### 1 Responses to Reviewer's Comments to

2 Zhang et al., "Fossil vs. non-fossil sources of fine carbonaceous aerosols in four Chinese 3 cities during the extreme winter haze episode in 2013" 4 5 We thank the reviewers for their comments on our paper. To guide the review process we 6 have copied the reviewer comments in black color font. Our responses are in blue font. We 7 have responded to all the referee comments and done the modifications accordingly. 8 9 Anonymous Referee #1 10 General comments: 11 This manuscript describes radiocarbon source apportionment of organic aerosols during 12 winter-time smog episodes in China. Air quality is a big concern in Chinese cities and 13 especially the sources and formation mechanisms of organic aerosol are still un-certain. Using 14 radiocarbon for source apportionment of organic aerosols is a very useful method, because, 15 unlike tracer ratios, the 14C signature of the sources is not changed by chemical 16 transformations in the atmosphere. The methods and results are described clearly. The results are very relevant, showing that 17 18 winter haze episodes do not necessarily result from an increase of specific fossil or non-fossil 19 sources, but from an accumulation of pollutants accompanied by strong formation of 20 secondary organic aerosol. 21 Given these results, the manuscript could be made significantly stronger, if the authors 22 would additionally investigate meteorological conditions and air mass histories and their 23 potential role in the pollution episodes. If the conditions could be identified that favor the 24 accumulation of pollutant and secondary organic aerosol formation, this would give important 25 insight into the pollution episodes. 26 However, the presented results are sufficiently interesting and important to be published 27 and therefore I recommend acceptance with minor revisions, detailed below. 28 Reply: We thank the reviewer for the nice summary of our paper and the positive 29 comments. In the following we will respond to each comment listed below separately. The 30 primary objective is to study fossil versus non-fossil contribution to both the OC and EC 31 during the moderately polluted days and heavily polluted days. As a result, we did not include 32 intensive discussion on how meteorological conditions affect PM and SOA formation in 33 current study. Actually, this kind of studies has already been reported in several other studies 34 (Wehner et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2014). In the revised MS, we add following sentence in

35 Sec 3.1: "The higher PM2.5 mass, OC and EC observed during the polluted period was

36 characterized by low wind speed but not significantly sensitive by the temperature and

1 relative humidity." Concerning air mass back trajectory, we did not find any significant

2 dependence between PM2.5 (OC, EC) and air mass origins (see the response and Figure R2

3 below). Therefore, we decided not include air mass back trajectory analysis in this study.

4 Specific comments:

5 p26264, line 10ff: Is the uncertainty for fm(OC) based on the reproducibility of the 6 sunset OC/EC measurements and the uncertainties of the fraction modern? If, yes, please state 7 so explicitly. In principle the uncertainty of EC-OC determination is much larger than the 8 reproducibility derived from using one particular protocol. Inter-laboratory comparisons using 9 different protocols for EC-OC determination show much larger uncertainties, on the order of 10 30% for EC. Please discuss this and estimate a resulting uncertainty for fm(OC). 11 Reply: the uncertainty for fm(OC) (8%) was obtained from an error propagation and

include all the individual uncertainties of the fM(TC) (2%), fM(EC) (5%), TC (8%)and EC (25%). The sentence is changed as "The uncertainty of  $f_M(OC)$  estimated by this approach is on average 8% obtained from an error propagation and include all the individual uncertainties of the  $f_M(TC)$  (2%),  $f_M(EC)$  (5%), TC (8%) and EC (25%)." We would also like to emphasize that the variability of EC concentrations between different protocols is substantially reduced,

17 if water-extracted filters are used (see Zhang et al., 2012 in MS).

p26272, line 7: What are the uncertainties given here (standard deviation, standard errorof the mean, propagated experimental uncertainties)?

20 Reply: it is standard deviation. The sentence is changed as "OCf contributions (mean±
21 standard deviation) to total OC were 37 ±3 %..."

p26272, line 11: You mean the variability between different cities? Because within eachcity the fraction of OCf in OC still seems relatively constant

Reply: yes. The sentence is changed as "The large variability of the fraction of OCf to
total OC among the different cities..."

26 p26273, line 11: Please give a reference for the lev-to-K ratio in hardwood burning.

27 Reply: the reference is now added.

p26273, line 25: Please note that in the corresponding figure, OCother, nf is called OCbio
Reply: the figure is now changed.

p26273, line 27ff: In this sentence it is already assumed that OCother, nf is mainly
 secondary aerosol. This is discussed in more detail later. Please state this more clearly here, or

32 maybe already on page 26267, line 5ff.

Reply: The sentences are changed as "Despite a large spread of OC<sub>sec,f</sub> and OC<sub>other, nf</sub>, the data conclusively shows that both contributions were always larger on the heavily than on the moderately polluted days, highlighting the importance of fossil-derived SOC formation and other non-fossil emissions excluding primary biomass burning sources. The increased OC<sub>other,nf</sub> is likely due to enhanced SOC formation from biomass burning and other non-fossil 1 sources (see Sec. 3.3)."

p26274, line 22ff: Here you state very generally that SOC from non-fossil sources is
mainly from biomass burning. However, this need not necessarily be true for SH and GZ,
where temperatures during this time period are well above 0 degrees.

Reply: yes, the biogenic SOC could not be excluded for SH and GZ. The sentence is
changed as "The dominating contribution of OC<sub>other, nf</sub> is likely due to the increase of SOC
formation from non-fossil sources mainly from biomass-burning emissions, although
biogenic-derived SOC could not be excluded for SH and GZ where temperatures during the
sampling period are above 0 degrees. "

10 p26275, line 9: Do you mean here the fossil contribution to primary aerosol?

11 Reply: "fossil contribution" here means "fossil contribution to TC" which is now12 clarified.

P26276, line 10: Given that Huang et al., 2014 reached similar conclusions for total
PM2.5, I think comparing the results and conclusions between these two studies, should go
further than just comparison of the source apportionment methods. Please compare the results

16 of this study to Huang et al., 2014 in more detail.

17 Reply: the primary objective of our study is to investigate sources and formation18 mechanisms of fine carbonaceous aerosols. The comparison between this study and Huang et

19 al., 2014 is to evaluate the LHS model performance used in this study (see Sec2.5). We

20 believe that both studies have already given sufficient but different information, so further

21 comparison between 2 studies is not necessary.

P26276, line 25: A slope of 1.13 is usually not called a 13% offset. More often, the intercept of the regression line is called 'offset'. In general, it is better not to force the regression line through 0, because the intercept also contains information. Please change this.

Reply: The term "offset" was changed to "deviation" in the text. As the intercept is
statistically insignificant (see below in Fig. R1), we remained the figure as it was and added

27 the sentence to the caption: "Note that the intercepts are insignificant for all three cases."



Figure R1: Figure 7 with intercepts for the three cases. All of which are statisticallyinsignificant so that we omit to show them in the manuscript.

Figure 5: The labeling in this Figure is much too small. I had to use 400% magnification
to read the subscripts. Maybe using on general legend for all the figures (e.g. on the top)
would be a solution:

Reply: the figure is now changed according to the reviewer's comment.

8

1

4

9

10 Anonymous Referee #2

This paper describes a source apportionment of the carbonaceous component of 24h 11 12 samples of PM2.5 collected in four major cities in China in January 2013, when total PM2.5 13 concentrations reached very high levels (up to 100s g m-3). The source apportionment is 14 principally based on the proportion fossil/non-fossil carbon in the TC, and in the OC and EC 15 fractions, as determined from accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) measurements of the 16 amount of the radiocarbon isotope, 14C, in the carbon. These data were supplemented by 17 measurements of the levoglucosan, mannosan and water-soluble K+ concentrations in the 18 PM2.5 which provide additional information for the source apportionment of biomass 19 burning.

20 Both the analytical and data-interpretation methodologies for this study follow very 21 closely that of a number of previous studies, particularly in Europe, undertaking similar source apportionment of the carbonaceous aerosol. This has the advantage of use of methodology that has already been through the peer-reviewed literature. The novelty here is its application to PM2.5 samples in very large Chinese cities that have experienced PM2.5 levels up to an order of magnitude greater than in many European urban locations. Poor air quality in China is clearly a major cause for concern and it is important for all, particularly policy-makers, to have insight into the constituent components and sources of the PM2.5.

7 Key results from this study include the finding of substantial non-fossil contribution to 8 OC (in common with similar studies globally) and the inference that a substantial fraction of 9 this non-fossil OC is primary rather than secondary in nature. The authors also compared their 10 source apportionments between the most heavily-polluted days and moderately polluted days 11 and noted that despite the increase in absolute masses the proportion of secondary was even 12 slightly higher.

The paper describes thorough experimental procedures and appropriate data analysis methodologies. The writing is generally fluent, although occasional grammar and comma punctuation usage requires amendments. I have a couple of points regarding scientific interpretation, and the remaining points are largely concerned with presentation. I recommend this paper as suitable for publication in ACP following attention to these issues and any other relevant issues raised by other reviewers.

19 Reply: we thank the reviewer for the nice summary of our paper and the positive20 comments. In the following we will respond to each comment listed below separately.

(1) The authors could likely gain some greater insight into the origin of their various
 carbon fractions by undertaking an air-mass back-trajectory investigation for the days of their
 samples, particularly through a comparison of the high-pollution vs. moderate-pollution days.

Reply: the carbon faction is not dependent on air mass origins. The air mass back trajectory analysis (see Figure R2 below) shows the prevailing air masses are generally from the north during our measurement period which has already been reported by Huang et al. (2014). As a result, it is not included in the current study.



# Longitude

1

2 Figure R2: Air mass back trajectories of air arriving the measurement sites on each 3 measurement day, calculated using the NOAA HYSPLIT model (Huang et al., 2014) 4 (2) The description and nomenclature of the divisors used in Equations (3) and (5) was 5 not immediately clear to me, i.e. the terms fM(bb) and fM(nf) in the two equations 6 respectively. I interpret these terms as being the values used to correct the fM(EC) and 7 fM(OC) values to yield a fraction contemporary carbon in EC and OC, rather than the fraction modern carbon in EC and OC. In my opinion, the terminology fM(bb), and the phrase "a 14C 8 9 reference value for biomass burning" do not make it clear that the reference value is the 10 percent modern in EC emitted from burning contemporary carbon-containing fuel. Likewise, 11 for lack of clarity in Equation (5). The application of these terms does not become clearer 12 until the text in point #1 on P26267. 13 Reply: To make the Equation (3) clearer, the sentence is changed as "ECbb is calculated 14 from the EC mass concentration, fM(EC) and a reference value of biomass-burning EC (i.e.

fraction of modern in EC emitted from biomass burning sources, fM(bb))". To make the Equation (5) clearer, the sentence is changed as "Analogously, OC is divided into two subfractions, OC from fossil fuel (OCf) and non-fossil emissions (OCnf). To account for the thermonuclear weapon tests of the late 1950s and early 1960s, OC<sub>nf</sub> is calculated from the OC mass concentration,  $f_M(OC)$  and a <sup>14</sup>C reference value of non-fossil emissions (i.e. fraction of modern in OC emitted from non-fossil sources,  $f_M(nf)$ )."

21 Technical corrections:

22 Abstract: State the collection duration of each PM2.5 sample (24 h).

23 Reply: it is added.

Abstract: State the number of samples analysed for 14C, i.e. the number of sample values that underpin the mean and standard deviation of source apportionment pro-portions presented in the abstract.

