

Response to Reviews and Comments

Manuscript acp-2014-736

Characterization of primary and secondary wood combustion products generated under different burner loads

Bruns, E.A., Krapf, M., Orasche, J., Huang, Y., Zimmermann, R., Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., El-Haddad, I., Slowik, J.G., Dommen, J., Baltensperger, U., Prévôt, A.S.H.

We thank the Referees and Commentators for the comments and we have revised the manuscript to incorporate the feedback. We have copied the remarks of each Referee and Commenter in *black italics* and our responses are given in regular black font. Manuscript text with revisions is given in [regular blue font](#).

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 20 November 2014

The manuscript entitled "Characterization of primary and secondary wood combustion products generated under different burner loads" by Bruns et al. investigates the effects of fuel loadings on both primary and secondary wood combustion products in a smog chamber, including the composition of both the gas and the particulate phase. Special interest lies on the contribution of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAH's) to organic aerosol mass, determined by an Aerosol Mass Spectrometer (AMS) and by GC-MS analysis from filter samples. Within this study, a novel approach for the quantification of these PAH's from AMS data was therefore developed. Main conclusions from the manuscript include that the contributions of PAH's to total organic aerosol (OA) increase with higher loadings of combusted wood in the burner. The increase of OA concentrations during aging rises with fuel loadings. Furthermore, an increase of functionalized PAH's were observed with aging. The knowledge of the composition of wood burning emissions, especially the contribution of PAH's and their oxidation products is relevant since these compounds are known to have adverse effects on health. Only few publications on the investigations of secondary organic aerosols (SOA) deriving from wood combustions are available. Thus, these results are important for the understanding how gas phase PAH's emissions from this source contribute to the formation of SOA. Overall, the paper is well written and the new data is important to the community. Further, the work is within the scope of work published by ACP. Therefore, I recommend publication once the comments and questions below are addressed.

Major comments and questions:

1- An important point was made in a previous short comment from Glyn Hughes. The authors explain precisely the preparation of the smog chamber but do not point out how the burner was prepared prior to the loading with wood and burning processes. Such a description for the burner should be added.

The experiments were not conducted at a combustion test facility, where burning is highly controlled, and instead represent real-world, residential burning. However, care was taken to replicate each burn for each condition as closely as possible (e.g., starting fuel mass, number logs, initial log arrangement in the combustion chamber). Logs were approximately 30 cm in length and 10 cm in width and firestarters were cylindrical bundles of pine wood shavings,

paraffin and natural resin and approximately 10 cm in length and 2 cm in width. Prior to starting the burn, logs were arranged in a pyramid shape for the average load, as shown in Figure S1. For the high load, the logs completely filled the combustion chamber and thus, there was no special arrangement, as shown in Figure S1. Firestarters were interspersed among the logs. Burning was started by igniting the firestarters using a hand-held lighter after which the burner door was immediately closed. The damper was left completely open to allow maximum air flow into the combustion chamber until the logs fully caught fire (~10-15 min), at which point the air flow was reduced.

The fuel was burned in a modern log burner: Avant model manufactured by Attika. Photographs of the 0.037 m³ combustion chamber are shown in Figure S1. The combustion chamber surfaces are lined with vermiculite. During burning, ash and residues were not actively removed, but all ash and residues were removed from the combustion chamber prior to beginning each burn. Temperature measurements were not made in the combustion chamber during burning.

Additional details of the burner preparation were added to the caption of Figure S1 and main text (pages 26045-26046).

The main text was revised as follows:

“Six wood combustion experiments using beech wood with a moisture content of 10±2% (mean ± 2 sample standard deviations (2s)) were conducted in a 27-m³ smog chamber (Paulsen et al., 2005) to investigate the effects of wood loading on primary emissions and secondary products. Three of the experiments (1-3, Table 1) were conducted with a starting wood load of 2.21±0.09 kg (3 logs without bark, 4 pieces of kindling and 3 fire-starters comprised of pine wood shavings, paraffin and natural resin) and three experiments (4-6, Table 1) were conducted with a starting wood load of 7.4±0.2 kg (9 logs without bark, 8 pieces of kindling and 4 fire-starters) in the ~0.037 m³ burner combustion chamber of a modern log wood burner (Avant, Attika) (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Hereafter, these two cases are referred to as “average load” and “high load”, respectively. Prior to each burn, ash and residue from the previous burn were removed. Each burn began by igniting the firestarters using a hand-held lighter and immediately closing the burner door. The damper was left completely open to allow maximum air flow into the combustion chamber until the logs caught fire (~10-15 min), after which the air flow was reduced.

The caption now reads as follows:

“Figure S1. Representative photographs of the loaded wood combustion chamber (lined with vermiculite) before burning for (a) average and (b) high load conditions. Logs were approximately 30 cm in length and 10 cm in width and firestarters (~10 cm × 2 cm) were intersperse among the logs.”

2- Using a chamber presents a limitation on the number of trials possible which creates a scenario like a field campaign. I assume time limitations prevented repeat experiments and experiments with different fuel loadings. Ideally, those experiments would have been completed to allow statistical analysis. Experiment 1 clearly shows different results than experiments 2 and 3 although the fuel loadings are roughly the same. Are there any reasons for these discrepancies, e.g. the very high OA and PAH mass loadings which were seen in experiment 1?

The Referee is correct that the number of repeat experiments was limited by the practical considerations of operating a large smog chamber (e.g., instrument availability and time and expense required for each experiment). However, three replicates were conducted for each condition. The replicates for each condition all support the main conclusions of the paper, namely that average load conditions result in lower PAH contributions to total OA and lower OA production with aging than high load conditions.

Although the replicates for each condition support the main conclusions of the paper, there is variability among the replicates performed under the same conditions, which is largest for experiment 1, as the Referee points out. There are no obvious reasons why the OA emission factors in experiment 1 were higher than the other high load experiments. Although care was taken to reproduce the same burn conditions during each replicate, the differences in experiment 1 are likely due to the inherent variability associated with residential wood combustion.

The main text has been modified as follows (page 26056) :

“The total PM emission factor was similar for each experiment, except experiment 1, for which it was over 3 times greater than the other burns due to increased organic emissions (Table 1). There were no obvious reasons why the total PM emission factor in experiment 1 was considerably higher than the other experiments. Although the MCEs were similar for all burns (Table 1), this illustrates the real-world variability of wood combustion emissions and the large range of possible emission factors from the same burner and operator.”

3- Why did the authors chose a different experimental strategy for experiment 6 than for the other experiments, precisely the second and third injection of burning emissions?

A different experimental strategy was adopted for experiment 6 to meet the requirements for a specific filter sample, the analysis of which will be presented in a future publication. Despite the different experimental strategy, the results of experiment 6 are in agreement with the other high load experiments (e.g., higher PAH contribution to total OA).

4- Currently, the ions $C_2H_4O_2^+$ ($m/z=60$) and $C_3H_5O_2^+$ ($m/z=73$), detected with the AMS, are commonly used as tracers for primary biomass burning emissions in ambient measurements, as they are preferably formed from the fragmentation of levoglucosan within the AMS. The HR spectra in figure 1 seem to show large differences in f_{60} and f_{73} ratios between the experiments. The authors should also address this issue.

The temperature in the combustion chamber affects the relative quantities of emitted compounds throughout the burn. A nice summary is given by Fitzpatrick et al. (2007) of the chemical classes emitted as a function of burn temperature. At lower temperatures, products formed from the pyrolysis of lignin and carbohydrates, including levoglucosan, dominate. As the burn temperature increases, the relative contribution of these compounds decreases and products such as polycyclic oxygenates and PAHs dominate.

The fraction of $C_2H_4O_2^+$ relative to the total OA ($f_{C_2H_4O_2^+}$) for the primary emissions was higher in the average load conditions (0.050-0.094) compared to the high load conditions (0.012-0.029), whereas the fraction of PAHs in the total primary OA (f_{PAH}) was lower in the average load experiments. The higher $f_{C_2H_4O_2^+}$ and lower f_{PAH} s in the average load experiments suggests that the burn temperatures were lower compared to the high load experiments, likely due to different fuel/air ratios. Future experiments with temperature

measurements made directly in the combustion chamber would provide further insight into this matter.

A discussion of biomass burning markers was added to the main text: (page 26060, after line 6):

“The ion $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+$ is used as a biomass burning tracer in ambient AMS data. Interestingly, the fraction of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+$ relative to the total primary OA ($f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$) was higher in the average load experiments, ranging from 0.050-0.094, compared to the high load experiments which ranged from 0.012-0.029. As summarized by Fitzpatrick et al. (2007), lower burn temperatures favor the formation of products from the pyrolysis of lignin and carbohydrates, including levoglucosan. As the burn temperature increases, the relative contribution of these compounds decreases and products such as polycyclic oxygenates and PAHs dominate. The higher $f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$ and lower f_{PAHs} in the average load experiments suggests that the variability in $f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$ was due to lower burn temperatures in the average load experiments, likely due to different fuel/air ratios.”

Reference:

Fitzpatrick, E.M., Ross, A.B., Bates, J., Andrews, G., Jones, J.M., Phylaktou, H., Pourkashanian, M. and Williams, A.: Emission of oxygenated species from the combustion of pine wood and its relation to soot formation, *Process Saf. Environ.* 85, 430-440, 2007.

5- I have some general questions regarding the analysis of the AMS data, especially the novel approach to detect and quantify PAH-peaks: The fits of the high resolution (HR) peaks of the PAH-ions showed in the supplement look quite nice, as the authors already stated in their publication. I assume that the IGOR-based AMS data evaluation software SQUIRREL (for UMR data) and PIKA (for HR data) was used in this study. It would be helpful to give some information in the supplement, which software versions you used and how the parameters for the m/z calibration, the peak width and peak shape were determined, e.g. did the authors include these PAH ions for these determinations? Currently, PAH contributions are considered in the SQUIRREL UMR fragmentation table as an additional aerosol subclass, but are not considered quantitatively by default. Did the authors use these entries in a certain way?

The Referee is correct that SQUIRREL and PIKA were used in this study. SQUIRREL version 1.53F and PIKA version 1.12F were used. To improve the mass calibration in the higher m/z range, PAH ions with sufficiently high signal to noise were used in the determination of the m/z calibration and peak width parameters. PAH contributions were considered quantitatively by selecting the option to “define Org and PAH separately” in the fragmentation table and incorporating the modifications described in the main text.

Additional information was added to the main text and supporting information. The following information was added to the AMS data analysis section (page 26051):

“AMS data were analyzed in Igor Pro 6.3 (Wavemetrics) using the SQUIRREL (version 1.53F) and PIKA (version 1.12F) data analysis programs.”

(page 26053):

“To achieve satisfactory fitting in the higher m/z range, PAH ions with sufficiently high signal to noise were used in the determination of the m/z calibration and peak width parameters.”

Detailed comments:

Page 26046, line 20-21. How did the authors judge if the burning conditions are stable and there were no emissions from the fire starters? Which parameters were used which indicated that the injection into the chamber had to be done at least 15 Minutes after the ignition?

Injection into the smog chamber began at least 15 min after starting a burn to ensure that the emissions were free from the influence of the firestarters. According to the manufacturer, the firestarters burn for ~10 min after ignition. This was confirmed during experiments with only the firestarters in the combustion chamber where the fire starters were consumed in less than 10 min. Visual inspection during the wood burning experiments also confirms that the firestarters were consumed within the first 15 min of burning.

The description ‘stable burning’ was meant to indicate that the highly variable starting phase and smoldering phase were not included in the emissions, as described on page 26046-26047, lines 28-6. However, online measurements of the direct emissions during the burn were not conducted to chemically separate the different burn phases, as done in a recent study (Elsasser et al., 2014). The sentence referenced by the Referee was modified (page 26046):

“Emission injection into the chamber began at least 15 minutes after ignition to ensure that the emissions were not influenced by firestarters.”

Reference:

Elsasser, M., Busch, C., Orasche, J., Schön, C., Hartmann, H., Schnelle-Kreis, J. and Zimmermann, R.: Dynamic changes of the aerosol composition and concentration during different burning phases of wood combustion, *Energ. Fuel* 27, 4959-4968, 2013.

Page 26048, line 12. Was the W-mode of the AMS also used in this study? It would be interesting, how the PAH-peaks at the high m/z look like in this mode. The pictures of the fitted peaks of the V-mode in the supplement show quite high signals, indicating there might be enough signal to get nice peaks also in the W-mode. The use of Wmode data would also enhance the identification and quantification of HR-peaks with sufficient signals and to distinguish not only between PAH and non-PAH related ions at the same nominal mass, but also between several different PAH related ions at the same nominal mass, if these cases exist. As the authors already stated out, the last two issues are difficult, but important to achieve.

W-mode data was not collected during this study. We agree with the Referee that the W-mode data would enhance the identification of HR peaks, particularly at higher m/z s, and will be collected during future experiments.

Page 26048, line 20. Was the humidity of the sample air after drying with a Nafion dryer measured to assure that the air was really dry? We well know, that at high humidities, the collection efficiency (CE) of the AMS changes, despite the fact that the CE was already set to 1 in this study.

The humidity of the sample air after the Nafion dryer was not measured during the experiments. Higher relative humidity is expected to increase the collection efficiency towards 1. As a collection efficiency of 1 was applied to all experiments based on the findings of previous biomass burning studies (Hennigan et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2012; Ortega et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014), the AMS data are already lower limits with respect to collection efficiency. Also, the relative humidity in the smog

chamber was similar in each experiment (57-63%) and remained constant throughout each experiment which would eliminate potential biases between experiments due to altered collection efficiencies from un-dry particles/underperformance of the Nafion dryer.

References:

Eriksson, A.C., Nordin, E.Z., Nystrom, R., Pettersson, E., Swietlicki, E., Bergvall, C., Westerholm, R., Boman, C. and Pagels, J.H.: Particulate PAH emissions from residential biomass combustion: time-resolved analysis with aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 7143-7150, 2014.

