Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 24985–25026, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/24985/2014/ doi:10.5194/acpd-14-24985-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> and estimated mercury emissions in China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia derived from observations in northwest and southwest China

X. W. Fu<sup>1</sup>, H. Zhang<sup>1,2</sup>, C.-J. Lin<sup>1,3,4</sup>, X. Feng<sup>1</sup>, L. X. Zhou<sup>5</sup>, and S. X. Fang<sup>5</sup>

<sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory of Environmental Geochemistry, Institute of Geochemistry, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Guiyang 550002, PR China

<sup>2</sup>Graduate University of the Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing 100049, PR China

<sup>3</sup>Department of Civil Engineering, Lamar University, Beaumont, Texas 77710, USA

<sup>4</sup>College of Energy and Environment, South China University of Technology, Guangzhou 510006, China

<sup>5</sup>Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences (CAMS), CMA, Beijing 100081, China



Received: 9 September 2014 – Accepted: 15 September 2014 – Published: 30 September 2014

Correspondence to: X. B. Feng (fengxinbin@vip.skleg.cn) and L. X. Zhou (zhoulx@cams.cma.gov.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

**Discussion** Paper **ACPD** 14, 24985-25026, 2014 **Correlation slopes** and estimated mercury emissions in **Discussion** Paper China X. W. Fu et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion Paper** References **Tables** Figures 4 Close Back **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

# Abstract

Correlation analysis between atmospheric mercury (Hg) and other trace gases are useful for identification of sources and constraining regional estimated Hg emissions. Emissions of Hg in Asia contribute significantly to the global budget of atmospheric Hg.

- <sup>5</sup> However, due to the lack of reliable data on the source strength, large uncertainties remain in the emission inventories of Hg in Asia. In the present study, we calculated the correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia using the ground-based observations at three remote sites in northwest and southwest China, and applied the values to esti-
- <sup>10</sup> mate GEM emissions in the four source regions. The geometric mean of the GEM/CO correlation slopes for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia were  $7.3 \pm 4.3$ ,  $7.8 \pm 6.4$ ,  $7.8 \pm 5.0$ , and  $13.4 \pm 9.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ , respectively. The values in the same source regions were  $240 \pm 119$ ,  $278 \pm 164$ ,  $315 \pm 289 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1}$  for the GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes; and  $33.3 \pm 30.4$ ,  $27.4 \pm 31.0$ ,  $23.5 \pm 15.3$ , and
- <sup>15</sup>  $20.5 \pm 10.0 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for the GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes, respectively. These values were the first reported correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> in four important source regions of Asia except the GEM/CO ratios in mainland China. The correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> in Asia were relatively higher than those observed in Europe, North America and South Africa, which may
- $_{\rm 20}$  highlight GEM emissions from non-ferrous smelting, mercury mining, natural sources and historical deposited mercury (re-emission) in Asia. Using the observed GEM/CO and GEM/CO\_2 slopes, and the recently reported emission inventories of CO and CO\_2, the annual GEM emissions in mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia were estimated to be in the ranges of 1071–1187 t, 340–470 t, 125 t,
- <sup>25</sup> and 54–90 t, respectively. The estimate quantity of GEM emissions from the GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes is significantly larger, which may be due to fewer common emission sources of GEM and CH<sub>4</sub> and large uncertainties associated with CH<sub>4</sub> emission inventories in Asia and therefore lead to an overestimate of GEM emissions. Our estimates



of GEM emissions in the four Asian regions were significantly higher (3–4 times) than the anthropogenic GEM emissions reported by recent studies. This may reflect the fast increasing anthropogenic GEM emissions in Asian countries in recent years. A preliminary assessment of natural emissions of GEM in China and other Asian regions was also made and well explains the discrepancies.

# 1 Introduction

Mercury (Hg) is a persistent pollutant in the environment and poses health risks for human health mainly by consuming fish. Due to primary- and re-emissions of Hg from anthropogenic sources, global atmospheric Hg budget has increased significantly since the industrial revolution (Mason et al., 1994). There are three major operationally defined Hg forms in the atmosphere, namely elemental gaseous mercury (GEM), gaseous oxidized mercury (GOM), and particulate bounded mercury (PBM). Knowledge on the anthropogenic and natural emissions of Hg to the atmosphere is important to better understanding of Hg fate in the natural environment (Lindberg et al., 2007).
<sup>15</sup> Since the late 1980s, studies have been carried out to investigate the spatial and tem-

- poral characteristics of Hg emissions from anthropogenic (Nriagu, 1989; Pirrone et al., 1996, 2010; Pacyna et al., 2003, 2010; Streets et al., 2005, 2009) and natural sources (Lindberg et al., 1998; Gustin et al., 1999, 2000; Gustin, 2003; Shetty et al., 2008). Improved emission factors for estimating Hg release from different source categories
- have significantly reduced the uncertainties (typically < 40 %) of recently reported anthropogenic emissions (Lindberg et al., 2007; Pacyna et al., 2010; Pirrone et al., 2010). The natural emissions (including primary natural emissions and re-emissions of historically deposited Hg), however, still have large uncertainties due to poor understanding of process mechanisms and a lack of reliable data on Hg<sup>0</sup> air-surface exchange (Gustin et al., 2005; Schroeder et al., 2005; Selin et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2009).

Asia is the largest anthropogenic source region of Hg. It contributes approximately two-thirds of global total anthropogenic Hg emissions (Pacyna et al., 2010; Pirrone



et al., 2010). Significant progresses have been made in the estimate of anthropogenic Hg emissions in China (Streets et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006; Tian et al., 2011; Liang et al., 2013). The most recent estimate suggests that total anthropogenic Hg emissions in China increased to 1028 t in 2007 (Liang et al., 2013), nearly twofold higher than that

in 1995 (Streets et al., 2005). In contrast, anthropogenic Hg emissions in other Asian countries (e.g. South Asia, Southeast Asia, and Central Asia) have received little attention. Such a lack of information limits the development of Hg emission inventories in a globally important source region. Due to the rapid economic development, anthropogenic Hg emissions in these regions are expected to considerably contribute to the regional Hg release (Pacyna et al., 2010).

Estimation of Hg emissions using observed concentrations of atmospheric GEM and other trace gases is a relatively novel approach for studying regional atmospheric Hg budgets. This method was firstly employed for estimating GEM emissions in the northeast USA using the GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes (Lee et al., 2001). The approach was further improved and then applied for estimating Hg emissions in Asia, Europe,

and South Africa (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr et al., 2006; Brunke et al., 2012). Such a measurement-based method complements the regional emission inventories estimated by conventional statistical approaches. It also yields an estimate of total Hg emissions from both anthropogenic and natural sources (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr

15

- et al., 2006). In the present study, the correlations slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were investigated using the long-term atmospheric measurements at three remote stations in northwest and southwest China. The correlation slopes were classified into four source regions in Asia (mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia) through trajectory analysis for estimating atmospheric Hg
- emissions. This work is aimed to fill the knowledge gaps in our understanding on Asian Hg emissions.



# 2 Experimental

# 2.1 Observational sites

In this study, observations were conducted at three remote sites in northwest and southwest China: Mt. Waliguan Baseline Observatory, Shangri-La station and Mt. Ailao station (Fig. 1). The Mt. WLG Observatory (WLG, 100.898° E, 36.287° N, 3816 m a.s.l.) is one of the World Meteorological Organization's (WMO) Global Atmospheric Watch (GAW) Baseline Stations which is situated at the summit of Mt. Waliguan at the edge of northeast part of the Qinghai-Xizang (Tibet) Plateau. WLG is relatively isolated from industrial point sources and populated regions. The surrounding areas of WLG are naturally preserved arid/semi-arid lands and scattered grasslands and there is no local Hg

- source around the station. Most of the Chinese industrial and populated regions which may be related to anthropogenic Hg emissions are situated to the east of WLG. Due to the influence of Qinghai-Tibet Plateau monsoon, the predominate wind directions are from west to southwest sector in cold seasons and east sector in warm seasons,
- respectively. As shown in Fig. 1, the three provinces including Qinghai, Xinjiang and Xizang have fairly low anthropogenic emissions of Hg, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> relative to eastern China, Central Asia and South Asia (Wu et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2012b, and a; Zhang et al., 2014a; Kurokawa et al., 2013). Therefore, anthropogenic emissions in these three Chinese provinces are expected to have minimal effect on the westerly and southwesterly airflows in cold seasons, which in turn largely reflect the feature of

long-range atmospheric transport of air pollutants from Central Asia and South Asia to the WLG.

 The Shangri-La station (XGL, 99.733° E, 28.017° N, 3580 m a.s.l.) is located in Hengduan Mountain area in the southeast Tibetan Plateau, southwest China (Fig. 1). The
 <sup>25</sup> minimal distance from the XGL station to South Asia and Indochinese Peninsula are 260 km and 100 km, respectively. The XGL station is surrounded by naturally preserved forest and mountainous areas. There are no large point sources within 100 km of the station with the exception of Shangri-La city, which situates 30 km to the south of the



station with a population of about 140 000 and may be related to anthropogenic emissions of Hg and other air pollutants. Areas to the west and south of the station are well preserved mountainous forest and have no significant anthropogenic sources. The long-range transport of air masses from South Asia and Indochinese Peninsula to the
 XGL station is not likely impacted by these areas.