27 Reply: it is added.

1 P26259, L6: Rephrase end of the sentence as ":::was conducted at the four major cities of

- 2 Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou." (The fact that the study was conducted in several
- 3 large cities in China has already been stated in the previous sentence.)
- 4 Reply: it is corrected.
- 5 P26259, L7. Delete "An effective" and start the sentence directly as "Statistical analysis
- 6 of:::" Remove the words "An effective" from in front of similar phrasing elsewhere in the
- 7 paper where the Latin Hypercube technique is mentioned; it is a redundant adjective.
- 8 Reply: it is corrected.
- 9 P26259, L11: Rewrite as "across all sites."
- 10 Reply: this is corrected.
- P26259, L19: Delete "rather". 11
- 12 Reply: this is corrected.
- 13 P26260, L1: Delete both the two commas.
- 14 Reply: this is corrected.
- 15 P26261, L9: Delete comma.
- Reply: this is corrected. 16
- 17 P2621, L22: Please provide a quantitative indication of what is meant by "extremely high
- 18 concentrations of PM2.5"
- 19 Reply: It is changed as "During January 2013, the severe problem of air pollution in
- 20 China became a worldwide concern, as extremely high concentrations of 24-h PM2.5 (i.e.
- often >100  $\mu$ m/m<sup>3</sup>) were reported in several large cities affecting ~1.3 million km<sup>2</sup> and ~800 21 22 million people."
- 23 P26263, L4: "Six filters were selected:::"
- 24 Reply: this is corrected.
- 25 P26265, L12: Sort out the formatting of the citation in this sentence.
- 26 Reply: this is corrected.
- 27 P26267, L21: Insert "for" to read "To correct for the ...."
- 28 Reply: this is corrected.
- 29 P26271, L16: Correct the sentence containing the phrase "...with an equally 30 enhancement:::" which doesn't make grammatical sense.
- 31 Reply: the sentence is changed as "This finding suggests that the increase of ECf and

32 EC<sub>bb</sub> emissions in the three cities on the heavily polluted days is likely due to an equal

33 enhancement of fossil fuel and biomass-burning combustion emissions and the accumulation

- 34 of these particulate pollutants."
- 35 P26272, L13: Should this read the "ratio of ECf to OCf"?
- 36 Reply: this is corrected.
- 37 P26273, L6: Insert comma after "marker"

- 1 Reply: this is corrected.
- 2 P26273, L7: Insert comma after ")"
- 3 Reply: this is corrected.
- 4 P26277, LL6&&: Provide a definition here of the two acronyms MPD and HPD.
- 5 Reply: the sentences are changed as: "Furthermore, it decreases the OC<sub>sec,f</sub>-to-OC<sub>pri,f</sub> ratio

6 of Beijing from 2.7 and 5.9 to 1.2 and 2.9 for the moderately and heavily polluted days,

7 respectively. As a consequence, these values become better comparable with those of the

8 other cities, but still underline the importance of secondary aerosol formation during the

9 heavily polluted days."

10 P26295: Delete the word "below" from the last line of the caption of Figure 6.

11 Reply: this is corrected.

12 Supplementary information, caption to Table S1: Should read "The sampling dates for 13 the:::."

14 Reply: this is corrected.

15 Anonymous Referee #3

The manuscript presents comprehensive and well-established methodology to reveal the sources of fine carbonaceous aerosols in China under conditions of heavy pollution episodes. The approach taken by the authors is not particularly innovative, it is put together from previous works, many of which are linked to the authors themselves. Nevertheless, it is worth publication since it deals with pollution levels not frequently encountered in other parts of the world, and applies methods that are adequate, up-to-date and well-proven in similar studies.

22 However, I have two major points that need to be addressed before publication in ACP

Reply: we thank the reviewer for the nice summary of our paper and the positivecomments. In the following we will respond to each comment listed below separately.

25 1) On Page 26266, as part of their own innovation, the authors introduce a p factor that is 26 intended to split OC/EC primary emission factor between coal combustion and vehicular 27 emission. p is simply defined as a percentage of coal combustion within total fossil fuel 28 emission in China. Since the focus of this study is exclusively fine particulates, and coal 29 combustion and tailpipe emission is well-known to produce fundamentally different size 30 distributions, the use of this overly simplified p factor cannot be justified. This should either 31 be omitted or estimated on the basis of relevant studies that take into account the size-32 resolved emission factors from both coal combustion and vehicular emission.

Reply: we agreed that the size distribution may differ in particles emitted from coal and
tailpipe emission. However, it should be noted that the size of particles emitted from coal and
tailpipe are mostly smaller than 2.5 μm (the particle size used in this study) (Huang et al.,
2006; Zhang et al., 2012). As a result, the size distribution would not affect our results if we
did not study fossil and non-fossil carbon in size-resolved particles (i.e. from 0.056 μm to 10

μm). In fact, a very large range of p (0-0.7) instead of simply p value was used in the study. In
 addition, when increasing the p value (i.e. from 0.35 to 0.70 for central p value) for Beijing,
 we found ECf, ECbb, OCbb and OCother, nf are <u>independent</u> of the choice of the p value (see
 Table S2 and Fig. S1), although the contribution of SOCf was decreased, but still underlining
 the importance of fossil-derived SOC. Moreover, the comparison of our study and Huang et al
 (2014) confirmed that our source apportionment model and input parameters were justified.

7 2) My other major concern is related to the assumption that OCsecondary becomes 8 relatively more important in times of high levels of air pollutions. This issue is dis-cussed in 9 details in many previous source apportionment papers, and is partly related to nomenclature. 10 Can we consider enhanced particle-phase partitioning (condensation) of semi-volatile organic 11 compounds at colder temperatures simply as an increase in secondary organic aerosol (SOA)? 12 Traditional perception of SOA generally implies some photochemical transformations prior to 13 aerosol partitioning, which may not be the case here, at least not for the entire mass increment 14 that is declared to be OC-secondary. In my opinion, part of this apparent SOA is not SOA if 15 we strictly follow the definitions of atmospheric chemistry. However, the methodology 16 applied by the authors does not allow distinction to be made between simple condensation 17 and photochemical transformations. Thus, at least a critical discussion of the issue needs to be 18 added to the manuscript.

19 Reply: we agree that the condensation of semi-volatile organic compounds at colder 20 temperatures may contribute to the explained SOA in source apportionment study. However, 21 this contribution is very small compared to the real SOA enhancement because the 22 temperatures during the heavily polluted days were not significant lower than those found on 23 other days. So we do not believe that the increased SOA measured by our model is due to 24 condensation of semi-volatile organic compounds. The condensation of semi-volatile organic 25 aerosol generally may contribute with some extent to the measured SOA in winter but the 26 increased SOA between the moderately and heavily polluted days is largely due to enhanced 27 SOA formation. To make it clearer, the statement is added in Sec 3.3.1 "It should be also 28 noted that the condensation of semi-volatile organic aerosols generally may contribute to 29 some extent to the measured SOA in winter due to the colder temperature in the northern sites 30 such as Beijing and Xian. However, the increased SOA between the MPD and HPD measured 31 by the current method is mostly if not exclusively due to enhanced SOA formation since the 32 temperatures during the moderately and heavily polluted days were not significantly 33 different."

34

35 References:

Huang, X. F., Yu, J. Z., He, L. Y., and Hu, M.: Size distribution characteristics of elemental
 carbon emitted from Chinese vehicles: results of a tunnel study and atmospheric

1 implications, Env	iron. Sci. Techr	101., 40, 5355-	5360, 2006.
---------------------	------------------	-----------------	-------------

- Wehner, B., Birmili, W., Ditas, F., Wu, Z., Hu, M., Liu, X., Mao, J., Sugimoto, N., and
  Wiedensohler, A.: Relationships between submicrometer particulate air pollution and
  air mass history in Beijing, China, 2004–2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6155-6168,
  2008.
- Zhang, H., Wang, S., Hao, J., Wan, L., Jiang, J., Zhang, M., Mestl, H. E. S., Alnes, L. W. H.,
  Aunan, K., and Mellouki, A. W.: Chemical and size characterization of particles
  emitted from the burning of coal and wood in rural households in Guizhou, China,
  Atmos. Environ., 51, 94-99, 2012.
- Zhang, R., Li, Q., and Zhang, R.: Meteorological conditions for the persistent severe fog and
   haze event over eastern China in January 2013, SCIENCE CHINA Earth Sciences,
   57, 26-35, 2014.

# **Fossil vs. non-fossil sources of fine carbonaceous**

2 aerosols in four Chinese cities during the extreme

# 3 winter haze episode in 2013

4

5 Yan-Lin Zhang<sup>1,2,3,4,\*</sup>, Ru-Jin Huang<sup>2</sup>, Imad El Haddad<sup>2</sup>, Kin-Fai Ho<sup>5,6</sup>, Jun-Ji

6 Cao<sup>6</sup>, Yongming Han<sup>6</sup>, Peter Zotter<sup>2</sup>, Carlo Bozzetti<sup>2</sup>, Kaspar R. Daellenbach<sup>2</sup>,

7 Francesco Canonaco<sup>2</sup>, Jay G. Slowik<sup>2</sup>, Gary Salazar<sup>1,3</sup>, Margit Schwikowski<sup>2,3</sup>,

9 Baltensperger<sup>2</sup>, Andr éS.H. Pr év ôt<sup>2</sup>, S önke Szidat<sup>1,3,\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, University of Bern, Freiestrasse 3, 3012 Bern,

- 11 Switzerland
- 12 <sup>2</sup>Paul Scherrer Institute (PSI), Villigen, 5232 Villigen-PSI, Switzerland
- 13 <sup>3</sup>Oeschger Centre for Climate Change Research, University of Bern, 3012 Bern, Switzerland
- 14 <sup>4</sup>Yale-NUIST Center on Atmospheric Environment, Nanjing University of Information
- 15 Science and Technology, Nanjing, Jiangsu, China
- <sup>5</sup>School of Public Health and Primary Care, The Chinese University of Hong Kong, Hong
  Kong, China
- 18 <sup>6</sup>Key Lab of Aerosol Science & Technology, SKLLQG, Institute of Earth Environment,
- 19 Chinese Academy of Sciences, Xi'an, 710075, China
- 20 <sup>7</sup>Helmholtz Zentrum München, German Research Center for Environmental Health (GmbH),
- 21 Joint Mass Spectrometry Centre, Cooperation Group Comprehensive Molecular Analytics
- 22 and Helmholtz Virtual Institute of Complex Molecular Systems in Environmental Health —
- 23 Aerosol and Health (HICE), 85764 Neuherberg, Germany.
- 24 <sup>8</sup>University of Rostock, Joint Mass Spectrometry Centre, Institute of Chemistry Chair of
- 25 Analytical Chemistry, 18015 Rostock, Germany
- 26
- 27 \*Correspondence to dryanlinzhang@gmail.com (Y. L. Zhang); szidat@dcb.unibe.ch (S.
- 28 Szidat)

<sup>8</sup> Jürgen Schnelle-Kreis<sup>7</sup>, Gülcin Abbaszade<sup>7</sup>, Ralf Zimmermann<sup>7,8</sup>, Urs

#### 29 Abstract

30 During winter 2013, extremely high concentrations (i.e. 4-20 times higher than the World 31 Health Organization guideline) of PM2.5 (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter <2.5 32 µm) mass concentrations (24 hour samples) were reported found in four major cities in China 33 including Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhouseveral large cities in China. In this work, 34 source apportionment of fine carbonaceous aerosols during this haze episode was conducted at major cities in China including Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou. An effective 35 statistical Statistical analysis of a combined dataset from elemental carbon (EC) and organic 36 carbon (OC), <sup>14</sup>C and biomass-burning marker measurements using Latin-hypercube sampling 37 allowed a quantitative source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols. Based on <sup>14</sup>C 38 39 measurement in EC fraction (6 samples each city), We-we found that fossil emissions from coal 40 combustion and vehicle exhaust dominated EC with a mean contribution of 75±8% at-across all 41 sites. The remaining 25±8% was exclusively attributed to biomass combustion, consistent with 42 the measurements of biomass-burning markers such as anhydrosugars (levoglucosan and 43 mannosan) and water-soluble potassium  $(K^{+})$ . With a combination of the levoglucosan-to-44 mannosan and levoglucosan-to-K<sup>+</sup> ratios, the major source of biomass burning in winter in China 45 is suggested to be combustion of crop residues. The contribution of fossil sources to OC was 46 highest in Beijing ( $58\pm5\%$ ) and decreased from Shanghai ( $49\pm2\%$ ) to Xian ( $38\pm3\%$ ) and 47 Guangzhou (35±7%). Generally, a larger fraction of fossil OC was rather-from secondary origins than primary sources for all sites. Non-fossil sources accounted on average for 55±10% and 48 49 48±9% of OC and TC, respectively, which suggests that non-fossil emissions were very important 50 contributors of urban carbonaceous aerosols in China. The primary biomass-burning emissions 51 accounted for 40±8%, 48±18%, 53±4% and 65±26% of non-fossil OC for Xian, Beijing, 52 Shanghai and Guangzhou, respectively. Other non-fossil sources excluding primary biomass-53 burning were mainly attributed to formation of secondary organic carbon (SOC) from non-fossil 54 precursors such as biomass-burning emissions. For each site, we also compared samples from 55 moderately with heavily polluted days according to particulate matter mass. Despite a significant 56 increase of absolute mass concentrations of primary emissions from both -fossil and non-fossil 57 sources, during the heavily polluted events, their relative contribution to TC was even decreased, 58 whereas the portion of SOC was consistently increased at all sites. This observation indicates that 59 SOC was an important fraction in the increment of carbonaceous aerosols during the haze episode 60 in China.