Hennigan, C.J., Miracolo, M.A., Engelhart, G.J., May, A.A., Presto, A.A., Lee, T., Sullivan, A.P., McMeeking, G.R., Coe, H., Wold, C.E., Hao, W.M., Gilman, J.B., Kuster, W.C., de Gouw, J., Schichtel, B.A., Collett, J.L., Kreidenweis, S.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Chemical and physical transformations of organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open biomass burning emissions in an environmental chamber, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 7669-7686, 2011.

Heringa, M.F., DeCarlo, P.F., Chirico, R., Lauber, A., Doberer, A., Good, J., Nussbaumer, T., Keller, A., Burtscher, H., Richard, A., Miljevic, B., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: Time-resolved characterization of primary emissions from residential wood combustion appliances, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 11418-11425, 2012.

Heringa, M.F., DeCarlo, P.F., Chirico, R., Tritscher, T., Dommen, J., Weingartner, E., Richter, R., Wehrle, G., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: Investigations of primary and secondary particulate matter of different wood combustion appliances with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 5945-5957, 2011.

Ortega, A.M., Day, D.A., Cubison, M.J., Brune, W.H., Bon, D., de Gouw, J.A. and Jimenez, J.L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and primary organic aerosol oxidation from biomass-burning smoke in a flow reactor during FLAME-3, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 11551-11571, 2013.

Page 26057, line 19-21. It is confusing that the fragmentation table is linked also to the inorganic aerosol species at this point, because on page 26053, line 11, it was stated that these species were determined by using HR spectra, where most of the ions are fitted and not assigned by the fragmentation table. This section should be revised.

The text was clarified as follows (page 26057, lines 19-21):

“The mass spectral signal is separated into different chemical classes (i.e., organic, PAH, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium and chloride) as described in the data analysis section.”

Minor comments:

Page 26057, line 15. Within “as discussed in previously”, the word “in” has to be deleted.

This has been corrected.

Page 26061, line 3. Between “measured” and “m/z”, a space character is missing.

This has been corrected.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 2 December 2014

The manuscript of Burns et al. with the title “Characterization of primary and secondary wood combustion products generated under different burner loads” presents a study that describes methods and results of wood combustion experiments. The primary and secondary products under different burner loads were investigated using up-to date online techniques and more conventional filter methods. A special focus was on study of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and their determination using aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS). The aging of emission products was also tested in a chamber.

The topic as well as the presented questions and answers of this manuscript fit well in the scope of this journal. The manuscript presents novel ideas and data and it presents substantial and reliable conclusions. The presented methods and assumptions are valid and clearly outlined. The results are sufficient and the interpretations and conclusions are valid. The experiments are adequately described in the manuscript. The results and investigations of this manuscript are well compared to the other scientific publications of the field. The title describes the content of the manuscript reasonably well as well as does the abstract. The presentation and structure of the manuscript and the used language are clear and of high quality.

This reviewer strongly recommends publication of this skilfully prepared manuscript after the scanty modifications presented below are made and after the comments and questions raised by Anonymous Referee #1 and by Glyn Hughes are addressed.

General comments:

Throughout the manuscript, the adjective ‘significant’ has been used to mean ‘considerable, noteworthy, notable, remarkable’ but as I understand, not to imply any statistical significance. I recommend using other adjectives than significant when you do not mean to express statistical significance.

We have taken the recommendation of the Referee and have replaced or removed the word ‘significant’ throughout the text when not discussing statistical significance.

Specific comments:

This is probably not your fault but in the text all -ff-’s and -ffi-’s are in italics (e.g. in words difference, coefficient, etc.).

This was not the case in the originally submitted document and it will be checked in the next set of page proofs.

Don’t place space in ‘m/z’. Check throughout the manuscript.

There was no space in ‘m/z’ in the originally submitted document and it will be checked in the next set of page proofs.

Page 26056, lines 19-23. This sentence does not read well. Please, clarify it.

This sentence has been split into two sentences and now reads as follows:

“Orasche et al. (2013) found total PM emission factors were a factor of about 1.4 higher for high load flaming conditions than for average loads, whereas Elsasser et al. (2013) found four times higher PM emissions generated under high load conditions compared to average load

conditions. However, it is noted the Elsasser et al. (2013) result was determined from a single measurement.”

In the text and in the tables, you use numbers 1-6 for the experiments but in the figures, the letters a-f are used. Why not use the same logic throughout the manuscript?

The labelling on Figures 1-3, S5 and S6 has been modified to include the experiment number.

Anonymous Referee #1 has already asked you about the m/z ratios of 60 and 73. Also I would like to see more discussion related to these m/z's in this manuscript.

A discussion on this issue has been added to the text. Please see the response to Referee 1 for more details.

G. Hughes

glynhughes@btinternet.com

Received and published: 21 October 2014

Most interesting, but, unless I've missed something, you don't explain precisely how the fuel was burned, in what shape and size of what sort of container, on what surface, how insulated and at what temperatures, nor what shapes and sizes the fuel elements were, or how placed. Was ash and residues left around, or allowed to fall away? We well know that these factors can alter combustion and emissions by more than an order of magnitude.

Please see response to similar comment made by Referee #1.

C. Herring

courtney.herring@hotmail.com

Received and published: 2 December 2014

PAH molecular ions have been shown to occur at even molecular masses. In the case of the HR-ToF-AMS, the strongest signals are seen at the [M]⁺ unless there are an odd number of carbons, in which case the strongest signal is typically at [M-1]⁺ (Džepina et al. 2007). In Table 2 of the manuscript, the authors provide a list of “PAH parent ions” and include five ions with odd molecular masses (m/z 139, 163, 165, 189, 199). It is not made clear which PAH compounds these ions are expected to be originating from. Bente et al. (2009) had previously attributed some of these ions (m/z 139, 165, 189) to alkylated aromatic fragments of PAH compounds. Could the authors clarify how they determined the classification of these odd-molecular mass ions?

References

Bente, M., Sklorz, M., Streibel, T. and Zimmermann, R. (2009). Thermal Desorption-Multiphoton Ionization Time-of-Flight Mass Spectrometry of Individual Aerosol Particles: A Simplified Approach for Online Single-Particle Analysis of Polycyclic Aromatic Hydrocarbons and Their Derivatives. *Analytical Chemistry* 81:2525-2536.

Džepina, K., Arey, J., Marr, L. C., Worsnop, D. R., Salcedo, D., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T.B., Molina, L. T., Molina, M. J. and Jimenez, J. L. (2007). Detection of particle-phase polycyclic

aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico City using an aerosol mass spectrometer. *International Journal of Mass Spectrometry* 263:152-170.

Table 2 shows the parent ions included for the quantification of PAHs from the AMS data. As described in the AMS data analysis section, “Signal from $[M]^{2+}$, $[M-H]^+$, $[M-H]^{2+}$, $[M-2H]^+$, $[M+H]^+$, $[M+H]^{2+}$ and isotopic contributions from each of the singly charged ions from the presence of a single ^{13}C , referred to as associated ions hereafter, for each non-oxygenated PAH parent ion were included in the analysis.”

Original phrasing referred to $[M]^+$ peaks used in PAH analysis as ‘parent ions’. Parent ion implies an unfragmented species, however, as stated in the manuscript, “There are considerable PAH signals as low as m/z 128 (Fig. 1), which if interpreted as a parent ion would indicate naphthalene; however, this compound is expected to be fully in the gas phase (Pankow, 1994). Therefore, this suggests that the PAH signals observed in the lower m/z region are likely from PAHs that have undergone fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process.” To eliminate the confusion with this terminology, we have changed the wording throughout the text and in Table 2 from PAH ‘parent ion’ to ‘base ion’, these base ions are likely fragments of larger (parent) PAHs, especially in the lower m/z range and for the ions noted in the comment.

Unfortunately, from the AMS data alone we are not able to determine the PAHs from which the fragments originate, including for the odd molecular mass peaks. As stated in the comment, peaks at m/z 139, 165 and 189 have been observed previously in the mass spectral analysis of wood ash sample and attributed to fragments of alkylated aromatics, although with a different ionization method (Bente et al., 2009). Bente et al. (2009) also observed considerable signal at m/z 163 in these samples.

The text has been modified to move the additional discussion of PAH fragmentation (page 26060, lines 17-22) from the results and discussion into the AMS data analysis section (page 26053) and to discuss the odd molecular weight ions as follows:

“PAH base ions included in the analysis are shown in Table 2. Signal from $[M]^{2+}$, $[M-H]^+$, $[M-H]^{2+}$, $[M-2H]^+$, $[M+H]^+$, $[M+H]^{2+}$ and isotopic contributions from each of the singly charged ions from the presence of a single ^{13}C , referred to as associated ions hereafter, for each non-oxygenated PAH base ion were included in the analysis. From the HR analysis, it was observed that the oxygenated PAHs do not have significant signal at the (non-isotopic) associated ions listed above, likely due to fragmentation differences from the presence of oxygen atom. There are considerable PAH signals as low as m/z 128 (Fig. 1), which if interpreted as an unfragmented species would indicate naphthalene; however, this compound is expected to be fully in the gas phase (Pankow, 1994). Therefore, this suggests that the PAH signals observed in the lower m/z region are likely from PAHs that have undergone fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process. The PAH ions at odd m/z s are also likely fragments, as observed by Bente et al. (2009).”

Characterization of primary and secondary wood combustion products generated under different burner loads

Bruns, E.A.¹, Krapf, M.¹, Orasche, J.^{2,3,4}, Huang, Y.², Zimmermann, R.^{2,3,4}, Drinovec, L.⁵, Močnik, G.⁵, El-Haddad, I.¹, Slowik, J.G.¹, Dommen, J.¹, Baltensperger, U.¹, Prévôt, A.S.H.¹

¹Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institute, Villigen, 5232, Switzerland

²Joint Mass Spectrometry Centre, Cooperation Group “Comprehensive Molecular Analytics”, Helmholtz Zentrum München, D-85764 Neuherberg, Germany

³Joint Mass Spectrometry Centre, Institute of Chemistry, Division of Analytical and Technical Chemistry, University of Rostock, D-18057 Rostock, Germany

⁴Helmholtz Virtual Institute of Complex Molecular Systems in Environmental Health–Aerosol and Health (HICE, www.hice-vi.eu)

⁵Aerosol d.o.o, Kamniška 41, 1000 Ljubljana, Slovenia

Correspondence to: A.S.H. Prévôt (andre.prevot@psi.ch)

For submission to *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*

Abstract

Residential wood burning contributes **significantly** to the total atmospheric aerosol burden; however, large uncertainties remain in the magnitude and characteristics of wood burning products. Primary emissions are influenced by a variety of parameters, including appliance type, burner wood load and wood type. In addition to directly emitted particles, previous laboratory studies have shown that oxidation of gas phase emissions produces compounds with sufficiently low volatility to readily partition to the particles, forming **significant** considerable quantities of secondary organic aerosol (SOA). However, relatively little is known about wood burning SOA and the effects of burn parameters on SOA formation and composition are yet to be determined. There is clearly a need for further study of primary and secondary wood combustion aerosols to advance our knowledge of atmospheric aerosols and their impacts on health, air quality and climate.

For the first time, smog chamber experiments were conducted to investigate the effects of wood loading on both primary and secondary wood combustion products. Products were characterized using a range of particle and gas phase instrumentation, including an aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS). A novel approach for polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH) quantification from AMS data was developed and results were compared to those from GC-MS analysis of filter samples.

Similar total particle mass emission factors were observed under high and average wood loadings, however, high fuel loadings were found to generate significantly higher contributions of PAHs to the total organic aerosol (OA) mass compared to average loadings. PAHs contributed $15 \pm 4\%$ (mean ± 2 sample standard deviations) to the total OA mass in high load experiments, compared to $4 \pm 1\%$ in average load experiments. With aging, total OA concentrations increased by a factor of 3 ± 1 for high load experiments compared to 1.6 ± 0.4 for average load experiments. In the AMS, an increase in PAH and aromatic signature ions at lower m/z values, likely fragments from larger functionalized PAHs, was observed with aging. Filter samples also showed an increase in functionalized PAHs in the particles with aging, particularly oxidized naphthalene species. As PAHs and their oxidation products are known to have deleterious effects on health, this is a **significant-noteworthy** finding to aid in the mitigation of negative wood burning impacts by improving burner operation protocols.

1 Introduction

Residential wood combustion is a ~~significant~~notable source of atmospheric aerosols, particularly in regions with moderate to cold climate, as it is a common heating method. For example, during the winter, residential wood combustion was found to contribute between 17-49% to sub-micron organic aerosol mass at various rural and urban sites throughout central Europe (Lanz et al., 2010); between 9-64% to total particulate carbon at six rural sites in Portugal, France, Germany, Austria and Hungary (Gelencsér et al., 2007); an average of ~41% to organic carbon in Fresno (USA) (Gorin et al., 2006); an average of 79% to organic carbon in Prague (Czech Republic) (Saarikoski et al., 2008); 13-15% to primary sub-micron aerosol and up to 66% to total sub-micron aerosol in Paris (France) (Crippa et al., 2013; Petit et al., 2014); 31-83% to PM₁ in northern Sweden (Krecl et al., 2008) and 30-60% to organic carbon in various European alpine locations (Herich et al., 2014). Globally, it is estimated that three billion people burn biomass or coal for residential heating and cooking needs (IPCC).

Although wood combustion is known to contribute ~~significantly~~ to the global aerosol burden, large uncertainties in aerosol composition and quantification remain. Aerosol characterization is important for ambient source apportionment and for understanding impacts on health (Naeher et al., 2007; Mauderly and Chow, 2008; Bølling et al., 2009), air quality (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000) and climate (IPCC). Direct particulate emissions from wood combustion are a complex mixture of organic compounds, inorganic compounds, elemental and/or black carbon and metals (Fine et al., 2001, 2002a; Hedberg et al., 2002; Fine et al., 2004a; Johansson et al., 2004; Schmidl et al., 2008), making characterization difficult. Previous studies have shown that organics often constitute more than 50% of particulate emissions (Schauer et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002b; Hedberg et al., 2002; Schmidl et al., 2008; Elsasser et al., 2013), making this an important class of compounds to characterize. However, characterization is complicated by burn variability, both during a single fire and between individual fires (Weimer et al., 2008; Heringa et al., 2012; Elsasser et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014). Burn parameters, such as wood type, wood loading and appliance type, can also influence emission composition ~~significantly~~greatly (McDonald et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2002b; Jordan and Seen, 2005; Weimer et al., 2008; Pettersson et al., 2011; Orasche et al., 2012; Elsasser et al., 2013; Orasche et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014).

