1	Radiative Signature of Absorbing Aerosol over the Eastern
2	Mediterranean Basin
3	
4	Amit Kumar Mishra <sup>1</sup> , Klaus Klingmueller <sup>2</sup> , Erick Fredj <sup>1</sup> , Jos Lelieveld <sup>3</sup> , Yinon Rudich <sup>1,*</sup> ,
5	Ilan Koren <sup>1,*</sup>
6	<sup>1</sup> Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, Weizmann Institute of Science, Rehovot
7	76100, Israel
8	<sup>2</sup> The Cyprus Institute, PO Box 27456, 1645 Nicosia, Cyprus
9	<sup>3</sup> Max Planck Institute for Chemistry, PO Box 3060, 55020 Mainz, Germany
10 11	*Correspondence: <u>yinon.rudich@weizmann.ac.il</u> , <u>ilan.koren@weizmann.ac.il</u>
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
-	

20 The effects of absorbing aerosols on the atmospheric radiation budget and dynamics over the eastern Mediterranean region are studied using satellites and ground-based 21 observations, and radiative transfer model calculations, under summer conditions. 22 Climatology of aerosol optical depth (AOD), single scattering albedo (SSA) and size 23 24 parameters were analyzed using multi-year (1999-2012) observations from MODIS, MISR and AERONET. CALIOP-derived aerosol vertical distributions and their 25 26 classifications are used to calculate the AOD of 4 dominant aerosol types: dust, polluted 27 dust, polluted continental and marine aerosol over the region. The seasonal mean (June -August, 2010) AODs are 0.22±0.02, 0.11±0.04, 0.10±0.04 and 0.06±0.01 for polluted 28 29 dust, polluted continental, dust and marine aerosol, respectively. Changes in the atmospheric temperature profile as a function of absorbing aerosol loading were derived 30 31 for the same period using observations from the AIRS satellite. We inferred heating rates in the aerosol layer of ~ $1.7\pm0.8$  Kday<sup>-1</sup> between 925 and 850 hPa, which is attributed to 32 aerosol absorption of incoming solar radiation. Radiative transfer model (RTM) 33 calculations show significant atmospheric warming for dominant absorbing aerosol over 34 the region. A maximum atmospheric forcing of  $+16.7\pm7.9$  Wm<sup>-2</sup> is calculated in the case 35 of polluted dust, followed by dust (+9.4±4.9 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and polluted continental (+6.4±4.5 36 Wm<sup>-2</sup>). RTM-derived heating rate profiles for dominant absorbing aerosol show warming 37 of 0.1 - 0.9 Kday<sup>-1</sup> in the aerosol layer (<3.0 km altitudes), which primarily depend on 38 AODs of the different aerosol types. Diabatic heating due to absorbing aerosol stabilizes 39

40 the lower atmosphere, which could significantly reduce the atmospheric ventilation.

41 These conditions can enhance the 'pollution pool' over the eastern Mediterranean.

42 Key words: Absorbing Aerosol, Atmospheric Heating, Dust, Pollution, Mediterranean
43 basin, AIRS, MODIS, CALIPSO.

44

# 45 **1 Introduction**

Atmospheric aerosols constitute an important component of Earth's radiation 46 47 balance and in determining cloud properties and consequences (Forster et al., 2007). The uncertainties related to the aerosol radiative forcing are large and have imposed a major 48 challenge in understanding the anthropogenic role in climate change (Forster et al., 2007; 49 50 Stevens and Feingold, 2009; Leibensperger et al., 2012). These large uncertainties are mainly associated with great spatial and temporal variability of aerosol composition and 51 loading. Aerosols affect the radiation budget both directly through scattering and 52 absorption of solar radiation and indirectly through modifying cloud microphysics 53 (Kaufman et al., 2001; Kaufman and Koren, 2006; Khain, 2009; Li et al., 2011; Seiki and 54 55 Nakajima, 2013). By absorbing solar radiation, aerosol can modify atmospheric stability and hence affect cloud formation and dissipation that could possibly impact precipitation 56 (Ramanathan et al., 2005; Koren et al., 2008). Recent studies (Davidi et al. 2009; Wang, 57 58 2010; Davidi et al., 2012) have shown that elevated biomass burning aerosol over the Amazon and dust particles (Saharan Aerosol Layer) over the Atlantic Ocean can lead to a 59 temperature increase of that layer by 2-4 K. These results call for more studies on the 60

possible effects of absorbing aerosol over other climatically sensitive regions of theworld.

63 The Mediterranean basin is a crossroad of different aerosol types (Lelieveld et al., 2002; Markowicz, et al., 2002), which makes it an ideal natural laboratory to study the 64 effect of different types of absorbing aerosol on the regional and local radiation budget 65 and the consequences for atmosphere dynamics in the region. In general, this region is 66 67 significantly impacted by variety of aerosol types including mineral dust from Africa and Middle East, pollution from Europe and nearby coastal regions, and background marine 68 aerosol (Moulin et al., 1998; Lelieveld et al., 2002, Gerasopoulos et al., 2006; Erel et al., 69 70 2006; Di Iorio et al., 2009). The seasonality of aerosol types over the basin (Vrekoussis et 71 al., 2005; Pace et al., 2006; Marey et al., 2011) calls for investigation of the contribution 72 of absorbing aerosols to total aerosol radiative forcing. Moreover, the absorption of 73 incoming solar radiation by aerosols is greatly enhanced during the summer cloud-free conditions due to the intense solar radiation. Mallet et al (2013) recently reported the 74 dominance of absorbing aerosol over the eastern part as compared to the western part of 75 the basin during the summer season, indicating that the absorbing nature of the aerosol is 76 dominant during summer. Absorbing aerosols were shown to increase the absorption of 77 solar radiation in the atmospheric column  $(+11.1 \text{Wm}^{-2})$  and reduce the surface radiation 78  $(-16.5 \text{ Wm}^{-2})$  inducing significant atmospheric warming and surface cooling over the 79 region (Papadimas et al., 2012). 80

Markowicz et al. (2002) found that the daily averaged atmospheric warming (+11.3 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and surface cooling (-17.9 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) by summertime absorbing aerosol over the Mediterranean are similar to the highly absorbing south Asian haze observed over the 84 Arabian Sea. Significant negative radiative forcing at the surface has also been reported in dust dominant (-70.8 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and pollution dominant (-39.1 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) case studies (Meloni 85 et al., 2003). Di Sarra et al. (2008) estimated that the average daily forcing (at the 86 surface) at the summer solstice and equinox for desert dust is -30 and -24 Wm<sup>-2</sup>, 87 respectively. Numerous other studies have also emphasized the significant role of 88 absorbing aerosol over the Mediterranean region (Kazadzis et al., 2009; Santese et al., 89 90 2010; Di Biagio et al., 2010). Nevertheless, the inferences of these studies (atmospheric 91 warming and surface cooling due to absorbing aerosol) are derived from the calculation 92 of direct radiative forcing of aerosol using radiative transfer models mainly focused over 93 the Mediterranean island of Lampedusa, Crete or other Southern European countries. To the best of our knowledge there have been no direct measurement of absorbing aerosol's 94 95 effect on the atmospheric temperature profile (i.e. change in atmospheric stability due to 96 absorbing aerosol loading) over the region nor attribution of it to different aerosol types.

Given the importance of the strong atmospheric absorption in the eastern 97 Mediterranean Basin, we have conducted a comprehensive study in order to characterize 98 the effects of atmospheric absorption of the different aerosol types on the atmospheric 99 100 stability and the resulting climatic effects over the region. To that end, long term climatology (~10 year) of aerosol optical (extinction/absorption) and microphysical 101 properties (size parameters) has been established for the Eastern Mediterranean. The 102 effect of absorbing aerosol on tropospheric atmospheric stability has been studied using 103 104 the state of the art remote sensing methodology (satellite measure of aerosol loading and temperature profile). Finally, the observed properties of different aerosol types have been 105 used in a radiative transfer model to estimate the resulting climate effects. 106

### 108 2 Methodology

Combined analyses of multiple datasets, derived from satellite, ground based 109 measurements and radiative transfer model results, are used in this study. We focused on 110 the eastern Mediterranean between  $24.5^{\circ}E$  to  $34.5^{\circ}E$  and  $32.5^{\circ}N$  to  $35.5^{\circ}N$ . The 111 112 rectangular box over the eastern Mediterranean basin in Fig. 1 represents the region of interest (ROI) for the present study. The analyzed ROI (area encompasses ~5000 km<sup>2</sup>) 113 has been chosen due to (1) focus on the eastern part of the basin which is significantly 114 impacted by near and distant variable aerosol sources (Vrekoussis et al., 2005; Melin and 115 Zibordi, 2005; Dermian et al., 2006; Basart et al., 2009) and (2) reliability of the satellite 116 products over the marine regions (surface albedo effect). The flow chart of methodology 117 is given in Fig. 2 which is explained as follows: 118

Monthly averaged (2002-2012) Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer 119 (MODIS;  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ ) and Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR;  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$ ) level 120 3 derived aerosol properties (Diner et al. 1998; Kahn et al., 2005; Levy et al., 2007; 121 Remer et al., 2008) have been used to study the long term climatology over ROI. We 122 have also used  $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$  gridded MODIS-derived daily averaged aerosol optical depth 123 124 (AOD at 550 nm) and Fine Fraction (ff) along with the Atmospheric Infra-Red Sounder (AIRS)-derived temperature  $(1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ} \text{ spatial resolution})$  at 4 pressure levels: 1000, 925, 125 126 850 and 700 hP (Aumann et al., 2003; Diao et al., 2013) to analyze the absorption effect 127 of aerosol on atmospheric stability. More details on accuracy and validation of AIRS 128 temperature product can be found elsewhere (Davidi et al., 2009, and references therein).

The AIRS temperature data are sorted according to AOD and divided into equally spaced
bins of AOD. Both MODIS and AIRS fly on the Aqua platform (~01:30 p.m. LT),
whereas MISR is on board Terra platform (~10:30 a.m. LT).