#### 61 1 Introduction

62 Driven by continuous urbanization and industrialization and a rapid growth in the number of motor vehicles and energy consumption, large-scale severe air pollution episodes often affect 63 64 most cities in China. An increase in the number of haze days is expected to have an adverse impact on human health (Chan and Yao, 2008). Atmospheric fine particles such as PM2.5 65 (particulate matter with an aerodynamic diameter of below 2.5 µm) have been reported as an 66 67 important air pollutant in China (Donkelaar et al., 2010; Yang et al., 2011; Cao et al., 2012; Huang et al., 2013; Zhao et al., 2013), and its burden is much higher than the 24h-mean of 25 68 69 µg/m<sup>3</sup> suggested by the Air Quality Guidelines of the of World Health Organization (WHO) 70 (WHO, 2006).

71 Carbonaceous aerosols are a major fraction of PM2.5 contributing 20-50% of the total PM 72 mass in China's urban atmosphere (Cao et al., 2007). In addition to health and visibility effects, 73 carbonaceous aerosols also influence the earth's climate directly by scattering and absorbing solar 74 radiation and indirectly by modifying cloud microphysics (Pöschl, 2005; IPCC, 2013). 75 Carbonaceous aerosols can be classified into elemental carbon (EC) and organic carbon (OC). EC 76 is exclusively emitted as primary aerosols from incomplete combustion of fossil fuels and 77 biomass burning, whereas OC is a complex mixture of primary directly emitted OC particles 78 (POC) and secondary OC (SOC) formed in-situ in the atmosphere via the oxidation of gas-phase 79 precursors (Pöschl, 2005). POC and precursors of SOC may stem from a vast variety of sources 80 from both anthropogenic (e.g. coal combustion, vehicle emissions and cooking) and natural 81 sources (e.g. biogenic emissions) (Carlton et al., 2009). These sources change over time and 82 space, which makes source apportionment difficult.

83 Several techniques have been applied to quantify the emission sources of carbonaceous aerosols. Radiocarbon (14C) measurements provide a powerful tool for unambiguously 84 determining fossil and non-fossil sources of carbonaceous particles, since <sup>14</sup>C is completely 85 depleted in fossil-fuel emissions due to its age (half-life 5730 years), whereas non-fossil carbon 86 87 sources (e.g. biomass burning, cooking or biogenic emissions) show a contemporary <sup>14</sup>C content 88 (Szidat, 2009; Heal, 2014). Moreover, a better <sup>14</sup>C-based source apportionment can be obtainedwhen <sup>14</sup>C determinations are performed on OC and EC separately, since EC originates exclusively 89 90 from combustion of biomass and fossil fuels (Szidat et al., 2006; Szidat, 2009; Bernardoni et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). However, as both biogenic and biomass-burning OC 91 contain <sup>14</sup>C on the contemporary level, it is still difficult to quantify the contribution from these 92 two sources to OC by <sup>14</sup>C measurements alone. When these are combined with OC/EC and 93

94 organic marker measurements, the primary and secondary origins of the fossil and non-fossil 95 fractions can be identified (Szidat et al., 2006; Szidat et al., 2007; Szidat et al., 2009; Minguillón 96 et al., 2011; Yttri et al., 2011). In particular, levoglucosan, a thermal degradation product of 97 cellulose combustion, can be used as molecular marker to identify primary biomass-burning 98 emissions (Simoneit et al., 1999; Puxbaum et al., 2007; Viana et al., 2013).

99 During January 2013, the severe problem of air pollution in China became a worldwide 100 concern, as extremely high concentrations of 24-h PM2.5 (i.e. often >100  $\mu$ m/m<sup>3</sup>) were reported in several large cities affecting ~1.3 million km<sup>2</sup> and ~800 million people. To investigate sources 101 102 and formation mechanisms of fine carbonaceous aerosols from this high pollution episode across 103 China, an intensive field experiment was carried out in the four large cities Xian, Beijing, 104 Shanghai and Guangzhou, each of them located in different climatic regions, i.e. central-105 northwest region, Beijing-Tianjin region, Yangtze Delta Region, and Pearl River Delta Region, 106 respectively. These measurements were used in conjunction with an effective statistical approach, 107 Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) (Gelencsér et al., 2007), to elucidate the origins of the 108 carbonaceous aerosol during the haze event.

#### 109 2 Methods

#### 110 2.1 Sampling

111 Measurement sites are located in Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, the representative 112 cities of the central-northwest region, Beijing-Tianjin region, Yangtze Delta Region, and Pearl 113 River Delta Region, respectively. In these regions, haze events frequently occur during winter, 114 when weather conditions trap pollutants over the plain. Detailed descriptions of the sampling sites 115 are given in Table 1. In each city, 24-hour integrated PM2.5 samples were collected on pre-baked quartz filters using high-volume samplers at a flow rate of ~1.05 m<sup>3</sup>/min from 5 to 25 January 116 117 2013. The sampling sites are located within campuses of universities or at research centers, >100 118 m away from local sources, such as major roadways, industry or domestic sources. At each 119 sampling site, one field blank sample was collected and analyzed. The results reported here are 120 corrected for corresponding field blanks (Cao et al., 2013). All samples collected were stored at -121 20 °C before analysis. The PM2.5 mass on each filter was gravimetrically measured using a 122 temperature and relative humidity controlled microbalance.

#### 123 2.2 Thermal-optical carbon analysis

A 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> punch from the filter samples is taken for the analysis of the OC and EC mass concentrations by the EUSAAR\_2 thermal-optical transmission protocol (Cavalli et al., 2010). The replicate analysis of samples (n = 6) showed a good analytical precision with relative standard deviations of 4.8%, 9.1%, and 5.0% for OC, EC and TC, respectively. The average field blank of OC was  $2.0 \pm 1.0 \ \mu\text{g/cm}^2$  (equivalent to ~0.5  $\ \mu\text{g/m}^3$ ), which was subtracted from the measured OC concentrations. A corresponding EC blank was not detectable.

# 130 2.3 <sup>14</sup>C analysis of the carbonaceous fractions

131 Six filters are were selected per sampling site for <sup>14</sup>C analysis, three from days with a very 132 high PM loading and three representing an average loading, which are described in Table S1 in the supplement. A thermo-optical OC/EC analyzer (Model4L, Sunset Laboratory Inc, USA) 133 equipped with a non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) detector is used for the isolation of different 134 carbon fractions for subsequent <sup>14</sup>C measurements using a four-step thermo-optical protocol 135 Swiss 4S. The method is described in detail elsewhere (Zhang et al., 2012). For EC isolation, 136 137 filter samples are first treated by water extraction to remove water-soluble OC to minimize the positive artefact from OC charring to the <sup>14</sup>C result of EC. To remove both non-refractory and 138 refractory OC fractions, the water-extracted filters are then combusted or heated in the following 139 140 3 steps: step 1 in an oxidizing atmosphere (O<sub>2</sub>, 99.9995%) at 375 °C for 150s; step 2 in O<sub>2</sub> at 141 475 °C for 180s; step 3 in helium, at 450 °C for 180s followed by at 650 °C for 180s. Finally, EC 142 is isolated by the combustion of the remaining carbonaceous material at 760 °C within 150s in 143 O<sub>2</sub>. This method is optimized to minimize a possible negative EC artifact due to losses of the least 144 refractory EC in the OC removal steps prior to EC collection. In a recent study, we found that the 145 aforementioned negative artefact due to premature EC loss during a harsh OC removal procedure 146 (e.g. combustion of samples at 375 °C for 4 h or longer) before EC isolation potentially underestimates biomass-burning EC contribution by up to ~70%, if only small amounts of EC are 147 recovered (Zhang et al., 2012). The EC recovery for <sup>14</sup>C measurement in this work is 78±10%. A 148 149 bias from underestimation of biomass burning EC caused by the EC loss of  $22 \pm 10\%$  is corrected 150 using the approach described by Zhang et al. (2012). For TC samples, the filters are combusted 151 using the whole Swiss 4S protocol without OC/EC separation. After the combustion/separation of the desired carbonaceous aerosol fractions (i.e. TC or EC), the resulting  $CO_2$  is trapped 152 cryogenically and sealed in glass ampoules for <sup>14</sup>C measurement, which is conducted by a 153 154 tabletop accelerator mass spectrometry (AMS) system MICADAS using a gas ion source (Wacker 15 et al., 2013) at the Laboratory for the Analysis of Radiocarbon with AMS (LARA), University of Bern, Switzerland (Szidat et al., 2014). <sup>14</sup>C results are expressed as fractions of modern ( $f_M$ ), i.e. the fraction of the <sup>14</sup>C/<sup>12</sup>C ratio of the sample related to the isotopic ratio of the reference year 1950 (Stuiver and Polach, 1977). This data is then corrected for <sup>14</sup>C decay during the period between 1950 and 2013, i.e. the year of measurement. The uncertainties of  $f_M(EC)$  and  $f_M(TC)$  are <5% and <2%, respectively. <sup>14</sup>C results in OC ( $f_M(OC)$ ) is not measured directly, but calculated by:

162

$$f_{M}(OC) = \frac{TC \times f_{M}(TC) - EC \times f_{M}(EC)}{OC}$$
(1)

163 The uncertainty of  $f_{M}(OC)$  estimated by this approach is on average 8% obtained from an 164 error propagation and include all the individual uncertainties of the  $f_{M}(TC)$  (2%),  $f_{M}(EC)$  (5%), 165 TC (8%) and EC (25%). The uncertainty of  $f_{M}(OC)$  estimated by this approach is < 5%. No blank 166 corrections are made for determination of <sup>14</sup>C, as the different carbonaceous fractions 167 contributions from field blanks are all less than 2% and thus can be neglected.

#### 168 2.4 Anhydrosugars and water-soluble potassium measurements

169 The anhydrosugars (levoglucosan and mannosan) are measured by a recently developed in-170 situ derivatization/thermal desorption gas-chromatography-mass spectrometry method (IDTD-GC-MS) (Schnelle-Kreis et al., 2005; Orasche et al., 2011). Briefly, the filter punches are placed 171 172 into glass liners suitable for an automated thermal desorption unit. Isotope-labelled standard 173 compounds are spiked onto the filter surface to account for matrix-influences for quantification. 174 Derivatization is performed on the filter by adding of liquid reagent N-methyl-N-(trimethylsilyl) 175 trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA, Macherey-Nagel, Germany). During 16 min of desorption time, in 176 addition an in-situ derivatization with gaseous MSTFA is carried out to quantitatively silylate 177 polar organic compounds and optimize the automated desorption process. Derivatized and 178 desorbed molecules are first trapped on a pre-column before separation by gas chromatography 179 (BPX-5 capillary column, SGE, Australia). The detection and quantification of compounds is 180 carried out on a Pegasus III time-of-flight mass spectrometer (TOF-MS) using the ChromaTOF 181 software package (LECO, St. Joseph, MI).

182 Concentrations of water-soluble potassium ( $K^+$ ) and other ions are analyzed with ion 183 chromatography (850 Professional IC, Metrohm, Switzerland) after leaching of a 1.0 cm<sup>2</sup> punch 184 of the filter samples with 50 g of ultrapure water (18.2 M $\Omega$  quality) for 30 min at 40°C in an 185 ultrasonic bath.

