Manuscript prepared for Atmos. Chem. Phys. with version 2014/09/16 7.15 Copernicus papers of the LATEX class copernicus.cls. Date: 2 April 2015

AOD trends during 2001–2010 from observations and model simulations

A. Pozzer¹, A. de Meij², J. Yoon¹, H. Tost³, A. K. Georgoulias^{4,5,6}, and M. Astitha⁷

¹Atmospheric Chemistry Department, Max-Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

²Noveltis, Sustainable Development, Rue du Lac, 31670 Labege, France

³Institute for Physics of the Atmosphere, Johannes Gutenberg University Mainz, Germany

⁴Department of Meteorology and Climatology, School of Geology, Aristotle University of Thessaloniki, Greece

50

⁵Multiphase Chemistry Department, Max-Planck Institute for Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

⁶Energy, Environment and Water Research Center, The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus

⁷University of Connecticut, Civil and Environmental Engineering, Storrs, Connecticut, USA

Correspondence to: A. Pozzer (andrea.pozzer@mpic.de)

Abstract. The aerosol Optical Depth (AOD) trend between 2001–2010 is estimated globally and regionally from observations and results from simulations with the EMAC ³⁰ (ECHAM5/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry) model. Al-

- ⁵ though interannual variability is apply only to the anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions, the model is able to reproduce quantitatively the AOD trends as observed by MODIS satellite sensor, while some discrepancies are found ³⁵ when compared to MISR and SeaWIFS observations. Thanks
- to an additional simulation without any change in the emissions, it is shown that decreasing AOD trends over the US and Europe are due to decrease in the emissions, while over the Sahara Desert and the Middle East region the meteorological changes do play a major role. Over South East Asia,
- ¹⁵ both meteorology and emissions changes are equally important in defining AOD trends. Additionally, decomposing the regional AOD trends into individual aerosol components reveals that the soluble components are the most dominant contributers to the total AOD, as their influence on the total AOD
- ²⁰ is enhanced by the aerosol water content.

1 Introduction

The atmosphere is a mixture of various gases and aerosols. The increase of greenhouse gases causing climate change is partially countered or enhanced by aerosol radiation modifi- $_{55}$

²⁵ cations (the aerosol direct effect; Andreae et al., 2005). Additionally, aerosols can modify cloud properties (indirect effects; Ramanathan et al., 2001a, b; Kaufman et al., 2002). Furthermore, depending on their composition aerosols affect the ecosystems, quality of life (cardiovascular and respiratory diseases; Lelieveld et al., 2013) and visibility. Since the late 1980s the decline in solar radiation at the Earth's surface due to aerosol pollution (dimming) has reversed over the Northern Hemisphere (Wild et al., 2005; Wild, 2010). This change from dimming to brightening has important consequences for climate change, affecting the hydrological cycle, cloud formation processes and surface temperatures, possibly intensifying the warming trend caused by carbon dioxide (CO_2) and other greenhouse gases. Remote sensing instruments and Atmospheric Chemistry Transport Models (ACTMs) provide possibilities for improved qualitative and quantitative analysis of the global burden of atmospheric trace gases and aerosol particles. ACTMs and chemistry climate models (CCMs) are additionally used to assess the effects of future changes in aerosol (+ precursor) emissions on climate by making use of physical and chemical process descriptions in dependency of emission inventories. These emission inventories are constructed from estimates for population and economic growth to determine present gas phase and aerosol emissions as well as future emission scenarios. The emission inventories include natural and anthropogenic emissions relevant for aerosol formation, such as sulphur dioxide (SO₂), nitrogen oxides (NO_x), black (BC) and organic (OC) carbon, ammonia (NH₃) and many more compounds.

 ${\rm SO}_2$ and ${\rm BC}$ are mainly emitted from fossil fuel (coal and petroleum) combustion. Primary sources for ${\rm NO}_x$ are road transport and fossil fuel combustion for energy production,

and $\rm NH_3$ is mainly emitted from agricultural activities (waste burning and fertilizers) and to a small extent by the combus-

tion of biofuels for energy use. The amount of NH_3 emitted ¹¹⁵ by agricultural activities is related to the type of fertilizer, meteorological conditions (wet/dry) and soil properties.

Since 1990 in Europe and in North America the emissions of aerosol precursors have dropped in response to the imple-

- ⁶⁵ mentation of air quality legislation (Clean Air Act Amend-¹²⁰ ments), which aims to reduce the emissions of sulfur dioxide (SO_2) and nitrogen oxides (NO_x). Despite the implementation of the 11th Five Year Plan (2006–2010) of State Environment Protection Administration (SEPA) in China, which
- ⁷⁰ requires power plants to implement new air pollution reduc-¹²⁵ tion technologies after 2006, air pollution remains a major concern in this region (Cao et al., 2009). Half of China's SO₂ emissions are attributed to the burning of coal, mostly by power plants, which are to a large degree located in the
- ⁷⁵ eastern part of the country where the large cities are situated. ¹³⁰ Between 2001 and 2005, SO_2 emissions still increased by 27 % (11th Five Year Plan, SEPA, March 2006). Lu et al. (2010) found an increase in SO_2 of 53 % between 2000 and 2006 (with annual growth rate of 7.3 %), with 85 % in the
- North and 28% in the South of the country. The increase ¹³⁵ has been reduced after 2006, due to the implementation of new emission reduction technologies (i.e. desulfurization) in power plants. SO₂ emissions in China do not show a strong seasonal cycle (Zhang et al., 2009), because of the continual
 energy production for industry and domestic usage. ¹⁴⁰
- Previous studies by Ohmura (2009); Long et al. (2009); Norris and Wild (2009) have investigated the global and regional solar radiation budget by using observations of the Global Energy Balance Archive (GEBA). Other studies have
- ⁹⁰ used various satellite products to investigate the impact of air 145 pollution on the incoming solar radiation at the Earths surface (dimming and brightening phenomena), such as Wild et al. (2005), Wild (2010, special issue J. Geophys. Res., and references therein), Pinker et al. (2005); Hinkelman et al.
- 95 (2009); Mishchenko and Geogdzhayev (2007); Remer et al. 150 (2008); Chylek et al. (2007); Lu et al. (2010); Zhang and Reid (2010) and Kishcha et al. (2009). These studies have shown elevated AODs (decreasing incoming solar radiation at Earths surface) over India, East Asia, Bay of Bengal, Ara-

 bian Sea and reduced AODs (increasing incoming solar radi- 155 ation) over North America and Europe.

A recent work of Hsu et al. (2012) with the SeaWIFS instrument has shown high precision in trend derivation while reporting decreasing trends over the eastern USA and Eu-

- rope and increasing trends over China and India. Hsu et al. 160 (2012) additionally have investigated the impacts of other uncertainty factors in trend estimates, e.g. retrieval algorithm deficiency and sampling bias, by comparing their results with AERONET and MODIS-Terra products. In partic-
- ¹¹⁰ ular the correlation analysis between large-scale meteorolog- ¹⁶⁵ ical events (such as El Niño Southern Osciallation and North Atlantic Oscillation) and SeaWiFS-retrieved AOD indicated

strong influences of the climatic indices on Saharan dust outflow and biomass-burning activity in the tropics.

In de Meij et al. (2012a) the trends in AODs by remote sensing instruments have been investigated and linked to the changes of the aerosol (precursors) emissions in different emission inventories. They have found significant decreasing trends in observed AODs by MODIS, MISR and AERONET over Western Europe and North-East America between 2000-2009, associated with the reported negative trends in SO₂, NH₃ and NO_x emissions. Positive AOD trends have been found over parts of Asia. Seasonal trends were also investigated in the same study. However, no model simulations have been performed to quantify the changes in the aerosol (precursors) emissions on aerosol and AOD trends. In the present study we relate the chemical reactions of the gas and aerosol species on the trends in the simulated AODs and compare them with observations. A recent study by Chin et al. (2014) assessed the aerosol variations and trends over a period of 30 years. They used the GOCART model on $2.5^{\circ} \times 2^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution, with ACCMIP and RCP8.5 emissions. Two simulations were performed, one with all natural and anthropogenic emissions and the second one with no anthropogenic emissions. They have found that changes in calculated AODs are consistent with the changes in the emissions. They also have reported that for global averaged AOD values no significant trend could be identified and that analysis on regional scale is required. However, one must be careful in the conclusions as the annihilation method (i.e. removing all the anthropogenic emissions, in this case) could lead to strong effects due to the non-linearities (e.g. chemistry, aerosol microphysics) inherent in the climate system.

