Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 1823–1859, 2014 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/14/1823/2014/ doi:10.5194/acpd-14-1823-2014 © Author(s) 2014. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Influence of airmass transport events on the variability of surface ozone at Xianggelila Regional Atmosphere Background Station, Southwest China

J.  $Ma^{1,*}$ , W. L.  $Lin^{1,2}$ , X. D.  $Zheng^1$ , X. B.  $Xu^1$ , Z.  $Li^3$ , and L. L.  $Yang^3$ 

<sup>1</sup>Key Laboratory for Atmospheric Chemistry, Chinese Academy of Meteorological Sciences, Beijing 100081, China

<sup>2</sup>Centre for Atmosphere Watch and Services, Meteorological Observation Centre, China Meteorological Administration, Beijing 100081, China

<sup>3</sup>Yunnan Diqing Meteorological Bureau, Diqing 674400, China

<sup>\*</sup>now at: Atmospheric Research Center, Fok Ying Tung Graduate School, Hong Kong University of Science and Technology, Hong Kong

Received: 13 November 2013 - Accepted: 2 January 2014 - Published: 21 January 2014

Correspondence to: W. L. Lin (linwl@cams.cma.gov.cn)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





### Abstract

In situ measurements of ozone (O<sub>3</sub>), carbon monoxide (CO) and meteorological parameters were made from December 2007 to November 2009 at the Xianggelila Regional Atmosphere Background Station (28.006° N, 99.726° E, 3580 ma.s.l.), South-<sup>5</sup> west China. It is found that both O<sub>3</sub> and CO peaked in spring while the valleys of O<sub>3</sub> and CO occurred in summer and winter, respectively. A normalized indicator (marked as "*Y*") of transport events on the basis of the monthly normalized O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and water vapor, is proposed to evaluate the occurrence of O<sub>3</sub> transport events from the upper, O<sub>3</sub>-rich atmosphere. This composite indicator has the advantage of being less influenced by and seasonal or occasional variations of individual factors. It is shown that the most frequent transport events occurred in winter and they can make a significant contribution to surface O<sub>3</sub> at Xianggelila. A case of strong O<sub>3</sub> transport event under the

- synoptic condition of a deep westerly trough is studied by the combination of the Y indicator, potential vorticity, total column ozone, and trajectory analysis. A 9.6 ppb increase
   (21.0%) of surface ozone is estimated based on the impacts of deep transport events
- (21.0%) of surface ozone is estimated based on the impacts of deep transport events in winter. Asian Monsoon plays an important role in suppressing O<sub>3</sub> accumulation in summer and fall.

#### 1 Introduction

Tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> has been significantly increasing for more than a century due to the anthropogenic activities (Hough and Derwent, 1990; Staehelin et al., 2001; Vingarzan, 2004), deteriorating the air quality and potentially harming human beings and ecosystem (Krupa and Manning, 1988). In the troposphere, O<sub>3</sub> is known to be produced by gas-phase oxidation of hydrocarbons and CO under the catalysis of hydrogen oxide radicals and nitrogen oxides in the presence of sunlight (Chameides and Walker, 1973;

<sup>25</sup> Crutzen, 1974; Crutzen et al., 1999; Jacob, 2000). In addition to photochemical production, tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> also comes from the transport from stratosphere (Junge, 1962;





Danielsen, 1968). Although the chemical production is regarded as a main source of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> (Fishman et al., 1979; Gidel and Shapiro, 1980), the influence of O<sub>3</sub> transported from stratosphere is considerable at some remote background sites where regional and local emissions of O<sub>3</sub> precursors are extremely limited (Ordóñez et al., 2007; Trickl et al., 2010; Logan et al., 2012; Oltmans et al., 2012; Parrish et al., 2012). Due to the stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange and the distance from the Earth surface, where sources of trace species are located, air in upper troposphere often shows unique chemical signature. Aircraft measurements show that the climatological levels

- of O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and H<sub>2</sub>O in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (UTLS) over the
  subtropics of the Northern Hemisphere are respectively in the ranges of 80–160 ppb,
  50–85 ppb, and 6–40 ppm, depending on season (Tilmes et al., 2010). Therefore, transport events of air-masses associated with stratospheric intrusions were usually characterized by high O<sub>3</sub>, but low CO and water vapor concentrations (Marenco et al., 1998; Bonasoni et al., 2000; Stohl et al., 2000; Cooper et al., 2002; Langford et al., 2009;
  Neuman et al., 2012;). Such transport events often associated with tropopause folding synoptic systems in the middle latitudes such as cold fronts in the lower troposphere
- (Stohl and Trickl, 1999), corresponding with troughs/cut-off lows in the middle and upper troposphere (Davies and Schuepbach, 1994).

Tibetan Plateau is a unique topography owing to its high average elevation in excess of 4000 ma.s.l. and huge area (about  $3\,000\,000\,\text{km}^2$ ). The kinetics and thermodynamics on the unique topography have great impacts on air circulations, climate changes, in local, regional or even global scales. It is important to understand the influence of transport events from upper troposphere and lower stratosphere, which may represent one of the most important natural inputs of tropospheric O<sub>3</sub> and impact the atmospheric ra-

<sup>25</sup> diative forcing in the Tibetan Plateau. Moore and Semple (2005) reported the existence of so called the Tibetan "Taylor Cap" and a halo of stratospheric  $O_3$  over the Himalaya, which causes elevated levels of the upper tropospheric  $O_3$  along the mountain regions. This result strongly suggests that the topography of the Tibetan Plateau can exert an influence on the lower-stratosphere and upper-troposphere. So far, surface  $O_3$  mea-





surements in the Tibetan Plateau have been reported mainly for the Waliguan global WMO/GAW station (36.28°N, 100.90°E, 3816 ma.s.l.) in the north-eastern plateau since 1994 (Tang et al., 1995; Klausen et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2006; Xu et al., 2011) and, on the south rim of the Himalaya, the Nepal Climate Observatory-Pyramid (NCO-

