Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 24475–24522, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/24475/2014/ doi:10.5194/acpd-14-24475-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates and NO_x emissions on Texas ozone modeling

W. Tang^{1,*}, D. S. Cohan¹, A. Pour-Biazar², L. N. Lamsal^{3,4}, A. White⁵, X. Xiao¹, W. Zhou¹, B. H. Henderson⁶, and B. Lash¹

¹Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Rice University, 6100 Main Street MS 519, Houston, TX 77005, USA

²Earth System Science Center, University of Alabama, Huntsville, AL, USA

³NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

⁴Goddard Earth Sciences Technology & Research, Universities Space Research Association, Columbia, MD, USA

⁵Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Alabama, Huntsville, AL, USA

- ⁶Environmental Engineering Sciences, University of Florida, Gainesville, FL, USA
- ^{*}now at: Chinese Research Academy of Environmental Sciences, Beijing, China



Received: 5 September 2014 – Accepted: 11 September 2014 – Published: 23 September 2014

Correspondence to: D. S. Cohan (cohan@rice.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Uncertain photolysis rates and emission inventory impair the accuracy of state-level ozone (O_3) regulatory modeling. Past studies have separately used satellite-observed clouds to correct the model-predicted photolysis rates, or satellite-constrained top-⁵ down NO_v emissions to identify and reduce uncertainties in bottom-up NO_v emissions. However, the joint application of multiple satellite-derived model inputs to improve O₂ State Implementation Plan (SIP) modeling has rarely been explored. In this study, Geostationary Operational Environmental Satellite (GOES) observations of clouds are applied to derive the photolysis rates, replacing those used in Texas SIP modeling. This changes modeled O_3 concentrations by up to 80 ppb and improves O_3 simulations by 10 reducing modeled normalized mean bias (NMB) and normalized mean error (NME) by up to 0.1. A sector-based discrete Kalman filter (DKF) inversion approach is incorporated with the Comprehensive Air Quality Model with extensions (CAMx)-Decoupled Direct Method (DDM) model to adjust Texas NO_x emissions using a high resolution Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) NO₂ product. The discrepancy between OMI and CAMx NO₂ vertical column densities (VCD) is further reduced by increasing modeled NO_x lifetime and adding an artificial amount of NO₂ in the upper troposphere. The sector-based DKF inversion tends to scale down area and non-road NO_x emissions by 50 %, leading to a 2–5 ppb decrease in ground 8 h O_3 predictions. Model performance in simulating ground NO₂ and O₃ are improved using inverted NO_x emissions, 20 with 0.25 and 0.04 reductions in NMBs and 0.13 and 0.04 reductions in NMEs, respectively. Using both GOES-derived photolysis rates and OMI-constrained NO_x emissions together reduces modeled NMB and NME by 0.05 and increases the model correlation with ground measurement in O_3 simulations and makes O_3 more sensitive to NO_x

 $_{25}$ emissions in the O₃ non-attainment areas.



1 Introduction

Tropospheric O_3 is a secondary air pollutant formed via the reactions between nitrogen oxides ($NO_x = NO + NO_2$) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) with heat and sunlight (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). Eastern Texas is one of the most populous areas in

- ⁵ the United States and has been suffering from O₃ pollution for decades due to large anthropogenic emission sources such as motor vehicles, petrochemical facilities, and coal-burning power plants with unique meteorological conditions of extended heat and humidity and intense solar radiation (Kleinman et al., 2002; Ryerson et al., 2003; Daum et al., 2004; Rappenglück et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2011; Zhou et al., 2014).
- ¹⁰ In eastern Texas, several regions require careful air quality planning for O_3 reductions. First and foremost, the Houston-Galveston-Brazoria (HGB) region and the Dallas-Fort Worth (DFW) region are both O_3 non-attainment areas classified by US Environmental Protection Agency (US EPA) with O_3 design values exceeding the 2008 O_3 National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS) of 75 ppb by more than 10 ppb.
- ¹⁵ Next, Beaumont-Port Arthur (BPA), Northeast Texas (NE Texas), Austin and San Antonio have O_3 design values near the ambient standard (Gonzales and Williamson, 2011).

To comply with the O₃ NAAQS, the US EPA requires the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality (TCEQ) to identify regulatory strategies using photochemical air quality models for attaining the O₃ standard in non-attainment areas. However, model uncertainties may impair the accuracy of model performance and potentially misdirect emission control strategies (Fine et al., 2003; Digar and Cohan, 2010; Simon et al., 2012). Recent studies show that uncertain bottom-up emission inventories and modeled photolysis rates are two leading uncertainties in O₃ modeling (Deguilaume et al.,

25 2007; Digar et al., 2011) and can significantly impact simulated O₃ concentrations and their sensitivities in Texas (Cohan et al., 2010; Xiao et al., 2010). Hence, identifying and reducing these uncertainties are essential to ensuring the reliability of regulatory decision making.



Direct measurements of emissions and photolysis rates are spatially limited and impractical to perform covering the entire modeling domain. However, satellite-based measurements provide a valuable opportunity to observe some atmospheric parameters and air pollutants from space and generate a rich measurement dataset with great spatial coverage. Pour-Biazar et al. (2007) used the GOES-based cloud information to reproduce photolysis rates in the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model. Results showed large differences between model-predicted and satellite-derived photolysis rates, leading to significant changes in modeled O₃ concentrations. Guenther et al. (2012) found that the Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) and MM5 models, which are usually used to generate meteorological fields for CAMx or CMAQ, underpredict cloud fractions, leading to more modeled solar radiation reaching the ground and overestimations of modeled photolysis rates and sunlight-sensitive biogenic emis-

sions.
 Studies using satellite NO₂ measurements to create top-down NO_x emissions for
 atmospheric modeling have also shown promising results (Streets et al., 2013). Most recently, Tang et al. (2013) performed region-based DKF inversions using OMI NO₂ data to adjust NO_x emission inventory used in Texas SIP modeling; however, results showed that the region-based DKF inversions with National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA) OMI NO₂ standard product, version 2, tended to scale up the NO_x emission inventory by factors of 1.02 to 1.84 and deteriorated model performance as evaluated by ground NO₂ and O₃ monitors.

A challenge of using satellite data for inverse modeling is that atmospheric models are primarily evaluated based on ground-level data, and may not accurately simulate pollutants aloft. Several studies (Hudman et al., 2007; Henderson et al., 2011; Allen et al., 2012; ENVIRON, 2013) have demonstrated that models tend to underestimate

et al., 2012; ENVIRON, 2013) have demonstrated that models tend to underestimate upper tropospheric NO_2 level even after lightning and aviation NO_x sources are included. Though the reason is unclear, underestimation could result from errors in the chemical mechanism in simulating NO_x sinks (Mollner et al., 2010; Henderson et al., 2012; Lin et al., 2012; Stavrakou et al., 2013). Efforts to eliminate low bias for upper



tropospheric NO_2 simulations over Texas have been unsuccessful to date (ENVIRON, 2013).

Another discrepancy often noted between models and satellite data is a narrower spread between urban and rural NO₂ in satellite observations (Streets et al., 2013). Recently developed high resolution OMI NO₂ retrievals increase the rural-urban spread, which may decrease the difference between models and satellite observations.

In addition to reducing uncertainties in NO_x emissions, an accurate VOC emission inventory is also important for Texas O_3 modeling and NO_x inversion studies. The HGB and BPA regions in eastern Texas feature highly reactive VOC (HRVOC) emissions from petrochemical activities (Kleinman et al., 2002; Murphy and Allen, 2005; Nam

- from petrochemical activities (Kleinman et al., 2002; Murphy and Allen, 2005; Nam et al., 2006; Webster et al., 2007; Vizuete et al., 2008). However, large uncertainties were found in the Texas VOC emission inventory during two intensive measurement campaigns, Texas Air Quality Study (TexAQS) 2000 and 2006, that reported HRVOC emissions were underestimated up to an order of magnitude (Ryerson et al., 2003;
- ¹⁵ Wert et al., 2003; Jiang and Fast, 2004; Gilman et al., 2009; de Gouw et al., 2009; Parrish et al., 2009; Washenfelder et al., 2010). Byun et al. (2007) directly multiplied Texas HRVOC inventory values by factors of 3 to 12, and Kim et al. (2011) reconstructed HRVOC emissions in the 2005 National Emission Inventory using Solar Occultation Flux measurements, with both showing improved O_3 simulations over the Houston ²⁰ area.

In this work, first, GOES-derived photolysis rates are applied to the CAMx model, and the influence on the modeled NO_2 and O_3 is investigated. Second, the model shortcomings of underestimating upper tropospheric and rural NO_2 demonstrated in Tang et al. (2013) are further addressed by comparing with aircraft measurements and reducing the reaction rate constant of the reaction OH + NO_2 to increase modeled NO_x lifetime. Third, the sector-based DKF inversion using the recently developed NASA high resolution OMI NO_2 product to Texas NO_x emissions is explored and compared to the region-based DKF inversion. In addition, inverse modeling is extended to adjust



Texas VOC emissions via directly comparing modeled VOC concentrations with ground observations.

2 Methodology

2.1 CAMx modeling

CAMx version 5.3 (ENVIRON, 2010) with the Carbon Bond version 2005 (CB-05) chemical mechanism was used to simulate a SIP modeling episode developed by TCEQ for the HGB O₃ attainment demonstration (Fig. 1) from 13 August to 15 September 2006, coinciding with the intensive measurement campaign TexAQS 2006. The meteorology fields were modeled by the NCAR/Penn State (National Center for Atmospheric Research/Pennsylvania State University) Mesoscale Model, version 5, release 10 3.7.3 (MM5v.3.7.3) (Grell et al., 1994), and the boundary conditions were taken from the Model for Ozone and Related Chemical Tracers (MOZART) global model (ENVI-RON, 2008). The base case emission inventory for HGB SIP modeling was provided by TCEQ (TCEQ, 2010). Lightning and aviation NO_v emissions were added into the base emission inventory. The lightning NO_v emission is developed based on the measured 15 National Lightning Detection Network (NLDN) data with intra-cloud flashes assumed to be three times of cloud-to-ground flashes and 500 moles NO emissions per flash (Kaynak et al., 2008), and the aviation NO_x emissions, obtained from the Emission Database for Global Atmospheric Research (EDGAR), were placed at the model height of 9 km. The soil NO_v emission was doubled from its base value because the Yienger 20 and Levy method (YL95) (Yienger and Levy, 1995) has been found to underpredict soil NO_v by around a factor of 2 over the United States (Hudman et al., 2010). More details about the model inputs and configurations, the emission inventory development, and evaluations of model meteorological inputs can be found in Tang et al. (2013).