24 Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) sites have been chosen to represent the 132 long term (1999-2012) climatology of aerosol absorption and the size properties of the 133 dominant aerosol types over the basin. We have used level 2 data of the single scattering 134 albedo (SSA), AOD and asymmetry parameter (ASYM) at 4 wavelengths (440, 675, 870 135 136 and 1020 nm). Following Russell et al. (2010), aerosol absorption optical depth (AAOD = [1-SSA]\*AOD) follows a relatively smooth decrease with wavelength ( $\lambda$ ) and can be 137 approximated with power-law wavelength dependence (AAOD ~  $\lambda^{-AAE}$ ). By convention, 138 absorption Angstrom exponent (AAE) is the negative of the slope of the absorption on a 139 log-log plot. In the same manner, the extinction Angstrom exponent (EAE) is calculated 140 by power-law wavelength approximation (AOD~  $\lambda^{-EAE}$ ), which is used as a size 141 parameter of aerosol particles. The details of the AERONET products and associated 142 error analyses can be found elsewhere (Holben et al., 1998; Eck et al., 1999; Dubovik et 143 al., 2000; Sinyuk et al., 2012; Eck et al., 2013). The selection of AERONET sites was 144 145 based on extensive data volume (>2.5 year) and geographical locations among aerosol 146 source regions around the Mediterranean Basin (Fig. 1). Each site is classified (following 147 Mallet et al., 2013) as either dust affected or pollution dominated site based on the source regions and known seasonal changes in aerosol types over these regions (Holben et al., 148 149 2001; Tanre et al., 2001; Dubovik et al., 2002; Reid et al., 2003; Melin and Zibordi, 2005; Derimian et al., 2006; Eck et al., 2010; Giles et al., 2012; Mallet et al., 2013; 150 Marconi et al., 2014). Dust affected sites are depicted by red circles and pollution 151

152 dominated sites are shown by blue circles in Fig. 1. More details on site classification can 153 be found in Mallet et al. (2013). Fig. 3 plots averaged level 2 AOD<sub>440</sub> and AAOD<sub>440</sub> with  $EAE_{440-870}$  for all 24 sites. Red triangle represents the dust affected sites whereas blue 154 circles show pollution dominated sites. Relatively higher AAOD and lower EAE of dust 155 affected sites (except Forth Crete and Erdemli; see Table S1) manifest the effect of dust 156 particles in absorption and size parameters. We synthesize the optical models for the 157 158 three different aerosol types (dust, polluted dust and polluted continental) over the Mediterranean. We have classified aerosol events based on daily AERONET 159 observations. Our classification was done strictly based on the size parameter (EAE). For 160 161 aerosol classification as dust, we used all dust-dominated sites data with EAE<0.6. Dust model for individual sites can be seen in Fig. S1a. For classification as polluted dust 162 (mixed aerosol) we included Athens and Messina (possible mixing sites) with all other 163 dust dominated sites and selected AERONET data with 0.7<EAE<1.1. Pollution 164 (polluted continental) has been characterized as EAE >1.4 for all pollution dominated 165 sites. Polluted continental model for individual sites can be seen in Fig. S1b. The optical 166 models for all three different absorbing aerosol types are presented for summer season 167 (see section 3.1). We treat DJF as winter, MAM as spring, JJA as summer, and SON as 168 169 autumn in this study.

In addition, we used the particle extinction coefficient ( $\sigma_{ext}$  at 532 nm; L2\_V3.01 product) profiles from the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP) on-board the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO), to estimate the vertical profiles of the different aerosol types (Winker et al., 2003; Young and Vaughan, 2009). The CALIOP aerosol identification algorithm (Omar 175 et al., 2009) also provides details about the vertical profile of the different aerosol types. 176 Total 73 CALIPSO overpasses (37-day and 36-night time) over the ROI have been analysed in this study. Although day-time profiles have relatively higher noise than night-177 time profiles, both profiles have been used to maximize the number of observation in our 178 study. To minimize possible artifacts due to cloud contamination in the extinction signals, 179 a standard scheme (Winker et al., 2013; Fig. S2) has been used to screen out all spurious 180 181 points in our datasets (about 5% data was rejected). CALIOP-generated extinction profiles have been used in the calculation of AOD ( $\tau = \int_{z_1}^{z_2} \sigma_{ext} dz$ ) for various types of 182 aerosol over the ROI. 183

We performed clear-sky solar irradiance, covers both short wavelength and long 184 wavelength  $(0.25 - 20 \text{ }\mu\text{m})$ , computations using the Santa Barbara DISORT Atmospheric 185 Radiative Transfer (SBDART) code (Ricchiazzi et al., 1998), which uses the discrete 186 ordinates radiative transfer (DISORT) integration of the radiative transfer equations 187 (Stamnes et al., 1988). This code includes multiple scattering in a vertically 188 inhomogeneous, non-isothermal plane-parallel medium, and is computationally efficient 189 190 in resolving the radiative transfer equation (McComiskey et al. 2008). The SBDART characterizes atmospheric aerosol radiative effects using as input the solar zenith angle 191  $(0^{\circ} < sza < 90^{\circ})$  with 5° interval, and sza= 60° is used for averaged day-time calculation), the 192 193 spectral AOD, the spectral SSA, and the spectral ASYM.

To perform aerosol radiative forcing calculations in the  $0.25 - 20 \ \mu m$  wavelength range, aerosol properties in the entire wavelength region  $(0.25 - 20 \ \mu m)$  are necessary. Since the measured AERONET aerosol optical properties are only available in the visible 197 and near-infrared wavelength range ( $\sim 0.4 - 1.0 \mu m$ ), we used AERONET observed 198 particle size distributions and refractive indices (0.4-1.0 µm) to estimate the aerosol optical properties in the entire wavelength region  $(0.25 - 20 \ \mu m)$ . To extrapolate the 199 refractive indices, we assume that the three aerosol types (dust, polluted dust and polluted 200 continental) are internal mixtures of components with known short-wave and long-wave 201 202 refractive indices. As mixing rule relating the refractive indices of mixture and 203 components, we used the volume averaged refractive index mixing rule. The components 204 assumed are: mineral dust and water for dust dominated aerosol; mineral dust, black carbon and water for polluted dust; ammonium sulphate, black carbon and water for 205 206 polluted continental aerosol. In the latter case, ammonium sulphate is representative for 207 various components with similar refractive indices. The refractive indices of the components are taken from Hess et al. (1998) for black carbon and mineral dust (SW), 208 209 Rothman et al. (2005) for ammonium sulphate and water and I. N. Sokolik (unpublished data, 2005) for mineral dust (LW). The volume fractions are chosen such that the 210 211 refractive indices integrated over the wavelengths range of the observations (440 nm -1020 nm) agree with the observed AERONET values. We obtain the following mean 212 213 volume fractions: 79.6 % mineral dust, 20.4 % water (dust); 38.5 % ammonium sulphate, 1.7 % black carbon, 59.8 % water (polluted continental); 60 % mineral dust, 0.5 % black 214 215 carbon, 39.5 % water (polluted dust). Using these volume fractions combined with the refractive indices of the components and the observed particle size distributions, we 216 217 compute the aerosol optical properties. SCATTNLAY (Peña and Pal, 2009) Mie code is employed for calculations of optical properties (AOD, AAOD, SSA, ASYM). To obtain 218 an error estimate, the standard deviation of the observations is propagated using jackknife 219

resampling (Wu, 1986). The output AODs for each aerosol types is scaled with CALIOP-derived AOD.

The spectral SSA and the spectral ASYM are obtained from the abovementioned 222 procedure for different dominant aerosol types, whereas the vertical distributions of 223 224 aerosol types and AOD are supplied from CALIOP measurements. The atmospheric 225 model (McClatchey et al., 1972; Ricchiazzi et al., 1998) input was set as "Mid-Latitude Summer Atmosphere". SBDART characterized 'ocean water' surface type was used to 226 227 parameterize the spectral albedo of surface (Tanré et al. 1990; Ricchiazzi et al., 1998). 228 The instantaneous values of aerosol radiative forcing ( $\Delta F_{aer}$ ) have been derived as  $\Delta F_{aer}$  =  $(F^{\downarrow}-F^{\uparrow}) - (F_0^{\downarrow} - F_0^{\uparrow})$ , where F and F<sub>0</sub> denote the global irradiances with aerosol and 229 230 without aerosol, respectively. The arrows indicate the direction of the global irradiances (down and up). 231

232

# 233 **3 Results and Discussion**

# 234 **3.1 Aerosol climatology**

Fig. 4 shows the 10 year (2002-12) monthly averaged variation of MODIS- and MISR-derived aerosol optical depth and MODIS-derived cloud fraction (CF) over the ROI. Both instruments show similar trend of aerosol loading with some discrepancies in the averaged values. Possible explanations for these discrepancies in AOD are (1) the instruments footpath cover different areas; (2) the overpass time of the 2 platforms is different; and (3) technical reasons such as different retrieval algorithms (Kahn et al., 2007), different processing methods (Abdou et al., 2005) and calibration. A detailed 242 discussion about aerosol measurement differences between these two sensors can be 243 found elsewhere (Kahn et al., 2007; Xiao et al., 2009; Shi et al., 2011). A significant seasonal variability in aerosol loading with maximum in spring (April) and minimum in 244 winter (more exactly from November to January) is found over the ROI. The ten year 245 average seasonal mean AODs are 0.24-0.25, 0.20-0.23, 0.17-0.19 and 0.17-0.18 in spring, 246 summer, autumn and winter, respectively. This seasonal dependence is explained by the 247 248 seasonal variability in the major sources of aerosol loading over the Mediterranean that is 249 a function of synoptic meteorology (Lelieveld et al., 2002; Vrekoussis et al., 2005; Pace 250 et al., 2006; Kallos et al., 2007). Among all seasons, the summertime eastern 251 Mediterranean is characterized by a relatively minor cloud fraction (<0.15) and small 252 AOD variability at intra-seasonal scale (Fig. 4). Due to the cloud-free conditions, high radiation intensity and less variability in aerosol loading during the summer, we have 253 254 chosen this season to study the climatic effects of absorbing aerosol over the ROI. The 255 another reason for choosing only summer season is that there are much less AERONET 256 level-2 absorption data during other seasons (Mallet et al., ACP, 2013). The seasonal (summer) mean of AOD over the ROI for 10 years (2003-2012) are given in Table 1. The 257 258 summertime mean AODs vary between 0.19 and 0.25 in the span of 10 years. The maximum and minimum AOD have been observed in 2010 and 2012, respectively. The 259 ten year averaged (2003-12) AOD is 0.21±0.02 in summer season over the ROI. 260

The summer-time AERONET-derived absorption and size properties for each site are presented in Table 2. The average AAE values are in the range of 0.95-2.24 and 0.93-1.58 for dust affected and pollution dominated sites respectively. Relatively higher values of AAE (2.24) for Lampedusa as compared to other dust dominated sites could be 265 understood as the island is in close proximity to North Africa and could be more affected 266 by dust rather than pollution from Europe. However, the explanation of this high AAE value of Lampedusa needs more deep introspection. Relatively lower values of AAE and 267 higher values of EAE of Erdemli, Sede Boker and Nes Ziona amidst other dust affected 268 sites can be understood as these sites are more affected by pollution than dust during 269 summer season (also manifested by larger  $SSA_{440}$  values). The size parameter (EAE) 270 271 shows lower values (0.82-1.39) for dust-affected sites and larger values (1.21-1.63) for 272 pollution dominant sites. These absorption and size properties are well within the range of earlier reported values of worldwide dominant aerosol types (Dubovik et al., 2002; 273 274 Giles et al., 2012). The pollution dominated sites show slightly higher values of  $SSA_{440}$ 275 in the range of  $0.91 - 0.95 \pm 0.04$  except Potenza. Recently, Mallet et al. (2013) have shown that the Mediterranean urban-industrial aerosol appears moderately absorbing 276 277  $(SSA_{440} \sim 0.94 - 0.95 \pm 0.04)$  in most cases except for Rome and Athens  $(SSA_{440} \sim 0.89 - 0.89)$  $0.90 \pm 0.04$ ) where the aerosol appears to be more absorbing. The differences of optical 278 properties in this study and Mallet et al. (2013) can be understood as Table 2 depicts the 279 averaged properties for summer season only whereas Mallet et al. (2013) consider the 280 entire data set. However, Table S1 well corroborates with the findings of Mallet et al. 281 282 (2013). The role of dust in summer could be seen by comparing the summer means 283 (Table 2) and overall means (Table S1) of EAE for dust affected sites situated in western 284 basin (Blida, Malaga, Granada etc.). Dust events during summer are likely to decrease the 285 EAE values over these sites. However, the eastern basin sites are more influenced by pollution as seen from increased values of EAE in summer as compared to overall means. 286

