Identifying fire plumes in the Arctic with tropospheric FTIR measurements and transport models

- 3 C. Viatte^{1,*}, K. Strong¹, J. Hannigan², E. Nussbaumer², L. K. Emmons², S. Conway¹, C. Paton-Walsh³, J.
- 4 Hartley¹, J. Benmergui^{4,**}, and J. Lin^{4,5}
- ⁵ ¹Department of Physics, University of Toronto, Toronto, ON, Canada
- 6 ²National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA
- ⁷ ³Department of Chemistry, University of Wollongong, Wollongong, New South Wales, Australia
- 8 ⁴Department of Earth and Environmental Sciences, University of Waterloo, Waterloo, ON,
- 9 Canada
- ⁵Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Utah, Salt Lake City, UT, USA
- *now at: Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena,
 CA, USA
- 13 **now at: School of Engineering and Applied Sciences, Harvard University, Cambridge, MA, USA
- 14

15 Abstract

We investigate Arctic tropospheric composition using ground-based Fourier Transform Infrared (FTIR) solar absorption spectra, recorded at the Polar Environment Atmospheric Research Laboratory (PEARL, Eureka, Nunavut, Canada, $80^{\circ}05$ 'N, $86^{\circ}42$ 'W) and at Thule (Greenland, $76^{\circ}53$ 'N, $-68^{\circ}74$ 'W) from 2008 to 2012. The target species: carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), ethane (C₂H₆), acetylene (C₂H₂), formic acid (HCOOH), and formaldehyde (H₂CO) are emitted by biomass burning and can be transported from mid-latitudes to the Arctic.

22 By detecting simultaneous enhancements of three biomass burning tracers (HCN, CO, and C_2H_6). ten and eight fire events are identified at Eureka and Thule, respectively, within the five-year FTIR 23 24 timeseries. Analyses of Hybrid Single Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model (HYSPLIT) back-trajectories coupled with Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 25 26 (MODIS) fire hot spot data, Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport model (STILT) footprints, and Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) UV aerosol index maps are used to attribute 27 28 burning source regions and travel time durations of the plumes. By taking into account the effect of aging of the smoke plumes, measured FTIR enhancement ratios were corrected to obtain 29 emission ratios and equivalent emission factors. The means of emission factors for extratropical 30 forest estimated with the two FTIR datasets are: 0.40 ± 0.21 g kg⁻¹ for HCN, 1.24 ± 0.71 g kg⁻¹ for 31 C_2H_6 , 0.34 \pm 0.21 g kg⁻¹ for C_2H_2 , and 2.92 \pm 1.30 g kg⁻¹ for HCOOH. The emission factor for 32 CH₃OH estimated at Eureka is 3.44 ± 1.68 g kg⁻¹. 33

To improve our knowledge concerning the dynamical and chemical processes associated with 34 Arctic pollution from fires, the two sets of FTIR measurements were compared to the Model for 35 36 Ozone and Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4). Seasonal cycles and day-to-day variabilities were compared to assess the ability of the model to reproduce emissions from fires 37 38 and their transport. Good agreement in winter confirms that transport is well implemented in the model. For C₂H₆, however, the lower wintertime concentration estimated by the model as 39 40 compared to the FTIR observations highlight an underestimation of its emission. Results show that modelled and measured total columns are correlated (linear correlation coefficient r>0.6 for all 41 gases except for H₂CO at Eureka and HCOOH at Thule), but suggest a general underestimation of 42 the concentrations in the model for all seven tropospheric species in the high Arctic. 43

44 1) Introduction

Fires release trace gases into the atmosphere, affecting air quality (Colarco et al., 2004), climate, 45 and the carbon cycle (IPCC, 2007). Those radiatively and photochemically active trace gases 46 include carbon monoxide (CO), hydrogen cyanide (HCN), and Non-Methane HydroCarbons 47 (NMHCs), including ethane (C₂H₆), acetylene (C₂H₂), methanol (CH₃OH), formic acid (HCOOH), 48 and formaldehyde (H₂CO) (Paton-Walsh et al., 2010; Akagi et al., 2011; Vigouroux et al., 2012). 49 Given their long atmospheric lifetimes, CO, HCN, and C₂H₆ are considered to be tracers of long-50 51 range pollution transport associated with biomass burning plumes. In the Arctic, these gases and the other shorter-lived species (C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , HCOOH, and H_2CO) affect tropospheric chemistry 52 53 (Generoso et al., 2007, Stohl et al., 2007, Tilmes et al., 2011), oxidizing power (Mao et al., 2010; Olson et al., 2012), and radiative transfer (Wang et al., 2011) of this sensitive polar region, which 54 has been warming rapidly over the past century (Lesins et al., 2010). Since fire frequency and 55 intensity are sensitive to climate change and variability, as well as land use practices (Kasischke 56 et al., 2006; Soja et al., 2007; IPCC, 2007; Amiro et al., 2009; Flannigan et al. 2009; Oris et al., 57 58 2013; Kelly et al., 2013), they constitute a large source of variability in Arctic tropospheric composition. 59

Biomass burning plumes transported over the Arctic have been observed by ground-based Fourier 60 Transform InfraRed (FTIR) spectrometers (Yurganov et al., 2004, 2005 ; Viatte et al., 2013), 61 measurements on aircraft (Paris et al., 2009; Warneke et al., 2009; Simpson et al., 2011; Hecobian 62 et al., 2011; Parrington et al., 2013; O'Shea et al., 2013; Le Breton et al., 2013; Lewis et al., 2013), 63 and satellites (Rinsland et al., 2007; Coheur et al., 2009; Tereszchuk et al., 2011; Tereszchuk et 64 65 al., 2013). Model simulations and meteorological analyses also suggest pollution transport 66 pathways to the Arctic (Eckhardt et al., 2003; Klonecki et al., 2003; Koch and Hansen, 2005; Stohl et al., 2006; Shindell et al., 2008; Thomas et al., 2013; Bian et al., 2013). However, our knowledge 67 concerning transport, degradation mechanisms of NMHCs (Stavrakou et al., 2009), sources of 68 69 Arctic pollution (Fisher et al., 2010), and emissions from fires (Akagi et al., 2011) remains incomplete, reflecting the heterogeneous and stochastic nature of these processes. Long-term and 70 continuous measurements of Arctic tropospheric composition are therefore important for 71 quantifying emissions from fire plumes transported from lower latitudes and improving the 72 prediction of trace gas concentrations and variability in chemical transport model simulations. This 73 74 would help in assessing the atmospheric impact of biomass burning pollution on the Arctic climate 75 system.

76 To simulate fire emissions in chemical transport models, emission factors of various trace gases must be estimated with accuracy. Emission factors are highly variable however, because they 77 78 depend on the types of vegetation burned, the combustion phase (smoldering and flaming), and atmospheric conditions at the time of the fire events (Paton-Walsh et al., 2005, 2008, 2010; Akagi 79 et al., 2011; Hornbrook et al., 2011; Vigouroux et al., 2012). Within the past decade, measurements 80 of emission factors of biomass burning species have led to a wide range of values, which may be 81 82 due to the natural variability of the emissions and/or the discrepancies between sampling methods (laboratory, airborne, satellite, and ground-based measurements) that overestimate or 83 underestimate the combustion phases (smoldering and flaming). The need for more measurements 84 of HCN and NMHC emission factors has been stressed given the value of HCN as a biomass 85 burning tracer (Li et al., 2003), and significant NMHC emissions from fires (Andreae and Merlet, 86 2001; Akagi et al., 2011; Paulot et al., 2011; Wiedinmyer et al., 2011). 87

We investigate pollution from biomass burning events that occurred in extratropical forests and 88 were transported to the high Arctic with two sets of FTIR measurements, located at Eureka 89 (Nunavut, Canada, 80°05'N, -86°42'W) and Thule (Greenland, 76°53'N, -68°74'W). Seven 90 tropospheric species (CO, HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO) released by biomass 91 burning were monitored from 2008 to 2012. Complete descriptions of the methodologies and 92 characterizations of the retrievals are found in Viatte et al. (2014). These species were selected 93 94 because of their differing anthropogenic, biogenic, fossil fuel burning and biomass burning source fractions, as well as their widely differing lifetimes, sinks, and secondary production rates. From 95 this diversity we gain insight into chemistry and transport abilities of the Model for Ozone and 96 Related chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4, Emmons et al., 2010) and improve emission 97 98 ratios. A significant number of observations inside fire plumes are identified in the datasets, and used to derive emission ratios (and hence infer emission factors) of the target species. These 99 100 measured emission ratios add new values to the sparse dataset reported in the literature. The two sets of measurements are compared with MOZART-4 to assess the ability of this model to 101 102 reproduce Arctic tropospheric chemical composition and its variability due to the long-range pollution transport from fires. 103

2) Observations and model data in the high Arctic

106

2.1) FTIR measurements at Eureka and Thule

We present measurements over five years of seven tropospheric species in the high Arctic: CO, 107 HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO, from 2008 to 2012. These timeseries are 108 obtained from ground-based FTIR measurements performed at Eureka (80°05'N, 86°42'W, 0.61 109 110 km above sea level, Eureka, Nunavut, Canada, Fogal et al., 2013) and Thule (76°53'N, -68°74'W, 0.23 km above sea level, Greenland, Thule, Hannigan et al., 2009). The locations of the 111 112 measurement sites are shown in Figure 1. The high-resolution solar absorption spectrometers (a Bruker IFS 125HR at Eureka and a Bruker IFS 120M at Thule, both operated at a spectral 113 resolution of 0.0035 cm⁻¹) are part of the international Network for the Detection of Atmospheric 114 Composition Change (NDACC, http://www.ndsc.ncep.noaa.gov/, formerly NDSC, Kurylo, 1991; 115 Kurylo and Zander 2001). These spectrometers measure spectra using two detectors (Indium 116 Antimonide - InSb - or Mercury Cadmium Telluride - MCT), a potassium bromide (KBr) 117 118 beamsplitter, and a sequence of seven and eight narrow-band interference filters covering the 600-4300 cm⁻¹ and 750-5000 cm⁻¹ spectral range, at Eureka and Thule, respectively. A reference low-119 120 pressure hydrogen bromide (HBr) cell spectrum is recorded regularly with an internal globar source to characterize the Instrumental Line Shape (ILS) and monitor alignment of both 121 instruments (Coffey et al. 1998). By using the LINEFIT software analysis (Hase et al., 1999), 122 modulation efficiency and phase error are retrieved and can be included in the retrieval analysis 123 (i.e. forward model). 124

125

In order to retrieve concentrations of these species from the recorded spectra, the Optimal 126 127 Estimation Method (OEM, Rodgers, 2000) has been applied using the new SFIT4 retrieval code (https://wiki.ucar.edu/display/sfit4/Infrared+Working+Group+Retrieval+Code%2C+SFIT). With 128 129 the exception of the ILS and Signal to Noise Ratio (SNR), which are specific to each instrument, we use the same methodology to analyze the Eureka and Thule measurements, i.e., homogenized 130 131 micro-windows, the same spectroscopic parameters from the HITRAN 2008 database (Rothman et al., 2009), and the same a priori covariance matrices. For CO, HCN, and C₂H₆, retrieval 132 parameters are based on the NDACC-IRWG standard parameter definitions (NDACC Infrared 133 Working Group, http://www.acd.ucar.edu/irwg/). Details of the retrievals of the seven 134 tropospheric species at Eureka are described in Viatte et al. (2014). A priori profiles of the target 135 species are derived from the mean of 40-year runs from the Whole Atmosphere Community 136

Climate Model, version 6 (WACCM, http://www2.cesm.ucar.edu/working-groups, Garcia et al., 2007; Eyring et al., 2007), for the two stations. Daily pressure and temperature profiles are from the National Center for Environment Prediction (NCEP, http://www.ncep.noaa.gov/). Monthly *a priori* water vapour profiles are taken from the WACCM output for each location. Our profiles are retrieved on 48-level altitude grids (from 0.61 to 120 km for Eureka, and from 0.23 to 120 km for Thule) and total and partial columns are then derived by vertically integrating these profiles.

Full error analysis has been performed for both datasets, as described in Rodgers (2000) and 143 Rodgers and Connors (2003), and includes measurement noise error, smoothing error (expressing 144 the limited vertical resolution of the retrieval), and forward model parameter error. Details about 145 146 the seven tropospheric species error budget can be seen in Viatte et al. (2014) in section 2.6. Timeseries are obtained from February to October since the FTIR measurements require the sun 147 as the light source. The seasonal cycles of CO, HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO 148 are representative of their differing transport, emissions, lifetimes, and oxidation rates, and have 149 150 been discussed in detail in Viatte et al. (2014) with reference to the Eureka dataset.

