Faiola et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C8112-C8113, 2014

Response to Anonymous Referee #1

We thank the reviewer for her/his supportive and constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript to address the reviewer's concerns, and believe the paper is stronger as a result. This response will address the concerns in the order they were raised. Reviewer comments are in bold italics.

General Comments

The article 'Chemical characterization of biogenic SOA generated from plant emissions under baseline and stressed conditions: inter- and intra-species variability for six coniferous species' by Faiola et al. present a laboratory study on how the composition of SOA from plant emissions is affected by herbivore stress. The article is well suited for the journal, and provides an original and substantial contribution to the field.

The article is very well written, the experimental conditions and results are explained in detail and the science is sound.

Thank you for these positive comments.

Conclusions: most of this section is used for addressing future study needs. However, I would like you to comment on the significance of your results in the light of the aims/motivations given in the introduction. I.e. do you think the observed differences in the SOA spectra due to stress could have an impact on the radiative properties and thus climate? What should be done to address this issue? Did the SOA yield change due to stress treatment and is this change more significant than the change in composition?

The conclusions have been revised to better highlight the significance of the results. A new second paragraph has been added to the section:

> *Previous work has shown that environmental stresses can have significant and widely-varying impacts on BVOC emission rates and emission profiles. Stressors may increase, or sometimes decrease, the amount of BVOCs emitted, and often induce emissions of compounds not emitted under baseline conditions. The work presented here builds on those previous efforts and shows that herbivore-induced emissions not only affect the amount of SOA subsequently formed as shown previously (Mentel et al., 2013), but also affect SOA composition. Both of these herbivore effects will likely impact the aerosol radiative properties. Changes to the amount of SOA produced would have direct impacts on light extinction. The radiative impacts of stress-induced changes to SOA composition, our primary focus in this work, are less clear. For example, the involvement of larger hydrocarbon precursors (>15 carbons) would likely decrease SOA hygroscopicit,y whereas the involvement of more oxidized precursors (e.g. methyl jasmonate) would likely increase SOA hygroscopicity The net impact is difficult to estimate without a more thorough quantitative understanding of herbivore-induced BVOC emission rates. In addition to radiative effects, it is also possible for new particle*

formation mechanisms to be enhanced by herbivore-induced BVOCs. Recent findings have shown that biogenic emissions play a critical role in particle nucleation (Riccobono et al., 2014), and thus increases in herbivore-induced emissions could be expected to enhance particle nucleation in forests. These potential effects need further study, though controlled experiments will remain challenging due to the significant variability in plant behavior that have limited efforts to parameterize stress-induced emissions so far.

Some of the reviewer's other suggestions about the impacts of the modified SOA fall outside of our intended scope for this work, and we choose not to speculate about potentially complex ambient behaviors. In the lab, the SOA produced in the post-stress experiments did increase in nearly all cases. This is not surprising - the stress treatment led to greatly increased VOC emissions, and this should lead to increased yield under absorptive partitioning theory. Within the context of the experiment this result is not novel. It is also not transferable to ambient conditions in any quantifiable way. By design, our experiments were conducted under extreme stress conditions, to ensure that any stress-induced change, if present, could be observed. This goal is described in Section 2.3.

Specific Comments

Page 25175, row 27. is -> in

This has been corrected.

Table 2. Some of the column titles should be explained (Bio/Aero chamber, T0, T1)

The column headers in Table 2 have been revised for clarity.

Figure 9. What does the dashed lines represent?

The three dashed lines on the figure have slopes of 0, -1, and -2. It is common to include these lines in Van Krevelen plots when investigating particle aging in chamber experiments. We have included them here to make it easier for readers familiar with such plots to compare our results with others published previously (Chhabra et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011).

References

Chhabra, P. S., Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Worsnop, D. R., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental composition and oxidation of chamber organic aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(17), 8827–8845, 2011.

Mentel, T. F., Kleist, E., Andres, S., Dal Maso, M., Hohaus, T., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rudich, Y., Springer, M., Tillmann, R., Uerlings, R., Wahner, A. and Wildt, J.: Secondary aerosol formation from stress-induced biogenic emissions and possible climate feedbacks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(17), 8755–8770, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8755-2013, 2013.

Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H. and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(13), 6465–6474, 2011.

Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M. and others: Oxidation Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of Atmospheric Particles, Science, 344(6185), 717–721, 2014.

Faiola et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C8112-C8113, 2014

Response to Anonymous Referee #2

We thank the reviewer for her/his supportive and constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript in several instances to address the reviewer's concerns, and believe the paper is stronger as a result. This response will address the concerns in the order they were raised. Reviewer comments are in bold italics.

General Comments

The overall manuscript shows innovative and certainly interesting research, which fits well within the scope of the journal.

Thank you for these positive comments.

Statistically significant differences are observed in the mass spectra of SOA generated in 2012 or in 2013. While such difference is clearly shown, there isn't in the manuscript a proper discussion on why it was so. P. 25182. L.20 hypothesis the cause to be related to different plant age, whereas P.25187 L.10 states that it could be explained by confounding stress effects. It is clear that this discussion must be better aligned throughout the manuscript and, furthermore, that the reason for these differences has not been completely elucidated. The fact that it was not completely elucidated has to be clearer in the manuscript.

As suggested in one of the reviewer's later comments, we have substantially revised Figure 3 and moved the results comparing same year and different year SOA to the supplementary information. The text accompanying the new figure has been revised to summarize all our hypotheses for these differences into a single paragraph, and to clearly state that we cannot conclude with certainty what the real cause could be. The supplementary text reads as follows:

> *"SOA spectra generated the same year were more similar to one another than SOA generated in different years. We hypothesize that some of difference between years could be attributed to differences in tree types and tree maturity from 2012 to 2013. In the 2012 experiments, only* Pinus ponderosa *and* Pinus aristata *were used and they were 2-3 years of age at the time of the experiments. In contrast, in 2013 all tree types except for* Pinus ponderosa *were used and most of the experiments were performed with trees that were 1 year of age. Furthermore, two of the 2012 PreT/PostT experiments were performed in October after the plants had already entered their winter dormancy period. As a result, there could have been confounding effects impacting SOA composition in four of the six biogenic SOA spectra from 2012. We are unable to explain with confidence why there were differences in SOA spectra between different years."*

While the experimental setup used allows a rich and extensive analysis of SOA formation from BVOCs, it covers a very specific type of chamber experiment (dark ozone-initiated). While the authors suggest a few m/z's from AMS that could act as biogenic stress markers (i.e. under

ambient conditions), I feel that it lacks a proper discussion on how representative one would expect that such measurements are of actual ambient measurements, and what would be expected for a wider range on chamber experiments.

The dominant atmospheric oxidant for many of the terpenoid compounds emitted by vegetation is ozone (Atkinson and Arey, 2003). Furthermore, chamber chemistry initiated by ozone in the absence of OH scavengers results in the formation of HOx and ROx radicals. We did not use any OH scavengers to suppress radical chemistry so it is likely that OH chemistry was occurring in the chamber as well. Consequently, the results presented here could be representative of ambient SOA. Based on our results, we suggest a number of *m/z* values for which the presence of signal in ambient measurements could indicate the stress-affected SOA. We do not assert with certainty that these markers would be found in ambient datasets- that is left to future investigations.

We have added text to clarify this point in section 3.6 (Results Summary):

"*Ozone is the dominant atmospheric oxidant for many of the terpenoid compounds emitted by vegetation (Atkinson & Arey, 2003). Furthermore, no OH scavenger was used to suppress OH chemistry. Consequently, these AMS results could be representative of what one would expect in ambient conditions.*"

Specific Comments

Although several references of size distribution using an SMPS are found throughout the manuscript, no actual SMPS measurements are shown. Please remove those references, in particular from the abstract.

The authors chose not to show any size distribution data or time series of particle growth because we did not believe it would add significant value to the manuscript's major arguments. However, we do present SMPS data in Table 2. Please refer to the column labeled "Max Particle Volume", which was calculated from SMPS measurements. We have added a sentence to the table caption to clarify this: "*Particle volume was calculated from SMPS measurements.*"

Section 2.2: It is unclear, by this section alone, how many experiments were actually carried out and how often each experiment was repeated (if at all). Also, please attain to absolutely essential information within the scope of the manuscript.

The authors refer the reviewer to the paragraph in section 2.2 beginning on page 25174, line 1. The paragraph begins: "*A list of all experiments with the Experiment ID, date, and treatment approach is provided in Table 1*." Table 1 lists each experiment that was conducted and orders the experiments by plant type and experiment type. Readers can find the number of experiments and the number of replicates using this summary table.

Table 1: Change date format into dd-mmm-yyy. Furthermore I'd suggest including a column indicating the experiment number showed in Fig. 2

We have revised the dates in Table 1 to be compliant with ACP guidelines (example: 25 July 2007). The numbers shown in Figure 2 are index numbers that refer to the figure axes and do not refer to an experiment number. We believe the experiment ID is sufficient for cross-referencing the figure with the tables. The Figure 2 caption has been revised for clarity. The caption now begins:

> *"Summary of all comparisons between biogenic SOA spectra. Each number on the x and y axes refers to a single SOA growth experiment. The legend provides a key to match the axis number with its corresponding experiment ID. Details of each experiment are listed by experiment ID in Tables 1 and 2."*

P. 25176 L. 4: Please replace "microphysical properties" with "number size distribution" if SMPS reference is kept.

This has been changed as the reviewer suggests.

Section 2.5: This section is far too descriptive and most of the usual data correction procedure can be referenced and/or moved into the supplemental material. Please move the list of used m/z's in a table format to supplemental material as well.

We have moved much of the data analysis discussion to the supplemental material, including the UMR *m/z* values used in our analysis, the HR air interferences, the water interferences, and the CO/CO_2 ion considerations.

Caption Fig. 2: Please remove color-code explanation, as it is already shown in the legend.

The relevant sentence in the caption has been revised so that it now reads: *"The color scale denotes the strength of correlation between the two spectra."*

Fig. 3: Overall this figure is highly informative, however it requires few modifications. I think the most relevant information is somewhat lost among all different constraints and the number of bars could be reduced, making it easier for the reader to extract most of it. For example, I'd strongly suggest the authors to remove references as "same year" or "different year" from this figure and include in a separated section, or supplemental material, linked not to the actual results, but pointing out the differences between 2012 and 2013 measurements. Also, color selection can be improved, blue and purple are too similar, please change it.

Thank you for these helpful comments. We have simplified Figure 3 to direct the reader's focus to the most relevant points. Specifically, we have eliminated the bars showing results for different year and same year comparisons as well as the bars showing comparisons with the methyl jasmonate standard spectra. We added a sentence to the paragraph introducing

Figure 3 that reads, *"Comparisons between experiments performed different years and comparisons with the standard MeJA spectrum are discussed in the supplementary information (Figure S-2)."* We have made a new figure with these results and discuss them in more detail in the supplementary material.

New results suggest a significant revision of O:C and H:C calculation (Canagaratna et al., 2014). The authors should consider whether directly adopting the new parameterization or just referring to it (discussing newly calculated O:C and H:C ratios) as it is still under discussion.

The two objectives of elemental analysis in this research were to 1) compare with previously published literature and 2) compare the different biogenic SOA to one another. For the first objective, we found it best to use the same approach that has been used previously. For the second objective, the updated approach would adjust all ratios from each experiment similarly, and thus should not have a major impact on our comparison. We thank the reviewer for bringing to our attention the recently published updated approach. We have added a few sentences to the Section 2.5 to discuss the implications of the new approach within the context of the results presented in this paper:

> *"Substantial revisions to the Aiken et al. (2008) approach to elemental analysis have recently been proposed by Canagaratna et al. (2015). These revisions have not been incorporated into this analysis. A major motivation for performing elemental analysis in this work was to compare with previously published results, which used the earlier methods. Another objective was to compare results between the different experiments conducted here; the new approach would affect all ratios similarly and thus would not influence the conclusions from these comparisons. Other technical considerations related to the HR data analysis are described in more detail in the supplementary information."*

Section 3: I find this section quite difficult to read, please consider changing the order on how the sections are organized. Consider combining all subsections entitled "summary" into a single one, and move some of the discussion of 3.6 to conclusions as well.

We have reordered Section 3 as was suggested here and by another reviewer. Specifically, we have moved the section describing the *Abies grandis* case so that it follows the section on post-treatment spectra. We have also modified the titles of subsections to avoid calling them 'summaries'. We have chosen not to make further changes to the organization of this section; we believe that the current structure presents our results clearly and effectively.

More on Section 3: A too large fraction of this section details the results of the unidentified external stress on the Abies Grandis emissions. By detailing almost four pages of this results, it actually diminishes strongly the main contribution of the manuscript, SOA spectra (and its markers) obtained under actually controlled conditions. Consider reducing it not to lose focus of the manuscript.

As noted above, we have revised the order of the sections so that the *Abies grandis* "unique" case is discussed after the section on post-treatment spectra. We believe this effectively addresses this comment also by improving the focus on the controlled experiment results.

References

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of biogenic volatile organic compounds: a review, Atmospheric Environment, 37(Supplement 2), 197–219, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00391-1, 2003.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 253-272, doi:10.5194/acp-15-253-2015, 2015.

Faiola et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C8112-C8113, 2014

Response to Anonymous Referee #3

We thank the reviewer for her/his supportive and constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript in several instances to address the reviewer's concerns, and believe the paper is stronger as a result. This response will address the concerns in the order they were raised. Reviewer comments are in bold italics.

General Comments

This paper presents an interesting set of laboratory experiments investigating the effect of plant stress on SOA composition. The research is original and likely to be of great interest to the research community studying biogenic SOA, and is well within the scope of this journal.

Thank you for these positive comments.

Organization: It feels strange to discuss the "exception" so thoroughly before showing the "typical" plant response. I would suggest reversing the order of sections 3.3 and 3.4, and adding a panel to Fig. 4 showing an AG-Post mass spectrum, so this figure doubles to show a "typical" pre to post change, as well as showing the atypical Pre example.

Thank you for this suggestion. We agree that the paper would flow more logically if we discuss the more general "post-treatment" spectra before discussing the more specific grand fir case. We have reversed the order of sections 3.3 and 3.4. We have also added text to the beginning of the grand fir section to clarify that the "unidentified stress" grand fir experiment discussed in detail in this section may represent a more "typical" plant stress response in the natural environment, which is why we chose to discuss the results in detail. These plants were exposed to a natural stressor outdoors where they were stored, and thus their stress response provided us with a unique opportunity to investigate emissions under naturally-elicited stress conditions as opposed to stress elicited from a plant hormone application. It is difficult to replicate and control natural plant stress responses, and we are not the first to store plants outdoors in their natural environment where they could be exposed to herbivore or pathogen attack and then use those plants to investigate stress effects on BVOC/BSOA (Mentel et al., 2013). The following statement was added to the first paragraph in the grand fir section to clarify this point:

> *"This experiment provided an opportunity to investigate the effects of a naturally-elicited stressor on BVOC emissions and biogenic SOA composition in contrast to stress elicited from plant hormone application. Furthermore, despite the presence of an external stressor, the MeJA treatment still induced emissions of 1,8-cineol and terpinolene allowing us to investigate the impact of multiple stressors. This scenario is representative of an environmentally-relevant case because the presence of multiple stressors in the natural environment is likely the rule rather than the exception."*

This statement aligns with a later statement the authors made in the results summary section on page 25193 line 21, *"Consequently, these results were not reproducible but did serve as an opportunity to investigate a plant's response to a natural stressor"*.

