

# Quantification of the depletion of ozone in the plume of Mount Etna

L. Surl, D. Donohoue, A. Aiuppa, N. Bobrowski, and R. von Glasow

## Author's response

### 1. Point-by-point response to the reviews

We have addressed each of the reviewers' comments within the public responses in the interactive discussions. We have nothing further to add in this document.

### 2. A list of all relevant changes made in the manuscript

#### **Abstract**

No changes

#### **Introduction**

- A reference to Donovan et. al (2014) has been added in the list of observations of oxidised Cl in volcanic plumes.
- The discussion of Active DOAS measurements of O<sub>3</sub> in volcanic plumes has been changed to reference and discuss the results of Kern et al. (2009).

#### **Campaign and data processing**

- The co-ordinate system used in figure 1 has been changed. The caption of this figure has been changed accordingly.
- The discussion of the calibration and corresponding post-processing correction of the O<sub>3</sub> results has been expanded for clarity.
- The word “taped” has been replaced with “positioned” in regards to the inlet tubes' arrangement.
- Following discussion with the authors of Wittmer et al (2014) (the editor for this paper was party to this discussion), all discussion of the Alkaline traps measurements have been removed from this section.
- The discussion of the binning process and the associated uncertainty measurements have been re-written for clarity.
- A large paragraph has been added discussing potential mercury interference and why we believe it is not an issue for this investigation. Two new references: Bagnato et al (2007) and EPA (1999) are used in this paragraph.

#### **Results**

- A column for average wind speeds have been added to Table 3. To reduce the width of this table “not measured” has been replaced with “n.m” (with an explanatory footnote).
- A paragraph discussing potential dry deposition of gases has been added. We discuss why we do not believe dry deposition is likely to significantly perturb our results. A new reference, Wesley (1989), is used in this paragraph.
- We have slightly expanded the discussion of the potential of the volcano to increase humidity within the plume, and mention how the measured results do not indicate this phenomena was occurring at the measurement sites.
- A slight wording change replaces “air is assumed to travel” with “air travels”.

- The discussion of the alkaline traps now mentions the source of the data (Wittmer et al (2014)) and the relevant crater.
- A qualification that the BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> column ratios are “at the lower end of these ranges” is added.
- Table 5: Distance and wind speed values have been added to this table.

### **Modelling study**

*Following the identification of a minor error the model runs have been redone and the new results have been plotted for all plots. However there has not been any substantial change in the results.*

- A qualification has been added that the assumption of immediate thermodynamic equilibrium is still *largely* valid.
- A sentence has been added discussing the problems of the assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium. An added sentence directs readers to Roberts et al (2014) for more discussion of this topic.
- The phrase “is as rich in volcanic volatiles as possible” has been amended to the more accurate “is very rich in volcanic volatiles”.
- The discussion of the model now includes the term “a *puff* of plume” to match the terminology of von Glasow (2010).
- Extra details of the model set-up have been added for reproducibility. A new reference to Kärcher (1999) has been added.
- The discussion of humidity and the potential effect upon aerosol has been amended. A new reference to Martin et al (2003) has been added here.
- The following paragraph has been amended to indicate that the different model settings explore a theoretical behaviour of aerosol, rather than absolutely known behaviour. The description of the *moist* and *dry* runs have been amended accordingly, with the addition of the word “assumed”.
- A sentence has been added to inform readers that the Roberts et al (2014) chemical scheme could result in different O<sub>3</sub> development.
- A sentence has been added to explain why the 0-60 seconds part of the model results plots are blank.
- A new plot (figure 10) shows absolute column amounts of SO<sub>2</sub> and BrO. The insertion of this figure has changed the numbering of the following two figures.
- This new figure has been referenced in the text alongside the associated *column ratios* plot.
- A typo “constraints” has been corrected.

### **Conclusions**

No changes

### 3. A marked-up manuscript version.

Appended to this document is a manuscript with all changes from the previous version highlighted. Removed text is in red, new text is in blue.

# Quantification of the depletion of ozone in the plume of Mount Etna

**Luke Surl<sup>1</sup>, Deanna Donohue<sup>1,2</sup>, Alessandro Aiuppa<sup>3,4</sup>, Nicole Bobrowski<sup>5</sup>, and Roland von Glasow<sup>1</sup>**

<sup>1</sup>Centre for Ocean and Atmospheric Sciences, School of Environmental Sciences, University of East Anglia, Norwich, NR4 7TJ, UK

<sup>2</sup>Now at Lawrence University, Appleton, Wisconsin, 54911, USA

<sup>3</sup>University of Palermo, DiSTeM, I-90123 Palermo, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia, Sezione di Palermo, Via La Malfa 153, 90146, Palermo, Italy

<sup>5</sup>Institut für Umweltphysik, Im Neuenheimer Feld 229, D-69120 Heidelberg, Germany

Correspondence to: Luke Surl  
(L.Surl@uea.ac.uk)

## Abstract

Volcanoes are an important source of inorganic halogen species into the atmosphere. Chemical processing of these species generates oxidised, highly reactive, halogen species which catalyse considerable O<sub>3</sub> destruction within volcanic plumes. A campaign of ground-based in situ O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and meteorology measurements was undertaken at the summit of Mount Etna volcano in July/August 2012. At the same time, spectroscopic measurements were made of BrO and SO<sub>2</sub> columns in the plume downwind.


Depletions of ozone were seen at all in-plume measurement locations, with average O<sub>3</sub> depletions ranging from 11–35 nmol/mol (15–45 %). Atmospheric processing times of the plume were estimated to be between 1 and 4 minutes. A 1D numerical model of early plume evolution was also used. It was found that in the early plume O<sub>3</sub> was destroyed at an approximately constant rate relative to an inert plume tracer. This is ascribed to reactive halogen chemistry, and the data suggests the majority of the reactive halogen that destroys O<sub>3</sub> in the early plume is generated within the crater, including a substantial proportion generated in a high-temperature “effective source region” immediately after emission. The model could approximately reproduce the main measured features of the ozone chemistry. Model results show a strong dependence of the near-vent bromine chemistry on the presence or absence of volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions and suggest that near-vent ozone measurements can be used as a qualitative indicator of NO<sub>x</sub> emission.

## 1 Introduction

Volcanoes are known to be a major source of climatically important species, trace gases, and aerosol into the atmosphere, both through explosive eruptions and sustained quiescent degassing (e.g. von Glasow et al., 2009). The largest gaseous components of most eruptions are water, carbon dioxide and sulphur compounds, followed


by hydrogen halides (Symonds et al., 1994). These volcanogenic halides are known to present environmental hazards upon deposition to soils (e.g. Delmelle et al., 2003). Emissions of bromide, while weaker and less well studied than those of fluoride and chloride (Pyle and Mather, 2009), are of interest to atmospheric chemistry due to the conversion of HBr to very reactive ozone-depleting bromine species (Bobrowski et al., 2003; Gerlach, 2004; Oppenheimer et al., 2006; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Bobrowski and Platt, 2007; von Glasow et al., 2009; Kern et al., 2009; Roberts et al., 2009; von Glasow, 2010; Kelly et al., 2013; Roberts et al., 2014).

The *bromine explosion* is an autocatalytic chemical reaction cycle which is known to convert bromine from HBr to very reactive forms (Wennberg, 1999). It is considered to be the reason for detections of elevated BrO associated with depleted O<sub>3</sub> (e.g. von Glasow et al., 2009; Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012).



There is no equivalent *chlorine explosion* as HCl is less reactive than HBr (see von Glasow et al., 2009).

In the troposphere reactive halogen species catalyse ozone destructive cycles (e.g. Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012) (X and Y are halogen atoms):



Reactive halogen chemistry and related O<sub>3</sub> depletion has been studied in detail in the polar and marine boundary layers and over salt lakes (see Saiz-Lopez and von Glasow, 2012, for an overview).

BrO is detectable through spectroscopy, and detection of elevated levels are used as an indicator for the occurrence of reactive bromine chemistry. BrO was first detected in a volcanic plume by Bobrowski et al. (2003), and has, to date, been detected in the plumes of about 20 different volcanoes. A good overview of ground-based measurements of BrO in volcanic plumes can be found in Boichu et al. (2011) and references therein. A systematic study of satellite data by Hörmann et al. (2012) greatly extended the dataset of known BrO events detected via satellite measurements.

