Long-term Particulate Matter Modeling for Health Effects Studies in California – Part I: Model Performance on Temporal and Spatial Variations

*Jianlin Hu¹, Hongliang Zhang¹, Qi Ying², Shu-Hua Chen³, Francois Vandenberghe⁴, and Michael J. Kleeman¹ **

1 Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California, Davis. One Shields Avenue, Davis CA.²Zachry Department of Civil Engineering, Texas A&M University, *College Station TX.³ Department of Land, Air, and Water Resources, University of California, Davis. One Shields Avenue, Davis, CA.4 Research Applications Laboratory, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO.*

*Corresponding author. Tel.: +1 530 752 8386; fax; +1 530 752 7872. E-mail address: mjkleeman@ucdavis.edu (M.J. Kleeman).

Abstract

 For the first time, a ~decadal (9 years from 2000 to 2008) air quality model simulation with 4 km horizontal resolution over populated regions and daily time resolution has been conducted for California to provide air quality data for health effects studies. Model predictions are compared to measurements to evaluate the accuracy of the simulation with an emphasis on spatial and temporal variations that could be used in epidemiology studies. Better model performance is found at longer averaging times, suggesting that model results with averaging 9 times \geq 1 month should be the first to be considered in epidemiological studies. The UCD/CIT 10 model predicts spatial and temporal variations in the concentrations of O_3 , $PM_{2.5}$, elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC), nitrate, and ammonium that meet standard modeling performance criteria when compared to monthly-averaged measurements. Predicted sulfate concentrations do not meet target performance metrics due to missing sulfur sources in the 14 emissions. Predicted seasonal and annual variations of PM_{2.5}, EC, OC, nitrate, and ammonium have mean fractional biases that meet the model performance criteria in 95%, 100%, 71%, 73%, and 92% of the simulated months, respectively. The base dataset provides an improvement for predicted population exposure to PM concentrations in California compared to exposures estimated by central site monitors operated one day out of every 3 days at a few urban locations. Uncertainties in the model predictions arise from several issues. Incomplete understanding of secondary organic aerosol formation mechanisms leads to OC bias in the model results in summertime but does not affect OC predictions in winter when concentrations are

- typically highest. The CO and NO (species dominated by mobile emissions) results reveal
- temporal and spatial uncertainties associated with the mobile emissions generated by the
- EMFAC 2007 model. The WRF model tends to over-predict wind speed during stagnation

 events, leading to under-predictions of high PM concentrations, usually in winter months. The WRF model also generally under-predicts relative humidity, resulting in less particulate nitrate formation, especially during winter months. These limitations must be recognized when using data in health studies. All model results included in the current manuscript can be downloaded free of charge at http://faculty.engineering.ucdavis.edu/kleeman/.

Key Words: particulate matter, chemical transport models, temporal variation, spatial variation

1. Introduction

 Numerous scientific studies have demonstrated associations between exposure to ambient airborne particulate matter (PM) and a variety of health effects, such as cardiovascular diseases [\(Dockery, 2001;](#page-28-0) [Ford et al., 1998;](#page-28-1) [Franchini and Mannucci, 2009;](#page-28-2) [Langrish et al., 2012;](#page-30-0) [Le](#page-31-0) [Tertre et al., 2002\)](#page-31-0), respiratory diseases [\(Gordian et al., 1996;](#page-29-0) [Hacon et al., 2007;](#page-29-1) [Hughes and](#page-30-1) [Tolsma, 2002;](#page-30-1) [Willers et al., 2013\)](#page-33-0), low birth weight and birth defects [\(Barnett et al., 2011;](#page-26-0) [Bell](#page-27-0) [et al., 2010;](#page-27-0) [Brauer et al., 2008;](#page-27-1) [Laurent et al., 2014;](#page-31-1) [Laurent et al., 2013;](#page-31-2) [Stieb et al., 2012\)](#page-32-0), lung cancer [\(Beelen et al., 2008;](#page-26-1) [Beeson et al., 1998;](#page-26-2) [Pope et al., 2002;](#page-32-1) [Vineis et al., 2006\)](#page-33-1), mortality and life expectancy [\(Chen et al., 2013;](#page-27-2) [Correia et al., 2013;](#page-28-3) [Dockery et al., 1993;](#page-28-4) [Franklin et al.,](#page-28-5) [2007;](#page-28-5) [Goldgewicht, 2007;](#page-29-2) [Kan and Gu, 2011;](#page-30-2) [Laden et al., 2000;](#page-30-3) [Ostro et al., 2006;](#page-31-3) [Pope et al.,](#page-32-2) [2009\)](#page-32-2). Recently a few studies have investigated the associations between particle composition and health effects [\(Bell et al., 2010;](#page-27-0) [Bell et al., 2007;](#page-27-3) [Burnett et al., 2000;](#page-27-4) [Cao et al., 2012;](#page-27-5) [Franklin et al., 2008;](#page-28-6) [Ito et al., 2011;](#page-30-4) [Krall et al., 2013;](#page-30-5) [Levy et al., 2012;](#page-31-4) [Mar et al., 2000;](#page-31-5) [Ostro](#page-31-6) [et al., 2007;](#page-31-6) [Ostro et al., 2010;](#page-31-7) [Son et al., 2012\)](#page-32-3). However, there remains large uncertainty about which PM components are most responsible for the observed health effects, possibly due to the fact that central site monitoring measurements used in the PM composition studies have limited

 temporal, spatial, and chemical resolution, which could potentially lead to misclassification of exposure estimates and mask some detailed correlations. Central site PM measurements typically have a collection schedule of 1 sample every 3 or 6 days at a few sites used to represent an entire population region. Important particle size distribution and chemical composition information is not always routinely measured. Additional information relating PM composition to health effects would provide a solid foundation to design effective PM control strategies to protect public health at a reduced economic and social cost.

 Chemical transport models (CTMs) have recently been used as one of the alternative approaches to address the limitations of central site monitors [\(Anenberg et al., 2010;](#page-26-3) [Bravo et al.,](#page-27-6) [2012;](#page-27-6) [Sarnat et al., 2011;](#page-32-4) [Tainio et al., 2012\)](#page-33-2). The latest generation of CTMs represents a "state- of-the-science" understanding of emissions, transport and atmospheric chemistry. CTM predictions provide more detailed composition information and full spatial coverage of air pollution impacts with a typical temporal resolution of 1 hour. CTMs have great potential to fill the time and space gaps in the central site monitoring dataset for PM measurements leading to improved exposure assessment in epidemiological studies.

 The CTM applications in epidemiology studies to date have generally used relatively coarse spatial resolutions in order to reduce computational burden. Global CTMs have used horizontal resolutions of over 100 km and regional CTMs have used resolution of 12-36 km. These resolutions cannot capture fine spatial gradients of PM concentrations, especially in areas with diverse topography and demography. Previous CTMs predictions used in epidemiology studies have also been limited to time periods less than one year. Recently Zhang et al. [\(Zhang et](#page-34-0) [al., 2014a\)](#page-34-0) evaluated the performance of the Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) model over a 7-year period in the Eastern United States (U.S.), but no other long-term CTMs studies for health effects analyses have been published to date. As a further limitation, previous epidemiology studies based on CTM predictions have mostly used predicted particles with 75 aerodynamic diameter less than 2.5μ m (PM_{2.5}) mass concentrations without taking full advantage of the ability of CTMs to simultaneously estimate population exposure to multiple particle size fractions, chemical components, and source contributions. The variation in CTM prediction bias as a function of space and time due to uncertainties in model inputs (emissions, meteorological fields, mechanism parameters) is often not sufficiently characterized to understand potential impacts on health effects estimates. Detailed analyses are needed to assess the temporal and spatial features of CTM predictions to identify accurate and/or unbiased information for exposure assessment before such information can be applied in health effect studies [\(Beevers et](#page-26-4) [al., 2013\)](#page-26-4).

 The objective of the current study is to develop and apply advanced source-oriented CTMs to predict the concentrations and sources for enhanced PM exposure assessment in epidemiological studies over a long-term period with high spatial resolution in California. California is chosen as the focus area for the current study because it has extensive infrastructure to support CTM studies, and it has one of the largest populations in the U.S. that is experiencing unhealthy levels of PM pollution. In 2013, 104 U.S. counties with a population of 65 million people are in non-attainment with the National Ambient Air Quality Standards (NAAQS) for 92 PM_{2.5} [\(EPA, 2013\)](#page-28-7). Approximately half of that population (31 million people) lives in 29 California counties meaning that California suffers a disproportionately large share of U.S. PM-

 related mortality [\(Fann et al., 2012\)](#page-28-8). The California Air Resources Board (CARB) estimates that 14000 – 24000 California residents die prematurely each year due to particulate air pollution [\(Tran, 2008\)](#page-33-3). The severity of this problem has motivated extensive investments to support air pollution studies. California has the densest ambient PM measurement network, accurate emissions inventories, and the most health effects study groups of any state in the United States. Rich datasets are available to support model application and evaluation.

 The current study is the first attempt to address the sparse PM data problem in exposure assessment using CTM results over a ~decadal time period (9 years from 2000 to 2008) over a domain spanning ~1000 km at a spatial resolution of 4 km. Companion studies have modeled 104 primary $PM_{2.5}$ and $PM_{0.1}$ (particles with aerodynamic diameter less than 0.1 μ m) concentrations and sources in California [\(Hu et al., 2014a;](#page-30-6) [Hu et al., 2014b\)](#page-30-7). The current paper, as the third in 106 the series, focuses on model evaluation of total (=primary+secondary) $PM_{2.5}$ and major components elemental carbon (EC), organic carbon (OC), nitrate, sulfate, ammonium), emphasizing the aspects of temporal and spatial variations, to identify the features of the CTM results that could add skill to the exposure assessment for epidemiological studies. A future study will investigate the model capability for PM source apportionment of primary and secondary organic aerosols, which is currently an area with great uncertainty.