We have also added a panel to the figure (now Figure 7 in the revised manuscript) showing the mass spectrum of a typical post-treatment experiment as suggested and revised the caption to clarify that AG-1-Pre represents a "naturally-elicited stress" condition, AG-2-Pre represents a typical "baseline" condition, and AG-2-Post represents a typical "hormone application stress" condition.

I think it would be useful to add a brief discussion of the motivation for selecting the particular trees investigated here.

The following sentence was added to section 2.2 after listing the tree species used in the study: *"All tree species are commonly found in the western mountain ranges of North America."*

The molecular formula and structure for methyl jasmonate should be in the manuscript somewhere, at least in the supplemental, to help readers interpret your results. What is its O:C ratio?

The molecular formula of methyl jasmonate is $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ with an O:C ratio equal to 0.23. The O:C values from pre-treatment experiments were higher than this, ranging from 0.32-0.41 (page 25192, line 1). The post-treatment SOA had higher O:C ratios, ranging from 0.42-0.46 (page 25192, line 3). Consequently, the methyl jasmonate on its own could not increase the O:C values to match observations.

Thank you for drawing our attention to the lack of detail on methyl jasmonate properties. We have revised the description of methyl jasmonate in Section 2.3 to read:

> *"Herbivory stress was simulated by exposing plants to MeJA. This compound is a plant stress hormone with chemical formula $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ that is used in plant-plant communication for defensive purposes (Cheong and Choi, 2003)."*

We have also added an image of its molecular structure to the figure illustrating the SOA mass spectrum that was generated using standard MeJA as a VOC precursor (Figure 4 in the revised manuscript).

I think the fact that you ignore nitrogen-containing ions could introduce some bias and should be discussed more thoroughly (around 25180 lines 6-11). If these come from soil NOx, they are likely to be NO3-initiated SOA, which carry a lot of O's with them and could skew your O:C ratios high. NO3 has highly variable rate constants with terpenoids, often much faster than O3. If, for example, it's reaction rate with MeJA were anomalously high, this could be a big part of the increase in O:C. Is there any way you can estimate (e.g. from subsequent measurements?) the [NOx], to report a ballpark guess? Can you put an upper limit on NO3's contribution to the O:C change?

Thank you for bringing our attention to this error in our wording on page 25179, lines 6-11. We stated that, *"The nitrogen-containing signals were ignored for the analysis presented in*

this paper", but this mischaracterizes our examination of the nitrogen contributions. In fact, for each experiment we fit the same 743 ions using the HR data that passed our quality control assessment (described in Section 2.5). These ions were selected by looking at individual runs in each experiment - if there were measureable peaks present in any of the spectra, we included that ion in the HR fit. Consequently, any nitrogen-containing peaks that were present were accounted for in the elemental analysis. Our statement in the original manuscript was intended to convey that we could not rule out the presence of NOx due to our experimental set-up, but that we were not focusing on nitrogen-containing ions in our analysis because the nitrogen-containing signal was low. We have revised the wording to reflect this intention more clearly. The paragraph now reads as follows:

> *"We cannot rule out the presence of NOx in the reaction chamber because the plant chamber contained saplings potted in soil, and microbial activity in soil can be a source of NOx. While NOx was not measured directly, we consistently observed that the contribution of nitrogen-containing peaks to total organic aerosol signal was low—N:C ratios ranged from 0.004 to 0.011. Consequently, the nitrogen-containing signals were not the focus of the analysis presented in this paper."*

With respect to the reviewer's concern about the potential for nitrate radical chemistry, we do not discount this possibility. However, it was not the intent of this study to investigate how BVOC/ BSOA partitioning for different oxidation mechanisms could impact the AMS spectra. In this work, we sought to provide insight about the inter- and intra- species variability in BSOA composition when using real plant emissions as the VOC precursor source, under baseline and stressed conditions. Certainly there is a need for further study of BVOC oxidation, including for MeJA and other stress-related compounds. Those systematic investigations are left for the future.

I think it would be very useful to report the general trend in total SOA mass pre-post, and the difference in O3 decay rates, perhaps around 25182 line 25. I'm curious if the addition of MeJA could affect the concentration of O3 in the pre/post experiments, and hence the total aerosol loading, skewing the volatility distribution of what's condensing? This could lead to composition shifts even with the same total {gas + aerosol} product distribution, just due to partitioning changes. I know your MeJA MS data suggests that there is some role for the MeJA as an SOA precursor in itself, but this would help think more about what else it could be doing to the gas-phase chemistry.

Table 2 provides the maximum particle volume (proportional to mass) and the initial and final ozone concentrations for each of chamber experiments. It is evident from these data that the particle volumes were substantially higher in most of the post-treatment experiments. This is directly tied to the substantial increases in BVOC emissions observed after treatment. The BVOC emissions and profiles from these experiments are the focus of the companion paper accepted for publication in Biogeosciences (Faiola et al., 2014). To simplify cross-referencing between the two papers, we have added a column to Table 1 in this paper and to the analogous table in the companion paper. This cross-referencing will also help readers compare the BVOC profiles with the matching SOA data.

We recognize that higher BVOC loadings in the post-treatment experiments should shift the partitioning of the condensing compounds to include higher volatility compounds. However, we do not believe this to be the primary effect. If the shift in partitioning were dominant, we would expect the O:C ratios in the post-treatment experiments to be lower. However, the observed O:C ratios in the post-treatment experiments were higher relative to their corresponding pre-treatment SOA. This question merits further investigation, though the study design is complicated by the inherent variability in emissions when working with real plants.

With regard to the comments on ozone, we refer again to Table 2 showing that nearly all post-treatment experiments had excess ozone in the chamber throughout the entire duration of the experiment (see the final ozone measurement). Calculating accurate ozone decay rates during the initial particle formation and growth event would be difficult due to the methods used. In these experiments, the BVOCs were loaded for three hours prior to ozone addition. Once the ozone was added, oxidation chemistry proceeded rapidly and particle formation occurred before ozone addition had ceased.

Can you do elemental analysis of residual spectra? This would be an interesting way to say something more specifically about the non-MeJA SOA stress response composition.

As noted in Section 3.4.2, the residual spectra were calculated by subtracting the optimized combination spectrum (Pre-treatment + MeJA standard spectrum) from the post-treatment spectrum. We believe this method is useful for emphasizing enhanced peaks. However, we are hesitant to apply a quantitative elemental analysis on the residual without a detailed assessment uncertainty. While potentially valuable, we feel that such an assessment is outside the scope of this work.

Based on its vapor pressure, could MeJA condense onto particles and itself contribute to the particle phase?

We considered this possibility. On page 25175, lines 13-20, we report that the vapor pressure of methyl jasmonate at 23 °C is 1.28×10^{-4} mmHg. For comparison, consider a couple of the common oxidation products of alpha-pinene that are known to contribute to SOA formation: pinic acid and pinonic acid. These compounds have vapor pressures of 2.4×10^{-7} and 5.3×10^{-7} mmHg, respectively, at 23 °C (Bilde & Pandis, 2001). Methyl jasmonate is substantially more volatile than these oxidation products of alpha-pinene. Based on this, we think it is more likely that oxidation products of methyl jasmonate would have partitioned significantly to the particle phase in these experiments as opposed to methyl jasmonate partitioning directly to the particle phase.

Specific Comments

I'm puzzled by the climate feedback paragraph in the introduction (25169 lines 20-25). Based on the first 2 sentences of that paragraph, I expected a negative radiative forcing from BSOA. Why is the radiative perturbation then positive?

On page 25169, lines 16-17, we stated that the Carslaw et al. (2010) review paper discussed many feedback processes linking natural aerosol and the rest of the earth system. "These processes include the production of secondary sulfate aerosol from phytoplankton emissions, physical processes that contribute to dust entrainment, and the formation of biogenic SOA from terrestrial plant emissions". The positive overall radiative effect estimated in the Carslaw et al. review includes many more processes than just biogenic SOA formation. The reviewer is correct that the net radiative effect associated with biogenic SOA formation was negative. However, any feedbacks that could decrease natural aerosol production would have a net positive radiative effect. We encourage the reviewer to read the Carslaw et al. review paper for details of their calculations and the individual processes that produced the overall positive radiative perturbation for natural aerosol. The same result was separately reached by Arneth et al. (2010) in their review paper where they investigated many different processes linking the terrestrial biosphere and climate. BSOA formation was one of the many processes they included in their calculation. We did not state that the biogenic SOA formation feedback has a positive radiative perturbation, but that the overall radiative effect (which included the BSOA formation process among other things) was positive. However, the key message of this paragraph was that all these feedbacks are very poorly understood, and we agree with the reviewer that a net positive effect is surprising. These surprising results should motivate future work on natural climate change feedbacks like the work presented in our manuscript.

The format of plant species names is a bit odd and inconsistent: why is it "grand fir" but then "Douglas-fir" with a hyphen?

The Douglas-fir has an unusual common name. It was originally named after a Scottish botanist, David Douglas, making its name a proper noun (personal communication: Chuck Cody, WSU greenhouse manager). Consequently, the common name of this particular tree is normally capitalized whereas other common names are not. Furthermore, in 1867, the Douglas-fir was taxonomically separated from other fir trees and given its own genus name, *Pseudotsuga*, meaning "false hemlock" (http://oregonstate.edu/trees/conifer_genera/douglas_fir.html). The dash in the common name indicates that it is not a "true" fir. We recognize that this common name is structurally different from the others, but this is the correct form for this particular tree.

25175, line 27: is -> in

This has been corrected.

25178, line 23: "1.6 +/- 40%": why is this error range reported as a %, where the other are all +/- 1 SD? Suggest making consistent.

Thank you for pointing out this inconsistency. This discussion has been moved into the supplementary information based on the suggestion of multiple reviewers and this value has been changed to *"1.6 +/- 0.6".*

25180 line 8: Suggest reminding the reader via a brief parenthetical what the negative control experiments were. It was a very brief mention and many sentences previous that it was explained.

We have added the following sentence to section 3.1: *"Negative controls refer to experiments where the plants were sprayed with water instead of the MeJA solution."*

After you have introduced the abbreviated MeJA, I suggest using that consistently throughout (e.g. 25182 line 2, 25188 line 19)

This has been corrected.

Top of 25191: two uses of long dashes are strange sentence structure. Use semi-colons?

We have revised this sentence to read as follows:

"One clear outlier was the SOA generated in experiment AG-1-Pre — the unidentified stress (UNID Stress) experiment that was discussed previously. All H:C ratios were similar (~1.5) throughout the pre-treatment experiments. This is consistent with expected H:C ratios for SOA generated from biogenic precursors (Chhabra et al., 2010)."

25191 line 4: insert citation about expected H:C ratios after "ozonolysis reactions."

We have chosen to revise that sentence to state *"this is consistent with expected H:C ratios for SOA generated from biogenic precursors (Chhabra et al., 2010)."*

25192 line 29: remove comma after "rich"

We have revised to better represent the original intent of the phrasing to the following:

"The number of experiments and types of tree species examined in this study has provided a rich, but complex, data set".

Isn't it "van Krevelen", not "Van Krevelen"?

We followed the precedent set in previous papers that used "Van Krevelen". These papers include the following: Chhabra et al., 2011; Heald et al., 2010; Lambe et al., 2011; Ng et al., 2011. There are possibly other papers that use "van Krevelen", but we chose to be consistent with the format set by these papers that we were most familiar with.

25193 around line 11-14: Make clear that this is true for OZONE-initiated chemistry, not necessarily with different oxidants

We have revised this sentence to read:

"This result, when combined with the diversity in pre-treatment monoterpenoid emission profiles from these trees presented in Faiola et al. (2014a), suggests that aerosol mass spectra of biogenic SOA formed from ozone-initiated chemistry under baseline conditions all look very similar even with a different mix of monoterpenes used to generate the SOA."

25193 line 19 spectra -> spectrum

This has been corrected.

25194 line 24: couldn't this also be for more highly oxidized C10 products, not necessarily adding other hydrocarbons?

We believe there may have been an error in the line reference here - the question does not seem to correspond to the page and line number given. If the editor chooses to revisit the question with the reviewer, we would be happy to answer.

Aesthetic point: Figs 4, 6, and 8 are all similar but slightly different colors of green and thickness of lines. Make uniform?

The color and line widths of these three figures have been adjusted so they are all uniform.

In Figure 8 caption, recap the key text about these figures omitting negative residual peaks.

We added the following statement to the caption: *"Negative residuals have been removed to focus on the enhanced m/z peaks."*

References

Arneth, A., Harrison, S. P., Zaehle, S., Tsigaridis, K., Menon, S., Bartlein, P. J., Feichter, J., Korhola, A., Kulmala, M., O'Donnell, D., Schurgers, G., Sorvari, S. and Vesala, T.: Terrestrial biogeochemical feedbacks in the climate system, Nat. Geosci, 3(8), 525–532, doi:10.1038/ngeo905, 2010.

Bilde, M. and Pandis, S. N.: Evaporation rates and vapor pressures of individual aerosol species formed in the atmospheric oxidation of alpha- and beta- pinene, Env. Sci. & Tech., 35, 3344-3349, 2001.

Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S. and Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the Earth system, Atmos Chem Phys, 10(4), 1701–1737, 2010.

Cheong, J.-J. and Choi, Y. D.: Methyl jasmonate as a vital substance in plants, Trends Genet., 19(7), 409–413, doi:10.1016/S0168-9525(03)00138-0, 2003.

Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental analysis of chamber organic aerosol using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 10(9), 4111–4131, 2010.

Chhabra, P. S., Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Worsnop, D. R., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental composition and oxidation of chamber organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8827-8845, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8827-2011, 2011.

Faiola, C. L., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: Impacts of Simulated Herbivory on VOC Emission Profiles from Coniferous Plants, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 13455-13514, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-13455-2014, 2014.

Fry, J. L., Draper, D. C. Zarzana, K. J., Campuzano-Jost, P., Day, D. A., Jimenez, J. L., Brown, S. S., Cohen, R. C., Kaser, L., Hansel, A., Cappellin, L., Karl, T., Hodzic Roux, A., Turnipseed, A., Cantrell, C., Lefer, B. L., and Grossber, N.: Observations of gas- and aerosol-phase organic nitrates at BEACHON RoMBAS 2011, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8585-8605, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8585-2013, 2013.

Heald, C. L., J. H. Kroll, J. L. Jimenez, K. S. Docherty, P. F. DeCarlo, A. C. Aiken, Q. Chen, S. T. Martin, D. K. Farmer, and P. Artaxo (2010), A simplified description of the evolution of organic aerosol composition in the atmosphere, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L08803, doi:10.1029/2010GL042737.

Lambe, A. T., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Croasdale, D. R., Wright, J. P., Ahern, A. T., Williams, L. R., Worsnop, D. R., Brune, W. H., and Davidovits, P.: Laboratory studies of the chemical composition and cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) activity of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) and oxidized primary organic aerosol (OPOA), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8913-8928, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8913-2011, 2011.

Mentel, Th. F., Kleist, E., Andres, S., Dal Maso, M., Hohaus, T., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rudich, Y., Springer, M., Tillmann, R., Uerlings, R., Wahner, A., and Wildt, J.: Secondary aerosol formation from stress-induced biogenic emissions and possible climate feedbacks, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8755-8770, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8755-2013, 2013.

Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H., and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6465-6474, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6465-2011, 2011.

Faiola et al., Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, C8112-C8113, 2014

Response to Anonymous Referee #4

We thank the reviewer for her/his supportive and constructive comments. We have revised the manuscript in several instances to address the reviewer's concerns, and believe the paper is stronger as a result. This response will address the concerns in the order they were raised. Reviewer comments are in bold italics.

General Comments

The manuscript "Chemical characterization of biogenic SOA generated from plant emissions under baseline and stressed conditions: inter- and intra-species variability for six coniferous species" presents an interesting study of how biogenic SOA composition is altered by herbivore stress. The work is novel, well written, and relevant to ACP.