In both satellite and ground-based studies, in-plume ratios of BrO to SO<sub>2</sub> have been found to be of the order of 10<sup>-5</sup> – several 10<sup>-4</sup> once the plume has been transported a few km from the source (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Boichu et al., 2011; Hörmann et al., 2012). Measurements and modelling studies of in-plume BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios have shown BrO to be either present at low levels or undetectable within 1 km of volcanic vents whilst the BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio a few km downwind of the same vent is measured as up to several 10<sup>-4</sup> (e.g. Bobrowski et al., 2007; Kern et al., 2009). This suggests the formation of BrO is occurring within the time taken for this downwind transport. SO<sub>2</sub> is widely used as a tracer for volcanic emissions due to its high concentration in volcanic

plumes, low reactivity, and easily-detectable spectroscopic signal (McGonigle et al., 2004).

Volcanoes are known to emit other halogens (F, Cl, I). The HF molecule is expected to be too stable for any in-plume reactive F chemistry to occur (von Glasow et al., 2009), whilst the magnitudes of volcanic HI emissions are probably too low to have a significant effect on plume chemistry (Aiuppa et al., 2005a). Measurements of oxidised Cl in volcanic plumes are varied, having been observed at Sakurajima (Lee et al., 2005), Etna (Bobrowski et al., 2007; General et al., 2014), and Puyehue-Cordón Caulle (Theys et al., 2014), and Soufrière Hills (Donovan et al., 2014). However a DOAS investigation by Kern et al. (2009) specifically looking for oxidised Cl did not detect any in the plume of Masaya volcano. It is unknown whether this reflects natural variability or measurement issues. See Roberts et al. (2009) for a discussion of reactive chlorine formation in volcanic plumes.

The conceptual model of halogen-O<sub>3</sub> chemistry within volcanic plumes is complicated by the very high temperatures of the plume immediately after emission and mixing with the atmosphere. Such high temperature mixtures are believed to reach or approach thermodynamic equilibrium (Symonds et al., 1994), resulting in the production of non-negligible quantities of oxidised halogen species which may begin the autocatalytic cycles described above (Gerlach, 2004; Bobrowski et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2009). Because of the hazards involved, this 'effective source region' within the crater (Bobrowski et al., 2007) cannot be investigated directly. Instead, inventories of volcanic emission species are based mostly on samples of fumarole emissions or crater-rim measurements (Gerlach, 2004). Processes occurring in the effective source region are inferred from these measurements, theory, and measurements of the plume further downwind.

[Table 1 about here.]

While BrO (and, with greater difficulty, OCIO) can be measured remotely using passive spectroscopic techniques, direct measurements of O<sub>3</sub> depletion within volcanic plumes

have to be made in situ due to the large atmospheric O<sub>3</sub> column, and as a result the existing body of literature on the depletion of ozone within volcanic plumes is small, see Table 1. Active DOAS ~~measurement within volcanic plumes may be possible, but, to the authors knowledge, no such measurements have been made at any volcano.~~ measurement of O<sub>3</sub> at a volcano is possible, however it presents significant difficulties in distinguishing the plume from background air. To the authors' knowledge the only published active DOAS O<sub>3</sub> measurement at a volcano is Kern et al. (2009). In this investigation no O<sub>3</sub> depletion could be determined —the authors of this publication attribute this to the difficulties of isolating a plume O<sub>3</sub> signal from that of the background air.

This study expands this small collection of O<sub>3</sub> observations. In addition, the O<sub>3</sub> depletion data collected are associated with simultaneous measurements of an inert plume tracer (SO<sub>2</sub>). To the authors' knowledge, no prior dataset exists of simultaneous measurements of O<sub>3</sub> depletion and a tracer species within 1 km of volcanic vents. Wind speeds have also been measured, such that processing time can be determined for every datapoint. As in-plume halogen and O<sub>3</sub> chemistry are closely linked, from these data we can investigate both qualitatively and quantitatively the fast chemical processes occurring within the early plume.

## 2 Campaign and data processing

### 2.1 Location

[Fig. 1 about here.]

The measurement campaign was conducted at Mount Etna volcano, Sicily, Italy (37.7°N, 15.0°E) with the majority of measurements being taken between 24 July and 2 August 2012. Mount Etna is an active stratovolcano with a peak elevation of about 3300 m. At the time of measurement the volcano was in a passively degassing phase with three active vents (North East, Voragine and Bocca Nuova) within our survey area. Small



Strombolian eruptions and an inner-crateric lava flow were observed at Bocca Nuova but explosive ejecta only rarely surpassed the crater rim. Due to the vicinity of the Voragine and Bocca Nuova craters it was not possible to distinguish between their plumes. Therefore in this analysis we have considered them to be a single “Central craters” source.

Most of the measurements were taken near the crater rim at elevations significantly above the planetary boundary layer. Due to logistical constraints we took these measurements between 08:40 and 12:00 UTC (10:40 –14:00 local time). The sky was cloud-free on all days of measurement. The ground-based remote sensing measurements of the plume were taken from locations further downwind with plume ages of up to 30 minutes.

On all days of near-crater measurements we took measurements at a fixed site that was upwind of all summit craters and at 1–3 sites in the plume at varying distances from the craters (Figure 1). This was done to sample plumes at different processing times. Sampling times at the sites varied between 20 and 60 minutes (see Table 3).

The names given to the near-crater measurement sites in the figures and in Table 3 indicate the day on which the measurements were taken and the order in which they were measured. For example, site “d2-27” was the second downwind site measured on 27 July. All upwind measurements were taken at the same location. In Table 3 the upwind site datasets collected on each day have been treated separately. For example, “up-30” is the set of measurements taken at the upwind site on 30 July.

## 2.2 Instrumentation

Ozone mixing ratios were measured via UV adsorption with a 2B Technologies instrument, model 202. A particle filter was placed on the inlet to prevent aerosols from influencing data or damaging the instrument. Because of the overlap of the  $O_3$  and  $SO_2$  adsorption spectra (e.g. Vance et al., 2010) we also fitted the inlet with two  $SO_2$  scrubbers in series to prevent interference in the  $O_3$  measurements. The scrubbers were packed with  $CrO_3$ -coated microfibre filter paper. We tested the endurance of the scrub-

bers prior to the campaign. Air with a SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio of 700 µmol/mol was passed through the setup at a flow rate of 0.58 litres per minute. No SO<sub>2</sub>-related signal could be detected on the O<sub>3</sub> monitor for 2.25 hours, a removal of approximately 15 mg of SO<sub>2</sub>. This greatly exceeds the expected SO<sub>2</sub> exposure of the scrubbers in the field.

5 New scrubbers and particulate filters were used daily. We calibrated the ozone monitor and inlet set-up daily with a 2B Technologies model 306 O<sub>3</sub> calibration source. Linear losses of O<sub>3</sub> in the inlet/scrubber system was detected, the field results each day were corrected using the results from the calibration. We determined a measurement error of ±3 nmol/mol from the calibration results, which incorporates both random  
10 error of the instrument and uncertainties in the calibration correction.

We measured several gases' mixing ratios with a portable version of the the INGV-type Multi-component Gas Analyser System (MULTIGAS) (Aiuppa et al., 2011, 2012), though only the SO<sub>2</sub> measurements have been used in this analysis. The SO<sub>2</sub> measurement used an electrochemical sensor (0–200 µmol/mol; 3ST/F electrochemical sensor, City Technology Ltd.). A protective particulate filter was included on the instrument inlet. Data were recorded on an on-board data-logger and stored internally. The instrument's sensors and data-logger were housed in a water-proof box with a 1.2 L/min pump and a 12V battery. The sensors were calibrated before and after fieldwork with standard calibration gases; the instrument's accuracy and precision were determined to both be about 20%. The MULTIGAS was not used for the first two days of the campaign (24 and 25 July).

Temperature, humidity and wind vector were measured with a Kestrel 4500 commercial weather station, mounted on a tripod. Prior to the campaign, the device's relative humidity measurement was calibrated with standardised salt solutions.

25 Each instrument recorded data at a different frequency. Table 2 shows the instruments' measurement intervals and precision errors.

At the measurement sites we set up the ozone monitor and MULTIGAS on the same tripod, with the inlet tubes taped positioned closely together. The weather station tripod was positioned within 5 m of the tripod supporting the in-situ instruments. The locations

of the sites were determined by hand-held GPS. The source of the plume at each downwind site was determined visually.

Remote sensing measurements of the downwind evolution of the volcanic plume with two Mini-MAXDOAS (Multi Axis Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) instrument were carried out (see Bobrowski et al., 2007, for an instrument description). Scans approximately perpendicular to the plume axis were made at distances between 6 and 16.6 km from the craters, corresponding to plume ages between about 8 and 30 minutes.