2. Methods

2.1 Air Quality Model Description

 saturation concentrations, and stoichiometric yields) are provided in Carlton et al. and references 140 therein [\(Carlton et al., 2010\)](#page-27-10).

 Model simulations were configured using a one-way nesting technique with a parent domain of 24 km horizontal resolution that covered the entire state of California (referred to as CA_24km) and two nested domains with 4 km horizontal resolution that covered the Southern California Air Basin (SoCAB) (referred to as SoCAB_4km) and San Francisco Bay Area + San Joaquin Valley (SJV) + South Sacramento Valley air basins (referred to as SJV_4km) (shown in Figure 1). The nested 4 km resolution domains are configured to cover the major ocean, coast, urban, and rural regions that influence California's air quality and, most importantly, to cover most of the California's population for the purpose of health effects analyses. Over 92% of California's population lives in the 4 km domains based on the most recent census information. The UCD/CIT model was configured with 16 vertical layers up to a height of 5 km above ground level in all the mother and nested domains, with 10 layers in the first 1 km. Note that the use of relatively shallow vertical domains is only appropriate in regions with well-defined air basins and would not be appropriate for locations in the eastern U.S. or other regions with moderate topography. Particulate composition, number and mass concentrations are represented in 15 size bins, ranging from 0.01 to 10 μm in diameter. Primary particles are assumed to be internally mixed, i.e., all particles within a size bin have the same composition. Previous studies [\(Ying et](#page-34-2) [al., 2007\)](#page-34-2) have shown that this assumptions provides adequate predictions for total PM concentrations relative to source-oriented mixing treatments in California when feedbacks to meteorology are not considered [\(Zhang et al., 2014b\)](#page-34-5).

2.2 Meteorology and Emissions

 Hourly meteorology inputs (wind, temperature, humidity, precipitation, radiation, air density, and mixing layer height) were generated using the Weather Research and Forecasting model (WRF) v3.1.1 [\(Wei Wang, January 2010;](#page-33-4) [William C. Skamarock, June 2008\)](#page-34-6). Two-way nesting was used with the outer domain at 12 km resolution and the inner nested domain at 4 km resolution. North American Regional Reanalysis (NARR) data with 32 km resolution and 3-hour time resolution was used as initial and boundary conditions of the coarse 12 km domain. The WRF model was configured with 31 vertical layers up to 100 hPa (around 16 km). Four- dimensional data assimilation (FDDA) was used. The YSU boundary layer scheme, thermal diffusion land-surface scheme, and Monin-Obukhov surface layer scheme were used based on results from a previous study in California [\(Mahmud, 2010;](#page-31-8) [Zhao et al., 2011\)](#page-34-7). The surface wind was over-predicted with the original version of WRF, especially for wind speed less than 3 m/s, consistent with other studies in California [\(Angevine et al., 2012;](#page-26-5) [Fast et al., 2014;](#page-28-9) [Michelson et](#page-31-10) [al., 2010a\)](#page-31-10). Over-prediction of the slow winds caused under-prediction of concentrations during high pollution events. A recent study (Mass, C.F, personal communication) found that increasing the surface friction velocity (u*) by 50% reduced the bias in surface wind predictions in a complex-terrain domain. This technique was tested and adopted in previous studies [\(Hu et](#page-29-7) [al., 2012;](#page-29-7) [Hu et al., 2014a;](#page-30-6) [Mass and Ovens, 2010;](#page-31-11) [Wang et al., 2015\)](#page-33-5) where it improved the accuracy of air quality predictions. In the current study, a 1-year sensitivity simulation for California in the year of 2000 revealed that increasing u* by 50% improved the mean wind bias from 1.15 m/s to −0.50 m/s, and lowered the root-mean-square error from 2.95 to 2.20 m/s [\(Hu et](#page-30-6) [al., 2014a\)](#page-30-6). It should be noted that this approach reduces positive bias for wind speeds less than

 $185 \sim 3$ m/s, but increases negative bias at higher speeds. Analysis of the wind speed measurements 186 in California air basins shows that 78% of winds are less than 3 m/s . Therefore, increasing u^* by 187 50% in our study improves the wind predictions for a majority of cases during the modeling 188 period. Similar detailed evaluations should be conducted before applying the increased u^{*} 189 approach to other regions and periods. Hourly average meteorology outputs at the air quality model vertical layer heights were created. The meteorology predictions were evaluated against meteorological observations [\(CARB, 2011a\)](#page-27-11). The meteorological statistical evaluation over the period 2000-2006 has been presented in a previous study [\(Hu et al., 2014a\)](#page-30-6), and the results in the period 2007-2008 are consistent with those years. In summary, meteorology predictions of temperature and wind speed generally meet benchmarks suggested by Emery et al. [\(2001\)](#page-28-10). Mean fractional biases (MFBs) of temperature and wind are generally within ±0.15, root mean square 196 errors (RMSEs) of temperature are around $4\degree C$, and RMSEs of wind are generally lower than 2.0 m/s, especially in the SoCAB and SJV air basins which are the focus of the current study. Relative humidity is under-predicted, consistent with findings in other studies in California [\(Bao,](#page-26-6) [2008;](#page-26-6) [Michelson et al., 2010b\)](#page-31-12). Precipitation is also under-predicted with a MFB of -76.1% and 200 RMSE of 2.84 mm/hr. Wind, temperature and humidity are the major meteorological factors that influence the PM concentrations. Further discussions of the uncertainties in meteorology predictions on PM predictions are included in the Results section.

 Hourly gridded gas and particulate emissions were generated using an updated version of the emissions model described by Kleeman and Cass [\(Kleeman and Cass, 1998\)](#page-30-11). The standard emissions inventories from anthropogenic sources (i.e., point sources, stationary area sources, and mobile sources) were provided by CARB. Size and composition resolved particle emissions

 were specified using a library of primary particle source profiles measured during actual source tests [\(Cooper, 1989;](#page-28-11) [Harley et al., 1992;](#page-29-9) [Hildemann et al., 1991a;](#page-29-10) [Hildemann et al., 1991b;](#page-29-11) [Houck, 1989;](#page-29-12) [Kleeman et al., 2008;](#page-30-12) [Kleeman et al., 1999,](#page-30-13) [2000;](#page-30-14) [Robert et al., 2007a;](#page-32-6) [Robert et](#page-32-7) [al., 2007b;](#page-32-7) [Schauer et al., 1999a,](#page-32-8) [b,](#page-32-9) [2001,](#page-32-10) [2002a,](#page-32-11) [b;](#page-32-12) [Taback et al., 1979\)](#page-32-13). A few studies have revealed some uncertainties associated with the standard emissions inventories. Millstein and Harley [\(Millstein and Harley, 2009\)](#page-31-13) found that PM and NOx emissions from diesel-powered construction equipment were over-estimated by a factor of 3.1 and 4.5, respectively. Countess [\(Countess, 2003\)](#page-28-12) suggested that a scaling factor of 0.33 – 0.74 should be applied to the fugitive dust emissions in the California's San Joaquin Valley. Therefore, scaling factors of 0.32 for off- road diesel sources and 0.50 for dust emissions were applied in the current study. The EMFAC 2007 model [\(CARB, 2008\)](#page-27-12) was used to scale the mobile emissions using predicted temperature and relative humidity fields through the entire nine-year modeling episode. Biogenic emissions were generated using the Biogenic Emissions Inventory System v3.14 (BEIS3.14), which includes a 1-km resolution land cover database with 230 different vegetation types [\(Vukovich](#page-33-6) [and Pierce, 2002\)](#page-33-6). Sea-salt emissions were generated on-line based on the formulation described by de Leeuw et al. [\(de Leeuw et al., 2000\)](#page-28-13) for the surf zone and the formulation described by 224 Gong [\(Gong, 2003\)](#page-29-13) for the open ocean. Emissions from wildfires and open burning at $1 \text{ km} \times 1$ km resolution were obtained from the Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN) [\(Hodzic et al., 2007;](#page-29-14) [Wiedinmyer et al., 2011\)](#page-33-7). The FINN inventory provides SAPRC99 speciated daily emissions of 227 gaseous and particulate emissions (EC, organic matter (OM), $PM_{2.5}$ and PM_{10}) based on satellite observations of open burning events. Each open burning event is allocated to model grid cells of each domain based on the reported longitude/latitude of the event and the area burned. The emissions were injected at the height of the atmospheric mixing layer (PBL). The temporal

(WRAP) report [\(WRAP, 2005\)](#page-34-8). A size distribution profile was calculated based on assumptions

described in Hodzic et al. [\(Hodzic et al., 2007\)](#page-29-14).

2.3 Ambient Air Quality Measurements

 The evaluation dataset was compiled from several measurement networks, including CARB's "2011 Air Quality Data DVD" [\(CARB, 2011b\)](#page-27-13) and the database maintained by the Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments (IMPROVE). The data DVD includes 240 daily average mass concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, EC, OC, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium, and trace 241 metals every 3 or 6 days at the sites of the PM_{2.5} Speciation Trends Network (STN) and the State 242 and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS). There are a total 13 $PM_{2.5}$ speciation sites included in the DVD covered in the 4 km domains during the modeling periods. The precision of STN measurements is estimated to be 3.5%, 8.6%, and 3.9% for sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium, respectively [\(Sickles Ii and Shadwick, 2002\)](#page-32-14). Measured EC concentrations at 5 sites are found 246 to be exactly 0.5 μ g/m3 on > 80% of the measurement days, suggesting corrupt or missing data at these locations. Therefore these 5 sites were excluded in the evaluation for EC, but still included in the evaluation for other PM components. The OC data were not blank corrected, resulting in a positive artifact by the NIOSH5040 method that is equivalent to approximately 1 μ g/m³. Measured OC concentrations were blank corrected in the current study by subtracting 1 $251 \mu g/m^3$ from all OC measurements. The IMPROVE network provides daily average mass 252 concentrations every 3 days for $PM_{2.5}$, EC, OC, nitrate, sulfate, and soil. There are a total of 9 IMPROVE sites covered in the 4 km domains. The precision of IMPROVE measurements is

 providing less dilution, and lower temperature encouraging partitioning of ammonium nitrate to the particle phase) and the emissions variations (i.e., additional wood burning emissions for home heating in winters). The opposite seasonal variations in sulfate concentrations are observed, due to higher oxidation rates from S(IV) to S(VI) and higher sulfur emissions from natural sources in summer [\(Bates et al., 1992\)](#page-26-7).