The CO, HCN, and C₂H₆ total columns measured at Eureka and Thule from 2008 to 2012 are 151 shown on the left and right panels, respectively, of Figure 2. These species are considered to be 152 biomass burning tracers, given their long lifetimes in the atmosphere of fifty-two days (Daniel and 153 154 Solomon, 1998), five months (Li et al., 2003), and eighty days (Xiao et al., 2008) for CO, HCN, and C_2H_6 , respectively. They exhibit strong seasonal cycles, reflecting the importance of chemistry 155 and transport processes in their Arctic budget. In addition to these cycles, simultaneous 156 enhancements of the CO, HCN, and C₂H₆ total columns can be seen in the day-to-day variabilities, 157 in both Eureka and Thule observations, such as in April and July 2008 (red circles, Figure 2), and 158 in August 2010 (green squares, Figure 2). Enhancements of CO, HCN, and C₂H₆ total columns 159 observed at Thule in June-July 2012 (olive triangles, Figure 2) are not seen in the Eureka dataset 160 because there were no FTIR measurements at Eureka during this period. Some of these 161 enhancements have already been attributed to biomass burning plumes transported to the Arctic. 162 163 This has been done with aircraft measurements for the April 2008 (Warneke et al., 2009) and July 2008 events (Simpson et al., 2011) during the Arctic Research of the Composition of the 164 Troposphere from Aircrafts and Satellites (ARCTAS) campaigns (Jacob et al., 2010; Hornbrook 165 et al., 2011), and with ground-based FTIR measurements for the extreme August 2010 event 166 167 (Viatte et al., 2013), as well as with the combination of numerous measurement platforms for the July 2011 event, during the Quantifying the impact of BOReal forest fires on Tropospheric 168

169 oxidants over the Atlantic using Aircraft and Satellites (BORTAS) experiment (Palmer et al.,170 2013).

Figure 3 shows timeseries of C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO total columns measured at 171 Eureka (left panels) and Thule (right panels) from 2008 to 2012. These species have different 172 lifetimes in the atmosphere, ranging from two weeks for C₂H₂ (Xiao et al., 2007) to less than two 173 174 days for H₂CO (Coheur et al., 2007). Because of their possible chemical destruction during longrange transport to the Arctic, enhancements due to fire events are less significant than for the three 175 main biomass burning tracers (Figure 2) but are still present in the timeseries, as shown in August 176 2010 for Eureka (green squares, Figure 3) and in August 2008 for Thule (red circles, Figure 3). 177 178 These species have also been measured by Atmospheric Chemistry Experiment - Fourier 179 Transform Spectrometer (ACE-FTS, Tereszchuk et al., 2013) and Infrared Atmospheric Sounding Interferometer (IASI, Coheur et al., 2009), as well as aircraft measurements (Parrington et al., 180 2013; O'Shea et al., 2013) in boreal forest biomass burning plumes several days after their source 181 emissions. Indeed, a recent study suggests that the physical age of one boreal plume in July 2011 182 183 is 1 to 5 days older than the photochemical age because of the presence of the pyrogenic aerosols which slow down the plume photochemistry for several days after the emission (Finch et al., 2014). 184

For various reasons, the number of days of observation out of the approximate eight month sunlit 185 portion of the year at these remote Arctic sites will vary year to year from as few as 15 to many 186 110. Often days will have multiple observations. For the five-year period (2008-2012), the average 187 number of measurements per gas shown in Figure 3 is 2149 for Eureka and 868 for Thule. 188 Although the difference in the number of FTIR measurements throughout the years between the 189 190 Eureka and Thule datasets, the timeseries of the seven tropospheric species recorded at both stations exhibit similar seasonal cycles, in term of absolute values and temporal variabilities. We 191 can exploit the accuracy of these FTIR retrievals, and the robustness of the multi-year observations 192 in the quantification of Arctic tropospheric composition and its variability. Super-imposed on these 193 seasonal cycles, the timeseries reveal short-term enhancements due to fire events that highlight the 194 195 importance of the biomass burning long-range transport in the Arctic budget of NMHCs, which can affect air quality and climate in this region. 196

197

2.2) MOZART-4 description

MOZART-4 (Model for OZone And Related chemical Tracers), version 4, is a Chemical Transport
Model (CTM) developed jointly by the (US) National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR),

200 the Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Laboratory (GFDL), and the Max Planck Institute for Meteorology (MPI-Met) to simulate atmospheric chemical and transport processes. To assess the 201 202 ability of MOZART-4 to reproduce the different seasonal cycles of the seven tropospheric species, as well as the day-to-day variabilities due to fire signatures, we used daily mean outputs for all of 203 2008 and a temporal resolution of six hours within four time periods between 2008 to 2012 204 (Emmons et al., 2010) to compare with the FTIR datasets. Those periods are (1) March to August 205 206 2008 to assess the model's seasonal cycles, (2) August to October 2010 to evaluate biomass burning emissions of the model for the most extreme fire event, as well as (3) May to July 2011, 207 and (4) June to July 2012 to focus the analyses on other fire events during summer periods. 208

209 For that specific model run, a comprehensive tropospheric chemistry, including 100 species, 160 kinetic, and 40 photolysis reactions, has been used. The simulations are driven by offline 210 meteorological data from the Goddard Earth Observing System Model, Version 5 (GEOS-5) and 211 the Modern Era Retrospective analysis for Research and Applications (MERRA) at 0.5°x0.6° and 212 1.9°x2.5° resolution with 56 vertical levels. Emissions are taken from the anthropogenic inventory 213 214 created for the ARCTAS campaign by David Streets (Argonne National Lab, http://bio.cgrer.uiowa.edu/arctas/emission.html), which is based on several inventories, including 215 the INTEX-B Asia inventory, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) National 216 Emission Inventory (NEI), the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) 217 218 inventory, as well as the Emissions Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR). For biomass burning emissions, we use the Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN, Wiedinmyer et al., 219 220 2011). FINN is based on MODIS thermal anomalies and is available daily (https://www2.acd.ucar.edu/modeling/finn-fire-inventory-ncar), thus MOZART-4 simulations do 221 222 use daily fire emissions. Finally, biogenic emissions are calculated online for isoprene and terpenes, and offline for methanol, from the Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from 223 Nature (MEGAN) inventory (Guenther et al., 2012). At the time the simulations were performed, 224 the significance of biogenic emissions of formic acid was not appreciated, so were not included. 225 In total, the model has a HCOOH emission of 3.7 Tg/yr, for which 1.1 Tg/yr are for anthropogenic 226 sources and 3.3 Tg/yr for biomass burning. The estimate of biogenic HCOOH emissions in 227 MEGAN-v2.1 is 3.7 Tg/yr (Guenther et al., 2012), so inclusion of them would double the current 228 229 MOZART-4 emissions.

230 <u>3) Methods and results</u>

3.1) Det

3.1) Detection of biomass burning events with FTIR observations in the Arctic

We identify fire events in the FTIR timeseries by selecting all days that have simultaneous enhancements of the three main biomass burning tracers (CO, HCN, and C_2H_6). All measurements which lie beyond three standard deviations of the monthly mean total columns are considered as biomass burning indicators. This methodology was used in Viatte et al. (2013) and relies on the assumption that a smoke plume detected in the high Arctic has come from a relatively large fire and would have large emissions for several consecutive days.

With this methodology, ten biomass burning events have been identified as reaching Eureka (Table
1) and eight for Thule (Table 2), from 2008 to 2012. At least five fire events have reached both
sites almost simultaneously in March 2008, July-August 2008, July-August 2010, June-July 2011,
and July 2012.

The number of events detected in the high Arctic appears correlated with the boreal forest 242 243 temperature (Barrett et al., 2013). In summer 2009, only one event in June 2009 was detected over 244 Eureka (Table 1). Low temperatures over the boreal forest (http://earthobservatory.nasa.gov/GlobalMaps/view.php?d1=MOD14A1 M FIRE) are consistent 245 with a smaller number of fire events detected at our sites. A recent study of FINN also confirms 246 247 the smaller number of boreal fires in 2009 (Wiedinmyer et al., 2011, their Table 7).

In order to match the biomass burning candidate events identified in the timeseries with actual plumes, it is necessary to find the source fires and show that the plumes generated there are capable of travelling to the Arctic stations where they were observed. This is done by using various independent datasets:

(1) The Air Resources Laboratory (ARL, http://ready.arl.noaa.gov/hysplit-bin/) Hybrid Single
Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory Model (HYSPLIT), which generates mean-wind backtrajectories for air parcels at designated elevations using Global Data Assimilation System
(GDAS) meteorological fields (https://ready.arl.noaa.gov/gdas1.php).

(2) The source region information in the form of "footprints" from a time-reversed Lagrangian
particle dispersion model, the Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport model (STILT, Lin
et al., 2003; Gerbig et al., 2003) also driven by GDAS meteorological fields. Trajectories were
initialized at 0, 6, 12, and 18 UTC on 23 above-ground-height levels (ranging from 0.2 to 14.5
km). Each trajectory used 500 particles. Footprints from the different levels were combined as a

weighted mean to create a single footprint that is representative of a column measurement. Weights
were derived as the product of the pressure at the receptor multiplied by the instrument kernel
density (which was linearly interpolated to the receptor height). The trajectories were run 30 days
back in time.

265 (3) The Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS, http://lance 266 modis.eosdis.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/imagery/firemaps.cgi), which captures global fire maps.

Satellite (4) images from Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI, 267 268 http://gdata1.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/daac-bin/G3/gui.cgi?instance_id=omi), which measures UV aerosol index. 269

In addition, we use AERONET aerosol optical depth (AOD) data measured at Eureka (O'Neill et al., 2008; Saha et al., 2010; http://aeronet.gsfc.nasa.gov/), when available, to detect simultaneous increase of fine mode AOD and trace gas total columns, which is an additional fire event indicator. If these data all agree on a common origin for a plume, and the back-trajectories intersect that region during the same time, then the source of a biomass burning event has been successfully detected. Consistent results from these multiple datasets provides confidence in the attribution of trace gas enhancements to specific fire events.

277 Figure 4 shows an example of the source attribution and the travel duration of a plume that reached Eureka on the 10th of July, 2008. We first note a simultaneous enhancement of the three main 278 biomass burning tracers concentrations detected on the 10th of July 2008 at Eureka (see Figure 5). 279 As a priori information, STILT footprints are generated to show the source region influencing the 280 281 atmospheric measurement at Eureka, which for that day is located in Eastern Russia (light blue region inside the red box, Figure 4a). Then the FIRMS map (Fire Information for Resource 282 Management System, which provides MODIS hot spot data) is used to verify that a significant fire 283 event occurs in that specific region, within a 10-day period (red dots in Figure 4b). To assess the 284 285 travel duration of that plume from the fire region to Eureka, an ensemble of HYSPLIT backtrajectories is generated, for several travel times, end times of the calculated trajectories, and air-286 parcel altitudes, e. g. for each biomass burning event detected at a specific time, we ran ten 287 HYSPLIT backtrajectories for different altitudes ranging from 3 to 12 km, and modified the end 288 time of these backtrajectories within two hours of the observed enhancements. In Figure 4c, 289 airmasses ending at Eureka at 5, 7, and 9 km (red, blue, and green lines, respectively) on July 10th, 290 come from the fire region (red box). And finally, the OMI aerosol index map is used to confirm 291

the presence of a significant fire event in that region, as shown in Figure 4d (colored area within
the red box). Similar example of fire source region and travel time attribution can be seen in Viatte
et al. (2013, Figure 2) for the August 2010 event.

Using this methodology, four fire plumes were attributed to forest fires in Asia travelling for 7 to 9 days, and six from North America travelling between 5 and 8 days, for Eureka (Table 1). For Thule, three biomass burning plumes come from Russia after 7 to 9 days of travel and five are from North America, travelling between 5 and 6 days (Table 2).

In addition, because fire emission composition depends upon, among others parameters, the type of biomass burned (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Akagi et al., 2011), we assigned the vegetation type burned (boreal, temperate coniferous and grassland; Olson et al., 2001) for the different fire events based on the fire source region. This ensured the appropriate selection of the Emission Factor (EF) of CO needed to calculate the emission factors of the other species from the FTIR measurements of emission ratios (Section 3.2.2).

305

306

3.2) Evaluation of MOZART-4 in the Arctic

To assess the capacity of a model to estimate columns and variabilities of tropospheric species in the high Arctic, MOZART-4 was compared to the FTIR datasets. First, the general agreement between MOZART-4 and the measurements from 2008 to 2012 is discussed. Then we focus on 2008 to analyze the model's ability to reproduce the different seasonal cycles of the seven target species in the troposphere. Finally, we focus on the most extreme fire event detected in our measurements in August-October 2010, to discuss biomass burning emissions used in the model.