## 186 **2.5 Source apportionment methodology**

187 Source apportionment results are obtained by an effective statistical approach known as 188 Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) using the dataset from the measured OC, EC, and levoglucosan mass concentrations, estimated emission ratios as well as <sup>14</sup>C contents of OC and EC. The LHS 189 190 methodology which is comparable to Monte Carlo simulation was first proposed by (Gelencsér et 191 al., 2007) and later applied in many European sites (e.g. Szidat et al. (2009), Yttri et al. (2011), 192 Gilardoni et al. (2011) and Genberg et al. (2011)). Briefly, central values with low and high limits 193 are associated to all uncertain input parameters (Table 2). Due to the lack of information on the 194 input factors, parameters are assigned equally between the low limit and the central value and 195 between the central value and the high limit. All combinations of parameters are included in 196 frequency distributions of possible solutions except those producing negative values. The 197 approach used here is slightly modified compared to previous studies and briefly summarized in 198 the following.

199 EC arises from biomass burning  $(EC_{bb})$  and fossil-fuel combustion  $(EC_f)$ :

200

$$EC = EC_f + EC_{bb}$$
(2)

201  $\frac{\text{EC}_{bb} \text{ is calculated from the EC mass concentration, } f_{M}(\text{EC}) \text{ and a reference value of biomass-}}{\text{burning EC (i.e. fraction of modern in EC emitted from biomass-burning sources, } f_{M}(bb) + \frac{\text{EC}_{bb} \text{ is }}{\text{calculated from the EC mass concentration, } f_{M}(\text{EC}) \text{ and } a^{-44}\text{C}} \text{ reference value of biomass burning}}$ 203  $(f_{4M}(bb)):$ 

205

$$EC_{bb} = EC \times \frac{f_{M}(EC)}{f_{M}(bb)}$$
 (3)

Analogously, OC is divided into two sub-fractions, OC from fossil fuel (OC<sub>f</sub>) and non-fossil emissions  $(OC_{nf})_{,,}$  To account for the thermonuclear weapon tests of the late 1950s and early 1960s,  $OC_{nf}$  is calculated from the OC mass concentration,  $f_{M}(OC)$  and a <sup>14</sup>C reference value of non-fossil emissions (i.e. fraction of modern in OC emitted from non-fossil sources,  $f_{M}(nf)$ ). whereof the latter is calculated from the OC mass concentration,  $f_{M}(OC)$  and a <sup>14</sup>C reference value of non-fossil emissions ( $f_{M}(nf)$ ): 212  $OC = OC_{f} + OC_{nf}$  (4)

213 
$$OC_{nf} = OC \times \frac{f_{M}(OC)}{f_{M}(nf)}$$
(5)

In addition to this straightforward OC distinction,  $OC_f$  and  $OC_{nf}$  are semi-quantitatively classified into additional sub-fractions. On the one hand,  $OC_f$  is split into primary and secondary OC from fossil sources, i.e.  $OC_{pri,f}$  and  $OC_{sec,f}$ , respectively:

$$0C_{\rm f} = 0C_{\rm pri,f} + 0C_{\rm sec,f} \qquad (6)$$

218  $OC_{pri,f}$  is determined from EC<sub>f</sub> and a primary OC/EC emission ratio for fossil-fuel 219 combustion, i.e.  $(OC/EC)_{pri,f}$ .

220 
$$OC_{pri,f} = EC_f \times \left(\frac{OC}{EC}\right)_{pri,f}$$
(7)

As fossil-fuel combustion in China is almost exclusively from coal combustion and vehicle emissions, (OC/EC)<sub>pri,f</sub> can be determined as:

$$\left(\frac{OC}{EC}\right)_{\text{pri,f}} = p \times \left(\frac{OC}{EC}\right)_{\text{pri,cc}} + (1-p) \times \left(\frac{OC}{EC}\right)_{\text{pri,ve}}$$
(8)

where *p* is a percentage of coal combustion in total fossil emissions, and  $(OC/EC)_{pri,cc}$  and (OC/EC)<sub>pri,cc</sub> a primary OC/EC ratio for coal combustion (cc) and vehicle emissions (ve), respectively.

This strategy can only be applied to  $OC_{nf}$  after some modification, as its primary OC/EC emission ratio is far too uncertain for a general split of non-fossil OC into of primary vs. secondary formation. Alternatively,  $OC_{nf}$  is subdivided into <u>primary</u> biomass burning ( $OC_{bb}$ ) and all the other non-fossil sources ( $OC_{other,nf}$ ):

(9)

 $OC_{nf} = OC_{bb} + OC_{other,nf}$ 

OC<sub>other,nf</sub> includes all the other non-fossil sources except  $OC_{bb}$ , thus mainly representing primary and secondary biogenic OC, urban non-fossil contributions (e.g. from cooking or frying) as well as SOC from biomass burning; due to cholesterol concentrations below the limit of detection in all samples, however, contributions of cooking and/or frying to  $OC_{other,nf}$  can be neglected.  $OC_{bb}$  is calculated by two alternative "marker-to-OC" methods using either  $EC_{bb}$  or levoglucosan (lev) as biomass-burning marker with corresponding primary marker-to-OC emission ratios (Eq. 9 and 10).

$$OC_{bb} = \frac{EC_{bb}}{\left(\frac{EC}{OC}\right)_{bb}}$$
(10)  
$$OC_{bb} = \frac{lev}{\left(\frac{lev}{OC}\right)_{bb}}$$
(11)

The overlapping results of both calculations are considered as probable solutions for OC<sub>bb</sub>.
 The consistency of EC<sub>bb</sub> and levoglucosan data is shown below in Figure 4.

Extensive discussion of the selection of the used input parameters can be found in earlier
studies conducted in Europe (e.g. (Gelencsér et al., 2007), (Szidat et al., 2009), (Yttri et al., 2011),
(Gilardoni et al., 2011), (Genberg et al., 2011)). However, due to different conditions in this study,
the input values have to be adapted (Table 2):

245	I.	To correct <u>for</u> the ${}^{14}C$ bomb peak, the reference values of $f_M$ for biomass burning and non-
246	_	fossil sources, i.e. $f_M(bb)$ and $f_M(nf)$ , respectively, are adapted to the sampling year 2013.
247		$f_{\text{M}}(bb)$ is estimated as 1.10 $\pm$ 0.05 using a tree growth model as described in (Mohn et al.,
248		2008). The low limit of $f_{M}(nf)$ is 1.03, which is equal to the $f_{M}$ of $\mathrm{CO}_{2}$ in the atmosphere
249		(Levin et al., 2010), and the high limit of $f_M(nf)$ is set to $f_M(bb)$ with the central value as
250		the average of both.

- 251 II. Literature data indicate that emission ratios depend on fuel types and combustion 252 conditions as well as specific measurement techniques, e.g. for EC mass (Fine et al., 2004; Puxbaum et al., 2007). A range of 0.07-0.20 and 0.10-0.30 is used as the low-to-253 254 high values for the (lev/OC)<sub>bb</sub> and (EC/OC)<sub>bb</sub>, respectively, covering most of the variation 255 in the measurements and the range used in previous studies (e.g. Gelencser et al. (2007); 256 Genberg et al. (2011); Szidat et al. (2009); Yttri et al. (2011); Genberg et al. (2011)). 257 Zhang et al. (2007b) reported an average (lev/OC)<sub>bb</sub> ratio of 0.082 for the main types of 258 Chinese cereal straw (rice, wheat, and corn) based on combustion chamber experiments. 259 As cereal straw is one of the most abundant biomass burned in China, the above ratio 260 (0.082) was used to estimate biomass-burning contribution to OC in Beijing (Zhang et al., 2008) and Hong Kong (Sang et al., 2011). However, this ratio is lower than that (0.14) 261 262 obtained from the combustion of hardwood in fireplaces and stoves in the US (Fine et al., 263 2004), which was applied to estimate the contribution of biomass burning to OC at 264 background sites in Europe (Gelencsér et al., 2007; Puxbaum et al., 2007; Schmidl et al., 265 2008). Considering both main biomass types (i.e. mainly cereal-straw, but also hardwood burning) (see Sec. 3.2.3), the central value for (lev/OC)<sub>bb</sub> of 0.11 is used in this 266 267 study. Based on emission factors for primary particulate emissions in China (Zhang et al., 268 2007), the central value for (EC/OC)<sub>bb</sub> is chosen as 0.22.
- III. (EC/OC)<sub>pri,ve</sub> is determined for emissions from traffic as 0.8-2.1 with the central value of
  1.45, which is taken from composite profiles from tunnel experiments in Europe
  (Gelencsér et al., 2007) and the range of this ratio also covers many tunnel studies
  conducted in China (Huang et al., 2006; He et al., 2008). For (EC/OC)<sub>pri,cc</sub>, it ranges for
  emissions for coal burning in China from 0.32 to 0.62 depending on the share of briquette
  and chunk bituminous coal with central value of 0.44 for the average coal inventory (Zhi
  et al., 2008).
- IV. In many urban sites such as Barcelona (Minguillón et al., 2011), Zurich (Szidat et al.,
  2006) and Pasadena (Zotter et al., 2014), EC<sub>f</sub> was almost exclusively attributed to vehicle
  emissions. However, in China coal combustion is also considered to be an important

Formatted: English (U.S.)

contributor to EC emission in winter from both field studies (Cao et al., 2011b) and inventory estimations (Cao et al., 2011a). Recently, Huang et al. (2014) reported relative contribution from coal combustion to total fossil emissions (i.e. p in the Eq (8)) ranges from 0.16-0.80 in Chinese aerosols. In this study, p is assigned as 0-0.7 with the central value of 0.35. It should be noted that for the regions with negligible coal combustion, pcan be directly assigned as 0 to simplify this approach. In such a case, (EC/OC)<sub>pri,f</sub> is equal to (EC/OC)<sub>pri,ve</sub>.

286 To evaluate uncertainties of the quantification of source contributions, the LHS method is 287 implemented to generate 3000 random sets of variables (Gelencsér et al., 2007). A few 288 simulations producing negative solutions are excluded and the median value from the remaining simulations is considered as the best estimate (see Sec 3.2), and the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles of the 289 290 solutions are treated as uncertainties. These uncertainties typically amount to 13% and 10% for 291 the separation of EC into EC<sub>f</sub> and EC<sub>bb</sub> as well as for OC into OC<sub>f</sub> and OC<sub>nf</sub> respectively. The 292 uncertainties are higher for the further source apportionment of OC (on the average 25%, 20%, 20% and 25% for OCpri.f. OCsec.f. OCbb and OCother.nf, respectively). The <sup>14</sup>C analysis performed on 293 294 the EC fraction directly enables a more reliable quantification of fossil and biomass burning EC 295 compared to those results obtained by many previous studies (e.g. Gelencser et al., 2007; Yttri et al., 2011; Genberg et al., 2011), in which <sup>14</sup>C analysis were only conducted on TC samples alone. 296 297 The results of the sensitivity analysis and the determination of the uncertainties will be discussed further in Sec. 3.2.4. The comparison of the <sup>14</sup>C approach with other organic makers (see Sec 298 299 3.2.3) as well as with the source apportionment results from positive matrix factorization (Paatero 300 and Tapper, 1994) using the multi-linear engine (ME-2) algorithm (Paatero and Hopke, 2009) 301 (see Sec. 3.3.3) will provide additional measures to evaluate the model performance.

### 302 3 Results and discussions

#### 303 3.1 PM2.5 and carbonaceous aerosols mass concentrations

The whisker box plots (Figure 1) show the concentrations of PM2.5, OC and EC as well as EC to OC ratios (EC/OC) in the four Chinese cities. The average PM2.5 mass concentrations at the Xian, Beijing, Shanghai, and Guangzhou sampling sites during the sampling periods were  $345\pm125 \ \mu g/m^3$ ,  $158\pm81 \ \mu g/m^3$ ,  $90\pm31 \ \mu g/m^3$ , and  $68\pm23 \ \mu g/m^3$ , respectively. Despite large variations in the PM2.5 concentrations within each site, their concentrations were always higher in Xian and Beijing compared to those in Shanghai and Guangzhou, reflecting a poorer air quality in Northern China. Extremely high PM2.5 concentrations were observed for several days during the sampling period. The highest 24-h average PM2.5 value (134-517  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) was 5-20 times higher than the WHO guideline for 24-h PM2.5 (25  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, (WHO, 2006)). Only 3% of PM2.5 mass values were below this guideline value, indicating a very high negative impact on human health in all studied cities.