 EC predictions are in excellent agreement with measurements. MFBs in all months and MFEs in 107 months out of the total 108 months are within the model performance goal. EC MFBs and MFEs show no significant difference among months/seasons, indicating consistently good EC performance during the entire 9-year modeling period. OC, nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, the PM components that include the secondary formation pathways, meet the MFBs model performance criteria in 71%, 73%, 46%, and 92% of the simulated months, respectively. These components generally have good agreement between predictions and measurements in winter months, with only a few months not meeting the performance criteria. When analyzing by season, predicted concentrations of these species are found to be more biased in summer months, especially for sulfate and nitrate. Different factors influence the seasonal profile of each species. The more significant OC under-prediction in summertime is mainly associated with the under- prediction of SOA due to incomplete knowledge of SOA formation mechanism at the present time. Similar patterns have been reported in other modeling studies outside California [\(Matsui et](#page-31-14) [al., 2009;](#page-31-14) [Volkamer et al., 2006;](#page-33-8) [Zhang et al., 2014a;](#page-34-0) [Zhang and Ying, 2011\)](#page-34-9). Measured nitrate 297 concentrations in summertime (1-5 μ g/m³) are factors of 2-5 lower than concentrations in 298 wintertime (5-12 μ g/m³). Model predictions tend to underestimate the low particle phase nitrate concentrations in summer, especially when temperatures exceed 25 °C. Model predictions for

300 particulate nitrate are usually less than 1 μ g/m³ under these conditions, while 2-3 μ g/m³ nitrate concentrations are still observed in the ambient air. Similar under-predictions of summertime nitrate have been reported in other regional modeling studies [\(Appel et al., 2008;](#page-26-8) [Tesche et al.,](#page-33-9) [2006;](#page-33-9) [Yu et al., 2005;](#page-34-10) [Zhang et al., 2014a\)](#page-34-0). Model calculations reflect thermodynamics and kinetic gas-particle transfer for ammonium nitrate in mixed particles, suggesting that some other form of nitrate is present in the real atmosphere, such as organo-nitrates [\(Day et al., 2010\)](#page-28-15). Sulfate concentrations are consistently under-predicted throughout the modeling period at all locations, especially in southern California where the measured sulfate concentrations are highest. Under-prediction of sulfate has also been reported by other regional modeling studies in California [\(Chen et al., 2014;](#page-27-15) [Fast et al., 2014\)](#page-28-9), using different air quality models (e.g., CMAQ, WRF-Chem). This consistent behavior suggests that the specific model is not the cause of the sulfate under-prediction. A global model study that included ocean DMS emissions showed a better sulfate performance in California [\(Walker et al., 2012\)](#page-33-10). Therefore, missing emissions sources such as the sulfur emitted as dimethyl sulfide (DMS) from the Pacific Ocean likely contribute to the sulfate under-predictions in the current study. The sulfate concentrations at the sites in southern California are ~2 to 3 times higher than in northern California, and are under- predicted by an even larger amount (with MFBs around -1.0). It is therefore likely that anthropogenic sulfur sources are missing in southern California in addition to background DMS 318 sources. In the remote areas where the sulfate concentrations are low, the omission of nucleation 319 processes in the current study could reduce seed aerosol surface area onto which sulfuric acid 320 can condense. This factor could contribute to the under-prediction of sulfate mass in these 321 regions along with the missing sulfur sources. Ammonium is drawn to acidic particles and so

 ammonium concentration predictions reflect the combined trends of nitrate and sulfate predictions.

 The model predictions of total PM_{2.5} mass, as a summation of all components, show very good agreement with measurements, with only 3 summer months and 2 spring months (5% of all simulated months) not meeting the performance criteria, and 78% and 75% of months within the 328 performance goals for MFB and MFE, respectively. The largest biases in the total $PM_{2.5}$ mass occur in summer. Under-prediction in summer sulfate and OC contribute to negative biases in 330 the total PM_{2.5} mass predictions. Sulfate and OC concentrations in summer accounted for \sim 18% 331 and ~37% of the total $PM_{2.5}$ mass. Sulfate and OC under-prediction contributed to a combined $332 \sim 37\%$ under-prediction of total PM_{2.5} mass. However, positive biases in predicted dust concentrations rich in crustal elements such as aluminum and silica [\(Hu et al., 2014a\)](#page-30-6) compensate for the under-predictions in carbonaceous components and water-soluble ions described above.

337 Figure 4 shows the MFB and MFE values of particulate species of $PM_{2.5}$ total mass, EC, 338 OC, nitrate, sulfate, ammonium and gaseous species of O₃, CO, NO, NO₂, SO₂ using daily 339 averages across all measurement sites during the entire modeled 9-year period. $PM_{2.5}$ total mass, 340 EC, OC, ammonium and gaseous species of O_3 , CO, NO₂ have MFBs within ± 0.3 and MFE less than 0.75, indicating general agreement between predictions and measurement for these species. Nitrate and NO have MFBs of -0.4 and -0.28, respectively, but MFEs of 0.8 and 1.07, respectively. The relatively moderate or small bias combined with relatively large error indicates 344 that the daily predictions miss the extremely high and low concentrations. Sulfate and SO_2 have

 high MFBs of -0.7 and -0.5, respectively, and high MFEs of 0.8 and 0.9, respectively, indicating that these species are consistently under-predicted.

 Concentrations averaged over longer times, such as 1 month or 1 year, are used in some air pollution-health effects studies. A previous examination of primary particles in California revealed that air quality model predictions are more accurate over longer averaging time because the influence of extreme events and short-term variability is reduced as the averaging period gets longer [\(Hu et al., 2014a\)](#page-30-6). Figure 4 compares the MFB and MFE values for total (=primary+secondary) particulate matter and gaseous species using daily, monthly, and annual averages across all sites in the 4 km domains. The results demonstrate that longer averaging times produce better agreement between model predictions and measurements (except for sulfate, which is under-predicted due to missing emissions) because they remove the effects of random measurement errors at monitoring stations and variations in actual emissions rates that are not reflected in seasonally-averaged emissions inventories. The reduced errors associated with longer averaging times indicate that model results may be most useful in epidemiological 360 studies that can take advantage of averaging times ≥ 1 month.

3.2 Spatial and temporal variations

 Figure 5 panel (a) shows the predicted and measured monthly average concentrations of 1-h peak O_3 at 5 major urban sites (Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Riverside). Strong seasonal variations are observed in measured and predicted 1-h peak O3. The 366 measured 1-h peak O_3 shows seasonal variation from 100 ppb in summertime to 20 ppb in wintertime. The predicted high 1-h peak O_3 concentrations in non-winter months are in good

 Figure 5 panels (b) and (c) show the predicted and measured monthly average CO and NO concentrations. Strong seasonal variations in CO and NO can be observed, with wintertime concentrations that are a factor of 3-5 higher than summertime concentrations. Model predictions generally reproduce the seasonal variations except at the Riverside site where predicted seasonal variations are weaker than measurements. The model performance varies by simulation year and location. At the Sacramento and Fresno sties, predicted CO is in good agreement with measured concentrations in all months of 2002 through 2006, but CO is under-predicted in winter months of 2000-2001 and slightly over-predicted in most months of 2007-2008. At the Bakersfield site, CO is under-predicted in 2000-2003 and in good agreement with measurements in 2004-2005

 (after which further measurements are not available). At the Los Angeles site, CO is in good agreement in 2000-2003, and over-predicted in the later years. At the Riverside site, CO is under-predicted in all months of 2000-2003, under-predicted in non-summer months in 2004- 2006, and in general agreement with measurements in 2007-2008. NO predictions generally agree well with measured NO concentrations in 2000-2004 at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield and Los Angeles, and then are over-predicted in the later years. NO at Riverside is under- predicted in the winter months of 2000-2003, and over-predicted in the summer months of 2004- 2008. Mobile emissions are the dominant sources of CO and NO in California, contributing > 80% of total anthropogenic emissions [\(CARB, 2012\)](#page-27-16). The results of the current modeling study suggest that uncertainties in the mobile emissions exist both in time and space.

 A clear and similar decreasing trend is apparent in measured CO and NO concentrations from 2000-2008. This inter-annual trend is not well captured by the model predictions due to the uncertainties in the emissions. An adjusted NO prediction (NO_adj) can be calculated using CO as a tracer for the mobile emissions and dilution according to the equation:

NO_adj = NO_noadj * CO_predicted / CO_measured

 where NO_noadj is the NO predictions before the adjustment (i.e., the concentrations showing in 408 Figure 5(c)). NO adj has higher correlation coefficient (R^2) with measured NO concentrations than the NO_noadj prediction at all the five monitoring sites (as shown in Figure 7) and NO_adj has a regression slope closer to 1.0 than NO_noadj at 3 out of 5 sites. This suggests that either emissions or physical dilution processes in the model contribute to the errors observed in Figure 5 (in addition to the possibility of errors in model chemistry). Unfortunately, the large variation in the correction factor among different locations suggests that these scaling factors cannot be

 simply interpolated/extrapolated from the indicated five monitoring sites to the full modeling domain.

 Figure 5 panels (d) and Figure 6 (a) show the predicted and measured monthly average 418 ammonium and nitrate concentrations. Ammonium nitrate is a major $PM_{2.5}$ component in California, especially in wintertime when the low temperature and high relative humidity favor partitioning to the condensed phase. The monthly average ammonium and nitrate results demonstrate similar model performance. The predicted concentrations agree reasonably well with measured ambient concentrations and seasonal variations. Model predictions are lower than measured values in the early years, especially during winter months when concentrations are highest. This pattern is very consistent with CO model performance, suggesting mobile emissions are under-estimated for the early years of the simulation period. Nitrate is formed through NO oxidation to nitric acid but NO concentrations are not under-predicted, suggesting that the chemical conversion of NO to nitric acid is too slow. Carter and Heo [\(Carter and Heo,](#page-27-17) [2012b\)](#page-27-17) suggested that SAPRC11 mechanism systematically under-predicts OH radical 429 concentrations by \sim 30%, which would be consistent with the observed trends.