313

3.2.1) General comparisons between MOZART-4 and the FTIR datasets

For comparisons with the FTIR datasets, all MOZART-4 data within the closest grid box to both measurement sites, and within three hours of each FTIR measurement are selected. The FTIR and the MOZART-4 trace gas profiles are estimated over different altitude ranges, and with different vertical resolutions. For each molecule, the MOZART-4 profiles are combined with FTIR *a priori* profiles between 1.9 hPa (~ 31 km) and 120 km. After extrapolating these model profiles onto the FTIR pressure grid, the model profiles are smoothed by convolution with the FTIR averaging kernels functions (corresponding to that specific measurements) following the equation (Rodgersand Connors, 2003):

$$322 \quad \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{s}} = \mathbf{A}(\mathbf{x} - \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}}) + \mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{a}} \tag{1}$$

where \mathbf{x}_{s} is the smoothed MOZART-4 profile, **A** is the FTIR averaging kernel matrix and \mathbf{x}_{a} is the FTIR *a priori* profile. Then, total and tropospheric partial columns (between 0 and 10.25 km) are recalculated from the smoothed model profiles. Typical FTIR averaging kernels of the seven tropospheric can be seen in Viatte et al. (2014).

327 The FTIR retrievals have different vertical sensitivities for each species, characterized by the Degrees Of Freedom for Signal (DOFS), ranging on average over 4454 and 1747 measurements 328 329 from 2.6 to 0.9 for CO and H₂CO at Eureka, respectively. For comparisons with the model, total or partial columns may be considered, given the DOFS for that species. For CO, HCN, and C₂H₆, 330 331 DOFS can be used to separate tropospheric columns from stratospheric columns, therefore tropospheric partial columns are considered in the comparison with the MOZART-4 data. For the 332 333 others (C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO), the average DOFS are on order unity, therefore only total columns are considered. However, these FTIR total columns that are the integrated abundance 334 335 from the surface to 120 km, are representative of the partial columns (0-30 km) because the FTIR retrievals of these troposphere species have almost no sensitivity above 30 km, and the 336 337 tropospheric columns represent more than 90% of the total columns (Viatte et al., 2014).

The results of comparing the MOZART-4 model and FTIR measurements over selected periods 338 from 2008-2012 are shown in Tables 3 and 4, for Eureka and Thule, respectively. N is the number 339 of measurements included in the comparison with MOZART-4. The coefficient of linear 340 correlation (r) ranges from 0.35 to 0.93, where only two are less than 0.5 and the mean is 0.73. 341 This shows strong correlations between the model and the measurements despite the larger size of 342 MOZART-4 box (1.9°x2.5°) compared to our column measurements. Excellent correlations are 343 found for CO, C_2H_6 , and C_2H_2 , for which r > 0.74 at both sites, confirming that the model explains 344 345 at least 54% of the atmospheric variability of these species in the Arctic. For HCN, the correlation is better at Eureka (r = 0.92) than at Thule (r = 0.55), however the relative differences between the 346 model and the measurements are small (6.7 \pm 19.3 % for Eureka and 2.2 \pm 19.5 % for Thule), 347 highlighting the very good agreements between these datasets. Also, strong correlations are found 348 349 for CH₃OH (r = 0.77 for Eureka and 0.62 for Thule). For HCOOH and H₂CO, the correlations of 0.60 and 0.50, and 0.35 and 0.75, for Eureka and Thule respectively, confirm the difficulty in 350

351 modelling the concentrations of these short-lived species in the high Arctic, and highlight the 352 relatively poor understanding of the sources and sinks of these two molecules.

The mean relative differences ((model-FTIR)/model) between MOZART-4 and CO and HCN 353 partial columns are -2.9 \pm 7.5% and 6.7 \pm 19.3% for Eureka, and -2.5 \pm 11.4% and 2.2 \pm 19.4% 354 for Thule, respectively. The one-sigma standard deviations are larger than the means, confirming 355 356 the agreement between the model data and the FTIR observations. For C₂H₆ partial columns, the mean relative differences of $-50.3 \pm 22.7\%$ and $-54.1 \pm 29.7\%$ for Eureka and Thule, respectively, 357 are higher than the standard deviations. We infer that there is a significant underestimation of the 358 C₂H₆ concentrations calculated by the model compared to the FTIR measurements. Our results 359 confirm the underestimation of the model already highlighted with aircraft measurements during 360 the ARCTAS campaign (Tilmes et al., 2011; Emmons et al., 2014). The CH₃OH mean relative 361 differences of $-23.3 \pm 23.4\%$ and $1.9 \pm 40.8\%$ for Eureka and Thule respectively, show good 362 agreement between MOZART-4 and the CH₃OH FTIR total columns, especially when considering 363 the error bars of the measurements (\sim 12%). For C₂H₂ and H₂CO total columns, the agreements are 364 365 poor with large standard deviations, and for HCOOH, the model did not include biogenic emissions, explaining the extreme differences. 366

Finally, the slopes (model vs. FTIR) are all less than one except for C_2H_2 . This indicates that the model underestimates the columns relative to the FTIR data, suggesting that the model underestimates either emissions or transport of the seven tropospheric species in the high Arctic. It could also suggest that the model overestimates their chemical destructions in smoke plumes because of reduced photochemical activity due to aerosol scattering.

372

3.2.2) Comparisons of the FTIR and MOZART-4 seasonal cycles in 2008

The 2008 timeseries of daily mean CO, HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, and H₂CO total columns 373 measured by the FTIRs at Eureka and Thule (Figure 5, blue and green dots, respectively), and 374 375 calculated by MOZART-4 at these two sites (Figure 5, black and red dashed lines, respectively) are used to compare their seasonal cycles. This year was chosen because the April and July biomass 376 burning events have been studied during the ARCTAS campaign (Jacob et al., 2010 and references 377 therein). There are no CH₃OH measurements at Thule for 2008, because the optical filter used to 378 measure this gas was installed in 2010. HCOOH timeseries are excluded here, because the 379 MOZART-4 runs did not include online biogenic emissions, which have been shown to be a large 380

source of HCOOH from the boreal forest (Stavrakou et al., 2012), and therefore the model does

not capture HCOOH concentrations and variabilities, by at least an order of magnitude.

In winter, CO and C_2H_2 total columns estimated by MOZART-4 agree very well with the FTIR 383 measurements, suggesting that transport is well represented in the model, since it is the major 384 process controlling the Arctic budget of these long-lived gases in winter. However, for C₂H₆ which 385 386 is also a long-lived tracer, the underestimation of its concentrations by MOZART-4 in winter confirms an underestimation in anthropogenic emissions in the model. For HCN, the good 387 agreement in winter also confirms that transport is well reproduced in the model since HCN is the 388 longest lived species of those studied here (five months in the troposphere, Li et al., 2003). In 389 390 spring and summer, however, the overestimation of the model concentrations suggest that loss 391 processes for HCN are missing, confirming that its sinks are not well quantified (Zeng et al., 2012). The CH₃OH seasonal cycle estimated by MOZART-4 exhibits the best agreement with the 392 393 observational datasets at Eureka.

Focusing on the July 2008 biomass burning event, the CH₃OH enhanced concentrations are well captured by the model, suggesting that its fire emissions are correct. For CO and H₂CO, enhancements estimated by the model are too low compared to the measurements. This might indicate that their fire emissions are too low in the model. In contrast, the modelled and measured HCN enhancements are similar, so fire emissions of HCN in the model seem appropriate. For C₂H₆ and C₂H₂, the modelled enhancements are extremely low compared to the measurements, indicating missing sources.

401

3.2.3) Comparisons of MOZART-4 and FTIR during the August 2010 fire events

To further assess the estimation of fire emissions in the model, we focus on the most extreme event in our datasets in August 2010. Details about origin and transport of the plume from Russia through the Arctic are described in Viatte et al. (2013). Figure 6 shows the timeseries of CO, HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , and H_2CO total columns measured by the FTIR at Eureka (blue dots) and Thule (green dots) and calculated by MOZART-4 at Eureka (black dashed line) and Thule (red dashed line) for the August 2010 fire event.

Except for C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 , total columns measured by the FTIR and calculated by the model are generally in agreement during this fire event. In addition, enhancements due to the fire plume recorded at both stations around August 23^{rd} , are captured in the model. However, the amplitudes of these enhancements in MOZART-4, which reflect fire emissions in the model, seem too low for all the gases, except for CH₃OH and H₂CO. For CO, fire emissions in MOZART-4 are too low, as seen previously (Section 3.2.2). For C_2H_6 and C_2H_2 , concentrations calculated by the model are biased low, indicating missing sources. For CH₃OH, fire emissions estimated in the model seem appropriate.

416 **3.3) Estimation of emissions from fires with FTIR measurements**

417

3.3.1) Correlation between CO and the other trace gases

In order to estimate emissions from fires, all fire-affected measurements identified in the biomass 418 burning events reaching the two Arctic sites (Tables 5 and 6) from 2008 to 2012, are used. 419 Concentrations within smoke plumes vary rapidly with time, so emission factors are derived by 420 measuring the emission ratio of the target chemical species relative to a reference species, which 421 is often CO₂ or CO (Hurst et al., 1994). We use CO as the reference because these measurements 422 are most sensitive to plume enhancements. Because the emission ratio is not measured at the source 423 of the fire, the down-stream measurements here more accurately yield an "Enhancement Ratio" 424 (EnhR). These ratios are derived from the regression slopes of a given trace gas total column versus 425 426 that of CO, for each fire event. Since the spectral acquisitions require the use of optical filters and 427 spectra are taken sequentially, we selected all CO measurements made within a 20-minute interval 428 of the target gas measurement in order to calculate enhancement ratios. Uncertainties on this tracer/tracer enhancement ratios method are small if both tracers have long atmospheric lifetimes 429 relative to plume travel durations between the fire source and the measurements. In addition, errors 430 431 on transport and plume altitude are also minimized since all tracers are expected to be mixed and 432 transported in the same airmasses. These assumptions constitute the main limitation of this 433 approach (Yokelson et al., 2013).

Figure 7 and 8 show the correlation plots of the total columns of the target species relative to CO, for all fire events (represented by different colors) detected at Eureka and Thule, respectively. For Eureka (and Thule), the enhancement ratios are estimated from each biomass burning event, with 313 (136), 321 (274), 205 (137), 228 (-), 202 (120), and 298 (149) pairs of CO columns with HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO columns, respectively. Given the small number of CH₃OH FTIR observations at Thule, we did not estimate its enhancement ratio here (see below). 440 The correlations of HCN, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO total columns with CO using all of the fire datasets (all colors combined, Figure 7 and 8) are not linear, since these species have different 441 442 atmospheric lifetimes from CO (Viatte et al., 2014). In contrast, CO, C₂H₆, and C₂H₂ have common sinks and sources, so their columns are expected to be correlated throughout the year. Except for 443 H₂CO, correlations of the fire species with CO in the individual fire plumes (individual colors, 444 Figure 7 and 8) exhibit linear patterns. This confirms that the target gases are transported in the 445 446 same airmasses from the emitted fire sources. For H₂CO, the correlations with CO are not clearly linear inside fire plumes. However, the measured total columns are significantly enhanced (up to 447 4.5x10¹⁵ molecules/cm²) in August 2008 at Thule, in August and July 2010 at Eureka and Thule, 448 respectively, in June 2011 and July 2011 at both sites, as well as in July 2012 at Thule. Given the 449 back-trajectory analyses, these enhanced H₂CO columns recorded in the high Arctic are correlated 450 with extreme fire events in the boreal forest during summer. 451

452 For each event, the slopes of the regression lines are taken as the enhancement ratios of the species emitted by fires. The correlations are obtained by linear regression using the method of York et al. 453 454 (2004), which takes into account uncertainties in both ordinate and abscissa variables. The total uncertainty for the regression slopes is calculated by guadrature addition of the fit uncertainties 455 and the measurement uncertainties. Those values are summarized in Tables 5 and 6 for Eureka and 456 Thule, respectively. N is the number of pairs (between the target species vs. CO) used to estimate 457 458 the enhancement ratios, r is the correlation coefficient of the linear regression, 'EnhR' is the 459 enhancement ratios given by the slope of the regression line for each fire event. To assess changes 460 due to photochemistry during plume aging (Akagi et al., 2012), the enhancement ratios corrected by the travel time of the plume ('ER') have been included in Tables 5 and 6. If less than six pairs 461 462 are measured in a fire plume, the enhancement ratios are not estimated from this event, i.e., C₂H₂ measurements at Eureka in July 2010. No error weighting were performed according to the number 463 464 of points.