287 As we can see from the Table 2 that the optical properties of different sites in two 288 different categories shows great variability. We have characterized dust (EAE<0.6), 289 polluted dust (0.7<EAE<1.1) and polluted continental (EAE>1.4) aerosol using the size 290 parameter to separate these three classes as discussed in the methodology section. The possible reason for this variability can be understood since the Mediterranean basin is a 291 292 cross-road of different aerosol types that mix in variable concentrations at different 293 locations. Fig. 5 presents the spectral variation of the summer-time averaged SSA, 294 AAOD, ASYM and volume size distribution of dominant absorbing aerosol types over 295 the Mediterranean Basin. All four properties show three different classes of aerosol 296 optical model with possible variance. The standard deviation in SSA at different 297 wavelengths shows relatively higher values for polluted dust and pollution aerosols. However, the spectral shapes of SSA manifest the differences in absorption properties of 298 299 these three different aerosol classes which can be also seen in AAOD plot. The spectral shape of the SSA for different aerosol types shows similar variation as reported in Russell 300 et al. (2010). As SSA is an intrinsic property of aerosol and does not depend on the 301 loading, it is used to separate the absorbing effect of dust, polluted dust and pollution in 302 303 radiative transfer scheme (section 3.4). The optical properties for all three aerosol types 304 in  $0.25 - 20 \,\mu\text{m}$  wavelength region (as discussed in methodology section) are given in 305 Fig. S3.

306

# **307 3.2 Spatial and vertical distributions of aerosol during summer**

308 As summer 2010 shows all range of AOD (from low to high values), it has been 309 chosen for the analyses over the eastern Mediterranean basin. The seasonal mean of MODIS-derived AOD is  $0.25\pm0.12$  over the ROI during summer 2010. Fig. 6 shows the 310 intra-seasonal variability of spatial distribution over the Mediterranean basin during 311 summer 2010. In terms of AOD spatial variability, all three months show significantly 312 different distribution of aerosol loading across the basin. In June, almost the entire basin 313 314 shows significantly higher aerosol loading (0.2-0.5) with a maximum in the south-west 315 part of the basin, whereas in July and August, the maximum aerosol loading is concentrated in the eastern part of the basin. Over the Eastern basin, June and August are 316 317 associated with higher aerosol loading (AOD>0.2) as compared to July (AOD<0.2). The MODIS-retrieved Fine Fraction (ff) provides a good idea about the size of particles 318 suspended in the atmosphere. Fig. 7 shows the relative frequency of occurrence of ff in all 319 320 three months of summer 2010. The frequency of occurrence of higher values (>0.5) of ff 321 increases as the months progressed from June to August, indicating the increase in fine mode particles as the summer progressed. This intra-seasonal spatial variability can be 322 explained as different air masses that come from Africa, Europe or the Middle East 323 324 significantly change the aerosol properties over the basin. In general, the central and 325 eastern Mediterranean basin is impacted by frequent dust events from Africa during June 326 that significantly decrease during the rest of the season (Lelieveld et al., 2002). Moulin et al. (1998) have nicely presented the dust seasonality over the Mediterranean basin (West, 327 328 Central and Eastern). They have found higher dust-AOD in spring  $(0.14\pm0.05)$  than that in summer  $(0.10\pm0.04)$  for eastern basin, whereas central and western basin shows higher 329

dust loading in summer (0.18±0.06 and 0.14±0.04, respectively) than that in spring
(0.15±0.06 and 0.10±0.04, respectively) over the period 1983-1994.

Fig. 8a shows the CALIOP-retrieved monthly average aerosol extinction 332 distribution for June, July and August during summer 2010. There is maximum extinction 333 near the surface (0.12-0.15 km<sup>-1</sup>) in all three months that decreases significantly above 334 335 1 km altitudes. June and August show elevated (up to 2-5 km) aerosol supporting the 336 earlier observation from MODIS measurements (long-range transport of dust from the 337 African and the Arabian regions). CALIOP-derived AOD also corroborated the MODIS 338 observations i.e. significantly higher AOD in August  $(0.25\pm0.12)$  and June  $(0.19\pm0.09)$  as compared to July  $(0.16\pm0.10)$ . Recently Ma et al. (2013) reported that CALIPSO AOD is 339 340 significantly lower than that of MODIS AOD over the major dust source regions (Sahara, Gobi etc.) of the world. However, the difference between these CALIOP- and MODIS-341 342 derived AOD's is minimal ( $\sim 0.05-0.07$ ) over the Mediterranean basin during the summer 343 season, which is also reflected in the present study. Oo and Holz (2011) demonstrated underestimation of AOD in oceanic regions in which CALIOP identifies clean marine, 344 but the fine mode fraction from the MODIS suggests a mixture of fine and coarse 345 aerosols. Several attempts have been made to compare the CALIOP aerosol classification 346 347 with AERONET and airborne High Spectral Resolution Lidar (HSRL-1) (Mielonen et al., 348 2009; Burton et al., 2013). While mentioning the good agreement in 63-80% of the cases between the most common aerosol types, these studies have warned against uncritical use 349 of CALIOP classification in scientific studies. Before using the CALIOP aerosol 350 351 classification in our study, we performed a cross check using MODIS ff over the ROI. 352 Fig. 9 shows the assessment of MODIS ff for three different scenarios of dominant 353 aerosol loading (dust, polluted dust and polluted continental) over the ROI. The mean 354 values of ff are 0.44±0.11, 0.55±0.12 and 0.64±0.17 for the dust, polluted dust and polluted continental dominant aerosol classes, respectively. Earlier studies have reported 355 MODIS ff in the range of 0.25 - 0.45 for marine aerosols, 0.37 - 0.51 for dust, and 0.83 - 0.51356 0.92 for anthropogenic aerosols over the various oceanic regions of the world (Kaufman 357 358 et al., 2005; Yu et al., 2009; Jones and Christopher, 2007; 2011). Relatively lower values of ff are found in our cases (as compared to above-mentioned studies). These could be 359 understood as marine aerosols are present in all three cases in our study (Fig. 9, black 360 circles), which would likely decrease the average ff values for all three cases. Therefore, 361 distribution of *ff* as compared to other above-mentioned studies is in close agreement 362 with the aerosol classification for this present study. 363

364 The average vertical distributions of dominant aerosol types over the eastern Mediterranean are presented in Fig. 8b (standard deviations are presented in Fig. S4). 365 Dust and polluted dust aerosol are frequently found at higher (3-6 km) altitudes whereas 366 polluted continental and marine aerosol are located within boundary layer (below 1.0-2.0 367 km altitudes). The maximal extinction has been shown by mixed aerosol (polluted dust~ 368 0.05-0.25 km<sup>-1</sup>) followed by pollution (polluted continental~ 0.02-0.15 km<sup>-1</sup>) in the lower 369 troposphere (below 2.0 km altitude). The vertical distributions of different aerosol types 370 from this study are well corroborated with Nabat et al. (2013), which have nicely 371 presented a 4-dimensional climatology of aerosol properties over the Mediterranean 372 373 Basin. The seasonal mean (2010 summer) AOD of different dominant aerosol types are 0.22±0.02, 0.11±0.04, 0.10±0.04 and 0.06±0.01 for polluted dust, polluted continental,
dust and marine aerosol, respectively. The higher contribution from polluted dust (mixed
pollution + dust) reflects the real scenario over the Mediterranean, where the probability
of mixing of anthropogenic pollution and natural dust is found to reach a maximum
during the summer season.

### 379 **3.3** The effect of absorption on the atmospheric temperature profile

Fig. 10 (a) shows the binned scatter plot of MODIS-AOD versus AIRS-380 Temperature of four different pressure levels (1000, 925, 850 and 700 hPa) for the 381 382 summer 2010. The seasonal mean altitudes representing the different pressure levels are approximated using atmospheric sounding data at Bet Dagan in the eastern 383 (http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html, 384 Mediterranean Station number: 40179). As the AOD increases from lowest bin (0.07) to the highest (0.58), there is an 385 increase of  $\sim 4$  <sup>0</sup>C for 925 hPa (green) and 850 hPa (red) and no statistically significant 386 changes (with respect to standard deviation) at 1000 hPa (blue) and 700 hPa (cyan). The 387 388 increase in average temperature during high aerosol loading (AOD>0.5) at 925 and 850 hPa can be attributed to enhanced heating due to absorbing particles in that layer. The 389 390 CALIOP-derived aerosol vertical profiles (Fig. 8b) have also shown that the highest 391 contributions of dominant aerosol are below 2 km altitude. The temperature difference between 850 hPa and 1000 hPa level is a good measure of stability in lower troposphere 392 (Davidi et al., 2009). The mean temperature difference of  $\sim 8$  <sup>0</sup>C (solid black arrow in Fig. 393 394 10a) in clean conditions (AOD~ 0.08) between 1000 and 850 hPa is significantly lower than the standard adiabatic lapse rate (~14  $^{0}C$  for 1400 m). This indicates the stable 395

396 atmosphere over the Eastern Mediterranean. This average temperature difference decreases by two fold (~4 <sup>0</sup>C, dotted black arrow in Fig. 10a) in the case of high aerosol 397 loading (AOD~0.58), indicating that the diabatic heating in the presence of absorbing 398 aerosol substantially increased the already existing stability of the lower troposphere. 399 However, this role of absorbing aerosol is counteracted in the upper levels (between 850 -400 700 hPa), where it adds instability to the already stable atmosphere (solid and dotted pink 401 402 arrow in Fig. 10a). Fig. 10a shows that the difference between the temperatures at 850 403 hPa and 925 hPa is independent of aerosol loading, i.e. it is almost constant in the entire range of AOD (0.07 to 0.58). This observation suggests that the contribution of 404 405 absorption from these two aerosol layers (at 850 hPa and 925 hPa) is almost similar in magnitude. Fig. S5 strengthened our abovementioned conclusion that the maximum 406 observation due to absorbing aerosols (dust, polluted dust and polluted continental) 407 408 occurs between ~400 and ~2200 m altitude range with almost similar relative frequency of occurrence. A similar effect of absorbing aerosol has been reported by Davidi et al., 409 (2009; 2012), where they have found an increase of  $\sim 4$  <sup>0</sup>C at 850 hPa in the case of 410 smoke loading over the Amazon and  $\sim$ 5-6 <sup>0</sup>C increase in case of dust loading over the 411 412 Atlantic. Ramanathan et al. (2001) has reported seasonal (JFM, 1999) and vertical averaged (0-3 km altitude) heating rate of ~0.3-0.6 K/day due to anthropogenic 413 414 carbonaceous aerosols over the north Indian Ocean region. Mineral dust layers have also shown heating rate of about 0.5 K/day over the Arabian Sea and the Sahara coasts (Zhu et 415 416 al., 2007).