The objective of this work is to investigate the causes of AOD trends over different regions of the world, especially linking the trends to changes of natural/anthropogenic emissions or changes in atmospheric dynamics. To achieve this objective, two different simulations were performed: (a) an-thropogenic and biomass burning emissions change realistically during the decade 2001–2010 and (b) anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions are kept constant and are equivalent to the year 2000 (i.e. with no inter-annual emission variability).

To our knowledge no work has been reported comparing the AOD trends from satellite measurements with model simulation with and without changing emissions for the decade 2001–2010 on global scale. This work clearly defines the causes of significant AOD trends for some regions.

In the next section the model used in this study is presented (Sect. 3), followed by the description of the analyzed observational dataset. In Sect. 4 the model results are compared with observations to evaluate its capability to reproduce AOD and AOD trends. The AOD trends in global and regional scale are analyzed in Sect. 5, and the causes of AOD trends for specific regions are discussed in details in Sect. 6. The conclusions are summarized in Sect. 7.

2 Model description and setup

The ECHAM/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC)
 model is a numerical chemistry and climate simulation sys- 225
 tem that includes sub-models describing tropospheric and
 middle atmosphere processes and their interaction with
 oceans, land and human influences (Jöckel et al., 2010).
 It uses the second version of the Modular Earth Sub model System (MESSy2) to link multi-institutional com- 230
 puter codes. The core atmospheric model is the 5th gener-

- ation European Centre Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5, Roeckner et al., 2006). For the present study we use ECHAM5 version 5.3.02 and MESSy version 2.42.
- ¹⁸⁰ The EMAC model has been extensively evaluated for gas ²³⁵ tracers (e.g. Pozzer et al., 2007) and for aerosols (e.g. Pringle et al., 2010a; Pozzer et al., 2012; Astitha et al., 2012).

The modeled AOD is calculated at 550 nm using concentrations of dust and sea salt particles, biomass burning prod-

- ¹⁸⁵ ucts (black carbon and organic carbon) and anthropogenic ²⁴⁰ aerosols (sulphates, nitrates, etc). The aerosol optical properties are calculated with the EMAC submodel AEROPT, which is based on the scheme by Lauer et al. (2007) and makes use of predefined lognormal modes (i.e. the mode
- width σ and the mode mean radius have to be taken into ²⁴⁵ account). Lookup tables with the extinction coefficient, the single scattering albedo and the asymmetry factor for the shortwave and extinction coefficient for the longwave part of the spectrum are pre-calculated with explicit radiative trans-
- ¹⁹⁵ fer calculations (see Pozzer et al., 2012). The considered ²⁵⁰ compounds are organic carbon, black carbon, dust, sea salt, water-soluble compounds (WASO, i.e. all other water soluble inorganic ions, e.g.: $\rm NH_4^+$, $\rm SO_4^{2-}$, $\rm HSO_4^-$, $\rm NO_3^-$) and aerosol water (H₂O).
- Aerosol water is determined by the aerosol partitioning ²⁵⁵ model ISORROPIA II (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007), which is based on a ZSR relation (Stokes and Robinson, 1966) of the simulated aerosol compounds. Furthermore, additional compounds such as organic carbon further contribute to
- ²⁰⁵ aerosol water following the κ -approach (Petters and Krei-²⁶⁰ denweis, 2007). Aerosol water uptake is limited by a relative humidty of 95% of grid box mean relative humidity. The extinction, single scattering albedo and asymmetry factor are determined with the help of the volume weighted complex
- 210 refraction index of the particles assuming an internal mixture 265 of the individual components. This assumption partially influences the absolute values of the calculated AOD (see e.g. Klingmüller et al., 2014, and references therein). Potential impacts can be amplified absorption by soot due to multiple
- 215 reflection as seen from a detailed core-shell treatment. How- 270 ever, as all simulations use the same assumption of internal mixing potential trends in AOD should be affected only to a minor degree.

Previous studies using the EMAC model have proven that the simulated AOD is able to capture the overall global pattern although a general underestimation is present (de Meij et al., 2012b; Pozzer et al., 2012). The seasonal cycle of AOD is in general well represented in the EMAC model simulations in most parts of the globe, especially over dust influenced regions. In heavily anthropogenic polluted regions, the modeled AOD is slightly overestimating the observations.

In this study, the Chemistry Climate Model (CCM) EMAC has been used with a T63L31 resolution, corresponding to a horizontal resolution of $\approx 1.875^{\circ} \times 1.875^{\circ}$ of the quadratic Gaussian grid, and with 31 level vertical levels up to 10 hPa in the lower stratosphere. The model set-up used in this work was presented by Pozzer et al. (2012), and here only the differences and the central features are described.

The atmospheric chemistry is simulated with the MECCA (Module Efficiently Calculating the Chemistry of the Atmosphere) submodel by Sander et al. (2005, 2011), while the aerosol microphysics and gas-aerosol partitioning are calculated by the Global Modal-aerosol eXtension (GMXe) aerosol module (Pringle et al., 2010a, b). For descriptions of the emission and deposition routines we refer to Kerkweg et al. (2006a, b); Pozzer et al. (2006) and Tost et al. (2007).

As in Pozzer et al. (2012) and Pringle et al. (2010a), both dust and sea-salt emissions are offline prescribed using offline monthly emission files based on AEROCOM and do not depend on the model meteorology, hence having no multi-annual variability. However, the desert dust and sea salt aerosol concentrations that influence the AOD calculation exhibit multi-annual variability as the model description of the aerosol microphysical process (coagulation, condensation, ageing) as well as transport (advection, convection) and deposition processes are subject to meteorological variability.

The biomass burning contribution was added using the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED version 3, van der Werf et al., 2010) with a monthly temporal resolution.

In this work we used the emissions scenarios recently developed for the ACCMIP (Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Model Intercomparison Project, www.giss.nasa.gov/projects/accmip/) initiative, which focuses on emissions scenarios based on the Representative Concentration Pathways (RCP) (Lamarque et al., 2011; Meinshausen et al., 2011; van Vuuren et al., 2011b, a, and references therein). The RCPs consist of four emission scenarios, also called RCP 2.6, 4.5, 6.0, and 8.5 representing the radiative forcing of anthropogenic activity from 2.6 to 8.5 Wm^{-2} in 2100, which depend on the mitigation or emission scenarios. Among them, the emission scenario RCP 8.5 is used in this study as Granier et al. (2011) showed that it is a "reasonable" choice for anthropogenic emissions after the year 2000 and for the recent past.

In this work two simulations were performed covering the year 2000–2010:

- a simulation named BASE, where the anthropogenic emissions were based on the RCP 8.5 scenario and the

4

275

280

285

305

310

315

320

- biomass burning were based on the GFED emissions 325 (e.g., with annual variability of the emissions);
- a simulation named FIXEMI, where the anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions were kept constant during all the simulation and equivalent to the year 2000₃₃₀ (i.e. with no interannual variability of the emissions).

Additionally, to compare the different simulations, the chemistry and the dynamics have been decoupled, such that there is no direct interaction and feedback between the atmospheric composition of the gas phase and aerosol particles 335 with the dynamics of the atmosphere.

- Accordingly, both simulations follow the same (i.e. binary identical) dynamics and meteorology, i.e. the CCM is used as a chemistry-transport model. The model dynamic has been weakly nudged (Jeuken et al., 1996; Jöckel et al., 2006) to- 340
- wards the analysis data of the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) operational model to represent the actual day-to-day meteorology in the troposphere, which allows a direct comparison of the simulations results with observations.
- Although the simulations cover the period 2000–2010, the first year is used as spinup time, and the results of this work are based on 10 years of data (2001–2010). Additionally, the submodel SORBIT (Jöckel et al., 2010) was used to sample the AOD at the correct local time of the satellite overpass. 350

³⁰⁰ Hence, we can neglect any influence of the diurnal cycle in the comparisons performed in the next sections.