The correlation coefficients between HCN and CO total columns are on average 0.84 and 0.79 for the Eureka and Thule datasets, respectively (last columns in Tables 5 and 6). Good correlations between C_2H_6 and CO total columns (r = 0.81 on average for both datasets) also confirm that the selected measurements, listed in Tables 1 and 2, were made inside fire plumes. The mean of the correlation coefficients between C_2H_2 and CO total columns inside plumes are 0.78 and 0.80, for Eureka and Thule respectively. For CH₃OH, the average of the coefficients of correlation is 0.65 at Eureka. The HCOOH total columns are also well correlated with CO inside the plumes, given 472 the average values of r of 0.79 and 0.58 at Eureka and Thule, respectively. However, the July 2010 event has a negative correlation coefficient between HCOOH and CO at Thule, but the small 473 474 numbers of points (N = 6 and 8, for Eureka and Thule, respectively) are too low to draw significant conclusions. The mean correlation coefficients between H₂CO and CO total columns are similar: 475 476 r = 0.41 and 0.40 at Eureka and Thule, respectively. Given the short atmospheric lifetime of this molecule and the fact that the measurements are not performed at the source of the fires, H₂CO 477 478 could have been destroyed in the atmosphere while transported through the Arctic. However, the wide ranges of the r values, from 0.08 to 0.90 at Eureka in March 2008 and July 2012, and from 479 0.34 to 0.93 in August 2008 and June 2011 at Thule, suggest a possible secondary production of 480 H₂CO in some atmospheric smoke plumes, where r is high. Young and Paton-Walsh (2011) also 481 482 show that concentrations of H₂CO within Australian smoke plumes increase during the first day of travel before declining two days after they were emitted. 483

The enhancement ratios are expected to vary with the travel time of the plumes from their source 484 to the measurement site (see last columns in Tables 1 and 2), especially for short-lived species 485 486 because of their faster atmospheric destruction (via photochemistry, oxidation, as well as dry and wet depositions) compared to CO. However, the mean enhancement ratios of the target gases over 487 all fire events are comparable for the two sites. For instance, the Eureka and Thule mean 488 enhancement ratios of HCN, over all biomass burning events, are 0.00334 ± 0.00094 (one-sigma 489 490 standard deviation) and 0.00429 ± 0.00245 , respectively (last columns in Tables 5 and 6). In 491 addition, the enhancements ratios of HCN, C_2H_6 , and C_2H_2 estimated from the extreme fire event 492 of August 2010 are very similar: 0.00563 and 0.00650 for HCN, 0.01019 and 0.01235 for C₂H₆, 0.00189 and 0.00191 for C₂H₂, for Eureka and Thule, respectively. 493

494

3.3.2) Calculation of Emission Ratios (ER) and Emission Factors (EF)

495 In models, fire emissions are often specified by using emission ratios relative to a reference species, typically CO, which correspond to measured ratios at the source of the biomass burning 496 497 event. Those emission ratios are equal to the enhancement ratios corrected for the travel duration of the plume. By considering the different lifetimes of the molecules (Table 3, last column) as well 498 499 as the plume travel times to reach Eureka and Thule (last columns of Tables 1 and 2), we calculated the decay rates of each species to obtain the percentage of their initial values remaining when they 500 501 were measured. This allows the measured enhancement ratios to be corrected to the equivalent emission ratios (Paton-Walsh et al., 2005; Akagi et al., 2011; Hornbrook et al., 2011). More details 502

regarding this correction are found in Viatte el al. (2013). Since the uncertainty in the correction is small compared to other uncertainties, our equivalent emission ratios can be compared to other emission ratios found in the literature. For comparison with previous studies, our equivalent emission ratios have been converted into equivalent emission factors using (Andreae and Merlet, 2001):

508
$$EF_x = ER_{(x/CO)} \times (MW_x/MW_{CO}) \times EF_{CO}$$
(2)

where EF_x is the emission factor for trace gas X in grams of gas per kg of dry biomass burnt; ER_(x/CO) is the molar emission ratio of trace gas X with respect to CO; MW_x is the molecular weight of trace gas X; MW_{CO} is the molecular weight of CO, and EF_{CO} is the emission factor of CO.

In this study, values of EF_{CO} of 127±45 g kg⁻¹ and 107±37 g kg⁻¹ for dry matter based on Akagi et 512 al. (2011) and Andreae and Merlet (2001), respectively, are taken as the emission factor for CO 513 for boreal and extratropical forests, since this is the fuel type of the relevant source fires (vegetation 514 type columns in Tables 1 and 2). Uncertainties in the measured EF are calculated by taking into 515 account the large uncertainty in the CO emission factor (more than 35%) and the uncertainty in 516 the mean calculated regression slope (33.6%, 54.0%, 49.5%, 32.2% and 22.8% for HCN, C₂H₆, 517 C₂H₂, CH₃OH, and HCOOH at Eureka respectively, and 43.5%, 33.1%, 52.5%, and 16.3% for 518 HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, and HCOOH respectively, at Thule), as well as the total uncertainties of the 519 retrievals (3.1%, 10.5%, 14.3%, 22.5%, 12.3%, and 17.0% for CO, HCN, C₂H₂, C₂H₆, CH₃OH, 520 521 and HCOOH, respectively; Viatte et al., 2014, their Table 3), all combined in quadrature (Paton-Walsh et al., 2005). Total uncertainties on EF are 49.2%, 67.3%, 61.8%, 48.7%, and 42.3% for 522 HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, and HCOOH, at Eureka respectively, and 57.5%, 48.7%, 63.8%, and 523 46.5% for HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, and HCOOH, at Thule respectively. Because the uncertainties on the 524 525 FTIR H₂CO retrievals are high (~27 %) and the transport times of the plumes to the Arctic exceed its atmospheric lifetime (which is less than two days), emission ratios of H_2CO have not been 526 527 estimated in this study.

528 Our corrected enhancement ratios (i.e., equivalent emission ratios, 'ER' in Tables 5 and 6) have 529 been converted into equivalent emission factors using Equation 2. Only enhancement ratios 530 calculated from more than 6 pairs (N > 6), and satisfying a coefficient of correlation of more than 531 0.6 (r > 0.6) are taken into account to estimate emission factors. The means of equivalent emission 532 ratios and emission factors (calculated using EF_{co} for the extratropical forest) estimated from 533 FTIR measurements performed at Eureka and Thule are summarized in Table 7. 534 Figure 9 shows the emission factors calculated from FTIR measurements performed at Eureka (cyan) and Thule (green), using the same EF_{CO} of Andreae and Merlet (2001) for the extratropical 535 536 forest. All emission factors estimated from both FTIR datasets agree well within combined error bars. The mean of the emission factors estimated from the Eureka and Thule FTIR datasets are 537 0.36 ± 0.17 g kg⁻¹ and 0.44 ± 0.25 g kg⁻¹ for HCN, 1.09 ± 0.74 g kg⁻¹ and 1.39 ± 0.68 g kg⁻¹ for 538 C_2H_6 , 0.40 ± 0.25 g kg⁻¹ and 0.28 ± 0.18 g kg⁻¹ for C_2H_2 , and 2.69 ± 1.14 g kg⁻¹ and 3.15 ± 1.46 g 539 540 kg⁻¹ for HCOOH, respectively. For CH₃OH, we estimated a mean emission factor of 3.44 ± 1.68 g kg⁻¹ at Eureka (Table 7). The emission factors derived from the Thule dataset are slightly higher 541 than those for Eureka, except for C₂H₂, but these differences are not significant given the error 542 bars. However, the EF_{HCOOH} is notably higher at Thule than at Eureka. A possible explanation is 543 that our Thule measurements of HCOOH from fire events are contaminated by local biogenic 544 emissions. 545

546 In order to compare our results with others, emission factors from two compilations of data (Andreae and Merlet, 2001; Akagi et al., 2011) for extratropical and boreal forests have been 547 548 selected. These studies contain a comprehensive set of emission factors from the burning of numerous vegetation types derived from various measurement platforms. Figure 10 shows the 549 emission factors calculated from the FTIR measurements (in blue and cyan), along with the 550 emission factors found in the compilation studies of Akagi et al. (2011) (red) and Andreae and 551 552 Merlet (2001) (pink). The blue colour corresponds to the emission factors calculated using the EF_{CO} for the boreal forest from Akagi et al. (2011), whereas the cyan colours corresponds to the 553 554 values of EF_x calculated using the EF_{CO} for the extratropical forest from Andreae and Merlet (2001). 555

Our EF_{HCN} are lower than the two mean values reported in the literature. The sources and sinks of 556 HCN are not well known. Our EF_{C2H6} agree well, within combined error, with the mean value 557 reported by Akagi et al. (2011) and are higher than the mean value reported in the earlier study of 558 Andreae and Merlet (2001). The emission factors of C₂H₂ estimated from the FTIR measurements 559 560 are in excellent agreement with the mean values reported in both compilation studies. Our EF_{CH3OH} are in agreement with the mean value from Akagi et al. (2011) and are higher than the mean value 561 reported by Andreae and Merlet (2001) suggesting that CH₃OH emissions from fires are higher 562 than previously thought. Finally, our EF_{HCOOH} are significantly higher than the values reported in 563 564 the more recent compilation study of Akagi et al. (2011), but agree well with the mean value reported in 2001 (Andreae and Merlet, 2001). This may suggest that fires from the extratropical 565

forest emit relatively large amount of HCOOH, or it may reflect a local biogenic component in ourmeasurements.

568 4) Summary and conclusions

The frequency and intensity of biomass burning are strongly linked to climate change, and 569 570 constitute a large source of the variability in Arctic tropospheric composition. We performed FTIR 571 measurements of seven important biomass burning species (CO, HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO) at two high Arctic sites, Eureka and Thule, from 2008 to 2012. We focused 572 573 on these species for several reasons: (1) there remain numerous gaps in the available tropospheric observational datasets, especially at high latitudes. (2) Since these species exhibit different source 574 575 fractions (anthropogenic, biogenic, fossil fuel burning, and biomass burning), as well as different lifetimes, the comparison of our new datasets with chemical transport model simulations can help 576 577 identify issues in the model that can be addressed to improve their estimations of trace gas concentrations and temporal variations, as well as transport processes in the high Arctic. (3) All 578 579 these biomass burning products are measured almost simultaneously using the FTIR technique, so we derived emission factors to add new values to the relatively sparse datasets in the literature. 580

Those new datasets of tropospheric species recorded at both stations exhibit similar seasonal cycles, in term of absolute values and temporal variabilities. In addition, ten and eight fire events were identified at Eureka and Thule, respectively. These highlight the importance of the biomass burning long-range transport in the Arctic budget of NMHC, which can affect air quality and climate in this region. This may have a continued and increasing effect in a warming climate and sensitive Arctic eco-system.

The two sets of measurements were compared with MOZART-4 to assess (1) the general 587 agreement (2008-2012), (2) the model simulations of the different seasonal cycles (with the 2008 588 year), and (3) fire emissions in the model. Correlations between MOZART-4 and FTIR total 589 590 columns are strong (r ranges from 0.35 to 0.93). The mean relative differences between MOZART-4 and the CO and HCN measurements confirm the good agreement between the model data and 591 the FTIR observations. In winter, CO and C₂H₂ total columns estimated by MOZART-4 agree well 592 593 with the FTIR measurements, suggesting that transport is well represented in the model, since it is the major process controlling the Arctic budget of these long tropospheric lifetime gases. However, 594 for C₂H₆ the low columns estimated in winter by MOZART-4 confirm an underestimation in its 595 emissions in the model. For HCN, the good agreement in winter also confirms that transport is 596

well reproduced. In spring and summer, however, the overestimation of the model columns
suggests that loss processes for HCN are missing. Finally, the CH₃OH total columns show good
agreement between MOZART-4 and the FTIR dataset at Eureka.

In order to estimate emissions from fires, all fire-affected spectra recorded inside smoke plumes 600 were used to calculate the enhancement ratios relative to CO. Very good correlations with CO are 601 found inside smoke plumes in the Arctic, confirming the common fire origins and transport 602 pathways. CO and H₂CO total columns are well correlated (r > 0.9) inside fire plumes transported 603 in June 2011 to Thule and in July 2012 to Eureka, suggesting a possible secondary production of 604 H₂CO in atmospheric smoke plumes. The enhancements ratios were used to derive equivalent 605 606 emission ratios from which emission factors were calculated using an assumed emission factor for CO. The means of emission factors estimated with the two FTIR datasets are 0.40 ± 0.21 g kg⁻¹ 607 for HCN, 1.24 ± 0.71 g kg⁻¹ for C₂H₆, 0.34 ± 0.21 g kg⁻¹ for C₂H₂, and 2.92 ± 1.30 g kg⁻¹ for 608 HCOOH. The emission factor for CH₃OH estimated at Eureka is 3.44 ± 1.68 609 610 $g kg^{-1}$. These measurements add new observations to the sparse dataset of emission factors that 611 have been reported and compiled in the literature.