417 While this correlation between AIRS temperature and MODIS AOD is substantial 418 with theoretical background related to diabatic heating of absorbing aerosol, one can 419 question the (1) AIRS artifact in temperature retrieval in case of dust (Maddy et al., 2012) 420 and (2) meteorology-driven changes in temperature, especially in the case of dust outbreaks. Because of their large sizes (up to several micrometer), dust particles are able 421 to strongly interact with IR radiation and thus can affect the temperature retrieval in the 422 423 thermal spectrum (Pierangelo et al., 2004; DeSouza-Machado et al., 2006). In addition, 424 dust outbreaks are associated with dry and warm air, so it could carry the 'memory of 425 warm air' and could affect the temperature profiles during transport. We present the 426 relative frequency distribution of AOD occurrence (upper panel) and *ff* (lower panel) for 427 all observed data in Fig. 10b. The maximal relative frequency of AOD and *ff* appears around 0.2 and 0.5-0.8, respectively. The mean values of temperature for each bin (Fig. 428 429 10a) integrate the effect of both fine and coarse particles. To address these issues, we show similar analyses (same as Fig. 10a) for two different cases of MODIS ff (ff < 0.5430 and ff > 0.5) in Fig. 11a. The number of sampled AOD pixels are comparably lower 431 (around half) in case of ff<0.5 than that of ff>0.5 (Fig. 11b). The ff<0.5 case associated 432 433 with dust (left panel) shows a slightly cooler atmosphere (explanations are given below) 434 than ff > 0.5 case (right panel) all along the profile, but the trend of d(T)/d(AOD) is quite 435 similar in both cases (fine and coarse) and also represents the essence of Fig. 10a. From this analysis, it is clear that the observed perturbation in temperature is apparent in both 436 437 coarse (dust) and fine absorbing particles (pollution). These observations further strengthen the conclusion that the temperature increase is solely attributed to diabatic 438 heating by absorbing particles. 439

440 The plausible reason of cooler atmosphere in case ff < 0.5 could be explained by Fig. 441 S6 (supplementary material). Fig. S6a shows that the AOD occurrence associated with large particles is maximal in June as compared to other two later months or in other 442 words June month is highly impacted by dust events as compared to rest of season. Also 443 the mean temperature climatology shows a gradual increase as days progressed from June 444 to August. The daily mean values of AOD did not show any definite pattern with 445 temperature except few events in June and August (marked as double headed arrow). All 446 above mentioned analysis suggest that the coarse particles (dust events) dominant in early 447 summer season which is also characterized by slightly lower atmospheric temperature. 448 449 This could be a plausible reason of slightly lower atmospheric temperature in case of coarse particles (ff < 0.5) than that in fine particle case (ff > 0.5) in Fig. 11a. 450

451

## 452 **3.4** Atmospheric heating due to different aerosol types: model assessment

The strong dependence of aerosol direct radiative forcing (ADRF) at top of the 453 atmosphere (TOA) and at the surface (SRF) on solar zenith angle (sza) has well observed 454 by Boucher et al. (1998). In this study, the variation of radiative forcing of aerosol types 455 (dust, polluted dust and polluted continental) with solar zenith angle (SZA) at TOA, at 456 457 SRF and in the atmosphere (ATM) are given in Fig. S7 (supplementary material). Our results agree with those of Boucher et al. (1998). In spite of the strong variability of 458 ADRF (at TOA and SRF) with sza, we used  $sza=60^{\circ}$  to show our results for daytime 459 average (an approximation) because we compare our model assessment with the AIRS vs 460 MODIS analyses, which use instantaneous observations at 1.30 PM (LT) for each day. It 461

462 is worth mentioning that using a single sza=  $60^{\circ}$  (that is close to the maximum 463 instantaneous DRE) overestimates the calculated DRE as compared to cosine of sza 464 weighted mean DRE at TOA and at SRF, but in the atmosphere (ATM) it will be slightly 465 underestimated (Fig. S8).

Fig. 12 shows the clear-sky daytime averaged ( $sza=60^{\circ}$ ) aerosol radiative forcing 466  $(\Delta F_{aer})$  of dominant aerosol types (dust, polluted dust, polluted continental and marine) at 467 the surface (SRF), at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) and in the atmosphere (ATM) 468 469 during summer 2010 over the Eastern Mediterranean. The atmospheric forcing of aerosol is the difference between forcing at TOA and SRF  $[(\Delta F_{aer})_{ATM} = (\Delta F_{aer})_{TOA} - (\Delta F_{aer})_{SRF}]$ . 470 The error bars show the total uncertainties in radiative forcing due to the uncertainty in 471 the input parameters. We examined the dependence of forcing on each property, AOD, 472 SSA and g (sensitivity analyses of forcing to various parameters) separately (Fig. S9). 473 474 The sensitivity analyses have shown that the aerosol forcing values strongly depends on the AOD values as compared to any other properties (SSA and g). The total uncertainty 475 in forcing, owing to the combined influence of the uncertainties in the various properties 476 is determined under the assumption that these uncertainties are not correlated 477 478 (McComiskey et al., 2008).

All dominant aerosol types show negative radiative forcing at both the surface and the TOA. The higher values of  $|(\Delta F_{aer})_{SRF}|$  than that of  $|(\Delta F_{aer})_{TOA}|$  are a result of the positive atmospheric forcing for almost all aerosol types, which reflect the absorbing behavior of these aerosols. However, this value ( $(\Delta F_{aer})_{ATM}$ ) is close to zero in the case of marine aerosol. The forcing values at TOA and at the surface are largest for polluted dust due to the high value of AOD. The maximal atmospheric forcing is observed in the

case of polluted dust (16.7±7.9Wm<sup>-2</sup>) followed by dust (9.4±4.9 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and polluted 485 continental  $(6.4\pm4.5 \text{ Wm}^{-2})$  aerosol. The atmospheric radiative forcing efficiencies 486 (defined by radiative forcing for unit optical depth) are found to be slightly more in case 487 of dust (+86.2 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) than polluted dust (+77.2 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and polluted continental (+62.5 488 Wm<sup>-2</sup>). The significant positive radiative forcing for dominant absorbing aerosol 489 490 corroborated our earlier observations of heating in the atmosphere (section 3.3). Di Biagio et al. (2010) showed that these atmospheric forcing (at the summer solstice) could 491 be increased up to  $+35 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  for desert dust (DD),  $+23 \text{Wm}^{-2}$  for pollution (UI-BB) and 492 +34 Wm<sup>-2</sup> for mixed aerosol (MA) in the highest aerosol loading for these classes (AOD~ 493 494 0.88 for DD, 0.44 for UI-BB, and 0.45 for MA) over the Mediterranean region. The regional mean values of aerosol forcing over the broader Mediterranean basin under clear 495 sky conditions have been found to be  $+7.4\pm3.3$ ,  $+19.2\pm2.9$ ,  $+20.1\pm1.1$  and  $+7.7\pm4.0$ 496 Wm<sup>-2</sup> for winter, spring, summer and autumn, respectively (Papadimas et al., 2012). The 497 global mean atmospheric forcing (SW) of dust has been recently reported to be in the 498 range of +1.57 to +1.73 Wm<sup>-2</sup> using GEOS-Chem global three dimensional Chemical 499 Transport Model coupled with Fu-Lion-Gu (FLG) radiative transfer model (Zhang et al., 500 2013). They also reported the FLG-derived radiative forcing over major dust prone 501 regions of world: Sahara (+15.22 Wm<sup>-2</sup>), Gobi (+15.79 Wm<sup>-2</sup>), Arabian Sea (+5.07 502 Wm<sup>-2</sup>) and Eastern Asia (+4.96 Wm<sup>-2</sup>). 503

Table 3 presents the daytime average aerosol radiative forcing in short wavelength
(SW: 0.25-4.0 μm) and long wavelength (LW: 4.0-20 μm) regime for dominant aerosol
types. The LW radiative forcing is positive both at SRF and TOA since aerosol produced
planetary and surface warming through interaction with infrared (IR) radiation. Fig. S10

508 shows radiative forcing of different aerosols types as a function of wavelength for both 509 SW and LW region. The importance of the particle size in the LW regime can be seen 510 from the Fig. S10 and Table 3, where dust and polluted dust (diameter up to several micrometers) show higher forcing values as compared to polluted continental aerosol 511 (diameter less than 1 µm). It is worth noting that we cannot expect significant radiative 512 forcing of anthropogenic pollution in the LW region due to the small sizes of these 513 particles. Bergamo et al. (2008) have reported that the IR surface direct radiative forcing 514 of anthropogenic aerosol reach peak values close to 0.35 Wm<sup>-2</sup> (significantly larger than 515 the TOA values) at most of the Mediterranean sites during summer and offset 3-6% of 516 517 the negative solar (SW) radiative forcing. Our results suggest that the role of absorbing aerosol are mainly associated with the SW region, where it absorbs and scatters the 518 incoming SW solar radiation and thus produces the planetary and surface cooling effect. 519 520 However, LW radiative forcing at SRF offset about 11.3% and 8.6% of the negative solar 521 (SW) radiative forcing in case of dust and polluted dust, respectively.

Our results along with other earlier studies show significant atmospheric heating 522 523 due to different absorbing aerosol types over the Mediterranean basin. Moreover the coupling of natural and anthropogenic pollution leads to higher heating in the 524 atmosphere. It is interesting to investigate how much atmospheric heating (in terms of 525 526 temperature change) and at which altitudes is contributed by absorbing aerosol. Fig. 13 shows the atmospheric heating rate (K/day) profiles of dust, polluted dust and polluted 527 continental over the eastern Mediterranean during summer 2010. Polluted dust shows the 528 529 maximal heating rate (0.2 - 0.9 K/day) in the lower troposphere (<2.0 km altitudes) 530 followed by the polluted continental (0.1 - 0.5 K/day) aerosol. We also found a relatively 531 small heating (<0.1 K/day) at high altitudes (>3.0 km), in the case of both dust and polluted dust. The similarities in the shape of the vertical heating profiles (Fig. 13) and 532 aerosol extinction profiles (Fig. 8b) suggest that the aerosol optical depth distribution 533 plays a major role in the radiative forcing. Zhang et al. (2013) found that dust particles 534 could heat the atmosphere by more than 0.5 K/day over African and Asian source 535 536 regions. Huang et al. (2009) have also shown that atmospheric heating of dust particles could reach up to 3 K/day in heavy dust layers over the Taklimakan Desert in 537 Northwestern China. 538

539

#### 540 **4** Implications on regional atmospheric dynamics

By a good approximation, we can consider that the absorbing properties of aerosol 541 are somewhat similar in all summer seasons and AOD is the main factor that affects the 542 543 aerosol diabatic heating (on yearly basis) over the ROI. Retrieval of the effect of absorbing aerosol loading on atmospheric temperature profile reveals an increase of  $\sim 4$ 544  $^{0}$ C due to aerosol during hazy (AOD~0.58) conditions as compared to clean conditions. 545 The average diabatic heating due to absorbing aerosol over the ROI was  $\sim 1.7 \pm 0.8$  K/day 546 547 in summer 2010. The diabatic heating of absorbing aerosol is presented in Table 4 for ten years (2003-2012). SBDART calculations show significant diabatic heating (0.1-0.9 548 K/day) due to different absorbing aerosol in the lower troposphere. The overestimated 549 550 heating rate from AIRS vs MODIS observation as compared to model calculations is attributed to the treatment of different aerosol types by the RTM, whereas the remote 551

sensing calculation combined the effect of all aerosol types present. In addition, the different AOD used in both methods (CALIOP-derived in RTM and MODIS-derived in other one) could be an important reason for these differences. By now, it is evident that the absorbing aerosol is heating the atmospheric layers, so how is it going to affect or modulate the summertime regional dynamics over the Eastern Mediterranean?