3 Remote sensing data

In this work, observations from three different satellite sensors have been analyzed independently. Specifically, the following satellite datasets have been used:

355

- The Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) 360 instrument (Diner et al., 1998) is located onboard the Terra satellite and has been operational since February 2000. The instrument is designed to measure the solar radiation reflected by the Earth-Atmosphere system by a multiple camera configuration (four forward, $_{365}$ one nadir and four backward at viewing angles of $70.5^{\circ}, 60.0^{\circ}, 45.6^{\circ}, 26.1^{\circ}, \text{ and } 0^{\circ})$). Each camera measures in four different wavelengths centered at 446 nm (blue), 558 nm (green), 671 nm (red) and 866 nm (nearinfrared). MISR data are acquired at $0.275 \times 0.275 \text{ km}^2$ and $1.1 \times 1.1 \rm km^2$ and aerosol products are derived at $17.6 \times 17.6 \text{km}^2$ resolution. There is a time difference of 7.5 minutes between the first and the last camera to view the exact geographic position as the satellite flies over. Each path has a swath width of 360 km with a 16-day repeat cycle. In this study, Level 3 Compo-375 nent Global Aerosol Product version F15 (CGAS-F15) data are used. Specifically the AOD (Aerosol Optical

Depth) retrievals at 558 nm from the Level 2 product are averaged on a monthly basis and stored on a geographic grid of $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$. The validation of MISR AODs over land and ocean with AERONET (AErosol RObotic NETwork) data has shown that MISR retrievals are within ± 0.05 or $\pm 20\%$ of that of AERONET (Kahn et al., 2005, 2010).

- The MODerate resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) sensor is also located on the Terra satellite. In contrast to MISR, the MODIS instrument has only one NADIR looking camera which measures radiances in 36 spectral bands, from $0.4\mu m$ - $14.5\mu m$, with spatial resolutions of 250m (bands 1 - 2), 500m (bands 3 - 7) and 1000m (bands 8 - 36). Daily level 2 (MOD04) aerosol optical thickness data are produced at the spatial resolution of 10×10 km over land, aggregated from the original $1 \text{km} \times 1 \text{km}$ pixel size. As the swath width is about 2330km, the instrument has almost a daily global coverage. MODIS aerosol products are provided over land (Kaufman et al., 1997) and water surfaces (Tanré et al., 1997) with uncertainties being $\pm 0.05 \pm 0.15 \times$ AOD (Chu et al., 2002; Remer et al., 2008; Levy et al., 2010) and $\pm 0.03 \pm 0.05 \times$ AOD (Remer et al., 2002, 2005), respectively. In this paper, AOD550 data from the MODIS Level 3 (Col. 051) gridded product are used at a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$. In this work the Deep Blue algorithm products was not used, as does not present any coverage after the year 2007 in this MODIS version. Nevertheless, this algorithm allows retrieval from bright surfaces and therefore could allow a full coverage also over desert area (Sayer et al., 2013).
- The Sea-WIFS (Sea-Viewing Wide Field-of-View Sensor) instrument operated on board GeoEye's OrbView-2 (AKA SeaStar) satellite providing data from September 1997 to December 2010. AODs at different wavelengths have been retrieved over land with the use of the Deep Blue algorithm over land (Hsu et al., 2004, 2006) and ocean using the SeaWiFS Ocean Aerosol Retrieval (SOAR) algorithm (Sayer et al., 2012a) at a horizontal resolution of $\sim 13.5 \,\mathrm{km}$. Here, SeaWiFS v004 Level 3 AOD550 gridded data are used at a spatial resolution of $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ following (Hsu et al., 2012). Validation studies of Sea-WIFS's AOD550 with ground-based observations from AERONET and data from other satellite sensors indicate an absolute expected error of $\pm 0.03 \pm 15\%$ over ocean and $\pm 0.05 + \pm 20\%$ over land at 550 nm (Sayer et al., 2012a, b).

In addition to the satellite observations, AOD from station observations are also used in this work. The AOD observations have been obtained from the global AErosol RObotic NETwork (AERONET Holben et al., 1998; Dubovik et al., 2000). The solar extinction measurements are used to calculate the aerosol optical depth with an accuracy of about



Figure 1. Scatter plot of observed (AERONET) and simulated daily AOD. The model is sampled in the same temporal/spatial location of $_{\rm 425}$ the observations. The colored lines represent the linear fit, with the coefficient listed in the figure. BLUE: model results from simulation FIXEMI. RED: model results from simulation BASE.

 ± 0.01 AOD units (Eck et al., 1999). The cloud-screened quality-assured Level 2 AOD data used in this work were obtained from the website http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/ 380 combined data access new, and contain AOD daily averages. Furthermore, the AOD at 550 nm was calculated from 430 the AOD values reported at 870 and 440 nm by using the information of the Ångström exponent (see Eqs. 1 and 2, de Meij et al., 2012b).

385

Model evaluation and AERONET observations com-4 parison

The model AOD product was extensively evaluated already in a number of publications (Pringle et al., 2010a; de Meij 440 et al., 2012b; Pozzer et al., 2012; Astitha et al., 2012). Impor-390 tant for this work is the study of Pringle et al. (2010b), which used the same water uptake coefficients used here. Pringle et al. (2010b) has shown that there is both qualitatively and quantitatively good agreement of aerosol hygroscopicity 445

- (and hence water uptake) with measurement campaigns all 395 over the world. Pringle et al. (2010b) included comparison of the modeled values with surface concentrations and vertical profiles (available from several campaigns). This agreement provides us with confidence that the representation of wa- 450
- ter uptake of the aerosol particles in the model is sufficiently 400 credible to draw conclusions from temporal trends.

In this section we therefore compare briefly the model with AERONET observations, to confirm the previous findings. Additionally, in this work the AERONET data is purely used 455

for evaluation of the model performance, but no AOD trends 405 will be estimated and compared with the model as this was already performed in de Meij et al. (2012b).

As shown in Fig. 1, the model reproduces the AOD observed by AERONET within a factor of two in 73.5% and 72.9% of the cases for BASE and FIXEMI, respectively. When the observed AODs are compared to the simulated AODs, it is interesting to notice that both simulations show similar linear fit: the correlation coefficients (0.57 and 0.52 for BASE and FIXEMI, respectively) and the bias (0.02 and 0.03 for BASE and FIXEMI, respectively) are very similar while the slope is almost equal to 1 for both simulations. This implies that the average global observed and calculated AODs do not vary significantly during 2001 and 2010, which corroborates the study by Chin et al. (2014). It also indicates that both simulations are in general able to reproduce the overall maxima/minima, although BASE shows slightly better agreement for correlation and slope of the linear fit. However, large differences are found in the AOD trends on a regional scale between BASE and FIXEMI and this will be further discussed in the next sections.

5 **AOD trends**

435

5.1 AOD trends – geographic patterns

In Fig. 2 the global trends observed by MODIS, MISR and SeaWIFS instruments are shown. A simple linear model has been applied to estimate the trends following the work of (Weatherhead et al., 1998): $Y_t = \mu + \omega X_t + S_t + N_t$, where Y_t, μ, ω and X_t denote the monthly time series, the offset, the trend (i.e. AOD yr⁻¹), and the years of the time series ($X_t =$ t/12 with t as month), respectively. S_t is a seasonal component representing the effect of the seasonal variations in the trends estimates, while N_t is the residual term of the interpolation. The seasonal component we have taken into account is based on the Fourier series as proposed by Weatherhead et al. (1998, 2002) with: $S_t = \sum_{j=1}^{4} [\beta_{1,j} \sin(2\pi j t/12) + \beta_{1,j} \sin(2\pi j t/12)]$ $\beta_{2,j} \cos(2\pi j t/12)$]. A statistically significant trend at 95 % confidence level is defined by the absolute value of the ratio of the trend to its standard deviation which is larger than two (Tiao et al., 1990; Weatherhead et al., 1998), with the standard deviation of the trend estimated again with the approach of Weatherhead et al. (1998, Eq. 2). Finally, no trends was calculated for location with less than 6 data points for each year between 2001 and 2010 (grey aread in Fig. 2).

It is clear that trends from monthly averages level 3 data should be taken with cautions, as the monthly averages are calculated independently of the representativeness of the data sampled. The sampling of actual retrievals is highly nonuniform in space and time, even at the resolution of the products used in this work (Kahn et al., 2009) Therefore, especially in the case of location with very high frequency of cloud covered sky, the monthly averages could be calculated based on the value of few observations for each month.

As already shown by de Meij et al. (2012a), "significantly negative trends are present over Europe and North America,







Figure 2. Linear trend between 2001-2010 in 10^{-2} year⁻¹ from different satellite instrument. The grey area means that less than 6 observations for each year were present, while white area means that not retrieval is present. Locations with trend significant at the 95% level are covered with the + symbol.

whereas over South and East Asia they are mostly positive".