The Mt. Ailao station (MAL, 100.017° E, 24.533° N, 2450 m a.s.l.) is located at a summit of the north side of Ailao Mountain National Nature Reserve in central Yunnan province, southwest China. The MAL Reserve stretches more than 130 km from south to north with a maximum width of approximately 20 km. More than 85% of the MAL

- Reserve is covered by preserved forest. MAL is isolated from industrial sources and populated regions in China. Kunming, one of the largest cities in southwest China, is located 180 km to the northeast of the station. The site is approximately 200 km and 600 km away from Indochinese Peninsula and South Asia approximately, respectively. Most of the Chinese anthropogenic sources of Hg and other air pollutants are located
- to the north and east to the station, whereas anthropogenic emissions in southern and western Yunnan province are fairly low (Wu et al., 2006; Zhao et al., 2012b, and a; Zhang et al., 2014a; Kurokawa et al., 2013). The wind system at the station is dominated by the India Summer Monsoon (ISM) in warm seasons and the westerlies surrounding the Tibetan Plateau in cold seasons. The ISM can carry air pollutants from Indochinese Peninsula and southern China while the westerlies carry air pollutants
- from South Asia.

# 2.2 Measurements of GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>

Continuous measurements of atmospheric GEM at the WLG, XGL, and MAL stations were conducted using an automated Hg vapour analyzer (Tekran 2537A/B). This
 <sup>25</sup> analyzer has been used extensively for atmospheric TGM measurements worldwide (Ebinghaus et al., 1999; Munthe et al., 2001; Fu et al., 2012a). It combines the preconcentration of TGM onto gold traps, thermal desorption and cold vapour atomic fluorescence spectrometry detection of GEM. The analyzer has two gold cartridges



working in parallel. While one cartridge is collecting TGM, the other one is performing analysis of the collected TGM. The function of the cartridges is then reversed, allowing continuous sampling of ambient air. The analyzer was set up in a temperaturecontrolled laboratory (15–25 °C). Ambient air was introduced to the inlet of the analyzer

- <sup>5</sup> by using a 25 ft heated Teflon tube (50 °C). Air particulate matters were removed by using two 45 mm diameter Teflon filters (pore size 0.2  $\mu$ m), which were installed at the inlets of the sampling Teflon tube and analyzer, respectively. The analyzer was programmed to measure atmospheric TGM at the time resolution of 5 min at XGL and MAL and 10 min at WLG with a volumetric sampling flow rate of ~ 1.5 L min<sup>-1</sup>. The
- data quality of the analyzer was controlled by periodic (every 25 h) automatic permeation source injections, and the internal permeation source was calibrated every 3–6 months (Fu et al., 2012a). Atmospheric TGM in general consists of GEM and GOM. In most cases of these study, GOM constitutes a small portion of TGM (< 1 %, Fu et al., 2012a) and a large fraction of GOM was expected to be captured by the sampling
   <sup>15</sup> Teflon tube and soda lime trap installed at the inlet of Tekran 2537A/B analyzer (Fu
- et al., 2010a, 2012b). Hence, the atmospheric TGM measured herein was referred to as GEM throughout the paper.

Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> at WLG was measured using a Licor6251 non-dispersive infrared (NDIR) analyzer, CH<sub>4</sub> and CO were measured using a G1301 (Picarro, USA) and a G1300 (Picarro, USA) Country Diag Down Creates and COPPC), respectively.

- a G1302 (Picarro, USA) Cavity Ring Down Spectroscopy systems (CRDS), respectively. Atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> and CH<sub>4</sub> at XGL were measured using the G1301 (Picarro, USA) CRDS and CO was measured by the G1302 (Picarro, USA) CRDS. Detailed information regarding the schematic of the analytical systems, air collections, calibrations, and data processing has been addressed in previous studies (Zhou et al.,
- 25 2003; Fang et al., 2013). The analytical precisions for the atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub>, and CO measurements were approximately 0.07 μmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppm), 1.5 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppb), and 2.0 nmol mol<sup>-1</sup> (ppb), respectively. Atmospheric CO concentrations at MAL were measured using a non-dispersive infrared instrument (Themo Environmental Instruments Model 48C) (Jaffe et al., 2005). Periodical zero air and standard CO gases



measurements were conducted to insure a precise measurements of atmospheric CO concentrations.

All data were averaged hourly for correlation analysis. At WLG, datasets were available from October 2007 to September 2009 for GEM and CO<sub>2</sub>, from July 2008 to
September 2009 for CH<sub>4</sub>, and from January to September 2009 for CO. Datasets for GEM, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> were available from July to October 2010 and from September to October 2010 for CO at XGL. Only GEM and CO were available at MAL from September 2011 to March 2013. Due to the exchange of CO<sub>2</sub> between atmosphere and forest canopy, atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> concentrations at XGL exhibited strong diurnal variations.
This had a significant impact on the correlation analysis between GEM and CO<sub>2</sub>. In light of this, we did not study the correlation of GEM to CO<sub>2</sub> at XGL.

# 2.3 Method of correlation analysis

Correlation analysis between atmospheric compounds is a novel tool for studying regional emissions strength of atmospheric pollutants. It has been used for estimating <sup>15</sup> emissions of many atmospheric pollutants with data in good agreement with established emissions inventories (Yokouchi et al., 2006; Worthy et al., 2009; Tohjima et al., 2014). This method was developed and firstly utilized for estimating Hg emissions in Asia using the correlation of GEM to CO during Asian outflow events (Jaffe et al., 2005). Subsequently, correlation slopes between GEM and other trace gases such as CH<sub>4</sub>,

- <sup>20</sup> CO<sub>2</sub>, and halocarbons were also developed (Slemr et al., 2006; Brunke et al., 2012). These methods base on the assumptions of no chemical and physical losses of air pollutants, constant emission ratios and constant background of air pollutants during atmospheric transport events (Jaffe et al., 2005). In this study, correlations between atmospheric GEM and CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> were utilized to estimate GEM emissions
- from mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula and Central Asia on the basis of continuous measurements of atmospheric GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>WLG, XGL, and MAL. The three stations are located in remote areas of northwest and southwest China and have constant backgrounds of atmospheric pollutants (Fu et al., 2012a;



Zhang et al., 2014b). Also, the transport time (typically less than 5 days) of air masses from the source regions to the stations is much shorter than the atmospheric lifetimes of GEM, CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$ . The multiple correlation relationships help constrain the estimated GEM emissions.

- <sup>5</sup> Correlation analysis was conducted by computing the Pearson correlation between GEM concentrations and CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations independently during pollution events when air masses originated or passed over a source region consistently. These events lasted for 8–24 h. Correlation slopes were selected when linear positive correlation is significant (p > 0.01) with a correlation coefficient ( $r^2$ ) > 0.5 (signifi-
- cant correlations with negative slopes were excluded). This criterion was to ensure the method assumptions are valid (Jaffe et al., 2005). Figure 2 shows the time series of atmospheric GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> concentrations during the transport events from 30 January to 2 March in 2009. The temporal variations of GEM were not consistently correlated with those of the three air pollutants because these events were possible
   impacted by different sources that led to different relative emission strength of air pollu-
- tants. Therefore, the correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were calculated individually in this study.

# 2.4 Air mass trajectory calculation

To establish the relationships between the observed correlations slopes and the source
 regions in Asia, we calculated 5 day backward trajectories every 2 h at each of the stations using the TrajStat Geographical Information System software and gridded meteorological data (Global Data Assimilation System, GDAS1) from the US National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration (NOAA). The global gridded meteorological data has a horizontal resolution of 1° (360 × 180 grid cells) with 23 vertical levels from
 1000 to 20 hPa (Wang et al., 2009). These trajectories ended at a height of 500 m above ground at WLG, XGL, and MAL stations. The trajectory endpoints in each event were



averaged to yield the transport pathway. The source area identified by the trajectory

analysis was weighted by the correlation slope observed at the stations during the event.

It should be noted that occasionally the endpoints of the backward trajectories can pass over multiple regions. In this case, we attributed the correlation slopes to the most important source regions that the air masses travelled through. For example, most air

- <sup>5</sup> important source regions that the air masses travelled through. For example, most air masses originated from and passed over South Asia and Central Asia and ended at WLG also passed over the Chinese provinces of Xinjiang, Xizang, and Qinghai that have fairly low emissions of atmospheric GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> (Wu et al., 2006; Kurokawa et al., 2013). It is therefore assumed that these air masses carried the emis-
- sion signals from Central and South Asia. On the other hand, eastern and central China is an important source region of atmospheric GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub>, and therefore the air masses passing over eastern and central China were assumed to carry the emission signals in China. For the XGL and MAL stations, the areas to the west and south of the stations in Yunnan province, southwest China have fairly low emissions of atmospheric GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> (Wu et al., 2006; Kurokawa et al., 2013). The
- air masses passed over South Asia and Indochinese Peninsula were assumed to carry the emission signals from the two regions, respectively.