~~Prior to the main campaign, additional measurements were taken at the crater rim of North East Crater and Bocca Nuova on 26 and 27 June 2012 to characterize the composition of the plume (Wittmer et al., 2014). Two different kinds of alkaline traps (Drechsler bottle, flow rate 2 L/min and Raschig tube, flow rate 4-5 L/min) filled with a 1 M solution were used to determine the concentrations of the acidic gases , , and from the amounts of , , and dissolved in the solution. After sampling the solutions were first neutralised with an ASRN 300, which is a membrane-based electrolytic device that is replacing sodium ions with hydronium ions. After neutralization hydrogen peroxide was added to the solution to oxidize all sulphur species in order to determine the total sulphur concentration. and were then analysed with Ion Chromatography, and were analysed with Inductively Coupled Plasma mass spectrometry (ICP-MS) and the solved Carbon was analyzed by titration (Orion 960 Autochemistry System) (Wittmer et al., 2014).~~

[Table 2 about here.]

## 2.3 Data processing

[Fig. 2 about here.]

The instruments listed in Table 2 each had independent internal clocks which could only be roughly synchronised in the field. The MULTIGAS' internal clock does not record absolute time, but only time since the the device was switched on. In the field we

turned the device on at the same time as the other instruments, however it is probable some desynchronisation of the data sets has occurred because of this. Inspection of the  $O_3$  and  $SO_2$  signal suggests that this desynchronisation may have been up to 25 seconds.

5 We used the upwind site data sets as reference values of the background air. For each downwind site, the  $O_3$  concentration time-series was converted to a time-series of  $O_3$  change ( $\Delta O_3$ ) using the average  $O_3$  mixing ratio measured at the upwind reference site on that day.

10 As the collection frequency of the instruments differed, the data were binned into 120 second bins. Each 120 second bin therefore covered several measurements from each instrument and for each parameter a mean value was calculated. The use of 120 second bins reduced the precision error on the resulting data points. Additionally, the use of 120 second bins is expected to reduce the impact of any potential asynchronisation resulting from either the problem discussed above or from different instrument  
15 response times.

Inspection of the signals (e.g. Figure 2) showed clear anticorrelation between the  $O_3$  and  $SO_2$  mixing ratios.

20  $SO_2$  is used as the inert plume tracer, a measure of plume strength for the bin. Measured background levels of  $SO_2$  were negligible in comparison to in-plume measurements and  $SO_2$  is expected to be effectively chemically inert. For each 120s measurement bin a ratio  $\Delta O_3/SO_2$  (change in molecules  $O_3$  per molecule inert plume tracer) was calculated, this is a measure of  $O_3$  change that is attributable to chemical and not physical processes (a proof of this can be found in the appendix).

25 Transport times between the craters and the downwind sites were determined from the distances between the sites and the vents, and the measured wind speeds. ~~Uncertainty in the data points for each bin was~~

For each bin the uncertainty in the wind speed is calculated by adding in quadrature the expected error of the Kestrel instrument and the variance of the individual wind-speed measurements which are averaged to yield the wind speed value for the bin. This error

can be seen in the x-axis error bars on Figures 3, 4, and 5. Similarly the uncertainty in the  $\text{SO}_2$  values is calculated from the ~~known uncertainties in the instruments' measurements~~ (Table 2). ~~When calculating the variance of this quantity within the bin and the instrument error.~~ The uncertainty in the  $\Delta\text{O}_3$  values ~~, the variance of the~~ is calculated in the same manner, and for  $\Delta\text{O}_3$  measurement additionally factor in the variance in the  $\text{O}_3$  measurement in the corresponding background measurement. These errors are manifest in the y-axis error bars of Figures 3 and 4, and are combined to give the y-axis error bars of Figure 5.

Gaseous elemental mercury is known to be emitted by Etna (Bagnato et al., 2007) and has the potential to cause interference with UV-based ozone monitors (EPA, 1999), giving false  $\text{O}_3$  signal ~~at the reference sites were considered, along with the expected instrument error~~ when in the sampled air. The inlet and  $\text{CrO}_3$  scrubbers were not tested against mercury interference. If elemental mercury was entering the monitor in the mixing ratios observed by Bagnato et al. (2007), and causing interference of the magnitudes seen by EPA (1999), it would have caused us to make underestimations of the depletion of  $\text{O}_3$  on the order of 10 nmol/mol. However, this would assume that all the mercury emitted from the volcano was primarily in the elemental form. This is unlikely as modelling studies (von Glasow, 2010) indicated that much on the mercury is oxidized within the plume. In addition, mercury measurements at Etna contemporaneous with our campaign (Mather and Witt, pers. comm.) indicate that the mercury loading of the craters' plumes were significantly less than that which was measured by Bagnato et al. (2007) in 2004–2007 and confirmed that much of the observed mercury was in an oxidized or particulate form. It is also probable that the two  $\text{CrO}_3$  scrubbers scavenged or oxidised much of the elemental mercury in the sampled air, as the system is not heated and the scrubbers are changed daily it is unlikely that mercury scavenged by the scrubber would be re-volatilized as elemental mercury. No compensation for this potential effect was made in the post-processing as we believe that the potential for this interference is very low and because the observed anti-correlation of the  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  signals is the inverse of what would be expected from such interference.

The MAXDOAS data were evaluated for BrO and SO<sub>2</sub> with the WinDOAS V2.10 software package (van Roozendaal and Fayt, 2001) which uses a nonlinear least-square method (Stutz and Platt, 1996). A BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratio was calculated for each measurement day by a correlation plot of the daily data set. The plume ages at the point of measurement were calculated from the wind speed and lateral distance from the craters. In the absence of wind speed data at Etna, speeds from soundings taken at Trapani (37.91 °N, 12.50 °E, data from <http://weather.uwyo.edu/upperair/sounding.html>) were used. The 220 km distance between the location of the soundings and the volcano means that there is a relatively large and unquantifiable uncertainty in the calculated plume ages.

### 3 Results

An overview of the near-crater results from this campaign can be seen in Table 3. Calculated plume ages were found to be of the order 1–4 minutes.

[Table 3 about here.]

[Fig. 3 about here.]

[Fig. 4 about here.]

The plume was observed to be grounded at all downwind sites, enabling measurement. As both O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> are known to exhibit deposition to the ground, there is the potential for the mixing ratios of these species at the elevation of the instruments to be perturbed by this physical process. However the ground at the peak of Etna is rocky and devoid of vegetation and could be classed in the scheme of Wesely (1989) as "barren land". The bulk surface resistance of this category sufficiently high that, even if the aerodynamic and sublayer resistances are low, the deposition velocity will be too low to cause a significant perturbation during the transport from crater rim to the measurement sites.

Ozone depletion of up to 45% was observed at all seven downwind sites. SO<sub>2</sub> decreases with transport time (Figure 3), as would be expected due to dilution. The low

SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios at site d2-30 suggest this site was at the plume edge, this is consistent with visual observations of the plume. A plot of  $\Delta\text{O}_3$  against transport time (Figure 4) shows no comparable trend as chemical destruction of O<sub>3</sub> and dilution with background air occur simultaneously.

5

[Fig. 5 about here.]

In Figure 5  $\Delta[\text{O}_3]/[\text{SO}_2]$  versus transport time is plotted. The linear trend is indicative of chemical destruction of O<sub>3</sub> occurring within the first few minutes of plume transport (see Appendix).

The data on Figure 5 appear to fit a linear trend. This indicates, that as a ratio to the inert plume tracer, the rate of chemical O<sub>3</sub> destruction is constant within the first few minutes of plume evolution. In the expected chemical cycles (equation 2) the rate of O<sub>3</sub> destruction is dependant on the concentration of halogens in highly reactive (non-hydrogen halide) forms. Therefore a constant rate of reaction indicates that the ratio of these species to SO<sub>2</sub> is approximately constant during the first few minutes of plume evolution.

Depletion of ozone occurred on 30 July even when the relative humidity was <10%. This is below the crystallisation point of any major aerosol component that would be expected to be present in the plume. It would therefore be expected that at such low humidities aqueous phase reactions (such as reaction 1d) would occur at a much slower rate, preventing the bromine explosion from converting HBr to very reactive forms of bromine. No-On inspection of the humidity measurements (Table 3) no significant increase in humidity due to volcanic H<sub>2</sub>O was observed at the crater rim downwind sites (the humidity variation between up-24 and d1-24 could be volcanic in origin, however it is also in the range of normal meteorological variability). The possible impacts of a short-lived elevated humidity within the crater due to volcanogenic H<sub>2</sub>O are considered with the “dry” model runs in Section 4 of this paper.

Data points from all measurement sites appear to approximately fit the same line-of-best fit on Figure 5. This is despite the measurements spanning two separate days

and these two days having very different circumstances. On 27 July the measurements were made in the plume of the North East crater, and on 30 July the measurements were made in the plume of the central craters. The different craters are known to exhibit differing emission compositions (Aiuppa et al., 2005a). In addition the relatively humidity on these two days was markedly different. It is unknown whether the cause of these two data sets' approximate alignment in Figure 5 is due to mechanistic reasons relating to the "effective source region" (see below) or coincidence.