- 460 More specifically, both MISR and SeaWIFS show a signifi- 515 cant decrease over North America and partially Europe, being smaller than what observed by MODIS. Strong significant increase is instead visible over Saudi Arabia for both MISR and SeaWIFS. MODIS presents a much better spatial
- 465 coverage (due to the almost daily global coverage), show- 520 ing a strong reduction of the AOD in the decade 2001–2010 especially over East-USA, Europe and biomass burning regions, such as Central Africa and South East Asia. South Arabia shows a significant increasing trend, although this is
- 470 not shown directly by MODIS, as the standard Dark Target 525 product does not present aerosol information over bright surfaces, such as deserts and ice. Although the Deep Blue algorithm corrected this deficiency, no data is available after the year 2007 in the version of the MODIS data used in this
- 475 work; therefore no trends can be calculated from the MODIS Deep Blue dataset and this product is not used in this work. In Fig. 3 the trends are estimated for both simulations 530 (FIXEMI and BASE), using the same method as for the re-
- mote sensed observations. For both model simulations AOD
 data is sampled at the same co-located time of the observations, thanks to the submodel SORBIT (Sample along satellite ORBIT, Jöckel et al., 2010), following the trajectories 535 of the platform TERRA (on which MODIS and MISR instruments are on board) and OrbView-2 (with the SeaWIFS
 instrument on board).
 - The model present some discrepancies on developing region and over regions strongly influenced by biomass burn- ⁵⁴⁰ ing. On the other side, the sign of the trends is correctly reproduced over Norths America and Europe. The tendencies
- ⁴⁹⁰ over North America and East Europe are consistent with the results of various studies based on trend analysis of satelliteretrieved AOD (e.g. Zhang and Reid, 2010; Hsu et al., 2012; ⁵⁴⁵ Yoon et al., 2014). Since the satellite-retrieved AOD data, which have been used in most of the trend analyses, are
- only available under cloud-free conditions, the trend estimates based on the cloud-free AOD products can be biased due to insufficient retrievals in cloudy seasons (Yoon et al., 550 2014). It must also be underlined that the simulation data is not screened for cloudy conditions, as in the satellite, but rep-
- ⁵⁰⁰ resents aerosol optial properties at all times. However, due to the relative humidity limited aerosol water uptake, a large overestimation of AOD due to the high water available for 555 aerosol growth in cloudy conditions is not expected. Nevertheless, the cloudy conditions contribute to AOD values at
- the upper edge of the extinction probability density function. In the model results a significant increase of AOD both in simulations BASE and FIXEMI can be observed also over 560 the Saudi Arabian peninsula, confirmed by the observations. In addition, a strong significant increase during the decade
- 510 2001–2010 is observed over East Asia, which is however not fully corroborated by the observations. The strong decreasing trend over the Tropical/Southern Africa is not well re- 565 produced by the model.

The model results from simulation data FIXEMI (see Fig. 3, left plots) do not show significant trends both in Europe and Northern USA, while they still do present significant trends both in Northern Africa (Sahara Desert) and South East Asia.

Discrepancies between simulation BASE and MODIS observations are clearly observed in Central Africa and South America. Nevertheless this is not corroborated by other satellite observations. On contrary, both MISR and SeaWiFS present in this region not enough observations to estimate a trend. This points out the large effect that clouds have on these regions and the possible influence on the remote sensed data. Therefore these regions will not be analyzed in detail, as observational datasets could be contaminated (Yoon et al., 2012).

5.2 AOD regional trends

The global analysis of the AOD trends discussed in the previous section revealed regions of interest where the trends from either satellite instruments or model simulation BASE are significant and require further investigation. The 7 selected regions are Eastern United States (EUS), Western Europe (WE), Sahara desert (SD), Middle East (ME), South Asia (SA), East China (EC), and South East Asia (SEA) (illustrated in Fig. 4). In addition, three more regions have been included for completeness, i.e. the North Hemisphere (NH), South Hemisphere (SH) and the whole Globe (GL).

The regional trend analysis is illustrated with scatter plots that show the comparison between the model and the satellite AOD trends (Fig. 5), each point representing the regional mean trend for the respective regions. Additionally, temporal time series both for observations and model results are included in the electronic supplement of this manuscript (doi:10.5194/acp-0-1-2015-supplement), together with trends estimated for different meteorological parameter particularly relevant.

The two model simulations (FIXEMI, BASE) are equally important to investigate the effect of decadal emission changes during 2001–2010. By comparing the two model simulations, we obtain useful information about the contribution of the emissions to the positive or negative AOD trends. The first evident conclusion is that the trends calculated from the BASE simulation (varying emissions) compare well with the satellite trends in contrast with the FIX-EMI simulation (constant emissions). The correlation coefficients for the BASE-satellite trends range between 0.73 and 0.87, whereas for the FIXEMI the values are significantly lower (0.32–0.41). This result alone gives a first insight on the importance of the emissions variation of the AOD within the time period under analysis.

Focusing on the target regions, over Western Europe (WE), the AOD trends are negative by the model simulations and the satellite retrievals, with BASE being closer to the satellite-based trend. This is related to the influence of the



Figure 3. Linear trend between 2001-2010 in 10^{-2} year⁻¹ for simulations FIXEMI and BASE (left and right column respectively) estimated using the output of the sumodel SORBIT, i.e. at the correct overpass time of the TERRA and OrbView-2 platform.



Figure 4. Regions used in this work.

570

anthropogenic emissions regulation imposed in Western Europe that resulted in a decrease of the atmospheric aerosol load during the decade 2001–2010 (Vestreng et al., 2007, 2009). The same applies to Eastern US (EUS) with results 575

by simulation BASE and observed AOD having a negative

trend. Nevertheless, the model overestimates the negative trend by MISR and SeaWIFS and correlates better with the MODIS retrievals.

A common pattern appears in the AOD trends for the climatically sensitive region of Middle East (ME) by the model



Figure 5. Linear trend between 2001-2010 in %year⁻¹ by regions from model and satellite observations. The trends estimated by observations are on the X-axis, while by simulations on the Y-axis. The letters define the regions. The bars represent the 2σ (i.e. 2-standard deviations) of the trends. TOP: data from MODIS dataset, MIDDLE: data from MISR dataset, BOTTOM: data from SeaWiFS dataset. LEFT: data from simulation FIXEMI, RIGHT: data from simulation BASE.

simulations and satellite data. The positive trends calculated 585 from the observations (the three satellite products agree) are somewhat higher than predicted by the model, which shows a slightly positive trends in both simulations (BASE and FIX-EMI). This means that the variation in the anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions did not affect the AOD trend in 590 this region, (see FIXEMI results) which is mostly affected by desert dust emissions and the dynamic factors that con-

580

trol dust transport and deposition. Moreover, the dust emissions were prescribed off-line in the model, i.e. independent on the wind fields and therefore independent on the meteorological conditions and without any interannual variability. Therefore, the positive trends in this region can be attributed to the decrease in the precipitation and the consequent reduction of wet scavenging of dust particles, phenomena already observed by several authors for the decade 2001–2010 (e.g. Shehadeh and Ananbeh, 2013; Philandras et al., 2011).



Figure 6. Linear trend in %/year for surface precipitation in both simulations BASE and FIXEMI.

645

660

- The latest is confirmed by the precipitation trends calculated from the model results, which is shown in Fig. 6. 650 Clearly the region over the North Africa present a negative precipitation trends, which influences the dust deposition and its transport. Further confirmation is by the Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP), which shows a strong de-
- crease in precipitation over the Middle East during the period 655 2007–2010 (not shown). Finally, despite the increasing AOD trend in the region simulated by the model, this is still lower than what is estimated by the observations, due to (i) the constant emissions which do not include enough variability in
- the source areas (Astitha et al., 2012), and (ii) lower precipitations decrease in the model simulations than the observed one for the period 2007–2010.

The same behavior is found for the Sahara Desert (SD) region where the AOD trends remain unchanged between

- the two model simulations (i.e. implying a meteorological driven trend), which can be attributed to the decrease precipitation over North Africa (see Fig.6). The trends observed by the satellites exhibit a small variation (for MODIS is 665 slightly negative; for MISR and SeaWIFS is slightly positive)
- which can be attributed to the different algorithm assumptions (Kahn et al., 2007, 2009), calibration methods, and differences in the aerosol models used to construct the lookup tables in the retrieval algorithms (Abdou et al., 2005). Never- 670 theless, the difference in the trends is very small considering
 the high total AOD values in this region.
 - For South Asia (SA) and Eastern China (EC), the improved temporal correlation coefficients by the BASE simulations with the satellite trends shows the importance of the 675 variability in the emissions compared to the constant emis-
- sion assumption. The sign of the trend changes from negative (FIXEMI) to positive (BASE) in the two simulations, which is due to the increase emissions of the fast developing countries like China and India. The high positive trends mod-680

eled by simulation BASE over EC deviate from the satellite trends and this can be explained by the influence of desert dust aerosols that is not accurately represented by the model simulations. In fact, the MODIS trends for the entire EC region are slightly negative whereas the sign is positive by both MISR and SeaWIFS (see Fig. 5). This could be attributed to uncertainties in the AOD retrieval, e.g. sensor calibration status, retrieval accuracy, and cloud contamination. In addition, insufficient sampling not reflecting actual data population due to different sampling times, limited orbital periods, and cloud occurrence, which can be serious over polluted and cloudy areas, could be another reason for differences between the trends estimated from satellite observational datasets (see Yoon et al., 2012, 2014).