Gases emitted together with primary organic aerosol (POA) can undergo oxidation in the atmosphere to form lower volatility compounds, which condense to form secondary organic aerosols (SOA) (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). However, most previous laboratory studies of residential wood burning have only characterized primary emissions after undergoing varying degrees of cooling and dilution (McDonald et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2001; Schauer et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002b, a; Hedberg et al., 2002; Fine et al., 2004b, a; Johansson et al., 2004; Schmidl et al., 2008; Weimer et al., 2008; Orasche et al., 2012; Elsasser et al., 2013; Orasche et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014). The few laboratory studies investigating SOA formation from residential wood burning have shown that it can be ~~substantial~~significant; aged OA was 1.8-5.3 times that of POA for log wood burners during stable burning conditions (Grieshop et al., 2009a; Grieshop et al., 2009b; Hennigan et al., 2010; Heringa et al., 2011). Also, phenol, syringol and guaiacol, which have been identified in primary biomass burning emissions, form ~~significant~~SOA in laboratory studies (Yee et al., 2013). There is evidence of ~~significant~~SOA formation from residential wood burning in ambient measurements as well (Iinuma et al., 2010; Mohr et al., 2013). For example, methyl-nitrocatechols, reaction products from the oxidation of *m*-cresol, present in primary wood smoke, have been measured in significant quantities in rural Germany (Iinuma et al., 2010). The extent to which wood combustion SOA is included in ambient measurements is unclear and more work is needed to characterize SOA quantities and composition. For example, 59% of the carbon in semi- and low-volatility oxygenated organic aerosol (largely secondary) measured during winter in Barcelona consists of non-fossil carbon, suggesting this is from sources such as biomass burning and cooking (Mohr et al., 2012). Improved understanding of wood burning SOA is also needed to improve modelling results (Simpson et al., 2007).

PAHs are formed during incomplete combustion, emitted during wood burning (McDonald et al., 2000; Schauer et al., 2001; Hedberg et al., 2002; Fine et al., 2004b; Jordan and Seen, 2005; Fitzpatrick et al., 2007; Bari et al., 2011; Pettersson et al., 2011; Eriksson et al., 2014), and of particular interest due to their deleterious effects on health (Kim et al., 2013).

Functionalized PAHs, such as oxygenated or nitrogenated compounds, can be emitted directly during burning or can form in the atmosphere from the oxidation of parent PAHs and these heteroatom derivatives often have higher toxicity than their PAH analogues (Yu, 2002; Fu et al., 2012). Recently, increased PAH emissions were observed under highly loaded burner conditions (Elsasser et al., 2013; Orasche et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014).

However, no work has been published on the effect of burner fuel load on SOA.

Here, we present results from the first smog chamber investigation of the impact of wood load on the quantities and composition of primary and secondary residential wood combustion products. Online measurements were made with a high resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (AMS) and compared to results from offline filter analysis, with a particular focus on PAH emissions and their evolution with aging.

2 Methods

Six wood combustion experiments using beech wood with a moisture content of $10 \pm 2\%$ (mean \pm 2 sample standard deviations (2s)) were conducted in a 27-m^3 smog chamber (Paulsen et al., 2005) to investigate the effects of wood loading on primary emissions and secondary products. Three of the experiments (1-3, Table 1) were conducted with a starting wood load of 2.21 ± 0.09 kg (3 logs without bark, 4 pieces of kindling and 3 fire-starters comprised of pine wood shavings, paraffin and natural resin) and three experiments (4-6, Table 1) were conducted with a starting wood load of 7.4 ± 0.2 kg (9 logs without bark, 8 pieces of kindling and 4 fire-starters) in the $\sim 0.037\text{ m}^3$ burner combustion chamber of a modern log wood burner (Avant, Attika) (Fig. S1 in the Supplement). Hereafter, these two cases are referred to as “average load” and “high load”, respectively. Prior to each burn, ash and residue from the previous burn were removed. Each burn began by igniting the firestarters using a hand-held lighter and immediately closing the burner door. The damper was left completely open to allow maximum air flow into the combustion chamber until the logs caught fire ($\sim 10\text{-}15$ min), after which the air flow was reduced.

Before each experiment, the chamber was cleaned by injecting O_3 for 6 h to 8 h and irradiating with a set of 80 UV lights (100 W, Cleo Performance, Philips) (Platt et al., 2013) for at least 10 h while flushing with pure air (120 l min^{-1} , 737-250 series, AADCO Instruments, Inc.). The chamber was then flushed with pure air in the dark for at least 20 h. After cleaning, the chamber was partially filled with humidified pure air. Wood was combusted as described above and emissions were sampled from the chimney, diluted using two ejector dilutors in parallel (DI-1000, Dekati Ltd.) and injected into the chamber. Lines from the chimney to the smog chamber, the ejection dilutors and the dilution air (equal mixture of air purified from 737-250 series, AADCO Instruments, Inc. and 250 series, AADCO Instruments, Inc.) were heated to 473 K to reduce line losses of semi-volatile compounds due to condensation of the hot emissions. The total dilution ratios of the raw emissions after the dilutors ranged from 13.6 to 15. Emissions underwent another dilution of

roughly a factor of 5-20, depending on the experiment, when injected into the chamber. The average temperature and relative humidity in the chamber after emission injection was 294.0 ± 0.5 K and $60 \pm 5\%$, respectively.

Emission injection into the chamber began at least 15 minutes after ignition to ensure that the emissions were not influenced by firestarters. Injection continued until either flames were no longer visible or the desired mass loading was reached in the chamber, which ranged from 41 min to 82 min for experiments 1-5. Experiment 6 was performed in a similar manner, except at the end of the flaming phase, the injection into the chamber was stopped and two batches of two additional logs each were added to the burner. The second and third injection into the chamber began after the new logs caught fire (approximately 2-4 min after addition) for a total injection period of 113 min.

A burn proceeds through phases: a starting phase, at least one stable flaming phase and a smoldering phase, all of which can have different chemical profiles. Emissions are typically more variable during the start and smoldering phase than the flaming stage. To isolate the effect of fuel loading, only emissions from the flaming phase were sampled. In this study, the average modified combustion efficiency (MCE), defined as the ratio of $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ to the sum of $\text{CO}_{2(g)}$ and $\text{CO}_{(g)}$, (Ward and Radke, 1993) of the injected emissions was greater than 0.90 for each experiment (Table 1), indicating injected emissions were dominated by the flaming phase (Lee et al., 2010).

After injection, the gaseous and particulate emissions in the chamber were characterized using a variety of online and offline techniques described below. Following approximately 2 h of primary emissions measurements, the toxicological responses of human epithelia cells to the particle emissions were investigated (presented in a future publication). During the cell exposures, particles were sampled at $\sim 80 \text{ l min}^{-1}$ from the chamber. This necessitated refilling the partially empty chamber during the exposure with humidified pure air.

After exposing the cells to primary emissions and the concurrent refilling of the chamber, a 9-times deuterated butanol sample (butanol-D9, 98%, Cambridge Isotope Laboratories) was injected into the chamber. This compound was monitored ($[\text{M}-\text{H}_2\text{O}+\text{H}]^+$ fragment, m/z 66) using a proton transfer reaction time-of-flight mass spectrometer (PTR-MS, $[\text{H}_3\text{O}]^+$ reagent ion, Ionicon Analytik GmbH) to calculate OH exposures in the chamber, using a rate constant of $3.4 \times 10^{-12} \text{ cm}^3 \text{ molec}^{-1} \text{ s}^{-1}$ (Barmet et al., 2012). The PTR-MS was not used during experiments 3 and 6.

1 In addition to the butanol-D9 injection, a continuous injection of nitrous acid (HONO), which
2 dissociates upon irradiation ($\lambda < 400$ nm) to form hydroxyl radical (OH), began 30-35 min
3 prior to the start of photo-oxidation. The HONO was generated and injected by bubbling
4 pure air (2.5 l min^{-1}) through a mixture of H_2SO_4 (95-97%, Merck) in water (10 mM, 18.0
5 $\text{M}\Omega\cdot\text{cm}$, Milli-Q, Reference A+) and NaNO_2 in water (1 mM experiments 1, 2, 4, 5; 3 mM
6 experiments 3, 6; $\geq 99.0\%$, Fluka) and passing through a particle filter into the chamber (Taira
7 and Kanda, 1990; Pfaffenberger et al., 2013). Finally, the emissions were aged with UV light
8 for 4.5-16 h, corresponding to maximum OH exposures of $\sim(2-5) \times 10^7 \text{ molec cm}^{-3} \text{ h}$ in
9 experiments 1, 2, 4 and 5. A significant increase in OA upon photo-oxidation was observed
10 during all experiments and after the maximum OA concentration was reached, a second cell
11 exposure and chamber refill was performed.

12 Primary and secondary particle and gas phase products were characterized using a variety of
13 online and offline techniques. In the gas phase, CO_2 , CO and CH_4 (Picarro, Inc.), O_3 (S300
14 ozone analyzer, Environics), total hydrocarbons (THC, Model VE 7 THC analyzer with
15 flame ionization detector, J.U.M.) and NO, NO_2 and NO_x (Trace level 42C, Thermo
16 Environmental Instruments with a photocatalytic converter and 9841A NO_x analyzer,
17 Monitor Labs) were measured.

18 Non-refractory particle chemical composition and size were measured using a high resolution
19 (HR) time-of-flight AMS (Aerodyne Research, Inc.) (DeCarlo et al., 2006) operated in V-
20 mode with a $2.5 \mu\text{m}$ inlet lens (Williams et al., 2013). Two Aethalometers each measured
21 black carbon mass loadings at seven wavelengths (Magee Scientific Aethalometer Model
22 A33, Aerosol d.o.o.). A thermal desorber comprised of a 50 cm heating section held at 423 K
23 followed by a 50 cm denuder section was located directly upstream of one Aethalometer to
24 volatilize and remove organic species (Bertscher et al., 2001). A condensation particle
25 counter (CPC, 3025A TSI) measured total particle number concentrations and a scanning
26 mobility particle sizer (SMPS, CPC 3022, TSI and custom built DMA with a length of 0.44
27 m) measured particle size distributions. Particles were dried (Nafion, Perma Pure LLC)
28 upstream of the AMS, Aethalometers, SMPS and CPC.

29 Losses in the thermal desorber were determined by nebulizing NaCl ($\geq 99.5\%$, Fluka) in water
30 and passing through the thermal desorber. Size distributions were measured using an SMPS
31 before and after the thermal desorber. It was determined that 24% of the mass is lost in the
32 thermal desorber at a mobility diameter of 100 nm and 9% of the mass is lost at a mobility

diameter of 200 nm. The average mobility diameter of the particles after injection ranged from 50-120 nm and losses were accounted for using the data collected at a mobility diameter of 100 nm.

Additionally, particles were collected on filters (47 mm Tissuquartz, Pall Corporation, 26 l min⁻¹ for 30-32 min) after injection of the primary emissions and after observation of the maximum OA signal during aging. Prior to deposition on the filter, particles were sampled through a charcoal denuder to remove organic gases. Filters were immediately stored at 253 K until analysis. Filters were analyzed by in-situ-derivatization thermal desorption gas chromatography time-of-flight mass spectrometry (IDTD-GC-TOF-MS) as described by Orasche et al. (2011). Briefly, a small portion of each filter was spiked with internal standards (isotopically-labelled compounds (Orasche et al., 2011)) and N-methyl-N-trimethylsilyl-trifluoroacetamide (MSTFA) was added before and subsequently during a thermal extraction period by introduction into a carrier gas stream. Thermally desorbed and derivatized molecules were transferred onto the cold GC column and the GC-MS run was started with pure carrier gas. Filter analysis from experiment 2 is not available.

3 Data Analysis

3.1 Wall loss and dilution

Particles in the chamber can be lost to the walls due to diffusion, electrostatic deposition or gravitational settling. In previous wood burning chamber studies (Grieshop et al., 2009a; Grieshop et al., 2009b; Hennigan et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2011), changes in the black carbon concentration, calculated from light absorption at longer wavelengths (i.e., 880 nm or 950 nm), were assumed to be solely due to wall losses. The decay of BC was used to correct other particulate concentrations (e.g., organics) for wall losses, assuming all particles are lost equally to the walls. However, the condensation of organics on black carbon particles can increase measured absorption, even at longer wavelengths (Shiraiwa et al., 2010). Despite the thermal desorber upstream of the Aethalometer, an increase in absorption at all measured wavelengths was observed during the rapid increase in organic compounds that immediately followed the initiation of photo-oxidation. This could be due to organics remaining on the particles or charring of organic material in the desorber. The desorption/adsorption of semi-volatile material on the filter during sampling can also influence absorption. As the magnitude of this effect varies with increasing mass on the filter, taking this effect into account is difficult. Because calculated wall loss rates are sensitive to small changes in the

measured black carbon concentration, wall loss rates were instead determined by fitting the decay in number concentration measured by the SMPS or CPC. For experiments 1, 4 and 5, data were fit for one hour prior to aging until turning the lights, where coagulation is expected to be negligible, and for the longer experiments 3 and 6, data were fit at the end of the experiment. The particle half-lives in the chamber for these experiments were in good agreement with each other (7 ± 2 h) and in the range measured previously for this chamber (Paulsen et al., 2005). The mean half-life was assumed for experiment 2, where reliable number concentration data were not available. The method described in Weitkamp et al. (2007) was used to take wall losses into account, assuming condensable material partitions only to suspended particles. However, as shown by Zhang et al. (2014), wall losses of semi-volatile species remain uncertain. The wall loss rate constant, which is dependent on particle size, is not expected to change ~~greatly significantly~~ following the coagulation period immediately after injection, as the particle mass mean diameter changed less than 100 nm for all experiments, except experiment 6.