Thank you for these positive comments.

I agree with a previous referee comment that more basic background info on methyl jasmonate is necessary in the introduction or in the supplemental material. Also, do the authors know at what concentrations/rates methyl jasmonate is emitted during typical stressed episodes and how the applied jasmonate concentrations compare?

We have added the following to the description of methyl jasmonate in Section 2.3:

> *"Herbivory stress was simulated by exposing plants to MeJA. This compound is a plant stress hormone with chemical formula $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ that is used in plant-plant communication for defensive purposes (Cheong and Choi, 2003)."*

We have also added an image of its molecular structure to the figure illustrating the SOA mass spectrum that was generated using standard MeJA as a VOC precursor (Figure 4).

Scientists have known for over two decades that plants emit methyl jasmonate and that it plays a role in plant-plant communication (Farmer and Ryan, 1990). However, to our knowledge there are no quantitative measurements of methyl jasmonate emission rates. The highly oxidized structure of this compound means it would not be detected with most common BVOC emission analytical approaches unless the researchers were specifically looking for it. Furthermore, the focus of methyl jasmonate research has primarily been ecological (e.g., the role of methyl jasmonate and induced volatiles in tritrophic signaling (Wasternack & Hause, 2002; Mäntylä et al., 2014)), genetic or biochemical (i.e. the synthesis of methyl jasmonate and its role in biochemical regulation (Cheong & Choi, 2003)), or has simply used the known property of methyl jasmonate to induce other plant volatiles and simulate plant stress (Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2001; Martin et al., 2003; Semiz et al., 2011). These research topics have not prompted the quantitative determination of methyl jasmonate emission rates. Karl et al., (2008) did measure the emission rates of a different plant hormone, methyl salicylate, which is also emitted as a plant defense response. Their measurements showed that emission rates of this plant hormone could equal monoterpene emissions during forest stress events. If methyl jasmonate emission rates were similar to this other plant defense hormone, our results suggest that methyl jasmonate could be a

significant SOA precursor in forests under plant stress scenarios. However, we again emphasize that the intent in this study was to induce a strong stress response. We used a MeJA dose that has been shown to induce such a response in previous studies (Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2001; Martin et al., 2003). This exposure is presumed to be significantly higher than what non-infested trees would be exposed to during a herbivory event, but would also likely be a lower level of stress in comparison to an actual herbivore attack.

Why did the authors choose the set of m/z values listed on page 25177 for their correlations? Wouldn't using the whole organic spectra provide almost the same r^2?

We agree that using the full organic spectra would have produced a very similar r^2 value. However, for some components of the analysis and for many of the plots, it was burdensome to include every *m/z* value in the spectra, particularly when many of them were trivially small. To make the analysis and data visualization more manageable, we chose to screen out *m/z* values that did not ever contribute significantly to a spectrum. The list included on page 25177 is the list of *m/z* values for which there was a significant mass spectral contribution at least once. Note that this list of values has been moved to the supplemental material in the revised manuscript.

It's a bit unclear when GC data is available in the experiments. It's unfortunate that the only GC data presented is in the supplemental section when the differences in BVOCs could be used to interpret the changes in AMS spectra. Do the authors know of other literature where AMS spectra of BVOC SOA has been presented and can be compared?

As we noted in the manuscript, there is a companion paper to this one that focuses entirely on the VOC measurements for this same set of experiments, i.e., the GC data. The concerns raised by the reviewer are addressed in depth by that paper. The BVOC-focused manuscript was in open discussion for publication in *Biogeosciences* (Faiola et al., 2014) during the same period that this SOA-focused manuscript has been in discussion for *Atmospheric Chemistry & Physics*. The paper focusing on the BVOC emissions has now been accepted for final publication.

To avoid similar confusion for future readers, we have revised Table 1 to provide the experiment identifications for the *Biogeosciences* paper. We have also revised the manuscript so that it refers to the companion paper in a few additional spots for the readers' benefit.

Much of the HR-AMS protocol for data analysis on page 25178 can be shortened or moved to supplemental section.

In the revised manuscript we have moved most of this discussion to the supplemental information.

A-pinene SOA is often the most basic example of BVOC SOA. It would be nice (even if in just the text) to mention how meJA or the other spectra correlate to a-pinene SOA spectra. If they are similar, those spectral fingerprints may be hard to distinguish in the field.

We have added a spectrum from the dark ozonolysis of alpha-pinene (Bahreini et al., 2005) to the supplement as Figure S-4 for qualitative comparison with the typical pre-treatment SOA spectrum presented in Figure 4 (now Figure 7 in the revised manuscript) from experiment AG-2-Pre. We have added the following to refer readers to the alpha-pinene spectra in the supplementary information:

> *"The AG-2-Pre spectrum is more representative of a typical baseline SOA spectrum. The mass spectrum of SOA generated from alpha-pinene dark ozonolysis is shown in supplementary information (Figure S-4, Bahreini et al., 2005) to compare the baseline biogenic SOA spectrum presented in this paper with a typical "model biogenic SOA" spectrum."*

The following discussion has been added to the supplementary information comparing the spectra.

> *"Figure S-4 shows the normalized AMS spectrum of SOA generated from the dark ozonolysis of alpha-pinene. Alpha-pinene has been used as a model compound for investigations of biogenic SOA by the aerosol community. It is provided here for comparison with a pre-treatment SOA spectrum generated from the oxidation of real plant emissions (Figure 7, main text). The dominant peaks in the two spectra are similar, with m/z 43 contributing the greatest organic signal. This is true for most SOA generated from monoterpene precursors in laboratory chambers (Chhabra et al., 2010, 2011). Other dominant peaks in both spectra are m/z 29, 44, 27, 41, 55, 42, 26, and 53. The relative contribution of these peaks between the spectra does vary slightly and there are some major peaks in the alpha-pinene SOA that are not as prominent in the AG-2-Pre spectrum. Some of this variation could be due to differences in fragmentation between AMS instruments, and/or differences in chemistry between chambers. For example, one difference between the two studies is that OH scavengers were used in the Bahreini et al. (2005). This limits our ability to perform a quantitative comparison between alpha-pinene SOA spectra and the biogenic SOA spectra presented in this paper."*

This qualitative comparison with alpha-pinene SOA helps put the AMS spectra we present into context for readers familiar with AMS data.

The authors have SMPS distribution data and HR-AMS PToF data. From those, an aerosol density can be estimated and applied to the volumes listed in Table 2.

We had considered calculating aerosol densities as the reviewer suggests but ultimately decided not to do so. Our primary reason for this choice was that we were not satisfied with the quality of our PToF data for many of the experiments. Providing densities for only some experiments would require explaining our selection criteria for including experiments but not others. In our judgment, the value to be gained from this effort was minimal and the

needed discussion would detract from the paper's core arguments. For these reasons we chose not to pursue the density analysis.

Specific Comments

Increase the marker size and text size in Figure 9.

We have modified Figure 9 to improve its readability.

References

Bahreini, R., Keywood, M. D., Ng, N. L., Varutbangkul, V., Gao, S., Flagan, R. C., ... & Jimenez, J. L., Measurements of secondary organic aerosol from oxidation of cycloalkenes, terpenes, and m-xylene using an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer. Environmental science & technology, 39, 5674-5688, 2005.

Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental analysis of chamber organic aerosol using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 10(9), 4111–4131, 2010.

Chhabra, P. S., Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Worsnop, D. R., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental composition and oxidation of chamber organic aerosol, Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, 11(17), 8827–8845, 2011.

Cheong, J. J., & Choi, Y. D. Methyl jasmonate as a vital substance in plants. TRENDS in Genetics, 19, 409-413, 2003.

Faiola, C. L., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: Impacts of Simulated Herbivory on VOC Emission Profiles from Coniferous Plants, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 13455-13514, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-13455-2014, 2014.

Farmer, E. E. and Ryan, C. A.: Interplant communication: airborne methyl jasmonate induces synthesis of proteinase inhibitors in plant leaves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 87(19), 7713, 1990.

Karl, T., Guenther, A., Turnipseed, A., Patton, E. G., and Jardine, K.: Chemical sensing of plant stress at the ecosystem scale, Biogeosciences, 5, 1287–1294, doi:10.5194/bg-5-1287-2008, 2008.

Martin, D. M., Gershenzon, J., & Bohlmann, J. Induction of volatile terpene biosynthesis and diurnal emission by methyl jasmonate in foliage of Norway spruce. Plant physiology, 132, 1586-1599, 2003.

Mäntylä, E., Blande, J. D., & Klemola, T. Does application of methyl jasmonate to birch mimic herbivory and attract insectivorous birds in nature?. Arthropod-Plant Interactions, 8, 143-153, 2014.

Rodriguez-Saona, C., Crafts-Brandner, S. J., ParÉ, P. W., & Henneberry, T. J., Exogenous methyl jasmonate induces volatile emissions in cotton plants. Journal of chemical ecology, 27, 679-695, 2001.

Semiz, G., Blande, J. D., Heijari, J., Isik, K., Niinemets, U., & Holopainen, J. K., Manipulation of VOC emissions with methyl jasmonate and carrageenan in the evergreen conifer *Pinus sylvestris* and the evergreen broadleaf *Quercus ilex*, Plant Biology, 14 (Suppl. 1), 57–65, 2011.

Wasternack, C., & Hause, B., Jasmonates and octadecanoids: signals in plant stress responses and development. Progress in nucleic acid research and molecular biology, 72, 165-221, 2002.

Chemical characterization of biogenic SOA generated from plant emissions under baseline and stressed conditions: inter- and intra-species variability for six coniferous species

C. L. Faiola[1,*], M. Wen[1] and T. M. VanReken[1]

[1]{Laboratory for Atmospheric Research, Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Washington State University, Pullman, Washington}

[*]{now at: Department of Applied Physics, University of Eastern Finland, Kuopio, Finland}

Correspondence to: T. M. VanReken (vanreken@wsu.edu)

Abstract

The largest global source of secondary organic aerosol in the atmosphere is derived from the oxidation of biogenic emissions. Plant stressors associated with a changing environment can alter both the quantity and composition of the compounds that are emitted. Alterations to the biogenic VOC profile could impact the characteristics of the SOA formed from those emissions. This study investigated the impacts of one global change stressor, increased herbivory, on the composition of SOA derived from real plant emissions. Herbivory was simulated via application of methyl jasmonate, a proxy compound. Experiments were repeated under pre- and post-treatment conditions for six different coniferous plant types. VOCs emitted from the plants were oxidized to form SOA via dark ozone-initiated chemistry. The SOA particle size distribution and chemical composition were measured using a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS) and Aerodyne high-resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS), respectively. The aerosol mass spectra of pre-treatment biogenic SOA from all plant types tended to be similar with correlations usually greater than or equal to 0.90. The presence of a stressor produced characteristic differences in the SOA mass spectra. Specifically, the following m/z were identified as a possible biogenic stress AMS marker with the corresponding HR ion(s) shown in parentheses: m/z 31 (CH_3O^+), m/z 58 ($C_2H_2O_2^+$, $C_3H_6O^+$) m/z 29 ($C_2H_5^+$), m/z 57 ($C_3H_5O^+$), m/z 59 ($C_2H_3O_2^+$, $C_3H_7O^+$), m/z 71

($C_3H_3O_2^+$, $C_4H_7O^+$), and m/z 83 ($C_5H_7O^+$). The first aerosol mass spectrum of SOA generated from the oxidation of the plant stress hormone, methyl jasmonate, is also presented. Elemental analysis results demonstrated an O:C range of baseline biogenic SOA between 0.3-0.47. The O:C of standard methyl jasmonate SOA was 0.52. Results presented here could be used to help identify a biogenic plant stress marker in ambient datasets collected in forest environments.

1 Introduction

Organic material comprises 20-90% of the mass in atmospheric particles smaller than one micrometer (Jimenez et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2007). Most of this small organic particulate material is secondary organic aerosol (SOA), and the major fraction of SOA globally is formed from the oxidation of biogenic volatile organic compounds (BVOC) released by vegetation (Hallquist et al., 2009). BVOCs are emitted by plants primarily for defensive purposes (Dudareva et al., 2006; Kesselmeier and Staudt, 1999). BVOC emission rates and emission profiles (i.e., the types of compounds emitted) can change significantly when plants are exposed to biotic and abiotic stressors (Holopainen, 2004; Peñuelas and Staudt, 2010; Pinto et al., 2010). It follows then that plant stress exposure associated with climate change could have significant impacts on SOA formation, and thus could lead to a climate feedback because atmospheric aerosols play an important role in the global radiation budget.

Potential climate change feedbacks resulting from the processes linking naturally produced aerosols and the rest of the Earth system have been summarized by Carslaw et al. (2010). These processes include the production of secondary sulfate aerosol from phytoplankton emissions, physical processes that contribute to dust entrainment, and the formation of biogenic SOA from terrestrial plant emissions. Their simulations estimate that the radiative forcing resulting from these feedbacks could produce positive radiative perturbations up to 1 W m^{-2} by the end of the 21st century, amplifying the expected effects of climate change (Carslaw et al., 2010). Another review focused on feedbacks between the terrestrial biosphere and climate, and also included a discussion of the biogenic SOA formation process (Arneth et al., 2010). They estimated that climate feedbacks with the terrestrial biosphere could result in positive radiative perturbations of up to 1.5 W m^{-2} K^{-1} by the end of the 21st century. Both reviews make clear that more work is required to fully understand these feedbacks, stating that the current level of scientific understanding for them is “poor” (Carslaw et al., 2010) and “very low” (Arneth et al., 2010). Despite the uncertainty in these projections, the assessments

of both papers are in stark contrast to the previously held assumption that the overall contribution of vegetation to the changing climate system is to act as a sink for increasing CO2 (Magnani et al., 2007). Carslaw et al. (2010) listed several research topics that need to be addressed in order to reduce the uncertainty in these predictions; resolving BVOC responses to climate change stressors and investigating the subsequent impact on biogenic SOA formation was included as a high priority for future research projects.

Most studies of how BVOC emissions respond to stressors have focused solely on the BVOC emissions themselves. Using these results to infer overall impacts on climate requires highly uncertain assumptions about how different mixtures of BVOCs could impact SOA yields and chemical composition. A few studies have examined SOA formation from real plant emissions more directly. Joutsensaari et al. (2005) were the first to report SOA formation in a laboratory chamber from the oxidation of real plant emissions. They used a methyl jasmonate treatment to induce emissions in order to investigate the role of inducible plant volatiles in particle nucleation and growth. Other studies have focused on SOA production and chemical composition from BVOC emissions under baseline conditions, rather than looking at potential feedbacks between stressors and climate (Hao et al., 2011; Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009; Mentel et al., 2009; VanReken et al., 2006). Our own recent work showed that SOA can also form from BVOCs emitted from leaf litter, and that this aerosol is chemically very similar to SOA produced from live tree emissions (Faiola et al., 2014b). BVOC emissions from leaf litter were also found to respond to external environmental drivers, raising the possibility of additional pathways for climate feedbacks to occur.