2.2 GOES-derived photolysis rates

The photolysis rate calculations in CAMx include two steps (ENVIRON, 2010). First, a Tropospheric Ultraviolet and Visible (TUV) Radiation Model developed by the National Center for Atmospheric Research (NCAR) is used to generate a multi-dimensional ta-

⁵ ble of clear sky photolysis rates (Madronich, 1987; NCAR, 2014) as inputs for the CAMx model as shown in Eq. (1).

Clear sky photolysis rates (s^{-1}) are calculated as:

$$J = \int_{\lambda_1}^{\lambda_2} \sigma(\lambda) \varphi(\lambda) F(\lambda) d\lambda$$

where $\sigma(\lambda)$ (m² molecule⁻¹) is the absorption cross-section, λ is the wavelength (μ m), $\varphi(\lambda)$ is the quantum yield (molecules photon⁻¹), and $F(\lambda)$ is the actinic flux (photons m⁻² s⁻¹ μ m⁻¹).

Second, the tabular clear sky photolysis rates are interpolated into each grid cell in the modeling domain and adjusted based on cloud information generated by the ¹⁵ meteorology model in standard operational procedure, as shown in Eqs. (2) and (3). Below the cloud, photolysis rates are adjusted as (Chang et al., 1987):

$$J_{\text{below}} = J_{\text{clear}}[1 + f_{\text{c}}(1.6 \operatorname{tr}_{\text{c}} \cos(\theta) - 1)]$$

Above the cloud, photolysis rates are modified as:

²⁰
$$J_{\text{above}} = J_{\text{clear}} [1 + f_{\text{c}} \cos(\theta)(1 - \text{tr}_{\text{c}})]$$

where f_c is the cloud fraction for a grid cell, tr_c is cloud transmissivity at each model grid layer, and θ is the solar zenith angle.

In CAMx, tr_c is calculated using Eq. (4) (Stephens, 1978),

25
$$\operatorname{tr}_{c} = \frac{5 - e^{-\tau_{c}}}{4 + 3\tau_{c}(1 - \beta)}$$

(1)

(2)

(3)

(4)

where τ_c is the cloud optical depth simulated in the model and β is the scattering phase-function asymmetry factor assumed to be 0.86 (Chang et al., 1987). The f_c in each grid cell is predicted by the MM5 model.

- GOES-observed cloud properties recover f_c and broadband tr_c , which can be used directly in Eqs. (2) and (3) to adjust photolysis rates below and above the clouds, bypassing the need for estimating those values in the model. Within the cloud, the photolysis rates are adjusted via the interpolation of calculated values between satelliteretrieved cloud top and model-estimated cloud base. GOES is capable of measuring cloud properties with spatial resolution down to 1 km and temporal resolution down
- ¹⁰ to an hour or less (Haines et al., 2004), ensuring the sufficient spatial and temporal data coverage for the modeling episode. In this study, hourly GOES observations with integrated 12 km cloud properties have been used. However, due to the satellite data availability, satellite-retrieved f_c and broadband tr_c may not be available in the early morning and late afternoon. In such cases, the f_c and tr_c generated by standard operational procedures in CAMx will be used. More details regarding satellite retrievals of
- $f_{\rm c}$ and tr_c can be found at Pour-Biazar et al., 2007.

20

25

2.3 Emission regions and sectors for the inversion

As in Tang et al. (2013), an inversion region inside the 12 km model domain is designed for both region-based and sector-based DKF inversions, including five urban areas HGB, DFW, BPA, NE Texas, and Austin and San Antonio, surrounded by a north rural area (N rural) and a south rural area (S rural) (Fig. 1).

Six separate NO_x emission sectors, area, non-road mobile, on-road mobile, biogenic, electric generating units (EGU) and non-EGU point sources are provided by TCEQ. Lightning and aviation NO_x emission sectors were developed in Tang et al. (2013) and added into base emission inventory as independent elevated sources (Table 1). Area

sources, including small-scale industry and residential sources such as oil and gas production, gas stations and restaurants, contribute 10 % of total emissions in the entire inversion region and 25 % in NE Texas in the base inventory. Non-road sources, including



construction equipment, locomotives and commercial marine, contribute 14% overall. Mobile source emissions by on-road vehicles contribute 27% of total NO_x emissions and dominate in the cities such as HGB and DFW. The biogenic NO_x source is from soil emissions, which contribute 16% of total NO_x emissions but dominate in remote

- ⁵ regions. Lightning and aviation sources contribute 8% and 6% to the total emission, respectively. Non-EGU point sources such as refineries, big boilers and flares, contribute 40% of NO_x emissions in BPA and 21% in HGB, the two regions with most of the petrochemical industries. EGU point emissions are from major power plants with the hourly NO_x emissions measured by continuous emissions monitoring (CEM) systems, which are considered the most occurate NO.
- tems, which are considered the most accurate NO_x emission source in the bottom-up emission inventory. Thus, in this study, EGU NO_x emissions are assumed to be correct and are not adjusted by DKF inversions.

NO₂ sensitivities to NO_x emission in each emission sector used in the following sector-based DKF inversions are calculated through DDM (Fig. 5). The biogenic, light-¹⁵ ning, and non-EGU point sources have their own spatial patterns that differ from the other emission sectors. For example, the aviation source shows strong sensitivity centered from the DFW and HGB regions and slowly spreading elsewhere. The sensitivities from the area, non-road and on-road sources have similar spatial patterns concentrated in the urban areas due to strong anthropogenic activities, while the on-

road source can be distinguished by the strong highway emissions. Previous studies (Rodgers, 2000; Curci et al., 2010) indicated that the inversion results would be illconditioned to estimate strongly overlapped sources. Therefore, in this study, the area and non-road sources are grouped as a single sector in the DKF inversions.

2.4 DKF inversion

Two DKF inversion approaches, region-based and sector-based, are applied in this study to create top-down NO_x emissions for Texas. The procedure of incorporating DKF method into the CAMx-DDM model was described in detail in Tang et al. (2013).



The DKF inversion process (Prinn, 2000), driven by the difference between the measured NO₂ ($C_{NO_2}^{observed}$) and the modeled NO₂ ($C_{NO_2}^{predicted}$), seeks the optimal emission perturbation factors (\hat{x}) (a posteriori) by adjusting NO_x emissions in each designated emission region or sector iteratively until each a priori emission perturbation factor (x^-) converges within a prescribed criterion, 0.01.

$$\hat{\mathbf{x}}_{\text{NO}_{x}} = \mathbf{x}_{\text{NO}_{x}}^{-} + \mathbf{P}_{\text{NO}_{x}}^{-} \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}} (\mathbf{S}\mathbf{P}_{\text{NO}_{x}}^{-} \mathbf{S}^{\mathsf{T}} + \mathbf{R}_{\text{OMI}})^{-1} (\mathbf{C}_{\text{NO}_{2}}^{\text{observed}} - \mathbf{C}_{\text{NO}_{2}}^{\text{predicted}} - \mathbf{S}\mathbf{x}_{\text{NO}_{x}}^{-})$$
(5)

S in Eq. (5), calculated via DDM in this study, is the first-order semi-normalized sensitivity matrix of NO₂ concentrations to either region-based or sector-based NO_x emissions. The uncertainty value in the measurement error covariance matrix (**R**) for the OMI observed NO₂ is set to 30 % (Bucsela et al., 2013) for all diagonal elements. The uncertainties adopted from Hanna et al. (2001) provide the values for each of the diagonal elements in the emission error covariance matrix (**P**). A value of 100 % is assigned to each emission region, and to the area, non-road, aviation, on-road, and biogenic
emission sectors, but a value of 50 % is assigned to the non-EGU point emission sector. The uncertainty of lightning NO_x emissions was estimated in recent studies, ranging from 30 % (Martin et al., 2007) to 60 % (Schumann and Huntrieser, 2007) on a global scale; thus, the uncertainty value in the lightning sector is set to 50 % here. The off-diagonal elements in **P** are set to zero since each emission component is assumed to be independent.

2.5 NO₂ observations

2.5.1 Satellite NO₂ observations

The Dutch-Finnish OMI aboard the NASA Aura satellite measures daily NO₂ at around 13:40 LT with the highest spatial resolution of $13 \text{ km} \times 24 \text{ km}$ at nadir viewpoint (Levelt

et al., 2006a, b; Boersma et al., 2007). Tang et al. (2013) used the NASA OMI standard, version 2.1 (Bucsela et al., 2013; Lamsal et al., 2014) NO₂ retrieval with an a priori

VUDV

Dis

profile generated from the Global Modeling Initiative (GMI) model to conduct inverse modeling, and reported an overestimation of NO₂ levels in rural areas. More recently, a high resolution OMI NO₂ retrieval was developed based on the NASA standard product, version 2.1, but using an a priori NO₂ profile generated from nested GEOS-Chem

- ⁵ simulations $(0.5^{\circ} \times 0.666^{\circ})$ with a 2005 emission inventory. Because the emission inventory used in GEOS-Chem simulations includes lightning and other elevated sources, it may better represent the upper tropospheric NO₂ in the retrieval; hence, in this study, the high resolution NASA retrieval is chosen for the DKF inversions. In the high resolution NASA product, only the OMI pixels with sizes less than 16 km × 40 km (scan
- ¹⁰ position 10–50) in the clear-sky condition (cloud radiance fraction < 0.5) are selected in creating the gridded data at $0.1^{\circ} \times 0.1^{\circ}$ resolution and then mapped to the 12 km CAMx modeling domain. Since it may introduce more uncertainties to the CAMx modeled NO₂ VCD in this case, the OMI averaging kernels (Eskes and Boersma, 2003) are not applied here (Supplement, Sect. 1).