An extension of this work would be to compare the FTIR measurements to the CAM-chem model (Lamarque et al., 2010), which has online MEGAN biogenic emissions for many species, such as methanol and formic acid, to assess how this improves the comparison compared to MOZART-4.

616 Acknowledgments

617 This work was supported by NSERC. The PEARL Bruker 125HR measurements at Eureka were made by CANDAC, which has been supported by the AIF/NSRIT, CFI, CFCAS, CSA, EC, 618 Government of Canada IPY funding, NSERC, OIT, ORF, PCSP, and FQRNT. The authors wish 619 to thank the staff at the Eureka Weather Station and CANDAC for the logistical and on-site support 620 621 provided. Thanks to Rodica Lindenmaier, Rebecca Batchelor, PEARL Site Manager Pierre Fogal, and CANDAC/PEARL operators Ashley Harrett, Alexei Khmel, Paul Loewen, Keith MacQuarrie, 622 Oleg Mikhailov, and Matt Okraszewski, for their invaluable assistance in maintaining the Bruker 623 125HR and for taking measurements. The National Center for Atmospheric Research is supported 624 by the National Science Foundation. The observation program at Thule, GR is supported under 625 contract by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration and the site is also supported by 626 the NSF Office of Polar Programs. We wish to thank the Danish Meteorological Institute for 627

- 628 support at the Thule. The authors also acknowledge NOAA-ARL for access to the HYSPLIT
- trajectory model, and NASA for its MODIS and OMI imagery products available from their
- 630 Rapidfire website and from the Giovanni online data system, developed and maintained by the
- 631 NASA GES DISC. The visit of C. Paton-Walsh to the UofT to collaborate on this study was funded
- by the ARC as part of the project DP110101948.

633 **References:**

- Andreae, M. O., and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning, Global
- 635 Biogeochem. Cy., 15, 955–966, doi:10.1029/2000GB001382, 2001.
- Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Wiedinmyer, C., Alvarado, M. J., Reid, J. S., Karl, T., Crounse, J.
- 637 D., and Wennberg, P. O.: Emission factors for open and domestic biomass burning for use in
- atmospheric models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4039–4072, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4039-2011, 2011.
- 639 Akagi, S. K., Craven, J. S., Taylor, J. W., McMeeking, G. R., Yokelson, R. J., Burling, I. R.,
- 640 Urbanski, S. P., Wold, C. E., Seinfeld, J. H., Coe, H., Alvarado, M. J., and Weise, D. R.: Evolution
- of trace gases and particles emitted by a chaparral fire in California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
- 642 1397–1421, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1397-2012, 2012.
- Amiro, B.D., Cantin, A., Flannigan, M.D. and de Groot, W.J.: Future emissions from Canadian
 boreal forest fires, Can. J. For. Res., 39, 383–395, doi: 10.1139/X08-154, 2009.
- Barrett, C., Kelly, R., Higuera, P. E., and Hu, F.S.: Climatic and land-cover influences on the
 spatiotemporal dynamics of Holocene boreal fire regimes, Ecology, 94, 389–402,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1890/12-0840.1, 2013.
- Bian, H., Colarco, P. R., Chin, M., Chen, G., Rodriguez, J. M., Liang, Q., Blake, D., Chu,
- 649 D. A., da Silva, A., Darmenov, A. S., Diskin, G., Fuelberg, H. E., Huey, G., Kondo, Y., Nielsen,
- 50 J. E., Pan, X., and Wisthaler, A.: Source attributions of pollution to the Western Arctic during the
- NASA ARCTAS field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4707–4721, doi:10.5194/acp-13-47072013, 2013.
- Coffey, M. T., Goldman, A., Hannigan, J. W., Mankin, W. G., Schoenfeld, W. G., Rinsland, C. P.,
 Bernardo, C., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Improved vibration-rotation (0-1) HBr line parameters for
 validating high resolution infrared atmospheric spectra measurements, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat.
- 656 Transf., 60, 863–867, doi:10.1016/S0022-4073(98)00088-0, 1998.
- Coheur, P.-F., Herbin, H., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., Wespes, C., Carleer, M., Turquety, S., P.
 Rinsland, C. P., Remedios, J., Hauglustaine, D., Boone, C. D., and Bernath, P. F.: ACE-FTS
 observation of a young biomass burning plume: first reported measurements of C₂H₄, C₃H₆O,
 H₂CO and PAN by infrared occultation from space, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5437–5446,
- 661 doi:10.5194/acp-7-5437-2007, 2007.

- Coheur, P.-F., L. Clarisse, S. Turquety, D. Hurtmans, *and* C. Clerbaux IASI measurements of
 reactive trace species in biomass burning plumes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5655–5667,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-5655-2009, 2009.
- Colarco, P. R., Schoeberl, M. R., Doddridge, B. G., Marufu, L. T., Torres, O., and Welton, E. J.:
 Transport of smoke from Canadian forest fires to the surface near Washington, D.C.: Injection
 height, entrainment, and optical properties, J. Geophys. Res., 109(D6), D06203, doi:
 10.1029/2003JD004248, 2004.
- Daniel, J. S., and Solomon, S.: On the climate forcing of carbon monoxide, J. Geophys. Res.,
 103(D11), 13249–13260, doi:10.1029/98JD00822, 1998.
- 671 Eyring, V., Waugh, D. W., Bodeker, G. E., Cordero, E., Akiyoshi, H., Austin, J., Beagley, S. R.,
- Boville, B. A., Braesicke, P., Brühl, C., Butchart, N., Chipperfield, M. P., Dameris, M., Deckert,
- 673 R., Deushi, M., Frith, S. M., Garcia, R. R., Gettelman, A., Giorgetta, M. A., Kinnison, D. E.,
- Mancini, E., Manzini, E., Marsh, D. R., Matthes, S., Nagashima, T., Newman, P. A., Nielsen, J.
- 675 E., Pawson, S., Pitari, G., Plummer, D. A., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M., Scinocca, J. F., Semeniuk,
- 676 K., Shepherd, T. G., Shibata, K., Steil, B., Stolarski, R. S., Tian W., and Yoshiki, M.: Multi-model
- 677 projections of stratospheric ozone in the 21st century, J. Geophys. Res. , 112, D16303,
- 678 doi:10.1029/2006JD008332, 2007.
- 679 Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Beirle, S., Spichtinger, N., James, P., Forster, C., Junker, C., Wagner, T.,
- 680 Platt, U., and Jennings, S. G.: The North Atlantic Oscillation controls air pollution transport to the
- 681 Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1769–1778, doi:10.5194/acp-3-1769-2003, 2003.
- Emmons, L. K., Arnold S., Monks S., Huijnen V., Tilmes S., Law K., Thomas J. L., Raut J.-C.,
- Bouarar I., Turquety S., Long Y., Duncan B., Steenrod S., Strode S., Flemming J., Mao J., Langner
- 584 J., Thompson A. M., Tarasick D., Apel E., Blake D., Brune W., Cohen R. C., Dibb J., Diskin G.
- 685 S., Fried A., Hall S., Huey G., Weinheimer A. J., Wennberg P., Wisthaler A., Mikoviny T., de
- 686 Gouw J., Holloway J., Montzka S., Nowak J., Peischl J., Roberts J., Ryerson T., Warneke C., and
- 687 Helmig D.: The POLARCAT Model Intercomparison Project (POLMIP): Overview and
- evaluation with observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 29331-29393, doi:10.5194/acpd14-29331-2014, 2014.
- 690 Emmons, L. K., Walters, S., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Pfister, G. G., Fillmore, D., Granier, C.,
- 691 Guenther, A., Kinnison, D., Laepple, T., Orlando, J., Tie, X., Tyndall, G., Wiedinmyer, C.,

- Baughcum, S. L., and Kloster, S.: Description and evaluation of the Model for Ozone and Related
- chemical Tracers, version 4 (MOZART-4), Geosci. Model Dev., 3, 43–67, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-432010, 2010.
- 695 Finch, D. P., Palmer, P. I., and Parrington, M.: Origin, variability and age of biomass burning
- 696 plumes intercepted during BORTAS-B: evidence for retardation of plume photochemistry, Atmos.
- 697 Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 8723–8752, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-8723-2014, 2014.
- Fisher, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Purdy, M. T., Kopacz, M., Le Sager, P., Carouge, C., Holmes, C. D.,
 Yantosca, R. M., Batchelor, R. L., Strong, K., Diskin, G. S., Fuelberg, H. E., Holloway, J. S.,
 Hyer, E. J., McMillan, W. W., Warner, J., Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., Wang, Y., and Wu, S.: Source
 attribution and interannual variability of Arctic pollution in spring constrained by aircraft
 (ARCTAS, ARCPAC) and satellite (AIRS) observations of carbon monoxide, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 10, 977–996, doi:10.5194/acp-10-977-2010, 2010.
- Flannigan, M., Stocks, B., Turetsky, M., and Wotton, M.: Impacts of climate change on fire activity
 and fire management in the circumboreal forest, Glob. Change Biol., 15, 549–60, doi:
 10.1111/j.1365-2486.2008.01660.x, 2009.
- Fogal, P. F., LeBlanc, L. M., and Drummond, J. R.: The Polar Environment Atmospheric Research
 Laboratory (PEARL): Sounding the Atmosphere at 80° North, Arctic, 66 (3), 377–386,
 doi:10.1175/2009JTECHA1215.1, 2013.
- Garcia, R.R., Marsh, D. R., Kinnison, D. E., Boville, B. A., and Sassi, F.: Simulation of secular
 trends in the middle atmosphere, 1950-2003, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09301,
 doi:10.1029/2006JD007485, 2007.
- Generoso, S., Breon, F., Chevallier, F., Balkanski, Y., Schulz, M., and Bey, I.: Assimilation of
 POLDER aerosol optical thickness into the LMDz-INCA model: Implications for the Arctic
 aerosol burden, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D02311, doi: 10.1029/2005JD006954. issn: 0148–0227,
 2007.
- Gerbig, C., Lin, J. C., Wofsy, S. C., Daube, B. C., Andrews, A. E., Stephens, B. B., Bakwin, P. S.,
 and Grainger, C. A.: Toward constraining regional-scale fluxes of CO2 with atmospheric
 observations over a continent: 2. Analysis of COBRA data using a receptor-oriented framework,
 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, D24, 4757, doi:10.1029/2003JD003770, 2003.

- Goldman, A., Paton-Walsh, C., Bell, W., Toon, G. C., Blavier, J. F., Sen, B., Coffey, M. T.,
- Hannigan, J. W., and Mankin, W. G.: Network for the detection of stratospheric change Fourier
- transform infrared intercomparison at Table Mountain Facility, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 30481–
- 724 30503, DOI: 10.1029/1999JD900879, 1999.
- Hannigan, J. W., Coffey, M. T., Goldman, A.: Semiautonomous FTS Observation System for
 Remote Sensing of Stratospheric and Tropospheric Gases, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 26, 1814–
 1828, http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/2009JTECHA1230.1, 2009.
- Hecobian, A., Liu, Z., Hennigan, C. J., Huey, L. G., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., Vay, S., Diskin,
 G. S., Sachse, G. W., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T., Weinheimer, A. J., Liao, J., Knapp, D. J.,
 Wennberg, P. O., Kürten, A., Crounse, J. D., St. Clair, J., Wang, Y., and Weber, R. J.: Comparison
 of chemical characteristics of 495 biomass burning plumes intercepted by the NASA DC-8 aircraft
 during the ARCTAS/CARB-2008 field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 13325–
 13337, doi:10.5194/acp-11-13325-2011, 2011.
- Hornbrook, R. S., Blake, D. R., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Fuelberg, H. E., Meinardi, S., Mikoviny,
 T., Richter, D., Sachse, G. W., Vay, S. A., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A. J.,
 Wiedinmyer, C., Wisthaler, A., Hills, A., Riemer, D. D., and Apel, E. C.: Observations of
 nonmethane organic compounds during ARCTAS Part 1: Biomass burning emissions and plume
 enhancements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11103–11130, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11103-2011, 2011.
- Hurst, D. F., Griffith, D. W. T., & Cook, G. D.: Trace gas emissions from biomass burning in
 tropical Australian savannas, J. Geophys. Res., 99(D8), 16441–16456. doi:10.1029/94JD00670,
 1994.
- IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, edited by: Solomon, S., Quin, D.,
 Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., 500–657,
- 744 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom, USA, 2007.
- 745 Kasischke, E.S., and Turetsky, M.R.: Recent changes in the fire regime across the North American
- boreal region Spatial and temporal patterns of burning across Canada and Alaska, Geophys. Res.
- 747 Let., 33, L09703, doi:10.1029/2006GL025677, 2006.