 Gas-particle partitioning of ammonium nitrate depends on temperature and relative humidity. While there is no systematic bias in WRF temperature, relative humidity is generally under-predicted by up to 40% over California. A one-year sensitivity analysis was conducted with RH increased uniformly by +30% (but not to exceed 95%, and all other meteorological parameters were kept the same) in 2008 to investigate the impact of the relative humidity bias on particulate nitrate predictions. The arbitrary increase in RH by 30% in the air quality model

 simulations yields an upper bound estimate of the nitrate sensitivity to RH. Figure 8 compares 438 the monthly average nitrate concentrations predicted with the original RH (denoted as "RH_ori" case) and the enhanced RH (denoted as "RH+0.3" case) at Sacramento and Fresno. Nitrate predictions are generally higher in the "RH+0.3" case due to more particle phase water available to absorb nitrate into the condensed phase. The nitrate predictions at Sacramento are significantly improved during most months in 2008, suggesting this area suffers from the low RH bias in the WRF predictions. Nitrate at Fresno is improved mostly in the winter and spring, but is still under-predicted during the time period with peak winter concentrations, indicating this area is influenced by other factors besides RH. Nitrate predictions at Fresno in summer and fall are lower when RH is enhanced, due to faster deposition caused by larger particle sizes with more particle phase water. The uniform RH increase of 0.3 in this region is likely unrealistically large during these months.

 Figure 6 panel (b) shows the OC predictions and measurements. Organic aerosol in California it is typically the second most abundant species, after ammonium nitrate. In the comparison, an OM/OC ratio of 1.6 [\(Turpin and Lim, 2010\)](#page-33-12) is applied to convert primary organic aerosol OM back to OC for comparison to measured concentrations. The conversion ratios for SOA species are taken from Table 1 in Carlton et al. [\(Carlton et al., 2010\)](#page-27-10). Predicted OC agrees reasonably well with measured concentrations, but is lower than the wintertime high concentrations in the early years, similar to other PM components. Predicted OC in summers is also in good agreement with measurements at the indicated monitoring sites. As mentioned previously, these sites are all near major freeways and therefore OC is dominated by primary organic aerosols. Larger bias is found at sites distant from local sources where SOA becomes

 more important. More analysis about the concentrations and sources of the OC results are included in a companion paper [\(Hu, Manuscript in preparation\)](#page-30-15).

 Figure 6 panel (c) shows that predicted EC concentrations agree well with measured concentrations. High measured EC concentrations in a few winter months in the early years are under-predicted, but EC concentrations in the summer months are generally over-predicted.

467 Figure 6 panel (d) shows that monthly average predictions for $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentrations agree well with observations, and seasonal trends are generally captured with high 469 concentrations in winter, and low concentrations in summer. $PM_{2.5}$ is over-predicted in summer months when nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium are found to be under-predicted. These trends reflect the over-prediction of the primary components, mostly dust particles, in the model calculations [\(Hu et al., 2014a\)](#page-30-6). This result suggests that a uniform scaling factor of 0.5 for dust emissions may not be appropriate. A smaller factor (for example, a factor of 0.25 was used in the eastern U.S. [\(Tesche et al., 2006\)](#page-33-9)) or a spatially resolved method that accounts for the land-use types [\(Pace, 2005\)](#page-32-15) should be used for future studies in California.

477 California experiences the highest $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in wintertime, caused by stagnant meteorological conditions characterized by low wind speed and shallow atmospheric mixing 479 layer. The WRF model tends to over-predict wind speed during low wind speed events (≤ 2 m/s) in California [\(Zhao et al., 2011\)](#page-34-7). Increasing u* by 50% improves the WRF wind prediction but 481 still over-predicts wind speed during events when measured wind speed is <1.5 m/s. A zero-order approximation of air pollutant concentration [\(Mahmud, 2010\)](#page-31-8) is:

$$
C = \frac{E}{V} = \frac{E}{u \times H} \tag{1}
$$

484 where C is the pollutant concentration, E is the source pollutant emission rate, V is the air 485 ventilation rate which is equal to (wind speed \times mixing height), u and H are the horizontal wind speed and mixing height, respectively. The concentration is linearly dependent on the inverse 487 wind speed $(1/u)$. Figure 9 shows the MFBs of the predicted atmospheric inverse wind speed $(1/u)$ as a function of the observed atmospheric inverse wind speed. Also shown in Figure 9 are the MFBs of PM component concentrations as a function of the observed concentrations. The MFBs decrease when the inverse wind speed or concentrations increase, indicating low inverse wind speed/concentrations are over-predicted, but high inverse wind speed /concentrations are under-predicted. The trends of inverse wind speed and concentrations are well correlated, indicating that simple wind bias leads to bias in PM predictions, especially during the events 494 with high PM pollution. The correlation with $1/u$ MFB is stronger for primary PM component(s) than for secondary components, indicating that additional processes affect the secondary PM, such as chemistry, gas-particle partitioning, etc. Sulfate bias has the weakest correlation to inverse ventilation bias, because sulfate bias is mainly driven by the bias in sulfur emissions. 498

499 Figure 10 shows the predicted 9-year average concentrations of $PM_{2.5}$, EC, OC, nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium, compared with measured average concentrations over California. High concentrations of all PM pollutants occur in the urban areas with large population, indicating that most of the PM is generated by anthropogenic activities. The predicted spatial distributions 503 generally agree well with measurements, but provide much more detailed information. $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are over-predicted in the SJV air basin due to an over-prediction of agricultural dust. High OC concentrations were measured at two sites in northern California due to intense

3.3 Discussion

 In general, the reasonable agreement between model predictions and measurement builds confidence that the model predictions can provide a reasonable estimate of exposure fields in locations with no available measurements. The detailed analysis described in the previous section identifies several aspects that must be considered when applying the data in the health 520 effect studies. For the gaseous pollutants, daily maximum O_3 predictions are in good agreement with measurements across the entire modeling domain. Seasonal and annual variations are 522 captured accurately. Therefore daily maximum O_3 predictions can be used in health analyses with high confidence. The predictions also capture the seasonal variations in NO and CO, but do not reflect the long-term trends, especially in southern California. Predicted monthly averages of NO and CO in northern California are preferred over daily averages for use in health analyses. 526 For the PM pollutants, daily concentrations and spatial distributions of EC and total $PM_{2.5}$ mass generally agree well with observations, but monthly averages should be considered first in health studies as they are in better agreement with observations than shorter averages. Predicted OC in

 winter is also reasonably accurate, but OC in summer should be used with caution. Sulfate and nitrate are both under-predicted. Sulfate has greater bias in southern California than in northern California, while nitrate has consistent bias throughout the modeling domain. This suggests that the spatial distribution information of nitrate might still be useful for health effect studies that use contrasts in exposure as a function of location, but sulfate data are likely not useful in health effects studies at the present time.

 Predicted monthly averages for PM concentrations are more accurate than daily averages, suggesting that the PM exposure predictions will be most useful in studies that can take 538 advantage of averaging times \geq 30 days. Longer averaging times smooth out short-term PM variations that could be useful in some epidemiological studies that focus on short term changes in health effects. To get more accurate pollutant predictions at shorter timescales would require more accurate representation of emissions, meteorological conditions, and atmospheric chemistry at these time scales. Many intensive studies that manually corrected input data have focused on high temporal resolution for short periods (generally less than 1 month), such as the 544 California Regional PM₁₀/PM_{2.5} Air Quality Study (CRPAQS) [\(Ying, 2008\)](#page-34-1). It is currently 545 impractical to carry out such efforts for $a \sim 10$ year modeling period in which there are a large number of special events that are not represented by automated meteorology and emissions models. The atmospheric modeling community continues to refine tools that can capture and accurately represent these special cases. For example, the current study includes automatic detection and incorporation of wildfire emissions into the modeling system based on satellite observations. This automated feature was not generally available in previous studies. Future advances will detect transportation patterns responding to traffic accidents or holiday traffic

 jams, drought effects on biogenic emissions, etc. These future advances will improve models to have more accurate predictions in both short- (<1 month) and long- (> 1 month) averaging times.

4. Conclusions

 For the first time, a ~decadal (9 year) CTM air quality model simulation with 4 km horizontal resolution over populated regions has been conducted in California to provide air quality data for health effects studies. Model predictions are compared to measurements in order to evaluate both the spatial and temporal accuracy of the results. The performance of the source-559 oriented UCD/CIT air quality model is satisfactory for O_3 , $PM_{2.5}$, and EC (both spatially and temporally). Predicted OC, nitrate, and ammonium are less satisfactory, but generally meet standard model performance criteria. OC bias is larger in summertime than wintertime mainly due to an incomplete understanding of SOA formation mechanisms. Bias in predicted ammonium nitrate is associated with uncertainties in emissions, the WRF predicted relative humidity fields, and the chemistry mechanism. Predicted sulfate is not satisfactory due to missing sulfur sources in the emissions. The CO and NO (species dominated by mobile emissions) results reveal significant temporal and spatial uncertainties associated with the mobile emissions generated by the EMFAC 2007 model. The WRF model tends to over-predict wind speed during stagnation events, leading to under-predictions of high PM concentrations, usually in winter months. The WRF model also generally under-predicts relative humidity, resulting in less particulate nitrate formation especially during winter months. Despite the issues noted above, predicted spatial distributions of PM components are in reasonably good agreement with measurements. Predicted seasonal and annual variations also generally agree well with measurements. Better model performance with longer averaging time is found in the predictions, 574 suggesting that model results with averaging times \geq 1 month should be first considered in

- epidemiological studies. All model results included in the current manuscript can be
- downloaded free of charge at http://faculty.engineering.ucdavis.edu/kleeman/.