#### 3 Results and discussion

20

25

# 3.1 Atmospheric GEM concentrations at WLG, XGL, and MAL and potential source regions

Averaged atmospheric GEM concentrations during the study period were  $2.05 \pm 0.96$  ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Hourly means ranging from 0.40 to 14.58 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, October 2007 to September 2009) for WLG,  $2.52 \pm 0.70$  ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Hourly means ranging from 1.35 to 5.98 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, from July to October 2010) for XGL, and  $2.05 \pm 0.67$  ng m<sup>-3</sup> (Hourly means ranging from 0.89 to 6.26 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, from September 2011 to March 2013) for MAL. The levels of atmospheric GEM at the three stations were relatively lower compared to those



observed in North America and Europe (1.3–2.0 ng m<sup>-3</sup>, Sprovieri et al., 2010; Lan et al., 2012; Cole et al., 2013; Munthe et al., 2003). Previous study by Fu et al. (2012a) at WLG suggested that long-range atmospheric transport of GEM from industrial and urbanized areas in northwest China and northwest India contributed significantly to the elevated GEM at WLG. For GEM at XGL, potential sources areas included North India,

- Myanmar, West Sichuan Province and West Yunnan Province (Zhang et al., 2014b). The potential source areas varied with monsoon at MAL. During the ISM seasons (May to October), MAL was mainly impacted by emission of Hg from eastern Yunnan, western Guizhou, and southern Sichuan of China and the north part of Indochinese
- Peninsula. During non-ISM seasons, impact from India and Northwest part of Indochinese Peninsula increased and played an important role in elevated GEM observed at MAL (Zhang et al., 2014).

# 3.2 Observed correlation slopes for the studied source regions

Using backward trajectory analysis, we assigned GEM/CO slopes into four regions, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> into three regions, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> into four regions (Table 1). Histograms of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> slopes for the identified source regions were displayed in Fig. 3. Most of the correlation slopes followed a log-normal distribution (Table 1). Hence, geometric means of the correlation slopes were used throughout the paper.

- <sup>20</sup> The geometric mean correlation slopes of GEM/CO for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula and Central Asia were  $7.3 \pm 4.3 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  (1 SD, n = 37),  $7.8 \pm 6.4 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  (1 SD, n = 40),  $7.8 \pm 5.0 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  (1 SD, n = 34), and  $13.4 \pm 9.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  (1 SD, n = 6), respectively. The observed correlation slopes in mainland China were associated to air masses originating from northwest, southwest,
- <sup>25</sup> central, and southern China (Fig. 4). The trajectories were simulated for a period of 5 day and therefore are expected to pass over most the mainland China because of the length of trajectories. As a result, these observed correlation slopes of GEM/CO



for northwest, southwest, central, and southern China were likely representative of the emission from a majority of areal coverage of mainland China. The correlation slopes of GEM/CO observed for South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia are also representative of these regions as the air masses passing over a majority of these <sup>5</sup> regions (Fig. 4).

GEM/CO correlation slopes were comparable among mainland China, South Asia, and Indochinese Peninsula (means range from 7.3 to  $7.8 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ ), but nearly twofold lower than the mean for Central Asia (mean =  $13.4 \pm 9.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ ). This trend is consistent with the anthropogenic emission ratios of GEM to CO in different regions of Asia. Based on the published anthropogenic GEM and CO emissions inventories in Asia (Kurokawa et al., 2013; AMAP/UNEP, 2013; Wu et al., 2006), we calculated anthropogenic GEM/CO emission ratio to be  $5.8 \times 10^{-6} \text{ tt}^{-1}$  for Central Asia, which is significantly higher than those for mainland China ( $2.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ tt}^{-1}$ ), South Asia ( $1.3 \times 10^{-6} \text{ tt}^{-1}$ ), and Indochinese Peninsula ( $1.2 \times 10^{-6} \text{ tt}^{-1}$ ). Although correlation slopes of GEM/CO were also likely influenced by secondary emissions of GEM (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr et al., 2006), the higher anthropogenic GEM/CO emission ra-

tio in Central Asia partially explains the elevated correlation slopes of GEM/CO in the region. The GEM/CO correlation slopes for mainland China were slightly higher than those  $(4.6-7.4 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1})$  for Chinese outflows observed at Hedo Station, Okinawa,

- Japan, Mt. Bachelor Observatory (MBO), West USA, Seoul, Korea and coastal flights observations (Jaffe et al., 2005; Weiss-Penzias et al., 2007; Choi et al., 2009; Pan et al., 2006; Friedli et al., 2004), but lower than those (8.0 and 11.4 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>) observed in the air masses originated from and/or passed over eastern China (Friedli et al., 2004; Sheu et al., 2010). The difference between the present study and literature val-
- <sup>25</sup> ues may reflect a regional emission difference. The correlation slopes calculated from the observations in mainland China were associated to air masses originated from and passed over northwest, southwest, central, and southern China (Fig. 4), whereas those estimated in previous studies were associated with the air masses in eastern China. Furthermore, there may be impacts from recent changes in atmospheric sources of



GEM, including the decreasing contributions of GEM emissions from domestic coal, agricultural residual and forest burning emissions to the total anthropogenic emissions in mainland China during the past decade (Wu et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2013). These sources were reported with relatively lower GEM/CO emission ratios compared to other industrial sources (Weiss-Penzias et al., 2007; Zhang et al., 2013). There are few studies regarding the correlation slopes of GEM/CO in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia except the GEM/CO correlation slope (5.0 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>) for the outflow from Indochinese Peninsula reported by Sheu et al. (2010).

The geometric means of GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes for mainland China, South Asia, and Central Asia were  $248 \pm 119$  (1 SD, n = 25),  $270 \pm 164$  (1 SD, n = 21), 10 and  $315 \pm 289$  (1 SD, n = 13) pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes calculated from the observations in mainland China were associated with air masses originating from northwest and southwest China and from central China (Fig. 5). The GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes associated with trajectories transported from South Asia predominantly came primarily from Pakistan and northwest India, 15 covering a large area of Central Asia (Fig. 5). The values of GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes also vary with regions, with the greatest geometric mean GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> for the Central Asia. The spatial pattern of GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> slopes appeared to be consistent with the anthropogenic emission ratios of GEM to CO<sub>2</sub> in Asia. Taking the anthropogenic emissions of GEM and CO<sub>2</sub> into account (Wu et al., 2006; Kurokawa et al., 2013; 20 AMAP/UNEP, 2013), GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios of anthropogenic sources were in the order of  $5.1 \times 10^{-8}$  tt<sup>-1</sup> in Central Asia and  $4.2 \times 10^{-8}$  tt<sup>-1</sup> in mainland China, and

 $3.9 \times 10^{-8} \text{ tt}^{-1}$ ) in South Asia The geometric means of the correlation slopes of GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula and Central Asia were  $33.3 \pm 30.4$  (1 SD, n =

41), 27.4 ± 31.0 (1 SD, n = 4), 23.5 ± 15.3 (1 SD, n = 6), and 20.5 ± 10.0 (1 SD, n = 6) pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. Forty-one GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios were calculated in mainland China (26 at the WLG site and 15 at the XGL site). The correlation slopes of GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> at WLG were associated with the air masses from northwest China and



those at XGL were associated to the air masses from Yunnan province, southwest China (Fig. 6). The events of air transport from South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia (4–6 slopes for each region) were relatively fewer. The air masses related to the slopes for South Asia and Indochinese Peninsula were from northwest India and Myanmar, respectively. The GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios calculated from the observations in the air masses from different regions in mainland China varied significantly (Fig. 6). The GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios associated with the air masses from northwest China fell in the range of 14.6–208 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> (geometric mean = 49 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>), significantly higher than those from southwest China (ranging from 8 to 69 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>).
geometric mean = 20 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>). The lower GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> values estimated from the air transport from southwest China is likely due to the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions from rice paddies and natural wetlands (Zhang and Chen, 2010; Chen et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2014a). The anthropogenic release of GEM to the atmosphere in the region is of relatively smaller quantity (Fu et al., 2012c).

#### 15 3.3 Implications for atmospheric Hg emission sources in Asia

The correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were similar in Asian regions. This indicates that the sources of atmospheric Hg were more or less similar among the four studies regions. The GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes in Asian were higher than those observed in other regions. For example,
the GEM/CO ratios in Europe, South Africa, and North America were in the range of 0.3–5.0 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr et al., 2006; Brunke et al., 2012). For GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> ratios, previous studies reported a mean of 184 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for the northeast United States and 63 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for South Africa (Lee et al., 2001; Brunke et al., 2012). The mean GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios in Europe and South Africa were 3.9 and 3.6 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup>, respectively (Slemr et al., 2006; Brunke et al., 2012), approximately one order of magnitude lower than those in Asia.