557 In general, the increase in temperature due to aerosol absorption stabilizes the lower 558 atmosphere, leading to the weakening of convection in the lower atmosphere and may 559 inhibit cloud formation (Ackerman et al., 2000). However, the summertime 560 Mediterranean atmospheric condition is already too stable to form convective clouds over 561 the region. The diabatic heating adds even more stability, which will significantly inhibit 562 the atmospheric ventilation and could protect the aerosol from meteorological dilution. In other words, the absorbing aerosol loading over the significantly large area of the Eastern 563 564 Mediterranean Sea may create a low pressure in the lower atmosphere and may receive 565 more pollution from nearby high pressure regions. Hence, the effect of absorption 566 properties of the regional aerosols enhances the formation of a 'pollution pool' over the region. Our results also suggest that the coupling between natural dust emissions and 567 pollution leads to stronger heating. This suggests that a policy to reduce anthropogenic 568 light absorbing pollution will have beneficial climatic impacts in the region. In a follow-569 570 up study, we will investigate the climate effects of these absorbing aerosols in more detail 571 using the chemistry-climate coupled EMAC model.

572

# 573 **5 Conclusions**

A comprehensive study on the effects of absorbing aerosols on the regional atmospheric dynamics over the eastern Mediterranean basin (between  $24.5^{0}$ E to  $34.5^{0}$ E and  $32.5^{0}$ N to  $35.5^{0}$ N) has been carried out using the state-of-art remote sensing analyses from multi-satellite and ground-based observations, coupled with a radiative transfer model. The results of this study can be summarized as follows:

1. The seasonal mean MODIS-AODs (2010-2012) are in the range of 0.24-0.25, 0.200.23, 0.17-0.19 and 0.17-0.18 in spring, summer, autumn, and winter, respectively.

581 2. The CALIOP-derived AODs of dominant aerosol types are 0.22±0.02, 0.11±0.04,

0.10±0.04 and 0.06±0.01 for polluted dust, polluted continental, dust and marine aerosol,
respectively.

3. Direct measurement of the effect of aerosol loading (MODIS) on atmospheric temperature profiles (AIRS) shows a warming of ~ $1.7\pm0.8$  K/day in the aerosol layer, which is likely due to direct absorption of incoming shortwave solar radiation.

4. RTM-derived results show maximal atmospheric heating for polluted dust (0.1-0.9
K/day) followed by polluted continental (0.1-0.5 K/day) in lower troposphere (<3.0 km).</li>

5. In summer 2010, the daytime average atmospheric forcing is found to be  $+16.7\pm7.9$ , +9.4 $\pm4.9$  and  $+6.4\pm4.5$  Wm<sup>-2</sup> for polluted dust, dust and polluted continental aerosols, respectively.

592 The findings from this study lead to a well approximated assessment of the effects 593 of absorbing aerosols, and the coupling of pollution and natural dust on the radiation budget in the eastern Mediterranean. These results enable to formulate mitigation andadaptation scenarios based on reliable observations and scientific understanding.

596

# 597 Acknowledgements

598 This research was funded by a grant from the German Israeli Science Foundation (GIF),

599 Project no. 1136-26.8/2011. The various satellite datasets were obtained from the NASA

600 Langley Research Centre Atmospheric Science Data Center. The efforts of PIs of various

601 AERONET sites used in this study are highly appreciated.

#### 602 **References**

- Abdou, W. A., Diner, D. J., Martonchik, J. V., Bruegge, C. J., Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J.,
  Crean, K.A., Remer, L.A., Holben, B.: Comparison of coincident Multiangle Imaging
  Spectroradiometer and Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol
  optical depths over land and ocean scenes containing Aerosol Robotic Network sites.
  J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, D10S07, doi:10.1029/2004JD004693, 2005.
- Ackerman, A. S., Toon, O. B., Stevens, D. E., Heymsfield, A. J., Ramanathan, V., and
  Welton, E. J.: Reduction of tropical cloudiness by soot. *Science*, 288(5468), 10421047, 2000.
- Aumann, H. H., Chahine, M. T., Gautier, C., Goldberg, M. D., Kalnay, E., McMillin, L.
  M., Revercomb, H., Rosenkranz, P. W., Smith, W. L., Staelin, D. H., Strow, L. L.,
  and Susskind, J.: AIRS/AMSU/HSB on the Aqua mission: Design, science objectives,
  data products, and processing systems, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote., 41(2), 253–264,
  2003.
- Basart, S., Pérez, C., Cuevas, E., Baldasano, J. M., and Gobbi, G. P.: Aerosol
  characterization in Northern Africa, Northeastern Atlantic, Mediterranean Basin and
  Middle East from direct-sun AERONET observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 82658282, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8265-2009, 2009.

- Bergamo, A., Tafuro, A. M., Kinne, S., De Tomasi, F., and Perrone, M. R.: Monthlyaveraged anthropogenic aerosol direct radiative forcing over the Mediterranean based
  on AERONET aerosol properties, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 6995-7014,
  doi:10.5194/acp-8-6995-2008, 2008.
- Boucher, O., Schwartz, S. O., Ackerman, T. P., Anderson, T. L., Bergstrom, B., Bonnel,
  B et al.: Intercomparison of models representing direct shortwave radiative forcing by
  sulfate aerosols. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres (1984–*2012), 103(D14), 16979-16998, 1998.
- Burton, S. P., Ferrare, R. A., Vaughan, M. A., Omar, A. H., Rogers, R. R.,
  Hostetler, C. A., and Hair, J. W.: Aerosol classification from airborne HSRL and
  comparisons with the CALIPSO vertical feature mask, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 13971412, doi:10.5194/amt-6-1397-2013, 2013.
- Davidi, A., A. B. Kostinski, I. Koren, and Y. Lehahn: Observational bounds on
  atmospheric heating by aerosol absorption: Radiative signature of transatlantic dust,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L04803, doi:10.1029/2011GL050358, 2012.
- Davidi, A., Koren, I., and Remer, L.: Direct measurements of the effect of biomass
  burning over the Amazon on the atmospheric temperature profile, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 9, 8211-8221, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8211-2009, 2009.
- Derimian, Y., A. Karnieli, Y. J. Kaufman, M. O. Andreae, T. W. Andreae, O. Dubovik,
  W. Maenhaut, I. Koren, and B. N. Holben: Dust and pollution aerosols over the
  Negev desert, Israel: Properties, transport, and radiative effect, J. Geophys. Res.
  Atmos., 111, D05205, doi:10.1029/2005JD006549, 2006.
- DeSouza-Machado, S. G., L. L. Strow, S. E. Hannon, and H. E. Motteler: Infrared dust
  spectral signatures from AIRS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L03801,
  doi:10.1029/2005GL024364, 2006.
- Di Biagio, C., di Sarra, A., and Meloni, D.: Large atmospheric shortwave radiative
  forcing by Mediterranean aerosols derived from simultaneous ground-based and
  spaceborne observations and dependence on the aerosol type and single scattering
  albedo, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115, D10209, doi:10.1029/2009JD012697, 2010.

- Di Iorio, T., A. di Sarra, D. M. Sferlazzo, M. Cacciani, D. Meloni, F. Monteleone, D.
  Fuà, and G. Fiocco: Seasonal evolution of the tropospheric aerosol vertical profile in
  the central Mediterranean and role of desert dust, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 114,
  D02201, doi:10.1029/2008JD010593, 2009.
- Di Sarra, A., Pace, G., Meloni, D., De Silvestri, L., Piacentino, S., and Monteleone, F.:
  Surface shortwave radiative forcing of different aerosol types in the Mediterranean,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L02714, doi:10.1029/2007GL032395, 2008.
- Diao, M., L. Jumbam, J., Sheffield, E. F. Wood, and Zondlo, M. A.: Validation of
  AIRS/AMSU-A water vapor and temperature data with in situ aircraft observations
  from the surface to UT/LS from 87<sup>0</sup>N–67<sup>0</sup>S, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 68166836, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50483, 2013.
- Diner, D. J., J. C. Beckert, T. H. Reilly, C. J. Bruegge, J. E. Conel, R. A. Kahn, J. V.
  Martonchik, T. P. Ackerman, R. Davies, S. A. W. Gerstl, H. R. Gordon, J.-P. Muller,
  R. Myneni, P. J. Sellers, B. Pinty and M. M. Verstraete: Multiangle Imaging
  Spectroradiometer (MISR) Instrument Description and Experiment Overview, IEEE
  T. Geosci. Remote., 36(4), 1072-1087, doi:10.1109/36.700992, 1998.
- Dubovik, O., A. Smirnov, B. N. Holben, M. D. King, Y.J. Kaufman, T. F. Eck, and
  Slutsker, I.: Accuracy assessments of aerosol optical properties retrieved from
  AERONET sun and sky-radiance measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 105,
  9791-9806, 2000.
- Dubovik, O., B. N. Holben, T. F. Eck, A. Smirnov, Y. J. Kaufman, M. D. King, D. Tanre,
  and I. Slutsker: Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types
  observed in worldwide locations, J. Atmos. Sci., 59, 590–608, 2002.
- Eck, T. F., B. N. Holben, J. S. Reid, O. Dubovik, A. Smirnov, N. T. O'Neill, I. Slutsker,
  and S. Kinne: Wavelength dependence of the optical depth of biomass burning,
  urban, and desert dust aerosols, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 104(D24), 31,333–31,349,
  doi:10.1029/1999JD900923, 1999.
- Eck, T. F., Holben, B.N., Reid, J. S., Mukelabai, M. M., Piketh, S. J., Torres, O., Jethva,
- H. T., Hyer, E. J., Ward, D. E., Dubovik, O., Sinyuk, A., Schafer, J. S., Giles, D. M.,
- 678 Sorokin, M., Smirnov, A., and Slutsker, I.: A seasonal trend of single scattering