15 2.5.2 Ground and P-3 aircraft NO₂ observations

The CAMx simulated NO₂ is evaluated by both ground and aircraft measurements. The ground-level NO₂ measurements data are taken from the US EPA Air Quality System (AQS) NO₂ ground monitoring network (Fig. 1) (http://www.epa.gov/ttn/airs/airsaqs/). The correction factors (Lamsal et al., 2008; Tang et al., 2013) are applied to the ground measured NO₂ before comparing with the modeled results due to the measurement artifacts in the heated molybdenum catalytic converter used by AQS NO₂ monitors.

The NOAA P-3 aircraft measurements (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/tropchem/ 2006TexAQS/) are available on 31 August, 11 September, 13 September, and 15 September 2006 in our modeling period. The NO₂ was measured by UV photoly-²⁵ sis converter-chemiluminescence (Ryerson et al., 2000), and NO_y was measured by Au converter-chemiluminescence (Ryerson et al., 1999) aboard the P-3 aircraft, from ground to approximately 5 km aloft and with a time resolution of 1 s; thus, hourly av-



eraged P-3 $\rm NO_2$ and $\rm NO_y$ are calculated to compare with the modeled data at corresponding time and grid cells.

2.5.3 NASA DC-8 flight NO₂ observations

The NO₂ measured by NASA DC-8 flights (http://www-air.larc.nasa.gov/cgi-bin/arcstat) during the Intercontinental Chemical Transport Experiment-North America (INTEX-NA) 5 field campaign in 2004 (Singh et al., 2006) is used in this study to evaluate the modeled NO₂ vertical profile, especially in the upper troposphere. The DC-8 flight NO₂ measurements were made on a total of 18 days from 1 July to 14 August 2004, spanning from 7:00 to 20:00 CST with 1 s resolution. The NO₂ was measured by the Thermal Dissociation-Laser Induced Fluorescence (TD-LIF) instrument. TD-LIF measure-10 ments of NO₂ can be impacted by methyl peroxy nitrate ($CH_3O_2NO_2$) and HO_2NO_2 in a temperature-dependent manner; thus, corrections based on the method of Browne et al. (2011) are applied before comparing with the modeled profile. The modeled NO_2 in grid cells within the 36 km domain are used to match the measurement data in space, and then all measurement data at each model layer are averaged over all measurement 15 time to compare with the monthly 12 h (7:00-20:00 LT) averaged modeled data at the corresponding layer. Although the measurements took place in 2004 and our modeling period is in 2006, we assume the inter-annual variation is insignificant because the upper tropospheric NO₂ is mainly contributed by natural sources and cross-tropopause

20 transport.

25

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Impact of GOES-derived photolysis rates on modeled NO₂ and O₃

The GOES-retrieved cloud fractions and broadband transmissivity as described in Sect. 2.2 are used to adjust the photolysis rates in CAMx. To investigate the impact from GOES-derived photolysis rates, the differences of modeled ground-level NO₂

24487



photolysis rate (J_{NO_2}) , NO₂, and O₃ between CAMx modeling with and without the GOES-retrieved cloud fractions and transmissivity are calculated.

Using GOES-observed clouds corrects the cloud underprediction issue in the current meteorological models (Pour-Biazar et al., 2007; Guenther et al., 2012; ENVIRON

- ⁵ 2012), making J_{NO_2} decreases over most of the domain in this study. While on the average there is a domain-wide reduction in J_{NO_2} , the impact on O_3 production is not uniform (Figs. 2 and 3), mostly paired with the NO_x emission distributions. The general impact of using GOES observations is that where the J_{NO_2} decreases, modeled NO₂ increases, and O₃ decreases (Figs. 2 and 3), indicating that slower photochemical ac-
- ¹⁰ tivity inhibits O₃ formation and thus consumes less NO₂, and vice versa. However, an exception occurs at places close to the Houston Ship Channel, showing that although the J_{NO_2} decreases, modeled NO₂ still decreases (Fig. 3b) and O₃ slightly increases (Fig. 3c). This is probably caused by the availability of other pathways for consuming NO_x in the VOC-rich environment, and the inhibition of NO regeneration due to
- ¹⁵ reduction in photochemical activity. The largest discrepancy of 80 ppb in modeled O_3 occurs at 13:00 LT on 2 September 2006 over the DFW region during the modeling period. At that time, GOES-based modeling showed up to 6 times higher J_{NO_2} (reaching approximately 36 s⁻¹), and 10 ppb lower NO₂ in this region (Fig. 2). However, the differences in modeled J_{NO_2} , NO₂, and O₃ are much more moderate on a monthly 8 h
- ²⁰ (10:00–18:00 LT) averaged basis, reaching only up to 3 s^{-1} for J_{NO_2} , 0.6 ppb for NO_2 , and 3 ppb for O_3 , with largest discrepancies in the HGB region (Fig. 3). For the changes in O_3 sensitivities, approximately 6 % less J_{NO_2} on a domain-wide makes modeled O_3 overall less sensitive to NO_x emissions (Fig. 3d) and more sensitive to VOC emissions (Fig. 3e).
- ²⁵ The modeled daily 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) NO₂ and O₃ using either satellite-derived or base model photolysis rates are evaluated by AQS measured data for the entire modeling period. The positive changes in spatiotemporal correlation (R^2) and negative changes in NMB and NME indicate that satellite-derived photolysis rates improved model performance (Fig. 4). For O₃ simulations (Fig. 4 right), the difference in R^2 in-



creases 1 % on average and reaches up to 7 % on 26 August, while the differences in NMBs and NMEs decrease 1 % on average and reach up to 10 % on 11 September, suggesting the satellite-corrected photolysis rates improve the model performance in simulating ground O_3 . However, NMB and NME for NO₂ simulations (Fig. 4 left) do not improve despite an increase in R^2 , probably because other uncertainties in the model and measurements may have a larger impact on NO₂ performance.

3.2 Pseudodata test for the sector-based DKF inversion

5

A controlled pseudodata test was performed in Tang et al. (2013) to test the applicability of the DKF inversion to adjust the NO_x emission in each inversion region with the CAMx-DDM model. This showed that the DKF method adjusted the perturbed NO_x emission in each region accurately back to its base case. In this study, a similar controlled pseudodata test is conducted to test the applicability of the sector-based DKF inversion with CAMx-DDM.

The pseudodata test for the sector-based DKF inversion is conducted on 10 modling days (13 August to 22 August), but the modeling results from the first 3 days are discarded to eliminate the model initialization error. A 7 day (16 August to 22 August) averaged modeled NO₂ VCD at 13:00–14:00 LT with the base case NO_x emission inventory is treated as a pseudo-observation, and the one using perturbed NO_x emissions in six emission sectors with known perturbation factors ranging from 0.5 to 2.0

- (Fig. 6) is used as a priori case. As described in Sect. 2.3, the area and non-road emission sources are considered as one sector (ARNR), and EGU point source is excluded from the inversion. The emission uncertainties are set to 50 % for the non-EGU and lightning sectors and to 100 % for the others. The measurement error for the pseudo-observation is set to 30 %.
- The pseudodata test results (Fig. 6 top) show that the a posteriori modeled NO_2 closely matches the base case modeled value, indicating the DKF inversion is capable of correcting the perturbed NO_x emissions in each emission sector. The sensitivity



analysis results (Fig. 6 bottom) illustrate that the inversions are insensitive to both emission and observation error covariance matrices for the pseudo-cases.

3.3 A priori NO₂ VCD

The a priori NO_x emission inventory used in this study is based on the TCEQ base case emission inventory with added lightning and aviation and doubled soil NO_x emissions (Tang et al., 2013). The reaction rate constant of the reaction NO_2 + OH in CB05 chemical mechanism is reduced by 25% based on Mollner et al. (2010); this tends to increase NO_x lifetime and transport to rural regions.

To evaluate the extent to which the addition of lightning and aviation NO_x closes the gap between observed and modeled NO₂ in the upper troposphere noticed by Napelenok et al. (2008), the modeled NO₂ vertical profile is compared with INTEX-NA DC-8 measured NO₂ profiles from the ground to the free troposphere. The comparison (Fig. 7 left) shows that CAMx with the a priori emission inventory strongly overestimates NO₂ near the ground, reasonably agrees with DC-8 NO₂ measurements from 1 km to 5 km, slightly overestimates NO₂ from 6 km to 9 km, and slightly underestimates NO₂ from 10 km to 15 km. The modeled NO₂ profile is further evaluated by the P-3 measured NO₂ from ground to 5 km (Fig. 7 right), showing the same pattern of the overestimated surface NO₂ and good agreement with aircraft observations from 1–5 km. The injection of the aviation NO_x into a single model layer at altitude 6 km to 9 km rather than more

- ²⁰ broadly distributed vertically probably causes the overestimation of modeled NO₂ compared to DC-8 at that altitude (ENVIRON, 2013). A low bias of modeled NO₂, approximately 40 ppt, exists in the upper troposphere, from 10 km to 15 km altitude, which is the CAMx model top layer. Similar low bias of the modeled NO₂ in the upper troposphere compared to the DC-8 measurement also has been found in Allen et al. (2012). Be-
- ²⁵ cause the low bias in the upper troposphere may arise from model uncertainties other than those associated with emissions (Henderson et al., 2011, 2012), we follow the adjustment approach of Napelenok et al. (2008) and add 40 ppt NO₂ homogeneously to the top layer (10–15 km) of the model results when computing the CAMx NO₂ VCDs.



