air eddy covariance flux measurements of dimethylsulfide, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 9, 23, 9225-9236, 2009.
- 574 Yang, M., Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Archer, S. D., and Huebert, B. J.: Air-sea exchange of dimethylsulfide in the
- 575 Southern Ocean: Measurements from SO GasEx compared to temperate and tropical regions, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116, 10.1029/2010jc006526, 2011.
- 577 Yang, M., Beale, R., Smyth, T., and Blomquist, B.: Measurements of OVOC fluxes by eddy covariance using a proton-
- 578 transfer-reaction mass spectrometer method development at a coastal site, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 13, 6165-6184, DOI
- 579 10.5194/acp-13-6165-2013, 2013.
- 580
- 581