- 748 Kelly, R., Chipmanb, M. L., Higuerac, P. E., Stefanovad, I., Brubakere, L. B., and Hu, F. S.: Recent
- burning of boreal forests exceeds fire regime limits of the past 10,000 years, PNAS, 110, 13055–
 13060, doi:10.1073/pnas.1305069110, 2013.
- Koch, D., and Hansen, J.: Distant origins of Arctic black carbon: A Goddard Institute for Space
 Studies Model experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D04204, doi:10.1029/2004JD005296, 2005.
- Kurylo, M. J.: Network for the detection of stratospheric change (NDSC), Proc. Soc. Photo-Opt.
 Instrum. Eng., 1491, 168–174, 1991.
- Kurylo, M. J., and Zander R.: The NDSC its status after 10 years of operation. Proceedings of
 the XIX Quadrennial Ozone Symposium, Hokkaido Univ., Sapporo, Japan on 3–8 July 2000, 167–
 168, 2000.
- Lamarque, J.-F., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Kinnison, D. E., Tilmes, S., Vitt, F., Heald, C. L.,
- Holland, E. A., Lauritzen, P. H., Neu, J., Orlando, J. J., Rasch, P. J., and Tyndall, G. K.: CAM-
- chem: description and evaluation of interactive atmospheric chemistry in the Community Earth
- 761 System Model, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 369-411, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-369-2012, 2012.
- Le Breton, M., Bacak, A., Muller, J. B. A., O'Shea, S. J., Xiao, P., Ashfold, M. N. R., Cooke, M.
- 763 C., Batt, R., Shallcross, D. E., Oram, D. E., Forster, G., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., and Percival, C. J.:
- Airborne HCN measurements from biomass burning, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9217–9232,
 doi:10.5194/acp-13-9217-2013, 2013.
- Lesins, G., Duck T. J., and Drummond, J. R.: Climate trends at Eureka in the Canadian high Arctic,
 Atmosphere Ocean, 48, 59–80, doi:10.3137/AO1103.2010, 2010.
- Lewis, A. C., Evans, M. J., Hopkins, J. R., Punjabi, S., Read, K. A., Purvis, R. M., Andrews, S. J.,
 Moller, S. J., Carpenter, L. J., Lee, J. D., Rickard, A. R., Palmer, P. I., and Parrington, M.: The
 influence of biomass burning on the global distribution of selected non-methane organic
 compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 851–867, doi:10.5194/acp-13-851-2013, 2013.
- Li, Q., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Heald, C. L., Singh, H. B., Koike, M., Zhao, Y., Sachse, G.
 W., and Streets, D. G.: A global three-dimensional model analysis of the atmospheric budgets of
 HCN and CH₃CN: Constraints from aircraft and ground measurements, J. Geophys. Res.,
 108(D21), 8827, doi:10.1029/2002JD003075, 2003.

- Lin, J.C., Gerbig, C., Wofsy, S.C., Andrews, A.E., Daube, B.C., Davis, K.J., and Grainger, C.A.:
 A near-field tool for simulating the upstream influence of atmospheric observations: The
 Stochastic Time-Inverted Lagrangian Transport (STILT) model, J. Geophy. Res., 108(D16), 4493,
 doi:10.1029/2002JD003161, 2003.
- 780 Mao, J., Jacob, D. J., Evans, M. J., Olson, J. R., Ren, X., Brune, W. H., St. Clair, J. M., Crounse,
- J. D., Spencer, K. M., Beaver, M. R., Wennberg, P. O., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Fried, A.,
- Weibring, P., Walega, P., Hall, S. R., Weinheimer, A. J., Cohen, R. C., Chen, G., Crawford, J. H.,
- 783 McNaughton, C., Clarke, A. D., Jaeglé, L., Fisher, J. A., Yantosca, R. M., Le Sager, P., and
- 784 Carouge, C.: Chemistry of hydrogen oxide radicals (HO_x) in the Arctic troposphere in spring,
- 785 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5823–5838, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5823-2010, 2010.
- 786 Olson, D. M., Dinerstein, E., Wikramanayake, E. D., Burgess, N. D., Powell, G. V. N.,
- 787 Underwood, E. C., D'Amico, J. A., I. Itoua, Strand, H.E., Morrison, J. C., Loucks, C. J., Allnutt,
- 788 T. F., Ricketts, T. H., Kura, Y., Lamoreux, J. F., Wettengel, W. W., Hedao, P., and Kassem, K.R.:
- 789 Terrestrial ecoregions of the world: New map of life on earth, Bioscience, 51(11), 933–938, 2001.
- 790 Olson, J. R., Crawford, J. H., Brune, W., Mao, J., Ren, X., Fried, A., Anderson, B., Apel, E.,
- 791 Beaver, M., Blake, D., Chen, G., Crounse, J., Dibb, J., Diskin, G., Hall, S. R., Huey, L. G., Knapp,
- D., Richter, D., Riemer, D., St. Clair, J., Ullmann, K., Walega, J., Weibring, P., Weinheimer, A.,
- 793 Wennberg, P. O., and Wisthaler, A.: An analysis of fast photochemistry over high northern
- ⁷⁹⁴ latitudes during spring and summer using in-situ observations from ARCTAS and TOPSE, Atmos.
- 795 Chem. Phys., 12, 6799–6825, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6799-2012, 2012.
- O'Neill, N. T., Pancrati, O., Baibakov, K., Eloranta, E., Batchelor, R. L., Freemantle, J.,
 Lindenmaier, R.: Occurrence of weak, submicron, tropospheric aerosol events at high Arctic
 latitudes, Geophys. Res. Let., 35, L14814, doi:10.1029/2008GL033733, 2008.
- O'Shea, S. J., Allen, G., Gallagher, M. W., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Illingworth, S. M., Le Breton, M.,
- 800 Muller, J. B. A., Percival, C. J., Archibald, A. T., Oram, D. E., Parrington, M., Palmer, P. I., and
- 801 Lewis, A. C.: Airborne observations of trace gases over boreal Canada during BORTAS: campaign
- 802 climatology, air mass analysis and enhancement ratios, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 12451-
- 803 12467, doi:10.5194/acp-13-12451-2013, 2013.

- Oris, F., Asselin, H., Ali, A. A., Finsinger, W., and Bergeron, Y.: Effect of increased fire activity
 on global warming in the boreal forest, Environ. Rev., 22, 206–219, doi:10.1139/er-2013-0062,
 2013.
- Palmer, P. I., Parrington, M., Lee, J. D., Lewis, A. C., Rickard, A. R., Bernath, P. F., Duck, T. J.,
- 808 Waugh, D. L., Tarasick, D. W., Andrews, S., Aruffo, E., Bailey, L. J., Barrett, E., Bauguitte, S. J.-
- 809 B., Curry, K. R., Di Carlo, P., Chisholm, L., Dan, L., Forster, G., Franklin, J. E., Gibson, M. D.,
- 810 Griffin, D., Helmig, D., Hopkins, J. R., Hopper, J. T., Jenkin, M. E., Kindred, D., Kliever, J.,
- Le Breton, M., Matthiesen, S., Maurice, M., Moller, S., Moore, D. P., Oram, D. E., O'Shea, S. J.,
- 812 Owen, R. C., Pagniello, C. M. L. S., Pawson, S., Percival, C. J., Pierce, J. R., Punjabi, S.,
- 813 Purvis, R. M., Remedios, J. J., Rotermund, K. M., Sakamoto, K. M., da Silva, A. M.,
- 814 Strawbridge, K. B., Strong, K., Taylor, J., Trigwell, R., Tereszchuk, K. A., Walker, K. A.,
- 815 Weaver, D., Whaley, C., and Young, J. C.: Quantifying the impact of BOReal forest fires on
- 816 Tropospheric oxidants over the Atlantic using Aircraft and Satellites (BORTAS) experiment:
- design, execution and science overview, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 6239–6261, doi:10.5194/acp13-6239-2013, 2013.
- Paris, J.-D., Stohl, A., Nédélec, P., Arshinov, M. Y., Panchenko, M. V., Shmargunov, V. P., Law,
 K. S., Belan, B. D., and Ciais, P.: Wildfire smoke in the Siberian Arctic in summer: source
 characterization and plume evolution from airborne measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 9315–
 9327, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9315-2009, 2009.
- 823 Parrington, M., Palmer, P. I., Lewis, A. C., Lee, J. D., Rickard, A. R., Di Carlo, P., Taylor, J. W.,
- Hopkins, J. R., Punjabi, S., Oram, D. E., Forster, G., Aruffo, E., Moller, S. J., Bauguitte, S. J.-B.,
- Allan, J. D., Coe, H., and Leigh, R. J.: Ozone photochemistry in boreal biomass burning plumes
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7321–7341, 2013
- Paton-Walsh, C., Jones, N. B., Wilson, S. R., Harverd, V., Meier, A., Griffith, D. W. T., and
 Rinsland, C. P.: Measurements of trace gas emissions from Australian forest fires and correlations
 with coincident measurements of aerosol optical depth, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D24305,
- doi:10.1029/2005JD006202, 2005.
- Paton-Walsh, C., Wilson, S. R., Jones, N. B., and Griffith, D. W. T.: Measurement of methanol
 emissions from Australian wildfires by ground-based solar Fourier transform spectroscopy,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L08810, doi:10.1029/2007GL032951, 2008.

- Paton-Walsh, C., Deutscher, N. M., Griffith, D. W. T., Forgan, B. W., Wilson, S. R., Jones, N. B.,
- and Edwards, D. P.: Trace gas emissions from savanna fires in Northern Australia, J. Geophys.
 Res., 115, D16314, doi:10.1029/2009JD013309, 2010.
- 837 Paulot, F., Wunch, D., Crounse, J. D., Toon, G. C., Millet, D. B., DeCarlo, P. F., Vigouroux, C.,
- B38 Deutscher, N. M., Gonzalez Abad, G., Notholt, J., Warneke, T., Hannigan, J. W., Warneke, C., de
- Gouw, J. A., Dunlea, E. J., De Maziere, M., Griffith, D. W. T., Bernath, P., Jimenez, J. L., and and
- 840 Wennberg, P. O.: Importance of secondary sources in the atmospheric budgets of formic and acetic
- acids, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 1989–2013, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1989-2011, 2011.
- Rinsland, C., Dufour, G., Boone, C., Bernath, P., Chiou, L., Coheur, P., Turquety, S., and
 Clerbaux, C.: Satellite boreal measurements over Alaska and Canada during June-July 2004:
 Simultaneous measurements of upper tropospheric CO,C₂H₆, HCN, CH₃Cl, CH₄, C₂H₂, CH₃OH,
 HCOOH, OCS, and SF₆ mixing ratios, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21, GB3008,
 doi:10.1029/2006GB002795, 2007.
- Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse methods for atmospheric sounding theory and practise, in: Series on
 Atmospheric, Oceanic and Planetary Physics, Vol. 2, World Scientific, London, 238 pp., 2000.
- Rodgers, C. D., and Connor, B. J.: Intercomparison of remote sounding instruments, J. Geophys.
 Res., 108(D3), 4116–4129, doi:10.1029/2002JD002299, 2003.
- 851 Rothman, L. S., Gordon, I. E., Barbe, A., Benner, D. C., Bernath, P. F., Birk, M., Boudon, V.,
- Brown, L. R., Campargue, A., Champion, J.-P., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Danaj, V., Devi, V.
- 853 M., Fally, S., Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldmanm, A., Jacquemart, D., Kleiner, I., Lacome,
- 854 N., Lafferty, W. J., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Mikhailenko, S. N., Miller, C. E., Moazzen-
- Ahmadi, N., Naumenko, O. V., Nikitin, A. V., Orphal, J., Perevalov, V. I., Perrin, A., Predoi-
- 856 Cross, A., Rinsland, C. P., Rotger, M., Simeckova, M., Smith, M. A. H., Sung, K., Tashkun, S. A.,
- 857 Tennyson, J., Toth, R. A., Vandaele, A. C., and Vander Auwera, J.: The Hitran 2008 molecular
- 858 spectroscopic database, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 110, 533–572, 859 doi:10.1016/j.jgsrt.2009.02.013, 2009.
- Saha, A., O'Neill, N. T., Eloranta, E., Stone, R. S., Eck, T. F., Zidane, S., McArthur, L. J. B.: PanArctic sunphotometry during the ARCTAS-A campaign of April 2008, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37,
 L05803, doi:10.1029/2009GL041375, 2010.