OC and EC concentrations showed similar spatial distributions as the PM2.5 mass in the order: Xian>Beijing>Shanghai>Guangzhou. Given that average temperatures during the sampling period were 10-20°C lower in Xian and Beijing than in Shanghai and Guangzhou, the high concentrations of carbonaceous species in northern cities could be due to enhanced fuel consumption for heating activities (Weilenmann et al., 2009; Nordin et al., 2013). The EC/OC ratios were comparable for Xian, Shanghai and Guangzhou, but considerably lower at Beijing.

321 We also compared the data of OC, EC and EC/OC from heavily polluted days with 322 moderately polluted days, which were selected from the samples with the highest and average PM loading, respectively (Table 3). <sup>14</sup>C measurements were also performed on these samples (Sect. 323 324 2.3), and a detailed source apportionment result will be presented in Sect. 3.2. The PM2.5, OC 325 and EC mass concentrations on heavily polluted days were mostly >2 times as high as those on moderately polluted days at the four sites. On the heavily polluted days, the EC/OC ratios 326 327 significantly decreased by 29% and 43% in northern cities of Xian and Beijing, respectively, 328 whereas they slightly increased in Shanghai and Guangzhou by 13% and 16%, respectively. The 329 higher PM2.5 mass, OC and EC observed during the polluted period was characterized by low wind speed but not significantly sensitive by the temperature and relative humidity. 330

#### 331 **3.2 Best estimate of source apportionment results**

#### 332 3.2.1 Fossil and biomass burning EC

Figure 2 shows the source apportionment results of EC. The concentration of EC from fossilfuel sources (EC<sub>f</sub>) ranged from 0.61 to 16.8  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>, whereas the corresponding range for EC from biomass burning (EC<sub>bb</sub>) was 0.57 to 4.71  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. EC<sub>f</sub> values were on average 3 times as high as EC<sub>bb</sub>, corresponding to a mean fraction of EC<sub>f</sub> to total EC of 0.75. The highest concentrations of EC<sub>bb</sub> and EC<sub>f</sub> were observed in Xian, followed by Beijing and the two southern sites Shanghai and Guangzhou.

339 Despite the wide range of EC concentrations, the fraction of EC<sub>f</sub> to total EC in Xian, Beijing 340 and Shanghai was fairly constant with average values of  $78\pm3\%$ ,  $76\pm4\%$  and  $79\pm4\%$ , 341 respectively. This finding suggests that the increase of EC<sub>f</sub> and EC<sub>bb</sub> emissions in the three cities 342 on the heavily polluted days is likely due to an equal enhancement of fossil fuel and biomass-343 burning combustion emissions and the accumulation of these particulate pollutants. during winter with an equally enhancement of fossil fuel and biomass burning combustion emissions. At 344 345 Guangzhou, however, the EC<sub>f</sub> contribution was noticeably higher on the heavily (i.e.  $80\pm2\%$ ) compared to the moderately polluted days (i.e. 57±5%), indicating that the increase of the EC 346 347 concentrations was rather caused by additional fossil-fuel emissions than by biomass burning. 348 The measured fossil contributions to EC correspond to those previously reported at 3 city sites 349 and 2 regional sites in China (Chen et al., 2013), but are higher than for the Maldives  $(31\pm5\%)$ , India (36±3%) (Gustafsson et al., 2009) and a background site on the South Chinese island 350 351 Hainan (25-56%) (Zhang et al., 2014a).

## 352 **3.2.2 Fossil and non-fossil OC**

353 The concentration of OC from fossil-fuel sources (OC<sub>f</sub>) ranged from 2.53 to 61.3  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>. 354 whereas the corresponding range for OC from non-fossil sources (OC<sub>nf</sub>) was 0.8 to 42.7  $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup> (Figure 3). Similar to EC, the highest mean concentrations of OC<sub>f</sub> and OC<sub>nf</sub> were both observed at 355 356 Xian and Beijing. The mean concentration of  $OC_{nf}$  was higher than that of  $OC_{f}$  for all sites except Beijing. OC<sub>f</sub> contributions (mean  $\pm$  standard deviation) to total OC were  $37\pm3\%$ ,  $58\pm5\%$ ,  $49\pm2\%$ 357 358 and 35±8% in Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, respectively, which was lower than the 359 corresponding  $EC_f$  fraction to EC for all samples (Figure 2). The high percentage of  $OC_{nf}$ demonstrates that even in densely populated and urbanized areas of China, non-fossil sources are 360 361 still a considerable and sometimes even a dominant contributor of OC, at least in winter. The 362 large variability of the fraction of OC<sub>f</sub> to total OC for among the different cities furthermore 363 reflects complex sources and formation processes of OC<sub>f</sub>. In addition, the ratio of EC<sub>f</sub> and to OC<sub>f</sub>  $(EC/OC)_{f}$  in Beijing (0.24±0.10) was substantially lower than in Xian (0.53±0.15), Shanghai 364 (0.47±0.11) and Guangzhou (0.56±0.11), which will be discussed below. 365

#### 366 3.2.3 Other biomass-burning markers

367 Figure 4 shows that levoglucosan (lev) and mannosan (man) concentrations significantly correlated with ECbb. Their correlation coefficients were 0.87 and 0.92, respectively. In spite of 368 369 different concentration levels, no significant differences were observed in the slopes among 370 different cities for the different anhydrosugars or pollution levels. A possible explanation is that 371 the burning conditions and fuel type was rather consistent during the sampling period for the four 372 cities. Moreover, the regression slope  $(0.41\pm0.03)$  of levoglucosan and EC<sub>bb</sub> obtained here was 373 similar to that (0.45) calculated by the ratio of the best estimates of lev/OC (0.10) and EC/OC 374 (0.22) using the LHS simulation (median values in Table 2), indicating that our assumption of 375 LHS input parameters is reasonable. The average lev-to-man ratio was  $27.7 \pm 8.47$  (ranging from

16.4 to 45.9), which is at the higher end of the reported ratios for crop residue burning (ranging

from 12.9 to 55.7 with a mean of  $32.6 \pm 19.1$ ) and obviously higher than that from softwood  $4.0 \pm 10^{-1}$ 

1.0 (ranging from 2.5 to 5.8 with a mean of  $4.0 \pm 1.0$ ) (Sang et al., 2013). However, the ratio is

not significantly different from ratios reported for hardwood burning (ranging from 12.9 to 35.4 with a mean of  $21.5 \pm 8.3$ ) (Sang et al., 2013).

381 Recently, Cheng et al. (2013) proposed that ratios of levoglucosan to another biomass burning marker, non-sea-salt-potassium (nss- $K^+ = K^+ - 0.0355 \times Na^+$ , (Lai et al., 2007)), can be 382 used to distinguish biomass burning from crop residue and wood. The average of lev-to- $K_{nss}^+$  in 383 384 our study was 0.59±0.33 (ranging from 0.17 to 1.56 with only 2 samples >1), which is 385 comparable to the ratios for wheat straw (0.10  $\pm$  0.00), corn straw (0.21  $\pm$  0.08) and rice straw 386 grown in Asia  $(0.62 \pm 0.32)$  (Cheng et al., 2013). These ratios are much lower than those ratios 387 reported for hardwood  $(23.96 \pm 1.82)$  (Cheng et al., 2013). With a combination of the lev-to-man 388 and lev-to-K<sup>+</sup> ratios, it can be concluded that the major source of biomass burning in winter of China is combustion of crop residues. In addition, non-sea-salt-potassium concentrations also 389 show a very good correlation ( $R^2=0.82$ ) with EC<sub>bb</sub> for the four cities. This also confirms that the 390 391 variability of burning conditions and biomass types was rather small during winter 2013 in 392 different regions of China.

#### 393 3.2.4 Sensitivity analysis

394 Figure 5 shows the results of the sensitivity test for the average contribution of each source 395 to TC for all sites. Each source is illustrated as a frequency distribution, from which the 396 uncertainties of the source apportionment are deduced as given in Section 2.5. We found that EC<sub>bb</sub> was always the smallest contributor (<10%), but was still non-negligible for all sites. The 397 distributions of EC<sub>f</sub> and EC<sub>bb</sub> were much narrower than for the different OC sources due to the 398 399 direct <sup>14</sup>C determination of EC and the indirect calculation of the OC fractions. OC<sub>bb</sub> and OC<sub>other.nf</sub> were the most uncertain contributors to TC due to the large variation of the input parameters for 400 401 LHS calculations, i.e. (EC/OC)<sub>bb</sub> and (lev/OC)<sub>bb</sub>. Despite a large spread of OC<sub>sec,f</sub> and OC<sub>other, nfa</sub> 402 the data conclusively shows that both contributions were always larger on the heavily than on the 403 moderately polluted days, highlighting the importance of fossil-derived SOC formation and other 404 non-fossil emissions excluding primary biomass burning sources. The increased OC<sub>other of</sub> is likely 405 due to enhanced SOC formation from biomass burning and other non-fossil sources (see Sec. 3.3). Despite a large spread of OC<sub>seef</sub> and OC<sub>othern5</sub> the data conclusively shows that both 406 contributions were always larger on the heavily than on the moderately polluted days, 407 408 highlighting the importance of SOC formation from both fossil and non-fossil emissions.

#### 409 3.3 The relevance of SOC for heavily polluted days

#### 410 **3.3.1 Further source apportionment of OC sources**

411 As explained in Sec. 2.4, OC<sub>f</sub> is apportioned into primary and secondary OC from fossil sources, whereas OC<sub>nf</sub> is subdivided into primary biomass-burning OC (OC<sub>bb</sub>) and the other non-412 fossil OC (OCother,nf). As shown in Figure 6, OCsec,f was generally more abundant than OCpri,f, 413 414 suggesting that SOC is the predominant fraction of OC<sub>f</sub> in Chinese cities during winter. The 415 highest OC<sub>sec,f</sub>-to-OC<sub>pri,f</sub> ratio (with average of 4.2) was found in Beijing, indicating the largest 416 SOC formation compared to the other three sites (average OC<sub>sec,f</sub>-to-OC<sub>pri,f</sub> ratio of 1.3), which is 417 in agreement with the higher  $OC_f/EC_f$  ratios (see Sect. 3.2.2). During heavily polluted days, 418 OC<sub>sec,f</sub>-to-OC<sub>pri,f</sub> ratios increased compared to moderately polluted days on average by 70% for 419 the 4 sites. This underlines that the episodes with bad air quality were mainly caused by 420 additional SOC formation and accumulation of similar pollutants as for average winter conditions. The importance of fossil-derived SOC formation was also underlined by 14C 421 measurement in water-soluble OC during 2011 winter in Beijing and Guangzhou (Zhang et al., 422 423 2014b). Figure 6 shows that OC<sub>bb</sub> was higher than OC<sub>other,nf</sub> on the moderately polluted days for 424 all sites, while it changed to the contrary on the heavily polluted days. The excess of non-fossil 425 OC concentration for the heavily polluted days was dominated by OC<sub>other.nf</sub>, which was ~2.6 times 426 as high as OC<sub>bb</sub>. The dominating contribution of OC<sub>other, nf</sub> is likely due to the increase of SOC 427 formation from non-fossil sources mainly from biomass-burning emissions, although biogenic-428 derived SOC could not be excluded for SH and GZ where temperatures during the sampling 429 period are above 0 degrees. The dominating contribution of OC<sub>ather nf</sub> is likely due to the increase of SOC formation from non fossil sources (i.e. mainly from biomass burning emissions). In 430 431 conclusion, the source apportionment results of the excess carbonaceous aerosols consistently 432 highlight the importance of SOC from both, fossil and non-fossil sources. It should be also noted that the condensation of semi-volatile organic aerosols generally may contribute to some extent to 433 434 the measured SOA in winter due to the colder temperature in the northern sites such as Beijing 435 and Xian. However, the increased SOA between the MPD and HPD measured by the current 436 method is mostly if not exclusively due to enhanced SOA formation since the temperatures during the moderately and heavily polluted days were not significantly different ( $p \ge 0.05$ ). 437 3.3.2 Relative contribution from OC and EC source categories to TC 438

The contributions of different OC and EC source categories to TC are shown in Table 4.
 Fossil sources (EC<sub>f</sub>+OC<sub>pri,f</sub>+OC<sub>sec,f</sub>) account for an important contribution at all sites, which
 decreased from Beijing (60%) to Shanghai (56%), Xian (45%) and Guangzhou (43%). The larger 24

442 fossil contribution in Beijing can be explained by substantially higher OC<sub>sec,f</sub> values, which were 443 often >2 times as high as for the other three sites. However, no remarkable difference was found 444 for the total primary fossil contribution (ECr+OCpri,f) between the heavily and the moderately polluted days. An exception of this tendency was observed for Guangzhou, in which the fossil 445 contribution to TC increased by 36% during the polluted episodes. However, the contribution of 446 447 OCsec,f to TC was higher on the heavily polluted days than on the moderately polluted days for all sites, which indicates a significant contribution of fossil SOC to TC during winter haze or smog 448 449 episodes in China.