- albedo in southern African biomass-burning particles: Implications for satellite
  products and estimates of emissions for the world's largest biomass-burning source, J.
- 681 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 6414-6432, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50500, 2013.
- Eck, T. F., Holben, B.N., Sinyuk, A., Pinker, R. T., Goloub, P., Chen, H., Chatenet, B.,
- Li, Z., Singh, R. P., Tripathi, S. N., Reid, J. S., Giles, D. M., Dubovik, O., O'Neill, N.
- T., Smirnov, A., Wang, P., and Xia, X.: Climatological aspects of the optical
- properties of fine/coarse mode aerosol mixtures, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 115,
  D19205, doi:10.1029/2010JD014002, 2010.
- Erel, Y., U. Dayan, R. Rabi, Y. Rudich, and M. Stein: Tracing Trans-Boundary Transport
  of Pollutants by Mineral Dust, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40(9), 2996-3005, 2006.
- 689 Forster, P., V. Ramaswamy, P. Artaxo, T. Berntsen, R. Betts, D.W. Fahey, J. Haywood,
- J. Lean, D.C. Lowe, G. Myhre, J. Nganga, R. Prinn, G. Raga, M. Schulz and R. Van
- 691Dorland: Changes in Atmospheric Constituents and in Radiative Forcing. In: Climate
- 692 Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the
- 693 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change
- [Solomon, S., D. Qin, M. Manning, Z. Chen, M. Marquis, K.B. Averyt, M.Tignor and
- H.L. Miller (eds.)], Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, United Kingdom andNew York, NY, USA, 2007.
- Gerasopoulos, E., G. Kouvarakis, P. Babasakalis, M. Vrekoussis, J.-P. Putaud, and N.
  Mihalopoulos: Origin and variability of particulate matter (PM10) mass
  concentrations over the eastern Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ., 40, 4679–4690,
  doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.04.020, 2006.
- Giles, D. M., B. N. Holben, T. F. Eck, A. Sinyuk, A. Smirnov, I. Slutsker, R. R.
  Dickerson, A. M. Thompson, and J. S. Schafer: An analysis of AERONET aerosol
  absorption properties and classifications representative of aerosol source regions, J.
- Geophys. Res. Atmos., 117, D17203, doi:10.1029/2012JD018127, 2012.
- Hess, M., Koepke, P., and Schult, I.: Optical Properties of Aerosols and Clouds: The
  Software Package OPAC, *Bulletin of the American Meteorological Society*, 79, 83144, 1998.

- 708 Holben, B. N., T.F. Eck, I. Slutsker, D. Tanre, Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Nakajima, T., Lavenu, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, 709 A.: AERONET-A federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol 710 711 characterization, Remote Sens. Environ., 66, 1–16, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(98)00031-5, 1998. 712
- Holben, B. N., Tanre, D., Smirnov, A., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Abuhassan, N. Newcomb,
- W. W., Schafer, J. S., Chatenet, B., Lavenu, F., Kaufman, Y. J., Castle J. V., Setzer,
- A., Markham, B., Clark, D., Frouin, R., Halthore, R., Karneli, A., O'Neill, N. T.,
- Pietras, C., Pinker, R. T., Voss, K., and Zibordi, G.: An emerging ground-based
  aerosol climatology: Aerosol optical depth from AERONET, J. Geophys. Res.
  Atmos., 106(D11), 12067–12097, doi:10.1029/2001JD900014, 2001.
- Huang, J., Fu, Q., Su, J., Tang, Q., Minnis, P., Hu, Y., Yi, Y., and Zhao, Q.: Taklimakan
  dust aerosol radiative heating derived from CALIPSO observations using the Fu-Liou
  radiation model with CERES constraints, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 4011-4021,
  doi:10.5194/acp-9-4011-2009, 2009.
- Jones, T. A. and Christopher, S. A.: MODIS derived fine mode fraction characteristics of 723 marine, dust, and anthropogenic aerosols over the ocean, constrained by GOCART, 724 725 MOPITT, TOMS, J. Geophys. 112, D22204, and Res. Atmos., doi:10.1029/2007JD00897, 2007. 726
- Jones, T. A. and Christopher, S. A.: A reanalysis of MODIS fine mode fraction over
  ocean using OMI and daily GOCART simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 58055817, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5805-2011, 2011.
- Kahn, R. A., Garay, M. J., Nelson, D. L., Yau, K. K., Bull, M. A., Gaitley, B. J., 730 Martonchik, J. V., and Levy, R. C.: Satellite-derived aerosol optical depth over dark 731 water from MISR and MODIS: Comparisons with AERONET and implications for 732 733 climatological studies. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 112, D18205, 734 doi:10.1029/2006JD008175, 2007.
- Kahn, R., Gaitley, B., Martonchik, J., Diner, D., Crean, K., and Holben, B.: MISR global
  aerosol optical depth validation based on two years of coincident AERONET

- observations. J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 110, D10S04. doi:10.1029/2004JD00406,
  2005.
- Kallos, G., Astitha, M., Katsafados, P., and Spyrou, C.: Long-range transport of
  anthropogenically and naturally produced particulate matter in the Mediterranean and
  North Atlantic: Current state of knowledge, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 46, 1230–
  1251, doi:10.1175/JAM2530.1, 2007.
- Kaufman, Y. J. and Koren, I.: Smoke and pollution aerosol effect on cloud cover,
  Science, 313, 355–658, 2006.
- Kaufman, Y. J., Boucher, O., Tanre', D., Chin, M., Remer, L. A., and Takemura, T.:
  Aerosol anthropogenic component estimated from satellite data, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
  32, L17804, doi:10.1029/2005GL023125, 2005.
- Kaufman, Y. J., D. Tanré, O. Dubovik, A. Karnieli, and L. A. Remer: Absorption of
  sunlight by dust as inferred from satellite and ground-based remote sensing, Geophys.
  Res. Lett., 28(8), 1479-1482, 2001.
- Kazadzis, S., Kouremeti, N., Bais, A., Kazantzidis, A., and Meleti, C.: Aerosol forcing
  efficiency in the UVA region from spectral solar irradiance measurements at an urban
  environment, Ann. Geophys., 27, 2515–2522, doi:10.5194/angeo-27-2515- 2009,
  2009.
- Khain, A. P.: Notes on state-of-the-art investigations of aerosol effects on precipitation: a
  critical review, Environ. Res. Lett., 4(1), 015004, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/4/1/015004,
  2009.
- Koren, I., Martins, J.V., Remer, L.A., Afargan H.: Smoke Invigoration Versus Inhibition
  of Clouds over the Amazon, Science, 321(5891), 946-949,
  doi:10.1126/science.1159185 2008, 2008.
- Leibensperger, E. M., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Chen, W.-T., Seinfeld, J. H.,
  Nenes, A., Adams, P. J., Streets, D. G., Kumar, N., and Rind, D.: Climatic effects of
  1950–2050 changes in US anthropogenic aerosols Part 1: Aerosol trends and
  radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 3333-3348, doi:10.5194/acp-12-33332012, 2012.

- Lelieveld, J., H. Berresheim, S. Borrmann, P.J. Crutzen, F.J. Dentener, H. Fischer, J.
  Feichter, P.J. Flatau, J. Heland, R. Holzinger, R. Korrmann, M.G. Lawrence, Z.
  Levin, K.M. Markowicz, N. Mihalopoulos, A. Minikin, V. Ramanathan, M. De Reus,
  G.J. Roelofs, H.A. Scheeren, J. Sciare, H. Schlager, M. Schultz, P. Siegmund, B.
  Steil, E.G. Stephanou, P. Stier, M. Traub, C. Warneke, J. Williams, H. Ziereis: Global
  air pollution crossroads over the Mediterranean, Science, 298 (5594), 794-799, doi:
  10.1126/science.1075457, 2002.
- Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., Mattoo, S., Vermote, E. F., and Kaufman, Y. J.: Secondgeneration operational algorithm: Retrieval of aerosol properties over land from
  inversion of Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer spectral reflectance, J.
  Geophys. Res., 112, D13211, doi:10.1029/2006JD007811, 2007.
- Li, Z., Niu, F., Fan, J., Liu, Y., Rosenfeld, D., & Ding, Y.: Long-term impacts of aerosols
  on the vertical development of clouds and precipitation, Nat. Geosci., 4(12), 888-894,
  2011.
- Ma, X., Bartlett, K., Harmon, K., and Yu, F.: Comparison of AOD between CALIPSO
  and MODIS: significant differences over major dust and biomass burning regions,
  Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 2391-2401, doi:10.5194/amt-6-2391-2013, 2013.
- Maddy, E. S., S. G. DeSouza-Machado, N. R. Nalli, C. D. Barnet, L. L Strow, W. W.
  Wolf, H. Xie, A. Gambacorta, T. S. King, E. Joseph, V. Morris, S. E. Hannon, and P.
  Schou: On the effect of dust aerosols on AIRS and IASI operational level 2 products,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L10809, doi:10.1029/2012GL052070, 2012.
- Mallet, M., Dubovik, O., Nabat, P., Dulac, F., Kahn, R., Sciare, J., Paronis, D., and
  Léon, J. F.: Absorption properties of Mediterranean aerosols obtained from multiyear ground-based remote sensing observations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9195-9210,
  doi:10.5194/acp-13-9195-2013, 2013.
- Marconi, M., Sferlazzo, D. M., Becagli, S., Bommarito, C., Calzolai, G., Chiari, M., 791 792 di Sarra, A., Ghedini, C., Gómez-Amo, J. L., Lucarelli, F., Meloni, D., 793 Monteleone, F., Nava, S., Pace, G., Piacentino, S., Rugi, F., Severi, M., Traversi, R., and Udisti, R.: Saharan dust aerosol over the central Mediterranean Sea: 794 795  $PM_{10}$  chemical composition and concentration versus optical columnar

- measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2039-2054, doi:10.5194/acp-14-2039-2014,
  2014.
- Marey, H. S., Gille, J. C., El-Askary, H. M., Shalaby, E. A., and El-Raey, M. E.: Aerosol
  climatology over Nile Delta based on MODIS, MISR and OMI satellite data, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 11, 10637-10648, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10637-2011, 2011.
- 801 Markowicz, K. M.; Flatau, P. J.; Ramana, M. V.; Crutzen, P. J.; Ramanathan, V.:
- Absorbing mediterranean aerosols lead to a large reduction in the solar radiation at
- the surface, Geophys. Res. Lett., 29 (20), 1968, doi:10.1029/2002GL015767, 2002.
- McClatchey, R. A., Fenn, R. W., Selby, J. E. A., Volz, F. E., and Garing, J. S.: Optical
  properties of the atmosphere. 3<sup>rd</sup> ed. AFCRL Environ. Res. Papers No. 411, 108 pp,
  1972.
- McComiskey, A., S. E. Schwartz, B. Schmid, H. Guan, E. R. Lewis, P. Ricchiazzi, and J.
  A. Ogren: Direct aerosol forcing: Calculation from observables and sensitivities to
  inputs, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113, D09202, doi:10.1029/2007JD009170, 2008.
- Mélin, F., and G. Zibordi: Aerosol variability in the Po Valley analyzed from automated
  optical measurements, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L03810, doi:10.1029/2004GL021787,
  2005.
- Meloni, D., di Sarra, A., DeLuisi, J., Di Iorio, T., Fiocco, G., Junkermann, W., and Pace,
  G.: Tropospheric aerosols in the Mediterranean: 2. Radiative effects through model
  simulations and measurements, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 108, 4317,
  doi:10.1029/2002JD002807, 2003.
- Mielonen, T., Arola, A., Komppula, M., Kukkonen, J., Koskinen, J., de Leeuw, G., and
  Lehtinen, K. E. J.: Comparison of CALIOP level 2 aerosol subtypes to aerosol types
  derived from AERONET inversion data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L18804,
  doi:10.1029/2009GL039609, 2009.
- Moulin, C., C. E. Lambert, U. Dayan, TM V. Masson, M. Ramonet, P. Bousquet, M.
  Legrand, Y. J. Balkanski, W. Guelle, B. Marticorena, G. Bergametti, and F. Dulac:
  Satellite climatology of African dust transport in the Mediterranean atmosphere, J.
- Geophys. Res. Atmos., 103(D11), 13137–13144, doi:10.1029/98JD00171, 1998.