Although the revised CB05 chemical mechanism and artificially added upper tropospheric NO₂ increase modeled NO₂ VCD in the inversion region by an average of 13% (Supplement, Sect. 2), CAMx modeled NO₂ VCDs remain an average of 2×10^{14} molecules cm⁻² less than OMI observations in rural regions (Fig. 8c).

$_5$ 3.4 Top-down NO_x emissions constrained by DKF inversions

The DKF inversions with OMI NO₂ are performed to constrain NO_x emissions in each designated emission region and emission sector. To ensure sufficient spatial coverage, a monthly averaged OMI NO₂ VCD (13 August to 15 September) is calculated and paired with the corresponding modeled NO₂ VCD at satellite passing time (13:00–14:00 LT). The DKF inversions are then conducted with 2116 data points covering every grid cell in the inversion region, and the hourly a priori NO_x emissions are adjusted iteratively until the inversion process converges.

3.4.1 Region-based DKF inversion

25

The region-based DKF inversion is conducted to adjust the NO_x emissions in each ¹⁵ inversion region. The inversion results suggest to moderately adjust the a priori NO_x emissions in most regions with scaling factors ranging from 0.97 to 1.49 (Table 2) and increases NO₂ VCD by 8% toward OMI measurement over the inversion region (Fig. 8d). Because this inversion is based on a new OMI-retrieved and an improved a priori NO₂ VCDs, the required adjustments in each inversion region are much lower ²⁰ compared to the results in Tang et al. (2013) with scaling factors ranging from 0.56 to 1.98 and 30% increased NO₂ VCD.

The model performance is then evaluated by the ground and aircraft measurements. The DKF inversion adjusts DFW NO_x emissions by only 3 %, while it adds 50 % to BPA emissions and less than 15 % to other urban regions. The NMB and NME of the a posteriori modeled NO₂ VCD decrease in every urban area and are reduced from -0.11 to -0.05 and from 0.17 to 0.16 overall compared to OMI. The spatial correlations be-



tween monthly averaged OMI and CAMx NO₂ VCDs (R^2) are improved only in the BPA and Austin and San Antonio areas, but the overall region-wide performance is improved (Table 3). The modeled NO₂ with a priori NO_x emissions overpredicts ground-level NO₂ (Table 4); hence, the increase in NO_x emissions at most urban places suggested by the inversion actually deteriorates the ground-level NO₂ simulations in all urban areas

- ⁵ the inversion actually deteriorates the ground-level NO₂ simulations in all urban areas except in the DFW region. The modeled NMB and NME of ground O₃ are reduced in the HGB and BPA regions, but not in DFW, probably because the increased NO_x in the first two regions titrates more ground O₃ at night and inhibits O₃ formation during the day, decreasing the O₃ concentrations which are already overestimated in the a priori simulation (Table 6). No improvements of model performance are found in simulating
 - P-3 observed NO₂ and NO_y using the inverted NO_x emissions.

Applying a single scaling factor to an entire inversion region may not well capture the NO_x spatial distributions (Tang et al., 2013). Since DDM can also track the spatial relationship between modeled NO_2 concentrations and NO_x emissions in each emission

sector, a sector-based DKF inversion can potentially serve as an alternative approach to constrain NO_x emissions in order to have more heterogeneous adjustments in each inversion region.

3.4.2 Sector-based DKF inversion

The sector-based DKF inversion is first conducted on six NO_x emission sectors: area and nonroad (ARNR), on-road, biogenic, aviation, lightning, and non-EGU points (Case I). The scaling factors generated by the inversion ranges from 0.54 to 4.10, with the largest scale-down in the ARNR sector and the largest scale-up in the aviation sector. The inversion reduces NO_x emission in the biogenic sector by 30 % from the a priori inventory which had doubled soil NO_x from the base model. The inversion leaves on road, lightning, and non-EGU points sectors nearly unchanged, applying less than 4 %

adjustments (Table 2). The NO₂ VCD is increased by only 6% toward OMI measure-



ment over the inversion region in this case. Most of the increase in NO_2 VCDs occurs in rural areas, and some declines occur in urban areas (Fig. 8e).

The NO_x emission in each inversion region is recalculated after applying adjustments to each emission sector, and model performance is evaluated by the ground and aircraft measurements. The scaling factors in each region new are different and closer to 1

- ⁵ measurements. The scaling factors in each region now are different and closer to 1 than those generated by the region-based inversion, ranging from 0.86 in NE TX to 1.17 in DFW. The modeled NMB and NME in simulating OMI NO₂ are all decreased in five urban areas. Within the inversion region, the overall modeled NMB and NME are reduced from -0.11 to -0.04 and from 0.17 to 0.14, respectively using inverted
- ¹⁰ NO_x emissions (Table 3). The 50 % cut in the ARNR sector helps to improve the model performance in simulating ground-level NO₂ and O₃ which had been overestimated using a priori NO_x emissions. The inverted NO_x emissions decrease modeled NMB and NME in all five urban areas and overall decrease NMB by 0.25 and 0.04, and NME by 0.13 and 0.04 in simulating ground-level NO₂ and O₃, respectively (Tables 4 and 6).
- ¹⁵ The model performance is also improved compared against P-3 measurements, with 0.09 reduction in both modeled NMB and NME for NO₂ simulation and 0.16 reduction in NMB and 0.11 reduction in NME for NO_y simulation (Table 5). The scaled-down ground NO_x emissions lead to a 2–5 ppb lower modeled 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) ground O₃ and make O₃ formation chemistry less sensitive to the VOC emissions, with reduction of
- ²⁰ a 1–3 ppb sensitivity coefficients over the inversion region. The O₃ sensitivity to NO_x emissions also decreases by approximately 1–2 ppb over most of the inversion region; however, the O₃ formation chemistry in the urban cores of the DFW, HGB, and Austin and San Antonio regions shifts toward being more NO_x-limited, leading to 1–3 ppb increase of O₃ sensitivity to NO_x emissions (Fig. 9).
- Although the inversion improves the model performance, the sensitivity analysis (Supplement, Sect. 3) shows that the aviation and ARNR sectors are relatively responsive to the emission uncertainty values and offset each other (Fig. S2), indicating the DKF inversion may not be capable of fully distinguishing these two emission sectors. Therefore, the aviation source is then merged with ARNR and the DKF inversion



is re-conducted on five emission sectors: area, nonroad, and aviation (ARNRAV), onroad, biogenic, lightning, and non-EGU points (Case II). In case II, the inversion results are more stable and insensitive to the emission uncertainties in each emission sector (Fig. S2). However, the inversion tends to scale up all three source categories in the

- ⁵ ARNRAV sector together by 50 % to compensate for the rural NO₂ gap. The inversion reduces on-road and biogenic NO_x emissions by 12 % and 16 %, respectively. The adjustments for the lightning and non-EGU points sectors are still less than 4 % (Table 2). On the region basis, the inversion tends to increase NO_x emissions in all regions with increments ranging from 1 % in the Austin and San Antonio region to 18 % in the NE
- TX region; it thus increases the modeled NO₂ VCD by 7 % on average. The inversed NO₂ VCD in this case is very similar to that from the region-based inversion (Fig. 8f). The model performance of simulating OMI NO₂ VCD is improved and similar to the results from case I (Table 3). However, unlike case I, no improvements are found in simulating ground measured NO₂ and O₃ and P-3-measured NO₂ and NO_y using the inverted NO_x emissions in case II (Tables 4–6). Because the ground NO_x emissions
- ¹⁵ inverted NO_x emissions in case II (Tables 4–6). Because the ground NO_x emissions are increased in this case, the inversion impacts the O₃ simulations in the opposite direction than in case I. The modeled 8 h ground O₃ increases by around 2 ppb and becomes more sensitive to both NO_x and VOC emissions over most of the inversion region; however, the O₃ formation chemistry shifts toward being more VOC-limited in DFW and HGB (Fig. 9).

4 Conclusions

25

Satellite-derived photolysis rates and NO_x emissions are both applied to a Texas SIP modeling episode to investigate the capabilities of using satellite data to enhance state-level O₃ regulatory modeling. Results show that the ground-level O₃ simulations are improved with reductions of modeled NMB from 0.42 to 0.37 and modeled NME from 0.50 to 0.45 by using GOES-derived photolysis rates and sector-based DKF (case I) with OMI NO₂ inverted NO_x emission inventory (Table 6). The GOES-derived photolysis



rates and OMI-constrained NO_x emissions decrease monthly averaged 8 h O₃ concentrations by 2–5 ppb over the entire inversion region and turn O₃ formation chemistry toward being less sensitive to NO_x and VOC emissions over most of inversion areas, while being more NO_x sensitive in the two O₃ nonattainment areas, DFW and HGB (Fig. 10).

5

Applying GOES-retrieved cloud coverage and transmissivity reduce the modeled photolysis rates over most of the domain, leading to less photochemical activity and O_3 production and shifting O_3 formation chemistry toward being less sensitive to NO_x emissions, except in the DFW region where modeled photolysis rates are increased by the GOES retrieval, leading to impacts in the opposite direction. In comparing with the AQS ground measurements, the GOES-derived photolysis rates improve the groundlevel O_3 simulations but not the NO_2 simulations, indicating other model errors may dominate the accuracy of model performance in simulating ground-level NO_2 . Future work could extend use of GOES-retrieved clouds to further correct model dynamics and aqueous phase chemistry and investigate their impacts on the O_3 modeling.