Primary biomass-burning sources ( $EC_{bb}+OC_{bb}$ ) were a large contributor to TC (on average 25%, 21%, 26% and 39% in Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, respectively). However, the relative contribution of biomass burning decreased on average from ~28% to ~17% when comparing moderately with heavily polluted days. Therefore, primary biomass-burning emissions were not a major additional source during heavily polluted days.

455 A considerable fraction of TC originated from OC<sub>other,nf</sub> with a mean contribution of 21% for 456 all sites. The presence of OC<sub>other.nf</sub> is unlikely attributed to primary or secondary biogenic particles 457 as biogenic emissions are very low during winter at least in Northern China, although these can 458 be enriched due to favoring condensation of SVOCs into the particle phase at colder 459 temperatures. In combination with the observation of enhanced fossil SOC formation, we assume that this excess is mainly attributed to SOC formation from non-fossil, but non-biogenic 460 461 precursors (i.e. mainly from biomass-burning emissions). Further, SOC formation from these 462 non-fossil volatile organic compounds may be enhanced, when they are mixed with 463 anthropogenic pollutants such as volatile organic compounds (VOCs) and NO<sub>x</sub> (Weber et al., 2007; Hoyle et al., 2011). 464

As the  $OC_{sec,f}$  and  $OC_{other,nf}$  contributions were always considerably higher on the most polluted days compared the moderately polluted days and the increase of primary sources (such as  $EC_{bb}$ ,  $OC_{bb}$  and  $OC_{pri,f}$ ) was less prominent (see Figure 6), we conclude that the increment of TC on the heavily polluted days was mainly driven by the increase of SOC from both fossil fuel and non-fossil emissions. This is also underlined in Figure 6 by the composition of the excess for the heavily polluted days.

#### 471 3.3.3 Comparison with multi-linear engine (ME-2) source apportionment

In a parallel study from the same sites and episodes (Huang et al., 2014), the multi-linear
engine (ME-2) receptor model (Canonaco et al., 2013) was used to estimate the OC contribution
from different factors including coal, traffic, dust-related, cooking and secondary sources. This

475 model includes EC/OC, ions and organic marker compounds (polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 476 (PAHs), oxygenated PAHs (o-PAHs), resin acids, anhydrous sugars, lignin pyrolysis products and 477 hopanes) in addition to high resolution Aerodyne aerosol mass spectra from offline analysis of nebulized water-extracts from filter samples by a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass 478 479 spectrometer, HR-ToF-AMS (Daellenbach et al., in preparation). For comparison with the results 480 from this work, sources resolved by the ME-2 approach are further classified into the following basic classes: fossil primary OC (POC<sub>1</sub>), non-fossil primary OC (POC<sub>n</sub>), fossil secondary OC 481 482  $(SOC_f)$  and non-fossil secondary OC  $(SOC_{nf})$ . Figure 7 shows a significant linear correlation 483 between the two approaches (p < 0.01, n = 24, all samples are included), underscoring the proper 484 choices of the selected source profiles in this study (i.e. inputs for LHS). A very good agreement 485 between the two methods is found for  $SOC_{nf}$ , whereas an offset deviation of ~13% occurs for 486 SOC<sub>f</sub> possibly due to uncertainties in both models. It is important to note that such a difference is 487 not observed (p < 0.01), if we exclude the data from Beijing. And SOC<sub>f</sub> may be overestimated, if we underestimate the contribution of coal combustion to fossil-fuel derived EC (p in Eq. (8)) in 488 489 Beijing. The findings of Huang et al. (2014) suggest that coal combustion is substantially higher 490 in this city compared to the other sites. Increasing the value of p by a factor of 2 (i.e. from 0.35 to 491 0.70) for Beijing decreases the contribution of SOC<sub>f</sub> to the benefit of POC<sub>f</sub>, whereas the other 492 components (EC<sub>f</sub>, EC<sub>bb</sub>, OC<sub>other,nf</sub>) are independent of the choice of the *p* value (see Tab. S2 493 and Fig. S1). This modification improves the agreement of  $SOC_f$  between both approaches as 494 shown in Fig. 7. Furthermore, it decreases the OC<sub>sec,f</sub>-to-OC<sub>pri,f</sub> ratio of Beijing from 2.7 and 5.9 to 1.2 and 2.9 for the moderately and heavily polluted days MPD and HPD, respectively. As a 495 496 consequence, these values become better comparable with those of the other cities, but still 497 underline the importance of secondary aerosol formation during the heavily polluted daysHPD.

#### 498 4 Conclusions

499 Source apportionment of the carbonaceous aerosol in PM2.5 during a severe winter pollution 500 episode of 2013 in China was conducted at four major cities including Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou. An effective sStatistical analysis of concentrations of OC and EC, anhydrosugars 501 as well as <sup>14</sup>C contents of OC and EC using Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) allowed a 502 503 quantitative estimation of six different sources. These sources included EC from combustion of 504 biomass (EC<sub>bb</sub>) and fossil fuels (EC<sub>f</sub>), OC from fossil emissions including primary and secondary 505 sources (i.e. OC<sub>pri,f</sub> and OC<sub>sec,f</sub>, respectively) as well as OC from non-fossil sources including 506 primary biomass burning and all the other non-fossil OC (i.e. OC<sub>bb</sub> and OC<sub>other,nf</sub>, respectively). A sensitity analysis of the LHS simulation showed the robustness of our results, as the uncertainty 507 26

508 of the different emission sources was usually below 20% of TC, which was mainly achieved by 509 the combination of different isotopic and molecular markers.

Fossil emissions predominated EC with a mean contribution of  $75\pm8\%$  at all sites. The remaining  $25\pm8\%$  was attributed to biomass-burning sources, and the presence of the latter was also confirmed by other biomass-burning markers such as levoglucosan and water-soluble potassium. The fossil contribution to OC was lower than for EC and was highest in Beijing ( $58\pm5\%$ ) and decreased in the order: Shanghai ( $49\pm2\%$ ) > Xian ( $38\pm3\%$ ) > Guangzhou ( $35\pm7\%$ ). Conversely, non-fossil sources accounted on the average for  $55\pm10\%$  and  $48\pm9\%$  of OC and

TC, respectively. Air pollution from the neighboring rural regions may have contributed substantially to non-fossil carbon of urban aerosols, as biofuel usage is more common for heating and cooking in such regions during winter time in China. The average contribution of non-fossil OC from  $OC_{bb}$  was found to  $40\pm8\%$ ,  $48\pm18\%$ ,  $53\pm4\%$  and  $65\pm26\%$  for Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou, respectively.

521 A considerable fraction of OC was identified as SOC. We found that OC<sub>sec.f</sub> dominated over 522 OCpri,f for all samples (i.e. portions of TC of 23±11% compared to 13±3%, respectively), strongly 523 implying importance of fossil-derived SOC to urban (often polluted) aerosols in China. 524 Furthermore, we classified the samples into 2 episodes, heavily polluted and moderately polluted 525 days, depending on PM mass. We found the relative OC<sub>other.nf</sub> contributions tend to be higher on 526 the heavily polluted days at all sites, which were mainly attributed to enhanced SOC formation 527 from non-fossil precursors such as biomass-burning emissions. Even though a significant increase 528 of absolute mass concentrations of primary emissions (both fossil and non-fossil sources) was 529 found on the heavily compared to moderately polluted days, their relative contribution to TC was 530 even decreased, while SOC contributions from both fossil and non-fossil sources were 531 substantially increased. This finding was consistently observed for all sites, showing the 532 importance of SOC during severe haze events in China.

#### 533 Acknowledgement

Yanlin Zhang acknowledges partial support from the Swiss National Science FoundationFellowship.

#### 536 References

Bernardoni, V., Calzolai, G., Chiari, M., Fedi, M., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S., Piazzalunga, A.,
Riccobono, F., Taccetti, F., Valli, G., and Vecchi, R.: Radiocarbon analysis on organic and

- elemental carbon in aerosol samples and source apportionment at an urban site in Northern
  Italy, J. Aerosol Sci., 56, 88-99, 2013.
- 541 Canonaco, F., Crippa, M., Slowik, J. G., Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: SoFi, an IGOR-
- based interface for the efficient use of the generalized multilinear engine (ME-2) for the
  source apportionment: ME-2 application to aerosol mass spectrometer data, Atmos. Meas.
  Tech., 6, 3649-3661, 2013.
- 545 Cao, F., Zhang, Y.-L., Szidat, S., Zapf, A., Wacker, L., and Schwikowski, M.: Microgram level
  546 radiocarbon determination of carbonaceous particles in firn samples: pre-treatment and
  547 OC/EC separation, Radiocarbon, 55, 383-390 2013.
- Cao, G. L., Zhang, X. Y., Gong, S. L., An, X. Q., and Wang, Y. Q.: Emission inventories of
  primary particles and pollutant gases for China, Chin. Sci. Bull., 56, 781-788, 2011a.
- Cao, J.-j., Chow, J. C., Tao, J., Lee, S.-c., Watson, J. G., Ho, K.-f., Wang, G.-h., Zhu, C.-s., and
  Han, Y.-m.: Stable carbon isotopes in aerosols from Chinese cities: Influence of fossil fuels,
  Atmos. Environ., 45, 1359-1363, 2011b.
- 553 Cao, J. J., Lee, S. C., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Ho, K. F., Zhang, R. J., Jin, Z. D., Shen, Z. X.,
- Chen, G. C., Kang, Y. M., Zou, S. C., Zhang, L. Z., Qi, S. H., Dai, M. H., Cheng, Y., and Hu,
  K.: Spatial and seasonal distributions of carbonaceous aerosols over China, J. Geophys. Res.,
  112, D22S11, 2007.
- Cao, J. J., Shen, Z. X., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Lee, S. C., Tie, X. X., Ho, K. F., Wang, G. H.,
  and Han, Y. M.: Winter and summer PM<sub>2.5</sub> chemical compositions in fourteen chinese cities,
  J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 62, 1214-1226, 2012.
- 560 Carlton, A. G., Wiedinmyer, C., and Kroll, J. H.: A review of Secondary Organic Aerosol (SOA)
  561 formation from isoprene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4987-5005, 2009.
- 562 Cavalli, F., Viana, M., Yttri, K. E., Genberg, J., and Putaud, J. P.: Toward a standardised thermal563 optical protocol for measuring atmospheric organic and elemental carbon: the EUSAAR
  564 protocol, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 3, 79-89, 2010.
- 565 Chan, C. K., and Yao, X.: Air pollution in mega cities in China, Atmos. Environ., 42, 1-42, 2008.
- 566 Chen, B., Andersson, A., Lee, M., Kirillova, E. N., Xiao, Q., Krusa, M., Shi, M., Hu, K., Lu, Z.,
- 567 Streets, D. G., Du, K., and Gustafsson, O.: Source forensics of black carbon aerosols from 568 china, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 9102-9108, 2013.
- 569 Cheng, Y., Engling, G., He, K. B., Duan, F. K., Ma, Y. L., Du, Z. Y., Liu, J. M., Zheng, M., and
- 570 Weber, R. J.: Biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,
  571 7765-7781, 2013.
- 572 Donkelaar, A. v., Martin, R. V., Brauer, M., Kahn, R., Levy, R., Verduzco, C., and Villeneuve, P.