A linear line of best fit was calculated using Williamson-York Iterative Bivariate analysis (Cantrell, 2008), its gradient is  $(-1.02 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-4} \text{ s}^{-1}$ . The  $r^2$  value of this fit is 0.76. This linear trend can be interpreted as there being a rate of destruction of  $\text{O}_3$  within the plume of approximately  $10^{-4}$  molecules per molecule of  $\text{SO}_2$  (the inert plume tracer) per second in the first few minutes of plume evolution.  $\text{SO}_2$  is only used as a measure of plume intensity,  $\text{SO}_2$  does not play a role in  $\text{O}_3$  destruction.

Extrapolating the line-of-best-fit on Figure 5 results in an intercept of the x-axis of approximately -60 seconds. Assuming chemical  $\text{O}_3$  destruction is not instantaneous in the plume, it would be expected that the line of best fit would pass through the origin. We determine that this offset is most likely the result of inaccuracy in the calculation of processing time. Firstly, the calculation assumes air ~~is assumed to travel~~ travels in a straight line between vent and sampling point, however the path length is likely to be greater than this due to turbulence, the topology of the mountain-top, and variation in wind direction. Second, the distances between vents and sampling points were calculated only from their lateral displacement and did not consider the time taken for vertical transport from the vent to the crater rim. From our visual observations of the plume, we estimate this vertical transport time is likely to have been of the order of a minute.

Table 4 lists the composition of volcanic volatiles. These figures are calculated from June 2012 ~~measured~~ values of  $\text{SO}_2$ , HF, HCl, and HBr (~~Wittmer et al., 2014~~) measured using various alkaline traps (Wittmer et al., 2014) (Bocca Nuova crater), as well as the MULTIGAS measurements of  $\text{CO}_2$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  made on the main campaign (using all available data). As complete characterisation of the volcanic volatiles could not be



made from the June 2012 measurements alone, the ratio of the gases to  $\text{CO}_2$  were used in these calculations with absolute values of  $\text{H}_2\text{O}$  and  $\text{CO}_2$  calculated from the values reported in (Aiuppa et al., 2005b). Additionally,  $\text{H}_2/\text{SO}_2$  was taken from (Aiuppa et al., 2011), and  $\text{H}_2\text{S}/\text{SO}_2$  was calculated from the values reported in (Aiuppa et al., 2005b). These values were then normalised to ensure that the sum equals unity.

[Table 4 about here.]

The remote sensing results are shown in Table 5, along with the correlation between the BrO and  $\text{SO}_2$  columns and the estimated plume age (based upon distance from the crater and wind speed, see section 2.3). The BrO/ $\text{SO}_2$  column ratios are within the range typically measured both in the Etna plume (Bobrowski and Giuffrida, 2012) and volcanic plumes in general (Boichu et al., 2011; Hörmann et al., 2012), though they are at the lower end of these ranges.

[Table 5 about here.]

## 4 Modelling study

We used a vertically resolved 1D numerical model MISTRA to simulate the chemical evolution of the volcanic plume in the atmosphere and to test the model's performance against the data presented above. The model is the same as used in von Glasow (2010) with minor modifications. Based on previous work (Gerlach, 2004; Martin et al., 2006; von Glasow, 2010) we used the thermodynamic equilibrium model HSC (Roine, 2007) to calculate the composition of the mixture of volcanic volatiles and ambient air in the "effective source region" which is located in the crater where temperatures are high enough so that the assumption of immediate thermodynamic equilibrium is still largely valid but also enough ambient air has been entrained to oxidise the main volcanic reduced gases (mainly  $\text{H}_2\text{S}$  and  $\text{H}_2$ ) which leads to a dramatic change in halogen speciation. Recent studies (e.g. Aiuppa et al., 2007; Martin et al., 2012) have shown that the assumption of thermodynamic equilibrium is incorrect for a number of

5 compounds, especially for H<sub>2</sub>S and NO<sub>x</sub> which makes the use of an equilibrium model such as HSC problematic but it is still regarded to provide a better approximation of the composition of volcanic volatiles than not assuming any equilibration. See also related comments in Roberts et al. (2014). Compared to von Glasow (2010) we used a higher ratio of volcanic volatiles:ambient air (95:5) which is ~~as-very~~ rich in volcanic volatiles ~~as possible~~ but already oxidised.

10 The model is run in Lagrangian mode, following the evolution of ~~the a~~ "puff" of plume downwind of the volcano and explicitly modelling the interaction of the plume with background air (see von Glasow, 2010). The modelled wind speed is 10 ms<sup>-1</sup>, and the plume dispersal is assumed to be Gaussian and follows Kärcher (1999) using the Pasquill-Gifford scheme to calculate the parameters of the Gaussian plume (see e.g., Seinfeld and Pandis (2006) )."

15 The S and Br elemental ratios of the volcanic volatiles used in the HSC calculation are taken from the average values measured at the crater rim (Table 4, column "low Br/S") on 26 and 27 June 2012. We also did a second set of model runs initialised with a much higher Br/S ratio (Table 4, column "high Br/S") based on unpublished data from Alessandro Aiuppa. Table S1 in the electronic supplement gives the composition of the volcanic volatiles and the results of the HSC calculations used as input for the 1D model.

20 The relative humidity varied significantly during the campaign (see Table 3), which is important as the bromine explosion is efficient in the presence of aqueous aerosol. ~~The Following Seinfeld and Pandis (2006) a~~ crystallisation humidity of ~~sulphate particles is around 43% (depending on contents of other salts see, e.g. Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006) which implies that~~ 40% for sulphate containing particles is assumed in the model but other studies suggest sulphate containing particles to be liquid for lower relative humidities (e.g. Martin et al., 2003) .

25 Regardless of the exact composition and crystallisation humidity of the volcanic aerosol present on the days of this study when simultaneous SO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> measurements were made ~~the aerosol will have contained only very small amounts of water~~

~~and will not have been deliquesced~~we wanted to test the implications of crystallised aerosol in the model. We therefore ran the model at three different settings regarding the deliquescence of the aerosol:

1. “Moist”: Relative humidity 57% (above the sulphate crystallisation humidity assumed in the model), aerosol aqueous phase chemistry occurs throughout the plume.
2. “Dry”: Relative humidity 21% (below the assumed sulphate crystallisation humidity), aerosol aqueous phase chemistry consequently disabled. Exception is the first 1 minute, where water from the volcano elevates the humidity within the plume.
3. “No het” where all heterogeneous chemical reactions were deactivated.

Being remote from major sources of anthropogenic pollution and above the planetary boundary layer, the ambient  $\text{NO}_x$  levels at Etna are expected to be negligible compared to the amount of nitrogen oxides ( $\text{NO}_x$ ) that thermodynamic models such as HSC predict would be formed in the effective source regions of volcanic vents. However Kaschka (2007) and Martin et al. (2012) discussed the problems associated with this, mainly that the time required to reach equilibrium for  $\text{NO}_x$  under the given temperatures in the effective source region is, by far, too long. The generation of  $\text{NO}_x$  could significantly perturb bromine chemistry due to the formation of  $\text{BrNO}_2$  (see e.g. figure 2 in von Glasow, 2010). A detailed discussion of volcanic plume  $\text{Br-NO}_x$  chemistry, including a modelling study that includes additional  $\text{BrNO}_2$  reactions, can be found in Roberts et al. (2014). Use of their scheme would likely result in less bromine being present as  $\text{BrNO}_2$  and might lead to somewhat different  $\text{O}_3$  development. For each of the three aerosol settings described above, two model runs were done - one with volcanic  $\text{NO}_x$  in the quantities predicted in the thermodynamic model and one with no volcanic  $\text{NO}_x$ . These six runs were performed for both of the plume initialisations shown in Table 4.

## 4.1 “Low Br/S” modelled plume

[Fig. 6 about here.]

Model results are shown for the core of the plume which is most appropriate for the grounded plume measured in our campaign. Comparison of modelled and measured plume  $\text{SO}_2$  mixing ratios, shown in Figures 6 and 3 respectively, show the plumes to be of similar intensity. Differences in  $\text{SO}_2$  mixing ratio between the six model runs are negligible. In order to approximately reproduce the measured  $\text{SO}_2$  mixing ratios at the crater rim the “initial dilution” ratio of the HSC output with ambient air (see *Materials and Methods* in von Glasow, 2010) was set to a factor of  $9\times$ .

The 0–60 seconds section of the following figures are not plotted as the first data output from the model occurs at 60 seconds after plume release.

[Fig. 7 about here.]

[Fig. 8 about here.]

Figure 7 shows the modelled ozone depletion in the six runs. Figure 8 shows this as a ratio to  $\text{SO}_2$  mixing ratio (c.f. Figures 4 and 5 respectively).