Recently, there have been two studies that compared biogenic SOA yields for baseline emission versus stressed conditions. Lang-Yona et al. (2010) examined the effect of increased temperature on holm oak (*Quercus ilex*) emissions and subsequent SOA formation, finding that increased temperature led to heightened BVOC emissions and increased SOA production. The BVOC profile was slightly altered with increasing temperature, but this did not impact the resultant SOA mass yields. In another study, Mentel et al. (2013) investigated the impact of herbivory, drought, and heat stress on biogenic SOA yields. They found that the measured impact on SOA formation was different for different stressors. For example, infestation by the aphid *Cinara pilicornis* resulted in emissions of large organic compounds that had higher SOA yields than the baseline emissions (33% stress yield vs. 4-6% baseline yield). However, if the plants were experiencing both herbivory and drought stress concurrently, emissions of

small six-carbon green leaf volatiles increased, which reduced biogenic SOA yields. These results suggest that climate change could have significant impacts on biogenic SOA formation, and furthermore, that multiple stressors can interact to change the SOA formation potential of BVOC emissions in a different way than a single stressor in isolation. These previous plant stress SOA formation studies provide valuable insight into the potential impacts of climate change stressors on biogenic SOA yields. However, to date there have been no in-depth analyses to investigate how plant stress may affect biogenic SOA composition, which would have implications for aerosol radiative properties and cloud forming potential. The research described in this paper addresses these gaps in our current understanding of the variability in biogenic SOA composition—including a discussion of inter- and intra-plant species variability as well as a first look at some impacts of herbivore stress on biogenic SOA composition.

2 Methods

2.1 Description of dual chamber system and operation

The experiments presented here were performed using the Biogenic Aerosol Formation Facility at Washington State University. This dual chamber facility uses emissions from living vegetation as the precursor VOC source for SOA formation. This is in contrast to other systems that have historically used commercially obtained pure compounds as a proxy for biogenic emissions. The facility includes a dynamic plant enclosure and an aerosol growth chamber. The plant enclosure is a rectangular 0.3 m x 0.3 m x 0.3 m FEP Teflon film dynamic enclosure where sapling trees are stored. A full description of the plant enclosure and the on-line analytical gas chromatography (GC) system used to measure BVOC emissions is provided in a separate paper that focuses on the impacts of herbivory stress on plant emissions (Faiola et al., 2014a). The current paper focuses specifically on the composition of biogenic SOA formed from the oxidation of the plant emissions.

The aerosol growth chamber operation and SOA generation methods are similar to those described by Faiola et al. (2014b). Chamber dimensions were 1.6 m x 2.2 m x 2.2 m. All aerosol growth experiments were conducted with the chamber using a batch mode approach. Oxidation of SOA precursors was initiated with ozone that was generated with an Enaly model HG-1500 ozone generator. The chemistry in this chamber is best described as dark "ozone-initiated" chemistry because the chamber was not equipped with UV lights and no OH

scavenger was used. Most experiments were un-seeded. Experiments where 50-nm ammonium sulfate seed particles were used are marked with an asterisk in the experiment summary table (Table 1). When used, seed particles were produced from a TSI constant output atomizer (model 3076) and then size-selected with a differential mobility analyzer (DMA, TSI, Inc.). Temperature and relative humidity in the aerosol growth chamber were not controlled, but were monitored using a Vaisala HMP110 humidity and temperature probe. Nitrogen oxides were not measured, but the aerosol chamber likely contained some NOx due to soil emissions from the plant pots (Davidson and Kingerlee, 1997).

2.2 Tree description and experimental design

Six different coniferous plant species were used as emissions sources to generate biogenic SOA in this study: ponderosa pine (*Pinus ponderosa*), bristlecone pine (*Pinus aristata*), blue spruce (*Picea pungens*), western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), grand fir (*Abies grandis*), and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga mensiezii*). All tree species are commonly found in the western mountain ranges of North America. Saplings were 1-3 years old at the time of the experiments. All specimens were obtained from the University of Idaho forest nursery, and were stored outdoors at the Washington State University greenhouse facility when they were not being used for experiments. Greenhouse staff cared for the specimens, providing regular watering and fertilization.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: *s*

Plants were transported to the laboratory at least 36 hours before the first aerosol growth chamber experiment to allow time for acclimation to laboratory conditions. Three to nine saplings of the same species were placed in the plant enclosure (the number depended on the size of the plants). The only exceptions to this were four experiments performed using a combination of *Abies grandis* and *Pseudotsuga menziesii* specimens rather than just a single plant species (referred to as "mix" experiments). One day before an aerosol growth experiment, the aerosol growth chamber was cleaned with 1 ppm ozone and flushed with zero air for at least 18 hours until ozone concentrations were less than 20 ppb (Model 1008-PC ozone monitor, Dasibi) and particle number concentrations were less than 10 cm^{-3} (Model 3771 condensation particle counter, TSI, Inc.). Zero air was generated with a pure air generator (Aadco model 737). Chamber flushing was stopped on the morning of the experiment, at which point the chamber was operated in batch mode. Biogenic VOC emissions were pumped from the plant enclosure to the aerosol growth chamber for three hours (flow = 9.5 LPM) using a chemically resistant vacuum pump (KNF Laboport model

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: *s*

UN810 FTP) through PFA lines heated to 80 °C. Lines were heated to minimize losses of lower-volatility compounds. During chamber loading, a fan inside the chamber was used to facilitate mixing.

When VOC loading was complete, the oxidation chemistry was initiated by rapidly introducing 130 ppb ozone to the aerosol growth chamber. The mixing fan was turned off immediately following oxidant addition to reduce particle wall loss. Particle growth and composition were then monitored for the next 6-8 hours. This process was repeated with the same batch of trees twice in one week—once before treatment was applied and again after the treatment. The treatment was either a stress application or a negative control. Both treatments are described in detail in the next section. The time required to observe maximum plant response to treatment can vary (Copolovici et al., 2011). Consequently, some of the post-treatment aerosol growth experiments were performed the day after treatment and some were performed on the same day as the treatment.

A list of all experiments with the Experiment ID, date, and treatment approach is provided in Table 1. The naming convention for the Experiment ID is "plant species type" + "experiment number" + "experiment type". For example, "PA-1-Pre" stands for *Pinus aristata*, first experiment, pre-treatment and "PA-1-Post" stands for *Pinus aristata*, first experiment, post-treatment. One pre-treatment aerosol growth experiment performed with *Picea pungens* specimens on 14 May 2013 did not produce enough particle mass for AMS analysis, so it has been removed from this table and will not be considered further. There was also one SOA growth experiment performed that used a single-component standard, methyl jasmonate (MeJA), as the precursor compound to generate SOA, rather than using real plant emissions. For this experiment, a 95% MeJA standard solution (Sigma-Aldrich part #392707-5ML) was introduced into the aerosol growth chamber using a dynamic dilution system (Faiola et al., 2012).

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

2.3 Stress treatment

Herbivory stress was simulated by exposing plants to MeJA. This compound is a plant stress hormone with chemical formula $C_{13}H_{20}O_3$ that is used in plant-plant communication for defensive purposes (Cheong and Choi, 2003). Plants emit MeJA into the gas-phase, where it induces the jasmonic acid defense pathway in neighboring plants (Farmer and Ryan, 1990)—a biochemical pathway that leads to changes in the VOC compounds produced and emitted

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate (

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:). Methyl jasmonate

from those plants. Consequently, exposing plants to MeJA alters BVOC emission rates, their chemical profile, and their concentrations in storage pools (Martin et al., 2003; Rodriguez-Saona et al., 2001). For the 2012 experiments, MeJA was introduced using an exogenous treatment where 20 µL of a 9:1 diluted ethanol:MeJA solution was applied to a cotton swab and placed in the biogenic emissions enclosure with the plants, following the methods of Rodriguez-Saona et al. (2001). The 2013 experiments used a foliar application of 10 mM MeJA in nanopure water, following the approach of Martin et al. (2003). The plant foliage was sprayed with 200 mL of this solution. The negative control treatment was a foliar application of 200 mL of nanopure water rather than the MeJA solution.

The revised MeJA treatment employed in 2013 was intended to promote a maximal herbivory stress response. The goal was to allow us to investigate an upper limit of the potential impacts of herbivory on biogenic SOA composition, something that has not been reported previously. The foliar MeJA stress treatment elevates BVOC emissions and typically leads to much larger mass loadings relative to the pre-treatment experiments. Importantly, the purpose of these experiments was not to quantify changes to the amount of SOA formed under stressed conditions. Rather, this research seeks to fill in current gaps in knowledge by investigating changes to biogenic SOA composition due to stress.

A number of the post-treatment aerosol growth experiments were performed the same day as the foliar MeJA application. In these cases, MeJA solution remained present on the plants in the plant chamber while the aerosol chamber was being loaded. The vapor pressure of MeJA at 23 °C is 1.28 x 10^{-4} mmHg (Acevedo et al., 2003), which corresponds to an effective saturation concentration (C*) of 1500 µg m^{-3}. This puts MeJA at the lower end of the intermediate volatility range (C* range of 1000-100,000 µg m^{-3}) approaching the semi-volatile range (C* range of 0.1-1000 µg m^{-3}) (Robinson et al., 2007). To compare, the vapor pressure of alpha-pinene, a typical monoterpene, is four orders of magnitude greater, nearly 3 mmHg at 20 °C. Even with MeJA's low vapor pressure, some of the compound sprayed on the trees would volatilize and be subsequently pumped into the aerosol growth chamber. This MeJA could act as an SOA precursor in addition to the VOC emissions from the plant. Consequently, there are two types of post-treatment SOA in these experiments: pure plant emission post-treatment SOA and plant emission + MeJA post-treatment SOA. This latter SOA could still be considered a type of stress SOA because plants do emit significant quantities of plant hormones in forests when exposed to stressed conditions (Karl et al.,

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: for methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

2008). The role of plant hormones in SOA formation has typically been ignored in plant SOA experiments. Recently, Richards-Henderson et al. (2014) demonstrated that aqueous phase oxidation of MeJA had an SOA mass yield of 68%, suggesting that this is a compound that warrants further investigation.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: is

2.4 Analytical instrumentation

SOA particle number size distributions were measured with a scanning mobility particle sizer (SMPS, custom built with major components from TSI, Inc.) described previously by Faiola et al. (2014b) and Mwaniki et al. (2014). Aerosol mass spectra were continuously measured using a high resolution time-of-flight aerosol mass spectrometer (HR-AMS, Aerodyne Research, Inc.) described in detail elsewhere (Canagaratna et al., 2007; DeCarlo et al., 2006). Briefly, the HR-AMS collimates sub-micron particles into a narrow beam with an aerodynamic lens. The particle beam is directed onto a vaporizer plate held at 600 °C that volatilizes all non-refractory components. The volatilized fragments are then ionized with a tungsten filament with 70 eV electron impact ionization. These mass fragments are introduced to a Tofwerk high-resolution time-of-flight mass spectrometer where they are separated by size and quantified. The HR-AMS was operated with 1-minute to 4.5-minute sample averaging, alternating between general mass spectrometer (MS) mode and particle time-of-flight (p-ToF) mode. Only v-mode data were used in this study because pre-treatment experiments often did not have sufficient signal for w-mode data to be used. Ionization efficiency calibrations were performed using the brute force single particle technique with monodisperse ammonium nitrate particles generated with a constant output atomizer (TSI Model 3076).

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: microphysical properties

2.5 AMS data analysis

The goal of this research was to compare the aerosol mass spectra between SOA formed from the oxidation of emissions from different types of trees and between SOA formed under pre-treatment vs. post-treatment conditions. In the past, unit mass resolution (UMR) data from the Aerodyne HR-AMS has been normalized to the sum of the organic mass to compare spectra between different experiments with different mass loadings (Sage et al., 2008). One way these UMR spectra can be quantitatively compared is to calculate the square of the Pearson correlation coefficient (r^2), called the coefficient of determination, between the two spectra (Kiendler-Scharr et al., 2009). Using this approach, Kiendler-Scharr and colleagues observed

clear differences between biogenic SOA and other types of organic aerosol including biomass burning organic aerosol (r^2 = 0.44-0.51), diesel exhaust organic aerosol (r^2 = 0.44-0.51), and ambient hydrocarbon-like organic aerosol in Pittsburgh (r^2 = 0.16-0.41). For the comparisons presented here, only those m/z that contributed to 90% of the HR-AMS UMR organic signal in any of the experiments was used to calculate the correlations. The m/z values used in the UMR analysis are listed in the supplemental section.

The composition of organic aerosol can also be described through the use of elemental analysis (Aiken et al., 2008). Results of such analyses are presented on a Van Krevelen diagram with axes of hydrogen to carbon (H:C) and oxygen to carbon (O:C) ratios. In general, laboratory SOA generation studies produce aerosol that is less oxidized than those found in the ambient atmosphere (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). However, laboratory chamber studies have also shown a wide variability in elemental ratios that are dependent on the precursor compounds used to generate the aerosol (Chhabra et al., 2010; Ng et al., 2010). Consequently, differences in the precursor compounds from different sources of BVOCs (e.g., different trees, or pre-treatment versus post-treatment emissions, or the presence of near semi-volatile plant hormones) could produce differences in biogenic SOA composition that would occupy different locations in Van Krevelen space.

Some of the baseline aerosol growth experiments had low HR-AMS signal (<10 μg m^{-3} of organic aerosol). Consequently, the high-resolution data was screened to ensure adequate signal-to-noise, *s/n*, for further HR analysis. All elemental ratios presented from the HR analysis had a relative standard deviation less than 10%. For the experiments with low *s/n*, elemental ratios of O:C and H:C were parameterized with unit-mass resolution (UMR) data, using the fractions of m/z 44 (f_{44}) and of m/z 43 (f_{43}) to the total organic signal as described by Aiken et al. (2008) and Ng et al. (2011), respectively. The approach used to calculate elemental ratios (UMR vs. HR) for each experiment is summarized in Table 2 along with other important experimental conditions. Substantial revisions to the Aiken et al. (2008) approach to elemental analysis have recently been proposed by Canagaratna et al. (2015). These revisions have not been incorporated into this analysis. A major motivation for performing elemental analysis in this work was to compare with previously published results, which used the earlier methods. Another objective was to compare results between the different experiments conducted here; the new approach would affect all ratios similarly and thus would not influence the conclusions from these comparisons. Other technical

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: have been

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: here: 12, 13, 15, 17, 18, 25, 26, 27, 29, 30, 31, 37, 38, 41, 42, 43, 44, 45, 50, 51, 52, 53, 54, 55, 56, 57, 58, 59, 62, 63, 64, 65, 66, 67, 68, 69, 70, 71, 72, 73, 74, 77, 78, 79, 80, 81, 82, 83, 84, 85, 89, 91, 92, 93, 94, 95, 96, 97, 98, 99, 100, 101, 103, 105, 106, 107, 108, 109, 110, 111, 113, 114, 115, 117, 119, 120, 121, 123, 125, 127, 129, 131, 134, 135, 137, 152, 155, 157, 202

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: To perform HR-AMS data analysis, it is necessary to carefully correct the particle signals that have significant air interferences. To account for some of these interferences, HEPA particle filters were placed in the sampling line at the beginning and end of each experiment for a minimum of ten runs. Using these filter runs, adjustments were made to the UMR fragmentation table for m/z 15, 16, 29, and 44 and to the high resolution (HR) fragmentation table for ions $15N^+$, O^+, and CO_2^+. When performing elemental analysis, extra care is required to identify and remove additional interferences for a few HR ions (Aiken et al., 2008). For example, the HR ions at O^+, HO^+, and H_2O^+ are produced from organic material in particles, but also have significant interferences with particulate water. Particulate water was reduced by placing a gas sample dryer (Perma Pure, model MD-110) along the inlet, but it is very difficult to eliminate all particulate water. Consequently, the organic particle contribution to these signals was constrained using the organic particle CO_2^+ signal as suggested by Aiken et al. (2008).

considerations related to the HR data analysis are described in more detail in the supplementary information.

We cannot rule out the presence of NOx in the reaction chamber because the plant chamber contained saplings potted in soil — microbial activity in soil can be a source of NOx. While NOx was not measured directly, we could observe that the contribution of nitrogen-containing peaks to total organic signal was low— N:C ratios ranged from 0.004 to 0.011. Consequently, the nitrogen-containing signals were not the focus of the analysis presented in this paper.