The wall loss correction was applied after the emissions were injected and well-mixed in the chamber, approximately 15-50 min after the end of the injection, until the end of the experiment. Concentrations were also corrected for dilution during chamber refilling by using CH₄ as an inert tracer. Gas phase measurements were corrected for dilution in the same manner.

3.2 Emission factors

Emission factors (EFs) of various species i , S_i , were determined using Eq. (1) (Andreae and Merlet, 2001):

$$EF_{S_i} = \frac{\Delta S_i}{\Delta C_{CO_2} + \Delta C_{CO} + \Delta C_{HC} + \Delta C_{OC} + \Delta C_{BC}} \times f_C \quad (1)$$

where ΔS_i is the background-corrected concentration of species i , ΔC_{CO_2} is the background-corrected CO₂ concentration, ΔC_{CO} is the background-corrected CO concentration, ΔC_{HC} is the background-corrected hydrocarbon concentration, ΔC_{OC} is the background-corrected organic carbon concentration, ΔC_{BC} is the background-corrected black carbon concentration and f_C is the carbon fraction of beech wood, estimated to be 0.5 (Joosten et al., 2004). HR-AMS data were used to determine the organic mass to organic carbon (OM:OC) ratio for each experiment (Aiken et al., 2008). The average OM:OC of the primary emissions for the average load experiments was 1.7 ± 0.1 and was 1.56 ± 0.05 for the high load experiments.

3.3 AMS analysis

AMS data were analyzed in Igor Pro 6.3 (Wavemetrics) using the SQUIRREL (version 1.53F) and PIKA (1.12F) data analysis programs.

Extensive fragmentation of most compounds is observed in AMS mass spectra due to the vaporization/ionization process. During data analysis, the mass spectral signal is separated into different compound classes (i.e., organics, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium and chloride) using known fragmentation patterns for unit mass resolution (UMR) data (Allan et al., 2004) or using ion assignments determined from high resolution (HR) analysis. Unlike most organic compounds, PAHs undergo relatively little fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process, making it possible to positively identify PAH ~~parent-base~~ ions ($[M]^+$). In addition to ~~parent-base~~ ions, some associated fragment ions are observed (e.g., $[M-H]^+$, $[M-2H]^+$ and $[M+H]^+$) (Dzepina et al., 2007). PAH ~~parent-base~~ ions and associated ions can also be doubly charged (e.g., $[C_{16}H_{10}]^+ = m/z\ 202.08$ and $[C_{16}H_{10}]^{2+} = m/z\ 101.04$). For singly charged ions at odd nominal masses, this results in doubly charged ions at roughly half-integer masses (e.g., $[C_{16}H_9]^+ = m/z\ 201.07$ and $[C_{16}H_9]^{2+} = m/z\ 100.54$).

PAH quantification from AMS data is not often reported, even when PAHs are in relatively high abundance (Elsasser et al., 2013), due to data analysis challenges. UMR quantification of PAH and non-PAH contribution at the same nominal mass and incorporation of signal at half-integer masses is difficult (Dzepina et al., 2007). HR analysis allows for the quantification of ions at half-integer masses and the ability to distinguish between multiple ions at the same nominal mass. However, the number of possible molecular assignments and ion peak widths both increase with increasing m/z , making PAH assignment and separation from non-PAH signal at the same nominal mass difficult.

Dzepina et al. (2007) measured PAH standards and ambient PAHs with a quadrupole AMS and developed a method to analyze PAHs separately from organics. In the ambient measurements, ~~significant~~ contribution at higher m/z values from non-PAH compounds further complicated PAH quantification. Based on the analysis of laboratory standards, a method was developed for quantifying PAHs. However, Dzepina et al. (2007) used a quadrupole AMS during these measurements and thus only UMR data were available. Here, HR data provide more information for PAH quantification. For this study, PAHs were analyzed as a subclass of organics, using a combination of UMR and HR analysis to maximize the advantages of both methods.

The UMR analysis fragmentation table was modified with input from the HR analysis. To reduce uncertainty in peak fitting due to increasing number of chemically reasonable ion assignments at higher masses, HR data were fit only for masses below m/z 200. Peaks above m/z 200 were fit only if the peak measured during primary emissions could be fit solely with a formula corresponding to a PAH and yielded a fit residual less than 5%. Peak fit examples are shown in Fig. S2. To achieve satisfactory fitting in the higher m/z range, PAH ions with sufficiently high signal to noise were used in the determination of the m/z calibration and peak width parameters. All signal at PAH ~~parent-base~~ peaks above m/z 200 is considered PAH, which may neglect non-PAH contributions. However, the quality of the peak fits in Fig. S2 is high, suggesting that the non-PAH signal at these masses is relatively low. This method also results in some mass above m/z 200 considered non-PAH, which may be PAH-related. If all the mass above m/z 200 categorized as non-PAH was actually PAH-related, the PAH signal would increase by ~50-90% for the primary emissions.

PAH base ions included in the analysis are shown in Table 2. Signal from $[M]^{2+}$, $[M-H]^+$, $[M-H]^{2+}$, $[M-2H]^+$, $[M+H]^+$, $[M+H]^{2+}$ and isotopic contributions from each of the singly charged ions from the presence of a single ^{13}C , referred to as associated ions hereafter, for each non-oxygenated PAH base ion were included in the analysis. From the HR analysis, it was observed that the oxygenated PAHs do not have significant signal at the (non-isotopic) associated ions listed above, likely due to fragmentation differences from the presence of oxygen atom. There are considerable PAH signals as low as m/z 128 (Fig. 1), which if interpreted as an unfragmented species would indicate naphthalene; however, this compound is expected to be fully in the gas phase (Pankow, 1994). Therefore, this suggests that the PAH signals observed in the lower m/z region are likely from PAHs that have undergone fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process. The PAH ions at odd m/z s are also likely fragments, as observed by Bente et al. (2009).

PAH ~~parent-base~~ ions typically yield higher signals than their associated ions and are thus less prone to interference from non-PAH signal. To reduce uncertainty in quantification at the associated ions, particularly during oxidation when the PAH fraction decreases due to reaction of PAHs and/or production of non-PAH ions, the ratio of the ~~parent-base~~ ion to each associated ion was determined from the primary emissions. This ratio was then incorporated into the UMR fragmentation table and applied to the entire data set. These ratios are influenced by instrumental parameters and are assumed to not change greatly significantly during the short period in which these experiments were performed. Thus, these ratios were

determined from a single experiment (experiment 4, with the highest fraction of PAHs) and applied to all experiments. Figure S3 shows the ratios of associated ions to the parent-base ion for parent-base peaks at m/z 202, 226 and 276 during this experiment. These ratios were relatively stable during the ~7 h primary emission measurement period, including during dilution. There were difficulties in determining accurate ratios of the smallest PAH ion, $[C_{10}H_8]^+$, and the associated $[C_{10}H_6]^+$. For this PAH and associated ions, ratios were determined individually for each experiment.

The doubly charged ions from even mass parent-base ions overlap with other ions which may be PAH or non-PAH. Thus, the ratio of doubly charged to singly charged ions from all odd mass parent-base ions was used to calculate the doubly charged signal from even mass parent-base ions. For presentation of mass spectra herein, the signal from the doubly charged ions was subtracted from the m/z at which it was observed and added into the parent-base ion signal. Also, there is overlap between some parent-base and associated ions. For example, $[M-2H]^+$ from $[C_{14}H_{10}]^+$ is $[C_{14}H_8]^+$. In these cases, for example, $[C_{14}H_8]^+$ was treated as a parent-base ion and thus, the ratio of $[C_{14}H_{10}]^+$ to the $[M-2H]^+$ was not included in the analysis. The ratio of $[M]^+/[M-H]^+$ was used and thus, $[M+H]^+$ from $[C_{14}H_8]^+$ was not calculated.

For analysis requiring software tools only available for use with HR data, (e.g., determination of elemental ratios) not all mass is accounted for due to difficulties in fitting above m/z 200. However, the fraction of organic mass not included was less than 10% for all experiments for primary emissions and decreased to less than 4% during aging.

HR data was used exclusively for nitrate, sulfate, ammonium and chloride quantification. For quantification, the relative ionization efficiency (RIE) of PAHs was assumed to be the same as non-PAH organics (i.e., 1.4). As with several recent laboratory biomass burning studies (Hennigan et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2012; Ortega et al., 2013; Eriksson et al., 2014), a collection efficiency of 1 was used for all experiments.

The AMS PAH analysis is subject to uncertainties. PAHs may be underestimated due to the conservative analysis approach of only assigning compounds that are unambiguously PAHs to the PAH subclass. The PAH RIE is another possible source of error in the AMS analysis. Compared to the PAH RIE used in this study, Dzepina et al. (2007) measured similar or greater RIEs for 4 PAH standards (~1.35-2.1) and Slowik et al. (2004) measured a similar RIE for pyrene of 1.35. However, if the RIE was higher (i.e., 2.1), the reported PAH values

would decrease by a factor of 1.5. ~~Substantial Significant~~ formation of PAHs during the AMS vaporization process is unlikely. Flash pyrolysis of biomass material at 400-550 °C was observed to produce very low levels of PAHs (Horne and Williams, 1996). Although the temperatures were lower than that of the AMS vaporizer (600 °C), the pyrolysis time was 2 s, much longer than the $10^{-4} - 10^{-5}$ s AMS vaporization process.

3.4 Filter-based analysis

GC-MS analysis of filter samples can provide unambiguous identification of PAH compounds not possible with AMS analysis. However, filter based techniques are subject to both positive and negative artifacts during sampling, as well as during analysis, particularly for semi-volatile species. For example, positive artifacts can arise from the adsorption of semi-volatile species in the gas phase onto the filter, whereas evaporation of species on the filter results in negative artifacts (Turpin et al., 2000). Many of the PAHs emitted during wood combustion are semi-volatile (Hytonen et al., 2009). For example, using a modified partitioning model of Pankow (Pankow, 1994; Donahue et al., 2006), 26% of pyrene is expected to be in the gas phase at 294 K, assuming a pyrene activity coefficient in the organic mass of 1, a vapor pressure of 1.3×10^{-4} Pa and a total organic aerosol mass concentration of $30 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$, based on the non-wall loss/dilution corrected organic trace during the primary filter collection period of the high load experiments. Positive artifacts are ~~greatly significantly~~ reduced or eliminated by using a denuder upstream of the filter to remove organic gases (Subramanian et al., 2004), but possibly results in ~~substantial significant~~ negative artifacts by altering the gas-particle equilibrium. For example, the negative artifact for OC on quartz filters with an upstream denuder was 43% of the total OC for diesel exhaust, which like wood smoke contains ~~significant~~ semi-volatiles (Zhang et al., 2012). For individual PAHs, there was a 41-70% difference between denuded and non-denuded samples (Zhang et al., 2012). However, only PAHs with molecular weights (MWs) of 252 and 276 were reported (Zhang et al., 2012) and negative artifacts are expected to be even higher for higher volatility PAHs. When measuring wood burning emissions, Hytonen et al. (2009) found that only 80% of the true particulate PAH quantity of 15 measured PAHs (MW 152-276) were collected on the filter when using an upstream denuder, with pyrene, fluoranthene, phenanthrene and anthracene most affected. As a denuder was used in the current experiments, filter artifacts are likely to be predominately negative. Also, although a large number of PAHs were quantified, the list is likely not exhaustive (Table 3).

4 Results and Discussion

For all experiments, primary particulate emissions were a mixture of organic and inorganic compounds and black carbon (Table 1). Emission factors of various species in the chamber are given in Table 1 and corresponding concentrations in the chamber are presented in Table S1. Organic contribution to the total particulate matter (PM) mass was substantial significant in all experiments, ranging from 22 to 87% (Table 1), in agreement with the wide range reported in previous studies (Schauer et al., 2001; Hedberg et al., 2002; Fine et al., 2004b; Schmidl et al., 2008; Elsasser et al., 2013). Total PM concentrations were determined by summing BC and all species measured by AMS. Non-carbon elemental species were not measured during this study and although they are known to be emitted from wood combustion, they are not expected to greatly influence the results, as previous studies have typically found contributions of less than 5% to the fine PM mass (Schauer et al., 2001; Hedberg et al., 2002; Fine et al., 2004b).

The total PM emission factor was similar for each experiment, except experiment 1, for which it was over 3 times greater than the other burns due to increased organic emissions (Table 1). There were no obvious reasons why the total PM emission factor in experiment 1 was considerably higher than the other experiments. Although the MCEs were similar for all burns (Table 1), this illustrates the real-world variability of wood combustion emissions and the large range of possible emission factors from the same burner and operator. Variability is also observed in previous comparisons of high and average load burns. Orasche et al. (2013) found total PM emission factors were a factor of about 1.4 higher for high load flaming conditions than for average loads, whereas Elsasser et al. (2013) found four times higher PM emissions generated under high load conditions compared to average load conditions average load conditions. However, it is noted the Elsasser et al. (2013) result was determined from a single measurement.

The OM:BC ratio varied between burns, ranging between 0.33-9.4, with no significant dependence on wood loading (Table 1). These values are within the large range of measurements reported in the literature, although generally lower than ambient measurements (Gianini et al., 2012) and some direct emission studies (e.g., (McDonald et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2001; Schauer et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002a, 2004b, a; Schmidl et al., 2008). Similar values to those found in this study were reported in measurements from previous chamber experiments (Grieshop et al., 2009b; Heringa et al., 2011) and other direct emission studies

for flaming conditions with modern small scale wood burning appliances (Lamberg et al., 2011; Eriksson et al., 2014). Variability in literature OM:BC values arises not only from burn variability, but also from measurement/analysis methods. The burner used here is relatively new and expected to burn more efficiently (i.e., lower OM:BC) than burners with older technologies. Also, potential difficulties in separating primary and secondary signal in ambient source apportionment studies can result in incorrectly apportioned primary and secondary signals (Lanz et al., 2010). As OM:BC is typically higher for aged aerosol compared to primary, this results in overestimated OM:BC ratios. In addition, previous direct emission studies often used lower dilution ratios than used in this study and collected material on quartz fiber filters without the use of an upstream denuder (e.g., (McDonald et al., 2000; Fine et al., 2001; Schauer et al., 2001; Fine et al., 2002a, 2004b, a), both of which can result in ~~substantial significant~~ positive artifacts, as discussed ~~in~~ previously (Subramanian et al., 2004). This would result in overestimated OM:BC ratios.