- <sup>5</sup> P, 27.95° N, 86.80° E, 5079 ma.s.l.) (Cristofanelli et al., 2010). At Waliguan, high-O<sub>3</sub> events were mostly observed when transport events of the upper troposphere-lower stratosphere air occurred in spring (Ding and Wang, 2006; Zheng et al., 2011), and the summertime O<sub>2</sub> peak was deemed to be under the great influence of vertical mixing process including the stratosphere-troposphere exchange (Ma et al., 2002, 2005;
- Zheng et al., 2005; Liang et al., 2008). Based on the measurements at NCO-P. Cristo-10 fanelli et al. (2010) reported an assessment of the influence of stratospheric intrusions (SI) on surface O<sub>3</sub> and concluded that 14.1% of analyzed days were found to be affected by SI during a 2 yr investigation.

In this paper, we present 2 yr (from December 2007 to November 2009) measurements of surface  $O_3$  and CO at the Xianggelila station, which located at the southeast 15 rim of the Tibetan Plateau in Southwest China. Firstly, we give general introduction of the study including the description of observation sites, measurements of  $O_3$  and CO, and the methods of analysis. Then, we summarize the seasonal variations of O<sub>3</sub> and CO, and show the main patterns of airflow which may influence the Xianggelila site. We

study the impacts of downward transport on surface O<sub>3</sub> using a normalized indicator of 20 transport events, which is less influenced by seasonality of trace species. In addition, we show analysis results of backward trajectories combined with the surface measurement data and demonstrate a case of  $O_3$  transport event caused by a deep westerly trough. Finally, the influence of airmass transport events from the upper  $O_3$ -rich atmo-





#### 2 Measurements and methodologies

#### 2.1 Overview of the Xianggelila station

The Xianggelila Regional Atmospheric Background Station (28.006° N, 99.726° E, 3580 ma.s.l.) is located in Yunnan province, Southwest China (Fig. 1), and is one of the background stations operated by China Meteorological Administration (CMA). The station is at the southeast rim of the Tibetan Plateau and about 450 km northwest of Kunming City (population about 7.263 millions in 2011), the capital of Yunan province. It is considered to be weakly affected by the local anthropogenic activities because there is nearly no significant anthropogenic source of O<sub>3</sub> precursors surrounding the station, and the meanet township. Yian applie County is about 20 km.

and the nearest township, Xianggelila County, is about 30 km away from the station. Hence, it is regarded as an ideal site to monitor the background levels of trace gases in the atmosphere over Southwest China. The climatology of Xianggelila is mainly controlled by monsoon activities. The Asian summer monsoon can bring abundant precipitation there.

#### 15 2.2 Measurements of O<sub>3</sub> and CO

20

A set of commercial instruments from Ecotech, Australia has been used to measure  $O_3$  (9810B) and CO (9830T) at the Xianggelila station. The air inlet is fixed at the height of 1.8 m above the roof of the building and about 8 m above the ground. The common inlet and all other tubing are made of Teflon. Weekly zero/span checks were done using a dynamic gas calibrator (Gascal 1100) in combination with a zero air supply (8301LC) and a set of standard reference gas mixtures (National Institute of Metrology, Beijing,

China). Additional CO-free air was also produced using SOFNOCAT (514) oxidation catalysts (www.molecularproducts.com) and supplied to the CO analyzer every 2 h for additional auto-zero (background) cycles. Multi-point calibrations of the CO analyzers
 were made every month. The national CO standard gas was compared against the NIST-traceable standard from Scott Specialty Gases, USA. Multi-point calibrations of



the O<sub>3</sub> analyzer were made every month using an O<sub>3</sub> calibrator (TE 49i PS), which is traceable to the Standard Reference Photometer (SRP) maintained by WMO World Calibration Centre in Switzerland (EMPA). Measurement signals were recorded as 1 min averages. After the correction of data on the basis of the results of the multi-point calibrations and zero/span checks, hourly average concentrations were calculated and are used for further analysis. Meteorological data, including wind, temperature, relative humidity, etc., were also obtained from the site, with a resolution of 1 h.

#### 2.3 Backward-trajectory calculation and weather simulation

The HYSPLIT (Hybrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory, version 4.8) model was used to calculate the backward trajectories at Xianggelila from 2007 to 2009. The HYSPLIT model is a complete system for computing simple air parcel trajectories to complex dispersion and deposition simulations (Draxler and Rolph, 2003; Rolph, 2010). National Centers for Environmental Prediction (NCEP, 1° × 1°) reanalysis meteorological data were inputted for model calculation. The vertical motion method in

- the calculations is the default model selection, which uses the meteorological model's vertical velocity fields and is terrain following. The height of endpoint is set at 500 m above ground level for characterizing the airmass transport patterns in the free atmosphere. The 3 day backward trajectories were calculated at four times (00:00, 06:00, 12:00, 18:00 UTC) per day. After calculation, the trajectories were clustered into sev-
- eral types using the HYSPLIT software. Besides, HYSPLIT is also used to calculate 7 day backward trajectories in the case study (see Sect. 3.3).

The Weather Research and Forecasting (WRF) Model Version 3.4.1 (Skamarock et al., 2005) is used to simulate the weather situations in Sect. 3.3 for a case study. Only one domain was initialized by NCEP FNL (Final) Operational Global Analysis data on  $1.0^{\circ} \times 1.0^{\circ}$  grids prepared operationally every six hours, and the space resolution

on 1.0° × 1.0° grids prepared operationally every six hours, and the space resolution of WRF is set to 36 km. The run time of WRF was set as two days and used default physical schemes.