Acknowledgement

This study was funded by the United States Environmental Protection Agency under Grant No.

83386401. Although the research described in the article has been funded by the United States

Environmental Protection Agency it has not been subject to the Agency's required peer and

policy review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the reviews of the Agency and no official

endorsement should be inferred.

References

-
- Anenberg, S.C., Horowitz, L.W., Tong, D.Q., West, J.J., 2010. An Estimate of the Global
- Burden of Anthropogenic Ozone and Fine Particulate Matter on Premature Human Mortality
- Using Atmospheric Modeling. Environmental Health Perspectives 118, 1189-1195.
- Angevine, W.M., Eddington, L., Durkee, K., Fairall, C., Bianco, L., Brioude, J., 2012.
- Meteorological Model Evaluation for CalNex 2010. Monthly Weather Review 140, 3885-3906.
- Appel, K.W., Bhave, P.V., Gilliland, A.B., Sarwar, G., Roselle, S.J., 2008. Evaluation of the
- community multiscale air quality (CMAQ) model version 4.5: Sensitivities impacting model
- performance; Part II particulate matter. Atmospheric Environment 42, 6057-6066.
- Bao, J.W., Michelson, S. A., Persson, P. O. G., Djalalova, I. V., Wilczak, J. M., 2008. Observed
- and WRF-simulated low-level winds in a high-ozone episode during the Central California
- Ozone Study. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 47, 2372-2394.
- Barnett, A.G., Plonka, K., Seow, W.K., Wilson, L.A., Hansen, C., 2011. Increased traffic
- exposure and negative birth outcomes: a prospective cohort in Australia. Environmental health 10, 26.
- Bates, T.S., Lamb, B.K., Guenther, A., Dignon, J., Stoiber, R.E., 1992. Sulfur emissions to the atmosphere from natural sourees. J Atmos Chem 14, 315-337.
- Beelen, R., Hoek, G., van den Brandt, P.A., Goldbohm, R.A., Fischer, P., Schouten, L.J.,
- Armstrong, B., Brunekreef, B., 2008. Long-term exposure to traffic-related air pollution and lung cancer risk. Epidemiology 19, 702-710.
- Beeson, W.L., Abbey, D.E., Knutsen, S.F., 1998. Long-term concentrations of ambient air
- pollutants and incident lung cancer in California adults: Results from the AHSMOG study.
- Environmental Health Perspectives 106, 813-822.
- Beevers, S.D., Kitwiroon, N., Williams, M.L., Kelly, F.J., Ross Anderson, H., Carslaw, D.C.,
- 2013. Air pollution dispersion models for human exposure predictions in London. J Expos Sci
- Environ Epidemiol 23, 647-653.
- Bell, M.L., Belanger, K., Ebisu, K., Gent, J.F., Lee, H.J., Koutrakis, P., Leaderer, B.P., 2010.
- Prenatal exposure to fine particulate matter and birth weight: variations by particulate constituents and sources. Epidemiology 21, 884-891.
- Bell, M.L., Dominici, F., Ebisu, K., Zeger, S.L., Samet, J.M., 2007. Spatial and temporal
- variation in PM(2.5) chemical composition in the United States for health effects studies.
- Environ Health Perspect 115, 989-995.
- Boylan, J.W., Russell, A.G., 2006. PM and light extinction model performance metrics, goals,
- and criteria for three-dimensional air quality models. Atmospheric Environment 40, 4946-4959.
- Brauer, M., Lencar, C., Tamburic, L., Koehoorn, M., Demers, P., Karr, C., 2008. A cohort study
- of traffic-related air pollution impacts on birth outcomes. Environ Health Perspect 116, 680-686.
- Bravo, M.A., Fuentes, M., Zhang, Y., Burr, M.J., Bell, M.L., 2012. Comparison of exposure
- estimation methods for air pollutants: Ambient monitoring data and regional air quality simulation. Environmental research 116, 1-10.
- Burnett, R.T., Brook, J., Dann, T., Delocla, C., Philips, O., Cakmak, S., Vincent, R., Goldberg,
- M.S., Krewski, D., 2000. Association between particulate- and gas-phase components of urban
- air pollution and daily mortality in eight Canadian cities. Inhalation Toxicology 12, 15-39.
- Cao, J.J., Xu, H.M., Xu, Q., Chen, B.H., Kan, H.D., 2012. Fine Particulate Matter Constituents
- and Cardiopulmonary Mortality in a Heavily Polluted Chinese City. Environmental Health Perspectives 120, 373-378.
- CARB, 2008. Calculating emission inventories for vehicles in California. User's Guide EMFAC
- 2007 version 2.30 Accessed in 2010.
- CARB, 2011a. Meteorology Data Query Tool (PST),
- [http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqmis2/metselect.php.](http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqmis2/metselect.php) Accessed in 2011.
- CARB, 2012. Almanac Emission Projection Data (published in 2009),
- [http://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/emssumcat.php.](http://www.arb.ca.gov/app/emsinv/emssumcat.php) Accessed in 2012.
- CARB, 2011b. Database: California Air Quality Data - Selected Data Available for Download [<http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqd/aqdcd/aqdcddld.htm>.](http://www.arb.ca.gov/aqd/aqdcd/aqdcddld.htm%3e) Accessed in 2011.
- Carlton, A.G., Bhave, P.V., Napelenok, S.L., Edney, E.D., Sarwar, G., Pinder, R.W., Pouliot,
- G.A., Houyoux, M., 2010. Model Representation of Secondary Organic Aerosol in CMAQv4.7.
- Environmental Science & Technology 44, 8553-8560.
- Carter, W.P.L., Heo, G., 2012a. DEVELOPMENT OF REVISED SAPRC AROMATICS
- MECHANISMS. Final Report to California Air Resources Board Contracts No. 07-730 and 08- 326.
- Carter, W.P.L., Heo, G., 2012b. DEVELOPMENT OF REVISED SAPRC AROMATICS
- MECHANISMS. Report to the California Air Resources Board, Contract No. 07-730 and 08-326
- Carter, W.P.L., Heo, G., 2013. Development of revised SAPRC aromatics mechanisms.
- Atmospheric Environment 77, 404-414.
- Chen, J., Lu, J., Avise, J.C., DaMassa, J.A., Kleeman, M.J., Kaduwela, A.P., 2014. Seasonal
- modeling of PM2.5 in California's San Joaquin Valley. Atmospheric Environment 92, 182-190.
- Chen, J.J., Ying, Q., Kleeman, M.J., 2010. Source apportionment of wintertime secondary
- organic aerosol during the California regional PM(10)/PM(2.5) air quality study. Atmospheric
- Environment 44, 1331-1340.
- Chen, Y.Y., Ebenstein, A., Greenstone, M., Li, H.B., 2013. Evidence on the impact of sustained
- exposure to air pollution on life expectancy from China's Huai River policy. P Natl Acad Sci
- USA 110, 12936-12941.
- Cooper, J.A.e.a., 1989. Dinal Appendix V-G, PM10 source composition library for the South
- Coast Air Basin. Technical Report, South Coast Air Quality Management District, Diamond Bar, California.
- Correia, A.W., Pope, C.A., Dockery, D.W., Wang, Y., Ezzati, M., Dominici, F., 2013. Effect of
- Air Pollution Control on Life Expectancy in the United States An Analysis of 545 US Counties
- for the Period from 2000 to 2007. Epidemiology 24, 23-31.
- Countess, R.J., 2003. Reconciling Fugitive Dust Emission Inventories with Ambient
- Measurements. 12th Annual EPA Emission Inventory Conference San Diego, CA.
- Day, D.A., Liu, S., Russell, L.M., Ziemann, P.J., 2010. Organonitrate group concentrations in
- submicron particles with high nitrate and organic fractions in coastal southern California. Atmospheric Environment 44, 1970-1979.
- de Leeuw, G., Neele, F.P., Hill, M., Smith, M.H., Vignali, E., 2000. Production of sea spray
- aerosol in the surf zone. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 105, 29397-29409.
- Dockery, D.W., 2001. Epidemiologic evidence of cardiovascular effects of particulate air
- pollution. Environmental Health Perspectives 109, 483-486.
- Dockery, D.W., Pope, C.A., Xu, X.P., Spengler, J.D., Ware, J.H., Fay, M.E., Ferris, B.G.,
- Speizer, F.E., 1993. An Association between Air-Pollution and Mortality in 6 United-States
- Cities. New England Journal of Medicine 329, 1753-1759.
- Emery, C., Tai, E., Yarwood, G., 2001. Enhanced meteorological modeling and performance
- evaluation for two texas episodes, in: Report to the Texas Natural Resources Conservation
- Commission, p.b.E., Internatioanl Corp (Ed.), Novato, CA.
- EPA, U.S., 2013. Particulate Matter (PM2.5) Area Information (2006 Standard).
- <http://www.epa.gov/airquality/greenbook/rindex.html> Accessed in January 2014.
- Fann, N., Lamson, A.D., Anenberg, S.C., Wesson, K., Risley, D., Hubbell, B.J., 2012.
- Estimating the National Public Health Burden Associated with Exposure to Ambient PM2.5 and
- Ozone. Risk Anal 32, 81-95.
- Fast, J.D., Allan, J., Bahreini, R., Craven, J., Emmons, L., Ferrare, R., Hayes, P.L., Hodzic, A.,
- Holloway, J., Hostetler, C., Jimenez, J.L., Jonsson, H., Liu, S., Liu, Y., Metcalf, A.,
- Middlebrook, A., Nowak, J., Pekour, M., Perring, A., Russell, L., Sedlacek, A., Seinfeld, J.,
- Setyan, A., Shilling, J., Shrivastava, M., Springston, S., Song, C., Subramanian, R., Taylor, J.W.,
- Vinoj, V., Yang, Q., Zaveri, R.A., Zhang, Q., 2014. Modeling regional aerosol and aerosol
- precursor variability over California and its sensitivity to emissions and long-range transport
- during the 2010 CalNex and CARES campaigns. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14, 10013-10060.
- Ford, I., Li, X.Y., Donaldson, K., MacNee, W., Seaton, A., Greaves, M., 1998. Particulate air
- pollution and cardiovascular risk: Increased factor VIIc follows exposure to ultrafine particles.
- Brit J Haematol 101, 80-80.
- Franchini, M., Mannucci, P.M., 2009. Particulate Air Pollution and Cardiovascular Risk: Short-
- term and Long-term Effects. Semin Thromb Hemost 35, 665-670.
- Frank, N.H., 2006. Retained nitrate, hydrated sulfates, and carbonaceous mass in Federal
- Reference Method fine particulate matter for six eastern US cities. Journal of the Air & Waste
- Management Association 56, 500-511.
- Franklin, M., Koutrakis, P., Schwartz, J., 2008. The role of particle composition on the
- association between PM2.5 and mortality. Epidemiology 19, 680-689.
- Franklin, M., Zeka, A., Schwartz, J., 2007. Association between PM2.5 and all-cause and
- specific-cause mortality in 27 US communities. Journal of Exposure Science and Environmental
- Epidemiology 17, 279-287.
- Goldgewicht, C., 2007. Association between surrounding air pollution and daily mortality in
- subjects with diabetes and cardiovascular complications. Environnement Risques & Sante 6, 15- 16.