[Fig. 9 about here.]

[Fig. 10 about here.]

[Fig. 11 about here.]

In comparison to the measured data where bromine chemistry at the craters can only be inferred from  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  data, the modelled bromine chemistry can be investigated directly; Figure 9 shows the speciation of bromine in the six different runs.

In all three runs with volcanic  $\text{NO}_x$ , the bromine almost entirely partitions to  $\text{BrNO}_2$ .  $\text{BrNO}_2$  does not contribute to  $\text{O}_3$  depletion. As shown in Figure 7, in these runs the net

$O_3$  change is almost zero for all runs and is actually positive in the “noHet” and “dry” cases as a consequence of  $O_3$ -generating  $NO_x$  chemistry.

The bromine explosion, as evidenced by conversion of HBr to other forms of bromine can be seen in the “moist” runs and has also occurred between 0 and 60 seconds of the “dry” run (where volcanic  $H_2O$  is modelled to increase the plume’s humidity). In the “noHet” runs and in the “dry” runs after the volcanic humidity increase has ended, the processes that convert HBr to other forms are effectively halted.

In all three  $NO_x$ -free runs  $O_3$  is depleted. Reactive bromine is generated by the high-temperature processes of the effective source region in all three runs. In the “moist” case, and to a slightly reduced extent in the “dry” case, this is supplemented by the generation of reactive bromine species via the bromine explosion, to the extent that in the “moist” case the  $O_3$  depletion is near 100%. The consequences of these three runs’ differences in bromine chemistry can be seen on Figure 8, where the  $\Delta[O_3]/[SO_2]$  ratios diverge over the first few minutes.

A comparison of the  $O_3$  depletion (Figures 7 and 8) after 60 seconds for the “noHet” run with that for the “dry” and “moist” runs shows the comparative influence of different processes within the crater. The ozone depletion in the “noHet” case must be due to reactive halogen generated in high temperature processes as heterogeneous reactions are required for low-temperature reactive bromine generation (the bromine explosion). The additional ozone depletion at 60 seconds in the “dry” and “moist” cases can therefore be attributed to the bromine released via the bromine explosion within the crater. As the plume moves away from the crater, the heterogeneous bromine explosion can only occur in the “moist” run so the increasing differences between the “moist” and “dry” runs are due to the continued bromine explosion in the “moist” run.

The model results can also be used to calculate ~~column ratios~~ vertical columns of BrO and  $SO_2$ . ~~Figure 11 shows~~ (Figure 10) and the corresponding ratios (Figure 11). ~~These figures show~~ these data over the first 60 minutes of plume evolution. ~~This figure is and are~~ also overlaid with the spectroscopic observations listed in Table 5. Due to logistical ~~constraints~~ constraints we have concurrent near-crater and downwind data

only for 27 July; this datapoint is highlighted on Figure 11. As can also be seen in Figure 9, only the “moist” runs maintain significant amounts of volcanic bromine as BrO and show column BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios comparable with measurements. Also notable is the rise in the column ratio in the “moist-withNO<sub>x</sub>” run after a few minutes. After significant dilution of the plume has occurred the dominance of the BrNO<sub>2</sub> reservoir diminishes. Thus volcanic NO<sub>x</sub>, if present, delays but does not prevent in-plume reactive bromine chemistry.

## 4.2 “High Br/S” modelled plume

[Fig. 12 about here.]

The elemental emissions ratio of Etna is known to vary between craters and over time (Aiuppa et al., 2005a). Figure 12 shows the evolution of modelled  $\Delta\text{O}_3$  for the six runs where they have been initialised using the “high Br/S” ratios (see Table 4). Further plots relating to these are shown in the supplemental material to this paper (Figures S1—S5). As for the runs described previous, in order to approximately reproduce the measured SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios at the crater rim (and those from the prior runs) an “initial dilution” ratio was again used, in these runs it was set to a factor of 15 $\times$  dilution.

The increase in the amount of volcanic bromine has a significant impact on the chemistry. In the NO<sub>x</sub>-free runs the reactive bromine generated by the effective source region is sufficient to destroy almost all of the O<sub>3</sub> within the plume and the plume chemistry is O<sub>3</sub>-limited. As can be seen in table 1 of the supplementary material, the NO<sub>x</sub>/S ratio is very similar for the “low Br/S” and “high Br/S” cases. As a consequence the Br/NO<sub>x</sub> is very different, being 0.0875 in the “low Br/S” runs and 3.35 in the “high Br/S” runs. Whereas in the “low Br/S” runs with volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> almost all reactive Br had partitioned to BrNO<sub>2</sub>, in the corresponding “high Br/S” the partitioning of the reactive bromine to BrNO<sub>2</sub> is more moderate and a significant amount of reactive bromine in other forms remains. This results in moderate O<sub>3</sub> depletion in the early plume, with similar variation between the humidity cases as discussed above.

### 4.3 Comparison with measured data

This modelling study shows that the plume chemistry is highly sensitive to several factors: the presence of volcanic  $\text{NO}_x$ , the magnitude and composition of the primary volcanic emissions, and heterogeneous processes which are dependant on aerosol and humidity. Any comparison between the model results and the measurements must be made with caution. In particular:

- 5     – Volcanic volatile Br/S ratios are known to vary considerably both over time and between Etna’s different craters (Aiuppa et al., 2005a). The composition of the volcanic volatiles used in the “low Br/S” modelling study was based on measurements which were taken a month prior to the  $\text{O}_3$  and  $\text{SO}_2$  measurements. It is unknown how the emissions may have changed in the intervening period, and  
10    comparing the results with the two different initialisations shows that variations in volatile composition can be very significant.
- Over the time that measurements were taken the magnitude and composition of the volcanic emissions may have varied significantly. This cannot be reproduced in the model.
- 15    – We focussed on model output from the plume core. However, the varying wind velocity at the measurement sites mean that sampling will have been from varying parts of the plume.

20    As such it is not possible to conclude definitively from the comparison of the measurement and modelling studies the exact chemical processes that were occurring within the plume at the time of the measurement campaign. Nevertheless, the modelling study shows that the reactive bromine generated in the effective-source region is sufficient to cause appreciable ozone depletion within the early plume, however the effect is stronger and more sustained when further reactive bromine can be generated by the bromine explosion.

25 The model runs which are the best fit for the near-crater O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> measurements are not the same runs which best match the BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> columns measured further downwind. This is a discrepancy which highlights the need for further investigation into plume chemistry and the relationship between in-plume bromine, NO<sub>x</sub> and humidity.

## 5 Conclusions

5 In this study of chemical evolution in the plumes of Mount Etna during a passively degassing phase we have confirmed O<sub>3</sub> depletion to occur less than a few minutes after emission. This extends the limited dataset regarding volcanic O<sub>3</sub> depletion and is the first dataset to date based on ground-based data to include simultaneous measurements of O<sub>3</sub> and a plume tracer. The depletion process is ongoing over this time  
10 Etna craters measured in late July 2012, this rate was found to be a depletion of approximately 10<sup>-4</sup> molecules of O<sub>3</sub> per molecule of SO<sub>2</sub> (the inert plume tracer) per second.

Substantial depletion of O<sub>3</sub> was observed within minutes after emission, and occurred at very low humidities at which the heterogeneous processes of the bromine explosion would be significantly slowed. On the basis of this, an approximately constant rate of ozone depletion (as a ratio of the inert tracer), and analysis of our modelling study we conclude that most of the very reactive halogens that caused the observed O<sub>3</sub> depletion within the 1–4 minutes old plume were not a product of chemical cycling in the plume once it had emerged from the crater but rather generated in *effective*  
20 *source regions* near the vents in high-temperature processes that ceased shortly after emission, and/or very fast low-temperature chemical processes within the crater. This is in agreement with the thermodynamic modelling studies of Gerlach (2004), Martin et al. (2009), and von Glasow (2010) which predict oxidised halogens being produced by high-temperature effective source regions in volcanoes. The in-situ measurements  
25 in this study were taken at distances less than 500 m from the vents. Measurements



of BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> at such distances tend to be low compared to those measured further downwind (Bobrowski et al., 2007; Boichu et al., 2011). That substantial O<sub>3</sub> depletion can occur in such regions suggests these low levels of reactive bromine (and possibly chlorine) species that are generated within the crater are sufficient to cause the O<sub>3</sub> depletion observed in this study.

We have also shown that further downwind, contemporaneous spectroscopic observation of the plume shows BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> columns are within the typical range that has been observed within volcanic plumes. Our near-crater measurements are therefore likely to be typical for Mount Etna in its passively degassing phase.