The DKF inversion approach has been successfully incorporated with the CAMx-DDM model and was conducted on both region-based and sector-based NO_x emissions. A controlled pseudodata test conducted on the sector-based DKF inversion confirmed that it accurately captures known perturbations in NO_x emission sectors. In addi-

- ²⁰ tion to implementing lightning and aviation NO_x emissions in the upper troposphere and doubling soil NO_x emissions from the ground, the NO_x lifetime is increased by reducing 25 % the reaction rate constant of the reaction OH + NO₂. The upper tropospheric NO₂ underestimation is further eliminated by adding a 40 ppt homogenous NO₂ layer in the model top. On the other hand, the high resolution OMI retrieval with a priori profile from
- ²⁵ the nested GEOS-Chem simulation further enhances NO₂ in the urban areas and reduces NO₂ in rural. However, the comparison still shows that the OMI has higher NO₂ VCD than CAMx in the rural areas, by around 2×10^{14} molecules cm⁻². It is not clear that the discrepancy between OMI and CAMx in rural areas is caused by uncertainties in NO_x emission inventory or errors in OMI retrieval and other model uncertainties.



The OMI NO₂ retrieval can be further improved by using the finer resolution terrain and albedo data (Russell et al., 2011) and observed vertical profiles from aircraft spiral measurements in the recent DISCOVER-AQ Houston measurement campaign (Crawford et al., 2014). The accuracy of CAMx modeled NO₂ VCD can benefit from further improving the modeled chemical and transport processes (ENVIRON, 2013), such as updating NO_x recycling process to increase NO_x lifetime, or adding cross-tropopause transport processes to allow more stratospheric NO₂ penetrate to upper troposphere. This may obtain better spatial distribution of modeled NO₂ rather than adding a homogeneous layer at top to compensate the model deficiency.

- ¹⁰ The region-based DKF inversion still over scales NO_x emissions in urban areas to compensate for the rural NO_2 differences because the NO_2 VCD gap in rural areas is not eliminated, leading to 10–50 % increase of NO_x emissions at most regions and worsening the ground-level O_3 simulations; however, the scaling factors generated in this study are much more moderate than those were found in Tang et al. (2013). The
- sector-based DKF inversion (case I) takes the aviation source to compensate the NO₂ gap in the rural area, probably because its relatively spread-out emission pattern over the rural area corresponds with the NO₂ discrepancy distributions, leading to appropriate adjustments in the ground emissions and improving both ground-level NO₂ and O₃ simulations; however, the aviation source is unrealistically adjusted by applying a sug-
- gested factor of 4 to its base value, and the adjustments offset the area and nonroad sector with varying emission uncertainties in the sensitivity analysis. Although merging the aviation source into the area and nonroad emission sector makes the inversion (case II) more stable, the large scaling factor for the aviation sector is now shared with area and nonroad emissions, leading to area and nonroad NO_x emissions being scaled
- ²⁵ up by 50 %. Thus, the model performance in ground-level NO₂ and O₃ simulations is deteriorated and is even worse than the results generated from the region-based inversion. The lightning NO_x emissions seem to be well estimated and are adjusted little by the inversion. However, it may also indicate that the OMI retrieved NO₂ is insensitive to the lightning source, most probably due to the NO_x partitioning predominantly



to NO in the upper troposphere and the clear-sky cloud screening criterion used in the OMI data processing. The NO₂ discrepancy between OMI and CAMx drives the DKF inversion and is assumed to be mostly contributed by the uncertainties in the NO_x emission inventory. However, findings from this study indicate that if the uncertainty in the a priori NO_x emissions is low, errors in the satellite retrieval and model itself cannot be neglected, making the inversion less capable of reducing the uncertainties in the

be neglected, making the inversion less capable of reducing the uncertainties in the bottom-up NO_x emission inventory.

The region-based DKF inversion applies a single scaling factor to each inversion region, and assumes the a priori emission pattern in each inversion region is correct, aqueing deterioration of the model performance in this case. While the coster based

- ¹⁰ causing deterioration of the model performance in this case. While the sector-based DKF inversion applies a single scaling factor to each emission sector, that leads to more heterogeneous adjustments in each inversion region and relatively better model-ing results than those from the region-based inversion. However, the sector-based inversion assumes the spatial distribution of NO_x emissions in each sector is accurately
- estimated in the bottom-up NO_x emission inventory, which is also a simplification. For example, TCEQ recently developed a single-day aviation emission inventory using the Advanced Emission Model (AEM3) for the new Rider 8 modeling domain, which has more accurate flight profile and distributes emissions more broadly in the vertical direction, leading to the spatial pattern of NO_x emissions somewhat different than that
- obtained from EDGAR (ENVIRON 2013). In addition, the newly developed Berkeley-Dalhousie Soil NO_x Parameterization (BDSNP) scheme (Hudman et al., 2012) recently was implemented into the CMAQ model to estimate soil NO_x emissions, showing large spatial and temporal differences compared to those estimated by the YL95 scheme over eastern Texas. All these changes described above in the a priori NO_x emission
 inventory may have significant impact on the sector-based inversion results.

The direct scaling inversion (Supplement, Sect. 4) using PAMS measured VOCs improves the model performance in simulating five chosen VOC species and indicates the TCEQ VOC emission inventory used in HGB SIP modeling is now much better than the previous reported emissions with values off by an order of magnitude. However, the



inverted VOC emissions have insignificant impact on the ground-level NO_2 and O_3 simulations, probably because of the limited spatial coverage of the PAMS measurement sites and most VOC-saturated conditions in the inversion region. Future work could explore the capabilities of using satellite-observed formaldehyde data to constrain the Texas isoprene or even other anthropogenic VOC emissions (Defour et al., 2009; Curci

et al., 2010).

The statistical results show that although the modeled NMB and NME are reduced, OMI-constrained NO_x emissions barely improve the spatiotemporal correlations (R^2) with ground-measured NO₂ and O₃, indicating that either applying the scaling factors generated at the OMI passing time is unable to reduce the emission uncertainty at each hour or the current OMI resolution is insufficient to capture the spatial distributions of the NO_x emission pattern. The future launch of NASA Tropospheric Emission: Monitoring of Pollution (TEMPO) geostationary satellite (Streets et al., 2013) could help

address these shortcomings by providing a temporal resolution down to an hour and

a spatial resolution down to 4km × 4km measurement.

10

The Supplement related to this article is available online at doi:10.5194/acpd-14-24475-2014-supplement.

Acknowledgements. Funding for this research was provided by the US NASA Research Opportunities in Space and Earth Sciences (ROSES) grant NNX10AO05G and by the NASA Air
 Quality Applied Science Team. The authors thank Jim McKay and Ron Thomas at TCEQ for providing emission inputs and insightful discussions about the TCEQ emission inventory, Gary Wilson and Greg Yarwood at ENVIRON for CAMx support, Ron Cohen at UC-Berkeley for the INTEX-NA DC-8 NO₂ measurement, and Tom Ryerson, Carsten Warneke, and Joost de Gouw at NOAA for the P-3 NO₂, NO_y and VOC measurements.



References

5

20

25

- Allen, D. J., Pickering, K. E., Pinder, R. W., Henderson, B. H., Appel, K. W., and Prados, A.: Impact of lightning-NO on eastern United States photochemistry during the summer of 2006 as determined using the CMAQ model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1737–1758, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1737-2012, 2012.
- Boersma, K. F., Eskes, H. J., Veefkind, J. P., Brinksma, E. J., van der A, R. J., Sneep, M., van den Oord, G. H. J., Levelt, P. F., Stammes, P., Gleason, J. F., and Bucsela, E. J.: Near-real time retrieval of tropospheric NO₂ from OMI, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2103–2118, doi:10.5194/acp-7-2103-2007, 2007.
- ¹⁰ Browne, E. C., Perring, A. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Apel, E., Hall, S. R., Huey, L. G., Mao, J., Spencer, K. M., Clair, J. M. St., Weinheimer, A. J., Wisthaler, A., and Cohen, R. C.: Global and regional effects of the photochemistry of CH₃O₂NO₂: evidence from ARCTAS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4209–4219, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4209-2011, 2011.
- Bucsela, E. J., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Lamsal, L. N., Swartz, W. H., Bhartia, P. K.,
 Boersma, K. F., Veefkind, J. P., Gleason, J. F., and Pickering, K. E.: A new stratospheric and tropospheric NO₂ retrieval algorithm for nadir-viewing satellite instruments: applications to OMI, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2607–2626, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2607-2013, 2013.
 - Byun, D. W., Kim, S.-T., and Kim, S. B.: Evaluation of air quality models for the simulation of a high ozone episode in the Houston metropolitan area, Atmos. Environ., 41, 837–853, 2007.
 - Chang, J. S., Brost, R. A., Isaksen, I. S. A., Madronich, S., Middleton, P., Stockwell, W. R., and Walcek, C. J.: A 3-dimensional Eulerian acid deposition model – Physical concepts and formulation, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 14681–14700, 1987.

Cohan, D. S., Koo, B., and Yarwood, G.: Influence of uncertain reaction rates on ozone sensitivity to emissions in Houston, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3101–3109, 2010.

Crawford, J., Pickering, K., Kleb, M., and Chen, G.: DISCOVER-AQ: overall objectives and overview of Houston operations during September 2013, presented in: 6th AQAST meeting, Houston, TX, 16 January 2014.

Curci, G., Palmer, P. I., Kurosu, T. P., Chance, K., and Visconti, G.: Estimating European volatile

organic compound emissions using satellite observations of formaldehyde from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11501–11517, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11501-2010, 2010.



- Daum, P. H., Kleinman, L. I., Springston, S. R., Nunnemacker, L. J., Lee, Y- N., Weinstein-Lloyd, J., Zheng, J., and Berkowitz, C. M.: Origin and properties of plumes of high ozone observed during Texas 2000 Air Quality Study (TEXAQS 2000), Geophys. Res. Lett., 109, D17306, doi:10.1029/2003JD004311, 2004.
- ⁵ de Gouw, J. A., Te Lintel Hekkert, S., Mellqvist, J., Warneke, C., Atlas, E. L., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Fried, A., Frost, G. J., Harren, F. J. M., Holloway, J. S., Lefer, B., Lueb, R., Meagher, J. F., Parrish, D. D., Patel, M., Pope, L., Richter, D., Rivera, C., Ryerson, T. B., Samuelsson, J., Walega, J., Washenfelder, R. A., Weibring, P., and Zhu, X.: Airborne measurements of ethane from industrial sources using laser photo-acoustic spectroscopy, Environ.
 Sci. Technol., 43, 2437–2442, 2009.
- Deguillaume, L., Beekmann, M., and Menut, L.: Bayesian Monte Carlo analysis applied to regional-scale inverse emission modeling for reactive trace gases, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D02307, doi:10.1029/2006JD007518, 2007.