Non-ferrous metal smelting, coal combustion, cement production, mercury mining are the 4 largest source categories of anthropogenic GEM emissions in mainland China. Emissions factors (EF) of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> from anthropogenic sources have been investigated extensively. In this study, the EFs of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> summarized from Zhao 5 et al. (2012a) and Zhao et al. (2012b) were adopted for calculating GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios for anthropogenic sources. EFs of GEM from coal combustion, non-ferrous smelting, cement, and mercury mining have also be reported (Streets et al., 2005; Li et al., 2009, 2010; Wang et al., 2010). The emission ratios of GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were not estimated due to the fact that GEM and CH<sub>4</sub> do not have common emission sources. The estimated emission ratio of GEM/CO is  $149 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for 10 zinc smelting,  $120 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for lead smelting,  $0.6 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for coal combustion,  $0.3 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for cement production, and  $260 \times 10^3 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  for mercury mining. The estimated emission ratio of GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> is  $48 \times 10^3$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for zinc smelting,  $131 \times 10^3$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for lead smelting, 10 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for coal combustion, and 36 pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for cement production, and  $7.4 \times 10^7$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppm<sup>-1</sup> for mercury mining. Biomass burning (forest and grassland fires, crop residual burning, and crop residues and wood combustion) is also an important atmospheric GEM source in mainland China (Huang et al., 2011). The observed GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios for biomass burning were 0.6–2.1  $pg m^{-3} ppb^{-1}$  and 109  $pg m^{-3} ppm^{-1}$ , respectively (Brunke et al., 2001; Friedli et al., 2003; Weiss-Penzias et al., 2007; Ebing-20 haus et al., 2007). Given that non-ferrous smelting and mercury mining have relatively higher GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios, the elevated GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes in Asia are likely resulted from these emission sources. None of the GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> emission ratios from anthropogenic sources agree consistently with the observed correlation slopes, indicating that the observed 25 correlation slopes of GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> were likely influenced by multiple sources including release from natural surfaces.

Anthropogenic emission alone is not able to fully explain the observed correlation slopes. Based on the anthropogenic emission inventories of GEM, CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and



 $CH_4$ , the emission ratios of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were calculated and shown in Table 2. The anthropogenic emission ratios were all significantly lower than the correlation slopes of observed concentrations. The discrepancy could be explained by soil emission of GEM. Soil emission is also an important source of atmospheric 5 GEM (Pirrone et al., 2010). Due to the lack of soil GEM flux measurements in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia, the measurement in China was applied for the analysis. The measured soil GEM fluxes in southwest and southern China fell in the ranges of 19.2–132 ng m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (mean = 49 ng m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>) and 18.2–114 ng m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>  $(\text{mean} = 43 \text{ ng m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1})$ , respectively (Feng et al., 2005; Fu et al., 2008, 2012c). These values are significantly higher than those in Europe and North America (Zhang et al., 2001; Ericksen et al., 2006; Schroeder et al., 2005). Assuming the soil emissions of CO<sub>2</sub> in mainland China are comparable to those in Europe and North America, the elevated GEM emission fluxes from soil in China can lead to the GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes in mainland China. Using the published CO<sub>2</sub> emission fluxes from subtropical arable soil (Lou et al., 2004), we calculated the soil GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> 15 emission ratios to be  $148-1070 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1}$  (mean =  $370 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1}$ ). Given that soil does not release significant CO to the atmosphere (EC-JRC/PBL, 2011), soil emissions are expected to produce extremely high GEM/CO emission ratios. Rice paddies are sources of both GEM and  $CH_{4}$ . However, previous studies suggested that GEM emission fluxes from rice paddies were much lower compared to those of bare soils, in 20 the range of 1.4–23.8 ng m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup> (Zhu et al., 2011; Fu et al., 2012c). The mean  $CH_4$ emission flux in China has been recorded as high as  $11.4 \text{ mg m}^{-2} \text{ h}^{-1}$  (Chen et al., 2013). This yields average GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> emission ratios of  $0.1-1.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$  from rice paddies. The low GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> emission ratios from rice paddies were opposite to our observations, indicating that it is not the cause for elevated  $GEM/CH_{4}$  slopes in 25 China. However, bare soils are not expected to release CH<sub>4</sub> and should produce extremely high GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> emission ratios. Given the larger areas and higher GEM fluxes of bare soils in China, elevated GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes in China are probably caused by dry soil GEM emissions.



#### 3.4 Estimates of GEM emissions

GEM emissions in mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia were calculated using the GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes obtained in the present study and emissions of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in Asian countries. Emissions of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia were adopted from the study by Kurokawa et al. (2013), which in most cases are consistent with those reported by EDGAR 4.2 (EC-JRC/PBL, 2011). Emissions of CO, CO<sub>2</sub>, and CH<sub>4</sub> in mainland China were adopted from Chinese studies (Table 3). The emissions of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in these studies agree with others reported in the literature (EC-JRC/PBL, 2011; Kurokawa et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Tohjima et al., 2014). The CH<sub>4</sub> emission (39.6 × 10<sup>6</sup> t yr<sup>-1</sup>) used for mainland China in this study is significantly lower than those (73.2–76.0 × 10<sup>6</sup> t yr<sup>-1</sup>) reported by Kurokawa et al. (2013) and EDGAR 4.2 (EC-JRC/PBL, 2011) but similar to that (46.0 × 10<sup>6</sup> t yr<sup>-1</sup>) predicted

from  $CH_4/CO_2$  correlations at Hateruma Island (Tohjima et al., 2014). The Chinese studies utilized optimized emission factors for many sources (e.g. coal mining, rice cul-

tivation, enteric fermentation, etc.) and are expected to give a better prediction of  $CH_4$  emissions in China (Cheng et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013).

Annual GEM emissions estimated from GEM/CO correlation slopes were 1071, 470, 125, and 54 t yr<sup>-1</sup> for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central

- <sup>20</sup> Asia, respectively. The estimated GEM emissions from GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes are similar to those derived from GEM/CO correlation slopes, with annual GEM emissions of 1187, 340, and 90 t yr<sup>-1</sup> for mainland China, South Asia, and Central Asia (no correlation slopes were observed for Indochinese Peninsula). GEM emissions estimated from GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes were substantially higher than those de-
- <sup>25</sup> rived from GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes (Table 3). For example, the estimated GEM emission in China based on GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios reached 1846 t yr<sup>-1</sup>, 55–72 % higher than those estimated from GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> ratios. Similarly, the estimated GEM emissions in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia from



GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> ratios were 1.2–3.9 times greater than those estimated from GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> ratios.

The discrepancy in GEM emissions could be caused by three possible reasons. First, unlike CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, CH<sub>4</sub> does not have many common sources with GEM. Coal combustion, nor-ferrous metal and iron production, cement production, and mercury mining are the major contributors for atmospheric GEM emissions in Asia (Streets et al., 2005; Wu et al., 2006; Pacyna et al., 2010; Pirrone et al., 2010), whereas coal mining, rice cultivation, and enteric fermentation are the predominant sources of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> (Zhang and Chen, 2010; EC-JRC/PBL, 2011; Kurokawa et al., 2013). The GEM/CH<sub>4</sub>
ratios in the study were obtained from pollution events with elevated GEM concentrations which were mainly influenced by industrial sources. The industrial sources are strong GEM emission sources but not for CH<sub>4</sub> (EC-JRC/PBL, 2011). This may result in overestimates of the overall emission ratios of GEM/CH<sub>4</sub>. Additionally, we do not obtain substantial correlation slopes of GEM/CH<sub>4</sub>, which might be not representative for the studied regions. Using mainland China for example, 26 out of 41 slopes

- were observed at WLG. The slopes were related to air masses originated from and/or passed over northwest China, which yielded a mean GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slope of  $49 \pm 30.0 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ , significantly higher than that  $(20.0 \pm 19.1 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1})$  of the slopes from southwest China. The slopes associated with air masses from northwest
- <sup>20</sup> China were expected to be predominantly influenced by emissions of GEM and CH<sub>4</sub> its proximity to the WLG. Previous studies have suggested that the anthropogenic emission ratios of GEM/CH4 in northwest China were relatively higher than the values from other Chinese regions (Wu et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2014a). Therefore, the large fraction of slopes obtained from northwest China was also responsible for overesti-
- <sup>25</sup> mates of GEM emissions in the present study. Finally, large uncertainties exist in Asian CH<sub>4</sub> emission inventories. Most recently Chinese studies have suggested that the CH<sub>4</sub> emissions in China reported by previous studies were overestimated by a factor of ~ 2 (Zhang and Chen, 2010; Cheng et al., 2011; Chen et al., 2013; Kurokawa et al., 2013), and this may also be the case for South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central



Asia. In contrast, CO and CO<sub>2</sub> generally have many coherent sources and the correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> were abundant for the studies regions. Hence, GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes may better depict the GEM emissions in Asia than GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes.

- <sup>5</sup> The estimated GEM emissions in mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia using GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> ratios agree reasonably with the results of previous studies (Jaffe et al., 2005; Weiss-Penzias et al., 2007), but consistently greater than the reported anthropogenic GEM emissions (Table 3). The estimated GEM emissions in China are about 3–4 folds higher than the anthropogenic
- emission for 2003 (Wu et al., 2006), and those in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia are 2–5 folds higher than the anthropogenic emissions for 2010 (AMAP/UNEP, 2013). It is hypothesized that underestimate of anthropogenic GEM emissions, contributions of re-emission and natural emissions, uncertainties in fraction of Hg species in the inventory, conversion of Hg species during long-range transport
- <sup>15</sup> are the causes of explain the discrepancy (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr et al., 2006). A recent study showed that the total anthropogenic Hg emissions in China have increased to 1028 t in 2007, which is about 50 % higher than that in 2003 and corresponds to a mean annual increasing rate of 10.6 % (Wu et al., 2006; Liang et al., 2013). If this increasing rate is applied to the estimate of anthropogenic GEM emissions in 2003
- (Wu et al., 2006), anthropogenic GEM emission in China is expected to be 800t for 2010. This value is significantly higher than the estimate in 2003 as well as the value (430 t) from the UNEP report for 2010 (Wu et al., 2006; AMAP/UNEP, 2013). There are few studies on anthropogenic GEM emissions in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia. A previous study suggested that total Hg emission in India was
- <sup>25</sup> about 253 t in 2004 (Mukherjee et al., 2009). Assuming GEM accounting for 64 % of total Hg emissions in India (Pacyna et al., 2003), the GEM emission in India for 2004 was estimated to be 162 t, ~ 2 times greater compared to the estimate of 96 t in South Asia (including India and other South Asia countries) for 2010 by the UNEP report (AMAP/UNEP, 2013). Given the increasing energy consumption recently, an increase



in GEM emissions in South Asia is expected. This indicates the UNEP report for 2010 likely underestimated GEM emissions in South Asia significantly.