#### 2.4 Normalized indicator of transport events

It is known that some species like  $O_3$  and Be-7 are rich, while others like CO and water vapor are poor in the upper troposphere and stratosphere. Therefore, if airmasses originating from a higher elevation, for example, from the free troposphere or higher, often contain more abundant  $O_3$ , but less CO and water vapor. Cristofanelli et al. (2009) 5 proposed a stratospheric intrusion index using baseline measurements of O<sub>3</sub>, Be-7 and relative humidity. Such index can be used to quantify the impacts of stratospheric intrusion on ground measurements. However, long-term measurements of Be-7 are available only from few sites. Here, we try to infer whether the surface  $O_3$  is affected by transport events from upper  $O_3$ -rich atmosphere or not according to the surface 10 observed  $O_3$ , CO and water data. These data are available from our site and many other sites. However, the levels of O<sub>3</sub>, CO and water vapor in the UTLS region show seasonal variations (Tilmes et al., 2010), so do their surface levels. This may make the results from different seasons less comparable. To minimize the effects of seasonal variations, we propose a normalized indicator of transport events. For a certain period such as a month, a quantity Y, which combines the measured data of the chemical tracers of  $O_3$ , CO, and water vapor, is determined as Eq. (1).

$$Y = \frac{[O_3]}{[r][CO]} \tag{1}$$

- where,  $[O_3]$ , [CO] and [r] denote the monthly normalized  $O_3$ , CO and water vapor mixing ratios, respectively. For example,  $[O_3]$  is an hourly averaged  $O_3$  concentration divided by the monthly averaged  $O_3$  concentration. As Y is a composite indicator, it should be less subject to occasional disturbance in any of individual factors. Water vapor mixing ratio is calculated using the local meteorological observational data and normalized in the same way. Here, the Y indicator is used to indicate the synthesized
- fluctuation of  $O_3$ , CO and water vapor, which acts as a surface chemical tracer to understand the exchange of surface air with the free or upper atmosphere. The conserved





physical process of transport events is assumed by the Y indicator, and this is inevitably influenced by the photochemical processes of  $O_3$  and CO. Under situations when the physical processes are much more dominant than the local photochemical production in source of surface  $O_3$ , the Y indicator is expected to act as a good tracer.

#### 5 3 Results and discussion

# 3.1 Seasonal and diurnal variations of $O_3$ and CO

The monthly averaged  $O_3$  and CO are shown in Table 1. Both  $O_3$  and CO reached maxima in spring ( $O_3$ : 55.2±9.3 ppb, CO: 183±57 ppb), and the highest monthly-averaged  $O_3$  concentrations of 58.3 ppb appeared in April. The spring maximum of  $O_3$  at Xianggelila is consistent with the observations elsewhere in the Northern Hemisphere (Monks et al., 2000). In winter, the concentration of CO is low, but the concentration of  $O_3$  is still relatively high with an average level of 45.8 ppb. On the contrary, in summer and fall,  $O_3$  level is low, but CO remains relatively high level.

- Table 1 also shows the maxima and minima of the average diurnal variation of O<sub>3</sub> in different months. The average diurnal variation of O<sub>3</sub> at Xianggelila maximizes in the early afternoon (12:00–14:00 LT) and minimizes in the early morning. This diurnal ozone pattern seems very similar with the typical diurnal O<sub>3</sub> pattern in urban or polluted area, at which photochemical product of O<sub>3</sub> can accumulated after the noon. However, at Xianggelila, the peak O<sub>3</sub> at daytime is strongly associated with the wind speed, as showed in Fig. 2. In the early morning, O<sub>3</sub> mixing ratios increase sharply with
- <sup>20</sup> speed, as showed in Fig. 2. In the early morning,  $O_3$  mixing ratios increase sharply with the increase of wind speed. During the high-wind-speed period (12:00–16:00 LT),  $O_3$ maintains high levels, and then  $O_3$  decreases with the decrease of wind speed till the night. Strong wind is not conducive to the accumulation of the local photochemical production of  $O_3$  and it also can force  $O_3$  losses by processes like deposition. Therefore,
- the transport and deposition will be the key factors than local photochemical process influencing the diurnal variations of surface  $O_3$  at Xianggelila, a remote and clean site.



![](_page_7_Picture_8.jpeg)

The maximal amplitude of the diurnal variation of O<sub>3</sub> was found in spring, and the minimal in winter. In monsoon season, the lowest diurnal amplitude was found in August (14.5 ppb, smaller than that in June, July, and September). In August, the precipitation and cloud coverage reached the annual maximum and the mixing layer height reached the minimum (Fig. 3). The boundary mixing layer height is calculated using the surface meteorological data according to the method proposed by Cheng et al. (2001). The cloud may decrease the solar radiation and weaken the mixing ability between free atmosphere and surface. The precipitation can remove more O<sub>3</sub> and its precursors from the troposphere. These factors together contribute significantly to the low level of the average surface O<sub>3</sub> and the smaller diurnal amplitude of O<sub>3</sub> in monsoon season, especially in August.