- Gong, S.L., 2003. A parameterization of sea-salt aerosol source function for sub- and super-micron particles. Global Biogeochem Cy 17.
- Gordian, M.E., Ozkaynak, H., Xue, J.P., Morris, S.S., Spengler, J.D., 1996. Particulate air
- pollution and respiratory disease in Anchorage, Alaska. Environmental Health Perspectives 104, 290-297.
- Hacon, S., Ornelas, C., Ignotti, E., Longo, K., 2007. Fine particulate air pollution and hospital
- admission for respiratory diseases in the Amazon region. Epidemiology 18, S81-S81.
- Harley, R.A., Hannigan, M.P., Cass, G.R., 1992. Respeciation of Organic Gas Emissions and the
- Detection of Excess Unburned Gasoline in the Atmosphere. Environmental Science & Technology 26, 2395-2408.
- Held, T., Ying, Q., Kleeman, M.J., Schauer, J.J., Fraser, M.P., 2005. A comparison of the
- UCD/CIT air quality model and the CMB source-receptor model for primary airborne particulate
- matter. Atmospheric Environment 39, 2281-2297.
- Held, T., Ying, Q., Kaduwela, A., Kleeman, M., 2004. Modeling particulate matter in the San
- Joaquin Valley with a source-oriented externally mixed three-dimensional photochemical grid
- model. Atmospheric Environment 38, 3689-3711.
- Hildemann, L.M., Markowski, G.R., Cass, G.R., 1991a. Chemical-Composition of Emissions
- from Urban Sources of Fine Organic Aerosol. Environmental Science & Technology 25, 744- 759.
- Hildemann, L.M., Markowski, G.R., Jones, M.C., Cass, G.R., 1991b. Submicrometer Aerosol
- Mass Distributions of Emissions from Boilers, Fireplaces, Automobiles, Diesel Trucks, and
- Meat-Cooking Operations. Aerosol Science and Technology 14, 138-152.
- Hixson, M., Mahmud, A., Hu, J., Bai, S., Niemeier, D.A., Handy, S.L., Gao, S., Lund, J.R.,
- Sullivan, D.C., Kleeman, M.J., 2010. Influence of regional development policies and clean
- technology adoption on future air pollution exposure. Atmospheric Environment 44, 552-562.
- Hixson, M., Mahmud, A., Hu, J., Kleeman, M.J., 2012. Resolving the interactions between
- population density and air pollution emissions controls in the San Joaquin Valley, USA. Journal 732 of the Air & Waste Management Association 62, 566-575.
733 Hodzic, A., Madronich, S., Bohn, B., Massie, S., Menut, L.
- Hodzic, A., Madronich, S., Bohn, B., Massie, S., Menut, L., Wiedinmyer, C., 2007. Wildfire
- particulate matter in Europe during summer 2003: meso-scale modeling of smoke emissions,
- transport and radiative effects. Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 7, 4043-4064.
- Houck, J.E., et al., 1989. Determination of particle size distribution and chemical composition of
- particulate matter from selected sources in California. Technical Report, Contract A6-175-32,
- California Air Resources Board, OMNI Environment Service Incorporate, Desert Research
- Institute, Beaverton, Oregon.
- Hu, J., Howard, C.J., Mitloehner, F., Green, P.G., Kleeman, M.J., 2012. Mobile Source and
- Livestock Feed Contributions to Regional Ozone Formation in Central California.
- Environmental Science & Technology 46, 2781-2789.
- Hu, J., Ying, Q., Chen, J.J., Mahmud, A., Zhao, Z., Chen, S.H., Kleeman, M.J., 2010. Particulate
- air quality model predictions using prognostic vs. diagnostic meteorology in central California.
- Atmospheric Environment 44, 215-226.
- Hu, J., Zhang, H., Chen, S.-H., Vandenberghe, F., Ying, Q., Kleeman, M.J., 2014a. Predicting
- Primary PM2.5 and PM0.1 Trace Composition for Epidemiological Studies in California.
- Environmental Science & Technology 48, 4971-4979.
- Hu, J., Zhang, H., Chen, S., Ying, Q., Vandenberghe, F., Kleeman, M.J., 2014b. Identifying
- PM2.5 and PM0.1 Sources for Epidemiological Studies in California. Environmental Science &
- Technology 48, 4980-4990.
- Hu, J.L., Zhang, H. L., Chen, S. H., Wiedinmyer, C., Vandenberghe, F., Ying, Q., Kleeman, M.
- J., Manuscript in preparation. Long-term Particulate Matter Modeling for Health Effects Studies
- in California Part II: Concentrations and Souces of Primary and Secondary Organic Aerosols.
- Hughes, J., Tolsma, D., 2002. Association between particulate air pollution and acute respiratory visits in an ambulatory care setting. Epidemiology 13, S125-S125.
- Ito, K., Mathes, R., Ross, Z., Nadas, A., Thurston, G., Matte, T., 2011. Fine Particulate Matter
- Constituents Associated with Cardiovascular Hospitalizations and Mortality in New York City.
- Environmental Health Perspectives 119, 467-473.
- Kan, H.D., Gu, D.F., 2011. Association Between Long-term Exposure to Outdoor Air Pollution
- and Mortality in China: A Cohort Study. Epidemiology 22, S29-S29.
- Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., 1998. Source contributions to the size and composition distribution
- of urban particulate air pollution. Atmospheric Environment 32, 2803-2816.
- Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., 2001. A 3D Eulerian source-oriented model for an externally mixed aerosol. Environmental Science & Technology 35, 4834-4848.
- Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Eldering, A., 1997. Modeling the airborne particle complex as a
- source-oriented external mixture. Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres 102, 21355- 21372.
- Kleeman, M.J., Robert, M.A., Riddle, S.G., Fine, P.M., Hays, M.D., Schauer, J.J., Hannigan,
- M.P., 2008. Size distribution of trace organic species emitted from biomass combustion and meat
- charbroiling. Atmospheric Environment 42, 3059-3075.
- Kleeman, M.J., Schauer, J.J., Cass, G.R., 1999. Size and composition distribution of fine
- particulate matter emitted from wood burning, meat charbroiling, and cigarettes. Environmental Science & Technology 33, 3516-3523.
- Kleeman, M.J., Schauer, J.J., Cass, G.R., 2000. Size and composition distribution of fine
- 776 particulate matter emitted from motor vehicles. Environmental Science & Technology 34, 1132-
777 1142. 1142.
- Kleeman, M.J., Ying, Q., Lu, J., Mysliwiec, M.J., Griffin, R.J., Chen, J.J., Clegg, S., 2007.
- Source apportionment of secondary organic aerosol during a severe photochemical smog
- episode. Atmospheric Environment 41, 576-591.
- Krall, J.R., Anderson, G.B., Dominici, F., Bell, M.L., Peng, R.D., 2013. Short-term Exposure to
- Particulate Matter Constituents and Mortality in a National Study of US Urban Communities.
- Environmental Health Perspectives 121, 1148-1153.
- Laden, F., Neas, L.M., Dockery, D.W., Schwartz, J., 2000. Association of fine particulate matter
- from different sources with daily mortality in six US cities. Environmental Health Perspectives 108, 941-947.
- Langrish, J.P., Bosson, J., Unosson, J., Muala, A., Newby, D.E., Mills, N.L., Blomberg, A.,
- Sandstrom, T., 2012. Cardiovascular effects of particulate air pollution exposure: time course
- and underlying mechanisms. J Intern Med 272, 224-239.
- Laurent, O., Hu, J., Li, L., Cockburn, M., Escobedo, L., Kleeman, M., Wu, J., 2014. Sources and
- contents of air pollution affecting term low birth weight in Los Angeles County, California,
- 2001-2008. Environment Research Accepted for Publication.
- Laurent, O., Wu, J., Li, L., Chung, J., Bartell, S., 2013. Investigating the association between
- birth weight and complementary air pollution metrics: a cohort study. Environmental health 12, 18.
- Le Tertre, A., Medina, S., Samoli, E., Forsberg, B., Michelozzi, P., Boumghar, A., Vonk, J.M.,
- Bellini, A., Atkinson, R., Ayres, J.G., Sunyer, J., Schwartz, J., Katsouyanni, K., 2002. Short-term
- effects of particulate air pollution on cardiovascular diseases in eight European cities. J
- Epidemiol Commun H 56, 773-779.
- Levy, J.I., Diez, D., Dou, Y.P., Barr, C.D., Dominici, F., 2012. A Meta-Analysis and Multisite
- Time-Series Analysis of the Differential Toxicity of Major Fine Particulate Matter Constituents. American Journal of Epidemiology 175, 1091-1099.
- Mahmud, A., Hixson, M., Hu, J., Zhao, Z., Chen, S. H., Kleeman, M. J., 2010. Climate impact
- on airborne particulate matter concentrations in California using seven year analysis periods.
- Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics 10, 11097-11114.
- Mar, T.F., Norris, G.A., Koenig, J.Q., Larson, T.V., 2000. Associations between air pollution
- and mortality in Phoenix, 1995-1997. Environmental Health Perspectives 108, 347-353.
- Mass, C., Ovens, D., 2010. WRF model physics: progress, problems, and perhaps some 809 solutions. the 11th WRF Users' Workshop, 21–25 June, Boulder, CO.
- Matsui, H., Koike, M., Takegawa, N., Kondo, Y., Griffin, R.J., Miyazaki, Y., Yokouchi, Y.,
- Ohara, T., 2009. Secondary organic aerosol formation in urban air: Temporal variations and
- possible contributions from unidentified hydrocarbons. Journal of Geophysical Research:
- Atmospheres 114, D04201.
- Michelson, S.A., Djalalova, I.V., Bao, J.-W., 2010a. Evaluation of the Summertime Low-Level
- Winds Simulated by MM5 in the Central Valley of California. Journal of Applied Meteorology
- and Climatology 49, 2230-2245.
- Michelson, S.A., Djalalova, I.V., Bao, J.W., 2010b. Evaluation of the Summertime Low-Level
- Winds Simulated by MM5 in the Central Valley of California. Journal of Applied Meteorology and Climatology 49, 2230-2245.
- Millstein, D.E., Harley, R.A., 2009. Revised estimates of construction activity and emissions:
- Effects on ozone and elemental carbon concentrations in southern California. Atmospheric
- Environment 43, 6328-6335.
- Mysliwiec, M.J., Kleeman, M.J., 2002. Source apportionment of secondary airborne particulate
- matter in a polluted atmosphere. Environmental Science & Technology 36, 5376-5384.
- Ostro, B., Broadwin, R., Green, S., Feng, W.Y., Lipsett, M., 2006. Fine particulate air pollution
- and mortality in nine California counties: Results from CALFINE. Environmental Health Perspectives 114, 29-33.
- Ostro, B., Feng, W.Y., Broadwin, R., Green, S., Lipsett, M., 2007. The effects of components of
- fine particulate air pollution on mortality in California: Results from CALFINE. Environmental
- Health Perspectives 115, 13-19.