3 Results and discussion

Our analysis of the SOA composition in these experiments show definite inter- and intra-species variability, but the differences are generally subtle. In this section, we first present the paired pre-treatment and negative control experiments to demonstrate the reproducibility of the chamber system and provide context for the variability that was observed in other experiments. Next, a summary of all experiments is presented with a discussion of the inter- and intra-species variation, followed by a discussion of the post-treatment aerosol spectra. In this section, we present the first aerosol mass spectra generated from SOA produced via the gas-phase oxidation of the plant hormone, MeJA. Finally, we present results of the SOA elemental analysis using a Van Krevelen plot and discuss the inter-species variability along with implications for stress effects on SOA composition.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: control summary

3.1 Negative controls

Three sets of paired pre-treatment/negative control experiments were performed for which AMS measurements are available- one with grand fir (*Abies grandis*), one with western redcedar (*Thuja plicata*), and one with a mix of grand fir (*Abies grandis*) and Douglas-fir (*Pseudotsuga menziesii*). Negative controls refer to experiments where the plants were sprayed with water instead of the MeJA solution. Scatter plots comparing the normalized UMR organic spectra between the pre-treatment SOA and the corresponding paired negative control SOA are shown in Figure 1. The signal at m/z 28 was removed to avoid air interferences in the UMR spectra comparisons. The coefficient of determination (r^2) for each comparison is shown, calculated from the square of the Pearson product moment correlation coefficient. All paired negative control experiments were very similar, with r^2 greater than or equal to 0.990. The reproducibility of the high correlations between these paired experiments suggest that any correlations less than 0.99 that were observed in other experiments do truly

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: *s*

reflect differences in SOA mass spectra. Based on these results, we considered any correlations lower than 0.90 to indicate potentially noteworthy differences between SOA mass spectra.

3.2 UMR comparisons

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Summary of

Correlations (r^2) comparing SOA organic UMR spectra from all biogenic aerosol growth experiments are summarized in Figure 2. Correlations ranged from 0.503 to 0.999. In general, the pre-treatment aerosol mass spectra from all tree types had higher correlation values with respect to each other than they did with respect to post-treatment aerosol mass spectra. One pre-treatment experiment, AG-1-Pre (#9), stands out clearly with lower correlation values when compared to all other spectra. During this experiment, plants may have been exposed to an unidentified stress before transport to the laboratory (Faiola et al., 2014a). This pre-treatment experiment will be referred to as the "UNID stress" experiment and will be discussed in detail in a later section. Other than the AG-1-Pre spectra, all other pre-treatment SOA spectra had correlations ranging from 0.806-0.997 when compared to each other across all tree types.

Most of the weakest correlations (excluding the AG-1-Pre spectra) were found between comparisons that included the 2013 post-treatment experiments (#21-30 in Figure 2). Specifically, the following experiments had the lowest correlations when compared to other SOA spectra: AG-2-Post (#23), TP-1-Post (#24), TP-3-Post (#25), PM-1-Post (#28), PM-2-Post (#29), and Mix-1-Post (#30). This list includes all the experiments where the MeJA treatment and the aerosol growth experiment occurred on the same day (Table 1). In contrast, when these six aerosol spectra were compared to one another, each comparison had r^2 greater than or equal to 0.95. This suggests that the MeJA and its oxidation products may have contributed substantially to SOA formation. This hypothesis and its environmental implications are explored in detail in a later section on MeJA SOA.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

To further investigate trends in the SOA spectra correlations, all comparisons were classified by the type of comparison and binned into six different ranges of correlation values: <0.6000, 0.6000-0.6999, 0.7000-0.7999, 0.8000-0.8999, 0.9000-0.9499, and 0.9500-0.9999. The results of this analysis are presented in Figure 3. The top bar in the figure shows the results from all types of biogenic SOA comparisons using real plant emission as the VOC precursor for SOA formation (N=561 total comparisons). This classification did not include any comparisons

with the MeJA standard SOA spectrum. Nearly 50% of all comparisons with biogenic SOA had an r^2 greater than or equal to 0.90. The rest of the comparison types were organized reading top to bottom from highest to lowest number of correlations that fell within the 0.9500-0.9999 correlation bin. Comparisons between experiments performed different years and comparisons with the standard MeJA spectrum are discussed in the supplementary material (Figure S-2).

All three comparisons of the paired pre-treatment/negative control SOA spectra were in the highest correlation bin with r^2 greater than or equal to 0.95. The fifteen comparisons between the post-treatment SOA spectra where the MeJA treatment occurred the same day as the SOA growth experiment (SD, PostT) were all greater than or equal to 0.90. The pre-treatment SOA comparisons were more heavily weighted toward the higher correlation values than the "all comparisons" category, with nearly 80% of the r^2 values greater than or equal to 0.90. Additionally, the pre-treatment SOA spectra were more similar to one another than the post-treatment spectra were to one another. This suggests there was more variability in VOC emissions post-treatment than there was pre-treatment between the different tree types. The negative control spectra tended to be more similar to the pre-treatment SOA than the post-treatment SOA with nearly 80% of comparisons with r^2 greater than or equal to 0.90 for the former and only ~30% of comparisons with r^2 greater than or equal to 0.90 for the latter. SOA spectra from the same tree type were more heavily weighted toward the higher correlation bins than SOA spectra generated from different tree types.

Thirteen paired pre-treatment/post-treatment experiments were performed. Six of these had GC-MS-FID data available to investigate whether or not a plant response to the stress treatment had occurred. For several of the intended pre-treatment/post-treatment comparisons, there were no differences in the BVOC profile between the pre- and post-treatment experiment. These paired experiments were excluded from our comparisons after also verifying that the stress treatment had not produced any significant differences in the SOA mass spectra (PPo-2, r^2=0.92; PPu-2, r^2=0.98; PA-3, r^2=0.97). All other pre-treatment/post-treatment comparisons were included in the analysis even if the BVOC profile only changed minimally after treatment (PPo-1) or if there were confounding winter dormancy effects on emissions (PA-1). All of the comparisons without GC data to confirm plant stress response were included in the analysis. Eight of the ten remaining pre-treatment/post-treatment comparisons had r^2 between 0.7-0.8999, substantially lower than the negative control spectra

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: The bottom three bars show results from

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate spectra.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Additionally, SOA spectra generated the same year were more similar to one another than SOA generated in different years. We hypothesize that some of difference between years could be attributed to differences in tree types and tree maturity from 2012 to 2013. In the 2012 experiments, only *Pinus ponderosa* and *Pinus aristata* were used and they were 2-3 years of age at the time of the experiments. In contrast, in 2013 all tree types except for *Pinus ponderosa* were used and most of the experiments were performed with trees that were 1 year of age.

comparisons. This suggests there were small, but possibly significant, differences between the SOA generated under the baseline emissions scenario and the SOA generated under the herbivore-stress emissions scenario. A potential plant stress AMS 'marker' in the post-treatment SOA is discussed further in Section 3.4.2.

The weakest correlations between biogenic SOA spectra (excluding the MeJA single-component standard spectra comparisons) were observed for comparisons with the UNID stress SOA from experiment AG-1-Pre (#9). All SOA spectra comparisons with the UNID stress spectrum had correlations less than 0.90 and nearly 80% of the comparisons had r^2 less than 0.70. Due to the dissimilar nature of this SOA spectrum relative to others, we have included a detailed description of the spectral characteristics of this SOA in the following section (Section 3.3).

3.3 Post-treatment aerosol mass spectra

Thirteen post-treatment SOA experiments were completed for this study. Of those, three were performed in 2012 with the exogenous MeJA treatment. The PPo-1 experiment exhibited small, likely insignificant, differences between the pre- and post-treatment SOA that could have been due to natural variation in plant emissions. The BVOC profile indicated that any stress response was weak if it existed at all. The other two experiments performed in 2012 may have had a confounding stress effect due to pulling the plants out of dormancy. For these reasons, the 2012 post-treatment experiments will not be the focus of this discussion of post-treatment SOA here. Of the ten post-treatment SOA experiments performed in 2013 with the foliar MeJA application, four were performed the day after treatment and six were performed the same day as treatment.

The four experiments that were performed the day after MeJA treatment were PPu-1-Post, PPu-2-Post, AG-1-Post, and PA-3-Post. Based on the BVOC profiles, none of the post-treatment experiments where the treatment was performed on a different day than the aerosol growth experiment would work as good candidates for identifying a biogenic stress SOA marker in the AMS spectra. The AG-1-Post SOA spectrum was discussed in detail in the previous section, showing confounding effects on the SOA spectra from an apparent unidentified stress exposure prior to transporting the plants to the laboratory. The PPu-1-Post and PPu-2-Post both appear to be representative of a stress condition for *Picea pungens* based on the BVOC profiles presented in Faiola et al. (2014a). The stress response for the PPu-1

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: <#>A closer look at *Abies grandis* (grand fir) SOA

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 10:55 PM
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

experiment in particular was very high. However, the PPu-1-Pre experiment did not produce enough SOA mass to perform AMS analysis, so there is no baseline *Picea pungens* SOA spectra for comparison. The BVOC results from the PPu-2-Pre experiment suggest these plants may also have been stressed before being brought into the laboratory; their BVOC profile closely resembled the post-treatment *Picea pungens* BVOC profile from the previous experiment. So, no baseline *Picea pungens* SOA spectra were acquired for comparison with the post-treatment SOA spectra for these two experiments. Finally, no stress response was observed during the PA-3 experiment based on the BVOC profile. Consequently, this post-treatment SOA spectrum could not be used to identify a stress biogenic SOA marker either.

The remaining six post-treatment SOA spectra were AG-2-Post, PM-1-Post, PM-2-Post, TP-1-Post, TP-3-Post, and Mix-1-Post. Where BVOC data was available (PM-2 and TP-3), it suggested there was an identifiable plant stress response due to the foliar MeJA stress treatment (Faiola et al., 2014a). These six spectra also stand out distinctly on the correlation summary figure because they had lower correlations with other spectra than observed for most of the other SOA spectra comparisons (Figure 2). However, the influence of the MeJA and its oxidation products needs to be accounted for when interpreting these spectra. A discussion of these results is provided in the next section.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

3.3.1 Methyl jasmonate (MeJA) SOA

The aerosol mass spectrum of SOA generated from the oxidation of the single-component MeJA standard is shown in Figure 4. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first description of SOA generated from the plant hormone, MeJA, from ozone-initiated gas-phase oxidation. The dominant fragments in the normalized mass spectrum were m/z 28, 29, and 44. The standard MeJA SOA had more of the highly oxidized m/z 44 and less m/z 43 than observed in typical biogenic SOA generated from chamber experiments. Additionally, there were small, but observable, peaks at m/z 131 and m/z 157 that were not typical of the other biogenic SOA spectra generated in the work presented here. The lowest correlations between all SOA spectra acquired throughout these experiments were observed between biogenic SOA generated from real plant emissions and SOA derived from the oxidation of the MeJA single-component standard. This is shown in the bottom three horizontal bars on Figure S-2 in the supplementary material. The most similar spectra to the MeJA standard were those from the post-treatment SOA where treatment was applied the same day as the SOA growth experiment (SD, PostT). However, even these correlations were all less than or equal to

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: 6

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: was

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: 3

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

0.8999. All other comparisons between biogenic SOA spectra and single-component MeJA standard spectra had r^2 less than 0.80.

The possible influence of MeJA and its oxidation products on SOA composition could have significant atmospheric implications because plant hormones can be emitted from forests at rates as high as monoterpenoids when plants experience stressed conditions in the natural environment (Karl et al., 2008). For the experiments where the MeJA foliar application occurred on the same day as the aerosol growth experiments (referred to herein as 'Same-Day' experiments), the estimated amount of MeJA vapor transported to the aerosol growth chamber was between 30-70% of the total monoterpenoid concentrations. This value was estimated based on the saturation vapor pressure of MeJA, with the range reflecting variations in monoterpenoid emission rates from experiment to experiment.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

3.3.2 Corrected 2013 'Same-Day' post-treatment SOA

The relative contribution of MeJA to the six 'Same-Day' post-treatment SOA spectra was estimated by generating a series of linear combinations of different relative amounts of the normalized pre-treatment SOA spectra and the normalized MeJA standard SOA spectra. For each series, an optimized linear combination was determined based on identifying the combination spectra that had the strongest correlation with the paired post-treatment SOA spectra. The results of this analysis for all six 'Same-Day' experiments are presented in Figure 5. In each of the six experiments, the optimized linear addition spectrum occurred when the contribution of the pre-treatment spectrum was between 40 and 60% of the combination spectrum. Thus, MeJA and its oxidation products were estimated to contribute between 60 and 40% of the SOA mass in the 'Same-Day' post-treatment spectra. The optimized combination spectrum was then subtracted from the normalized post-treatment spectra to define a "residual spectrum" for each experiment. This residual should be more representative of the influence of stress-induced emissions on post-treatment spectra, having removed the presumed direct effect of the MeJA present.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: 7

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

All six of these residual spectra are shown in Figure 6. Only the positive values are shown to focus on the m/z fragments that were remaining after subtracting off the optimized linear addition of the paired pre-treatment and MeJA standard spectra. The residual spectra were generally very similar to one another with r^2>0.90 for most comparisons. The residual TP-3-Post was an exception to this with correlations ranging from 0.32-0.70 with the other residual

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: 8

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

spectra. The strongest contributions across the residual spectra were at m/z 26, 27, 29, 31, 57, 58, 59, 71, and 83. Many of these are consistent with the most enhanced fragments described earlier from the stress response spectra comparing the paired AG-1-Pre and AG-1-Post spectra (m/z 26, 27, 31, and 58). The AG-1-Post experiment was conducted the day following foliar MeJA treatment rather than on the same day as MeJA, so the contribution of MeJA and its oxidation products to SOA mass should have been minimal. This further supports the hypothesis that enhanced m/z 31 and 58 are associated with a biogenic stress response. It is also worth noting that m/z 29 was the largest fragment in each of the six residual spectra, and specifically that the HR ion $C_2H_5^+$ at m/z 29 was increased more significantly than the other major HR ion at m/z 29, CHO^+. Other larger HR ions found prominently in the residual spectra were $C_3H_5O^+$ (m/z 57), $C_2H_3O_2^+$ and $C_3H_7O^+$ (m/z 59), $C_3H_3O_2^+$ and $C_4H_7O^+$ (m/z 71), and $C_4H_3O_2^+$, $C_5H_7O^+$, and $C_6H_{11}^+$ (m/z 83). At m/z 83, the $C_5H_7O^+$ was the most enhanced HR ion. The potential enhancement of these ions due to biogenic stress response merits further targeted investigation.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: <#>Elemental analysis summary

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 10:55 PM
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

3.4 A closer look at *Abies grandis* (grand fir) SOA

Three paired sets of aerosol growth experiments were performed with *Abies grandis* emissions: two pre-treatment/foliar MeJA treatment experiments (AG-1 and AG-2) and one pre-treatment/negative control experiment (AG-3). The negative control results were presented in Section 3.1. BVOC measurements were collected during aerosol growth chamber loading for AG-1, but not for the other two sets of experiments due to a GC instrument malfunction. In the companion paper, we hypothesized that the *Abies grandis* saplings used in experiment AG-1 had been exposed to an unidentified external stress outdoors where they were being stored before being transported to the laboratory chamber (Faiola et al., 2014a). Consequently, this is one of the only experiments where emissions actually decreased after MeJA treatment relative to the pre-treatment value. This experiment provided an opportunity to investigate the effects of a naturally-elicited stressor on BVOC emissions and biogenic SOA composition in contrast to stress elicited from plant hormone application. Furthermore, despite the presence of an external stressor, the MeJA treatment still induced emissions of 1,8-cineol and terpinolene allowing us to investigate the impact of multiple stressors. This scenario is representative of an environmentally-relevant case because the presence of multiple stressors in the natural environment is likely the rule rather than the exception. The

BVOC profiles during aerosol chamber loading for experiment AG-1 are shown in supplementary information (Figure S-3).