Results from our modelling study suggest that a significant factor influencing the bromine-ozone chemistry in the very early plume is the presence or absence of volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> and, if it is present, its source strength relative to that of Br. The modelling study shows that volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> would be expected to significantly retard early O<sub>3</sub> depletion and therefore plume O<sub>3</sub> and Br data could be compared to model output to yield information on NO<sub>x</sub> within a plume. The measured data from this campaign are insufficient to definitively ascertain whether or not volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> generation is occurring but it appears unlikely to be the case as near-crater O<sub>3</sub> depletion was observed whilst volcanic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions suppressed such O<sub>3</sub> depletion in the modelling study. The modelling study also shows how variations in humidity, and the consequent variations in heterogeneous chemistry, may impact the plume chemistry in detectable ways. A comparison of the results from our three different humidity/heterogeneous chemistry cases gives an indication as to the extents that O<sub>3</sub> depletion can be ascribed to reactive halogens generated in high-temperature processes within the crater, low-temperature processes within the crater, and low temperature processes further downwind.

*Acknowledgements.* The authors would like to thank Istituto Nazionale di Geofisica e Vulcanologia (INGV) Palermo and Giancarlo Tamburello of the University of Palermo for field support on the July/August 2012 campaign and David Walter, MPI Mainz, Germany for help in June 2012. We would also like to thank colleagues at the University of East Anglia, in particular Ricky Herd, for their comments on the development of this paper. The campaign was funded by NERC project NE/G015600/1 and Luke Surl's PhD studentship is NERC-funded. Nicole

Bobrowski was supported financially by DFG project DFG BO3611/1-1. Allesandro Aiuppa acknowledges funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007/2013)/ERC grant agreement n1305377.

## References

- Aiuppa, A., Federico, C., Franco, A., Giudice, G., Gurrieri, S., Inguaggiato, S., Liuzzo, M., McGonigle, A. J. S., and Valenza, M.: Emission of bromine and iodine from Mount Etna volcano, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 6, doi:10.1029/2005gc000965, 2005a.
- Aiuppa, A., Inguaggiato, S., McGonigle, A., O'Dwyer, M., Oppenheimer, C., Padgett, M., Rouwet, D., and Valenza, M.: H<sub>2</sub>S fluxes from Mt. Etna, Stromboli, and Vulcano (Italy) and implications for the sulfur budget at volcanoes, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 69, 1861–1871, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2004.09.018, 2005b.
- Aiuppa, A., Franco, A., von Glasow, R., Allen, A. G., D'Alessandro, W., Mather, T. A., Pyle, D. M., and Valenza, M.: The tropospheric processing of acidic gases and hydrogen sulphide in volcanic gas plumes as inferred from field and model investigations, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 7, 1441–1450, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1441-2007, 2007.
- Aiuppa, A., Shinohara, H., Tamburello, G., Giudice, G., Liuzzo, M., and Moretti, R.: Hydrogen in the gas plume of an open-vent volcano, Mount Etna, Italy, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Solid Earth*, 116, doi:10.1029/2011jb008461, 2011.
- Aiuppa, A., Giudice, G., Liuzzo, M., Tamburello, G., Allard, P., Calabrese, S., Chaplygin, I., McGonigle, A. J. S., and Taran, Y.: First volatile inventory for Gorely volcano, Kamchatka, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 39, doi:10.1029/2012gl051177, 2012.
- Bagnato, E., Aiuppa, A., Parello, F., Calabrese, S., D'Alessandro, W., T.A., M., McGonigle, A., Pyle, D., and Wängberg, I.: Degassing of gaseous (elemental and reactive) and particulate mercury from Mount Etna volcano (Southern Italy), *Atmospheric Environment*, 41, 7377–7388, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.05.060, 2007.
- Bobrowski, N. and Giuffrida, G.: Bromine monoxide / sulphur dioxide ratios in relation to volcanological observations at Mt. Etna 2006 –2009, *Solid Earth*, 3, 433–445, doi:10.5194/se-3-433-2012, 2012.

- Bobrowski, N. and Platt, U.: SO<sub>2</sub>/BrO ratios studied in five volcanic plumes, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 166, 147–160, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2007.07.003, 2007.
- Bobrowski, N., Hönninger, G., Galle, B., and Platt, U.: Detection of bromine monoxide in a volcanic plume, *Nature*, 423, 273–276, doi:10.1038/nature01625, 2003.
- Bobrowski, N., von Glasow, R., Aiuppa, A., Inguaggiato, S., Louban, I., Ibrahim, O. W., and Platt, U.: Reactive halogen chemistry in volcanic plumes, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 112, doi:10.1029/2006jd007206, 2007.
- Boichu, M., Oppenheimer, C., Roberts, T. J., Tsanev, V., and Kyle, P. R.: On bromine, nitrogen oxides and ozone depletion in the tropospheric plume of Erebus volcano (Antarctica), *Atmospheric Environment*, 45, 3856–3866, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.03.027, 2011.
- Cantrell, C. A.: Technical Note: Review of methods for linear least-squares fitting of data and application to atmospheric chemistry problems, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 8, 5477–5487, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5477-2008, 2008.
- Delmelle, P., Delfosse, T., and Delvaux, B.: Sulfate, chloride and fluoride retention in Andosols exposed to volcanic acid emissions, *Environmental Pollution*, 126, 445–457, doi:10.1016/s0269-7491(03)00196-9, 2003.
- Donovan, A., Tsanev, V., Oppenheimer, C., and Edmonds, M.: Reactive halogens (BrO and OCIO) detected in the plume of Soufrière Hills Volcano during an eruption hiatus, *Geochemistry, Geophysics, Geosystems*, 15, 3346–3363, doi:10.1002/2014GC005419, 2014.
- EPA, U.: Laboratory study to explore potential interferences to air quality monitors, Research Triangle Park, N.C. : U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, Office of Air Quality Planning and Standards, 1999.
- General, S., Bobrowski, N., Pöhler, D., Weber, K., Fischer, C., and Platt, U.: Airborne I-DOAS measurements at Mt. Etna: BrO and OCIO evolution in the plume, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2014.05.012, in press, 2014.
- Gerlach, T. M.: Volcanic sources of tropospheric ozone-depleting trace gases, *Geochemistry Geophysics Geosystems*, 5, doi:10.1029/2004gc000747, 2004.
- Hobbs, P. V., Tuell, J. P., Hegg, D. A., Radke, L. F., and Eltgroth, M. W.: Particles and Gases in the Emissions from the 1980-1981 Volcanic-Eruptions of Mt St-Helens, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Oceans and Atmospheres*, 87, 1062–1086, doi:10.1029/JC087iC13p11062, 1982.

- Hörmann, C., Sihler, H., Bobrowski, N., Beirle, S., Penning de Vries, M., Platt, U., and Wagner, T.: Systematic investigation of bromine monoxide in volcanic plumes from space by using the GOME-2 instrument, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 32, doi:10.5194/acp-13-4749-2013, 2012.
- Kaschka, T.: Chemical modelling of volcanic plumes, Diplomarbeit, Universität Heidelberg, Germany, 2007.
- Kelly, P. J., Kern, C., Roberts, T. J., Lopez, T., Werner, C., and Aiuppa, A.: Rapid chemical evolution of tropospheric volcanic emissions from Redoubt Volcano, Alaska, based on observations of ozone and halogen-containing gases, *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, 259, 317–333, doi:10.1016/j.jvolgeores.2012.04.023, 2013.
- Kern, C., Sihler, H., Vogel, L., Rivera, C., Herrera, M., and Platt, U.: Halogen oxide measurements at Masaya Volcano, Nicaragua using active long path differential optical absorption spectroscopy, *Bulletin of Volcanology*, 71, 659–670, doi:10.1007/s00445-008-0252-8, 2009.
- Kärcher, B.: Aviation-Produced Aerosols and Contrails, *Surveys in Geophysics*, 20, 113–167, doi:10.1023/A:1006600107117, 1999.
- Lee, C., Kim, Y. J., Tanimoto, H., Bobrowski, N., Platt, U., Mori, T., Yamamoto, K., and Hong, C. S.: High ClO and ozone depletion observed in the plume of Sakurajima volcano, Japan, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 32, doi:10.1029/2005gl023785, 2005.
- Martin, R. S., Mather, T. A., and Pyle, D. M.: High-temperature mixtures of magmatic and atmospheric gases, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst.*, 7, Q04 006, doi:10.1029/2005GC001186, 2006.
- Martin, R. S., Roberts, T. J., Mather, T. A., and Pyle, D. M.: The implications of H<sub>2</sub>S and H<sub>2</sub> kinetic stability in high-T mixtures of magmatic and atmospheric gases for the production of oxidized trace species (e.g., BrO and NO<sub>x</sub>), *Chemical Geology*, 263, 143–150, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.12.028, 2009.
- Martin, R. S., Ilyinskaya, E., and Oppenheimer, C.: The enigma of reactive nitrogen in volcanic emissions, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 95, 93–105, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2012.07.027, 2012.
- Martin, S. T., Schlenker, J. C., Malinowski, A., Hung, H.-M., and Rudich, Y.: Crystallization of atmospheric sulfate-nitrate-ammonium particles, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 30, doi:10.1029/2003GL017930, 2003.
- McGonigle, A. J. S., Delmelle, P., Oppenheimer, C., Tsanev, V. I., Delfosse, T., Williams-Jones, G., Horton, K., and Mather, T. A.: SO<sub>2</sub> depletion in tropospheric volcanic plumes, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 31, L13 201, doi:10.1029/2004gl019990, 2004.