In South East Asia (SEA) the model (sampled on the TERRA platform overpass) calculated a statistically significant negative trend in FIXEMI $(-1.77 \pm 0.6 \% \text{ yr}^{-1})$ caused by changes in the meteorological conditions. Interestingly, this trend is enhanced in BASE $(-3.03 \pm 1.65 \% \text{ yr}^{-1})$, not significant) due the decrease of biomass burning emissions (Yoon and Pozzer, 2014) during this decade in the region. Therefore, AOD trend in this region seems to be caused both by meteorological and emissions changes during the decade.

Finally, the model present a significant negative trend in the in the North Hemisphere (NH) and globally (GL). These trends are also calculated for MODIS and MISR instruments for the same regions, although they results non significant. Interesting in simulation FIXEMI, no significant trend is detected for the NH, which is emissions driven, while a significant decrease is estimated for the Souther Hemisphere (SH) and globally (GL).

6 Effects of aerosol components in modeled AOD trends

In this section the main causes of positive/negative trends in the regions of interest are analyzed. The trends for each of the regions defined in Sect. 5.2 are decomposed by estimating the AOD trends for the different aerosol components (see Fig. 7 and Table 1): black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), dust (DU), aerosol water (H_2O), sea salt (SS) and water soluble compounds (WASO, i.e. all water soluble inorganic ions). Additionally, in this section, the full model output was used, so that the results are based on the full 10 years model results.

In general, aerosol water content has the largest contribution to the total AOD with the notable exception of desert area where dust dominates and therefore must be considered as the most effective extinction component in the aerosol polluted atmosphere (Gao, 1996). de Meij et al. (2012b) found that over Europe and North America the associated aerosol water contributes around 40-45% to the total AOD.

In the Eastern part of the US and in the Western part of Europe, a decrease in the WASO components (i.e. ammonium, nitrate and sulphate) is found during the decade 2001–2010. Additionally, since the aerosol water uptake efficiency



Figure 7. AOD changes between 2001-2010 for different regions and species calculated from model results of simulation BASE. The error bars depict the 2 standard deviation.

Table 1. Regional trend estimates of BASE-based AODs, i.e. total, black carbon (BC), organic carbon (OC), dust (DU), water soluble (WASO), H₂O, and sea salt AODs, in 10^{-3} year⁻¹. The 1 standard deviation is also shown. Bold type indicates the significant trend within 95 % of confidence levels.

Region	total	BC	OC	DU	WASO	H_2O	SS
(a) EUS	-5.542 ± 0.489	-0.034 ± 0.002	-0.033 ± 0.033	-0.004 ± 0.019	-1.337 ± 0.112	-4.111 ± 0.434	-0.022 ± 0.016
(b) WE	-4.674 ± 0.619	-0.042 ± 0.003	-0.098 ± 0.016	-0.065 ± 0.282	-0.923 ± 0.144	-3.498 ± 0.408	-0.046 ± 0.011
(c) SD	$+1.299 \pm 0.611$	-0.000 ± 0.002	-0.018 ± 0.016	$+1.927 \pm 0.609$	-0.272 ± 0.88	-0.325 ± 0.199	-0.009 ± 0.006
(d) ME	$+0.587 \pm 0.484$	$+0.009 \pm 0.001$	-0.025 ± 0.007	$+0.754 \pm 0.316$	-0.079 ± 0.070	-0.070 ± 0.260	-0.001 ± 0.001
(e) SA	$+1.524 \pm 0.876$	$+0.011 \pm 0.006$	$+0.001 \pm 0.040$	-0.489 ± 0.263	$+0.818 \pm 0.209$	$+1.236 \pm 0.564$	-0.054 ± 0.023
(f) EC	$+5.403 \pm 1.749$	$+0.099 \pm 0.017$	$+0.012 \pm 0.121$	-0.410 ± 0.188	$+0.897 \pm 0.317$	$+4.850 \pm 1.488$	-0.046 ± 0.015
(g) SEA	-2.753 ± 1.474	-0.050 ± 0.037	-0.363 ± 0.301	-0.027 ± 0.012	-0.300 ± 0.197	-1.907 ± 0.911	-0.104 ± 0.035
(h) NH	-0.798 ± 0.247	-0.005 ± 0.002	-0.062 ± 0.030	-0.018 ± 0.037	-0.126 ± 0.038	-0.565 ± 0.166	-0.020 ± 0.004
(i) SH	-0.164 ± 0.139	$+0.001 \pm 0.003$	$+0.009 \pm 0.033$	-0.017 ± 0.005	$+0.013 \pm 0.029$	-0.143 ± 0.075	-0.027 ± 0.004
(j) GL	-0.481 ± 0.140	-0.002 ± 0.002	-0.026 ± 0.023	-0.018 ± 0.017	-0.056 ± 0.022	-0.354 ± 0.094	-0.024 ± 0.002

and consequently the aerosol water content is decreased by 690 the decrease presence of the WASO components, the negative AOD trends are further amplified. This highlights the fact that the observed AOD trends depend on the reduction in the emissions (van Vuuren et al., 2007; Vestreng et al., 2007, 2009), but also on the nature of the aerosols. The WASO-695 H₂O relationship was analyzed by de Meij et al. (2012b), both for the EMAC model and the observations for different regions. They showed that, in Europe, the WASO aerosol

685

contributes about 37% to the yearly mean and the associated aerosol water 45%, respectively. In North America the inorganic part contributes 30 to 40% to the total AOD and the associated aerosol water 40%.

Over SD and the ME the positive AOD trends are mainly caused by an increase of the natural dust. The WASO components reduce the total AOD trends in these regions due to the lower anthropogenic aerosol transport from Europe into this region. This results in a lower aerosol water content which also reduces the total AOD trends. The increase of the natural

- dust component to the total AOD does not only compensate for this reduction but also exceeds it, causing, as mentioned 750 before, an overall positive trend over the desert-covered regions. The decrease of WASO components in these regions, potentially increases the aerosol lifetime due to the miss-
- ⁷⁰⁵ ing coating effect (e.g. sulphate particles over dust) which makes the particles less susceptible for wet removal. This ⁷⁵⁵ effect plays only a minor role here, as the AOD trends in the FIXEMI and BASE simulations are similar, implying that the trends are strongly dominated by meteorological factors,
 ⁷¹⁰ i.e. the decrease in precipitation as described in Sect.5.2 and
- 710

shown in Fig. 6.

The simulation with the BASE scenario for SA and EC (see Fig. 7) reveals a reverse situation. The highly soluble WASO components and the water content exhibit a posi-

- tive AOD trend while the dust component exhibits a negative trend with the overall AOD trend being positive in these two 765 regions. The trends of the WASO components are very similar for the two regions while the aerosol water content trend over East China is nearly three times that of South Asia. This
- ⁷²⁰ is due to the higher relative humidity over East China with respect to South Asia ($\sim 73\%$ and $\sim 59\%$, respectively, for ⁷⁷⁰ the 2001-2010 average) which causes a more effective water uptake due to the exponential relationship between water uptake and relative humidity.
- Finally, over SEA, the negative AOD trends are due to the decrease of all aerosol components (see Table 1). Dust 775 and sea salt, however, show a significant decrease, implying trends driven by meteorological conditions. In particular, this trend is enhanced by the decrease of the aerosol water con-
- tent (also significant), which is mostly due to the decrease of the highly soluble sea salt. Nevertheless, the BC/OC de- ⁷⁸⁰ crease (due to biomass burning decrease in the region during the 2001–2010 decade) enhances the negative trends by $\approx 0.4 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$. Therefore the total trend in this region ($\approx 2.75 \times 10^{-3} \, \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$) is a combination of a meteorological effect and a decrease of the biomass burning emissions. How- ⁷⁸⁵
- ever, it is unclear what meteorological parameter is driving such trend in the region. In fact, the only significant detectable trends in the simulation is a decrease of wind speed at the surface (see doi:10.5194/acp-0-1-2015-supplement),
- ⁷⁴⁰ at the surface (see doi:10.5194/acp-0-1-2015-supplement), which could possibly decreases the transport of Sea Salt from ⁷⁹⁰ the open ocean to this region and consequently the water contribution to the AOD, being Sea Salt highly hydrophilic.