- Oris, F., Asselin, H., Ali, A. A., Finsinger, W., Bergeron, Y.: Effect of increased fire activity on
 global warming in the boreal forest, Environmental Reviews, , 1–14, doi:10.1139/er-2013-0062,
 2013.
- Shindell, D. T., Chin, M., Dentener, F., Doherty, R. M., Faluvegi, G., Fiore, A. M., Hess, P., Koch,
- D. M., MacKenzie, I. A., Sanderson, M. G., Schultz, M. G., Schulz, M., Stevenson, D. S., Teich,
- 868 H., Textor, C., Wild, O., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Bian, H., Cuvelier, C., Duncan, B. N., Folberth,
- 869 G., Horowitz, L. W., Jonson, J., Kaminski, J. W., Marmer, E., Park, R., Pringle, K. J., Schroeder,
- 870 S., Szopa, S., Takemura, T., Zeng, G., Keating, T. J., and Zuber, A.: A multi-model assessment of
- pollution transport to the Arctic, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5353–5372, doi:10.5194/acp-8-53532008, 2008.
- Simpson, I. J., Akagi, S. K., Barletta, B., Blake, N. J., Choi, Y., Diskin, G. S., Fried, A., Fuelberg,
- H. E., Meinardi, S., Rowland, F. S., Vay, S. A., Weinheimer, A. J. P., Wennberg, P. O., Wiebring,
- 875 P., Wisthaler, A., Yang, M., Yokelson, R. J., and Blake, D. R.: Boreal forest fire emissions in fresh
- 876 Canadian smoke plumes: C_1 - C_{10} volatile organic compounds (VOCs), CO_2 , CO_2 , OO_2 , NO_2 , NO_2 , HCN_2
- and CH₃CN, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6445–6463, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6445–2011, 2011.
- Soja, A.J., Tchebakova, N.M., French, N.H.F., Flannigan, M.D., Shugart, H.H., Stocks, B.J.,
 Sukhinin, A.I., Parfenova, E.I., Chapin, F.S., and Stackhouse, P.W.: Climate induced boreal
 forest change: Predictions versus current observations, Global and Planetary Change, 56, 274–296,
 doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2006.07.028, 2007.
- Stavrakou, T., Muller, J.-F., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., van der Werf, G. R., Giglio, L.,
 and Guenther, A.: Evaluating the performance of pyrogenic and biogenic emission inventories
 against one decade of space-based formaldehyde columns, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1037–1060,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-1037-2009, 2009.
- 886 Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Peeters, J., Razavi, A., Clarisse, L., Clerbaux, C., Coheur, P.-F.,
- 887 Hurtmans, D., De Mazière, M., Vigouroux, C., Deutscher, N. M., Griffith, D. W. T., Jones, N. and
- 888 Paton-Walsh, C.: Satellite evidence for a large source of formic acid from boreal and tropical
- forests, Nature Geoscience, 5, 26–30, doi:10.1038/ngeo1354, 2012.
- Stohl, A.: Characteristics of atmospheric transport into the Arctic troposphere, J. Geophys. Res.,
 111, D11306, doi:10.1029/2005JD006888, 2006.

- 892 Stohl, A., Berg, T., Burkhart, J. F., Fjæraa, A. M., Forster, C., Herber, A., Hov, Ø., Lunder, C.,
- 893 McMillan, W. W., Oltmans, S., Shiobara, M., Simpson, D., Solberg, S., Stebel, K., Strom,
- 894 J., Tørseth, K., Treffeisen, R., Virkkunen, K., and Yttri, K. E.: Arctic smoke record air pollution
- levels in the European Arcticduring a period of abnormal warmth, due to agricultural fires in
- Eastern Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 511–534, doi:10.5194/acp-7-511-2007, 2007.
- 897 Tereszchuk, K. A., Gonzalez Abad, G., Clerbaux, C., Hurtmans, D., Coheur, P.-F., and Bernath,
- 898 P. F.: ACE-FTS measurements of trace species in the characterization of biomass burning plumes,
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12169–12179, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12169-2011, 2011.
- 900 Tereszchuk, K. A., González Abad, G., Clerbaux, C., Hadji-Lazaro, J., Hurtmans, D., Coheur, P.-
- 901 F., and Bernath, P. F.: ACE-FTS observations of pyrogenic trace species in boreal biomass burning
- 902 plumes during BORTAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4529–4541, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4529-2013,
- 903 2013.
- Thomas, J. L., Raut, J.-C., Law, K. S., Marelle, L., Ancellet, G., Ravetta, F., Fast, J. D., Pfister,
 G., Emmons, L. K., Diskin, G. S., Weinheimer, A., Roiger, A., and Schlager, H.: Pollution
 transport from North America to Greenland during summer 2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3825–
 3848, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3825-2013, 2013.
- Tilmes, S., Emmons, L. K., Law, K. S., Ancellet, G., Schlager, H., Paris, J.-D., Fuelberg, H. E.,
 Streets, D. G., Wiedinmyer, C., Diskin, G. S., Kondo, Y., Holloway, J., Schwarz, J. P., Spackman,
 J. R., Campos, T., Ndlec, P., and Panchenko, M. V.: Source contributions to Northern Hemisphere
 CO and black carbon during spring and summer 2008 from POLARCAT and
 START08/preHIPPO observations and MOZART-4, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 11, 5935–
 5983, doi:10.5194/acpd-11-5935-2011, 2011.
- 914 Viatte, C., Strong, K., Paton-Walsh, C., Mendonca, J., O'Neill, N. T., and Drummond, J. R.: 915 Measurements of CO, HCN and C_2H_6 total columns in smoke plumes transported from the 2010 916 Russian boreal forest fires to the Canadian high Arctic, Atmosphere-Ocean, 51, 1–10, 917 doi:10.1080/07055900.2013.823373, 2013.
- 918 Viatte, C., Strong, K., Walker, K. A., and Drummond J. R.: Five years of CO, HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂,
- 919 CH₃OH, HCOOH, and H₂CO total columns measured in the Canadian High Arctic, Atmos. Meas.
- 920 Tech., 7, 1547–1570, doi:10.5194/amt-7-1547-2014, 2014.

- 921 Vigouroux, C., Stavrakou, T., Whaley, C., Dils, B., Duflot, V., Hermans, C., Kumps, N., Metzger,
- 922 J.-M., Scolas, F., Vanhaelewyn, G., Müller, J.-F., Jones, D. B. A., Li, Q., and De Mazière, M.:
- 923 FTIR time-series of biomass burning products (HCN, C₂H₆, C₂H₂, CH₃OH, and HCOOH) at
- 924 Reunion Island (21°S, 55°E) and comparisons with model data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10367–
- 925 10385, doi:10.5194/acp-12-10367-2012, 2012.
- 926 Warneke, C., Bahreini, R., Brioude, J., Brock, C.A., de Gouw, J.A., Fahey, D.W., Froyd, K.D.,
- 927 Holloway, J.S., Middlebrook, A., Miller, L., Montzka, S., Murphy, D.M., Peischl, J., Ryerson,
- 928 T.B., Schwarz, J.P., Spackman, J.R., and Veres, P.: Biomass burning in Siberia and Kazakhstan as
- an important source for haze over the Alaskan Arctic in April 2008, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36,
- 930 L02813, doi:10.1029/2008GL036194, 2009.
- Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Mao, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Carouge, C. C., Le Sager, P.,
- 832 Kondo, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., and Doherty, S. J.: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols
- 933 and deposited black carbon in the Arctic in winter-spring: implications for radiative forcing,
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12453–12473, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12453-2011, 2011.
- Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S. K., Yokelson, R. J., Emmons, L. K., Al-Saadi, J. A., Orlando, J. J., and
 Soja, A. J.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate
- 937 the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625–641, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-625–2011,
 938 2011.
- Xiao, Y., Jacob, D. J., and Turquety, S.: Atmospheric acetylene and its relationship with CO as an
 indicator of air mass age, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12305, doi:10.1029/2006JD008268, 2007.
- Xiao, Y., Logan, J. A., Jacob, D. J., Hudman, R. C., Yantosca, R., and Blake, D. R.: Global budget
 of ethane and regional constraints on US sources, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D21306,
 doi:10.1029/2007JD009415, 2008.
- Yokelson, R. J., Andreae, M. O., and Akagi, S. K.: Pitfalls with the use of enhancement ratios or
 normalized excess mixing ratios measured in plumes to characterize pollution sources and aging,
- 946 Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2155-2158, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2155-2013, 2013.
- York, D., Evensen, N. M., Lopez Martinez, M., and De Basabe Delgado, J.: Unified equations for
 the slope, intercept, and standard errors of the best straight line, Am J. Phys., 72(3), 367–375,
 http://dx.doi.org/10.1119/1.1632486, 2004.

Young, E., and Paton-Walsh, C.: Emission Ratios of the Tropospheric Ozone Precursors Nitrogen
Dioxide and Formaldehyde from Australia's Black Saturday Fires, Atmosphere, 2, 617-632,
doi:10.3390/atmos2040617, 2011.

953 Yurganov, L. N., Blumenstock, T., Grechko, E. I., Hase, F., Hyer, E. J., Kasischke, E. S., Koike,

955 Rinsland, C. P., Scheel, H. E., Schulz, A., Strandberg, A., Sussmann, R., Tanimoto, H., Velazco,

M., Kondo, Y., Kramer, I., Leung, F.-Y., Mahieu, E., Mellqvist, J., Notholt, J., Novelli, P. C.,

956 V., Zander, R. and Zhao, Y.: A quantitative assessment of the 1998 carbon monoxide emission

957 anomaly in the Northern Hemisphere based on total column and surface concentration

958 measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D15305, doi:10.1029/2004JD004559, 2004.

959 Yurganov, L. N., Duchatelet, P., Dzhola, A. V., Edwards, D. P., Hase, F., Kramer, I., Mahieu, E.,

960 Mellqvist, J., Notholt, J., Novelli, P. C., Rockmann, A., Scheel, H. E., Schneider, M., Schulz, A.,

961 Strandberg, A., Sussmann, R., Tanimoto, H., Velazco, V., Drummond, J. R., and Gille, J. C.:

962 Increased Northern Hemispheric carbon monoxide burden in the troposphere in 2002 and 2003

detected from the ground and from space, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 563–573, 2005.

Zeng, G., Wood, S. W., Morgenstern, O., Jones, N. B., Robinson, J., and Smale, D.: Trends and
variations in CO, C₂H₆, and HCN in the Southern Hemisphere point to the declining anthropogenic
emissions of CO and C₂H₆, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7543–7555, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7543-2012,
2012.