The correlation between the AG-2-Pre SOA mass spectrum and the AG-3-Pre spectrum was very strong, with an r^2 of 0.97. The AG-1-Pre SOA spectrum was less similar to the other two *Abies grandis* pre-treatment SOA spectra with r^2 values of 0.66 (vs AG-2-Pre) and 0.80 (vs AG-3-Pre). The aerosol mass spectra for AG-1-Pre, AG-2-Pre, and AG-2-Post are shown in Figure 7 to highlight some of the m/z contributing to the differences between the SOA spectra. The AG-1-Pre SOA spectrum has a significant cluster of peaks present around m/z 200 that were not observed in any other aerosol mass spectra including the other SOA spectra produced from *Abies grandis* emissions. This evidence further supports the hypothesis that the AG-1-Pre spectra was not representative of a "typical *Abies grandis* SOA baseline" and that these plants had been exposed to an unidentified stressor. The AG-2-Pre spectrum is more representative of a typical baseline SOA spectrum. The mass spectrum of SOA generated from alpha-pinene dark ozonolysis is shown in the supplementary material (Figure S-4, Bahreini et al., 2005) for comparison with the baseline biogenic SOA spectrum presented in this paper.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: reactions

To investigate differences in the relative m/z enhancements and reductions generated under the unidentified stress condition and the MeJA stress condition, AG-2-Pre was selected to use as a "typical *Abies grandis* baseline spectrum" for comparison. A stress response plot was generated for both the unidentified stress effect and MeJA stress effect (Figure 8). The unidentified stress response was calculated by subtracting the normalized spectrum of the AG-2-Pre experiment (baseline *Abies grandis* SOA) from the normalized spectrum of the AG-1-Pre experiment (unidentified stress SOA). The MeJA stress response was calculated by subtracting the normalized spectra of the same AG-2-Pre experiment (baseline *Abies grandis* SOA) from its paired post-treatment MeJA stress experiment, AG-2-Post (MeJA post-treatment SOA). The changes to the m/z profile were substantially different between the two stress scenarios. The MeJA SOA stress response spectrum demonstrated the most enhanced m/z values at 15, 26, 27, 29, 31, 57, 58, 59, 71, and 97. The relative contribution of m/z 43 was reduced. Recall that these spectra have been normalized to the sum of total organics so a negative value in the stress response spectra does not necessarily mean that the fragment was inhibited. Rather, it demonstrates only that the relative contribution to the total has been reduced. The fragment at m/z 43 is frequently the highest organic fragment in chamber SOA

(Chhabra et al., 2010), so it is not unexpected that any increases in other fragments will produce a decrease in the relative contribution of m/z 43. The fragments most enhanced in the unidentified stress response spectrum were different and included 41, 65-69, 77, 79, 81, 91, 93, 95, 105, 109, 117, 119 and 202.

The relative enhancement of most of these m/z values in the unidentified stress response spectrum could be explained by the partitioning of less oxidized compounds. For example, the two m/z series 77, 79, 81 and 91, 93, 95 are due to enhancements of the HR ions $C_6H_5^+$, $C_6H_7^+$, $C_6H_9^+$ and $C_7H_7^+$, $C_7H_9^+$, $C_7H_{11}^+$ respectively. Compare this to the most enhanced HR ions in the MeJA stress spectrum, which included CHO^+, $C_2H_5^+$, CH_3O^+, $C_2HO_2^+$, $C_3H_5O^+$, $C_4H_9^+$, $C_2H_2O_2^+$, $C_3H_6O^+$, $C_2H_3O_2^+$, $C_3H_7O^+$. $C_3H_3O_2^+$, $C_4H_7O^+$, $C_5H_5O_2^+$, $C_6H_9O^+$, and $C_7H_{13}^+$. This list contains many more oxidized fragments than the enhanced HR ions in the unidentified stress response spectrum.

The weaker presence of oxidized HR ions in the unidentified stress SOA spectra could be the result of two possibilities or, possibly more likely, a combination of the two explanations. One explanation is that the unidentified stress induced emissions of large hydrocarbons, which produced a higher proportion of larger, less oxidized fragments in the spectra. This cause is suggested by the cluster of peaks greater than m/z 200, particularly at m/z 202, in the AG-1-Pre spectrum that were not observed in any of the other spectra. The HR ion identified here was $C_{16}H_{10}^+$, a large un-oxidized fragment that could have originated from a large stress-induced hydrocarbon BVOC emission. The compounds that contributed to these large m/z fragments were not detected by the GC system so they cannot be positively identified here. However, large 16-carbon and 18-carbon compounds have been identified following herbivory stress in other studies (De Boer et al., 2004; Mentel et al., 2013).

Another possibility is that the amount of ozone added to the chamber was not sufficient to fully oxidize these particles to the same extent as other experiments because the plant VOC emissions were so high. 114 ppb of ozone was added at the start of the experiment and it had fallen to 9 ppb by the end (Table 2). With the high organic particle loadings generated in this experiment (> 500 μg m^{-3}) it is possible that some of these larger emissions and their oxidation products were able to partition to the particle phase in a less oxidized state than would normally occur under lower mass loadings (Kroll and Seinfeld, 2008). Thus, the higher emissions generated a large amount of overall organic particle mass, and the combination of

the presence of larger, less volatile emissions (and their oxidation products) and an oxidant-limited system promoted the partitioning of less oxidized components to the particle phase.

The correlations between the two paired pre-treatment/post-treatment *Abies grandis* SOA spectra were 0.86 and 0.77 for AG-1 and AG-2, respectively (Figure 2). Thus, despite the presence of an unidentified stressor under pre-treatment conditions, the stress treatment still produced some small differences between the pre-treatment and post-treatment SOA spectra in the AG-1 experiment. This is consistent with the BVOC emission profile where emissions of 1,8-cineol were induced after treatment and the relative contribution of beta-myrcene, limonene, and terpenolene increased (supplemental information Figure S-3). Five of the top ten most enhanced fragments between the AG-1-Post and AG-1-Pre spectra were also observed in the top 10 most enhanced fragments between the AG-2-Post and AG-2-Pre spectra: m/z 15, 26, 27, 31, and 58. The dominant HR ions corresponding to m/z 15, 26, and 27 were CH_3^+, $C_2H_2^+$, and $C_2H_3^+$. These ions are not very specific and could be generated from many organic compounds, so it is unlikely that they alone will provide help in identification of an AMS mass spectral biotic stress SOA 'marker'. The dominant HR ions at m/z 31 and 58 were CH_3O^+, $C_2H_2O_2^+$, $C_3H_6O^+$. These ions could provide a little more insight into precursors contributing to their presence in the SOA spectra, and could possibly be the start to identifying AMS markers for biogenic stress SOA. This will be discussed further in the following sections while looking at more examples of the post-treatment SOA spectra in detail.

3.5 Elemental analysis

A summary of the elemental analysis results for all pre-treatment SOA and negative control SOA is shown in Figure 9a. This figure illustrates the inter-plant variation in biogenic SOA composition. One clear outlier was the SOA generated in experiment AG-1-Pre—the unidentified stress (UNID Stress) experiment that was discussed previously. All H:C ratios were similar (~1.5) throughout the pre-treatment experiments. This is consistent with expected H:C ratios for SOA generated from biogenic precursors (Chhabra et al., 2010). In contrast, the O:C ratios varied between different tree types. In fact, the elemental analysis results demonstrated a higher level of variability between pre-treatment SOA than was expected from the UMR correlation coefficient analysis. This could partially be caused by the exclusion of m/z 28 in the UMR analysis. The CO^+ ion was accounted for in the elemental analysis but not in the UMR analysis. The contribution from organics at m/z 28 was a

substantial fraction of the total signal and is commonly estimated to be around the same magnitude as m/z 44—a significant peak for all of these spectra contributing between 4-10% of total organic signal.

Most pre-treatment SOA had an O:C within the range of 0.3-0.38. However, there were some exceptions. Specifically, the *Pinus aristata* SOA had a higher O:C on average than other pre-treatment biogenic SOA generated from emissions of other tree types with O:C ranging from 0.39-0.47. Similarly, one pre-treatment *Picea pungens* experiment and one pre-treatment Mix experiment generated biogenic SOA with higher O:C values than the average. The pre-treatment SOA from the *Picea pungens* emissions could have been more representative of a stress condition based on the BVOC emission profile—stress emissions of 1,8-cineol and beta-ocimene were measured (Faiola et al., 2014a). A second pre-treatment Mix experiment was performed and produced SOA with a much lower O:C than the first, so the high O:C results from the pre-treatment Mix emissions were not reproducible. Two of the three negative control SOA had some of the lowest O:C ratios that were measured (excluding the UNID stress experiment). The *Thuja plicata* negative control had substantially higher O:C than the others, but it was very similar to the other pre-treatment *Thuja plicata* experiments.

A summary of the elemental analysis results from all paired pre- and post-treatment experiments where a plant stress response was observed is presented in Figure 9b. The pre-treatment SOA that had a paired post-treatment experiment where a stress response was observed had O:C that ranged from 0.32-0.41 (excluding the unidentified stress experiment) or 0.32-0.37 if the possible Mix SOA outlier is excluded as well. The paired post-treatment SOA had O:C that ranged from 0.42-0.46. For all experiments, the MeJA SOA shifted the O:C ratio to higher values relative to the paired pre-treatment SOA. Each of these post-treatment experiments were performed the same day as treatment except for the *Abies grandis* unidentified + MeJA stress experiment (AG-1-Post). The unidentified stress post-treatment experiment resulted in an increase of O:C from 0.19 in the pre-treatment SOA to 0.29 in the post-treatment SOA. This effect could have been due to the stress treatment or it could have been due to the unidentified stress waning after the trees were transported to the laboratory—the post-treatment O:C was still not as high as most pre-treatment SOA.

For all the 'Same-Day' post-treatment experiments, the increased O:C could be due to the oxidation products of the plant hormone, MeJA. The elemental ratios from the SOA generated from the oxidation of the single-component MeJA standard are also shown in Figure 9b in

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

black. Expected elemental ratios calculated from the optimized linear addition of the baseline spectra and the MeJA standard spectra yielded elemental ratios that were within 10% of those measured for the paired post-treatment experiment (for same day treatment/growth experiment only). This suggests that most of the increase in the O:C may have been due to the MeJA and its oxidation products rather than the influence of specific stress compounds in the SOA spectra. However, the pre-treatment *Picea pungens* experiment where the plants appeared to be in a stressed condition also had higher O:C in approximately the same Van Krevelen space as these other post-treatment SOA. This suggests there are compounds other than MeJA and its oxidation products that could also produce SOA in this region of the Van Krevelen plot.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

3.6 Results summary

The number of experiments and types of tree species examined in this study has provided a rich, but complex, data set. When experiments are grouped into categories by common characteristics, clear patterns emerge in the data. First, we find that the SOA generation methods used in this study were highly reproducible as evidenced by results from the three paired pre-treatment/negative control experiments where all SOA spectra comparisons produced correlations greater than 0.990. These results put all other comparisons in context and suggest that any correlations less than 0.90 do truly represent a difference between SOA mass spectra.

Most of the pre-treatment SOA generated from emissions of all tree species had very similar UMR SOA spectra with nearly 80% of all pre-treatment SOA comparisons having an r^2 greater than 0.90. This result, when combined with the diversity in pre-treatment monoterpenoid emission profiles from these trees presented in Faiola et al. (2014a), suggests that aerosol mass spectra of biogenic SOA formed from ozone-initiated chemistry under baseline conditions all look very similar even with a different mix of monoterpenes used to generate the SOA. These results are consistent with findings presented by Kiendler-Scharr et al. (2009) who found similar AMS characteristics between biogenic SOA generated from the emissions of different types of plant species. In contrast, results from HR data analysis showed a higher degree of variability between pre-treatment biogenic SOA with O:C values ranging from 0.30-0.47 (excluding the UNID stress experiment).

The presence of stress led to significant differences in the UMR SOA spectra. For example, the SOA spectrum that was least similar to all other SOA spectra was generated from the emissions of *Abies grandis* after the saplings had apparently been exposed to an unidentified stressor before being transported to the lab. Consequently, these results were not reproducible but did serve as an opportunity to investigate a plant's response to a natural stressor. The presence of substantial, discernible peaks in the UMR spectrum around m/z 200 indicated the presence of higher molecular weight emissions that were not identified with the GC system. Large 18-carbon compounds have been observed as a plant's response to certain types of herbivores and, when observed previously, resulted in substantially increased SOA yields (Mentel et al., 2013). The AG-1-Pre results may have been due to a similar phenomenon. The amount of SOA produced from these emissions was substantial (>500 µg m^{-3}) and had a significantly lower O:C than any other SOA reported here or reported elsewhere (Chhabra et al., 2010). Other enhanced m/z in the UNID stress spectra were m/z 31 and m/z 58 corresponding to HR ions CH_3O^+, $C_2H_2O_2^+$, and $C_3H_6O^+$.

The other SOA spectra that had the lowest correlation coefficients when compared to pre-treatment SOA were the 2013 post-treatment SOA. We attempted to remove the influence of MeJA, and its oxidation products, on the 'Same-Day' post-treatment SOA spectra. The resulting residual spectra highlighted differences to the SOA spectra that were due to the plants response to the stress treatment. The same m/z that were enhanced in the UNID spectra, m/z 31 and m/z 58 (HR ions CH_3O^+, $C_2H_2O_2^+$, $C_3H_6O^+$) were also enhanced in each of the residual spectra. Other prevalent m/z in the residual spectra were m/z 29 (primarily $C_2H_5^+$ enhancement), m/z 57 ($C_3H_5O^+$), m/z 59 ($C_2H_3O_2^+$ and $C_3H_7O^+$), m/z 71 ($C_3H_3O_2^+$ and $C_4H_7O^+$), and m/z 83 (primarily $C_5H_7O^+$ enhancement). Ozone is the dominant atmospheric oxidant for many of the terpenoid compounds emitted by vegetation (Atkinson & Arey, 2003). Furthermore, no OH scavenger was used to suppress OH chemistry. Consequently, these AMS results could be representative of what one would expect in ambient conditions. The enhancement of these ions in ambient datasets should be investigated to search for this possible biogenic stress marker in aerosol spectra collected in a natural forest environment.

Additionally, our results demonstrate that plant hormones, such as MeJA, can contribute to SOA formation and produce distinctive SOA mass spectra with peaks at m/z 131 and m/z 157. The standard MeJA SOA was substantially more oxidized than other biogenic SOA as was evidenced by its high relative proportion of m/z 44 to the total organic mass and its high

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: spectra

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

O:C ratio of 0.52. Plant emissions of stress hormones can equal emissions of monoterpenes under stressed conditions, and others have even suggested using ambient measurements of plant hormones to monitor for plant stress at an ecosystem scale (Karl et al., 2008). It is possible that the mass spectral markers associated with either the plants response to the stress treatment or the markers associated with the MeJA plant hormone directly could also be used to monitor for stress at an ecosystem scale.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: English (UK)

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 10:55 PM
Formatted: Bullets and Numbering

4 Conclusions

The baseline aerosol mass spectra of biogenic SOA produced from real plant emissions were similar across six different plant species when comparing UMR results. However, the presence of stress appeared to change the composition of the SOA to the extent that the UMR aerosol mass spectra looked significantly different. This mass spectral biogenic stress marker could be indicative of an herbivory stress aerosol signature in the natural forest environment when stressed conditions produce stress-induced emissions including, but not limited to, plant hormones such as MeJA.