Emission and reemission of GEM from natural sources were regarded as an important cause for the discrepancy between estimated GEM emissions using atmospheric

- <sup>5</sup> pollutants correlation slopes and anthropogenic emission inventories (Jaffe et al., 2005; Slemr et al., 2006). Figure 7 shows the statistical summary of GEM exchange fluxes between different landscapes and atmosphere in warm season in mainland China. The mean GEM flux from dry farmland, rice paddies, grassland, forest soil, waters, and urban soil in warm seasons were  $33.6 \pm 34.6$ ,  $17.4 \pm 15.9$ ,  $11.4 \pm 11.1$ ,  $8.8 \pm 6.4$ ,  $6.1 \pm 4.4$ ,
- and 35.3±43.1 ng m<sup>-2</sup> h<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. These are significantly higher compared to those observed from Europe and North America (Poissant and Casimir, 1998; Boudala et al., 2000; Schroeder et al., 2005; Ericksen et al., 2006; Kuiken et al., 2008; Choi and Holsen, 2009). GEM fluxes from different landscapes in cold seasons were relatively limited. Several studies found that GEM fluxes from dry farmland, forest soil, and lake
- waters were about 2.5–40 times (mean = 6.5, n = 18) lower than those in warm seasons (Wang et al., 2003; Ma et al., 2013; Fu et al., 2010b, 2013). Given the different landscapes and seasonal patterns of GEM fluxes in mainland China, we estimate the annual natural GEM emissions to be 528t in China. This value is close to the estimate made by Shetty et al. (2008) but highlights the GEM emissions from dry farmland
- and grassland. There is no information regarding GEM fluxes from landscape in South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia. Here we assume that the natural GEM fluxes from landscapes in these areas are similar to those in China and the annual GEM emissions from South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia could be roughly estimated to be 240, 113, and 220 t, respectively. Although these estimated of
- natural GEM emission have large uncertainties, they help explain the discrepancy between estimated GEM emissions using atmospheric pollutants correlation slopes and anthropogenic emission inventories.



#### 4 Conclusions

The correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> were calculated and applied for estimating the GEM emission from four important source regions in Asia using ground-based measurements at 3 remote sites in north-5 west and southwest China and backwards trajectory analysis. The values of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes varied with the source regions. The GEM/CO correlation slopes were comparable among mainland China, South Asia, and Indochinese Peninsula, with the geometric means in the range of  $7.3-7.8 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ , but they are about twofold lower than that  $(\text{mean} = 13.4 \pm 9.5 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1})$  in Central Asia. This is consistent with GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> 10 correlation slopes for Central Asia (mean =  $315 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1}$ ), South Asia  $(mean = 270 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1})$ , and mainland China  $(mean = 248 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppm}^{-1})$ . However, we observed a opposite spatial trend for  $GEM/CH_4$  correlation slopes that showed the highest geometric mean of  $33.3 \pm 30.4$  pg m<sup>-3</sup> ppb<sup>-1</sup> in mainland China, followed by South Asia (mean =  $27.4 \pm 31.0 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ ), 15 Indochinese Peninsula (mean =  $23.5 \pm 15.3 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1}$ ), and Central Asia  $(mean = 20.5 \pm 10.0 \text{ pg m}^{-3} \text{ ppb}^{-1})$ . Elevated GEM/CO and GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> correlation slopes in Central Asia were found to be consistent with anthropogenic emission ratios of GEM relative to CO and CO<sub>2</sub>, indicating anthropogenic sources played an important role in the observed correlation slopes. The highest GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation 20 slopes in mainland China were likely due to the transport from northwest China where strong GEM emissions and weak CH<sub>4</sub> emissions occur in the region.

The observed GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes in Asia regions were consistently higher than those reported for Europe, North America, and

South Africa. This highlights GEM emissions from non-ferrous smelting, mercury mining, natural sources and historical deposited mercury (re-emission) in Asia. Using the correlation slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub> and recent inventories of CO and CO<sub>2</sub> in Asia countries, GEM emissions in mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula,



and Centrals were estimated to be in the ranges of 1071-1181t, 340-470t, 125t, and 54-90t, respectively. These estimates were lower than those predicted by the GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> correlation slopes because of the different emission sources of GEM and CH<sub>4</sub> and the large uncertainties associated with CH<sub>4</sub> emission estimates in Asia. These

- factors may lead to the overestimate of GEM emissions. On the other hand, the estimates of GEM emissions in this study were much higher than those from recent anthropogenic GEM emission inventories by UNEP report. The underestimate of anthropogenic GEM emissions in Asia and GEM emissions from natural sources (including primary natural sources and re-emission of historical deposited mercury) may play an
- <sup>10</sup> important role. Our preliminary assessment showed an annual GEM emission of about 528 t from natural sources in mainland China, and 113–240 t for South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia. Although large uncertainties exist, these estimates seem to explain the discrepancies between the calculated GEM emissions based on the observed correlation slopes and anthropogenic emissions of GEM.
- Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the National "973" Program of China (2013CB430003); the National Science Foundation of China (41273145, 41473025, 41003051, 41175116); the Innovative Program (Special Foundation for Young Scientist) of The Chinese Academy of Sciences (KZCX2-EW-QN111), the European Commission through GMOS (project no, 265113), and the National "973" Program of China (2010CB950601).

#### 20 References

AMAP/UNEP: Technical Background Report for the Global Mercury Assessment 2013, Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme, Oslo, Norway/UNEP Chemicals Branch, Geneva, Switzerland., vi + 263 pp., 2013.

Boudala, F. S., Folkins, I., Beauchamp, S., Tordon, R., Neima, J., and Johnson, B.: Mercury flux
 measurements over air and water in Kejimkujik National Park, Nova Scotia, Water Air Soil
 Poll., 122, 183–202, doi:10.1023/A:1005299411107, 2000.



Brunke, E. G., Labuschagne, C., and Slemr, F.: Gaseous mercury emissions from a fire in the Cape Peninsula, South Africa, during January 2000, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1483–1486, doi:10.1029/2000gl012193, 2001.

Brunke, E.-G., Ebinghaus, R., Kock, H. H., Labuschagne, C., and Slemr, F.: Emissions of mer-

- <sup>5</sup> cury in southern Africa derived from long-term observations at Cape Point, South Africa, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7465–7474, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7465-2012, 2012.
  - Chen, H., Zhu, Q. A., Peng, C. H., Wu, N., Wang, Y. F., Fang, X. Q., Jiang, H., Xiang, W. H., Chang, J., Deng, X. W., and Yu, G. R.: Methane emissions from rice paddies natural wetlands, lakes in China: synthesis new estimate, Glob. Change Biol., 19, 19–32, doi:10.1111/Gcb.12034, 2013.
- Cheng, Y. P., Wang, L., and Zhang, X. L.: Environmental impact of coal mine methane emissions and responding strategies in China, Int. J. Greenh. Gas. Con., 5, 157–166, doi:10.1016/j.ijggc.2010.07.007, 2011.

10

Choi, E. M., Kim, S. H., Holsen, T. M., and Yi, S. M.: Total gaseous concentrations in mercury

- <sup>15</sup> in Seoul, Korea: local sources compared to long-range transport from China and Japan, Environ. Pollut., 157, 816–822, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.11.023, 2009.
  - Choi, H. D. and Holsen, T. M.: Gaseous mercury fluxes from the forest floor of the Adirondacks, Environ. Pollut., 157, 592–600, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.08.020, 2009.

Cole, A. S., Steffen, A., Pfaffhuber, K. A., Berg, T., Pilote, M., Poissant, L., Tordon, R., and Hung, H.: Ten-year trends of atmospheric mercury in the high Arctic compared to Canadian

- Hung, H.: Ten-year trends of atmospheric mercury in the high Arctic compared to Canadian sub-Arctic and mid-latitude sites, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 1535–1545, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1535-2013, 2013.
  - Ebinghaus, R., Jennings, S. G., Schroeder, W. H., Berg, T., Donaghy, T., Guentzel, J., Kenny, C., Kock, H. H., Kvietkus, K., Landing, W., Muhleck, T., Munthe, J., Prestbo, E. M., Schnee-
- berger, D., Slemr, F., Sommar, J., Urba, A., Wallschlager, D., and Xiao, Z.: International field intercomparison measurements of atmospheric mercury species at Mace Head, Ireland, Atmos. Environ., 33, 3063–3073, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(98)00119-8, 1999.
  - Ebinghaus, R., Slemr, F., Brenninkmeijer, C. A. M., van Velthoven, P., Zahn, A., Hermann, M., O'Sullivan, D. A., and Oram, D. E.: Emissions of gaseous mercury from biomass burning in
- <sup>30</sup> South America in 2005 observed during CARIBIC flights, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L08813, doi:10.1029/2006gl028866, 2007.
  - EC-JRC/PBL: European Commission, Joint Research Center/Netherlands Environmental Assessment Agency, Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), re-



lease version 4.2, available at: http://edgar.jrc.ec.europa.eu/index.php (last access: 4 October 2012), 2011.