Finally, the NH presents a decreasing significant trend $(-2.720 \pm 0.245 \pm 10^{-3} - 1).7207 + 0.111$

 $(-0.798 \pm 0.247 \, 10^{-3} \mathrm{yr}^{-1})$ 70% of which caused by the water uptake decrease. Interesting, on a global scale (GL, see ⁷⁹⁵ Fig. 7 and Table 1) the same proportion is kept, with water contributing by 73% to the total (significant) AOD decrease.

7 Conclusions

760

800

In this work, the AOD was simulated for a period of 10 years (2001–2010) with the EMAC Chemistry General Circulation Model. AODs from AERONET stations were used to evaluate the model results prior to the calculation of the global and regional AOD trends. Satellite retrievals from MODIS, Sea-WiFS and MISR have subsequently been used to estimate the AOD trends and compare them with the simulated results. The trends in the aerosol extinction are qualitatively reproduced over North America, East Europe North Africa and Middle East, while some discrepancies are found over other regions. Seven regions of interest are selected to conduct a regional analysis, based on the strength of the signal from both model and satellite AOD trends.

The main objective of this work is to identify the causes of the decadal AOD trends for the designated regions of interest by decomposing the AOD trends into its aerosol components trends. Therefore, two simulations have been performed to address the research objective; one with changing and one with constant emissions, using identical same atmospheric dynamics. The differences between the two simulations show that the observed AOD increases in the model over Middle East and North Africa are due to meteorological effects as a strong increase in the dust component of the AOD is found in both simulations for those regions. However, these results are obtained without any online dust emissions calculation, but rather with fixed prescribed monthly emissions. Therefore this AOD increase could be enhance or dampened if interactive dust emissions were calculated. Over Eastern US and Western Europe, significant decreasing trends are deduced from the model simulation only when realistic, decreasing emissions in the decade 2001-2010 are included. This indicates the strong influence of anthropogenic emissions on aerosol load and the related AOD. Consequently, it can be confirmed that in Eastern US and Western Europe the AOD decrease is purely driven by emissions reduction policies.

For South Asia and East China the AOD trend is positive both from model results and satellite observations. The differences between the two model simulations identify that the AOD is increasing due to a combination of changes in the anthropogenic emissions and the meteorological conditions in the denoted areas. Finally, for South-East Asia, the decreasing trends are due to the decrease in the biomass burning emissions and the meteorological conditions, where the purely meteorological trend contributes approximately 50 % of the total AOD trend. The role of natural aerosols (i.e. desert dust) has proven to be significant for Middle East and North Africa and non-negligible for China and South Asia. Future work in this area would include the online production of dust and sea salt emissions during the simulation time in order to identify the effects of meteorology in the dust component AOD by introducing emission variability for both sea salt and dust in the model. Even though the existing parameterizations for emissions of natural species hide a number

of uncertainties, the comparison with the AOD trends that results from off-line prescribed inventories will identify the relative importance of the two methods (e.g. if the AOD trend from dust changes significantly). Finally, the use of newly developed dataset of remote sensed observations (such as ⁸⁶⁰ the MODIS collection 6), could improve the reliability and agreements of these dataset and decrease artificial trends due

to calibration (Lyapustin, et al., 2014).

Acknowledgements. The authors thank A. Kerkweg and P. Jöckel for their valuable work on the EMAC source codes, and M. Penning de Vries for her suggestions. The authors wish also to acknowledge the use of the Ferret program for analysis and graphics in this ⁸⁷⁰

865

880

- paper. Ferret is a product of NOAA's Pacific Marine Environmental Laboratory (information is available at http://www.ferret.noaa.gov). The work of A. K. Georgoulias received funding from the European Social Fund (ESF) and national resources under the operational programme Education and Lifelong Learning (EdLL) within 875
- the framework of the Action "Supporting Postdoctoral Researchers" (QUADIEEMS project) and from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013)/ERC grant agreement no. 226144 (C8 project).

References

- Abdou, W. A., Diner, D. J., Martonchik, J. V., Bruegge, C. J., 885 Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Crean, K. A., Remer, L. A., and Holben, B.: Comparison of coincident Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol optical depths over land and ocean scenes containing
- Aerosol Robotic Network sites, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D10S07, 890
 doi:10.1029/2004JD004693, 2005.
 - Andreae, M. O., Jones, C. D., and Cox, P. M.: Strong present-day aerosol cooling implies a hot future, Nature, 435, 1187–1190, 2005.
- Astitha, M., Lelieveld, J., Abdel Kader, M., Pozzer, A., and 895 de Meij, A.: Parameterization of dust emissions in the global atmospheric chemistry-climate model EMAC: impact of nudging and soil properties, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11057–11083, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11057-2012, 2012.
- E40 Cao, J., Garbaccio, R., and Ho, M. S.: China's 11th five-year plan 900 and the environment: reducing SO₂ emissions, Review of Environmental Economics and Policy, 3, 231–250, 2009.
 - Chin, M., Diehl, T., Tan, Q., Prospero, J. M., Kahn, R. A., Remer, L. A., Yu, H., Sayer, A. M., Bian, H., Geogdzhayev, I. V.,
- ⁸⁴⁵ Holben, B. N., Howell, S. G., Huebert, B. J., Hsu, N. C., 905 Kim, D., Kucsera, T. L., Levy, R. C., Mishchenko, M. I., Pan, X., Quinn, P. K., Schuster, G. L., Streets, D. G., Strode, S. A., Torres, O., and Zhao, X.-P.: Multi-decadal aerosol variations from 1980 to 2009: a perspective from observations and a global
- model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3657–3690, doi:10.5194/acp-910 14-3657-2014, 2014.
- Chu, D., Kaufman, Y., Ichoku, C., Remer, L., Tanré, D., and Holben, B.: Validation of MODIS aerosol optical depth retrieval over land, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, doi:10.1029/2001GL013205, 2002.

- Chylek, P., Lohmann, U., Dubey, M., Mishchenko, M., Kahn, R., and Ohmura, A.: Limits on climate sensitivity derived from recent satellite and surface observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D24S04, doi:10.1029/2007JD008740, 2007.
- de Meij, A., Pozzer, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Trend analysis in aerosol optical depths and pollutant emission estimates between 2000 and 2009, Atmos. Environ., 51, 75–85, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.01.059, 2012a.
- de Meij, A., Pozzer, A., Pringle, K., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: EMAC model evaluation and analysis of atmospheric aerosol properties and distribution with a focus on the Mediterranean region, Atmos. Res., 114–115, 38–69, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.014, 2012b.
- Diner, D., Beckert, J., Reilly, T., Bruegge, C., Conel, J., Kahn, R., Martonchik, J., Ackerman, T., Davies, R., Gerstl, S., Gordon, H., Muller, J.-P., Myneni, R., Sellers, P., Pinty, B., and Verstraete, M.: Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR) instrument description and experiment overview, IEEE Geoscience and Remote Sensing Society, 36, 3113–3136, doi:10.1109/36.700992, 1998.
- Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Holben, B., King, M., Kaufman, Y., Eck, T., and Slutsker, I.: Accuracy assessments of aerosol optical properties retrieved from Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) Sun and sky radiance measurements, J. Geophys. Res, 105, 9791–9806, 2000.
- Eck, T., Holben, B., Reid, J., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., O'Neill, N., Slutsker, I., and Kinne, S.: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning, urban, and desert dust aerosols, J. Geophys. Res, 104, 31333–31349, 1999.
- Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient thermodynamic equilibrium model for K⁺, Ca²⁺, Mg²⁺, NH₄⁺, Na⁺, SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, Cl⁻, H₂O aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4639–4659, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007, 2007.
- Gao, B.-C.: NDWI a normalized difference water index for remote sensing of vegetation liquid water from space, Remote Sens. Environ., 58, 257–266, 1996.
- Granier, C., Bessagnet, B., Bond, T., D'Angiola, A., van der Gon, H. D., Frost, G. J., Heil, A., Kaiser, J. W., Kinne, S., Klimont, Z., Kloster, S., Lamarque, J.-F., Liousse, C., Masui, T., Meleux, F., Mieville, A., Ohara, T., Raut, J.-C., Riahi, K., Schultz, M.G., Smith, S. J., Thompson, A., van Aardenne, J., van der Werf, G.R., and van Vuuren D. P.: Evolution of anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of air pollutants at global and regional scales during the 1980–2010 period, Climatic Change, 109, 163–190, 2011.
- Hinkelman, L. M., Stackhouse, P. W., Wielicki, B. A., Zhang, T., and Wilson, S. R.: Surface insolation trends from satellite and ground measurements: comparisons and challenges, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D00D20, doi:10.1029/2008JD011004, 2009.
- Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanré, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET: federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, Remote Sens. Environ., 66, 1–16, 1998.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S.-C., King, M. D., and Herman, J. R.: Aerosol properties over bright-reflecting source regions, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 42, 557–569, 2004.

Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S.-C., King, M. D., and Herman, J. R.: Deep blue

P15 retrievals of Asian aerosol properties during ACE-Asia, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 3180–3195, 2006.

Hsu, N. C., Gautam, R., Sayer, A. M., Bettenhausen, C., Li, C., 975 Jeong, M. J., Tsay, S.-C., and Holben, B. N.: Global and regional trends of aerosol optical depth over land and ocean using SeaW-

⁹²⁰ iFS measurements from 1997 to 2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8037–8053, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8037-2012, 2012.

 Jeuken, A., Siegmund, P., Heijboer, L., Feichter, J., and Bengts- 980 son, L.: On the potential assimilating meteorological analyses in a global model for the purpose of model validation, J. Geophys.
 Res., 101, 16939–16950, 1996.

- Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Brühl, C., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Hoor, P., Kerkweg, A., Lawrence, M. G., 985 Sander, R., Steil, B., Stiller, G., Tanarhte, M., Taraborrelli, D., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: The atmospheric chem-
- istry general circulation model ECHAM5/MESSy1: consistent simulation of ozone from the surface to the mesosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5067–5104, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5067-2006, 990 2006.

Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H.,

- Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., and Kern, B.: Development cycle
 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), Geosci.
 Model Dev., 3, 717–752, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-717-2010, 2010.
- Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Martonchik, J. V., Diner, D. J., Crean, K. A., and Holben, B.: Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) global aerosol optical depth validation based on 2 years of coincident Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 110, 148–227,1000 doi:10.1029/2004JD004706, 2005.

Kahn, R. A., Garay, M. J., Nelson, D. L., Yau, K. K., Bull, M. A.,

Gaitley, B. J., Martonchik, J. V., and Levy, R. C.: Satellitederived aerosol optical depth over dark water from MISR and MODIS: comparisons with AERONET and implications for cli-1005 matological studies, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D18205, doi:10.1029/2006JD008175, 2007.

⁹⁵⁰ Kahn, R. A., Nelson, D. L., Garay, M. J., Levy, R. C., Bull, M. A., Diner, D. J., Martonchik, J. V., Paradise, S. R., Hansen, E. G., and Remer, L. A.: MISR aerosol product attributes and statistical¹⁰¹⁰ comparisons with MODIS, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 47, 4095– 4114, 2009.

⁹⁵⁵ Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Garay, M., Diner, D. J., Eck, T. A. S., and Holben, B.: Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) global aerosol optical depth validation based on 2 years of co-1015 incident Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 115, 148–227, doi:10.1029/2010JD014601, 2010.

Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Remer, L. A., Vermote, E. F., Chu, A., and Holben, B. N.: Multiangle Imaging Spectro-1020 radiometer (MISR) global aerosol optical depth validation based on 2 years of coincident Aerosol Robotic Network

- 965 (AERONET) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 17051–17068, doi:10.1029/96JD03988, 1997.
 - Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., and Boucher, O.: A satellite view of₁₀₂₅ aerosols in the climate system, Nature, 419, 215–223, 2002.

Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and
 Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDImentation in the Modu-

1030

lar Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4617–4632, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4617-2006, 2006a.

- Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical note: Implementation of prescribed (OFFLEM), calculated (ON-LEM), and pseudo-emissions (TNUDGE) of chemical species in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3603–3609, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3603-2006, 2006b.
- Kishcha, P., Starobinets, B., Kalashnikova, O., Long, C. N., and Alpert, P.: Variations of meridional aerosol distribution and solar dimming, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D00D14, doi:10.1029/2008JD010975, 2009.
- Klingmüller, K., Steil, B., Brühl, C., Tost, H., and Lelieveld, J.: Sensitivity of aerosol radiative effects to different mixing assumptions in the AEROPT 1.0 submodel of the EMAC atmosphericchemistry–climate model, Geosci. Model Dev., 7, 2503-2516, doi:10.5194/gmd-7-2503-2014, 2014.
- Lamarque, J., Kyle, G., Meinshausen, M., Riahi, K., Smith, S., van Vuuren, D., Conley, A., and Vitt, F.: Global and regional evolution of short-lived radiatively-active gases and aerosols in the representative concentration pathways, Climatic Change, 109, 191–212, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0155-0, 2011.
- Lauer, A., Eyring, V., Hendricks, J., Jöckel, P., and Lohmann, U.: Global model simulations of the impact of ocean-going ships on aerosols, clouds, and the radiation budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5061–5079, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5061-2007, 2007.
- Lelieveld, J., Barlas, C., Giannadaki, D., and Pozzer, A.: Model calculated global, regional and megacity premature mortality due to air pollution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7023–7037, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7023-2013, 2013.
- Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Mattoo, S., Ichoku, C., Kahn, R., and Eck, T. F.: Global evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10399–10420, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10399-2010, 2010.
- Long, C. N., Dutton, E. G., Augustine, J., Wiscombe, W., Wild, M., McFarlane, S. A., and Flynn, C. J.: Significant decadal brightening of downwelling shortwave in the continental United States, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D00D06, doi:10.1029/2008JD011263, 2009.
- Lyapustin, A., Wang, Y., Xiong, X., Meister, G., Platnick, S., Levy, R., Franz, B., Korkin, S., Hilker, T., Tucker, J., Hall, F., Sellers, P., Wu, A., and Angal, A.: Scientific impact of MODIS C5 calibration degradation and C6+ improvements, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 7, 4353-4365, doi:10.5194/amt-7-4353-2014, 2014.
- Lu, Z., Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Wang, S., Carmichael, G. R., Cheng, Y. F., Wei, C., Chin, M., Diehl, T., and Tan, Q.: Sulfur dioxide emissions in China and sulfur trends in East Asia since 2000, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6311–6331, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6311-2010, 2010.
- Meinshausen, M., Smith, S., Calvin, K., Daniel, J., Kainuma, M., Lamarque, J., Matsumoto, K., Montzka, S., Raper, S., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Velders, G., and van Vuuren, D.: The RCP greenhouse gas concentrations and their extensions from 1765 to 2300, Climatic Change, 109, 213–241, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0156z, 2011.
- Mishchenko, M. I. and Geogdzhayev, I. V.: Satellite remote sensing reveals regional tropospheric aerosol trends, Opt. Express, 15, 7423–7438, 2007.
- Norris, J. R. and Wild, M.: Trends in aerosol radiative effects over China and Japan inferred from observed cloud cover, solar "dim-

ming", and solar "brightening", J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114,1090 D00D15, doi:10.1029/2008JD011378, 2009.

- Ohmura, A.: Observed decadal variations in surface solar radiation and their causes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D00D05, doi:10.1029/2008JD011290, 2009.
- Petters, M. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: A single parameter repre-1095 sentation of hygroscopic growth and cloud condensation nucleus activity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 1961-1971, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1961-2007, 2007