#### 3.2 Trajectory and surface measurements

3-day airmass backward trajectories during the measurement period were calculated for every 6 h, and then clustered into 7 clusters. The mean trajectory for each cluster, the ratios, and their patterns are shown in Fig. 4. The average temperature, water vapor, O<sub>3</sub>, and CO corresponding to each type of cluster are listed in Table 2. The dominant clusters are type 6 (55.1%), type 5 (28.1%) and type 7 (7.3%), with low level trajectory heights and relatively high CO level over 135 ppb. Types 5–7 can be recognized as relatively polluted clusters. O<sub>3</sub> in types 6 and 7 is lowest, because these

- types of trajectories occur mainly in summer and fall, when Xianggelila is influenced by monsoon and abundant rains, which inhibit the photochemical accumulation of O<sub>3</sub>. O<sub>3</sub> in type 5 is 44.8 ppb, a relatively high level and this type of trajectories mostly occur in spring and winter with less rains. Trajectories of types 1–4 are with high transport height and low CO, so they can be recognized as cleaner types in terms of CO. However, O<sub>3</sub>
- <sup>25</sup> in types 1–4 is relatively high, indicating that these types of trajectories possibly carry  $O_3$ -rich airmass from free troposphere to surface. Types 1–4 mainly occur in winter, spring and fall, and very rare in summer.

![](_page_8_Figure_5.jpeg)

Figure 5 shows kernel density of trajectory pressure levels (the minimal one during 72 h backward trajectories), trajectory height (the maximal one during 72 h backward trajectories) and hourly Y indicator. In summer, trajectories are most likely to travel very low with high pressure levels, and smallest Y indicators are observed. The spring kernel

- <sup>5</sup> density of trajectory resembles that in fall, but *Y* indicator in spring has lower possibility in the range of *Y* value between 3 and 7 than that in fall. This reflects that the *Y* indicator is able to indicate the different behavior of  $O_3$  in different season. It is intriguing that the kernel density of trajectories in winter has a peak between 200 and 500 hPa, and accordingly, the density of *Y* indicator is much higher in winter than in other seasons.
- <sup>10</sup> This is consistent with the seasonality of the subtropical jet (Sprenger and Wernli, 2003; Sprenger et al., 2003) and with the seasonal cycle of deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe (Trickl et al., 2010). The high possibility of low trajectory pressure level and the high *Y* value in winter implies the high possibility of ozone transport events in winter. In spring and fall, small peaks of the kernel density of trajectory pressure level
- and height are also obvious around the low pressure level at about 200 hPa to 400 hPa or high height at 1000 m to 3000 m, but the possibility is much lower than that in winter. What is intriguing is that the possibility of low trajectory pressure levels and high heights is a little higher in spring than in fall, but the possibility of large Y indicator is higher in fall than in spring. This reverse behavior of trajectory and Y indicator in spring and fall might imply that traped are traded to the possibility of the po
- <sup>20</sup> might imply that transport events from high altitudes does not necessarily enhance the  $O_3$  level and its variation, especially in spring when photochemical production might be a significant source of  $O_3$ . It should be noted that there exists a tiny peak of kernel probability density of pressure around 430 hPa, height around 4800 m and *Y* indicator around 8 in summer. This is due to a strong ozone transport event and will be discussed in Sect. 3.3.

Figure 6 shows the trajectory pressure level (or height a.g.l.) and the Y indicator in each month with their correlation coefficients and significance levels (P values). The seasonal variation of Y indicator shows a maximum in winter (2.5 to 3.0), a slight downward trend from spring to fall (1.5 to 2.0), and reached the lowest level (< 1.5) in

![](_page_9_Figure_6.jpeg)

![](_page_9_Picture_7.jpeg)

August. The trend of trajectory height is similar to that of *Y* indicator, while the trajectory pressure level shows an inverse trend. Relationships between trajectory pressure (and height) and *Y* indicator are significant in January–June, September, November and December. The largest correlation coefficient (over 0.6) is found in March. In other months, the correlation kept around 0.2 to 0.4. Only in July, August and October the correlation is not significant, especially in terms of the relationship between trajectory height and *Y* indicator. The differences in significance of correlations between the trajectories and the *Y* indicator in the different months indicate the different contributions of the high-level airmass to surface air, resulting in the fluctuation of surface O<sub>3</sub>, CO and water vapor. The airmass advections from upper atmosphere might contribute sig-

- <sup>10</sup> and water vapor. The airmass advections from upper atmosphere might contribute significantly to surface  $O_3$  in winter and spring. In terms of trajectory types, spring can be considered as the transition season with the origins of the trajectories changing from the Tibetan Plateau with high trajectory heights to the southwest and south of Xianggelila with low trajectory heights. The low *Y* indicator, trajectory height and the relationship between them in summer indicate that the factor mainly influencing surface
- $O_3$  is not regional transport, but monsoon with abundant clouds and rains as discussed in Sect. 3.1. However, there may be exceptions for some shorter periods, as shown in the next section.

# 3.3 A case of strong $O_3$ transport event

- <sup>20</sup> In order to explain that the *Y* indicator can be used to reveal the events of  $O_3$  transport, here, we present a case with a large *Y* value during 6–7 July 2008. In this case, the *Y* value reached 43.1 in the afternoon on 6 July 2008, and this is also the largest *Y* value during the two years' observation. As shown in Fig. 7, surface  $O_3$  reached a peak value of 82.4 ppb in the afternoon on 6 July 2008. At the same time, a sharp decrease of water vapor was observed. Around the peak time of  $O_3$  at 13:00 LT, CO also showed
- of water vapor was observed. Around the peak time of O<sub>3</sub> at 13:00 LI, CO also showed a low level close to the detect limit (50 ppb) of CO analyzer.