AMS and offline filter measurements provide data on the effect of fuel loading on particulate composition. Figure 1 shows the average AMS mass spectrum of the primary emissions from each experiment. The mass spectral signal is separated into different chemical classes (i.e., organic, PAH, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium and chloride) as described in the data analysis section. There were significantly higher PAH contributions to the total organic signal for the high load experiments compared to the average load experiments (Fig. 1, Table 1). For the high load experiments, PAHs contributed 14-17% to the total organic signal, compared to only 3.4-4.7% for the average load experiments (Table 1).

Higher PAH fractions of the total organic mass with higher wood loading are in agreement with Elsasser et al. (2013) who observed an increased signal at higher m/z values in AMS mass spectra under high load conditions, compared to average load conditions, and attributed this to PAHs. Eriksson et al. (2014) measured PAH contributions to the total OA of 6-14% under hot, air starved conditions (generated using higher wood loadings) compared to only 2-4% under nominal burn conditions during the flaming stage using AMS. Also, previous GC-MS analysis of primary filter samples shows a contribution of PAHs to total PM mass of about 13% during high load burning, compared to less than 1% during average load burning of beech wood (Orasche et al., 2013).

As filter based methods can be subject to ~~considerable significant~~ artifacts and the analysis method used here for PAH quantification from HR-AMS data is novel, results from filter and

AMS PAH analysis were compared. The total primary PAH emission factors measured from the filters were 0.88 mg kg⁻¹ (experiment 3) and 4.2 mg kg⁻¹ (experiment 1) for average load experiments and ranged from 2.2-4.8 mg kg⁻¹ for high load experiments (Table 3). The primary PAH emission factors measured by AMS ranged from 2.8-27 mg kg⁻¹ for average load experiments and 5.4-13 mg kg⁻¹ for high load experiments. AMS PAH emission factors were higher than those determined from the filter analysis, but within a factor of 2-3 for all experiments, except experiment 1, which differed by a factor of 6. Due to uncertainties associated with both analysis methods, agreement within a factor of 2-3 is satisfactory.

As a further comparison between the filter and AMS PAH analysis for primary aerosol, compounds with molecular weights corresponding to parent-base ions measured by the AMS above the background level (i.e., 202, 226, 230, 252 and 276 including all associated ions) were compared (Fig. S4). With the uncertainties associated with both methods, good agreement was observed for molecular weights of 230, 252 and 276, with AMS:filter ratios ranging from ~0.9-2 for the primary emissions. However, for MW 202 and 226, the filter measurements were a factor of ~6-15 lower than the AMS measurements. The discrepancies between the filter and AMS measurements were highest for the highest volatility compounds compared, suggesting negative artifacts during the filter sampling, attributed to the use of the denuder.

Discrepancies between the filter and AMS measurements increased with aging (Fig. S4), particularly for the higher volatility compounds (i.e., MW 202 and 226). The AMS:filter ratios for MW 230, 252 and 276 were 0.9-4, whereas AMS:filter ratios increased drastically for MW 202 and 262 to 13-43. The increased AMS:filter ratios during aging could be due to the formation of oxygenated PAHs, which are more likely to fragment in the AMS than unfunctionalized compounds, and could contribute to the parent-base ion and/or associated ions. Thus, it is not clear if the AMS signals during aging correspond solely to the compounds identified in the filter samples. During aging, gas phase compounds are oxidized and partition to the particles and the AMS:filter ratios for the lower volatility compounds, which are already predominately in the particle phase, would not change as much during aging as higher volatility compounds, as observed.

The primary organic emission factors for the average load experiments were a factor of 0.8-18 times higher than for the high loading experiments. Although there were higher PAH fractions of the organic mass in the high load cases, there were generally higher primary

organic emission factors for the average load burns compared to the higher load burns, resulting in comparable PAH emission factors. The PAH emission factors in this study are ~~considerably significantly~~ lower than the 196 mg kg⁻¹ found Orasche et al. (2013) under stable burning conditions of beech wood in an overloaded burner, however, total PM mass determined by Orasche et al. (2013) by weighing dried filter samples was also ~~considerably significantly~~ higher. The higher emission factors observed by Orasche et al. (2013) may be due to differences in burner technologies or sampling methods. The emission dilution ratio affects the partitioning of semi-volatile species, with higher dilution ratios shifting the distribution to the gas phase and thus decreasing particle emissions factors (Lipsky and Robinson, 2005). The dilution ratios were only a factor of 4 in Orasche et al. (2013), compared to ~70-300 in this study. Also, their lack of a denuder upstream of the filter may have resulted in positive artifacts. (Subramanian et al., 2004; Orasche et al., 2013).

Due to the semi-volatile nature of many of the PAHs, quantification is also subject to temperature (Boman et al., 2005; Hytonen et al., 2009). While 74% of pyrene is in the particle phase at 294 K, 99.8% of pyrene is expected to be in the particulate phase at 263 K (vapor pressure of 7.6×10^{-7} Pa at 263 K, activity coefficient in the organic mass of 1 and a total organic aerosol mass concentration of 30 $\mu\text{g m}^{-3}$) (Pankow, 1994; Donahue et al., 2006). These experiments were conducted at 294 K, however, most residential wood combustion occurs when outdoor temperatures are well below this. Thus, if these experiments had been conducted at lower temperatures, particulate PAH emission factors would be higher.

The ion $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+$ is used as a biomass burning tracer in ambient AMS data. Interestingly, the fraction of $\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+$ relative to the total primary OA ($f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$) was higher in the average load experiments, ranging from 0.050-0.094, compared to the high load experiments, ranging from 0.012-0.029. As summarized by Fitzpatrick et al. (2007), lower burn temperatures favor the formation of products from the pyrolysis of lignin and carbohydrates, including levoglucosan. As the burn temperature increases, the relative contribution of these compounds decreases and products such as polycyclic oxygenates and PAHs dominate. The higher $f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$ and lower f_{PAHs} in the average load experiments suggests that the variability in $f_{\text{C}_2\text{H}_4\text{O}_2^+}$ was due to lower burn temperatures in the average load experiments, likely due to different fuel/air ratios.

The chemical differences in organic emissions from high and average load cases lead to differences in the evolution of these emissions with aging, which has not previously been

investigated. Figure 2 shows the evolution of the components measured by AMS, corrected for wall losses and dilution, with photochemical aging. In all experiments, a significant increase in organic mass was observed with photochemistry. At the peak during aging, or at the end of the experiment for experiment 6, OA increased by a factor of 2.3-3.4 for high loads, whereas by only a factor of 1.4-1.8 for average loads. There was also an increase in PAH concentration with initial aging followed by a decrease with extended aging and eventual stabilization. As shown in Fig. S5, PAHs above m/z 200 were stable or decreased with the initiation of photochemistry, whereas PAH signals at lower molecular weights increased. ~~There are significant PAH signals as low as m/z 128 (Fig. 1), which if interpreted as a parent ion would indicate naphthalene; however, this compound is expected to be fully in the gas phase (Pankow, 1994). Therefore, this suggests that the PAH signals observed in the lower m/z region are likely from PAHs that have undergone fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process.~~ As discussed previously, the PAH signals in the lower m/z range are likely from PAHs that have undergone fragmentation in the AMS vaporization/ionization process. Functionalized PAHs are more likely to undergo fragmentation than non-functionalized PAHs (McLafferty and Turecek, 1993). Increases in these lower m/z PAH signals with aging are likely due to the formation of functionalized PAHs with sufficiently low volatility to partition to the particles.

Chemical composition provides additional insight into the evolution of organic aerosol with aging. Although SOA formation was ~~significant~~ observed in all experiments, the chemical composition of the aged aerosol was different between the high load and average load experiments (Fig. 3). Figure 3 shows HR-AMS mass spectra of organic ions categorized by composition. As discussed previously, mass above m/z 200 is not fully included, however, the unaccounted mass is less than 4% of the total organic mass. Mass spectra of the entire measured m/z range and all compound classes are shown in Fig. S6. Experiments 1, 2, 4 and 5 were compared after an OH exposure of 1.6×10^7 molec cm^{-3} h. The OH exposures were not measured during experiments 3 and 6, and the mass spectra in Fig. 3 correspond to 3 h aging, which is the average time after lights on of experiments 1, 2, 4 and 5 corresponding to an OH exposure of 1.6×10^7 molec cm^{-3} h.

In all mass spectra, the largest peak is m/z 44, largely from $[\text{CO}_2]^+$, formed from the decarboxylation of organic acids. For the high load experiments, there are notable significant aromatic signature ions separated by 12, 14 or 16 Da, starting at $[\text{C}_6\text{H}_5]^+$ (m/z 77) (e.g., $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_7]^+$ (91), $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}]^+$ (105), $[\text{C}_7\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$ (121), $[\text{C}_8\text{H}_5\text{O}_2]^+$ (133)) (Fig. 3). Although

unfunctionalized PAHs undergo minimal fragmentation in the AMS, functionalized aromatic compounds, including PAHs, are known to fragment when ionized by electron impact to produce other characteristic peaks in the lower m/z region as well (i.e., $<m/z$ 77). Common fragment ions from PAHs containing electronegative substituents are at m/z : 38, 39, 50, 51, 63-65 and 74-76 (McLafferty and Turecek, 1993). These peaks become more dominant with aging in the high load mass spectra suggesting an increase in functionalized PAHs with aging (Fig. S7) (McLafferty and Turecek, 1993). There are also ~~considerable significant~~ quantities of oxygenated ions at higher m/z values in the high load experiments (Fig. 3). For example, the fraction of total oxygenated peaks, not including $[\text{CO}_2]^+$, at or above m/z 80 is 0.45 for high load experiment 4, compared to only 0.15-0.20 for average load experiment 2. This fraction is also higher than the <0.15 observed during an alpha-pinene photo-oxidation experiment (unpublished data) (Fig. S8).

From the filter analysis, the largest increases with aging were observed for functionalized naphthalene compounds (i.e., 2-naphthoic acid, 1(2H)-acenaphthylenone, 1,8-naphthalic anhydride and 2-hydroxynaphthalene), particularly 2-naphthoic acid, which increased by a factor of ~ 120 (Fig. 4b, Table 3). In addition to the large increases with aging, 2-naphthoic acid and 1,8-naphthalic anhydride contributed the most to the total PAH signal. This further suggests that the differences in the aged AMS mass spectra between the high and average loads are largely due to the presence of PAHs which have undergone oxidation in the gas phase to form compounds with sufficiently low volatility to partition to the particle phase. The half-life of naphthalene with respect to OH (average $[\text{OH}]$ from experiments 4 and 5 = $6.3 \times 10^6 \text{ molec cm}^{-3}$) in the chamber was 71 min and half-lives of methylated naphthalene are even shorter (Atkinson and Aschmann, 1986) which are within the time frame observed for the increase in particulate PAH signal with aging. PTR-MS data show a decrease in m/z 129, likely dominated by naphthalene, during aging with $\sim 50\%$ lost within the first 65-70 min of aging and $\sim 75\%$ lost by the end of the experiment (Fig. S9). General PAH oxidation pathways are discussed in detail elsewhere (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000), as well as the formation pathways and identification of naphthalene oxidation products (Kautzman et al., 2010).

The Van Krevelen diagram provides information on the bulk organic aerosol composition and compositional changes with aging (Heald et al., 2010). Figure 5a shows that the primary emissions from the average and high load experiments occupy different regions of the plot, further illustrating the bulk compositional differences between the different loading

conditions. The primary emissions from the high load experiments had a lower H:C (1.1±0.1) compared to the average load experiments (1.47±0.09), due to the larger presence of PAHs (Fig. 5a). For example, $[C_{16}H_{10}]^+$, the highest intensity primary PAH ion identified by the AMS, has an H:C of only 0.625. Primary O:C was similar for the high load experiments (0.3±0.1) compared to the average load (0.4±0.1). With aging, all experiments showed an initial sharper decrease in H:C, followed by a more gradual decrease. Throughout aging, O:C steadily increased.

The evolution of the fraction of $[CO_2]^+$ (fCO_2^+) relative to the fraction of $[C_2H_3O]^+$ ($fC_2H_3O^+$) to the total organic signal also provides insight into changes in the chemical composition of the organic material with aging (Ng et al., 2011). In the AMS, $[CO_2]^+$ is formed from the decarboxylation of organic acids during vaporization, whereas $[C_2H_3O]^+$ largely comes from fragmentation of carbonyl-containing compounds, as well as alcohols and peroxides. Ng et al. (2011) found that the majority of atmospherically-relevant SOA measurements fall within a certain space on the $f44$ vs. $f43$ plot. Wood combustion emissions typically lie near the left-hand side of this space, as observed here (Fig. 5b) (Heringa et al., 2011; Heringa et al., 2012).

Within the first 20 min of aging, in which H:C decreased ~~considerably~~significantly, there was also an increase in $fC_2H_3O^+$ for all experiments (Fig. 5b), suggesting an increased fraction of carbonyl, alcohol and/or peroxide containing compounds. For the high load experiments, the majority of compounds measured from the filters that increase with aging contain at least one carbonyl group. During aging, fCO_2^+ continued to increase, whereas $fC_2H_3O^+$ tended to decrease, supporting the more dominant formation of acids with increased aging.