- 573 J.: Global estimates of ambient fine particulate matter concentrations from satellite-based
- aerosol optical depth: development and application, Environ. Health Perspect., 118, 847,2010.
- Fine, P. M., Cass, G. R., and Simoneit, B. R. T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle
  emissions from the wood stove combustion of prevalent United States tree species, Environ.
  Eng. Sci., 21, 705-721, 2004.
- 579 Gelencsér, A., May, B., Simpson, D., Sánchez-Ochoa, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Puxbaum, H.,
  580 Caseiro, A., Pio, C., and Legrand, M.: Source apportionment of PM2.5 organic aerosol over
  581 Europe: Primary/secondary, natural/anthropogenic, and fossil/biogenic origin, J. Geophys.
  582 Res., 112, D23S04, 2007.
- Genberg, J., Hyder, M., Stenström, K., Bergström, R., Simpson, D., Fors, E., Jönsson, J. Å., and
  Swietlicki, E.: Source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosol in southern Sweden, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 11387-11400, 2011.
- Gilardoni, S., Vignati, E., Cavalli, F., Putaud, J. P., Larsen, B. R., Karl, M., Stenström, K.,
  Genberg, J., Henne, S., and Dentener, F.: Better constraints on sources of carbonaceous
  aerosols using a combined <sup>14</sup>C-macro tracer analysis in a European rural background site,
  Atmos. Chem. Phys, 11, 5685-5700, 2011.
- 590 Gustafsson, O., Krusa, M., Zencak, Z., Sheesley, R. J., Granat, L., Engstrom, E., Praveen, P. S.,
- Rao, P. S., Leck, C., and Rodhe, H.: Brown clouds over South Asia: biomass or fossil fuel
  combustion?, Science, 323, 495-498, 2009.
- He, L. Y., Hu, M., Zhang, Y. H., Huang, X. F., and Yao, T. T.: Fine particle emissions from onroad vehicles in the Zhujiang Tunnel, China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 4461-4466, 2008.
- Heal, M.: The application of carbon-14 analyses to the source apportionment of atmospheric
  carbonaceous particulate matter: a review, Anal. Bioanal. Chem., 406, 81-98, 2014.
- Hoyle, C. R., Boy, M., Donahue, N. M., Fry, J. L., Glasius, M., Guenther, A., Hallar, A. G., Hartz,
  K. H., Petters, M. D., Petaja, T., Rosenoern, T., and Sullivan, A. P.: A review of the
  anthropogenic influence on biogenic secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,
  321-343, 2011.
- Huang, K., Zhuang, G., Lin, Y., Wang, Q., Fu, J. S., Fu, Q., Liu, T., and Deng, C.: How to
  improve the air quality over megacities in China: pollution characterization and source
  analysis in Shanghai before, during, and after the 2010 World Expo, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13,
  5927-5942, 2013.
- Huang, R.-J., Zhang, Y., Bozzetti, C., Ho, K.-F., Cao, J., Han, Y., Dällenbach, K. R., Slowik, J.
  G., Platt, S. M., Canonaco, F., Zotter, P., Wolf, R., Pieber, S. M., Bruns, E. A., Crippa, M.,

607 Ciarelli, G., Piazzalunga, A., Schwikowski, M., Abbaszade, G., Schnelle-Kreis, J.,

608 Zimmermann, R., An, Z., Szidat, S., Baltensperger, U., Haddad, I. E., and Prévôt, A. S. H.:

609 High secondary aerosol contribution to particulate pollution during haze events in China,

- 610 Nature, *In press*, 2014.
- Huang, X. F., Yu, J. Z., He, L. Y., and Hu, M.: Size distribution characteristics of elemental
  carbon emitted from Chinese vehicles: Results of a tunnel study and atmospheric
  implications, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 5355-5360, 2006.
- IPCC: Climate change 2013: The physical science basis. contribution of working group I to the
   fifth assessment report of the intergovernmental panel on climate change, Cambridge
   University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom and New York, NY, USA, 1533 pp., 2013.
- Lai, S. c., Zou, S. c., Cao, J. j., Lee, S. c., and Ho, K. f.: Characterizing ionic species in PM<sub>2.5</sub> and
- PM<sub>10</sub> in four Pearl River Delta cities, South China, Journal of Environmental Sciences, 19,
  939-947, 2007.
- Levin, I., Naegler, T., Kromer, B., Diehl, M., Francey, R. J., Gomez-Pelaez, A. J., Steele, L. P.,
  Wagenbach, D., Weller, R., and Worthy, D. E.: Observations and modelling of the global
  distribution and long-term trend of atmospheric <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>, Tellus Series B, 62, 26-46, 2010.
- Liu, D., Li, J., Zhang, Y., Xu, Y., Liu, X., Ding, P., Shen, C., Chen, Y., Tian, C., and Zhang, G.:
  The use of levoglucosan and radiocarbon for source apportionment of PM<sub>2.5</sub> carbonaceous
  aerosols at a background site in East China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 10454-10461, 2013.

626 Minguillón, M. C., Perron, N., Querol, X., Szidat, S., Fahrni, S. M., Alastuey, A., Jimenez, J. L.,

627 Mohr, C., Ortega, A. M., Day, D. A., Lanz, V. A., Wacker, L., Reche, C., Cusack, M., Amato,

628 F., Kiss, G., Hoffer, A., Decesari, S., Moretti, F., Hillamo, R., Teinila, K., Seco, R., Penuelas,

- 629 J., Metzger, A., Schallhart, S., Muller, M., Hansel, A., Burkhart, J. F., Baltensperger, U., and
- Prevot, A. S. H.: Fossil versus contemporary sources of fine elemental and organic
  carbonaceous particulate matter during the DAURE campaign in Northeast Spain, Atmos.
- 632 Chem. Phys., 11, 12067-12084, 2011.
- Mohn, J., Szidat, S., Fellner, J., Rechberger, H., Quartier, R., Buchmann, B., and Emmenegger,
   L.: Determination of biogenic and fossil CO<sub>2</sub> emitted by waste incineration based on <sup>14</sup>CO<sub>2</sub>
   and mass balances, Bioresour. Technol., 99, 6471-6479, 2008.
- 636 Nordin, E., Eriksson, A., Roldin, P., Nilsson, P., Carlsson, J., Kajos, M., Hellén, H., Wittbom, C.,
- Rissler, J., and Löndahl, J.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from idling gasoline
  passenger vehicle emissions investigated in a smog chamber, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 61016116, 2013.
- 640 Orasche, J., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Abbaszade, G., and Zimmermann, R.: Technical Note: In-situ

- derivatization thermal desorption GC-TOFMS for direct analysis of particle-bound non-polar
   and polar organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8977-8993, 2011.
- 643 Paatero, P., and Tapper, U.: Positive matrix factorization A nonnegative factor model with
- optimal utilization of error-estimates of data values, Environmetricsz, 5, 111-126, 1994.
- Paatero, P., and Hopke, P. K.: Rotational tools for factor analytic models, J. Chemom., 23, 91100, 2009.
- Pöschl, U.: Atmospheric aerosols: composition, transformation, climate and health effects,
  Angew. Chem., Int. Ed., 44, 7520-7540, 2005.
- 649 Puxbaum, H., Caseiro, A., Sánchez-Ochoa, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Claeys, M., Gelencsér, A.,
- 650 Legrand, M., Preunkert, S., and Pio, C.: Levoglucosan levels at background sites in Europe
- for assessing the impact of biomass combustion on the European aerosol background, J.
  Geophys. Res., 112, D23S05, 2007.
- Sang, X. F., Chan, C. Y., Engling, G., Chan, L. Y., Wang, X. M., Zhang, Y. N., Shi, S., Zhang, Z.
  S., Zhang, T., and Hu, M.: Levoglucosan enhancement in ambient aerosol during springtime
  transport events of biomass burning smoke to Southeast China, Tellus Series B-Chemical and
  Physical Meteorology, 63, 129-139, 2011.
- Sang, X. F., Zhang, Z. S., Chan, C. Y., and Engling, G.: Source categories and contribution of
  biomass smoke to organic aerosol over the southeastern Tibetan Plateau, Atmos. Environ., 78,
  113-123, 2013.
- Schmidl, C., Marr, I. L., Caseiro, A., Kotianová, P., Berner, A., Bauer, H., Kasper-Giebl, A., and
  Puxbaum, H.: Chemical characterisation of fine particle emissions from wood stove
  combustion of common woods growing in mid-European Alpine regions, Atmos. Environ.,
  42, 126-141, 2008.
- Schnelle-Kreis, J., Sklorz, M., Peters, A., Cyrys, J., and Zimmermann, R.: Analysis of particleassociated semi-volatile aromatic and aliphatic hydrocarbons in urban particulate matter on a
  daily basis, Atmos. Environ., 39, 7702-7714, 2005.
- Simoneit, B. R. T., Schauer, J. J., Nolte, C. G., Oros, D. R., Elias, V. O., Fraser, M. P., Rogge, W.
  F., and Cass, G. R.: Levoglucosan, a tracer for cellulose in biomass burning and atmospheric
  particles, Atmos. Environ., 33, 173-182, 1999.
- Stuiver, M., and Polach, H. A.: Discussion: Reporting of 14C data, Radiocarbon, 19, 355-363,
  1977.
- 672 Szidat, S., Jenk, T. M., Synal, H.-A., Kalberer, M., Wacker, L., Hajdas, I., Kasper-Giebl, A., and
- Baltensperger, U.: Contributions of fossil fuel, biomass-burning, and biogenic emissions to
- 674 carbonaceous aerosols in Zurich as traced by <sup>14</sup>C, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D07206, 2006.

- Szidat, S., Prévôt, A. S. H., Sandradewi, J., Alfarra, M. R., Synal, H. A., Wacker, L., and
  Baltensperger, U.: Dominant impact of residential wood burning on particulate matter in
- 677 Alpine valleys during winter, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L05820, 2007.
- 678 Szidat, S.: Sources of Asian haze, Science, 323, 470-471, 2009.
- 679 Szidat, S., Ruff, M., Perron, N., Wacker, L., Synal, H.-A., Hallquist, M., Shannigrahi, A. S., Yttri,
- K. E., Dye, C., and Simpson, D.: Fossil and non-fossil sources of organic carbon (OC) and
  elemental carbon (EC) in Goeteborg, Sweden, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1521-1535, 2009.
- 682 Szidat, S., Salazar, G. A., Vogel, E., Battaglia, M., Wacker, L., Synal, H.-A., and Türler, A.: <sup>14</sup>C
- Analysis and Sample Preparation at the New Bern Laboratory for the Analysis of
  Radiocarbon with AMS (LARA), Radiocarbon, 56, 561-566, 2014.
- Viana, M., Reche, C., Amato, F., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Moreno, T., Lucarelli, F., Nava, S.,
  Cazolai, G., Chiari, M., and Rico, M.: Evidence of biomass burning aerosols in the Barcelona
  urban environment during winter time, Atmos. Environ., 72, 81-88, 2013.
- Wacker, L., Fahrni, S. M., Hajdas, I., Molnar, M., Synal, H. A., Szidat, S., and Zhang, Y. L.: A
  versatile gas interface for routine radiocarbon analysis with a gas ion source, Nucl. Instrum.
  Meth. B, 294, 315-319, 2013.
- Weber, R. J., Sullivan, A. P., Peltier, R. E., Russell, A., Yan, B., Zheng, M., de Gouw, J., Warneke,
  C., Brock, C., Holloway, J. S., Atlas, E. L., and Edgerton, E.: A study of secondary organic
  aerosol formation in the anthropogenic-influenced southeastern United States, J. Geophys.
  Res., 112, D13302, 2007.
- Weilenmann, M., Favez, J.-Y., and Alvarez, R.: Cold-start emissions of modern passenger cars at
  different low ambient temperatures and their evolution over vehicle legislation categories,
  Atmos. Environ., 43, 2419-2429, 2009.
- WHO: Air Quality Guidelines: Global Update 2005: Particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide
   and Sulfur Dioxide, World Health Organization, 2006.
- Yang, F., Tan, J., Zhao, Q., Du, Z., He, K., Ma, Y., Duan, F., Chen, G., and Zhao, Q.:
  Characteristics of PM<sub>2.5</sub> speciation in representative megacities and across China, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 5207-5219, 2011.
- Yttri, K. E., Simpson, D., Stenström, K., Puxbaum, H., and Svendby, T.: Source apportionment of
   the carbonaceous aerosol in Norway-quantitative estimates based on <sup>14</sup>C, thermal-optical and
- organic tracer analysis, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9375-9394, 2011.
- Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., He, K., and Klimont, Z.: Major components of China's anthropogenic
   primary particulate emissions, Environ. Res. Lett., 2, 045027, 2007.
- 708 Zhang, T., Claeys, M., Cachier, H., Dong, S. P., Wang, W., Maenhaut, W., and Liu, X. D.:

- Identification and estimation of the biomass burning contribution to Beijing aerosol using
  levoglucosan as a molecular marker, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7013-7021, 2008.
- 711 Zhang, Y.-L., Li, J., Zhang, G., Zotter, P., Huang, R.-J., Tang, J.-H., Wacker, L., Prévôt, A. S. H.,
- and Szidat, S.: Radiocarbon-based source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols at a
  regional background site on hainan Island, South China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48, 26512659, 2014a.
- Zhang, Y.-l., Liu, J.-w., Salazar, G. A., Li, J., Zotter, P., Zhang, G., Shen, R.-r., Schäfer, K.,
  Schnelle-Kreis, J., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Szidat, S.: Micro-scale (μg) radiocarbon analysis of
- 717 water-soluble organic carbon in aerosol samples, Atmos. Environ., 97, 1-5, 2014b.
- Zhang, Y. L., Perron, N., Ciobanu, V. G., Zotter, P., Minguillon, M. C., Wacker, L., Prevot, A. S.
  H., Baltensperger, U., and Szidat, S.: On the isolation of OC and EC and the optimal strategy
  of radiocarbon-based source apportionment of carbonaceous aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
  12, 10841-10856, 2012.
- Zhang, Y. L., Zotter, P., Perron, N., Prévôt, A. S. H., Wacker, L., and Szidat, S.: Fossil and non fossil sources of different carbonaceous fractions in fine and coarse particles by radiocarbon
   measurement, Radiocarbon, 55, 1510-1520, 2013.
- Zhao, P. S., Dong, F., He, D., Zhao, X. J., Zhang, X. L., Zhang, W. Z., Yao, Q., and Liu, H. Y.:
  Characteristics of concentrations and chemical compositions for PM2.5 in the region of
  Beijing, Tianjin, and Hebei, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4631-4644, 2013.
- Zhi, G., Chen, Y., Feng, Y., Xiong, S., Li, J., Zhang, G., Sheng, G., and Fu, J.: Emission
  Characteristics of Carbonaceous Particles from Various Residential Coal-Stoves in China,
  Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 3310-3315, 2008.
- Zotter, P., El-Haddad, I., Zhang, Y., Hayes, P. L., Zhang, X., Lin, Y.-H., Wacker, L., SchnelleKreis, J., Abbaszade, G., Zimmermann, R., Surratt, J. D., Weber, R., Jimenez, J. L., Szidat, S.,
- 733 Baltensperger, U., and Prévôt, A. S. H.: Diurnal cycle of fossil and non-fossil carbon using
- radiocarbon analyses during CalNex, J. Geophys. Res., 119, 6818-6835, 2014.

# **Table 1.** Sampling information.

City	City description (population)	Location	Temperature (°C)
Xian (XA) Northern China	The largest city in Guanzhong city cluster (8.6 million)	34.2 N, 108.9 E	-121
Beijing (BJ) Northern China	Capital of China, developed megacity in Beijing-Tianjin- Hebei city cluster (20.7 million)	39.9 N, 116.4 E	-91
Shanghai (SH) Southern China	Industrial and commercial megacity in Yangtze Delta Region city cluster (24 million)	31.3 N, 121.5 E	2 - 11
Guangzhou (GZ) Southern China	Industrial and commercial megacity in Pearl River Delta Region city cluster (12.7 million)	23.1 N, 113.4 E	7 – 19

737	Table 2. Central values with low and high limits of input parameters for source apportionment using
738	LHS

Parameter	Low	central	high
EC error factor <sup>a</sup>	0.75	b	1.25
(lev/OC) <sub>bb</sub>	0.07	0.11	0.20
(EC/OC) <sub>bb</sub>	0.10	0.22	0.30
(EC/OC) <sub>pri,cc</sub>	0.32	0.44	0.62
(EC/OC) <sub>pri,ve</sub>	0.8	b	2.1
р	0	b	0.7
f <sub>M</sub> (bb)	1.05	1.10	1.15
f <sub>M</sub> (nf)	1.03	b	с

739 <sup>a</sup> EC values multiplied by given factor.

740 <sup>b</sup> the average of low and high limits is used.

741 <sup>c</sup>  $f_M(nf)$  constrained to be  $< f_M(bb)$ 

742 **Table 3.** Averages and standard deviations of the mass concentrations ( $\mu g/m^3$ ) of PM2.5, OC and EC

743 as well as EC/OC ratios and fractions of modern  $(f_M)$  of OC and EC for samples collected on

744 moderately polluted days (MPD) (n=3 for each city) and heavily polluted days (HPD) (n=3 for each

745 city) in Xian, Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou.

	PM2.5	OC	EC	EC/OC	f <sub>M</sub> (OC)	f <sub>M</sub> (EC)
Xian						
MPD	136±27	24.6±6.3	7.2±1.9	0.30±0.07	0.67±0.04	0.25±0.03
HPD	479 <u>+</u> 25	94.2±6.8	19.8±0.9	0.21±0.02	0.66±0.02	0.24 ±0.02
HPD/MPD	3.5±0.7	3.8±1.0	2.7±0.7	0.71±0.17	0.99±0.06	0.98±0.16
Beijing						
MPD	85±17	18.0±3.4	4.0±0.2	0.23±0.06	0.49±0.03	0.30±0.02
HPD	266±49	59.2±7.5	7.7±0.9	0.13±0.03	0.40±0.01	0.23 ±0.02
HPD/MPD	3.1±0.9	3.3±0.8	1.9±0.2	0.57±0.18	0.82±0.06	0.79±0.09
Shanghai						
MPD	59±10	6.2±1.0	1.9±0.1	0.31±0.04	0.55±0.03	0.21±0.02
HPD	131±3	15.6±0.5	4.2±0.3	0.27±0.02	0.54±0.01	0.24 ± 0.04
HPD/MPD	2.2±0.4	2.5±0.4	2.2±0.2	$0.87 \pm 0.12$	0.99±0.06	1.13±0.22
Guangzhou						
MPD	38±14	5.4±2.3	1.6±0.5	0.31±0.04	$0.75 \pm 0.05$	0.48±0.05
HPD	96±6	23.3±2.2	6.1±0.4	0.26±0.01	0.62±0.01	0.22 ±0.02
HPD/MPD	$2.5 \pm 1.0$	4.3±1.9	3.8±1.1	0.84±0.11	0.83±0.06	0.47 ±0.06

747 Table 4. Average TC concentration and relative contribution to TC from OC and EC source categories

748 (see in Figure 6) for samples collected in Xian (XA), Beijing (BJ), Shanghai (SH) and Guangzhou

749 (GZ) during the moderately polluted days (MPD) and the heavily polluted days (HPD). Distributions

from Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) are given as medians as well as the 10<sup>th</sup> and 90<sup>th</sup> percentiles (in

751 parentheses). See Tab. S2 for an alternative solution for Beijing assuming a higher contribution of coal

combustion as explained below in Section 3.3.3.

750	
153	
155	

Sample	TC	$EC_{f}$	EC <sub>bb</sub>	OC <sub>pri,f</sub>	$OC_{sec,f}$	OC <sub>bb</sub>	OC <sub>other,nf</sub>
code	$\mu g/m^3$	%	%	%	%	%	%
XA-MPD	31.8	18 (16-19)	5 (4-5)	16 (12-21)	12 (7-16)	25 (19-33)	24 (15-29)
XA-HPD	114.0	14 (12-15)	4 (3-4)	12 (10-16)	19 (15-22)	16 (13-20)	35 (30-38)
BJ-MPD	22.0	13 (12-15)	5 (4-5)	12 (9-16)	32 (27-35)	22 (17-29)	16 (8-20)
BJ-HPD	66.9	9 (8-10)	2 (2-3)	8 (6-11)	47 (44-49)	12 (9-17)	21 (16-23)
SH-MPD	8.1	19 (17-20)	5 (4-5)	17 (13-22)	21 (15-25)	23 (17-31)	16 (7-21)
SH-HPD	19.8	17 (15-18)	5 (4-5)	15 (12-20)	24 (19-28)	19 (15-24)	21 (16-25)
GZ-MPD	7.0	13 (12-15)	10 (9-11)	12 (9-16)	11 (7-14)	45 (37-52)	9 (0-17)
GZ-HPD	29.4	17 (15-18)	4 (4-5)	15 (12-20)	18 (13-22)	20 (16-27)	26 (19-30)



756 Figure 1. Whisker-box plots of mass concentrations of PM2.5 (a), OC (b) and EC (c) as well as

EC/OC ratios (d) for samples collected in Xian (XA), Beijing (BJ), Shanghai (SH) and Guangzhou
(GZ) during the winter of 2013. The box represents the 25th (lower line), 50th (middle line) and 75th

(top line) percentiles; the solid dots within the box represent the mean values; the end of the vertical

bars represents the 10th (below the box) and 90th (above the box) percentiles.



761

Figure 2. Mass concentrations ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) of EC from biomass burning and fossil-fuel combustion (EC<sub>bb</sub>

and  $EC_{\rm f}$ , respectively) as well as fractions of fossil EC to total EC for aerosols samples in Xian,

Beijing, Shanghai and Guangzhou during moderately polluted days (MPD) and heavily polluted days

765 (HPD). Note the different scaling for the northern and the southern cities.



Figure 3. Mass concentrations ( $\mu$ g/m<sup>3</sup>) of OC from non-fossil and fossil emissions (OC<sub>nf</sub> and OC<sub>f</sub>,

respectively) as well as fractions of fossil OC to total OC for samples collected in Xian, Beijing ,

769 Shanghai and Guangzhou during moderately polluted days (MPD) and heavily polluted days (HPD).

770 Note the different scaling for the northern and the southern cities.



Figure 4. Scatter plots of concentrations of  $EC_{bb}$  with levoglucosan (top), mannosan (middle) and

773 non-sea-salt-potassium (nss- $K^+$ , bottom).









Figure 5. Latin-hypercube sampling (LHS) solutions of frequency distributions of the source
contributions to TC from OC and EC source categories (see in Table 4) for samples collected in
Xian (XA), Beijing (BJ), Shanghai (SH) and Guangzhou (GZ) during the moderately polluted
days (MPD) and the heavily polluted days (HPD), respectively.



Figure 6. Average mass concentrations of OC and EC from different sources for samples collected in
Xian (XA), Beijing (BJ), Shanghai (SH) and Guangzhou (GZ) during the moderately polluted days
(MPD), heavily polluted days (HPD) and their corresponding excess (EX=HPD-MPD). Uncertainty
bars represent 10 and 90 percentiles from LHS calculations. See Fig. S1 for an alternative solution for

786 Beijing assuming a higher contribution of coal combustion as explained below in Section 3.3.3.



**Figure 7.** Comparison of secondary OC from fossil and non-fossil sources (i.e.  $SOC_f$  and  $SOC_{nf}$ , respectively) resolved by the <sup>14</sup>C and ME-2 approaches. The dashed line denotes a linear regression fit of  $SOC_f$  when excluding data from Beijing yielding an alternative regression slope marked with an asterisk (\*). Note that the intercepts are insignificant for all three cases.