- Ericksen, J. A., Gustin, M. S., Xin, M., Weisberg, P. J., and Fernandez, G. C. J.: Air-soil exchange of mercury from background soils in the United States, Sci. Total Environ., 366, 851–863, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.08.019, 2006.
- Fang, F. M., Wang, Q. C., and Li, J. F.: Urban environmental mercury in Changchun, a metropolitan city in Northeastern China: source, cycle, and fate, Sci. Total Environ., 330, 159–170, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2004.04.006, 2004.

5

10

15

- Fang, S. X., Zhou, L. X., Masarie, K. A., Xu, L., and Rella, C. W.: Study of atmospheric CH<sub>4</sub> mole fractions at three WMO/GAW stations in China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 4874–4886,
- doi:10.1002/Jgrd.50284, 2013.
- Feng, X. B., Yan, H. Y., Wang, S. F., Qiu, G. L., Tang, S. L., Shang, L. H., Dai, Q. J., and Hou, Y. M.: Seasonal variation of gaseous mercury exchange rate between air and water surface over Baihua reservoir, Guizhou, China, Atmos. Environ., 38, 4721–4732, doi:10.1016/i.atmosenv.2004.05.023, 2004.
- Feng, X. B., Wang, S. F., Qiu, G. A., Hou, Y. M., and Tang, S. L.: Total gaseous mercury emissions from soil in Guiyang, Guizhou, China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D14306, doi:10.1029/2004jd005643, 2005.

Friedli, H. R., Radke, L. F., Prescott, R., Hobbs, P. V., and Sinha, P.: Mercury emissions

- <sup>20</sup> from the August 2001 wildfires in Washington State and an agricultural waste fire in Oregon and atmospheric mercury budget estimates, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 17, 1039, doi:10.1029/2002gb001972, 2003.
  - Friedli, H. R., Radke, L. F., Prescott, R., Li, P., Woo, J. H., and Carmichael, G. R.: Mercury in the atmosphere around Japan, Korea, and China as observed during the 2001 ACE-Asia
- <sup>25</sup> field campaign: Measurements, distributions, sources, and implications, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D19s25, doi:10.1029/2003jd004244, 2004.
  - Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Wang, S. F., Qiu, G. L., and Li, P.: Mercury flux rate of to type s of grasslands in Guiyang, Research of Environmental Sciences, 20, 33–37, 2007 (in Chinese with abstract in English).
- <sup>30</sup> Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., and Wang, S. F.: Exchange fluxes of Hg between surfaces and atmosphere in the eastern flank of Mount Gongga, Sichuan province, southwestern China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D20306, doi:10.1029/2008jd009814, 2008.



- Fu, X. W., Feng, X., Dong, Z. Q., Yin, R. S., Wang, J. X., Yang, Z. R., and Zhang, H.: Atmospheric gaseous elemental mercury (GEM) concentrations and mercury depositions at a high-altitude mountain peak in south China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2425–2437, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2425-2010, 2010a.
- <sup>5</sup> Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Wan, Q., Meng, B., Yan, H. Y., and Guo, Y. N.: Probing Hg evasion from surface waters of two Chinese hyper/meso-eutrophic reservoirs, Sci. Total Environ., 408, 5887–5896, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.08.001, 2010b.
  - Fu, X. W., Feng, X., Liang, P., Deliger, Zhang, H., Ji, J., and Liu, P.: Temporal trend and sources of speciated atmospheric mercury at Waliguan GAW station, Northwestern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1951–1964, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1951-2012, 2012a.
- Fu, X. W., Feng, X., Shang, L. H., Wang, S. F., and Zhang, H.: Two years of measurements of atmospheric total gaseous mercury (TGM) at a remote site in Mt. Changbai area, Northeastern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4215–4226, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4215-2012, 2012b.

10

20

- Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Zhang, H., Yu, B., and Chen, L. G.: Mercury emissions from natural surfaces highly impacted by human activities in Guangzhou province, South China, Atmos. Environ., 54, 185–193, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.02.008, 2012c.
  - Fu, X. W., Feng, X. B., Guo, Y. N., Meng, B., Yin, R. S., and Yao, H.: Distribution and production of reactive mercury and dissolved gaseous mercury in surface waters and water/air mercury flux in reservoirs on Wujiang River, Southwest China, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 3905– 3917, doi:10.1002/Jgrd.50384, 2013.
  - Gustin, M. S.: Are mercury emissions from geologic sources significant? A status report, Sci. Total Environ., 304, 153–167, Pii S0048-9697(02)00565-X, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(02)00565-X, 2003.

Gustin, M. S., Lindberg, S., Marsik, F., Casimir, A., Ebinghaus, R., Edwards, G., Hubble-

- Fitzgerald, C., Kemp, R., Kock, H., Leonard, T., London, J., Majewski, M., Montecinos, C., Owens, J., Pilote, M., Poissant, L., Rasmussen, P., Schaedlich, F., Schneeberger, D., Schroeder, W., Sommar, J., Turner, R., Vette, A., Wallschlaeger, D., Xiao, Z., and Zhang, H.: Nevada STORMS project: Measurement of mercury emissions from naturally enriched surfaces, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 104, 21831–21844, doi:10.1029/1999jd900351, 1999.
- Gustin, M. S., Lindberg, S. E., Austin, K., Coolbaugh, M., Vette, A., and Zhang, H.: Assessing the contribution of natural sources to regional atmospheric mercury budgets, Sci. Total Environ., 259, 61–71, doi:10.1016/S0048-9697(00)00556-8, 2000.



- Gustin, M. S., Engle, M., Ericksen, J., Xin, M., Krabbenhoft, D., Lindberg, S., Olund, S., and Rytuba, J.: New insights into mercury exchange between air and substrate, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 69, A700–A700, 2005.
- Huang, X., Li, M. M., Friedli, H. R., Song, Y., Chang, D., and Zhu, L.: Mercury Emissions from Biomass Burning in China, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 9442–9448, doi:10.1021/Es202224e, 2011.
  - Jaffe, D., Prestbo, E., Swartzendruber, P., Weiss-Penzias, P., Kato, S., Takami, A., Hatakeyama, S., and Kajii, Y.: Export of atmospheric mercury from Asia, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3029–3038, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.01.030, 2005.
- Kuiken, T., Zhang, H., Gustin, M., and Lindberg, S.: Mercury emission from terrestrial background surfaces in the eastern USA. Part I: Air/surface exchange of mercury within a southeastern deciduous forest (Tennessee) over one year, Appl. Geochem., 23, 345–355, doi:10.1016/j.apgeocliem.2007.12.006, 2008.
- Kurokawa, J., Ohara, T., Morikawa, T., Hanayama, S., Janssens-Maenhout, G., Fukui, T.,
   Kawashima, K., and Akimoto, H.: Emissions of air pollutants and greenhouse gases over Asian regions during 2000–2008: Regional Emission inventory in ASia (REAS) version 2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11019–11058, doi:10.5194/acp-13-11019-2013, 2013.
  - Lan, X., Talbot, R., Castro, M., Perry, K., and Luke, W.: Seasonal and diurnal variations of atmospheric mercury across the US determined from AMNet monitoring data, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 10569–10582, doi:10.5194/acp-12-10569-2012, 2012.

20

- Lee, X., Bullock, O. R., and Andres, R. J.: Anthropogenic emission of mercury to the atmosphere in the northeast United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 1231–1234, doi:10.1029/2000gl012274, 2001.
- Li, G. H., Feng, X. B., Li, Z. G., Qiu, G. L., Shang, L. H., Liang, P., Wang, D. Y., and Yang, Y. K.:
- Mercury emission to atmosphere from primary Zn production in China, Sci. Total Environ., 408, 4607–4612, doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2010.06.059, 2010.
  - Li, P., Feng, X. B., Qiu, G. L., Shang, L. H., Wang, S. F., and Meng, B.: Atmospheric mercury emission from artisanal mercury mining in Guizhou Province, Southwestern China, Atmos. Environ., 43, 2247–2251, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.01.050, 2009.
- Juang, S., Xu, M., Liu, Z., Suh, S., and Zhang, T. Z.: Socioeconomic drivers of mercury emissions in China from 1992 to 2007, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 3234–3240, doi:10.1021/Es303728d, 2013.



Lindberg, S., Bullock, R., Ebinghaus, R., Engstrom, D., Feng, X. B., Fitzgerald, W., Pirrone, N., Prestbo, E., and Seigneur, C.: A synthesis of progress and uncertainties in attributing the sources of mercury in deposition, Ambio, 36, 19–32, 2007.