The increase in functionalized naphthalene species measured on the filters with aging suggests ~~notable significant~~ concentrations of naphthalene were in the gas phase prior to aging during the high load experiments. Also, phenols, syringol and guaiacol are ~~considerable significant~~ fractions of wood burning emissions. For oxidation of naphthalene, phenols, syringol and guaiacol, Chhabra et al. (2011) observed near vertical movement in $f44$ vs $f43$ space, similar to all experiments here. However, in the Van Krevelen diagram, Chhabra et al. (2011) observed movement with a slope close to -1 during oxidation of phenols, syringol and guaiacol, whereas a smaller slope was observed during naphthalene oxidation. The slope after initial oxidation of the high load experiments was -0.19±0.05, smaller than for the average load experiments at -0.4±0.2. The smaller slope in the high load experiments may be due to the higher fraction of PAHs, particularly oxidized naphthalene

species, compared to the average load experiments. Although there are clear differences between the high and average load experiments in the Van Krevelen diagram, it is likely difficult to use these differences to determine wood burning or PAH contribution in ambient measurements, even when dominated by wood burning, due to variability during burns and varying burner parameters and technologies.

5 Conclusions

High wood loads result in an increased fraction of PAHs to the total organic aerosol compared to average wood loads. With aging, AMS and filter data indicate that gas phase compounds, including PAHs, undergo oxidation to form lower volatility products which partition to the particulate phase. As functionalized PAHs often have more deleterious effects on health than their parent analogues (Yu, 2002; Fu et al., 2012), these findings have an ~~significant~~ impact on toxicological implications. Even when gas phase PAH emissions are relatively low, their contribution to SOA can be ~~significant~~considerable. For example, using yields from the oxidation of PAHs in the laboratory, Chan et al. (2009) estimate that gas phase PAHs, despite being only half the concentration of light aromatics, produce four times more SOA during the first 12 h of oxidation of emissions from the burning of pine wood under normal conditions. The toxicological effects on human health of the primary emissions from average and higher load burning and the changes in chemical composition with aging will be detailed in a future publication.

Acknowledgements

The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Community's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007-2013) under grant agreement no. 290605 (PSI-FELLOW), from the Competence Center Environment and Sustainability (CCES) (project OPTIWARES), from the Swiss National Science Foundation (WOOSHI, grant no. 140590), from EUROSTARS grant E!4825 FC Aeth, and JR-KROP grant 3211-11-000519. Support from the German Science Foundation (DFG, WooShi-project, grant ZI 764/5-1) and the Virtual Helmholtz Institute HICE – Aerosol and Health (Helmholtz Association, Berlin, Germany) is acknowledged.

References

Aiken, A.C., Decarlo, P.F., Kroll, J.H., Worsnop, D.R., Huffman, J.A., Docherty, K.S., Ulbrich, I.M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J.R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P.J., Canagaratna, M.R., Onasch, T.B., Alfarra, M.R., Prévôt,

- 1 A.S.H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U. and Jimenez, J.L.: O/C and
2 OM/OC ratios of primary, secondary, and ambient organic aerosols with high-resolution
3 time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 42, 4478-4485, 2008.
- 4
5 Allan, J.D., Delia, A.E., Coe, H., Bower, K.N., Alfarra, M.R., Jimenez, J.L., Middlebrook,
6 A.M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T.B., Canagaratna, M.R., Jayne, J.T. and Worsnop, D.R.: A
7 generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from Aerodyne
8 aerosol mass spectrometer data, *J. Aerosol Sci.* 35, 909-922, 2004.
- 9
10 Andreae, M.O. and Merlet, P.: Emission of trace gases and aerosols from biomass burning,
11 *Global Biogeochem. Cy.* 15, 955-966, 2001.
- 12
13 Atkinson, R. and Aschmann, S.M.: Kinetics of the reactions of naphthalene, 2-
14 methylnaphthalene, and 2,3-dimethylnaphthalene with OH radicals and with O₃ at 295 ± 1 K,
15 *Int. J. Chem. Kinet.* 18, 569-573, 1986.
- 16
17 Bari, M.A., Baumbach, G., Brodbeck, J., Struschka, M., Kuch, B., Dreher, W. and
18 Scheffknecht, G.: Characterisation of particulates and carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic
19 hydrocarbons in wintertime wood-fired heating in residential areas, *Atmos. Environ.* 45,
20 7627-7634, 2011.
- 21
22 Barmet, P., Dommen, J., DeCarlo, P.F., Tritscher, T., Praplan, A.P., Platt, S.M., Prévôt,
23 A.S.H., Donahue, N.M. and Baltensperger, U.: OH clock determination by proton transfer
24 reaction mass spectrometry at an environmental chamber, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 5, 647-656,
25 2012.
- 26
27 Bente, M., Sklorz, M., Streibel, T. and Zimmermann, R.: Thermal desorption–multiphoton
28 ionization time-of-flight mass spectrometry of individual aerosol particles: a simplified
29 approach for online single-particle analysis of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons and their
30 derivatives, *Anal. Chem.* 81, 2525-2536, 2009.
- 31
32 Bølling, A.K., Pagels, J., Yttri, K.E., Barregard, L., Sallsten, G., Schwarze, P.E. and Boman,
33 C.: Health effects of residential wood smoke particles: the importance of combustion
34 conditions and physicochemical particle properties, *Part. Fibre Toxicol.* 6, doi:10.1186/1743-
35 8977-6-29, 2009.
- 36
37 Boman, C., Nordin, A., Westerholm, R. and Pettersson, E.: Evaluation of a constant volume
38 sampling setup for residential biomass fired appliances—influence of dilution conditions on
39 particulate and PAH emissions, *Biomass Bioenerg.* 29, 258-268, 2005.
- 40
41 Burtscher, H., Baltensperger, U., Bukowiecki, N., Cohn, P., Hüglin, C., Mohr, M., Matter, U.,
42 Nyeki, S., Schmatloch, V., Streit, N. and Weingartner, E.: Separation of volatile and non-
43 volatile aerosol fractions by thermodesorption: instrumental development and applications, *J.*
44 *Aerosol Sci.* 32, 427-442, 2001.

- Chan, A.W.H., Kautzman, K.E., Chhabra, P.S., Surratt, J.D., Chan, M.N., Crounse, J.D., Kürten, A., Wennberg, P.O., Flagan, R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from photooxidation of naphthalene and alkylnaphthalenes: implications for oxidation of intermediate volatility organic compounds (IVOCs), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 9, 3049-3060, 2009.
- Chhabra, P.S., Ng, N.L., Canagaratna, M.R., Corrigan, A.L., Russell, L.M., Worsnop, D.R., Flagan, R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Elemental composition and oxidation of chamber organic aerosol, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 8827-8845, 2011.
- Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P.F., Slowik, J.G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M.F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L., Freutel, F., Sciare, J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C.F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J.B., Marchand, N., Abidi, E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick, F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S., Nemitz, E., Zimmermann, R., Jaffrezo, J.L., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: Wintertime aerosol chemical composition and source apportionment of the organic fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 961-981, 2013.
- DeCarlo, P.F., Kimmel, J.R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M.J., Jayne, J.T., Aiken, A.C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K.S., Worsnop, D.R. and Jimenez, J.L.: Field-deployable, high-resolution, time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, *Anal. Chem.* 78, 8281-8289, 2006.
- Donahue, N.M., Robinson, A.L., Stanier, C.O. and Pandis, S.N.: Coupled partitioning, dilution, and chemical aging of semivolatile organics, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 2635-2643, 2006.
- Dzepina, K., Arey, J., Marr, L.C., Worsnop, D.R., Salcedo, D., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T.B., Molina, L.T., Molina, M.J. and Jimenez, J.L.: Detection of particle-phase polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons in Mexico City using an aerosol mass spectrometer, *Int. J. Mass Spectrom.* 263, 152-170, 2007.
- Elsasser, M., Busch, C., Orasche, J., Schön, C., Hartmann, H., Schnelle-Kreis, J. and Zimmermann, R.: Dynamic changes of the aerosol composition and concentration during different burning phases of wood combustion, *Energ. Fuel* 27, 4959-4968, 2013.
- Eriksson, A.C., Nordin, E.Z., Nystrom, R., Pettersson, E., Swietlicki, E., Bergvall, C., Westerholm, R., Boman, C. and Pagels, J.H.: Particulate PAH emissions from residential biomass combustion: time-resolved analysis with aerosol mass spectrometry, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 48, 7143-7150, 2014.
- Fine, P.M., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle emissions from fireplace combustion of woods grown in the northeastern United States, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35, 2665-2675, 2001.

- 1
- 2 Fine, P.M., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle
- 3 emissions from the fireplace combustion of woods grown in the southern United States,
- 4 Environ. Sci. Technol. 36, 1442-1451, 2002a.
- 5
- 6 Fine, P.M., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Organic compounds in biomass smoke from
- 7 residential wood combustion: emissions characterization at a continental scale, J. Geophys.
- 8 Res.-Atmos. 107(D21), doi:10.1029/2001JD000661, 2002b.
- 9
- 10 Fine, P.M., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle
- 11 emissions from the fireplace combustion of wood types grown in the midwestern and western
- 12 United States, Environ. Eng. Sci. 21, 387-409, 2004a.
- 13
- 14 Fine, P.M., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Chemical characterization of fine particle
- 15 emissions from the wood stove combustion of prevalent United States tree species, Environ.
- 16 Eng. Sci. 21, 705-721, 2004b.
- 17
- 18 Finlayson-Pitts, B.J. and Pitts, J.N.J.: Chemistry of the Upper and Lower Atmosphere -
- 19 Theory, Experiments, and Applications. Academic Press, San Diego, 2000.
- 20
- 21 Fitzpatrick, E.M., Ross, A.B., Bates, J., Andrews, G., Jones, J.M., Phylaktou, H.,
- 22 Pourkashanian, M. and Williams, A.: Emission of oxygenated species from the combustion of
- 23 pine wood and its relation to soot formation, Process Saf. Environ. 85, 430-440, 2007.
- 24
- 25 Fu, P.P., Xia, Q., Sun, X. and Yu, H.: Phototoxicity and environmental transformation of
- 26 polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs)—light-induced reactive oxygen species, lipid
- 27 peroxidation, and DNA damage, J. Environ. Sci. Heal. C 30, 1-41, 2012.
- 28
- 29 Gelencsér, A., May, B., Simpson, D., Sánchez-Ochoa, A., Kasper-Giebl, A., Puxbaum, H.,
- 30 Caseiro, A., Pio, C. and Legrand, M.: Source apportionment of PM_{2.5} organic aerosol over
- 31 Europe: primary/secondary, natural/anthropogenic, and fossil/biogenic origin, J. Geophys.
- 32 Res.-Atmos. 112, D23S04, doi:10.1029/2006JD008094, 2007.
- 33
- 34 Gianini, M.F.D., Fischer, A., Gehrig, R., Ulrich, A., Wichser, A., Piot, C., Besombes, J.L.
- 35 and Hueglin, C.: Comparative source apportionment of PM₁₀ in Switzerland for 2008/2009
- 36 and 1998/1999 by Positive Matrix Factorisation, Atmos. Environ. 54, 149-158, 2012.
- 37
- 38 Gorin, C.A., Collett, J.L. and Herckes, P.: Wood smoke contribution to winter aerosol in
- 39 Fresno, CA, J. Air Waste Manage. 56, 1584-1590, 2006.
- 40
- 41 Grieshop, A.P., Donahue, N.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Laboratory investigation of
- 42 photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 2: analysis of aerosol mass
- 43 spectrometer data, Atmos. Chem. Phys. 9, 2227-2240, 2009a.

- Grieshop, A.P., Logue, J.M., Donahue, N.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Laboratory investigation of photochemical oxidation of organic aerosol from wood fires 1: measurement and simulation of organic aerosol evolution, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 9, 1263-1277, 2009b.
- Heald, C.L., Kroll, J.H., Jimenez, J.L., Docherty, K.S., DeCarlo, P.F., Aiken, A.C., Chen, Q., Martin, S.T., Farmer, D.K. and Artaxo, P.: A simplified description of the evolution of organic aerosol composition in the atmosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L08803, doi:08810.01029/02010GL042737, 2010.
- Hedberg, E., Kristensson, A., Ohlsson, M., Johansson, C., Johansson, P.A., Swietlicki, E., Vesely, V., Wideqvist, U. and Westerholm, R.: Chemical and physical characterization of emissions from birch wood combustion in a wood stove, *Atmos. Environ.* 36, 4823-4837, 2002.
- Hennigan, C.J., Miracolo, M.A., Engelhart, G.J., May, A.A., Presto, A.A., Lee, T., Sullivan, A.P., McMeeking, G.R., Coe, H., Wold, C.E., Hao, W.M., Gilman, J.B., Kuster, W.C., de Gouw, J., Schichtel, B.A., Collett, J.L., Kreidenweis, S.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Chemical and physical transformations of organic aerosol from the photo-oxidation of open biomass burning emissions in an environmental chamber, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 7669-7686, 2011.
- Hennigan, C.J., Sullivan, A.P., Collett, J.L. and Robinson, A.L.: Levoglucosan stability in biomass burning particles exposed to hydroxyl radicals, *Geophys. Res. Lett.* 37, L09806, doi:09810.01029/02010GL043088, 2010.
- Herich, H., Gianini, M.F.D., Piot, C., Močnik, G., Jaffrezo, J.L., Besombes, J.L., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Hueglin, C.: Overview of the impact of wood burning emissions on carbonaceous aerosols and PM in large parts of the Alpine region, *Atmos. Environ.* 89, 64-75, 2014.
- Heringa, M.F., DeCarlo, P.F., Chirico, R., Lauber, A., Doberer, A., Good, J., Nussbaumer, T., Keller, A., Burtscher, H., Richard, A., Miljevic, B., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: Time-resolved characterization of primary emissions from residential wood combustion appliances, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 46, 11418-11425, 2012.
- Heringa, M.F., DeCarlo, P.F., Chirico, R., Tritscher, T., Dommen, J., Weingartner, E., Richter, R., Wehrle, G., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: Investigations of primary and secondary particulate matter of different wood combustion appliances with a high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 5945-5957, 2011.
- Horne, P.A. and Williams, P.T.: Influence of temperature on the products from the flash pyrolysis of biomass, *Fuel* 75, 1051-1059, 1996.