- Nabat, P., Somot, S., Mallet, M., Chiapello, I., Morcrette, J. J., Solmon, F., Szopa, S.,
  Dulac, F., Collins, W., Ghan, S., Horowitz, L. W., Lamarque, J. F., Lee, Y. H.,
  Naik, V., Nagashima, T., Shindell, D., and Skeie, R.: A 4-D climatology (1979–2009)
  of the monthly tropospheric aerosol optical depth distribution over the Mediterranean
  region from a comparative evaluation and blending of remote sensing and model
  products, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 6, 1287-1314, doi:10.5194/amt-6-1287-2013, 2013.
- Omar, A.H., Winker, M.D., Vaughan, M.A., et al.: The CALIPSO automated aerosol
  classification and lidar ratio selection algorithm. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol. 26 (10),
  1994-2014, 2009.
- Oo, M. and Holz, R.: Improving the CALIOP aerosol optical depth using combined
  MODIS-CALIOP observations and CALIOP integrated attenuated total color ratio, J.
  Geophys. Res. Atmos., 116, D14201, doi:10.1029/2010jd014894, 2011.
- Pace, G., di Sarra, A., Meloni, D., Piacentino, S., and Chamard, P.: Aerosol optical
  properties at Lampedusa (Central Mediterranean). 1. Influence of transport and
  identification of different aerosol types, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 697-713,
  doi:10.5194/acp-6-697-2006, 2006.
- Papadimas, C. D., Hatzianastassiou, N., Matsoukas, C., Kanakidou, M.,
  Mihalopoulos, N., and Vardavas, I.: The direct effect of aerosols on solar radiation
  over the broader Mediterranean basin, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7165-7185,
  doi:10.5194/acp-12-7165-2012, 2012.
- Peña, O., and Pal, U.: Scattering of electromagnetic radiation by a multilayered sphere, *Computer Physics Communications*, 180(11), 2348 2354, 2009.
- Pierangelo, C., Chédin, A., Heilliette, S., Jacquinet-Husson, N., and Armante, R.: Dust
  altitude and infrared optical depth from AIRS, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1813-1822,
  doi:10.5194/acp-4-1813-2004, 2004.
- Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Lelieveld, J., Mitra, A. P., Althausen, D., Anderson, J. et
  al.: Indian Ocean Experiment: An integrated analysis of the climate forcing and
  effects of the great Indo-Asian haze. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres*
- 853 (1984–2012), 106(D22), 28371-28398, 2001.

- Ramanathan, V.; Chung, C.; Kim, D.; Bettge, T.; Buja, L.; Kiehl, J. T.; Washington, W.
  M.; Fu, Q.; Sikka, D. R.; Wild, M.: Atmospheric brown clouds: Impacts on South
  Asian climate and hydrological cycle. Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci., USA, 102 (15), 53265333, 2005.
- Reid, J. S., J. E. Kinney, D. L. Westphal, B. N. Holben, E. J. Welton, S. Tsay, D. P.
  Eleuterio, J. R. Campbell, S. A. Christopher, P. R. Colarco, H. H. Jonsson, J. M.
  Livingston, H. B. Maring, M. L. Meier, P. Pilewskie, J. M. Prospero, E. A. Reid, L.
  A. Remer, P. B. Russell, D. L. Savoie, A. Smirnov, and D. Tanre': Analysis of
  measurements of Saharan dust by airborne and ground-based remote sensing methods
- during the Puerto Rico Dust Experiment (PRIDE), J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.,
  108(D19), 8586, doi:10.1029/2002JD002493, 2003.
- Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Levy, R. C., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., Mattoo, S.,
  Martins, J. V., Ichoku, C., Koren, I., Yu, H., and Holben, B. N.: Global aerosol
  climatology from the MODIS satellite sensors, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 113,
  D14S07, doi:10.1029/2007JD009661, 2008.
- Ricchiazzi, P., Yang, S., Gautier, C., and Sowle, D.: SBDART: A research and teaching
  software tool for plane-parallel radiative transfer in the Earth's atmosphere, Bull. Am.
  Meteorol. Soc., 79, 2101–2114, 1998.
- 872 Rothman, L. S., Jacquemart, D., Barbe, A., Chris Benner, D., Birk, M., Brown, L. R.,
- 873 Carleer, M. R., Chackerian, C., Chance, K., Coudert, L. H., Dana, V., Devi, V. M.,
- Flaud, J.-M., Gamache, R. R., Goldman, A., Hartmann, J.-M., Jucks, K. W., Maki, A.
- G., Mandin, J.-Y., Massie, S. T., Orphal, J., Perrin, A., Rinsland, C. P., Smith, M. A.
- H., Tennyson, J., Tolchenov, R. N., Toth, R. A., Vander Auwera, J., Varanasi, P., and
- 877 Wagner, G.: The HITRAN 2004 molecular spectroscopic database, *Journal of*
- 878 *Quantitative Spectroscopy and Radiative Transfer*, 96, 139, 2005.
- Russell, P. B., R. W. Bergstrom, Y. Shinozuka, A. D. Clarke, P. F. DeCarlo, J. L.
  Jimenez, J. M. Livingston, J. Redemann, O. Dubovik, and A. Strawa: Absorption
  Ångstrom Exponent in AERONET and related data as an indicator of aerosol
  composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1155–1169, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1155-2010,
  2010.

- Santese, M., Perrone, M. R., Zakey, A. S., De Tomasi, F., and Giorgi, F.: Modeling of
  Saharan dust outbreaks over the Mediterranean by RegCM3: case studies, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 10, 133–156, doi:10.5194/acp-10-133-2010, 2010.
- Seiki, T., and Nakajima T.: Aerosol Effects of the Condensation Process on a Convective
  Cloud Simulation. J. Atmos. Sci. doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0195.1, *in press*, 2013.
- 889 Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Hyer, E. J., Eck, T. F., Holben, B. N., and Kahn, R. A.: A
- 890 critical examination of spatial biases between MODIS and MISR aerosol products –
- application for potential AERONET deployment, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 2823-2836,
  doi:10.5194/amt-4-2823-2011, 2011.
- Sinyuk, A., B. N. Holben, A. Smirnov, T. F. Eck, I. Slutsker, J. S. Schafer, D. M. Giles,
  and Sorokin, M.: Assessment of error in aerosol optical depth measured by
  AERONET due to aerosol forward scattering, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L23806,
  doi:10.1029/2012GL053894, 2012.
- Stamnes, K., Tsay, C., Wiscombe, W., and Jayaweera, K.: Numerically stable algorithm
  for discrete-ordinate-method radiative transfer in multiple scattering and emitting
  layered media, Appl. Opt., 27, 2502–2509, 1988.
- Stevens, B. and Feingold, G.: Untangling aerosol effects on clouds and precipitation in a
  buffered system, Nature, 461, 607–613, 2009.
- 902 Tanré, D., C. Deroo, P. Duhaut, M. Herman, J. J. Morcrette, J. Perbos, and Deschamps,
- P. Y.: Description of a computer code to simulate the satellite signal in the solar
  spectrum: The 5S code. *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, **11** (4), 659–668, 1990.
- Tanré, D., Y. J. Kaufman, B. N. Holben, B. Chatenet, A. Karnieli, F. Lavenu, L. Blarel, 905 O. Dubovik, L. A. Remer, and A. Smirnov: Climatology of dust aerosol size 906 distribution and optical properties derived from remotely sensed data in the solar 907 908 spectrum, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos.. 106(D16), 18205-18217, doi:10.1029/2000JD900663, 2001. 909
- 910 Vrekoussis, M., Liakakou, E., Kocak, M., Kubilay, N., Oikonomou, K., Sciare, J.,
  911 Mihalopoulos, N.: Seasonal variability of optical properties of aerosols in the Eastern
- 912 Mediterranean, Atmos. Environ., 39 (37), 7083-7094, 2005.

- Wang, K.-Y.: Profiles of the atmospheric temperature response to the Saharan dust
  outbreaks derived from FORMOSAT-3/COSMIC and OMI AI, Atmos. Res., 96(1),
  110–121, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2009.11.017, 2010.
- Winker, D. M., Pelon, J., and McCormick, M. P.: The CALIPSO mission: Space borne
  lidar for observation of aerosols and clouds, Proc. SPIE 4893, Lidar Remote Sensing
- 918for Industry and Environment Monitoring III, 1, 1–11, doi:10.117/12.466539, 2003.
- Winker, D. M., Tackett, J. L., Getzewich, B. J., Liu, Z., Vaughan, M. A., and
  Rogers, R. R.: The global 3-D distribution of tropospheric aerosols as characterized
  by CALIOP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3345-3361, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3345-2013,
  2013.
- Wu, C. F. J.: Jackknife, bootstrap and other resampling methods in regression
  analysis. *The Annals of Statistics*, 14(4), 1261-1295, 1986.
- Xiao, N., Shi, T., Calder, C. A., Munroe, D. K., Berrett, C., Wolfinbarger, S., and Li, D.:
  Spatial characteristics of the difference between MISR and MODIS aerosol optical
  depth retrievals over mainland Southeast Asia. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 113(1), 1-9, 2009.
- Young, S. A., and Vaughan M. A.: The Retrieval of Profiles of Particulate Extinction
  from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO)
  Data: Algorithm Description. J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 26, 1105–1119, 2009.
- Yu, H., Chin, M., Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R., Bellouin, N., Blan, H., and Diehl, T.: 932 Variability of marine aerosol fine mode fraction estimates of anthropogenic aerosol 933 934 component over cloud-free oceans from the Moderate Resolution Imaging (MODIS), J. 935 Spectroradiometer Geophys. Res. Atmos., 114. D10206, doi:10.1029/2008JD010648, 2009. 936
- Zhang, L., Li, Q. B., Gu, Y., Liou, K. N., and Meland, B.: Dust vertical profile impact on
  global radiative forcing estimation using a coupled chemical-transport–radiativetransfer model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7097-7114, doi:10.5194/acp-13-7097-2013,
  2013.

941	Zhu, A., Ramanathan, V., Li, F., & Kim, D.: Dust plumes over the Pacific, Indian, and
942	Atlantic oceans: Climatology and radiative impact. Journal of Geophysical Research:
943	Atmospheres (1984–2012), 112(D16), (2007).
944	
945	
946	
947	
948	
949	
950	
951	
952	
953	
954	
955	
956	
957	
958	
959	
960	
961	
962	
963	
964	
965	
066	
900	
967	
069	
908	

•	<b>V</b> 7 -	2002	2004	3005	2007	3005	3000	3000	3010	2011	2012
-	rear	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	AOD	0.20 +0.06	0.20 +0.10	0.20 +0.07	0.24 +0.13	0.23	0.24 +0.11	0.19 +0.05	0.25 +0.12	0.21 +0.06	0.19 +0.07
071		±0.00	±0.10	±0.07	±0.13	±0.09	±0.11	±0.03	±0.12	±0.00	±0.07
971											
972											
572											
973											
974											
075											
975											
976											
977											
079											
578											
979											
980											
981											
982											
0.00											
983											

969 Table 1 MODIS-derived summer-time mean AOD at 550 nm (±standard deviation)

within the aerosol layer over the ROI for 10 years (2003-2012).