Digar, A. and Cohan, D. S.: Efficient characterization of pollutant-emission response under parametric uncertainty. Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 6724–6730, 2010.

- Digar, A., Cohan, D. S., and Bell, M. L.: Uncertainties influencing health-based prioritization of ozone abatement strategies, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 7761–7767, 2011.
 - Dufour, G., Wittrock, F., Camredon, M., Beekmann, M., Richter, A., Aumont, B., and Burrows, J. P.: SCIAMACHY formaldehyde observations: constraint for isoprene emission es-
- timates over Europe?, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1647–1664, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1647-2009, 2009.

ENVIRON: Boundary Conditions and Fire Emissions Modeling, Final Report to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato, CA, 2008.
 ENVIRON: CAMx Users' Guide, version 5.30, ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato, CA, 2010.

25

15

ENVIRON: Improved the Biogenic Emission Inventories Across the West, Final Report to the Western Governors' Association, ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato, CA, 2012.
 ENVIRON: Continuation on Use of Satellite Nitrogen Dioxide (NO₂) Data. Final Report to the Texas Commission on Environmental Quality, ENVIRON International Corporation, Novato,

³⁰ CA, 2013.

Eskes, H. J. and Boersma, K. F.: Averaging kernels for DOAS total-column satellite retrievals, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 1285–1291, doi:10.5194/acp-3-1285-2003, 2003.



- Fine, J., Vuilleumier, L., Reynolds, S., Roth, P., and Brown, N.: Evaluating uncertainties in regional photochemical air quality modeling, Annu. Rev. Env. Resour., 28, 59–106, 2003.
- Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., Herndon, S. C., Zahniser, M. S., Tucker, S. C., Brewer, W. A., Lerner, B. M., Williams, E. J., Harley, R. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Warneke, C.,
- and de Gouw, J. A.: Measurements of volatile organic compounds during the 2006 Tex-AQS/GoMACCS campaign: industrial influences, regional characteristics, and diurnal dependencies of the OH reactivity, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00F06, doi:10.1029/2008JD011525, 2009.

Gonzales, M. and Williamson, W.: Updates on the national ambient air quality standards and

- the state implementation plans for Texas, presented in: TCEQ Trade Fair, Austin, TX, 4 May 2011.
 - Grell, G. A., Dudhia, J., and Stauffer, D.: A Description of the Fifth-Generation Pennstate/NCAR Mesoscale Model (MM5), NCAR Technical Note, NCAR/TN 398+SR, Boulder, Colorado, 1994.
- ¹⁵ Guenther, A. B., Jiang, X., Heald, C. L., Sakulyanontvittaya, T., Duhl, T., Emmons, L. K., and Wang, X.: The Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature version 2.1 (MEGAN2.1): an extended and updated framework for modeling biogenic emissions, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1471–1492, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1471-2012, 2012.

Haines, S. L., Suggs, R. J., and Jedlovec, G. J.: The Geostationary Operational Environmental

- ²⁰ Satellite (GOES) product generation system, NASA Tech. Memo., TM-2004-213286, NASA, Huntsville, Alabama, 2004.
 - Hanna, S. R., Lu, Z., Frey, H. C., Wheeler, N., Vukovich, J., Arumachalam, S., and Fernau, M.: Uncertainties in predicted ozone concentration due to input uncertainties for the UAM-V photochemical grid model applied to the July 1995 OTAG domain, Atmos. Environ., 35, 891– 903, 2001.

25

- Henderson, B. H., Pinder, R. W., Crooks, J., Cohen, R. C., Hutzell, W. T., Sarwar, G., Goliff, W. S., Stockwell, W. R., Fahr, A., Mathur, R., Carlton, A. G., and Vizuete, W.: Evaluation of simulated photochemical partitioning of oxidized nitrogen in the upper troposphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 275–291, doi:10.5194/acp-11-275-2011, 2011.
- Henderson, B. H., Pinder, R. W., Crooks, J., Cohen, R. C., Carlton, A. G., Pye, H. O. T., and Vizuete, W.: Combining Bayesian methods and aircraft observations to constrain the HO + NO₂ reaction rate, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 653–667, doi:10.5194/acp-12-653-2012, 2012.



Hudman, R. C., Jacob, D. J., Turquety, S., Leibensperger, E. M., Murray, L. T., Wu, S., Gilliland, A. B., Avery, M., Bertram, T. H., Brune, W., Cohen, R. C., Dibb, J. E., Flocke, F. M., Fried, A., Holloway, J., Neuman, J. A., Orville, R., Perring, A., Ren, X., Sachse, G. W., Singh, H. B., Swanson, A., and Wooldridge, P. J.: Surface and lightning sources of nitrogen oxides over the United States: magnitudes, chemical evolution, and outflow, J. Geophys.

Res., 112, D12S05, doi:10.1029/2006JD007912, 2007.

5

30

Hudman, R. C., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Interannual variability in soil nitric oxide emissions over the United States as viewed from space, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9943–9952, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9943-2010, 2010.

¹⁰ Hudman, R. C., Moore, N. E., Mebust, A. K., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Steps towards a mechanistic model of global soil nitric oxide emissions: implementation and space based-constraints, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7779–7795, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7779-2012, 2012.

Jiang, G. and Fast, J. D.: Modeling the effects of VOC and NO_x emission sources on ozone

- formation in Houston during the TexAQS 2000 field campaign, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5071– 5085, 2004.
 - Kaynak, B., Hu, Y., Martin, R. V., Russell, A. G., Choi, Y., and Wang, Y.: The effect of lightning NO_x production on surface ozone in the continental United States, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5151–5159, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5151-2008, 2008.
- ²⁰ Kim, S.-W., McKeen, S. A., Frost, G. J., Lee, S.-H., Trainer, M., Richter, A., Angevine, W. M., Atlas, E., Bianco, L., Boersma, K. F., Brioude, J., Burrows, J. P., de Gouw, J., Fried, A., Gleason, J., Hilboll, A., Mellqvist, J., Peischl, J., Richter, D., Rivera, C., Ryerson, T., te Lintel Hekkert, S., Walega, J., Warneke, C., Weibring, P., and Williams, E.: Evaluations of NO_x and highly reactive VOC emission inventories in Texas and their implications for ozone plume
- simulations during the Texas Air Quality Study 2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11361–11386, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11361-2011, 2011.
 - Kleinman, L. I., Daum, P. H., Imre, D., Lee, Y- N., Nunnemacker, L. J., and Springston, S. R.: Ozone production rate and hydrocarbon reactivity in five urban areas: a case of high ozone concentration in Houston, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29, 1467, doi:10.1029/2001GL014569, 2002.
 - Lamsal, L. N., Martin, R. V., van Donkelaar, A., Steinbacher, M., Celarier, E. A., Bucsela, E., Dunlea, E. J., and Pinto, J. P.: Ground level nitrogen dioxide concentrations in-



ferred from the satellite borne Ozone Monitoring Instrument, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D16308, doi:10.1029/2007JD009235, 2008.

- Lamsal, L. N., Krotkov, N. A., Celarier, E. A., Swartz, W. H., Pickering, K. E., Bucsela, E. J., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Irie, H., Cede, A., Herman, J., Weinheimer, A., Szykman, J. J.,
- and Knepp, T. N.: Evaluation of OMI operational standard NO₂ column retrievals using in situ and surface-based NO₂ observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 14519–14573, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-14519-2014, 2014.
 - Levelt, P. F., Hilsenrath, E., Leppelmeier, G. W., van den Oord, G. H. J., Bhartia, P. K., Tamminen, J., de Haan, J. F., and Veefkind, J. P.: Science objective of the ozone monitoring instrument. IEEE T. Geosci, Remote. 44, 1199–1208, 2006.
- Levelt, P. F., van den Oord, G. H. J., Dobber, M. R., Malkki, A., Visser, H., de Vries, J., Stammes, P., Lundell, J. O. V., and Saari, H.: The ozone monitoring instrument, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 44, 1093–1101, 2006.

10

20

30

Lin, J.-T., Liu, Z., Zhang, Q., Liu, H., Mao, J., and Zhuang, G.: Modeling uncertainties for tro-

- pospheric nitrogen dioxide columns affecting satellite-based inverse modeling of nitrogen oxides emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12255–12275, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12255-2012, 2012.
 - Madronich, S.: Photodissociation in the atmosphere 1. Actinic flux and the effects of ground reflections and clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 92, 9740–9752, doi:10.1029/JD092iD08p09740, 1987.
 - Martin, R. V., Sauvage, B., Folkins, I., Sioris, C. E., Boone, C., Bernath, P., and Ziemke, J.: Space-based constraints on the production of nitric oxide by lightning, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D09309, doi:10.1029/2006JD007831, 2007.

Mollner, A. K., Valluvadasan, S., Feng, L., Sprague, M. K., Okumura, M., Milligan, D. B.,

- Bloss, W. J., Sander, S. P., Martien, P. T., Harley, R. A., McCoy, A. B., and Carter, W. P. L.: Rate of gas phase association of hydroxyl radical and nitrogen dioxide, Science, 330, 646– 649, doi:10.1126/science.1193030, 2010.
 - Murphy, C. F. and Allen, D. T.: Hydrocarbon emissions from industrial release events in the Houston-Galveston area and their impact on ozone formation, Atmos. Environ., 39, 3785–3798, 2005.
 - Nam, J., Kimura, Y., Vizuete, W., Murphy, C., and Allen, D. T.: Modeling the impacts of emission events on ozone formation in Houston, Texas, Atmos. Environ., 40, 5329–5341, 2006.