Figure 8 shows the 7 days backward trajectories at 00:00 UTC each day during the period of 4–9 July 2008. On 4 July, it is obvious that the air flows to Xianggelila origi-

![](_page_10_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_10_Picture_8.jpeg)

nated from the southwest with slow speed and low height (near the surface). However, the airflow path changed largely from the southwest to the northwest on 5 July and kept the features till 8 July, especially for the airflow in the higher layer. During this period, the airmasses in higher layer originated from relatively high elevations (from 6000

- to 10000 ma.g.l.), which are indicative of the lower stratosphere, travelled very fast across the north part of the Tibetan Plateau and reached the surface of Xianggelila. After 8 July, the origination of airmasses changed back to south/southwest, similar to that on 4 July. The airflow in the lower layer was also influenced by local airmass during 6–7 July. The co-effect by airmasses of different origins in different air layers might
   shorten the lasting period of high surface ozone level and often cause difficulty in iden-
- <sup>10</sup> shorten the lasting period of high surface ozone level and often cause difficulty in identifying an event of  $O_3$  transport events. Y indicator seems to be a good indicator, which can be further proved by the following evidence.

From 5 July to 8 July, there was a deep westerly trough developed to the east and northeast of Xianggelila (Fig. 9). This westerly trough began to impact Xianggelila on 5 July and extended southwesterly till 6 July, then retreated and diminished. The change of potential vorticity (PV) can be used to indicate a strong stratospheric air

15

intrusion into the troposphere across the tropopause. As shown in Fig. 9, a high PV tongue with a large gradient along the 2 PVU (potential vorticity unit) line propagated southwesterly, which indicates a strong stratospheric air intrusion into the troposphere.

If O<sub>3</sub> is strongly transported downward from stratosphere to troposphere, the total column ozone (TCO) would temporarily increase (Vaughan and Price, 1991). When the O<sub>3</sub>-rich air intruded into troposphere, it changed the vertical distribution of O<sub>3</sub> and caused a good correlation between the gradient of TCO (Fig. 10) and the gradient of PV (Fig. 9). In this case, the gradient of PV began to increase on 6 July when the TCO tongue appeared. The TCO value near Xianggelila (red star in Fig. 10) on 5 July is around 270 DU, and it began to increase on 6 July and reached 290 DU on 8 and

9 July. This increase is attributed to the evolution of the high TCO tongue. Together with downward trajectories in Fig. 8, this event shows that the deep westerly trough brought

![](_page_11_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_11_Picture_8.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_0.jpeg)

![](_page_12_Picture_1.jpeg)

down the  $O_3$ -rich air with less water vapor and CO into the troposphere and influenced the surface.

#### 3.4 Estimation of the frequency of transport events

As discussed in Sect. 3.3, *Y* indicator can be used to indicate  $O_3$  transport events. <sup>5</sup> A transport event might last at a high-lying surface site for several or dozens of hours. So, if *Y* indicator keeps at a relatively high level for several consecutive hours or days, there may have the possibility of  $O_3$  transport events.

There are totally 784 h with *Y* higher than 3, and the times of consecutive day with *Y* higher than 3, 4, and 5 are 200, 136, and 91, respectively, as shown in Table 3. The numbers of consecutive days with *Y* higher than 8 are 15 in winter, 12 in fall, 4 in spring and summer, indicating that the *Y* value-deduced occurrence of transport events vary largely from season to season. The transport events occur most frequently in winter, followed in fall, spring and the least in summer. To further analyze the frequency of the transport events, the relationship between the trajectory pressure level with *Y* higher than 8 are 15 in the trajectory pressure level of the transport events of the transport events are a functional.

- and *Y* is analyzed. The numbers of hours with both *Y* higher than a given value and trajectory pressure level lower than a given level are calculated for each season and shown in Fig. 11. In summer, hours with both low trajectory pressure levels and high *Y* values were rare, and this coincides with to the minimal  $O_3$  mixing ratio in summer. In summer monsoon season, there were about 68.7% of days with precipitation at Xi-
- <sup>20</sup> anggelila, which inhibits the accumulation of  $O_3$ . The average trajectory height (only average maximal height during 72 h) in summer was extremely low (134 m ending at 500 m a.g.l.), which limited the exchange of surface air with the upper free troposphere. In winter, the number of hours with both *Y* higher than 2 and trajectory pressure level lower than 500 hPa is nearly 2400 h. The trajectory pressure in winter was significantly
- <sup>25</sup> lower, indicating relatively higher  $O_3$  from upper atmosphere to contribute the surface  $O_3$  budget (Lefohn et al., 2001). The possibility of  $O_3$  transport events in fall was also high with a wide range of trajectory over 1000 m and *Y* over 3. Together with Table 2, it

is evident that the possible occurrences of  $O_3$ -rich transport events were prevailing in winter and then in fall or spring, but rare in summer.

Corresponding to the different frequency of the transport events in four seasons, the responses of surface  $O_3$ , CO and water vapor for different trajectory pressure levels and

- <sup>5</sup> *Y* indicator are examined.  $O_3$ , CO and water vapor are averaged according to the result of Fig. 11. As shown in Fig. 12, the trends of surface  $O_3$ , CO and water vapor respond to the distribution patterns of the trajectory pressure level and *Y* values in spring, fall and winter. The discriminable increase of  $O_3$  and the decrease of CO and water vapor can be found with the decrease of trajectory pressure level and the increase of *Y* indicator
- except in summer. Interestingly, the trend of CO in fall is similar with that in winter, but  $O_3$  does not show significant change with variation of trajectory pressure level or *Y* indicator. Because fall is still under monsoon influence, even higher frequency of transport events cannot bring about a higher surface  $O_3$ , possibly due to  $O_3$  destruction in continental stratus clouds (Wang and Sassen, 2000). This reflects that the dominant
- <sup>15</sup> factor impacting  $O_3$  is monsoon in fall. The monsoon impacts on decreasing  $O_3$  are also reported in India (Naja and Lal, 1996; Jain et al., 2005) and eastern China and the west Pacific region (e.g., He et al., 2008). From the correlation between the surface measurement and the trajectory height, as well as the *Y* value, it is credible that the averaged surface  $O_3$  will increase when a transport event happened with a feature
- of low trajectory pressure level and high *Y* value, especially in winter. An increase of  $O_3$  caused by deep transport events is estimated as 21.0% (+9.6 ppb) in winter, by subtracting the winter average ozone level (45.8 ppb) from the average  $O_3$  mixing ratio (55.4 ppb) in the period with both trajectories pressure level lower than 400 hPa and *Y* over 8. This is somewhat lower than the estimation of  $O_3$  increase (27.1%, +13 ppb)
- <sup>25</sup> due to stratospheric intrusions over NCO-P (Cristofanelli et al., 2010). In winter, the photochemical production of O<sub>3</sub> is thought to be lowest. However, the winter level of O<sub>3</sub> average is  $45.8 \pm 7.1$  ppb at the Xianggelila station, second only to that in spring. Therefore, the most occurrence of O<sub>3</sub> transport events in winter may be an important reason for the higher winter level of surface O<sub>3</sub> at Xianggelila.