- Hytonen, K., Yli-Pirila, P., Tissari, J., Grohn, A., Riipinen, I., Lehtinen, K.E.J. and Jokiniemi, J.: Gas-particle distribution of PAHs in wood combustion emission determined with annular denuders, filter, and polyurethane foam adsorbent, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* 43, 442-454, 2009.
- Iinuma, Y., Boge, O., Grafe, R. and Herrmann, H.: Methyl-nitrocatechols: atmospheric tracer compounds for biomass burning secondary organic aerosols, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 44, 8453-8459, 2010.
- IPCC, 2007: *Climate Change 2007: Mitigation. Contribution of Working Group III to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [B. Metz, O.R. Davidson, P.R. Bosch, R. Dave, L.A. Meyer (eds)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.
- IPCC, 2013: *Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change* [Stocker, T.F., D. Qin, G.-K. Plattner, M. Tignor, S.K. Allen, J. Boschung, A. Nauels, Y. Xia, V. Bex and P.M. Midgley (eds.)]. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA.
- Johansson, L.S., Leckner, B., Gustavsson, L., Cooper, D., Tullin, C. and Potter, A.: Emission characteristics of modern and old-type residential boilers fired with wood logs and wood pellets, *Atmos. Environ.* 38, 4183-4195, 2004.
- Joosten, R., Schumacher, J., Wirth, C. and Schulte, A.: Evaluating tree carbon predictions for beech (*Fagus sylvatica* L.) in western Germany, *Forest Ecol. Manag.* 189, 87-96, 2004.
- Jordan, T.B. and Seen, A.J.: Effect of airflow setting on the organic composition of woodheater emissions, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 3601-3610, 2005.
- Kautzman, K.E., Surratt, J.D., Chan, M.N., Chan, A.W.H., Hersey, S.P., Chhabra, P.S., Dalleska, N.F., Wennberg, P.O., Flagan, R.C. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Chemical composition of gas- and aerosol-phase products from the photooxidation of naphthalene, *J. Phys. Chem. A* 114, 913-934, 2010.
- Kim, K.-H., Jahan, S.A., Kabir, E. and Brown, R.J.C.: A review of airborne polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons (PAHs) and their human health effects, *Environ. Int.* 60, 71-80, 2013.
- Krecl, P., Hedberg Larsson, E., Ström, J. and Johansson, C.: Contribution of residential wood combustion and other sources to hourly winter aerosol in Northern Sweden determined by positive matrix factorization, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 8, 3639-3653, 2008.
- Kroll, J.H. and Seinfeld, J.H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.* 42, 3593-3624, 2008.

- Lamberg, H., Nuutinen, K., Tissari, J., Ruusunen, J., Yli-Pirilä, P., Sippula, O., Tapanainen, M., Jalava, P., Makkonen, U., Teinilä, K., Saarnio, K., Hillamo, R., Hirvonen, M.-R. and Jokiniemi, J.: Physicochemical characterization of fine particles from small-scale wood combustion, *Atmos. Environ.* 45, 7635-7643, 2011.
- Lanz, V.A., Prévôt, A.S.H., Alfarra, M.R., Weimer, S., Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P.F., Gianini, M.F.D., Hueglin, C., Schneider, J., Favez, O., D'Anna, B., George, C. and Baltensperger, U.: Characterization of aerosol chemical composition with aerosol mass spectrometry in Central Europe: an overview, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 10, 10453-10471, 2010.
- Lee, T., Sullivan, A.P., Mack, L., Jimenez, J.L., Kreidenweis, S.M., Onasch, T.B., Worsnop, D.R., Malm, W., Wold, C.E., Hao, W.M. and Collett, J.L.: Chemical smoke marker emissions during flaming and smoldering phases of laboratory open burning of wildland fuels, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* 44, I-V, 2010.
- Lipsky, E.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Effects of dilution on fine particle mass and partitioning of semivolatile organics in diesel exhaust and wood smoke, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 40, 155-162, 2005.
- Mauderly, J.L. and Chow, J.C.: Health effects of organic aerosols, *Inhal. Toxicol.* 20, 257-288, 2008.
- McDonald, J.D., Zielinska, B., Fujita, E.M., Sagebiel, J.C., Chow, J.C. and Watson, J.G.: Fine particle and gaseous emission rates from residential wood combustion, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 34, 2080-2091, 2000.
- McLafferty, F.W. and Turecek, F.: Interpretation of Mass Spectra, 4th ed. University Science Books, Mill Valley, CA, USA, 1993.
- Mohr, C., DeCarlo, P.F., Heringa, M.F., Chirico, R., Slowik, J.G., Richter, R., Reche, C., Alastuey, A., Querol, X., Seco, R., Penuelas, J., Jimenez, J.L., Crippa, M., Zimmermann, R., Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A.S.H.: Identification and quantification of organic aerosol from cooking and other sources in Barcelona using aerosol mass spectrometer data, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 12, 1649-1665, 2012.
- Mohr, C., Lopez-Hilfiker, F.D., Zotter, P., Prévôt, A.S.H., Xu, L., Ng, N.L., Herndon, S.C., Williams, L.R., Franklin, J.P., Zahniser, M.S., Worsnop, D.R., Knighton, W.B., Aiken, A.C., Gorkowski, K.J., Dubey, M.K., Allan, J.D. and Thornton, J.A.: Contribution of nitrated phenols to wood burning brown carbon light absorption in Detling, United Kingdom during winter time, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 47, 6316-6324, 2013.
- Naeher, L.P., Brauer, M., Lipsett, M., Zelikoff, J.T., Simpson, C.D., Koenig, J.Q. and Smith, K.R.: Woodsmoke health effects: a review, *Inhal. Toxicol.* 19, 67-106, 2007.

- 1
- 2 Ng, N.L., Canagaratna, M.R., Jimenez, J.L., Chhabra, P.S., Seinfeld, J.H. and Worsnop, D.R.:
3 Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra,
4 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 6465-6474, 2011.
- 5
- 6 Orasche, J., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Abbaszade, G. and Zimmermann, R.: Technical Note: In-situ
7 derivatization thermal desorption GC-TOFMS for direct analysis of particle-bound non-polar
8 and polar organic species, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 11, 8977-8993, 2011.
- 9
- 10 Orasche, J., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Schön, C., Hartmann, H., Ruppert, H., Arteaga-Salas, J.M.
11 and Zimmermann, R.: Comparison of emissions from wood combustion. Part 2: Impact of
12 combustion conditions on emission factors and characteristics of particle-bound organic
13 species and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-related toxicological potential, *Energ.*
14 *Fuel* 27, 1482–1491, 2013.
- 15
- 16 Orasche, J., Seidel, T., Hartmann, H., Schnelle-Kreis, J., Chow, J.C., Ruppert, H. and
17 Zimmermann, R.: Comparison of emissions from wood combustion. Part 1: Emission factors
18 and characteristics from different small-scale residential heating appliances considering
19 particulate matter and polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbon (PAH)-related toxicological potential
20 of particle-bound organic species, *Energ. Fuel* 26, 6695–6704, 2012.
- 21
- 22 Ortega, A.M., Day, D.A., Cubison, M.J., Brune, W.H., Bon, D., de Gouw, J.A. and Jimenez,
23 J.L.: Secondary organic aerosol formation and primary organic aerosol oxidation from
24 biomass-burning smoke in a flow reactor during FLAME-3, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 11551-
25 11571, 2013.
- 26
- 27 Pankow, J.F.: An absorption-model of gas-particle partitioning of organic-compounds in the
28 atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.* 28, 185-188, 1994.
- 29
- 30 Paulsen, D., Dommen, J., Kalberer, M., Prévôt, A.S.H., Richter, R., Sax, M., Steinbacher, M.,
31 Weingartner, E. and Baltensperger, U.: Secondary organic aerosol formation by irradiation of
32 1,3,5-trimethylbenzene-NO_x-H₂O in a new reaction chamber for atmospheric chemistry and
33 physics, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 39, 2668-2678, 2005.
- 34
- 35 Petit, J.E., Favez, O., Sciare, J., Canonaco, F., Croteau, P., Močnik, G., Jayne, J., Worsnop,
36 D. and Leoz-Garziandia, E.: Submicron aerosol source apportionment of wintertime pollution
37 in Paris, France by Double Positive Matrix Factorization (PMF2) using Aerosol Chemical
38 Speciation Monitor (ACSM) and multi-wavelength Aethalometer, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*
39 *Discuss.* 14, 14159-14199, 2014.
- 40
- 41 Pettersson, E., Boman, C., Westerholm, R., Boström, D. and Nordin, A.: Stove performance
42 and emission characteristics in residential wood log and pellet combustion, part 2: wood
43 stove, *Energ. Fuel* 25, 315-323, 2011.
- 44

- Pfaffenberger, L., Barmet, P., Slowik, J.G., Praplan, A.P., Dommen, J., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.: The link between organic aerosol mass loading and degree of oxygenation: an alpha-pinene photooxidation study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 6493-6506, 2013.
- Platt, S.M., El Haddad, I., Zardini, A.A., Clairotte, M., Astorga, C., Wolf, R., Slowik, J.G., Temime-Roussel, B., Marchand, N., Jezek, I., Drinovec, L., Močnik, G., Mohler, O., Richter, R., Barmet, P., Bianchi, F., Baltensperger, U. and Prévôt, A.S.H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from gasoline vehicle emissions in a new mobile environmental reaction chamber, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 9141-9158, 2013.
- Saarikoski, S.K., Sillanpää, M.K., Saarnio, K.M., Hillamo, R.E., Pennanen, A.S. and Salonen, R.O.: Impact of biomass combustion on urban fine particulate matter in Central and Northern Europe, *Water Air Soil Poll.* 191, 265-277, 2008.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R. and Simoneit, B.R.T.: Measurement of emissions from air pollution sources. 3. C₁-C₂₉ organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood, *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 35, 1716-1728, 2001.
- Schmidl, C., Marr, L.L., Caseiro, A., Kotianova, P., Berner, A., Bauer, H., Kasper-Giebl, A. and Puxbaum, H.: Chemical characterisation of fine particle emissions from wood stove combustion of common woods growing in mid-European alpine regions, *Atmos. Environ.* 42, 126-141, 2008.
- Shiraiwa, M., Kondo, Y., Iwamoto, T. and Kita, K.: Amplification of light absorption of black carbon by organic coating, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* 44, 46-54, 2010.
- Simpson, D., Yttri, K.E., Klimont, Z., Kupiainen, K., Caseiro, A., Gelencsér, A., Pio, C., Puxbaum, H. and Legrand, M.: Modeling carbonaceous aerosol over Europe: analysis of the CARBOSOL and EMEP EC/OC campaigns, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 112, D23S14, doi:10.1029/2006JD008158, 2007.
- Slowik, J.G., Stainken, K., Davidovits, P., Williams, L.R., Jayne, J.T., Kolb, C.E., Worsnop, D.R., Rudich, Y., DeCarlo, P.F. and Jimenez, J.L.: Particle morphology and density characterization by combined mobility and aerodynamic diameter measurements. Part 2: Application to combustion-generated soot aerosols as a function of fuel equivalence ratio, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* 38, 1206-1222, 2004.
- Subramanian, R., Khlystov, A.Y., Cabada, J.C. and Robinson, A.L.: Positive and negative artifacts in particulate organic carbon measurements with denuded and undenuded sampler configurations, *Aerosol Sci. Tech.* 38, 27-48, 2004.
- Taira, M. and Kanda, Y.: Continuous generation system for low-concentration gaseous nitrous-acid, *Anal. Chem.* 62, 630-633, 1990.

- 1
- 2 Turpin, B.J., Saxena, P. and Andrews, E.: Measuring and simulating particulate organics in
- 3 the atmosphere: problems and prospects, *Atmos. Environ.* 34, 2983-3013, 2000.
- 4
- 5 Ward, D.E. and Radke, L.F., 1993. Emissions measurements from vegetation fires: A
- 6 comparative evaluation of methods and results, in: Crutzen, P.J., Goldammer, J.G. (Eds.),
- 7 *Fire in the Environment: The Ecological, Atmospheric, and Climatic Importance of*
- 8 *Vegetation Fires.* John Wiley & Sons Ltd.
- 9
- 10 Weimer, S., Alfara, M.R., Schreiber, D., Mohr, M., Prévôt, A.S.H. and Baltensperger, U.:
- 11 Organic aerosol mass spectral signatures from wood-burning emissions: influence of burning
- 12 conditions and wood type, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.* 113, D10304,
- 13 doi:10.1029/12007JD009309, 2008.
- 14
- 15 Weitkamp, E.A., Sage, A.M., Pierce, J.R., Donahue, N.M. and Robinson, A.L.: Organic
- 16 aerosol formation from photochemical oxidation of diesel exhaust in a smog chamber,
- 17 *Environ. Sci. Technol.* 41, 6969-6975, 2007.
- 18
- 19 Williams, L.R., Gonzalez, L.A., Peck, J., Trimborn, D., McInnis, J., Farrar, M.R., Moore,
- 20 K.D., Jayne, J.T., Robinson, W.A., Lewis, D.K., Onasch, T.B., Canagaratna, M.R., Trimborn,
- 21 A., Timko, M.T., Magoon, G., Deng, R., Tang, D., de la Rosa Blanco, E., Prévôt, A.S.H.,
- 22 Smith, K.A. and Worsnop, D.R.: Characterization of an aerodynamic lens for transmitting
- 23 particles greater than 1 micrometer in diameter into the Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer,
- 24 *Atmos. Meas. Tech.* 6, 3271-3280, 2013.
- 25
- 26 Yee, L.D., Kautzman, K.E., Loza, C.L., Schilling, K.A., Coggon, M.M., Chhabra, P.S., Chan,
- 27 M.N., Chan, A.W.H., Hersey, S.P., Crounse, J.D., Wennberg, P.O., Flagan, R.C. and
- 28 Seinfeld, J.H.: Secondary organic aerosol formation from biomass burning intermediates:
- 29 phenol and methoxyphenols, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.* 13, 8019-8043, 2013.
- 30
- 31 Yu, H.T.: Environmental carcinogenic polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons: photochemistry
- 32 and phototoxicity, *J. Environ. Sci. Heal. C* 20, 149-183, 2002.
- 33
- 34 Zhang, J., Fan, X., Graham, L., Chan, T.W. and Brook, J.R.: Evaluation of an annular
- 35 denuder system for carbonaceous aerosol sampling of diesel engine emissions, *J. Air Waste*
- 36 *Manage.* 63, 87-99, 2012.
- 37
- 38 Zhang, X., Cappa, C.D., Jathar, S.H., McVay, R.C., Ensberg, J.J., Kleeman, M.J. and
- 39 Seinfeld, J.H.: Influence of vapor wall loss in laboratory chambers on yields of secondary
- 40 organic aerosol, *P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA* 111, 5802-5807, 2014.
- 41
- 42

Table 1. Characterization of primary gas phase and particle phase emissions measured from the smog chamber.