968

954

			fire sour	travel time used	
year month		days of measurement	vegetation type	location	to calculate ER
2008	March	20, 21, 23, 24, 25 , 27, 28, 29, 30, 31 boreal		Russia	7 days
2008	April	12 , 14, 15, 16, 17, 19 temperate conifero and grassland		Central USA	5 days
2008	July	10 , 12, 21, 22, 23, 29 boreal		Russia	5 days
2009	June	2, 3, 5 , 6, 8, 9, 10	boreal	Russia	6 days
2010	May	14 , 16, 17 , 21, 22	temperate coniferous and grassland	Central USA	7 days
2010	July	3, 5 , 6, 9	boreal	Central Canada and Alaska	6 days
2010	August	9, 10, 12 , 13, 14, 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 23 , 24, 25, 28, 29	boreal	Fire in Russia in Moscow Area	9 days
2011	June	6, 7, 8 , 9 , 10, 11, 13	boreal and temperate coniferous and grassland	Central USA, Canada	8 days
2011	June/July	27, 28, 29, 30, 1, 2, 4, 5	boreal	Canada	6 days
2012	July	1, 2, 3, 4	boreal and temperate coniferous and grassland	Canada, Central USA	5 days

Table 1: Fire events detected over Eureka with the date, days of measurements, vegetation type
burned, fire source location, and travel time to the day of peak enhancement (represented bold in
the third column) used to calculate emission ratios.

	w o o the		fire so	travel time used	
year month		days of measurement	vegetation type	location	calculate ER
2008	March	24, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31	boreal	Russia	7 days
2008	August	2, 4 , 5, 6, 7, 9	boreal	Central Canada	5 days
2010	July	30 , 31, 3	boreal	Canada	5 days
2010	August	23, 24, 25 , 26 , 27, 28, 29, 2	boreal	Fire in Russia in Moscow Area	9 days
2011	June	21, 22, 25, 26, 27, 28 , 29	boreal	Canada	6 days
2011	July	20, 21, 23 , 24 , 26, 27	boreal	Canada	6 days
2012	April/May	27, 28, 29, 8, 9 , 10, 11, 12, 13, 14	boreal and temperate coniferous and grassland	Russia	7 days
2012	July	15 , 16, 18, 19, 20, 22, 29, 30, 31, 1	boreal	Canada, Central USA	5 days

976 Table 2: Same as Table 1 but for Thule.

gas	columns	Ν	r	relative difference (%) (model- FTIR)/model	SD (%)	slope (MOZART- 4 vs. FTIR)	error on slope	error bar on FTIR total column (%)	lifetime of gas (days)
СО	tropo	1001	0.879	2.888	7.532	0.667	0.011	3.1	30
HCN	tropo	423	0.921	-6.714	19.320	0.468	0.010	10.5	30-180
C_2H_6	tropo	452	0.849	50.282	22.680	0.506	0.015	14.3	45
C_2H_2	tot	289	0.927	137.047	329.439	1.548	0.037	22.5	15
CH₃OH	tot	315	0.769	23.296	23.434	0.586	0.028	12.3	5-10
нсоон	tot	270	0.605	1504.406	894.834	0.049	0.004	17	3-4
H ₂ CO	tot	445	0.494	82.057	141.583	0.357	0.030	27.5	<2

979 Table 3: Results of the 2008-2012 comparisons between MOZART-4 and the FTIR columns measured at Eureka for the seven species listed in the first column. The comparisons are made for 980 the tropospheric columns (0.6-10.25 km) for CO, HCN and C₂H₆ and for the total columns (for 981 the other gases). N is the number of points included in the comparisons and r is the coefficient of 982 983 linear correlation. The relative differences (in percentage) are calculated as (model-FTIR)/model, SD is the one-sigma standard deviation around the mean difference. The last four columns are the 984 slopes of the linear regression lines between MOZART-4 and FTIR along with their errors, the 985 error bars on the FTIR total columns (in percentage), and the atmospheric lifetimes of the target 986 987 species.

gas	columns	Ν	r	relative difference (%)(model- FTIR)/model	SD (%)	slope (MOZART-4 vs. FTIR)	error on slope
СО	tropo	145	0.736	-2.48	11.37	0.529	0.041
HCN	tropo	111	0.555	2.24	19.45	0.222	0.027
C_2H_6	tropo	277	0.829	-54.14	29.73	0.431	0.018
C_2H_2	tot	139	0.908	-102.14	159.10	1.145	0.045
CH₃OH	tot	118	0.620	1.93	40.79	0.390	0.046
нсоон	tot	138	0.349	-1538.70	831.12	0.010	0.002
H ₂ CO	tot	137	0.753	-152.81	129.67	0.426	0.032

990 Table 4: Same as Table 3 but for Thule.

	Year	2008	2008	2008	2009	2010	2010	2010	2011	2011	2012	
gas	Month	March	April	July	June	May	July	August	June	June/Ju y	July	mean ± SD
	Ν	20	20	16	48	32	12	66	44	38	17	
нсм	r	0.85	0.94	0.97	0.76	0.7	0.88	0.82	0.85	0.92	0.67	0.84 ± 0.10
TICIN	EnhRx10 ⁻³	2.4	3.83	3.6	3.37	3.26	4.01	6.2	3.19	2.55	2.75	3.52 ± 1.08
	ER	2.23	3.64	3.42	3.17	3.03	3.77	5.63	2.93	2.40	3.25	3.34 ± 0.94
	Ν	30	22	16	49	32	12	64	41	38	17	
сu	r	0.62	0.87	0.97	0.68	0.9	0.72	0.9	0.68	0.86	0.86	0.81 ± 0.12
C2H6	EnhRx10 ⁻³	5.15	10.30	7.34	14.25	10.65	4.27	9.69	13.04	9.98	5.23	8.99 ± 3.40
	ER	5.36	10.60	7.55	14.74	11.08	4.42	10.19	13.63	10.32	7.77	9.56 ± 3.19
	Ν	20	21	13	48	31		30	14	20	8	
<u></u>	r	0.76	0.93	0.92	0.87	0.78		0.63	0.61	0.93	0.55	0.78 ± 0.15
C_2H_2	EnhRx10 ⁻³	2.36	4.16	2.24	5.71	2.93		1.36	3.80	2.45	0.98	2.89 ± 1.47
	ER	3.11	5.16	2.78	7.32	3.87		1.89	5.15	3.14		4.05 ± 1.74
	Ν		6	16	48	11	10	45	18	39	35	
	r		0.76	0.86	0.3	0.12	0.86	0.9	0.74	0.88	0.42	0.64 ± 0.29
CH ₃ OH	EnhRx10 ⁻³		10.69	10.70	42.45	500.79*	24.57	16.89	70.22	28.23	19.62	25.85 ± 20.63
	ER		15.70	15.71			37.64	28.37	114.91*	43.24		28.13 ± 12.52
	Ν	28	21	10	44	27	6	38	9	19		
	r	0.87	0.75	0.93	0.74	0.53	0.97	0.67	0.78	0.83		0.79 ± 0.13
нсоон	EnhRx10 ⁻³	6.30	10.42	2.69	7.48	8.34	8.10	3.14	22.14	4.13		8.08 ± 5.87
	ER	11.37	17.60	11.13	13.12		14.21	5.90	40.86	8.30		15.31 ± 4.03
	Ν	16	18	16	38	28	12	64	43	45	18	
H ₂ CO	r	0.08	0.58	0.64	0.15	-0.09	0.51	0.16	0.42	0.74	0.9	0.41 ± 0.32
	EnhRx10 ⁻³	159.2*	4.13	1.38	8.16	7.17	2.92	3.41	12.13	4.28	7.4	5.37 ± 3.86

Table 5: Correlation between each trace gas and CO inside the smoke plumes detected over Eureka.

994 N is the number of points, r is the coefficient of linear correlation and 'EnhR' is the enhancement 995 ratio, which is the slope derived from the correlation. For all gases except H₂CO, ER represents 996 the corrected enhancement ratios from travel time (calculated only if the linear correlation 997 coefficient (r > 0.6) and the number of points (N >= 6)). *Values excluded from the mean 998 calculations.

999

	Year	2008	2008	2010	2010	2011	2011	2012	2012	maan + SD
gas	Month	March	August	July	August	June	July	April/May	July	mean ± 3D
HCN	Ν	17	20	9	34	12	17	9	18	
	r	0.42	0.79	0.96	0.79	0.8	0.87	0.8	0.85	0.79 ± 0.16
	EnhRx10 ⁻³	4.20	6.09	8.24	7.16	1.60	3.06	1.93	2.95	4.40 ± 2.48
	ER		5.78	7.83	6.50	1.50	2.87	1.79	3.75	4.29 ± 2.45
	Ν	33	44	16	64	23	35	25	34	
сц	r	0.85	0.14	0.93	0.89	0.92	0.89	0.95	0.9	0.81 ± 0.27
C ₂ П ₆	EnhRx10 ⁻³	17.27	-0.02	17.98	11.75	10.10	6.33	11.56	6.73	10.21 ± 5.93
	ER	17.96		18.50	12.35	10.45	6.55	12.02	6.92	12.11 ± 4.76
	Ν	17	21	9	34	12	17	9	18	
C.H.	r	0.58	0.63	0.85	0.76	0.88	0.91	0.88	0.91	0.80 ± 0.13
C2112	EnhRx10 ⁻³	2.58	1.88	3.56	1.37	1.88	2.22	2.52	1.92	2.24 ± 0.66
	ER		2.33	4.42	1.91	2.41	2.84	3.33	2.38	2.80 ± 0.84
	Ν									
CH₃OH	r									
	EnhRx10 ⁻³									
	Ν	13	19	8	30	9	14	11	16	
нсоон	r	0.55	0.87	-0.71	0.76	0.84	0.65	0.88	0.77	0.58 ± 0.53
	EnhRx10 ⁻³	3.80	13.78	-4.47	10.77	12.12	1.57	15.93	6.58	7.51 ± 6.92
	ER		23.27		20.22	21.26	2.75	28.76	11.11	17.90 ± 9.37
	N	30	23	6	30	11	18	15	16	
H ₂ CO	r	0.64	0.34	-0.55	0.57	0.93	0.38	0.56	0.3	0.40 ± 0.43
	EnhRx10 ⁻³	6.02	3.85	-1.76	3.70	11.79	2.21	3.58	2.52	3.99 ± 3.85

Table 6: Correlation between each trace gas and CO inside the smoke plumes detected over Thule. N is the number of points, r is the coefficient of linear correlation and 'EnhR' are the Enhancement Ratios, which are the slopes derived from the correlation. For all gases except H₂CO, ER represents the corrected enhancement ratios from travel time (calculated only if the linear correlation coefficient (r > 0.6) and the number of points (N >= 6)). *Values excluded from the mean calculations.

	Eure	ka	Thule	
	mean ER ± SD	mean EF ± SD	mean ER ± SD	mean EF ± SD
HCN	0.00334 ± 0.00094	0.36 ± 0.17	0.00429 ± 0.00245	0.44 ± 0.25
C_2H_6	0.00956 ± 0.00319	1.09 ± 0.74	0.01211 ± 0.00476	1.39 ± 0.68
C_2H_2	0.00405 ± 0.00174	0.40 ± 0.25	0.00280 ± 0.00084	0.28 ± 0.18
CH₃OH	0.02813 ± 0.01252	3.44 ± 1.68		
НСООН	0.01531 ± 0.00403	2.69 ± 1.14	0.01790 ± 0.00937	3.15 ± 1.46

1010Table 7: Means and one-sigma standard deviations of equivalent emission ratios and emission1011factors (using EFco for the extratropical forest) calculated from FTIR measurements performed at1012Eureka and Thule for HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , and HCOOH. Standard deviations are smaller1013than in Tables 5 and 6 because filters (using threshold values on the linear correlation coefficient1014(r > 0.6) and the number of points (N >= 6)) were applied in the calculation of equivalent emission1015ratios.



1017 Figure 1: Locations of the FTIR measurements at Eureka (E) and Thule (T) (map provided by

- 1018 GOOGLE EARTH V 7.0.3.8542, US Dept. of State Geographer, Google, 2012, Image Landsat,
- 1019 Data SIO, NOAA, U.S, Navy, NGA, and GEBCO).
- 1020



Figure 2: Timeseries of CO, HCN, and C_2H_6 total columns measured at Eureka (left panels) and Thule (right panels) from 2008 to 2012. The brown lines represent the polynomial fits to the data.



Figure 3: Timeseries of C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , HCOOH and H_2CO total columns measured at Eureka (left panels) and Thule (right panels) from 2008 to 2012. The brown lines represent the polynomial fits to the data.



Figure 4: Example of attribution of fire source region and transport time for the event number 3,
detected at Eureka on the 10th of July, 2008. a) STILT footprints for that day, b) MODIS fire hot
spots, c) HYSPLIT backtrajectories ending that day, d) OMI UV aerosol index for that day.



Figure 5: Timeseries of CO, HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , and H_2CO total columns measured by the FTIRs at Eureka (blue) and Thule (green) and calculated by MOZART-4 at Eureka (black) and Thule (red) for 2008.

1041



1043

Figure 6: Timeseries of CO, HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , CH₃OH, and H₂CO total columns measured by the FTIRs at Eureka (blue) and Thule (green) and calculated by MOZART-4 at Eureka (black) and Thule (red) for the August 2010 fire event.



Figure 7: Scatter plots of the total columns of the target species (HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , CH_3OH , HCOOH, and H_2CO) relative to CO for the ten fire events detected at Eureka (2008-2012).



1054

Figure 8: Scatter plots of the total columns of the target species (HCN, C_2H_6 , C_2H_2 , HCOOH, and H₂CO) relative to CO for the eight fire events detected at Thule (2008-2012).



Figure 9: Emission factors calculated from the FTIR measurements performed at Eureka (cyan) and Thule (green), using EF_{CO} of Andreae and Merlet (2001) for extratropical forest. Error bars correspond to the uncertainty in the CO emission factor and the uncertainty in the calculated regression slope, as well as the total uncertainties of the retrievals, all combined in quadrature.

1064



Figure 10: Emission factors for boreal and extratropical EF_{CO} calculated from FTIR measurements
(blue and cyan), along with the emission factors found in the compilation studies of Akagi et al.
(2011) (red) and Andreae and Merlet (2001) (pink).