- Napelenok, S. L., Pinder, R. W., Gilliland, A. B., and Martin, R. V.: A method for evaluating spatially-resolved NO_x emissions using Kalman filter inversion, direct sensitivities, and space-based NO_2 observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5603–5614, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5603-2008, 2008.
- ⁵ NCAR: The Tropospheric Visible and Ultraviolet (TUV) Radiation Model web page, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Atmospheric Chemistry Division, Boulder, Colorado, available at: http://cprm.acd.ucar.edu/Models/TUV/index.shtml, last access: September 2014.
 - Parrish, D. D., Allen, D. T., Bates, T. S., Estes, M., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Feingold, G., Ferrare, R., Hardesty, R. M., Meagher, J. F., Nielsen-Gammon, J. W., Pierce, R. B., Ryserson, T. B.,
- Seinfeld, J. H., and Williams, E. J.: Overview of the second Texas Air Quality Study (Tex-AQS II) and the Gulf of Mexico Atmosphere Composition and Climate Study (GoMACCS), J. Geophys. Res., 114, D00F13, doi:10.1029/2009JD011842, 2009.
 - Pour-Biazar, A., McNider, R. T., Roselle, S. J., Suggs, R., Jedlovec, G., Byun, D. W., Kim, S., Lin, C. J., Ho, T. C., Haines, S., Dornblaser, B., and Cameron, R.: Correcting photolysis rates on the basis of satellite observed clouds, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007422, 2007.

15

20

- Prinn, R. G.: Measurement equation for trace chemicals in fluids and solution of its inverse, in: Inverse Methods in Global Biogeochemical Cycles, vol. 114, edited by: Kasibhatla, P., Heimann, M., Rayner, P., Mahowald, N., Prinn, R. G., and Hartley, D. E., AGU, Washington, DC, 3–18, 2000.
- Rappenglück, B., Perna, R., Zhong, S., and Morris, G. A.: An analysis of the vertical structure of the atmosphere and the upper-level meteorology and their impact on surface ozone levels in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D17315, doi:10.1029/2007JD009745, 2008.
- Rodgers, C. D.: Inverse Methods for Atmospheric Sounding Theory and Practice, 1st edn., World Scientific, Singapore, 2000.
 - Russell, A. R., Perring, A. E., Valin, L. C., Bucsela, E. J., Browne, E. C., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: A high spatial resolution retrieval of NO₂ column densities from OMI: method and evaluation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8543–8554, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8543-2011, 2011.
 Ryerson, T. B., Huey, L. G., Knapp, K., Neuman, J. A., Parrish, D. D., Sueper, D. T., and Fehsen-
- ³⁰ feld, F. C.: Design and initial characterizationof an inlet for gas-phase NO_y measurements from aircraft, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 5483–5492, doi:10.1029/1998JD100087, 1999.



Ryerson, T. B., Williams, E. J., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: An efficient photolysis system for fast-response NO₂ measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 447–461, doi:10.1029/2000JD900389, 2000.

Ryerson, T. B., Trainer, M., Angevine, W. M., Brock, C. A., Dissly, R. W., Fehsenfeld, F. C.,

- Frost, G. J., Goldan, P. D., Holloway, J. S., Hubler, G., Jakoubek, R. O., Kuster, W. C., Neuman, J. A., Nicks Jr., D. K., Parrish, D. D., Roberts, J. M., and Sueper, D. T.: Effect of petrochemical industrial emissions of reactive alkenes and NO_x on tropospheric ozone formation in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 108, D084249, doi:10.1029/2002JD003070, 2003. Schumann, U. and Huntrieser, H.: The global lightning-induced nitrogen oxides source, Atmos.
- ¹⁰ Chem. Phys., 7, 3823–3907, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3823-2007, 2007.

15

Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, John Wiley & Sons, INC., New Jersey, 2006.

Simon, H., Baker, K. R., and Phillips, S.: Compilation and interpretation of photochemical model performance statistics published between 2006 and 2012, Atmos. Environ., 61, 124–139, 2012.

Singh, H. B., Brune, W. H., Crawford, J. H., Jacob, D. J., and Russell, P. B.: Overview of the summer 2004 intercontinental chemical transport experiment – North America (INTEX-A), J. Geophys. Res., 111, D24S01, doi:10.1029/2006JD007905, 2006.

Stavrakou, T., Müller, J.-F., Boersma, K. F., van der A, R. J., Kurokawa, J., Ohara, T., and

Zhang, Q.: Key chemical NO_x sink uncertainties and how they influence top-down emissions of nitrogen oxides, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9057–9082, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9057-2013, 2013.

Stephens, G. L.: Radiation profiles in extended water clouds. II: Parameterization schemes, J. Atmos. Sci., 35, 2123–2132, 1978.

- Streets, D. G., Canty, T., Carmichael, G. R., de Foy, B., Dickerson, R. R., Duncan, B. N., Edwards, D. P., Haynes, J. A., Henze, D. K., Houyoux, M. R., Jacob, D. J., Krotkov, N. A., Lamsal, L. N., Liu, Y., Lu, Z- F., Martin, R. V., Pfister, G. G., Pinder, R. W., Salawitch, R. J., and Wecht, K. J.: Emissions estimation from satellite retrievals: a review of current capability, Atmos. Environ., 77, 1011–1042, 2013.
- Tang, W., Cohan, D. S., Lamsal, L. N., Xiao, X., and Zhou, W.: Inverse modeling of Texas NO_x emissions using space-based and ground-based NO₂ observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11005–11018, doi:10.5194/acp-13-11005-2013, 2013.



- TCEQ: Houston-Galveston-Brazoria Attainment Demonstration SIP Revision for the 1997 Eight-Hour Ozone Standard, Austin, TX, 2010.
- TCEQ: Dallas-Fort Worth Attainment Demonstration SIP Revision for the 1997 Eight-hour Ozone Standard Non-attainment Area, Austin, TX, 2011.
- ⁵ Vizuete, W., Kim, B.-U., Jeffries, H., Kimura, Y., Allen, D. T., Kioumourtzoglou, M. A., Biton, L., and Henderson, B.: Modeling ozone formation from industrial emission events in Houston, Texas, Atmos. Environ., 42, 7641–7650, 2008.
 - Washenfelder, R. A., Trainer, M., Frost, G. J., Ryerson, T. B., Atlas, E. L., de Gouw, J. A., Flocke, F. M., Fried, A., Holloway, J. S., Parrish, D. D., Peischl, J., Richter, D., Schauffler, S. M.,
- ¹⁰ Walega, J. G., Warneke, C., Weibring, P., and Zheng, W.: Characterization of NO_x, SO₂, ethane, and propene from industrial emission sources in Houston, Texas, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D16311, doi:10.1029/2009JD013645, 2010.
 - Webster, M., Nam, J., Kimura, Y., Jeffries, H., Vizuete, W., and Allen, D. T.: The effect of variability in industrial emissions on ozone formation in Houston, Texas, Atmos. Environ., 41, 9580–9593, 2007.
- Wert, B. P., Trainer, M., Fried, A., Ryerson, T. B., Henry, B., Potter, W., Angevine, W. M., Atlas, E., Donnelly, S. G., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Frost, G. J., Goldan, P. D., Hansel, A., Holloway, J. S., Hubler, G., Kuster, W. C., Nicks Jr., D. K., Neuman, J. A., Parrish, D. D., Schauffler, S., Stutz, J., Sueper, D. T., Wiedinmyer, C., and Wisthaler, A.: Signatures of terminal alkene

15

- oxidation in airborne formaldehyde measurements during TexAQS 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4104, doi:10.1029/2002JD002502, 2003.
 - Xiao, X., Cohan, D. S., Byun, D. W., and Ngan, F.: Highly nonlinear ozone formation in the Houston region and implications for emission controls, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D23309, doi:10.1029/2010JD014435, 2010.
- Yienger, J. J. and Levy, H.: Empirical-model of global soil-biogenic NO_x emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 11447–11464, doi:10.1029/95JD00370, 1995.
 - Zhou, W., Cohan, D. S., and Henderson, B. H.: Slower ozone production in Houston, Texas following emission reductions: evidence from Texas Air Quality Studies in 2000 and 2006, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2777–2788, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2777-2014, 2014.



AC 14, 24475–2	ACPD 14, 24475–24522, 2014										
Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates											
W. Tan	g et al.										
Title	Title Page										
Abstract	Introduction										
Conclusions	References										
Tables	Figures										
I	۶I										
•											
Back	Close										
Full Scre	een / Esc										
Printer-frier	ndly Version										
Interactive	Discussion										
œ	ву										

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 1. NO_x emission rates for seven sectors in seven inversion regions (t day⁻¹).

Source Region	Area	On-road	Non-road	Biogenic	Aviation	Lightning	Non-EGU points	EGU	Total
HGB	28 (6 %)	159 (36 %)	71 (16%)	10 (2 %)	28 (6 %)	21 (5%)	92 (21 %)	29 (7%)	438
DFW	35 (8%)	152 (37 %)	77 (19%)	60 (14 %)	44 (11 %)	23 (6 %)	19 (5 %)	6 (1 %)	416
BPA	8 (8 %)	24 (24 %)	7 (7%)	2 (2 %)	3 (3%)	8 (8 %)	40 (40 %)	8 (8%)	101
NE Texas	43 (25 %)	34 (20%)	28 (16%)	2 (1 %)	3 (2%)	14 (8 %)	9 (5%)	41 (24 %)	174
Austin and	9 (3 %)	113 (37 %)	37 (12%)	72 (24 %)	12 (4 %)	5 (2%)	21 (7%)	34 (11%)	303
San Antonio									
N Rural	82 (11 %)	161 (21 %)	103 (13 %)	142 (19%)	51 (7 %)	94 (12 %)	39 (5 %)	91 (12 %)	763
S Rural	85 (13 %)	123 (18%)	79 (12%)	176 (26%)	30 (4 %)	61 (9%)	61 (9%)	57 (8%)	672
Total	290 (10%)	766 (27%)	402 (14%)	464 (16%)	171 (6%)	226 (8%)	281 (10%)	266 (9%)	2866

Note: percentage indicates the apportionment of each emission sector to the regional total.