- Ostro, B., Lipsett, M., Reynolds, P., Goldberg, D., Hertz, A., Garcia, C., Henderson, K.D.,
- Bernstein, L., 2010. Long-Term Exposure to Constituents of Fine Particulate Air Pollution and
- Mortality: Results from the California Teachers Study. Environmental Health Perspectives 118,
- 363-369.
- Pace, T.G., 2005. Methodology to Estimate the Transportable Fraction (TF) of Fugitive Dust
- Emissions for Regional and Urban Scale Air Quality Analyses. US EPA August 2005.
- Pope, C.A., Burnett, R.T., Thun, M.J., Calle, E.E., Krewski, D., Ito, K., Thurston, G.D., 2002.
- Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution. Jama-J Am Med Assoc 287, 1132-1141.
- Pope, C.A., Ezzati, M., Dockery, D.W., 2009. Fine-Particulate Air Pollution and Life
- Expectancy in the United States. New England Journal of Medicine 360, 376-386.
- Rasmussen, D.J., Hu, J., Mahmud, A., Kleeman, M.J., 2013. The Ozone–Climate Penalty: Past,
- 843 Present, and Future. Environmental Science & Technology 47, 14258-14266.
- Robert, M.A., Kleeman, M.J., Jakober, C.A., 2007a. Size and composition distributions of
- particulate matter emissions: Part 2- Heavy-duty diesel vehicles. Journal of the Air & Waste Management Association 57, 1429-1438.
- Robert, M.A., VanBergen, S., Kleeman, M.J., Jakober, C.A., 2007b. Size and composition
- distributions of particulate matter emissions: Part 1 Light-duty gasoline vehicles. Journal of the
- Air & Waste Management Association 57, 1414-1428.
- Sarnat, J.A., Sarnat, S.E., Crooks, J., Isakov, V., Touma, J., Ozkaynak, H., Mulholland, J.,
- Russell, A., Kewada, P., 2011. Associations Between Spatially Resolved Estimates of Traffic-
- related Pollution and Acute Morbidity: Assessing Agreement of Results Among Multiple
- Exposure Assignment Approaches. Epidemiology 22, S31-S32.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 1999a. Measurement of emissions
- 855 from air pollution sources. 1. C-1 through C-29 organic compounds from meat charbroiling.
- Environmental Science & Technology 33, 1566-1577.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 1999b. Measurement of emissions
- from air pollution sources. 2. C-1 through C-30 organic compounds from medium duty diesel
- trucks. Environmental Science & Technology 33, 1578-1587.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 2001. Measurement of emissions
- 861 from air pollution sources. 3. C-1-C-29 organic compounds from fireplace combustion of wood.
- Environmental Science & Technology 35, 1716-1728.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 2002a. Measurement of emissions
- 864 from air pollution sources. 4. C-1-C-27 organic compounds from cooking with seed oils.
- Environmental Science & Technology 36, 567-575.
- Schauer, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., Cass, G.R., Simoneit, B.R.T., 2002b. Measurement of emissions
- 867 from air pollution sources. 5. C-1-C-32 organic compounds from gasoline-powered motor
- vehicles. Environmental Science & Technology 36, 1169-1180.
- Sickles Ii, J.E., Shadwick, D.S., 2002. Precision of atmospheric dry deposition data from the
- Clean Air Status and Trends Network. Atmospheric Environment 36, 5671-5686.
- Son, J.Y., Lee, J.T., Kim, K.H., Jung, K., Bell, M.L., 2012. Characterization of Fine Particulate
- Matter and Associations between Particulate Chemical Constituents and Mortality in Seoul,
- Korea. Environmental Health Perspectives 120, 872-878.
- Stieb, D.M., Chen, L., Eshoul, M., Judek, S., 2012. Ambient air pollution, birth weight and
- preterm birth: A systematic review and meta-analysis. Environmental research 117, 100–111.
- Taback, H.J., Brienza, A.R., Macko, J., Brunetz, N., 1979. Fine particle emissions from
- stationary and miscellaneous sources in the South Coast Air Basin. Technical Report, Contract
- A6-191-30, California Air Resources Board, KVB Incorporate, Research-Cottrell, Tustin,
- California.
- Tainio, M., Juda-Rezler, K., Reizer, M., Warchałowski, A., Trapp, W., Skotak, K., 2012. Future
- climate and adverse health effects caused by fine particulate matter air pollution: case study for
- Poland. Regional Environmental Change, 1-11.
- Tesche, T.W., Morris, R., Tonnesen, G., McNally, D., Boylan, J., Brewer, P., 2006.
- CMAQ/CAMx annual 2002 performance evaluation over the eastern US. Atmospheric
- Environment 40, 4906-4919.
- Tran, H.T., Alvarado, A., Garcia, C., Motallebi, N., Miyasato, L., and Vance, W, 2008.
- Methodology for Estimating Premature Deaths Associated with Long-term Exposure to Fine
- Airborne Particulate Matter in California. Staff Report, California Environmental Protection Agency, Air Resources Board.
- Turpin, B.J., Lim, H.J., 2010. Species contributions to PM2.5 mass concentrations: revisiting
- common assumptions for estimating organic mass. Aerosol Science and Technology 35:1, 602- 610.
- U.S.EPA, 2007. Guidance on the Use of Models and Other Analyses for Demonstrating
- Attainment of Air Quality Goals for Ozone, PM2.5 and Regional Haze, in: Agency, U.S.E.P.
- (Ed.), Research Triangle Park, North Carolina.
- Vineis, P., Hoek, G., Krzyzanowski, M., Vigna-Taglianti, F., Veglia, F., Airoldi, L., Autrup, H.,
- Dunning, A., Garte, S., Hainaut, P., Malaveille, C., Matullo, G., Overvad, K., Raaschou-Nielsen,
- O., Clavel-Chapelon, F., Linseisen, J., Boeing, H., Trichopoulou, A., Palli, D., Peluso, M.,
- Krogh, V., Tumino, R., Panico, S., Bueno-De-Mesquita, H.B., Peeters, P.H., Lund, E.E.,
- Gonzalez, C.A., Martinez, C., Dorronsoro, M., Barricarte, A., Cirera, L., Quiros, J.R., Berglund,
- G., Forsberg, B., Day, N.E., Key, T.J., Saracci, R., Kaaks, R., Riboli, E., 2006. Air pollution and risk of lung cancer in a prospective study in Europe. Int J Cancer 119, 169-174.
- Volkamer, R., Jimenez, J.L., San Martini, F., Dzepina, K., Zhang, Q., Salcedo, D., Molina, L.T.,
- Worsnop, D.R., Molina, M.J., 2006. Secondary organic aerosol formation from anthropogenic air
- pollution: Rapid and higher than expected. Geophysical Research Letters 33, L17811.
- Vukovich, J.M., Pierce, T., 2002. The Implementation of BEIS3 within the SMOKE modeling
- framework. MCNC-Environmental Modeling Center, Research Triangle Park and National
- Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration.
- Walker, J.M., Philip, S., Martin, R.V., Seinfeld, J.H., 2012. Simulation of nitrate, sulfate, and ammonium aerosols over the United States. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 12, 11213-11227.
- Wang, K., Zhang, Y., Yahya, K., Wu, S.-Y., Grell, G., 2015. Implementation and Initial
- 912 Application of New Chemistry-Aerosol Options in WRF/Chem for Simulating Secondary
- 913 Organic Aerosols and Aerosol Indirect Effects for Regional Air Quality. Atmospheric
- Environment doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2014.12.007.
- Wei Wang, C.B., Michael Duda, Jimy Dudhia, Dave Gill, Hui-Chuan Lin, John Michalakes,
- Syed Rizvi, and Xin Zhang, January 2010. The Advanced Research WRF (ARW) Version 3
- Modeling System User's Guide.
- Wiedinmyer, C., Akagi, S.K., Yokelson, R.J., Emmons, L.K., Al-Saadi, J.A., Orlando, J.J., Soja,
- A.J., 2011. The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global model to estimate
- the emissions from open burning. Geoscientific Model Development 4, 625-641.
- Willers, S.M., Eriksson, C., Gidhagen, L., Nilsson, M.E., Pershagen, G., Bellander, T., 2013.
- Fine and coarse particulate air pollution in relation to respiratory health in Sweden. European
- Respiratory Journal 42, 924-934.
- William C. Skamarock, J.B.K., Jimy Dudhia, David O Gill, Dale M. Barker, Michael G. Duda,
- Xiang-Yu Huang, Wei Wang, and Jordan G. Powers, June 2008. A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 3. NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-475+STR.
- WRAP, 2005. 2002 Fire Emission Inventory for the WRAP Region –Phase II. Air Sciences Inc.
- Ying, Q., Fraser, M.P., Griffin, R.J., Chen, J.J., Kleeman, M.J., 2007. Verification of a source-
- oriented externally mixed air quality model during a severe photochemical smog episode.
- Atmospheric Environment 41, 1521-1538.
- Ying, Q., Kleeman, M.J., 2006. Source contributions to the regional distribution of secondary
- particulate matter in California. Atmospheric Environment 40, 736-752.
- Ying, Q., Lu, J., Allen, P., Livingstone, P., Kaduwela, A., Kleeman, M., 2008. Modeling air
- quality during the California Regional PM10/PM2.5 Air Quality Study (CRPAQS) using the
- UCD/CIT source-oriented air quality model Part I. Base case model results. Atmospheric
- Environment 42, 8954-8966.
- Yu, S.C., Dennis, R., Roselle, S., Nenes, A., Walker, J., Eder, B., Schere, K., Swall, J., Robarge,
- W., 2005. An assessment of the ability of three-dimensional air quality models with current
- thermodynamic equilibrium models to predict aerosol NO3-. Journal of Geophysical Research-
- Atmospheres 110.
- Zhang, H., Chen, G., Hu, J., Chen, S.-H., Wiedinmyer, C., Kleeman, M., Ying, Q., 2014a.
- Evaluation of a seven-year air quality simulation using the Weather Research and Forecasting
- (WRF)/Community Multiscale Air Quality (CMAQ) models in the eastern United States.
- Science of The Total Environment 473–474, 275-285.
- Zhang, H., DeNero, S.P., Joe, D.K., Lee, H.H., Chen, S.H., Michalakes, J., Kleeman, M.J.,
- 2014b. Development of a source oriented version of the WRF/Chem model and its application to
- the California regional PM10 / PM2.5 air quality study. Atmos. Chem. Phys. 14, 485-503.
- Zhang, H., Ying, Q., 2011. Secondary organic aerosol formation and source apportionment in
- Southeast Texas. Atmospheric Environment 45, 3217-3227.
- Zhang, H.L., Ying, Q., 2010. Source apportionment of airborne particulate matter in Southeast
- Texas using a source-oriented 3D air quality model. Atmospheric Environment 44, 3547-3557.
- Zhao, Z., Chen, S.H., Kleeman, M.J., Tyree, M., Cayan, D., 2011. The Impact of Climate
- Change on Air Quality-Related Meteorological Conditions in California. Part I: Present Time
- Simulation Analysis. Journal of Climate 24, 3344-3361.