Neri, M., Casu, F., Acocella, V., Solaro, G., Pepe, S., Barardino, P., Sansosti, E., Caltabiano, T., Lundgren, P., and Lanari, R.: Deformation and eruptions at Mt. Etna (Italy): A lesson from 15 years of observations, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 36, L02309, doi:10.1029/2008GL036151, 2009.

Oppenheimer, C., Tsanev, V. I., Braban, C. F., Cox, R. A., Adams, J. W., Aiuppa, A., Bobrowski, N., Delmelle, P., Barclay, J., and McGonigle, A. J. S.: BrO formation in volcanic plumes, *Geochimica et Cosmochimica Acta*, 70, 2935–2941, doi:10.1016/j.gca.2006.04.001, 2006.

Oppenheimer, C., Kyle, P., Eisele, F., Crawford, J., Huey, G., Tanner, D., Kim, S., Mauldin, L., Blake, D., Beyersdorf, A., Buhr, M., and Davis, D.: Atmospheric chemistry of an Antarctic volcanic plume, *Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres*, 115, doi:10.1029/2009jd011910, 2010.

Pyle, D. M. and Mather, T. A.: Halogens in igneous processes and their fluxes to the atmosphere and oceans from volcanic activity: A review, *Chemical Geology*, 263, 110–121, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.11.013, 2009.

Roberts, T. J., Braban, C. F., Martin, R. S., Oppenheimer, C., Adams, J. W., Cox, R. A., Jones, R. L., and Griffiths, P. T.: Modelling reactive halogen formation and ozone depletion in volcanic plumes, *Chemical Geology*, 263, 151–163, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.11.012, 2009.

Roberts, T. J., Martin, R. S., and Jourdain, L.: Reactive bromine chemistry in Mt. Etna's volcanic plume: the influence of total Br, high temperature processing, aerosol loading and plume-air mixing, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*, 14, 5445–5494, doi:10.5194/acpd-14-5445-2014, 2014.

Roine, A.: HSC chemistry 6.1., Outotec, 2007.

Saiz-Lopez, A. and von Glasow, R.: Reactive halogen chemistry in the troposphere, *Chemical Society Reviews*, 41, 6448–6472, doi:10.1039/c2cs35208g, 2012.

Schumann, U., Weinzierl, B., Reitebuch, O., Schlager, H., Minikin, A., Forster, C., Baumann, R., Sailer, T., Graf, K., Mannstein, H., Voigt, C., Rahm, S., Simmet, R., Scheibe, M., Lichtenstern, M., Stock, P., Ruba, H., Schauble, D., Tafferner, A., Rautenhaus, M., Gerz, T., Ziereis, H., Krautstrunk, M., Mallaun, C., Gayet, J. F., Lieke, K., Kandler, K., Ebert, M., Weinbruch, S., Stohl, A., Gasteiger, J., Gross, S., Freudenthaler, V., Wiegner, M., Ansmann, A., Tesche, M., Olafsson, H., and Sturm, K.: Airborne observations of the Eyjafjalla volcano ash cloud over Europe during air space closure in April and May 2010, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 11, 2245–2279, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2245-2011, 2011.

- Seinfeld, J. H. and Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, John Wiley & Sons, New York, Chichester, Weinheim, 2006.
- Stutz, J. and Platt, U.: Numerical analysis and estimation of the statistical error of differential optical absorption spectroscopy measurements with least-squares methods, *Applied Optics*, 35, 6041–6053, doi:10.1364/ao.35.006041, 1996.
- 5 Symonds, R. B., Rose, W. I., Bluth, G. J. S., and Gerlach, T. M.: Volcanic gas studies - methods, results, and applications, vol. 30 of *Reviews in Mineralogy*, pp. 1–66, Mineralogical Society of America, 1994.
- Theys, N., De Smedt, I., Van Roozendael, M., Froidevaux, L., Clarisse, L., and Hendrick, F.: First satellite detection of volcanic OCIO after the eruption of Puyehue-Cordón Caulle, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 41, 667–672, doi:10.1002/2013GL058416, 2014.
- 10 van Roozendael, M. and Fayt, C.: WinDOAS 2.1 Software User Manual, BIRA-IASB, 2001.
- Vance, A., McGonigle, A. J. S., Aiuppa, A., Stith, J. L., Turnbull, K., and von Glasow, R.: Ozone depletion in tropospheric volcanic plumes, *Geophysical Research Letters*, 37, doi:10.1029/2010GL044997, 2010.
- von Glasow, R.: Atmospheric chemistry in volcanic plumes, *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107, 6594–6599, doi:10.1073/pnas.0913164107, 2010.
- 15 von Glasow, R., Lawrence, M. G., Sander, R., and Crutzen, P. J.: Modeling the chemical effects of ship exhaust in the cloud-free marine boundary layer, *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 3, 233–250, doi:10.5194/acp-3-233-2003, 2003.
- 20 von Glasow, R., Bobrowski, N., and Kern, C.: The effects of volcanic eruptions on atmospheric chemistry, *Chemical Geology*, 263, 131–142, doi:10.1016/j.chemgeo.2008.08.020, 2009.
- Wennberg, P.: Atmospheric chemistry - Bromine explosion, *Nature*, 397, 299, doi:10.1038/16805, 1999.
- Wesely, M.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regional-scale numerical models, *Atmospheric Environment (1969)*, 23, 1293–1304, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(89)90153-4, 1989.
- 25 Wittmer, J., Bobrowski, N., Liotta, M., Giuffrida, G., Calabrese, S., and U., P.: Active alkaline traps to determine acidic-gas ratios in volcanic plumes: sampling technique and analytical Methods, *Geochem. Geophys. Geosyst*, doi:10.1002/2013GC005133, 2014.

30 **Appendix A****Proof that  $\Delta O_3/SO_2$  is a measure of chemical change only.**

The following is a proof that  $\Delta A/\Delta B$  cannot be changed by physical plume mixing processes, and thus any change observed in the ratio is the result of chemical change only.

5 Starting with a generic plume dispersal equation for a change in concentration due to mixing (von Glasow et al., 2003):

$$\begin{aligned} \left. \frac{dc}{dt} \right|_{mix} &= -\frac{1}{A_p} \frac{A_p}{dt} (c - c_{bg}) \\ &= -\omega(c - c_{bg}) \end{aligned}$$

10 Where  $A_p$  is the cross-sectional area of the plume,  $\omega = \omega(t)$  is the mixing parameter,  $c$  and  $c_{bg}$  are the concentration of the species in the plume and the background air, respectively.

Discretising:

$$\left. \frac{\delta c}{\delta t} \right|_{mix} = -\omega(c - c_{bg})$$

15 Let  $c_i$  be the concentration of the species at time  $t_i$ :

$$c_2 = c_1 + \frac{\delta c}{\delta t} \delta t = c_1 - \omega(c_1 - c_{bg}) \delta t$$

We define:

20  $\Delta c_i = c_i - c_{bg}$

Therefore:

$$\begin{aligned}\Delta c_2 &= c_1 - \omega(c_1 - c_{bg})\delta t - c_{bg} \\ &= \Delta c_1 - \Delta c_1 \omega \delta t = \Delta c_1(1 - \omega \delta t)\end{aligned}$$

Taking the ratio of gas A and gas B

$$5 \quad \frac{\Delta c_2^A}{\Delta c_2^B} = \frac{\Delta c_1^A(1 - \omega \delta t)}{\Delta c_1^B(1 - \omega \delta t)} = \frac{\Delta c_1^A}{\Delta c_1^B}$$

In the volcanic case as in this study, A = O<sub>3</sub> and B = SO<sub>2</sub>. The concentration of SO<sub>2</sub> outside of the plume is negligible in comparison to in-plume measurements, SO<sub>2bg</sub> ≈ 0 thus ΔSO<sub>2</sub> = SO<sub>2</sub> and ΔO<sub>3</sub>/ΔSO<sub>2</sub> = ΔO<sub>3</sub>/SO<sub>2</sub>. Therefore:

$$10 \quad \frac{\Delta O_{3,1}}{SO_{2,1}} = \frac{\Delta O_{3,2}}{SO_{2,2}}$$

Therefore, if no chemical change in O<sub>3</sub> occurs between time 1 and time 2, the ratio remains unchanged.