- Philandras, C. M., Nastos, P. T., Kapsomenakis, J., Douvis, K. C., Tselioudis, G., and Zerefos, C. S.: Long term precipitation trends¹¹⁰⁰ and variability within the Mediterranean region, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 11, 3235–3250, doi:10.5194/nhess-11-3235-2011, 2011.
- Pinker, R., Zhang, B., and Dutton, E.: Do satellites detect trends in surface solar radiation?, Science, 308, 850–854, 2005.
- Pozzer, A., Jöckel, P., Sander, R., Williams, J., Ganzeveld, L., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical Note: The MESSy-submodel AIRSEA calculating the air-sea exchange of chemical species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5435–5444, doi:10.5194/acp-6-5435-2006,
 - 2006. 1110 Pozzer, A., Jöckel, P., Tost, H., Sander, R., Ganzeveld, L., Kerkweg, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Simulating organic species with the global atmospheric chemistry general circulation model
- ECHAM5/MESSy1: a comparison of model results with observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2527–2550, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1115 2527-2007, 2007.
 - Pozzer, A., de Meij, A., Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Doering, U. M., van Aardenne, J., and Lelieveld, J.: Distributions and regional
- ¹⁰⁶⁰ budgets of aerosols and their precursors simulated with the EMAC chemistry-climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 961–₁₁₂₀ 987, doi:10.5194/acp-12-961-2012, 2012.
 - Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Message, S., Steil, B., Giannadaki, D., Nenes, A., Fountoukis, C., Stier, P., Vignati, E., and Lelieveld, J.:
- Description and evaluation of GMXe: a new aerosol submodel for global simulations (v1), Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 391–412,1125 doi:10.5194/gmd-3-391-2010, 2010a.
 - Pringle, K. J., Tost, H., Pozzer, A., Pöschl, U., and Lelieveld, J.: Global distribution of the effective aerosol hygroscopicity pa-
- rameter for CCN activation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5241– 5255, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5241-2010, 2010b.
 Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P., Kiehl, J., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols,
 - climate, and the hydrological cycle, Science, 294, 5549, 2119–2124, doi:10.1126/science.1064034, 2001a.
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Lelieveld, J., Mitra, A. P., Althausen, D., Anderson, J., Andreae, M. O., Cantrell, W., Cass, G. R.,1135 Chung, C. E., Clarke, A. D., Coakley, J. A., Collins, W. D., Conant, W. C., Dulac, F., Heintzenberg, J., Heymsfield, A. J., Holben, B., Howell, S., Hudson, J., Jayaraman, A., Kiehl, J. T.,
- Krishnamurti, T. N., Lubin, D., McFarquhar, G., Novakov, T.,
 Ogren, J. A., Podgorny, I. A., Prather, K., Priestley, K., Prospero,1140
 J. M., Quinn, P. K., Rajeev, K., Rasch, P., Rupert, S., Sadourny,
 R., Satheesh, S. K., Shaw, G. E., Sheridan, P., and Valero, F. P.
 J., Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Mattoo,
- ¹⁰⁸⁵ S., Levy, R., Chu, D. A., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., and Ahmad, Z.: Indian Ocean Experi-1145 ment: an integrated analysis of the climate forcing and effects of the great Indo-Asian haze, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 28371– 28398, 2001b.

- Remer, L., Kleidman, R., Levy, R., Kaufman, Y., Tanré, D., Mattoo, S., Martins, J., Ichoku, C., Koren, I., Yu, H., and Holben, B.: Global aerosol climatology from the MODIS satellite sensors, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14S07, doi:10.1029/2007JD009661, 2008.
- Remer, L. A., Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Ichoku, C., Mattoo, S., Levy, R., Chu, D. A., Holben, B., Dubovik, O., Smirnov, A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., and Ahmad, Z.: Validation of MODIS aerosol retrieval over ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, doi:10.1029/2001GL013204, 2002.
- Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanré, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D. A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., Ichoku, C., Levy, R. C., Kleidman, R. G., Eck, T. F., Vermote, E., and Holben, B. N.: The MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation., J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947–973, doi:10.1175/JAS3385.1, 2005.
- Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, J. Climate, 19, 3771– 3791, 2006.
- Sander, R., Kerkweg, A., Jöckel, P., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: The new comprehensive atmospheric chemistry module MECCA, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 445–450, doi:10.5194/acp-5-445-2005, 2005.
- Sander, R., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., Harder, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Kubistin, D., Regelin, E., Riede, H., Sandu, A., Taraborrelli, D., Tost, H., and Xie, Z.-Q.: The atmospheric chemistry box model CAABA/MECCA-3.0, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 373–380, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-373-2011, 2011.
- Sayer, A., Hsu, N., Bettenhausen, C., Ahmad, Z., Holben, B., Smirnov, A., Thomas, G., and Zhang, J.: SeaWiFS Ocean Aerosol Retrieval (SOAR): algorithm, validation, and comparison with other data sets, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D03206, doi:10.1029/2011JD016599, 2012a.
- Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., Jeong, M.-J., Holben, B. N., and Zhang, J.: Global and regional evaluation of overland spectral aerosol optical depth retrievals from SeaWiFS, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1761–1778, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1761-2012, 2012b.
- Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C. and Jeong, M.-J.: Validation and uncertainty estimates for MODIS Collection 6 "Deep Blue" aerosol data , J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 7864–7872, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50600, 2013.
- Shehadeh, N. and Ananbeh, S.: The impact of climate change upon winter rainfall, Am. J. Environ. Sci., 9, 73–81, 2013.
- Stokes, R. H. and Robinson, R. A.: Interactions in aqueous nonelectrolyte solutions, I. solute-solvent equilibria, J. Phys. Chem., 70, 2126–2131, doi:10.1021/j100879a010, 1966.
- Tanré, D., Kaufman, Y. J., Herman, M., and Mattoo, S.: Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) global aerosol optical depth validation based on 2 years of coincident Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 16971– 16988, doi:10.1029/96JD03437, 1997.
- Tiao, G., Reinsel, G., Xu, D., Pedrick, J., Zhu, X., Miller, A., DeLuisi, J., Mateer, C., and Wuebbles, D.: Effects of autocorrelation and temporal sampling schemes on estimates of trend and spatial correlation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 95, 20507–20517, 1990.
- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Global cloud and precipitation chem-

istry and wet deposition: tropospheric model simulations with ECHAM5/MESSy1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2733-2757, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2733-2007, 2007.

- van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., 1210 Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the
- contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and 1155 peat fires (1997-2009), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11707-11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.
- van Vuuren, D. P., Den Elzen, M. G., Lucas, P. L., Eickhout, B.,1215 Strengers, B. J., van Ruijven, B., Wonink, S., and van Houdt, R.: Stabilizing greenhouse gas concentrations at low levels: an as-1160 sessment of reduction strategies and costs, Climatic Change, 81, 119-159, 2007.
 - van Vuuren, D., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., Thomson, A., Hibbard, K., Hurtt, G., Kram, T., Krey, V., Lamarque, J.-
- F., Masui, T., Meinshausen, M., Nakicenovic, N., Smith, S., 1165 and Rose, S.: The representative concentration pathways: an overview, Climatic Change, 109, 5-31, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0148-z, 2011a.
 - van Vuuren, D., Edmonds, J., Kainuma, M., Riahi, K., and
- Weyant, J.: A special issue on the RCPs, Climatic Change, 109, 1170 1-4, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0157-y, 2011b.
- Vestreng, V., Myhre, G., Fagerli, H., Reis, S., and Tarrasón, L.: Twenty-five years of continuous sulphur dioxide emission reduction in Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 3663-3681, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3663-2007, 2007. 1175
- Vestreng, V., Ntziachristos, L., Semb, A., Reis, S., Isaksen, I. S. A., and Tarrasón, L.: Evolution of NO_x emissions in Europe with focus on road transport control measures, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1503-1520, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1503-2009, 2009.
- Weatherhead, E. C., Reinsel, G. C., Tiao, G. C., Meng, X.-L., Choi, 1180 D., Cheang, W.-K., Keller, T., DeLuisi, J., Wuebbles, D. J., Kerr, J. B., Miller, A. J., Oltmans, S. J., and Frederick, J. E.: Factors affecting the detection of trends: statistical considerations and applications to environmental data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 17149-17161, 1998. 1185
 - Weatherhead, E. C., Stevermer, A. J., and Schwartz, B. E.: Detecting environmental changes and trends, Phys. Chem. Earth, 27, 399-403, 2002.
- Wild, M.: Introduction to special section on global dimming and brightening, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D00D00, 1190 doi:10.1029/2009JD012841, 2010.
- Wild, M., Gilgen, H., Roesch, A., Ohmura, A., Long, C. N., Dutton, E. G., Forgan, B., Kallis, A., Russak, V., and Tsvetkov, A.: From dimming to brightening: decadal changes in solar radiation at Earth's surface, Science, 308, 847-850, 2005. 1195
- Yoon, J., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Kokhanovsky, A. A., Vountas, M., and Burrows, J. P.: Trend analysis of aerosol optical thickness and Ångström exponent derived from the global AERONET spectral observations, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1271-1299, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1271-2012, 2012. 1200
- Yoon, J., Burrows, J. P., Vountas, M., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Chang, D. Y., Richter, A., and Hilboll, A.: Changes in atmospheric aerosol loading retrieved from space-based measurements during the past decade, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 6881-6902, doi:10.5194/acp-14-6881-2014, 2014a.

- Yoon, J. and Pozzer, A.: Model-simulated trend of surface carbon monoxide for the 2001-2010 decade, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 10465-10482, doi:10.5194/acp-14-10465-2014, 2014b.
- Zhang, J. and Reid, J. S.: A decadal regional and global trend analysis of the aerosol optical depth using a data-assimilation grade over-water MODIS and Level 2 MISR aerosol products, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10949-10963, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10949-2010, 2010.
- Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont, Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131-5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009.

1150