Table 2 Summer-time averaged optical properties of (level 2) of Mediterranean
AERONET sites used in this study. 'N' represents the number of level 2 observation days
during summer season used in analyses. The subscripts of parameters name show
wavelength in nm.

Site Name	Ν	AAE440-870	EAE440-870	AOD <sub>440</sub>	SSA440	AAOD <sub>440</sub>	ASYP <sub>440</sub>			
Pollution Dominated Sites										
Athens	306	1.26±0.33	1.52±0.35	$0.26 \pm 0.11$	0.91±0.03	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Avignon	670	1.33±0.49	1.47±0.33	0.21±0.13	0.91±0.03	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.69 \pm 0.02$			
Barcelona	315	$1.29 \pm 0.57$	1.34±0.34	0.26±0.12	$0.91 \pm 0.04$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Burjassot	349	$1.37 \pm 0.45$	1.21±0.34	$0.25 \pm 0.11$	$0.93 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.71 \pm 0.02$			
Ersa	232	1.43±0.58	1.37±0.36	$0.19{\pm}0.10$	$0.95 \pm 0.02$	$0.02 \pm 0.01$	$0.69 \pm 0.02$			
Ispra	462	1.33±0.28	1.62±0.22	$0.41 \pm 0.32$	$0.93 \pm 0.03$	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	$0.71 \pm 0.03$			
Lecce	661	$1.58 \pm 0.48$	$1.44\pm0.45$	0.26±0.13	$0.92 \pm 0.04$	$0.04{\pm}0.02$	$0.68 \pm 0.03$			
Messina	284	1.31±0.45	1.30±0.49	0.26±0.12	$0.94{\pm}0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$			
Modena	240	1.35±0.29	1.51±0.33	0.35±0.19	$0.93 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$			
Moldova	541	1.22±0.23	1.63±0.24	$0.29 \pm 0.16$	$0.94{\pm}0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Potenza	183	1.23±0.79	1.23±0.41	$0.20\pm0.11$	$0.89 \pm 0.06$	$0.05 \pm 0.03$	$0.69 \pm 0.03$			
Rome	533	$1.56\pm0.54$	1.36±0.39	0.25±0.12	$0.92 \pm 0.03$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.69 \pm 0.03$			
Thessaloniki	385	$1.27 \pm 0.28$	1.58±0.34	$0.35 \pm 0.16$	$0.94{\pm}0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Toulon	365	$1.34\pm0.43$	1.53±0.33	$0.20 \pm 0.11$	$0.94{\pm}0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.69 \pm 0.02$			
Villefranche	353	$0.93 \pm 0.39$	1.55±0.34	$0.25 \pm 0.15$	$0.95 \pm 0.02$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Dust Affected S	Sites									
Blida	332	2.02±0.39	$0.82 \pm 0.41$	0.32±0.17	$0.89 \pm 0.02$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$			
Malaga	302	$1.55 \pm 0.45$	$0.88 \pm 0.34$	0.23±0.13	$0.89 \pm 0.03$	$0.05 \pm 0.02$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$			
Granada	480	$1.78 \pm 0.44$	0.95±0.39	0.21±0.11	$0.90 \pm 0.02$	$0.05 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.03$			
Forth Crete	483	$1.57 \pm 0.52$	1.39±0.42	0.24±0.10	$0.94 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.01$	$0.70 \pm 0.02$			
Lampedusa	276	$2.24\pm0.61$	1.01±0.56	0.26±0.14	0.91±0.03	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.71 \pm 0.03$			
Erdemli	536	$0.95 \pm 0.31$	1.36±0.24	0.37±0.16	$0.94 \pm 0.03$	$0.03 \pm 0.02$	$0.71 \pm 0.02$			
Sde Boker	1103	$1.15\pm0.52$	1.07±0.33	0.22±0.10	$0.92 \pm 0.02$	$0.04 \pm 0.01$	$0.72 \pm 0.02$			
Nes Ziona	432	1.02±0.41	1.23±0.32	$0.30\pm0.14$	$0.93 \pm 0.04$	$0.04 \pm 0.02$	$0.72 \pm 0.03$			
Oristano	232	1.52±0.63	$1.17 \pm 0.50$	$0.28 \pm 0.17$	$0.89 \pm 0.02$	$0.06 \pm 0.02$	0.71±0.03			

Table 3 Day-time average aerosol radiative forcing [Wm<sup>-2</sup>] in short wavelength (SW) and

long wavelength (LW) region for different absorbing aerosols during summer 2010 over

Aerosol Type	SW (0.25	5 - 4.0 μm)	LW (4 - 20.0 μm)			
	$(\Delta F_{aer})_{SRF}$	$(\Delta F_{aer})_{TOA}$	$(\Delta F_{aer})_{SRF}$	$(\Delta F_{aer})_{TOA}$		
Dust	-21.95	-11.05	2.47	0.91		
Polluted Dust	-39.95	-20.60	3.31	0.64		
Polluted Continental	-17.41	-10.27	0.78	0.07		

994 the Eastern Mediterranean basin.

Table 4 Summer-time observed approximated heating rate [ $dT/dt \pm standard$  deviation] within the aerosol layer and MODIS-AOD ( $\pm standard$  deviation) over the ROI in the eastern Mediterranean Basin for 10 years (2003-2012).

	Year	2003	2004	2005	2006	2007	2008	2009	2010	2011	2012
	Heating	1.4	1.4	1.4	1.7	1.6	1.7	1.3	1.7	1.5	1.3
	[K/day]	±0.4	±0.7	±0.5	±0.9	±0.6	$\pm 0.8$	±0.3	±0.8	±0.4	±0.4
	AOD	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.24	0.23	0.24	0.19	0.25	0.21	0.19
		±0.06	±0.10	$\pm 0.07$	±0.13	±0.09	±0.11	±0.05	±0.12	±0.06	$\pm 0.07$
1009											
1010											
1011											
1012											
1013											
1014											
1015											
1016											
1017											
1017											
1010											
1018											
1019											
1020											





Fig. 1 Classification of AERONET sites based on dominant aerosol types, which are likely to affect aerosol properties over the Mediterranean region. Red circles are used for dust affected sites and blue circles are used for urban-industrial (U-I) pollution dominated sites. Sites were selected based on data volume, geographic location, and primary aerosol source region. The rectangular box in the eastern Mediterranean presents our region of interest (ROI). The different color curved arrows show the schematic wind trajectories at different altitudes/time period during the summer season, 2010. The black arrows show transport of pollution from Europe, whereas light brown and dark brown show transport of dust particles from African and Arabian deserts, respectively. 



Fig. 2 Flow chart of the methodology. The explanations are given in text. \*aerosol classification: aerosols are classified as dust, polluted dust (mixed aerosol), and polluted continental (pollution) classes. All optical and microphysical properties are derived for each class of aerosols.

1046

1047



Fig. 3 Average AERONET level 2 (entire data sets) scatter plots between (a) AOD vs EAE and (b) AAOD vs EAE for the 24 selected sites in and around the Mediterranean Basin. The red triangles represent dust affected sites and blue circles show pollution dominated sites. The error bars represent  $\pm \sigma/2$ , where  $\sigma$  is standard deviation of AERONET level 2 (entire) data sets for respective sites.

1055

1056



Fig. 4 Seasonal variation of 10 year (2002-2012) monthly averaged aerosol optical depth
(AOD) and cloud fraction (CF) over the ROI observed from MODIS and MISR. Error
bars represent the standard deviation of inter-annual variability.



Fig. 5 Spectral dependence of multi-year/multi-site average single scattering albedo (SSA), absorption aerosol optical depth (AAOD), asymmetry parameter (ASYM) and volume size distribution for dust, polluted dust and polluted continental over the Mediterranean basin. Total 259, 169 and 914 level 2 absorption data have been used to average for dust, polluted dust and polluted continental classes, respectively.



Fig. 6 Monthly mean AOD during June – August, 2010 over the Mediterranean basin.
Red rectangular boxes present the ROI.



1108 2010 over the ROI.

<sup>1107</sup> Fig. 7 Relative frequency of MODIS Fine Fraction (ff) occurrence during June – August,



Fig. 8 Vertical distribution of mean aerosol extinction coefficient for (a) June, July and
August, and (b) different dominant aerosols during summer 2010. The calculated aerosol
optical depths for respective extinction profiles are given in respective colors. The
standard deviations of respective means are provided in supplementary materials (Fig.
S4).



1128

Fig. 9 Assessment of CALIOP-derived aerosol classification (vertical feature mask: 1129 VFM) using MODIS Fine Fraction (ff) in three different cases of dust, polluted dust and 1130 polluted continental over the ROI. The relative frequency histogram of *ff* for each case is 1131 1132 calculated over the ROI. Approximated latitudinal extent of ROI is shown by black circles for each case in VFM figure. The various colors of VFM represent different 1133 dominant aerosol types which are marked as integer number (1-6) in respective plots. The 1134 connotations of integer numbers are given at the bottom of each plot. The marine aerosols 1135 1136 (blue color) are present in all three cases.





Fig. 10 (a) MODIS AOD<sub>550</sub> versus AIRS temperature at 4 different pressure levels (1000, 1139 925, 850 and 700 hP) for summer 2010. The vertical axis is in reverse order. The bold 1140 and dotted black arrows represent temperature differences between 850 and 1000 hP 1141 levels (stability parameter) in cases of clean and hazy atmosphere, respectively. 1142 1143 Similarly, the pink arrows show temperature differences between 700 and 850 hP levels. The AIRS temperature data are sorted according to AOD and divided into equal spaced 1144 1145 bins of 0.05 AOD<sub>550</sub>. Error bars present the standard deviation of points in each bin. (b) Relative frequency distribution of occurrence (blue bar lines are relative frequency and 1146 green are number of occurrence, both are scaled) of AOD (upper panel) and ff (lower 1147 panel) for all observed data over the ROI. 1148

1150

1151



Fig. 11 Same as (a) Fig. 10a and (b) Fig. 10b (upper panel) but separated in two cases of MODIS ff < 0.5 (left panel) and MODIS ff > 0.5 (right panel). The horizontal axis of Fig. 11a are different for two cases (ff < 0.5 and ff > 0.5) as AOD binning is done according to availability of datasets.



Fig. 12 Day time average radiative forcing at top of the atmosphere (TOA), at surface (SRF) and in the atmosphere (ATM) for various dominant aerosol types over eastern Mediterranean during summer 2010. The error bars present the error in calculation of radiative forcing associated with errors in major input parameters (AOD, SSA and ASYM).

- .





1185 Fig. 13 SBDART-derived heating rate profiles of dominant absorbing aerosol types (dust,

polluted dust and polluted continental) over eastern Mediterranean basin during summer2010.

1188