Expt.	Wood load (kg)	Wood burned per chamber air volume (g m ⁻³)*	MCE	CO ₂ (g kg ⁻¹)*	CO (g kg ⁻¹)*	CH ₄ (g kg ⁻¹)*	THC (g kg ⁻¹)*	Total PM (g/kg)*	Organic (mg kg ⁻¹)* ⁺	PAH (mg kg ⁻¹)*	BC (mg kg ⁻¹)*	NO ₃ (mg kg ⁻¹)*	SO ₄ (mg kg ⁻¹)*	NH ₄ (mg kg ⁻¹)*	Cl (mg kg ⁻¹)*	OM:BC*	O:C [^]	H:C [^]
1	2.17	0.562±0.008	0.96	1740±50	42.8±0.9	2.93±0.05	5.8±0.3	0.81±0.02	700±20	27±2	75±1	18±1	5.8±0.3	3.6±0.3	2.07±0.09	9.4±0.2	0.376±0.002	1.521±0.006
2	2.21	0.635±0.004	0.96	1740±20	43.8±0.3	4.02±0.03	5.7±0.2	0.260±0.005	154±5	5.2±0.8	86±2	9.7±0.8	2.8±0.2	1.6±0.2	0.59±0.08	1.79±0.06	0.475±0.006	1.45±0.01
3	2.26	0.5227±0.0001	0.96	1773.1±0.9	31.65±0.08	2.368±0.001	2.3±0.1	0.227±0.009	60±9	2.8±0.5	153±9	9.6±0.7	2.1±0.3	1.5±0.2	0.24±0.07	0.39±0.06	0.40±0.01	1.447±0.008
4	7.47	1.239±0.004	0.96	1730±10	51.3±0.2	4.07±0.02	6.53±0.07	0.132±0.002	78±2	13.1±0.8	45.6±0.3	5.3±0.3	2.0±0.2	0.58±0.04	0.60±0.06	1.72±0.05	0.255±0.007	1.133±0.008
5	7.49	0.669±0.001	0.97	1743±6	45.9±0.1	2.872±0.004	4.58±0.06	0.174±0.002	40±2	5.4±0.5	119.8±0.6	10.4±0.6	1.8±0.2	1.2±0.2	0.33±0.07	0.33±0.01	0.36±0.01	1.09±0.01
6	7.34	1.6908±0.0003	0.94	1701.4±0.7	64.96±0.05	4.717±0.003	7.65±0.04	0.172±0.003	59±3	8.1±0.4	105±2	5.0±0.2	2.4±0.2	0.56±0.04	1.16±0.08	0.56±0.03	0.305±0.008	1.205±0.007

⁺Organic includes PAH.

*Deviations are ±2s calculated from the error propagation of the sample standard deviation of the measurements.

[^]Deviations are ±2s of the measurements.

Table 2. PAH ~~parent~~ base ions included in the AMS analysis.

Ion	Nominal m/z
$[\text{C}_{10}\text{H}_8]^+$	128
$[\text{C}_{11}\text{H}_7]^+$	139
$[\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8]^+$	152
$[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_7]^+$	163
$[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_9]^+$	165
$[\text{C}_{12}\text{H}_8\text{O}]^+$	168
$[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_8]^+$	176
$[\text{C}_{14}\text{H}_{10}]^+$	178
$[\text{C}_{15}\text{H}_9]^+$	189
$[\text{C}_{13}\text{H}_8\text{O}_2]^+$	196
$[\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_7]^+$	199
$[\text{C}_{16}\text{H}_{10}]^+$	202
$[\text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{10}]^+$	226
$[\text{C}_{17}\text{H}_{10}\text{O}]^+$	230
$[\text{C}_{20}\text{H}_{12}]^+$	252
$[\text{C}_{22}\text{H}_{12}]^+$	276
$[\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{12}]^+$	300
$[\text{C}_{24}\text{H}_{14}]^+$	302

Table 3. PAHs quantified from offline GC-MS analysis of filter samples.

Compound	Formula	Nominal MW	Experiment									
			1	1	3	3	4	4	5	5	6	6
			Primary	Aged	Primary	Aged	Primary	Aged	Primary	Aged	Primary	Aged
Non-oxygenated PAHs			PAH emission factor (µg/kg)									
Pyrene	C ₁₆ H ₁₀	202	42.2	14.7	9.9	16.7	125.6	10.9	29.7	11.9	57.0	10.1
Fluoranthene	C ₁₆ H ₁₀	202	39.8	24.5	10.0	9.3	99.7	24.3	37.7	24.4	60.6	17.9
Acephenanthrylene	C ₁₆ H ₁₀	202	8.0	6.5	<2.7	<3.8	26.0	<1.6	<8.5	<2.9	14.2	1.6
2-Phenylnaphthalene	C ₁₆ H ₁₂	204	<4.3	<3.0	<3.8	<4.5	4.6	2.8	<9.6	<3.4	4.1	3.0
2-/8-Methylfluoranthene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	8.0	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	5.9	<1.2
1-/3-/7-Methylfluoranthene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	21.4	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	11.0	<1.2
Benzo[a]fluorene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	9.0	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	4.1	<1.2
Benzo[b]fluorene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	6.3	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	4.1	<1.2
4-Methylpyrene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	4.6	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	3.4	<1.2
2-Methylpyrene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	8.7	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	5.7	<1.2
1-Methylpyrene	C ₁₇ H ₁₂	216	<3.0	<3.1	<2.7	<4.0	7.1	<1.7	<8.6	<3.0	6.7	<1.2
Benzo[ghi]fluoranthene	C ₁₈ H ₁₀	226	52.7	11.0	8.4	8.6	73.1	8.6	25.2	15.8	64.7	19.3
Cyclopenta[cd]pyrene	C ₁₈ H ₁₀	226	31.9	<3.7	5.0	<4.9	48.0	<2.1	9.5	<3.6	48.0	2.1
Chrysene	C ₁₈ H ₁₂	228	137.7	<6.8	36.9	<7.4	197.5	10.6	49.6	<5.7	184.8	39.1
Benz[a]anthracene	C ₁₈ H ₁₂	228	48.9	<2.2	12.5	<4.9	77.1	<2.1	9.5	<3.6	63.7	2.7
Benzo[c]phenanthrene	C ₁₈ H ₁₂	228	11.9	<3.7	<3.1	<4.9	16.2	2.1	<9.2	<3.6	14.5	4.0
Benz[a]pyrene	C ₂₀ H ₁₂	252	147.6	<6.9	36.9	<7.3	109.0	<3.2	101.8	<5.2	74.0	<2.3
Benz[e]pyrene	C ₂₀ H ₁₂	252	86.4	36.3	37.0	18.5	60.6	23.8	73.7	34.7	53.7	46.9
Perylene	C ₂₀ H ₁₂	252	18.1	<6.16	<5.0	<7.4	11.9	<3.2	<15.8	<5.4	9.7	<2.4
sum Benzo[b,j,k]fluoranthene	C ₂₀ H ₁₂	252	353.3	105.2	128.2	47.2	306.4	74.6	316.5	77.2	253.0	193.0
Anthanthrene	C ₂₂ H ₁₂	276	27.1	32.6	18.6	31.0	30.9	18.7	61.4	41.9	21.8	26.5
Benzo[ghi]perylene	C ₂₂ H ₁₂	276	55.1	10.2	30.7	37.2	35.5	10.2	69.5	41.0	31.1	22.1
Indeno[1,2,3-cd]pyrene	C ₂₂ H ₁₂	276	75.5	25.8	43.5	55.4	53.9	28.9	115.3	66.6	48.0	41.8
Dibenz[ah]anthracene	C ₂₂ H ₁₄	278	29.4	<10.8	<7.7	<9.8	<4.9	<5.0	<22.4	<9.0	12.8	10.2
Coronene	C ₂₄ H ₁₂	300	<7.3	<6.5	<9.2	<10.8	<4.0	<4.3	<14.1	<5.4	<2.7	<3.0
Oxygenated PAHs												
1-Hydroxynaphthalene	C ₁₀ H ₈ O	144	<26.8	<33.5	<26.8	<44.1	<19.6	40.1	<68.3	<28.4	<7.6	41.1
2-Hydroxynaphthalene	C ₁₀ H ₈ O	144	<26.8	<33.5	<26.8	<44.1	<19.6	50.1	<68.3	<28.4	28.8	75.6
1(2H)-Acenaphthylene	C ₁₂ H ₈ O	168	14.8	34.4	<7.4	33.4	24.4	61.6	29.3	111.6	12.3	58.1
2-Naphthoic acid	C ₁₁ H ₈ O ₂	172	48.0	965.0	<36.5	499.3	43.5	2897	<137.2	2163	18.1	3142
9H-Fluoren-9-one	C ₁₃ H ₈ O	180	21.2	158.3	46.8	144.1	134.7	274.1	111.5	400.2	77.9	170.5
1H-Phenalen-1-one	C ₁₃ H ₈ O	180	178.8	25.0	17.7	24.6	161.2	39.2	52.0	39.6	166.6	128.9
Xanthone	C ₁₃ H ₈ O ₂	196	30.2	30.5	<7.4	13.2	12.4	28.6	<18.4	28.9	12.5	28.6
1,8-Naphthalic anhydride	C ₁₂ H ₆ O ₃	198	1434	1885	119.4	668.5	1411	3772	662.9	2373	977.5	2681
Cyclopenta(def)phenanthrenone	C ₁₅ H ₈ O	204	132.9	152.6	36.6	65.8	153.4	114.3	67.4	117.0	135.3	150.5
9,10-Anthracenedione	C ₁₄ H ₈ O ₂	208	115.5	121.4	<38.5	173.9	125.1	140.9	<144.9	140.5	96.9	177.6
Benzo[b]naphtho[1,2-d]furan	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O	218	<8.3	<5.8	<7.4	<8.6	12.3	<3.5	<18.4	<6.7	12.0	<2.6
Benzo[b]naphtho[2,3-d]furan	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O	218	<8.3	<5.8	<7.4	<8.6	18.7	4.9	<18.4	<6.7	11.9	5.6
2,3-5,6-Dibenzoxalene	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O	218	87.4	<49.1	<41.4	<65.5	193.3	69.8	<122.0	70.7	138.2	51.5
Benzo[k]xanthene	C ₁₆ H ₁₀ O	218	<8.3	<5.8	<7.4	<8.6	19.5	<3.5	<18.4	<6.7	13.7	<2.6
4-Oxapyrene-5-one	C ₁₅ H ₈ O ₂	220	299.1	276.8	50.8	145.7	290.6	310.5	133.2	296.1	273.3	391.8
11H-Benzo[a]fluoren-11-one	C ₁₇ H ₁₀ O	230	208.8	98.6	57.6	<65.5	287.4	104.3	<122.0	49.5	208.8	127.4
11H-Benzo[b]fluoren-11-one	C ₁₇ H ₁₀ O	230	74.0	37.4	22.5	17.5	93.1	41.6	50.6	19.4	71.9	63.6
7H-Benz[de]anthracen-7-one	C ₁₇ H ₁₀ O	230	161.3	88.4	52.6	33.4	195.5	114.5	85.9	67.9	155.4	170.7
7H-Benzo[c]fluorene-7-one	C ₁₇ H ₁₀ O	230	126.0	67.9	51.9	<65.5	143.0	60.7	<122.0	<47.3	95.0	89.6
6H-Benzo[cd]pyren-6-one	C ₁₉ H ₁₀ O	254	65.9	46.6	36.3	35.7	64.8	52.1	87.8	80.8	45.2	63.7
Benz[a]anthracene-7,12-dione	C ₁₈ H ₁₀ O ₂	258	8.7	<0.9	<7.9	<9.4	5.8	9.3	<21.7	<6.9	6.6	8.4
Total*			4200		880		4800		2200		3600	

Wall losses and dilution accounted for in reported emission factors.

*Sum of PAHs above detection limit.




Figure 1. AMS mass spectra of organic (non-PAH) and PAH contributions to primary non-refractory particles from (a-c) average load experiments 1-3 and from (d-f) high load experiments 4-6, respectively. The signal is normalized to the total signal. The legend in (a) applies to (a-f).




Figure 2. Evolution of components measured by AMS after injection into the chamber until the end of the experiment for (a-c) average load experiments 1-3 and for (d-f) high load experiments 4-6. Traces have been corrected for wall losses and dilution. The shaded areas indicate chamber refilling (dilution) periods.




Figure 3. AMS high resolution mass spectra for average load experiments (a-c; experiments 1-3) and high load experiments (d-f; experiments 4-6). Mass spectra from experiments 1, 2, 4 and 5 correspond to an OH exposure of 1.6×10^7 molec cm^{-3} h. Experiments 3 and 6 correspond to 3 h of aging. The legend in (a) applies to (a-f).




Figure 4. Average (a) PAH emission factor and (b) percent change between primary and aged filter samples for each compound quantitatively measured during filter analysis for high load experiments.




Figure 5. (a) Van Krevelen diagram and (b) $f\text{CO}_2^+$ as a function of $f\text{C}_2\text{H}_3\text{O}^+$ determined from HR-AMS analysis. Experiments 1, 2, 4 and 5 are colored by OH exposure. Experiments 3 and 6 are colored in greyscale by minutes after lights on. Thick black markers indicate the primary point for each experiment, immediately after injection and prior to dilution. For comparison, the region in which OA measurements are typically located (Ng et al., 2011) is drawn on (b).