Previous work has shown that environmental stresses can have significant and widely-varying impacts on BVOC emission rates and emission profiles. Stressors may increase, or sometimes decrease, the amount of BVOCs emitted, and often induce emissions of compounds not emitted under baseline conditions. The work presented here builds on those previous efforts and shows that herbivore-induced emissions not only affect the amount of SOA subsequently formed as shown previously (Mentel et al., 2013), but also affect SOA composition. Both of these herbivore effects will likely impact the aerosol radiative properties. Changes to the amount of SOA produced would have direct impacts on light extinction. The radiative impacts of stress-induced changes to SOA composition, our primary focus in this work, are less clear. For example, the involvement of larger hydrocarbon precursors (>15 carbons) would likely decrease SOA hygroscopicit,y whereas the involvement of more oxidized precursors (e.g. methyl jasmonate) would likely increase SOA hygroscopicity The net impact is difficult to estimate without a more thorough quantitative understanding of herbivore-induced BVOC emission rates. In addition to radiative effects, it is also possible for new particle formation mechanisms to be enhanced by herbivore-induced BVOCs. Recent findings have shown that biogenic emissions play a critical role in particle nucleation (Riccobono et al., 2014), and thus increases in herbivore-induced emissions could be expected to enhance particle nucleation in forests. These potential effects need further study, though

controlled experiments will remain challenging due to the significant variability in plant behavior that have limited efforts to parameterize stress-induced emissions so far.

Future work on this topic should investigate SOA mass spectral fingerprints for other stressors that could induce emissions of non-terpenoid compounds. For example, any stressor that damages plant membranes produces bursts in OVOC products from the lipoxygenase pathway. This could be investigated in the laboratory using real herbivores or pathogens that would damage plant tissues. Additionally, tissue damage can occur under severe heat stress. Future work should also generate SOA from the plant hormone methyl salicylate, which is emitted at higher rates than MeJA and still has low enough volatility to potentially contribute to SOA formation. To our knowledge, SOA has not been generated from this major plant hormone that has been measured at significant levels in a forest environment. Other future studies should focus on analyzing ambient AMS datasets collected in forest environments to investigate whether or not the biogenic stress marker that was identified here can be observed in field measurements. This could serve as a monitoring tool to identify ecosystem-level plant stress.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: methyl jasmonate

Acknowledgements

The authors thank Chuck Cody and the greenhouse staff for taking care of the plants used in this study. Authors also thank Courtney Herring for answering questions about AMS data analysis. This work was supported by the U.S. Department of Energy Early Career Research Program (Award #SC0003899).

References

Acevedo, C., Sánchez, E., Young, M. E. and Simpson, R.: Prediction correlation of vapor pressure for methyl jasmonate, J. Food Eng., 59(4), 431–433, 2003.

Aiken, A. C., DeCarlo, P. F., Kroll, J. H., Worsnop, D. R., Huffman, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Mohr, C., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Sun, Y., Zhang, Q., Trimborn, A., Northway, M., Ziemann, P. J., Canagaratna, M. R., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Prevot, A. S. H., Dommen, J., Duplissy, J., Metzger, A., Baltensperger, U. and Jimenez, J. L.: O/C and OM/OC Ratios of Primary, Secondary, and Ambient Organic Aerosols with High-Resolution Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42(12), 4478–4485, doi:10.1021/es703009q, 2008.

Allan, J. D., Delia, A. E., Coe, H., Bower, K. N., Alfarra, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Middlebrook, A. M., Drewnick, F., Onasch, T. B. and Canagaratna, M. R.: A generalised method for the extraction of chemically resolved mass spectra from Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer data, J. Aerosol Sci., 35(7), 909–922, 2004.

Arneth, A., Harrison, S. P., Zaehle, S., Tsigaridis, K., Menon, S., Bartlein, P. J., Feichter, J., Korhola, A., Kulmala, M., O'Donnell, D., Schurgers, G., Sorvari, S. and Vesala, T.: Terrestrial biogeochemical feedbacks in the climate system, Nat. Geosci, 3(8), 525–532, doi:10.1038/ngeo905, 2010.

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of biogenic volatile organic compounds: a review, Atmospheric Environment, 37(Supplement 2), 197–219, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00391-1, 2003.

Bahreini, R., Keywood, M. D., Ng, N. L., Varutbangkul, V., Gao, S., Flagan, R. C., ... & Jimenez, J. L., Measurements of secondary organic aerosol from oxidation of cycloalkenes, terpenes, and m-xylene using an Aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer. Environmental science & technology, 39, 5674-5688, 2005.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jayne, J. T., Jimenez, J. L., Allan, J. D., Alfarra, M. R., Zhang, Q., Onasch, T. B., Drewnick, F., Coe, H., Middlebrook, A., Delia, A., Williams, L. R., Trimborn, A. M., Northway, M. J., DeCarlo, P. F., Kolb, C. E., Davidovits, P. and Worsnop, D. R.: Chemical and microphysical characterization of ambient aerosols with the aerodyne aerosol mass spectrometer, Mass Spectrom. Rev., 26(2), 185–222, doi:10.1002/mas.20115, 2007.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM

Deleted: De Boer, J. G., Posthumus, M. A. and Dicke, M.: Identification of volatiles that are used in discrimination between plants infested with prey or nonprey herbivores by a predatory mite, J. Chem. Ecol., 30(11), 2215–2230, 2004.

Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Kroll, J. H., Chen, Q., Kessler, S. H., Massoli, P., Hildebrandt Ruiz, L., Fortner, E., Williams, L. R., Wilson, K. R., Surratt, J. D., Donahue, N. M., Jayne, J. T., and Worsnop, D. R.: Elemental ratio measurements of organic compounds using aerosol mass spectrometry: characterization, improved calibration, and implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 15, 253-272, doi:10.5194/acp-15-253-2015, 2015.

Carslaw, K. S., Boucher, O., Spracklen, D. V., Mann, G. W., Rae, J. G. L., Woodward, S. and Kulmala, M.: A review of natural aerosol interactions and feedbacks within the Earth system, Atmos Chem Phys, 10(4), 1701–1737, 2010.

Cheong, J.-J. and Choi, Y. D.: Methyl jasmonate as a vital substance in plants, Trends Genet., 19(7), 409–413, doi:10.1016/S0168-9525(03)00138-0, 2003.

Chhabra, P. S., Flagan, R. C. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental analysis of chamber organic aerosol using an aerodyne high-resolution aerosol mass spectrometer, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 10(9), 4111–4131, 2010.

Chhabra, P. S., Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Corrigan, A. L., Russell, L. M., Worsnop, D. R., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Elemental composition and oxidation of chamber organic aerosol, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8827-8845, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8827-2011, 2011.

Copolovici, L., Kaennaste, A., Remmel, T., Vislap, V. and Niinemets, U.: Volatile Emissions from Alnus glutionosa Induced by Herbivory are Quantitatively Related to the Extent of Damage, J. Chem. Ecol., 37(1), 18–28, doi:10.1007/s10886-010-9897-9, 2011.

Davidson, E. A. and Kingerlee, W.: A global inventory of nitric oxide emissions from soils, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosystems, 48(1-2), 37–50, 1997.

De Boer, J. G., Posthumus, M. A. and Dicke, M.: Identification of volatiles that are used in discrimination between plants infested with prey or nonprey herbivores by a predatory mite, J. Chem. Ecol., 30(11), 2215–2230, 2004.

DeCarlo, P. F., Kimmel, J. R., Trimborn, A., Northway, M. J., Jayne, J. T., Aiken, A. C., Gonin, M., Fuhrer, K., Horvath, T., Docherty, K. S., Worsnop, D. R. and Jimenez, J. L.: Field-Deployable, High-Resolution, Time-of-Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer, Anal. Chem., 78(24), 8281–8289, doi:10.1021/ac061249n, 2006.

Dudareva, N., Negre, F., Nagegowda, D. A. and Orlova, I.: Plant volatiles: recent advances and future perspectives, Crit. Rev. Plant Sci., 25(5), 417–440, 2006.

Faiola, C. L., Erickson, M. H., Fricaud, V. L., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: Quantification of biogenic volatile organic compounds with a flame ionization detector using the effective carbon number concept, Atmospheric Meas. Tech., 5(8), 1911–1923, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1911-2012, 2012.

Faiola, C. L., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: Impacts of Simulated Herbivory on VOC Emission Profiles from Coniferous Plants, Biogeosciences Discuss., 11, 13455-13514, doi:10.5194/bgd-11-13455-2014, 2014a.

Faiola, C. L., VanderSchelden, G. S., Wen, M., Elloy, F. C., Cobos, D. R., Watts, R. J., Jobson, B. T. and VanReken, T. M.: SOA Formation Potential of Emissions from Soil and Leaf Litter, Environ. Sci. Technol., 48(2), 938–946, 2014b.

Farmer, E. E. and Ryan, C. A.: Interplant communication: airborne methyl jasmonate induces synthesis of proteinase inhibitors in plant leaves, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U. S. A., 87(19), 7713, 1990.

Hallquist, M., Wenger, J. C., Baltensperger, U., Rudich, Y., Simpson, D., Claeys, M., Dommen, J., Donahue, N. M., George, C., Goldstein, A. H., Hamilton, J. F., Herrmann, H., Hoffmann, T., Iinuma, Y., Jang, M., Jenkin, M. E., Jimenez, J. L., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Maenhaut, W., McFiggans, G., Mentel, T. F., Monod, A., Prévôt, A. S. H., Seinfeld, J. H., Surratt, J. D., Szmigielski, R. and Wildt, J.: The formation, properties and impact of secondary organic aerosol: current and emerging issues, Atmos Chem Phys, 9(14), 5155–5235, 2009.

Hao, L. Q., Romakkaniemi, S., Yli-Pirilä, P., Joutsensaari, J., Kortelainen, A., Kroll, J. H., Miettinen, P., Vaattovaara, P., Tiitta, P., Jaatinen, A., Kajos, M. K., Holopainen, J. K., Heijari, J., Rinne, J., Kulmala, M., Worsnop, D. R., Smith, J. N. and Laaksonen, A.: Mass yields of secondary organic aerosols from the oxidation of alpha-pinene and real plant emissions, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 11(4), 1367–1378, doi:10.5194/acp-11-1367-2011, 2011.

Holopainen, J. K.: Multiple functions of inducible plant volatiles, Trends Plant Sci., 9(11), 529–533, 2004.

Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Donahue, N. M., Prevot, A. S. H., Zhang, Q., Kroll, J. H., DeCarlo, P. F., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ng, N. L., Aiken, A. C., Docherty, K. S., Ulbrich, I. M., Grieshop, A. P., Robinson, A. L., Duplissy, J., Smith, J. D., Wilson, K. R., Lanz, V. A.,

Hueglin, C., Sun, Y. L., Tian, J., Laaksonen, A., Raatikainen, T., Rautiainen, J., Vaattovaara, P., Ehn, M., Kulmala, M., Tomlinson, J. M., Collins, D. R., Cubison, M. J., E., Dunlea, J., Huffman, J. A., Onasch, T. B., Alfarra, M. R., Williams, P. I., Bower, K., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Salcedo, D., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R., Takami, A., Miyoshi, T., Hatakeyama, S., Shimono, A., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M., Dzepina, K., Kimmel, J. R., Sueper, D., Jayne, J. T., Herndon, S. C., Trimborn, A. M., Williams, L. R., Wood, E. C., Middlebrook, A. M., Kolb, C. E., Baltensperger, U. and Worsnop, D. R.: Evolution of Organic Aerosols in the Atmosphere, Science, 326(5959), 1525–1529, doi:10.1126/science.1180353, 2009.

Joutsensaari, J., Loivamaki, M., Vuorinen, T., Miettinen, P., Nerg, A. M., Holopainen, J. K. and Laaksonen, A.: Nanoparticle formation by ozonolysis of inducible plant volatiles, Atmos Chem Phys, 5, 1489–1495, 2005.

Karl, T., Guenther, A., Turnipseed, A., Patton, E. G. and Jardine, K.: Chemical sensing of plant stress at the ecosystem scale, Biogeosciences, 5(5), 1287–1294, 2008.

Kesselmeier, J. and Staudt, M.: Biogenic volatile organic compounds (VOC): an overview on emission, physiology and ecology, J. Atmospheric Chem., 33(1), 23–88, 1999.

Kiendler-Scharr, A., Zhang, Q., Hohaus, T., Kleist, E., Mensah, A., Mentel, T. F., Spindler, C., Uerlings, R., Tillmann, R. and Wildt, J.: Aerosol Mass Spectrometric Features of Biogenic SOA: Observations from a plant chamber and in rural atmospheric environments, Env. Sci Technol, 43(21), 8166–8172, 2009.

Kroll, J. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Chemistry of secondary organic aerosol: Formation and evolution of low-volatility organics in the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 42(16), 3593–3624, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.01.003, 2008.

Lang-Yona, N., Rudich, Y., Mentel, T. F., Bohne, A., Buchholz, A., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kleist, E., Spindler, C., Tillmann, R. and Wildt, J.: The chemical and microphysical properties of secondary organic aerosols from Holm Oak emissions, Atmos Chem Phys, 10(15), 7253–7265, 2010.

Magnani, F., Mencuccini, M., Borghetti, M., Berbigier, P., Berninger, F., Delzon, S., Grelle, A., Hari, P., Jarvis, P. G., Kolari, P. and others: The human footprint in the carbon cycle of temperate and boreal forests, Nature, 447(7146), 849–851, 2007.

Martin, D. M., Gershenzon, J. and Bohlmann, J.: Induction of volatile terpene biosynthesis and diurnal emission by methyl jasmonate in foliage of Norway spruce, Plant Physiol., 132(3), 1586, 2003.

Mentel, T. F., Kleist, E., Andres, S., Dal Maso, M., Hohaus, T., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Rudich, Y., Springer, M., Tillmann, R., Uerlings, R., Wahner, A. and Wildt, J.: Secondary aerosol formation from stress-induced biogenic emissions and possible climate feedbacks, Atmos Chem Phys, 13(17), 8755–8770, doi:10.5194/acp-13-8755-2013, 2013.

Mentel, T. F., Wildt, J., Kiendler-Scharr, A., Kleist, E., Tillmann, R., Dal Maso, M., Fisseha, R., Hohaus, T., Spahn, H., Uerlings, R., Wegener, R., Griffiths, P. T., Dinar, E., Rudich, Y. and Wahner, A.: Photochemical production of aerosols from real plant emissions, Atmos Chem Phys, 9(13), 4387–4406, 2009.

Mwaniki, G. R., Rosenkrance, C., Wallace, H. W., Jobson, B. T., Erickson, M. H., Lamb, B. K., Zalakeviciute, R. and VanReken, T. M.: Factors Contributing to Elevated Concentrations of PM2.5 during Wintertime near Boise, Idaho, Atmospheric Pollut. Res., 14(1), 96–103, doi:10.5094/APR.2014.012, 2014.

Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Jimenez, J. L., Chhabra, P. S., Seinfeld, J. H. and Worsnop, D. R.: Changes in organic aerosol composition with aging inferred from aerosol mass spectra, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 11(13), 6465–6474, 2011.

Ng, N. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Tian, J., Ulbrich, I. M., Kroll, J. H., Docherty, K. S., Chhabra, P. S. and Bahreini, R.: Organic aerosol components observed in Northern Hemispheric datasets from Aerosol Mass Spectrometry, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 10(10), 4625–4641, 2010.