AC	ACPD									
14, 24475–2	14, 24475–24522, 2014									
Influe satellite photolys	Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates									
	W. lang et al.									
Title	Title Page									
Abstract	Introduction									
Conclusions	References									
Tables	Figures									
I	۶I									
•										
Back	Close									
Full Scre	een / Esc									
Printer-frier	ndly Version									
Interactive	Discussion									
C	O BY									

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

 Table 2. Scaling factors of region-based and sector-based inversions.

Region-base	d inversion	Sector-based	l inversion l	Sector-based inversion II			
Emission region	Scaling factor (unitless)	Emission sector	Scaling factor (unitless)	Emission sector	Scaling factor (unitless)		
HGB	1.11	Area	0.54	Area	1.49		
DFW	0.97	Non-road	0.54	Non-road	1.49		
BPA	1.49	On-road	1.03	On-road	0.88		
NE Texas	1.10	Biogenic	0.71	Biogenic	0.84		
Austin and San Antonio	1.15	Aviation	4.10	Aviation	1.49		
N rural	1.24	Lightning	0.98	Lightning	1.03		
S rural	0.98	Non-EGU points	0.96	Non-EGU points	0.96		

Inversion region	on Priori			Poste	Posteriori: region-based inversion			riori: sect inversio	or-based n I	Posteriori: sector-based inversion II			
	R ²	NMB^b	NME ^c	R ²	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R ²	NMB	NME	
HGB	0.57	-0.25	0.36	0.57	-0.17	0.35	0.57	-0.21	0.32	0.57	-0.18	0.34	
DFW	0.74	-0.21	0.29	0.72	-0.21	0.28	0.70	-0.12	0.25	0.75	-0.13	0.30	
BPA	0.40	-0.46	0.47	0.45	-0.33	0.43	0.37	-0.42	0.43	0.39	-0.43	0.44	
NE Texas	0.24	-0.40	0.44	0.24	-0.36	0.43	0.21	-0.39	0.43	0.25	-0.31	0.42	
Austin and San Antonio	0.45	-0.25	0.35	0.47	-0.18	0.35	0.43	-0.23	0.33	0.44	-0.23	0.34	
Overall ^a	0.74	-0.11	0.17	0.75	-0.05	0.16	0.75	-0.04	0.14	0.75	-0.04	0.16	

Table 3. Evaluation of CAMx modeled NO₂ using OMI NO₂.

^a Compared to OMI observations in all inversion regions.

 b Normalized mean bias: Σ(Mod-Obs)/Σ(Obs). c Normalized mean error: Σ|(Mod-Obs)|/Σ|(Obs)|.



Discussion Paper	AC 14, 24475–	PD 24522, 2014										
per Discussio	Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates W. Tang et al.											
on Paper	Title Abstract	Page Introduction										
—	Conclusions	References										
Discus	Tables	Figures										
sion	14	►I										
Pap	•	•										
er	Back	Close										
_	Full Scr	een / Esc										
Discussion Pape	Printer-frie	ndly Version Discussion										
ו Paper	C	O BY										

Table 4. Evaluation of CAMx modeled NO ₂	using hourly AQS ground-measured NO_2 .
---	---

Inversion region	Priori			Posteriori: region-based inversion			Poste	riori: sec inversio	tor-based on I	Posteriori: sector-based inversion II		
	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME
HGB	0.51	0.46	0.67	0.51	0.61	0.77	0.50	0.26	0.56	0.51	0.59	0.76
DFW	0.49	0.43	0.66	0.49	0.40	0.65	0.48	0.14	0.53	0.50	0.55	0.74
BPA	0.45	0.92	1.02	0.45	1.74	1.77	0.45	0.72	0.86	0.45	0.99	1.08
NE Texas	0.70	0.86	0.93	0.70	1.07	1.12	0.70	0.33	0.52	0.70	1.36	1.40
Austin and San Antonio	0.46	0.60	0.87	0.47	0.80	1.01	0.48	0.37	0.73	0.47	0.58	0.86
Overall ^a	0.51	0.51	0.72	0.48	0.67	0.85	0.50	0.26	0.59	0.51	0.63	0.81

^a Compared to all ground sites.

AC 14, 24475–2	ACPD 14, 24475–24522, 2014									
Influe satellite photoly: W. Tan	Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates									
Title	Page									
Abstract	Introduction									
Conclusions	References									
Tables	Figures									
14	۶I									
	•									
Back	Close									
Full Scre	een / Esc									
Printer-frier	ndly Version									
Interactive	Discussion									
C	ву									

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 5. Evaluation of CAMx modeled NO₂ using P-3 aircraft-measured NO₂ and NO_y.

Statistical			NO ₂ *		 NO _y *						
parameters	Priori	Priori Posteriori: Posteriori: region- sector- based based inversion inversion I		Posteriori: sector- based inversion II	Priori	Posteriori: region- based inversion	Posteriori: sector- based inversion I	Posteriori: sector- based inversion II			
R^2	0.22	0.23	0.24	0.21	0.34	0.35	0.35	0.34			
NMB	0.09	0.15	-0.02	0.17	0.70	0.76	0.54	0.79			
NME	0.99	1.03	0.90	1.06	0.98	1.03	0.87	1.04			

* Comparison available for only four days (31 August, 11 September, 13 September, and 15 September 2006).

Source region	pe Priori n		Post	eriori: re ed inve	egion- rsion	Post	eriori: s ed inver	ector- sion I	Post	eriori: s ed invers	ector- sion II	Sec NO _x GOE	tor-I inve emissio ES phote	ersed ons & olysis	
	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME	R^2	NMB	NME
HGB	0.46	0.68	0.75	0.47	0.67	0.74	0.46	0.65	0.72	0.45	0.70	0.76	0.54	0.62	0.69
DFW	0.64	0.21	0.32	0.64	0.23	0.33	0.64	0.18	0.29	0.64	0.21	0.33	0.66	0.18	0.28
BPA	0.47	0.66	0.70	0.47	0.59	0.66	0.49	0.60	0.64	0.45	0.69	0.73	0.52	0.59	0.63
NE Texas	0.49	0.36	0.43	0.49	0.38	0.44	0.50	0.32	0.40	0.48	0.37	0.45	0.55	0.30	0.38
Austin and San Antonio	0.52	0.40	0.46	0.52	0.40	0.46	0.52	0.35	0.43	0.52	0.42	0.48	0.57	0.34	0.41
Overall*	0.50	0.42	0.50	0.51	0.42	0.50	0.50	0.38	0.46	0.49	0.43	0.51	0.55	0.37	0.45

Table 6. Evaluation of CAMx modeled O_3 using hourly AQS ground-measured O_3 .

* Compared to all ground sites.





Discussion Paper **ACPD** 14, 24475-24522, 2014 Influence of satellite-derived photolysis rates **Discussion** Paper W. Tang et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References **Discussion Paper Tables** Figures 4 Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Figure 1. Seven designated inversion regions in eastern Texas (shaded) within 12 km CAMx modeling domain (black square) covered by ground NO₂ monitoring sites (blue triangles), VOC monitoring sites (green circles), and O₃ monitoring sites (red circles).



Figure 2. Differences between satellite-derived (GOES) and model predicted (MOD) J_{NO_2} (left) in simulating NO₂ (middle) and O₃ (right) at 13:00 LT on 2 September 2006.





Figure 3. Monthly 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) averaged differences between satellite-derived (GOES) and model predicted (MOD) (a) JNO₂ in simulating (b) NO₂, (c) O₃, and O₃ sensitivities to (d) NO_x and (e) VOC.





Figure 4. Change in model performance (R^2 , NMB, and NME) in simulating daily 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) NO₂ (left) and O₃ (right) caused by satellite-derived photolysis rates.





Figure 5. Vertical column densities of NO_2 sensitivities to NO_x emissions of **(a)** area, **(b)** non-road, **(c)** on-road, **(d)** biogenic, **(e)** lightning, **(f)** aviation, and **(g)** non-EGU points source sectors.





Figure 6. Pseudodata analysis for the sector-based DKF inversion (top), and its sensitivities to varied uncertainties in emissions (U_E) (bottom left) with 30% uncertainty in observation (U_O) and in observations (bottom right) with 100% uncertainty in emissions.





Figure 7. Comparisons of modeled NO₂ vertical distributions with INTEX NASA DC-8 flight (left) and TexAQS 2006 NOAA P-3 aircraft (right) measurements.





Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Figure 8. Monthly averaged (16 August to 15 September) tropospheric NO₂ VCDs at 13:00-14:00 LT from (a) OMI, (b) a priori simulation, (c) difference between OMI and a priori simulation, and simulations using a posteriori NO, emissions generated by (d) region-based DKF inversion, and sector-based DKF inversion (e) case I and (f) case II.



Figure 9. Monthly 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) averaged ground O_3 concentrations (top), O_3 sensitivity to NO_x (middle), and O_3 sensitivity to VOC (bottom) for the a priori case (left), and differences between a posteriori and a priori for the sector-based DKF inversions case I (middle) and case II (right).





Figure 10. Monthly 8 h (10:00–18:00 LT) averaged differences in modeled (a) ground O_3 concentrations, (b) O_3 sensitivity to NO_x , and (c) O_3 sensitivity to VOC resulting from use of both satellite-derived photolysis rates and NO_x emissions in place of a priori data.