[t]

**Table 1.** Table of reported depletions of O<sub>3</sub> in tropospheric volcanic plumes.

Volcano	Measurement platform	Reference
Augustine	airborne	Vance et al. (2010)
Erebus	airborne	Oppenheimer et al. (2010) Boichu et al. (2011)
Etna	ground-based	Vance et al. (2010)
Eyjafjallajökull	airborne	Vance et al. (2010) Schumann et al. (2011)
St. Helens	airborne	Hobbs et al. (1982)
Redoubt	airborne	Kelly et al. (2013)
Sakurajima	ground-based	Lee et al. (2005)

[t]

**Table 2.** Instruments used at the near crater sites.

Name	Parameter	Precision	Sampling interval
Kestel 4500	Temperature	$\pm 1^\circ\text{C}$	30s
	Humidity	$\pm 3\%$ RH	
	Wind speed	$\pm 3\%$ or $\pm 1$ m/s (whichever larger)	
MULTIGAS	SO <sub>2</sub>	$\pm 20\%$	2s
2B Technologies Model 202	O <sub>3</sub>	$\pm 3$ nmol/mol	10s

[t]

**Table 3.** Overview of results from Etna summit measurement campaign.

Site	Upwind/ Downwind	Plume Source	Distance to source / m	Date	Time (UTC)	Average Values				
						Temp. / °C	Humidity / %	Wind speed / m.s <sup>-1</sup>	[SO <sub>2</sub> ] / μmol/mol	[O <sub>3</sub> ] / nmol/mol
up-24	Upwind	–	–	2012-07-24	09:10–09:35	3	49	not-measured-3	n.m.	86
d1-24	Downwind	Central	300	2012-07-24	10:05–11:30	7	64	not-measured-7	n.m.	69
up-25	Upwind	–	–	2012-07-25	11:05–11:30	7	63	not-measured-7	n.m.	83
d1-25	Downwind	Central	440	2012-07-25	09:52–10:30	11	60	not-measured-11	n.m.	58
up-27	Upwind	–	–	2012-07-27	08:41–09:02	9	59	9	0	77
d1-27	Downwind	NEC	150	2012-07-27	09:26–10:16	11	49	11	34	46
d2-27	Downwind	NEC	380	2012-07-27	10:34–11:06	13	42	13	11	50
d3-27	Downwind	NEC	240	2012-07-27	11:20–11:50	13	34	13	17	41
up-30	Upwind	–	–	2012-07-30	09:12–09:34	12	<5	12	0	73
d1-30	Downwind	Central	240	2012-07-30	10:05–10:43	16	6	16	26	40
d2-30	Downwind	Central	300	2012-07-30	11:07–11:41	15	5	15	9	62


n.m.: SO<sub>2</sub> was not measured on these days.

**Table 4.** Fractional composition (by volume) of pure volcanic volatiles of Mt. Etna in June 2012 (“low Br/S”) and in 2005 (“high Br/S”). These compositions were used to initialise the HSC calculations (for results see Table S1). “High Br/S” refers to the plume composition used in von Glasow (2010).


Species	low Br/S	high Br/S
H <sub>2</sub> O	$8.75 \times 10^{-1}$	$8.57 \times 10^{-1}$
CO <sub>2</sub>	$9.76 \times 10^{-2}$	$9.56 \times 10^{-2}$
SO <sub>2</sub>	$1.63 \times 10^{-2}$	$2.86 \times 10^{-2}$
H <sub>2</sub> S	$8.14 \times 10^{-4}$	$1.43 \times 10^{-3}$
H <sub>2</sub>	$2.11 \times 10^{-4}$	$3.72 \times 10^{-4}$
HF	$2.96 \times 10^{-3}$	$2.20 \times 10^{-3}$
HCl	$6.87 \times 10^{-3}$	$1.43 \times 10^{-2}$
HBr	$9.99 \times 10^{-6}$	$7.04 \times 10^{-5}$

**Table 5.** Spectroscopic measurements of the Mount Etna plume. These data are also plotted on Figure 11.  $R^2$  refers to the correlation of BrO and  $\text{SO}_2$  within the measurements.


Date	BrO/ $\text{SO}_2$	$R^2$	<u>Distance (km)</u>	<u>Wind speed (m/s)</u>	Plume age (min)
2012-07-24	$0.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0.94	<u>11</u>	<u>8.7</u>	21
2012-07-24	$0.7 \times 10^{-4}$	0.80	<u>6.3</u>	<u>8.7</u>	12
2012-07-25	$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	0.66	<u>10.4</u>	<u>6.2</u>	28
2012-07-25	$1.3 \times 10^{-4}$	0.52	<u>6.8</u>	<u>6.2</u>	18
2012-07-26	$1.1 \times 10^{-4}$	0.64	<u>17</u>	<u>9.3</u>	30
2012-07-26	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.90	<u>8.8</u>	<u>9.3</u>	16
2012-07-27	$1.2 \times 10^{-4}$	0.48	<u>16</u>	<u>22</u>	12
2012-07-28	$0.8 \times 10^{-4}$	0.47	<u>12</u>	<u>13</u>	15
2012-07-28	$0.9 \times 10^{-4}$	0.50	<u>9.0</u>	<u>13</u>	11
2012-07-31	$0.6 \times 10^{-4}$	0.72	<u>5.9</u>	<u>5.7</u>	17
2012-08-02	$1.0 \times 10^{-4}$	0.48	<del>8</del> <u>6.0</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>8.1</u>



**Fig. 1.** Map of the sampling sites and summit craters. Terrain from Neri et al. (2009). Coordinates are UTM Easting/Northing metres displacement from NE Crater.




**Fig. 2.** An example time series of measurements: O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios measured in the plume at site d1-27. Data have been averaged into 120 s bins as described in the text.




**Fig. 3.** SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios against calculated processing time at the in-plume measurement sites. Error bars are one standard deviation, accounting for both variance in the values over the 120 seconds of each bin and known errors of the instruments.







**Fig. 4.**  $\Delta O_3$  against calculated processing time at the in-plume measurement sites. Error bars are one standard deviation, accounting for both variance in the values over the 120 seconds of each bin and known errors of the instruments.




**Fig. 5.**  $\Delta\text{O}_3/\text{SO}_2$  against calculated processing time at the in-plume measurement sites. The gradient of the linear line of best fit is  $-(1.02 \pm 0.07) \times 10^{-5} \text{ s}^{-1}$  and the y-intercept is  $(-6.2 \pm 0.5) \times 10^{-4}$ . Error bars are one standard deviation, accounting for both variance in the values over the 120 seconds of each bin and known errors of the instruments.




**Fig. 6.** Modelled SO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratio in the plume core (“low Br/S” initialisation).




**Fig. 7.** Evolution of  $\Delta O_3$  in the core of the plume for the six model scenarios discussed in the text (“low Br/S” initialisation).




**Fig. 8.** Evolution of  $\Delta \text{O}_3 / \Delta \text{SO}_2$  in the core of the plume for the six model scenarios discussed in the text (“low Br/S” initialisation). The colour code is the same as in Figure 7.



**Fig. 9.** Speciation of bromine for the six model scenarios discussed in the text (“low Br/S” initialisation). Red - Br; Light Green - BrO; Dark Green - HOBr; Blue -  $\text{Br}_2$ ; Yellow -  $\text{BrNO}_2$ ; Grey - HBr.




**Fig. 10.** Evolution of vertical column SO<sub>2</sub> and BrO for the six model scenarios discussed in the text (“low Br/S” initialisation). SO<sub>2</sub> is plotted against the left axis scale in black (variation between the scenarios is minimal). The BrO columns are plotted against the right axis scale using the same colour code as in Figure 7. The black diamonds represent the spectroscopic measurements of SO<sub>2</sub>, the magenta squares represent the spectroscopic measurements of BrO. The larger markers represent the 2012-07-27 measurement contemporaneous with near-crater measurements of both O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>.



**Fig. 11.** Evolution of vertical column BrO/SO<sub>2</sub> ratios for the six model scenarios discussed in the text (“low Br/S” initialisation). The colour code is the same as in Figure 7. The black diamonds represent the spectroscopic measurements listed in Table 5, the red diamond represents the 2012-07-27 measurement contemporaneous with near-crater measurements of both O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>.





**Fig. 12.** Evolution of  $\Delta O_3$  in the core of the plume for the six model scenarios discussed in the text using the “high Br/S” initialisation. The colour code is the same as in Figure 7.