Peñuelas, J. and Staudt, M.: BVOCs and global change, Trends Plant Sci., 15(3), 133–144, doi:10.1016/j.tplants.2009.12.005, 2010.

Pinto, D., Blande, J., Souza, S., Nerg, A.-M. and Holopainen, J.: Plant Volatile Organic Compounds (VOCs) in Ozone (O3) Polluted Atmospheres: The Ecological Effects, J. Chem. Ecol., 36(1), 22–34, doi:10.1007/s10886-009-9732-3, 2010.

Riccobono, F., Schobesberger, S., Scott, C. E., Dommen, J., Ortega, I. K., Rondo, L., Almeida, J., Amorim, A., Bianchi, F., Breitenlechner, M. and others: Oxidation Products of Biogenic Emissions Contribute to Nucleation of Atmospheric Particles, Science, 344(6185), 717–721, 2014.

Richards-Henderson, N. K., Hansel, A. K., Valsaraj, K. T. and Anastasio, C.: Aqueous oxidation of green leaf volatiles by hydroxyl radical as a source of SOA: Kinetics and SOA yields, Atmos. Environ., 95, 105–112, 2014.

Robinson, A. L., Donahue, N. M., Shrivastava, M. K., Weitkamp, E. A., Sage, A. M., Grieshop, A. P., Lane, T. E., Pierce, J. R. and Pandis, S. N.: Rethinking Organic Aerosols: Semivolatile Emissions and Photochemical Aging, Science, 315(5816), 1259–1262, doi:10.1126/science.1133061, 2007.

Rodriguez-Saona, C., Crafts-Brandner, S. J., Pare, P. W. and Henneberry, T. J.: Exogenous methyl jasmonate induces volatile emissions in cotton plants, J. Chem. Ecol., 27(4), 679–695, 2001.

Sage, A. M., Weitkamp, E. A., Robinson, A. L. and Donahue, N. M.: Evolving mass spectra of the oxidized component of organic aerosol: results from aerosol mass spectrometer analyses of aged diesel emissions, Atmos Chem Phys, 8(5), 1139–1152, 2008.

VanReken, T. M., Greenberg, J. P., Harley, P. C., Guenther, A. B. and Smith, J. N.: Direct measurement of particle formation and growth from the oxidation of biogenic emissions, Atmospheric Chem. Phys., 6(12), 4403–4413, 2006.

Zhang, Q., Jimenez, J. L., Canagaratna, M. R., Allan, J. D., Coe, H., Ulbrich, I., Alfarra, M. R., Takami, A., Middlebrook, A. M., Sun, Y. L., Dzepina, K., Dunlea, E., Docherty, K., DeCarlo, P. F., Salcedo, D., Onasch, T., Jayne, J. T., Miyoshi, T., Shimono, A., Hatakeyama, S., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., Borrmann, S., Weimer, S., Demerjian, K., Williams, P., Bower, K., Bahreini, R., Cottrell, L., Griffin, R. J., Rautiainen, J., Sun, J. Y., Zhang, Y. M. and Worsnop, D. R.: Ubiquity and dominance of oxygenated species in organic aerosols in anthropogenically-influenced Northern Hemisphere midlatitudes, Geophys. Res. Lett. [online] Available from: http://www.agu.org/pubs/crossref/2007/2007GL029979.shtml (Accessed 22 September 2009), 2007.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:
Table 1. Experiment Summary. Asterisks on experiment ID indicate that seed was used. "MeJA"=methyl jasmonate.
Experiment ID
... [1]

Table 1. Experiment Summary. Asterisks on experiment ID indicate that seed was used. "MeJA"=methyl jasmonate. The last column provides the IDs of the corresponding BVOC experiments, where available, to facillitate cross-referencing with the companion paper (Faiola et al., 2014).

SOA Experiment ID	Tree Type (Species)	Common Name	Experiment Type	Date	Treatment Approach	BVOC Experiment ID
PPo-1-Pre*	*Pinus ponderosa*	Ponderosa Pine	Baseline	31 July 2012	-	-
PPo-1-Post*			MeJA	2 August 2012	exogenous, day before	-
PPo-2-Pre			Baseline	23 October 2012	-	-
PPo-2-Post			MeJA	25 October 2012	exogenous, day before	-
PA-1-Pre*	*Pinus aristata*	Bristlecone Pine	Baseline	9 October 2012	-	-
PA-1-Post			MeJA	18 October 2012	exogenous, day before	-
PA-2-Pre			Baseline	23 April 2013	-	-
PA-3-Pre			Baseline	21 May 2013	-	PA-E
PA-3-Post			MeJA	23 May 2013	foliar, day before	PA-E
PA-4-Pre			Baseline	28 May 2013	-	PA-C
AG-1-Pre	*Abies grandis*	Grand Fir	Baseline	25 June 2013	-	AG-E
AG-1-Post			MeJA	27 June 2013	foliar, day before	AG-E
AG-2-Pre			Baseline	3 September 2013	-	-
AG-2-Post			MeJA	5 September 2013	foliar, same day	-

AG-3-Pre			Baseline	10 September 2013	-	-
AG-3-NC			Negative	12 September 2013	foliar, same day	-
TP-1-Pre			Baseline	14 August 2013	-	-
TP-1-Post			MeJA	16 August 2013	foliar, same day	-
TP-2-Pre			Baseline	21 August 2013	-	-
TP-2-NC	*Thuja plicata*	Western Redcedar	Negative	23 August 2013	foliar, same day	-
TP-3-Pre1			Baseline	17 September 2013	-	TP-E
TP-3-Pre2			Baseline	19 September 2013	-	TP-E
TP-3-Post			MeJA	22 September 2013	foliar, same day	TP-E
PPu-1-Post			MeJA	16 May 2013	foliar, day before	PP-E1
PPu-2-Pre	*Picea pungens*	Blue Spruce	Baseline	16 July 2013	-	PP-E2
PPu-2-Post			MeJA	18 July 2013	foliar, day before	PP-E2
PM-1-Pre			Baseline	27 August 2013	-	-
PM-1-Post	*Pseudotsuga mensiezii*	Douglas-fir	MeJA	29 August 2013	foliar, same day	-
PM-2-Pre			Baseline	24 September 2013	-	PM-E
PM-2-Post			MeJA	26 September 2013	foliar, same day	PM-E
Mix-1-Pre	Mix-*Abies grandis* & *Pseudotsuga mensiezii*	Grand Fir & Douglas-fir	Baseline	24 July 2013	-	-
Mix-1-Post			MeJA	26 July 2013	foliar, same day	-
Mix-2-Pre			Baseline	30 July 2013	-	-

	Mix-2-NC			Negative	1 August 2013	foliar, same day	-
	MeJA Std	N/A	N/A	Standard	8 May 2014	N/A	-

1

Table 2: Experiment Conditions. The "n.r." stands for "not recorded". Particle volume was calculated from SMPS measurements.

Experiment ID	Biogenics Chamber RH (%)	Biogenics Chamber Temp (K)	Aerosol Chamber RH (%)	Aerosol Chamber Temp (K)	Ozone at Experiment Start (ppb)	Ozone at Experiment End (ppb)	Max Particle Volume ($\mu m^3 m^{-3}$)	Elemental Analysis
PPo-1-Pre	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	70	n.r.	6.24	UMR
PPo-1-Post	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	n.r.	50	33	6.33	HR
PPo-2-Pre	97%	301	17%	298	460	415	21.33	UMR
PPo-2-Post	91%	300	20%	298	130	99	11.64	UMR
PA-1-Pre	69%	300	12%	299	90	60	25.76	HR
PA-1-Post	79%	300	12%	298	130	107	8.19	UMR
PA-2-Pre	88%	300	19%	298	255	174	3.48	UMR
PA-3-Pre	96%	299	26%	296	126	90	2.7	UMR
PA-3-Post	90%	300	21%	298	148	105	6.02	UMR
PA-4-Pre	90%	300	21%	299	126	93	3.6	UMR
AG-1-Pre	100%	299	26%	297	114	9	156.87	HR
AG-1-Post	96%	302	26%	301	126	15	172.45	HR
AG-2-Pre	96%	304	29%	302	116	5	17.32	HR
AG-2-Post	97%	303	26%	302	124	34	150.33	HR
AG-3-Pre	87%	305	23%	303	151	37	36.78	HR
AG-3-NC	86%	306	20%	304	146	49	41.73	HR
TP-1-Pre	92%	306	20%	305	129	37	3.24	HR
TP-1-Post	97%	305	25%	304	129	26	123.98	HR
TP-2-Pre	85%	303	21%	302	138	42	13.56	HR
TP-2-NC	94%	303	24%	302	144	42	15.65	HR
TP-3-Pre1	99%	300	26%	299	128	25	1.78	UMR
TP-3-Pre2	97%	300	32%	299	123	3	2.98	UMR
TP-3-Post	96%	300	25%	299	116	53	61.93	HR
PPu-1-Post	99%	298	24%	298	275	21	104.59	HR
PPu-2-Pre	97%	304	22%	303	142	23	16.28	HR
PPu-2-Post	98%	305	21%	304	115	21	33.69	HR

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Bio
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [2]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Aero
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [3]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Bio
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [4]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Aero
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [5]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: O_3
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [6]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: O_3
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [7]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [8]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted Table ... [9]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: T_0
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: T_f
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [10]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [11]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [12]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [13]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [14]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [15]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [16]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [17]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [18]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [19]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [20]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [21]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [22]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [23]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [24]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [25]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [26]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [27]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [28]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted ... [29]
Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM

PM-1-Pre	96%	303	20%	302	195	29	4.06	HR	
PM-1-Post	96%	303	22%	303	222	16	160.78	HR	
PM-2-Pre	98%	300	33%	298	212	71	58.84	UMR	
PM-2-Post	95%	300	26%	298	109	37	118.71	HR	
Mix-1-Pre	87%	306	20%	305	136	17	5.88	UMR	
Mix-1-Post	85%	306	18%	304	140	6	133.68	HR	
Mix-2-Pre	82%	303	17%	302	125	15	21.98	HR	
Mix-2-NC	88%	302	22%	301	144	22	24.23	HR	

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:10 pt



Figure 1: Scatter plots comparing the normalized spectra of all three paired pre-treatment/negative control experiments. The markers denote the m/z. Only the 89 UMR m/z signals used in the correlation analyses are plotted. The x-axis is the percent contribution to total organic mass for the pre-treatment experiment and the y-axis is the percent contribution to total organic mass for the paired negative control experiments. The dashed gray 1:1 lines are shown for reference. AG-3=*Abies grandis* experiment. TP-2=*Thuja plicata* experiment, Mix-2=mix of *Abies grandis* and *Pseudotsuga menziesii* experiment. Correlations (r^2) between the negative control spectra and the pre-treatment spectra are shown in the boxes on each plot.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: *s*



Figure 2: Summary of all comparisons between biogenic SOA spectra. Each number on the x and y axes refers to a single SOA growth experiment. The legend provides a key to match the axis number with its corresponding experiment ID. Details of each experiment are listed by experiment ID in Tables 1 and 2. The color scale denotes the strength of correlation between the two spectra. Due to air interferences, m/z 28 was removed from the spectra for all comparisons. The figure was organized by year followed by experiment type (pre-treatment, post-treatment, negative control) followed by tree type. NC=negative control.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Numbers

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: refer to each

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: , which are listed by experiment ID in the legend (and in Table 1).

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: where warmer colors are lower correlations and cooler colors are higher correlations



Figure 3: Distribution of correlations classified by type of comparison. X-axis is the % of total occurrences within a given correlation range for each experiment classification. Each horizontal bar denotes the type of comparison where the N value in parentheses refers to the total number of comparisons within that classification. PreT=Pre-Treatment; PostT=Post-Treatment; NC=Negative Control; SD,PostT=Post-Treatment where treatment and SOA growth experiment occurred on the same day; UNID stress=unidentified stress.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: DD,PostT=Post-treatment spectra where treatment and SOA growth experiment occurred on subsequent days; MeJA=comparisons with SOA spectra of aerosol formed from the oxidation of a methyl jasmonate standard. The bottom three horizontal bars comparing the standard MeJA SOA spectra to different types of biogenic SOA are discussed in Section 3.4.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Font:Not Italic

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:



Figure 4: Normalized mass spectra of SOA generated from the oxidation of a MeJA standard. The x-axis shows the m/z value and the y-axis denotes the percent contribution of each m/z to the total organic mass. The chemical structure and molecular formula of MeJA is shown on the figure.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Left, Space Before: 0 pt, Line spacing: single

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: Organic

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: produced

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: first *Abies grandis* pre-treatment experiment (AG-1-Pre) and the second *Abies grandis* pre-treatment experiment (AG-2-Pre). There was a significant cluster of peaks around m/z 200 in AG-1-Pre that was not observed in the other experiments including the other spectra with SOA generated from *Abies grandis* emissions.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:



Figure 5: Results from the linear addition optimization for all six experiments where the post-treatment aerosol growth experiment was performed the same day the foliar MeJA treatment was applied. The x-axis denotes the fraction of the pre-treatment experiment that was included in the linear addition of the pre-treatment and MeJA standard SOA spectra. The y-axis is the correlation of the linear addition spectra with the paired post-treatment SOA spectra. The fraction of pre-treatment SOA included in the linear addition spectra that produced the highest correlation with the paired post-treatment is shown in the box on each graph.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM

Deleted: Stress response spectra comparing the effects of two different types of stress—an unidentified stress (red) and a MeJA treatment (blue). The x-axis shows the m/z values and the y-axis denotes the difference between the normalized stress spectrum and the normalized baseline spectrum.

Figure 6: Normalized mass spectra of SOA generated from the oxidation of a methyl jasmonate standard. The x-axis shows the m/z value and the y-axis denotes the percent contribution of each m/z to the total organic mass.

Figure 7:

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM

Deleted: methyl jasmonate





Figure 6: Residual stress spectra calculated by subtracting the optimized linear addition of the paired baseline + MeJA standard spectra from the post-treatment stress spectra. The x-axis is the m/z value and the y-axis is the residual. Negative residuals have been removed to focus on the enhanced m/z peaks.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted:

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Space After: 0 pt, Line spacing: 1.5 lines

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Deleted: 8

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: English (UK)



Figure 7: Organic mass spectra of SOA produced from the first *Abies grandis* pre-treatment experiment (AG-1-Pre), the second *Abies grandis* pre-treatment experiment (AG-2-Pre), and the second *Abies grandis* post-treatment experiment (AG-2-Post). The AG-1-Pre spectrum represents a naturally-elicited stress condition, the AG-2-Pre spectrum represents a typical baseline condition, and the AG-2-Post represents a typical post-treatment condition after MeJA plant hormone application.



Figure 8: Stress response spectra comparing the effects of two different types of stress—an unidentified stress (red) and a MeJA treatment (blue). The x-axis shows the m/z values and the y-axis denotes the difference between the normalized stress spectrum and the normalized baseline spectrum.



Figure 9: a) Summary of the elemental analysis results from all pre-treatment SOA and negative control SOA. The pre-treatment experiment, AG-1-Pre, is labeled as an unidentified stress (UNID Stress) experiment. NC=Negative Control. b) Summary of elemental analysis results from all experiments with paired pre-treatment/post-treatment SOA where a MeJA plant stress response was observed. Green markers denote pre-treatment SOA. Red markers denote post-treatment SOA. The black asterisk illustrates the results from the MeJA single-component standard SOA. The dashed lines are commonly included on Van Krevelen plots to indicate slopes of 0, -1, and -2, and are included here to put results in context with previous work.

Tim VanReken 1/18/15 11:03 PM
Formatted: Space After: 8 pt