Lindberg, S. E., Hanson, P. J., Meyers, T. P., and Kim, K. H.: Air/surface exchange of mercury

- vapor over forests the need for a reassessment of continental biogenic emissions, Atmos. Environ., 32, 895–908, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00173-8, 1998.
  - Liu, F., Cheng, H. X., Yang, K., Zhao, C. D., Liu, Y. H., Peng, M., and Li, K.: Characteristics and influencing factors of mercury exchange flux between soil and air in Guangzhou City, J. Geochem. Explor., 139, 115–121, doi:10.1016/j.gexplo.2013.09.005, 2014.
- Liu, M., Wang, H., Wang, H., Oda, T., Zhao, Y., Yang, X., Zang, R., Zang, B., Bi, J., and Chen, J.: Refined estimate of China's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions in spatiotemporal distributions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10873–10882, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10873-2013, 2013.
  - Lou, Y. S., Li, Z. P., Zhang, T. L., and Liang, Y. C.: CO<sub>2</sub> emissions from subtropical arable soils of China, Soil Biol. Biochem., 36, 1835–1842, doi:10.1016/j.soilbio.2004.05.006, 2004.
- Ma, M., Wang, D. Y., Sun, R. G., Shen, Y. Y., and Huang, L. X.: Gaseous mercury emissions from subtropical forested and open field soils in a national nature reserve, southwest China, Atmos. Environ., 64, 116–123, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.09.038, 2013.
  - Mason, R. P., Fitzgerald, W. F., and Morel, F. M. M.: The biogeochemical cycling of elemental mercury – anthropogenic influences, Geochim. Cosmochim. Ac., 58, 3191–3198, doi:10.1016/0016-7037(94)90046-9, 1994.

20

Mukherjee, A. B., Bhattacharya, P., Sarkar, A., and Zevenhoven, R.: Mercury Emissions from Industrial Sources in India and its Effects in the Environment, Springer, New York, USA, 81–112, 2009.

Munthe, J., Wangberg, I., Pirrone, N., Iverfeldt, A., Ferrara, R., Ebinghaus, R., Feng, X.,

- Gardfeldt, K., Keeler, G., Lanzillotta, E., Lindberg, S. E., Lu, J., Mamane, Y., Prestbo, E., Schmolke, S., Schroeder, W. H., Sommar, J., Sprovieri, F., Stevens, R. K., Stratton, W., Tuncel, G., and Urba, A.: Intercomparison of methods for sampling and analysis of atmospheric mercury species, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3007–3017, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(01)00104-2, 2001.
- <sup>30</sup> Munthe, J., Wangberg, I., Iverfeldt, A., Lindqvist, O., Stromberg, D., Sommar, J., Gardfeldt, K., Petersen, G., Ebinghaus, R., Prestbo, E., Larjava, K., and Siemens, V.: Distribution of atmospheric mercury species in Northern Europe: final results from the MOE project, Atmos. Environ., 37, S9–S20, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00235-8, 2003.



25013

Shetty, S. K., Lin, C. J., Streets, D. G., and Jang, C.: Model estimate of mercury emission from natural sources in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 42, 8674-8685, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2008.08.026, 2008.

- Selin, N. E., Jacob, D. J., Park, R. J., Yantosca, R. M., Strode, S., Jaegle, L., and Jaffe, D.: Chemical cycling and deposition of atmospheric mercury: global constraints from observations, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 112, D02308, doi:10.1029/2006jd007450, 2007. 30
- Dias, G., Kemp, J., Van Heyst, B., and Banic, C. M.: Gaseous mercury emissions 25 from natural sources in Canadian landscapes, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 110, D18302, doi:10.1029/2004jd005699, 2005.
- 20 Poissant, L. and Casimir, A.: Water-air and soil-air exchange rate of total gaseous mercury measured at background sites, Atmos. Environ., 32, 883-893, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(97)00132-5, 1998. Schroeder, W. H., Beauchamp, S., Edwards, G., Poissant, L., Rasmussen, P., Tordon, R.,
- X, 1996. Pirrone, N., Cinnirella, S., Feng, X., Finkelman, R. B., Friedli, H. R., Leaner, J., Mason, R., Mukherjee, A. B., Stracher, G. B., Streets, D. G., and Telmer, K.: Global mercury emissions to the atmosphere from anthropogenic and natural sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5951-5964, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5951-2010, 2010.
- cury to the atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 30, 2981–2987, doi:10.1016/1352-2310(95)00498-
- Atmos., 111, D07109, doi:10.1029/2005jd006381, 2006. Pirrone, N., Keeler, G. J., and Nriagu, J. O.: Regional differences in worldwide emissions of mer-
- Regional Aerosol Characterization Experiment (ACE-Asia) observations, J. Geophys. Res.-
- <sup>10</sup> Pan, L., Woo, J. H., Carmichael, G. R., Tang, Y. H., Friedli, H. R., and Radke, L. F.: Regional distribution and emissions of mercury in east Asia: a modeling analysis of Asian Pacific
- Pacyna, J. M., Pacyna, E. G., Steenhuisen, F., and Wilson, S.: Mapping 1995 global anthropogenic emissions of mercury, Atmos. Environ., 37, S109-S117, doi:10.1016/S1352-2310(03)00239-5, 2003.

doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.06.009, 2010.

Nriagu, J. O.: A global assessment of natural sources of atmospheric trace-metals, Nature, 338, 47-49, doi:10.1038/338047a0, 1989. Pacyna, E. G., Pacyna, J. M., Sundseth, K., Munthe, J., Kindbom, K., Wilson, S., Steenhuisen, F., and Maxson, P.: Global emission of mercury to the atmosphere from an-

5

15

thropogenic sources in 2005 and projections to 2020, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2487-2499,



Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper





- Sheu, G. R., Lin, N. H., Wang, J. L., Lee, C. T., Yang, C. F. O., and Wang, S. H.: Temporal distribution and potential sources of atmospheric mercury measured at a high-elevation background station in Taiwan, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2393–2400, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.04.009, 2010.
- Slemr, F., Ebinghaus, R., Simmonds, P. G., and Jennings, S. G.: European emissions of mercury derived from long-term observations at Mace Head, on the western Irish coast, Atmos. Environ., 40, 6966–6974, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.06.013, 2006.
  - Sprovieri, F., Pirrone, N., Ebinghaus, R., Kock, H., and Dommergue, A.: A review of worldwide atmospheric mercury measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 8245–8265, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8245-2010, 2010.
- Streets, D. G., Hao, J. M., Wu, Y., Jiang, J. K., Chan, M., Tian, H. Z., and Feng, X. B.: Anthropogenic mercury emissions in China, Atmos. Environ., 39, 7789–7806, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.08.029, 2005.

Streets, D. G., Zhang, Q., and Wu, Y.: Projections of global mercury emissions in 2050, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 2983–2988, doi:10.1021/Es802474j, 2009.

Tian, H. Z., Zhao, D., He, M. C., Wang, Y., and Cheng, K.: Temporal and spatial distribution of atmospheric antimony emission inventories from coal combustion in China, Environ. Pollut., 159, 1613–1619, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2011.02.048, 2011.

Tohjima, Y., Kubo, M., Minejima, C., Mukai, H., Tanimoto, H., Ganshin, A., Maksyutov, S., Kat-

- sumata, K., Machida, T., and Kita, K.: Temporal changes in the emissions of  $CH_4$  and CO from China estimated from  $CH_4/CO_2$  and  $CO/CO_2$  correlations observed at Hateruma Island, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1663–1677, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1663-2014, 2014.
  - Wang, D. Y., He, L., Shi, X. J., Wei, S. Q., and Feng, X. B.: Release flux of mercury from different environmental surfaces in Chongqing, China, Chemosphere, 64, 1845–1854, doi:10.1016/j.chemosphere.2006.01.054, 2006.
  - Wang, S., Feng, X., and Qiu, G.: The study of mercury exchange rate between air and soil surface in Hongfeng reservoir region, Guizhou, PR China, J. Phys. Iv., 107, 1357–1360, doi:10.1051/Jp4:20030553, 2003.

Wang, S. X., Zhang, L., Li, G. H., Wu, Y., Hao, J. M., Pirrone, N., Sprovieri, F., and Ancora, M. P.: Mercury emission and speciation of coal-fired power plants in China, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

10, 1183–1192, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1183-2010, 2010.

10

15

25

Wang, Y. Q., Zhang, X. Y., and Draxler, R. R.: TrajStat: GIS-based software that uses various trajectory statistical analysis methods to identify potential sources from



Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion

Paper

long-term air pollution measurement data, Environ. Modell. Softw., 24, 938–939, doi:10.1016/j.envsoft.2009.01.004, 2009.

- Weiss-Penzias, P., Jaffe, D., Swartzendruber, P., Hafner, W., Chand, D., and Prestbo, E.: Quantifying Asian and biomass burning sources of mercury using the Hg/CO ratio in pollution
- <sup>5</sup> plumes observed at the Mount Bachelor Observatory, Atmos. Environ., 41, 4366–4379, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.01.058, 2007.
  - Worthy, D. E. J., Chan, E., Ishizawa, M., Chan, D., Poss, C., Dlugokencky, E. J., Maksyutov, S., and Levin, I.: Decreasing anthropogenic methane emissions in Europe and Siberia inferred from continuous carbon dioxide and methane observations at Alert, Canada, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D10301, doi:10.1029/2008jd011239, 2009.
- Wu, Y., Wang, S. X., Streets, D. G., Hao, J. M., Chan, M., and Jiang, J. K.: Trends in anthropogenic mercury emissions in China from 1995 to 2003, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 5312–5318, doi:10.1021/Es060406x, 2006.

Yokouchi, Y., Taguchi, S., Saito, T., Tohjima, Y., Tanimoto, H., and Mukai, H.: High frequency measurements of HFCs at a remote site in east Asia and their implications for Chinese emissions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L21814, doi:10.1029/2006gl026403, 2006.