![](_page_13_Picture_7.jpeg)

![](_page_13_Picture_8.jpeg)

Although Y indicator can be used to study the influence of transport events from the upper O<sub>3</sub>-rich atmosphere and obtain qualitative or semi-quantitative results, there are still questions needed to be answered like what is the criterion of Y indicator to indicate what a height for transport events. Table 4 shows the monthly results of the  $O_{3}$ -

- CO correlations, derived from 10:00-18:00 LT measurements from Xianggelila. The 5 correlations are statistically significant from February to November. Relatively steep negative slopes are found in May, June, September, October, November and a flatter negative slope in December, suggesting that there are clear influences from the upper troposphere and lower stratospheric air masses in these months. Significant positive O<sub>3</sub>-CO correlations with steeper slopes are found in July, August, February, March and 10
- April, which indicate that the influences of photochemical production of  $O_2$  are probably more important in these months.

#### 4 Conclusions

25

A two-year measurement of surface O<sub>3</sub> and CO was made from December 2007 to November 2009 at Xianggelila in Southwest China. The maximal O<sub>3</sub> and CO mixing 15 ratios were observed in spring, followed in winter and fall, and the minima was in summer. According to the analysis of backward trajectories, Xianggelila was influenced largely by the high and fast airflows from the south or north Tibet-Plateau in winter, fall and spring. In summer, trajectories to Xianggelila were mainly from the south and east regions, and their moving heights were very low under the influence of Asian Monsoon 20 from the end of May to the end of September. As a result, the minimal O<sub>3</sub> was found in summer due to the most frequent precipitation and cloudiness, and the CO level in summer kept at a relatively high level because of the air transport from the south and east regions with intense anthropogenic CO emissions. The CO level was low in winter because of the airmasses originated partly from the relatively clean Tibetan Plateau.

A transport indicator (Y), which combined the measured data of the chemical tracers of  $O_3$ , CO, and water vapor is proposed to indicate the fluctuation of  $O_3$  and sources

![](_page_14_Figure_6.jpeg)

from  $O_3$ -rich free troposphere. By using monthly normalized values in the calculation of Y, influences from the seasonality in the concentrations of tracers are minimized, so that the results from different seasons can be compared. A strong transport event is revealed by the largest Y indicator (43.1) during two years' observation and discussed using trajectory and weather analysis. The event was associated with a strong 5 westerly trough and resulted in enhance of surface  $O_3$ . Together with the trajectory pressure, the analysis of Y reveals that the most frequent transport events occurred in winter, and then followed in fall, spring and summer. This is consistent with the seasonality of the subtropical jet and that of deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe (Trickl et al., 2010). The winter maximum of the frequency of transport events 10 corresponds well with the relatively high O<sub>3</sub>, relatively low CO and water vapor levels at Xianggelila. Therefore, transport events of airmasses contribute significantly to the winter level of surface  $O_3$  at Xianggelila. The increase of winter  $O_3$  is estimated to be 21.0 % (+9.6 ppb) due to the impact of deep  $O_3$  transport events.

Acknowledgements. We thank the staff in Diqing Meteorological Bureau for their help during the measurements. This work is supported by Natural Science Foundation of China (21 177 157, 40 830 102), and the China Special Fund for Meteorological Research in the Public Interest (GYHY201 106 023) and Basic Research Fund of CAMS(2011Z003).

#### References

- <sup>20</sup> Bonasoni, P., Evangelisti, F., Bonafe, U., Ravegnani, F., Calzolari, F., Stohl, A., Tositti, L., Tubertini, O., and Colombo, T.: Stratospheric ozone intrusion episodes recorded at Mt. Cimone during the VOTALP project: case studies, Atmos. Environ., 34, 1355–1365, 2000.
  - Chameides, W. and Walker, J. C. G.: A photochemical theory of tropospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 78, 8751–8760, 1973.
- <sup>25</sup> Cheng, S. Y., Huang, G. H., Chakma, A., Hao, R. X., Liu, L., and Zhang, X. H.: Estimation of atmospheric mixing heights using data from airport meteorological stations, J. Environ. Sci. Healt. A, 36, 4, 521–532, 2001.

![](_page_15_Picture_6.jpeg)

![](_page_15_Picture_7.jpeg)

- Cooper, O., Moody, J., Parrish, D., Trainer, M., Holloway, J., Hübler, G., Fehsenfeld, F., and Stohl, A.: Trace gas composition of midlatitude cyclones over the western North Atlantic Ocean: a seasonal comparison of O<sub>3</sub> and CO, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4057, doi:10.1029/2001JD000902, 2002.
- <sup>5</sup> Cristofanelli, P., Calzolari, F., Bonafè, U., Duchi, R., Marinoni, A., Roccato, F., Tositti, L., and Bonasoni, P.: Stratospheric intrusion index (SI<sup>2</sup>) from baseline measurement data, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 97, 317–325, 2009.