Figures and Tables

 Figure 1. Modeling domains (blue lines outline the CA_24km domain, and red lines outline the SoCAB_4km (bottom) and SJV_4km domains (up)) and PM measurement sites (dots). Blue dots 961 represent the sites of the $PM_{2.5}$ Speciation Trends Network (STN) and the State and Local Air Monitoring Stations (SLAMS), green dots represent the Interagency Monitoring of Protected 963 Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sites, and gray dots represent the $PM_{2.5}$ Federal Reference Method (FRM) sites. Figure 2. Monthly mean fractional bias (MFB) of PM2.5 EC, OC, nitrate, ammonium, sulfate, and total mass. Solid lines represent the MFB criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFB goals. 970 Figure 3. Monthly mean fractional errors (MFE) of $PM_{2.5}$ EC, OC, nitrate, ammonium, sulfate,
971 and total mass. Solid lines represent the MFE criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFI and total mass. Solid lines represent the MFE criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFE goals. Figure 4. Mean fractional bias (MFB) and mean fractional errors (MFE) of PM and gaseous species when calculated using daily, monthly and annual averages. 977 Figure 5. Predicted (red lines) vs. observed (dark dots) monthly average O_3 (a), CO (b), NO (c), 978 and PM_{2.5} ammonium (d) at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Riverside. and $PM_{2.5}$ ammonium (d) at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Riverside. 980 Figure 6. Predicted (red lines) vs. observed (dark dots) monthly average $PM_{2.5}$ nitrate (a), OC 981 (b), EC (c), and PM_2 ₅ total mass (d) at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Riverside. Figure 7. Monthly average NO concentrations adjusted with the predicted/observed CO ratios. 985 NO noadj represents the NO concentrations in the UCD/CIT model predictions, and NO adj represents the NO concentrations adjusted with observations as: NO_adj = NO_noadj * CO_predicted / CO_measured Figure 8. Monthly average nitrate concentrations in2008 at Sacramento and Fresno predicted with perturbed relative humidity (RH+0.3), compared to the basecase nitrate predictions (RH_ori) and observed concentrations (Obs) Figure 9. Association between predicted PM concentration bias and wind bias vs. observed values. The observed PM concentrations and 1/u values on the x-axis are expressed in a relative 995 scale of 0-100% of maximum range calculated as $x (\%) = (C_{\text{c,min}})/({c_{\text{max}}-c_{\text{min}}})^*100$. Values for $[C_{\text{min}} C_{\text{max}}]$ are listed in the concentration kev. Bias between predicted vs. observed values is $[C_{\text{min}} C_{\text{max}}]$ are listed in the concentration key. Bias between predicted vs. observed values is shown on the y-axis. Ideal behavior is bias of zero at all concentrations & wind speeds. 999 Figure 10. Predicted (1) vs. measured (2) 9-year average $PM_{2.5}$ total mass (a), EC (b), OC (c), nitrate (d), sulfate (e), and ammonium (f) concentrations. The SoCAB_4km and SJV_4km results are overlayed on top of CA_24km results to create the model predicted spatial

- distributions. Predicted and measured concentrations of the same species are in the same scale
- showing in the panels of measurements

$\frac{1005}{1006}$

- 1007 SoCAB_4km (bottom) and SJV_4km domains (up)) and PM measurement sites (dots). Blue dots
- 1008 represent the sites of the $PM_{2.5}$ Speciation Trends Network (STN) and the State and Local Air 1009 Monitoring Stations (SLAMS), green dots represent the Interagency Monitoring of Protected
- 1009 Monitoring Stations (SLAMS), green dots represent the Interagency Monitoring of Protected 1010 Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sites, and gray dots represent the PM_{2.5} Federal Reference
- Visual Environments (IMPROVE) sites, and gray dots represent the $PM_{2.5}$ Federal Reference
- 1011 Method (FRM) sites.

 $\frac{1012}{1013}$ 1013 Figure 2. Monthly mean fractional bias (MFB) of PM_{2.5} EC, OC, nitrate, ammonium, sulfate, and 1014 total mass. Solid lines represent the MFB criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFB 1014 total mass. Solid lines represent the MFB criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFB goals. goals.

 $\begin{array}{c} 1017 \\ 1018 \\ 1019 \end{array}$ Figure 3. Monthly mean fractional errors (MFE) of PM_{2.5} EC, OC, nitrate, ammonium, sulfate, 1019 and total mass. Solid lines represent the MFE criteria, and the blue dash lines represent the MFE 1020 goals. goals.

species when calculated using daily, monthly and annual averages.

1032
1033 1032

Time

1033 Figure 6. Predicted (red lines) vs. observed (dark dots) monthly average PM_{2.5} nitrate (a), OC

1034 (b), EC (c), and PM_{2.5} total mass (d) at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and 1034 (b), EC (c), and $PM_{2.5}$ total mass (d) at Sacramento, Fresno, Bakersfield, Los Angeles, and Riverside. Riverside.

- 1037 NO_noadj represents the NO concentrations in the UCD/CIT model predictions, and NO_adj 1038 represents the NO concentrations adjusted with observations as:
- represents the NO concentrations adjusted with observations as:
- 1039 NO_adj = NO_noadj * CO_predicted / CO_measured
- 1040

 $\frac{1042}{1043}$ Figure 8. Monthly average nitrate concentrations in 2008 at Sacramento and Fresno predicted with perturbed relative humidity (RH+0.3), compared to the basecase nitrate predictions (RH_ori) and observed concentrations (Obs).

Figure 9. Association between predicted PM concentration bias and wind bias vs. observed values. The observed PM concentrations and 1/u values on the x-axis are expressed in a relative scale of 0-100% of maximum range calculated as x (%) = (C-c_{min})/(_{Cmax}-c_{min})*100. Values for [C_{min,} C_{max}] are listed in the concentration key. Units are μ g m⁻³ for concentrations, and m s⁻¹ for wind speed. Bias between predicted vs. observed values is shown on the y-axis. Ideal behavior is bias of zero at all concentrations & wind speeds.

Figure 10. Predicted (1) vs. measured (2) 9-year average $PM_{2.5}$ total mass (a), EC (b), OC (c), nitrate (d), sulfate (e), and ammonium (f) concentrations. The SoCAB_4km and SJV_4km results are overlayed on top of CA_24km results to create the model predicted spatial distributions. Predicted and measured concentrations of the same species are in the same scale showing in the panels of measurements. Unit are μ g m⁻³.