Zhang, B. and Chen, G. Q.: Methane emissions by Chinese economy: inventory and embodiment analysis, Energ. Policy, 38, 4304–4316, doi:10.1016/j.enpol.2010.03.059, 2010.

Zhang, B., Li, J. S., and Peng, B. H.: Multi-regional input-output analysis for China's regional CH<sub>4</sub> emissions, Front Earth Sci.-Prc., 8, 163–180, doi:10.1007/s11707-014-0408-0, 2014a.
Zhang, H., Lindberg, S. E., Marsik, F. J., and Keeler, G. J.: Mercury air/surface exchange kinetics of background soils of the Tahquamenon River watershed in the Michigan Upper Peninsula, Water Air Soil Poll., 126, 151–169, doi:10.1023/A:1005227802306, 2001.

Zhang, H., Fu, X. W., Lin, C.-J., Wang, X., and Feng, X. B.: Observation and analysis of speciated atmospheric mercury in Shangri-Ia, Tibetan Plateau, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Dis-

cuss., 14, 11041–11074, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-11041-2014, 2014b.

10

20

25

- Zhang, L., Wang, S. X., Wang, L., and Hao, J. M.: Atmospheric mercury concentration and chemical speciation at a rural site in Beijing, China: implications of mercury emission sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 10505–10516, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10505-2013, 2013.
- <sup>30</sup> Zhang, L. M., Wright, L. P., and Blanchard, P.: A review of current knowledge concerning dry deposition of atmospheric mercury, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5853–5864, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.08.019, 2009.



Zhao, Y., Nielsen, C. P., and McElroy, M. B.: China's CO<sub>2</sub> emissions estimated from the bottom up: Recent trends, spatial distributions, and quantification of uncertainties, Atmos. Environ., 59, 214–223, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.027, 2012a.

Zhao, Y., Nielsen, C. P., McElroy, M. B., Zhang, L., and Zhang, J.: CO emissions in China:

- <sup>5</sup> uncertainties and implications of improved energy efficiency and emission control, Atmos. Environ., 49, 103–113, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.12.015, 2012b.
  - Zhou, L. X., Tang, J., Wen, Y. P., Li, J. L., Yan, P., and Zhang, X. C.: The impact of local winds and long-range transport on the continuous carbon dioxide record at Mount Waliguan, China, Tellus B, 55, 145–158, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.2003.00064.x, 2003.
- <sup>10</sup> Zhu, J. S., Wang, D. Y., Liu, X. A., and Zhang, Y. T.: Mercury fluxes from air/surface interfaces in paddy field and dry land, Appl. Geochem., 26, 249–255, doi:10.1016/j.apgeochem.2010.11.025, 2011.

15

Zhu, J. S., Wang, D. Y., and Ma, M.: Mercury release flux and its influencing factors at the air-water interface in paddy field in Chongqing, China, Chinese Sci. Bull., 58, 266–274, doi:10.1007/s11434-012-5412-8, 2013.



**Table 1.** Statistical summary of GEM/CO,  $GEM/CO_2$ , and  $GEM/CH_4$  correlation slopes observed for mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese peninsula, and Central Asia during the study period.

Correlation	Identified	Slope						
slopes	regions	Range	Mean	Geomean	Median	1 SD	Ν	Log-normal K–S test ( <i>p</i> )
GEM/CO	mainland China	1.4–19.6	8.4	7.3	7.5	4.3	37	0.96
$(pg m^{-3} pp b^{-1})$	South Asia	1.5–31.6	9.6	7.8	7.8	6.4	40	0.92
	Indochinese Peninsula	2.8–28.0	8.9	7.8	8.4	5.0	34	0.94
	Central Asia	2.0–34.0	17.0	13.4	17.0	9.5	6	0.93
GEM/CO <sub>2</sub>	mainland China	115–687	268	248	254	119	25	1.0
$(pg m^{-3} pp m^{-1})$	South Asia	130–743	305	270	266	164	21	0.88
	Central Asia	167–1260	374	315	275	289	13	0.97
GEM/CH <sub>4</sub>	mainland China	8.3–110	43.4	33.3	34.9	30.4	41	0.87
$(pg m^{-3} pp b^{-1})$	South Asia	14.5-80.9	35.0	27.4	22.3	31.0	4	0.90
	Indochinese Peninsula	7.8–47.7	27.7	23.5	28.8	15.3	6	0.87
	Central Asia	10.9–39.0	22.2	20.5	18.7	10.0	6	0.85



Regions	GEM	CO	CO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_4$	GEM/CO	GEM/CO <sub>2</sub>	GEM/CH <sub>4</sub>
	emissions	emissions	emissions	emissions	$(pg m^{-3} pp b^{-1})$	$(pg m^{-3} pp m^{-1})$	$(pg m^{-3} pp b^{-1})$
	$(t yr^{-1})$	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>			
		t yr <sup>-1</sup> )	tyr <sup>-1</sup> )	tyr <sup>-1</sup> )			
Mainland	394.9 <sup>a</sup>	183.0 <sup>b</sup>	9370 <sup>c</sup>	39.6 <sup>d</sup>	2.7	82.4	7.1
China							
South Asia	96.3 <sup>a</sup>	75.2 <sup>e</sup>	246 <sup>e</sup>	39.3 <sup>e</sup>	1.6	76.5	1.8
Indochinese	24.4 <sup>a</sup>	19.9 <sup>e</sup>	557 <sup>e</sup>	14.9 <sup>e</sup>	1.5	85.7	1.2
Peninsula							
Central Asia	28.8 <sup>a</sup>	5.0 <sup>e</sup>	562 <sup>e</sup>	7.5 <sup>e</sup>	7.2	102	2.8

**Table 2.** Anthropogenic emissions GEM, CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  in mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese Peninsula, and Central Asia as well as estimated anthropogenic emission ratios.

<sup>a</sup> AMAP/UNEP (2013).

<sup>b</sup> Zhao et al. (2012b).

<sup>c</sup> Zhao et al. (2012a).

<sup>d</sup> Zhang and Chen (2010).

<sup>e</sup> Kurokawa et al. (2013).



Table 3. Estimates of GEM emissions from mainland China, South Asia, Indochinese penin-
sula, and Central Asia using the observed correlation slopes and CO, CO <sub>2</sub> , and CH <sub>4</sub> invento-
ries, and a comparison to anthropogenic inventories was also added.

Asian	CO	CO <sub>2</sub>	$CH_4$	Estimated GEM emission (tyr <sup>-1</sup> )			Anthropogenic
regions	emission	emission	emission	From	From	From	GEM emission
	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>	(× 10 <sup>6</sup>	GEM/CO	$GEM/CO_2$	$GEM/CH_4$	(t yr <sup>-1</sup> )
	t yr <sup>-1</sup> )	t yr <sup>-1</sup> )	t yr <sup>-1</sup> )	slopes	slopes	slopes	
Mainland China	183 <sup>a</sup>	9370 <sup>b</sup>	39.6 <sup>c</sup>	1071	1187	1846	395 <sup>e</sup>
South Asia	75.2 <sup>d</sup>	2461 <sup>d</sup>	39.3 <sup>d</sup>	470	340	575	96 <sup>f</sup>
Indochinese Peninsula	20.0 <sup>d</sup>	557 <sup>d</sup>	15.0 <sup>d</sup>	125	-	493	24 <sup>f</sup>
Central Asia	5.0 <sup>d</sup>	562 <sup>d</sup>	7.5 <sup>d</sup>	54	90	215	29 <sup>f</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Zhao et al. (2012b).

<sup>b</sup> Zhao et al. (2012a). <sup>c</sup> Zhang and Chen (2010).

<sup>d</sup> Kurokawa et al. (2013).

<sup>e</sup> Wu et al. (2006).

f AMAP/UNEP (2013).





**Figure 1.** Locations of the three ground-based remote sites in northwest and southwest China as well as the anthropogenic GEM emission for studies Asian countries (Wu et al., 2006; AMAP/UNEP, 2013).





**Figure 2.** A typical example for the consistent variations of GEM, CO,  $CO_2$ , and  $CH_4$  for the period of from 30 January to 2 March 2009 at Mt. Waliguan station.





**Figure 3.** Histograms of the correlations slopes of GEM/CO, GEM/CO<sub>2</sub>, and GEM/CH<sub>4</sub> for the four Asian regions during the whole study period. All the correlation slopes meet the selection criteria (see text).





Figure 4. Correlation slopes of GEM/CO at the 3 monitoring sites and associated origins of airflows.





Figure 5. Correlation slopes of  $GEM/CO_2$  at WLG and associated origins of airflows.





Figure 6. Correlation slopes of  $GEM/CH_4$  at WLG and XGL and associated origins of airflows.





**Figure 7.** Statistical summary of GEM emission fluxes from typical landscapes in China in warm seasons. The solid lines within each box represent the median fluxes, dashed line represents the mean, boundaries of the box represent 25th and 75th percentile, whiskers indicate 10th and 90th percentile, and plots indicate fluxes < 10th percentile or > 90th percentile. References: Wang et al. (2003, 2006), Feng et al. (2004, 2005), Fang et al. (2004), Fu et al. (2007, 2008, 2010b, 2012c, 2013), Zhu et al. (2011, 2013), Ma et al. (2013), Liu et al. (2014).