Cristofanelli, P., Bracci, A., Sprenger, M., Marinoni, A., Bonafè, U., Calzolari, F., Duchi, R., Laj, P., Pichon, J. M., Roccato, F., Venzac, H., Vuillermoz, E., and Bonasoni, P.: Tropo-

spheric ozone variations at the Nepal Climate Observatory-Pyramid (Himalayas, 5079 m a.s.l.) and influence of deep stratospheric intrusion events, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 6537– 6549, doi:10.5194/acp-10-6537-2010, 2010.

Crutzen, P. J.: Photochemical reactions initiated by and influencing ozone in unpolluted tropospheric air, Tellus, 26, 47–57, 1974.

- <sup>15</sup> Crutzen, P. J., Lawrence, M. G., and Pöschl, U.: On the background photochemistry of tropospheric ozone, Tellus B, 51, 123–146, 1999.
  - Danielsen, E. F.: Stratospheric-tropospheric exchange based on radioactivity, ozone and potential vorticity, J. Atmos. Sci., 25, 502–518, 1968.

Davies, T. and Schuepbach, E.: Episodes of high ozone concentrations at the earth's surface

- resulting from transport down from the upper troposphere/lower stratosphere: a review and case studies, Atmos. Environ., 28, 53–68, 1994.
  - Ding, A. and Wang, T.: Influence of stratosphere-to-troposphere exchange on the seasonal cycle of surface ozone at Mount Waliguan in western China, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L03803, doi:10.1029/2005GL024760, 2006.
- Draxler, R. and Rolph, G.: HYSPLIT (HYbrid Single-Particle Lagrangian Integrated Trajectory) model, available at: http://www.arl.noaa.gov/ready/hysplit4.html (last access: 4 December 2013), NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, 2003.
  - Fishman, J., Solomon, S., and Crutzen, P. J.: Observational and theoretical evidence in support of a significant in-situ photochemical source of tropospheric ozone, Tellus, 31, 432–446, 1979.

30

Gidel, L. T. and Shapiro, M.: General circulation model estimates of the net vertical flux of ozone in the lower stratosphere and the implications for the tropospheric ozone budget, J. Geophys. Res., 85, 4049–4058, 1980.

![](_page_16_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_16_Picture_14.jpeg)

- He, Y. J., Uno, I., Wang, Z. F., Pochanart, P., Li, J., and Akimoto, H.: Significant impact of the East Asia monsoon on ozone seasonal behavior in the boundary layer of Eastern China and the west Pacific region, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 7543–7555, doi:10.5194/acp-8-7543-2008, 2008.
- <sup>5</sup> Hough, A. M. and Derwent, R. G.: Changes in the global concentration of tropospheric ozone due to human activities, Nature, 344, 645–648, 1990.
  - Jacob, D. J.: Heterogeneous chemistry and tropospheric ozone, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2131–2159, 2000.
  - Jain, S., Arya, B., Kumar, A., Ghude, S. D., and Kulkarni, P.: Observational study of surface ozone at New Delhi, India, Int. J. Remote Sens., 26, 3515–3524, 2005.
  - Junge, C. E.: Global ozone budget and exchange between stratosphere and troposphere, Tellus, 14, 363–377, 1962.
  - Klausen, J., Zellweger, C., Buchmann, B., and Hofer, P.: Uncertainty and bias of surface ozone measurements at selected Global Atmosphere Watch sites, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4622, doi:10.1029/2003JD003710. 2003.
- doi:10.1029/2003JD003710, 2003.
   Krupa, S. V. and Manning, W. J.: Atmospheric ozone: formation and effects on vegetation, Environ. Pollut., 50, 101–137, 1988.

10

- Lefohn, A. S., Oltmans, S. J., Dann, T., and Singh, H. B.: Present-day variability of background ozone in the lower troposphere, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 9945–9958, 2001.
- Liang, M. C., Tang, J., Chan, C. Y., Zheng, X., and Yung, Y. L.: Signature of stratospheric air at the Tibetan Plateau, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L20816, doi:10.1029/2008GL035246, 2008.
   Logan, J., Staehelin, J., Megretskaia, I., Cammas, J. P., Thouret, V., Claude, H., De Backer, H., Steinbacher, M., Scheel, H. E., and Stübi, R.: Changes in ozone over Europe: analysis of ozone measurements from sondes, regular aircraft (MOZAIC) and alpine surface sites, J.
   Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D09301, doi:10.1029/2011JD016952, 2012.
  - Ma, J., Zhou, X., and Hauglustaine, D.: Summertime tropospheric ozone over China simulated with a regional chemical transport model, 2. Source contributions and budget, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, ACH2-1–ACH2-11, 2002.

Ma, J., Zheng, X. D., and Xu, X. D.,: Comment on "Why does surface ozone peak

- <sup>30</sup> in summertime at Wa Liguan?" by Bin Zhu et al., Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L01805, doi:10.1029//2004GL021683, 2005.
  - Marenco, A., Thouret, V., Nédélec, P., Smit, H., Helten, M., Kley, D., Karcher, F., Simon, P., Law, K., and Pyle, J.: Measurement of ozone and water vapor by Airbus in-service aircraft:

![](_page_17_Picture_13.jpeg)

the MOZAIC airborne program, an overview, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 103, 25631–25642, 1998.

- Monks, P. S.: A review of the observations and origins of the spring ozone maximum, Atmos. Environ., 34, 3545–3561, 2000.
- <sup>5</sup> Moore, G. and Semple, J. L.: A Tibetan Taylor Cap and a halo of stratospheric ozone over the Himalaya, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L21810, doi:10.1029/2005GL024186, 2005.
  - Naja, M. and Lal, S.: Changes in surface ozone amount and its diurnal and seasonal patterns, from 1954–55 to 1991–93, measured at Ahmedabad (23 N), India, Geophys. Res. Lett., 23, 81–84, 1996.
- Neuman, J., Trainer, M., Aikin, K., Angevine, W., Brioude, J., Brown, S., de Gouw, J., Dube, W., Flynn, J., and Graus, M.: Observations of ozone transport from the free troposphere to the Los Angeles basin, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D00V09, doi:10.1029/2011JD016919, 2012.
  - Ordóñez, C., Brunner, D., Staehelin, J., Hadjinicolaou, P., Pyle, J., Jonas, M., Wernli, H., and Prévôt, A.: Strong influence of lowermost stratospheric ozone on lower tropo-
- spheric background ozone changes over Europe, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L07805, doi:10.1029/2006GL029113, 2007.
  - Oltmans, S., Lefohn, A., Shadwick, D., Harris, J., Scheel, H., Galbally, I., Tarasick, D., Johnson, B., Brunke, E.-G., and Claude, H.: Recent tropospheric ozone changes a pattern dominated by slow or no growth, Atmos. Environ., 67, 331–351, 2012.
- Parrish, D. D., Law, K. S., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H.-E., Steinbacher, M., and Chan, E.: Long-term changes in lower tropospheric baseline ozone concentrations at northern mid-latitudes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11485–11504, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11485-2012, 2012.

Rolph, G.: Real-time Environmental Applications and Display sYstem (READY), available at:

- http://ready.arl.noaa.gov (last access: 17 October 2013), NOAA Air Resources Laboratory, Silver Spring, MD, USA, 2010.
  - Skamarock, W. C., Klemp, J. B., Dudhia, J., Gill, D. O., Barker, D. M., Wang, W., and Powers, J. G.: A Description of the Advanced Research WRF Version 2, DTIC Document, NCAR Technical Note, NCAR/TN468+STR, 88 pp., http://wrf-model.org/wrfadmin/publications.php, 2005.

30

Sprenger, M. and Wernli, H.: A northern hemispheric climatology of cross-tropopause exchange for the ERA15 time period (1979–1993), J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8521, doi:10.1029/2002JD002636, 2003.

![](_page_18_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_18_Picture_14.jpeg)

- Sprenger, M., Maspoli, M. C., and Wernli, H.: Tropopause folds and cross-tropopause exchange: a global investigation based upon ECMWF analyses for the time period March 2000 to February 2001, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8518, doi:10.1029/2002JD002587, 2003.
- Staehelin, J., Harris, N., Appenzeller, C., and Eberhard, J.: Ozone trends: a review, Rev. Geophys., 39, 231–290, 2001.

5

- Stohl, A. and Trickl, T.: A textbook example of long-range transport simultaneous observation of ozone maxima of stratospheric and North American origin in the free troposphere over Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 445–462, 1999.
- Stohl, A., Spichtinger-Rakowsky, N., Bonasoni, P., Feldmann, H., Memmesheimer, M.,
- <sup>10</sup> Scheel, H., Trickl, T., Hübener, S., Ringer, W., and Mandl, M.: The influence of stratospheric intrusions on alpine ozone concentrations, Atmos. Environ., 34, 1323–1354, 2000.
  - Tang, J., Wen, Y., Xu, X., Zheng, X., Guo, S., and Zhao, Y.: China global atmosphere watch baseline observatory and its measurement program, CAMS Annual Report 1994–1995, China Meteorology Press, Beijing, 56–65, 1995.
- <sup>15</sup> Tilmes, S., Pan, L. L., Hoor, P., Atlas, E., Avery, M. A., Campos, T., Christensen, L. E., Diskin, G. S., Gao, R.-S., Herman, R. L., Hintsa, E. J., Loewenstein, M., Lopez, J., Paige, M. E., Pittman, J. V., Podolske, J. R., Proffitt, M. R., Sachse, G. W., Schiller, C., Schlager, H., Smith, J., Spelten, N., Webster, C., Weinheimer, A., and Zondlo, M. A.: An aircraft-based upper troposphere lower stratosphere O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and H<sub>2</sub>O climatology for the
- Northern Hemisphere, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D14303, doi:10.1029/2009JD012731, 2010. Trickl, T., Feldmann, H., Kanter, H.-J., Scheel, H.-E., Sprenger, M., Stohl, A., and Wernli, H.: Forecasted deep stratospheric intrusions over Central Europe: case studies and climatologies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 499–524, doi:10.5194/acp-10-499-2010, 2010.
- Vaughan, G. and Price, J.: On the relation between total ozone and meteorology, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 117, 1281–1298, 1991.
  - Vingarzan, R.: A review of surface ozone background levels and trends, Atmos. Environ., 38, 3431–3442, 2004.
  - Wang, T., Wong, H., Tang, J., Ding, A., Wu, W., and Zhang, X.: On the origin of surface ozone and reactive nitrogen observed at a remote mountain site in the north-
- <sup>30</sup> eastern Qinghai-Tibetan Plateau, western China, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D08303, doi:10.1029/2005JD006527, 2006.
  - Wang, Z. and Sassen, K.: Ozone destruction in continental stratus clouds: an aircraft case study, J. Appl. Meteorol., 39, 875–886, 2000.

![](_page_19_Picture_13.jpeg)

![](_page_19_Picture_14.jpeg)

- Xu, X., Tang, J., and Lin, W.: The trend and variability of surface ozone at the global GAW station Mt. WALIGUAN, China, in: Second Tropospheric Ozone Workshop Tropospheric Ozone Changes: Observations, State of Understanding and Model Performances, WMO/GAW report, WMO, Geneva, 49-55, 2011.
- <sup>5</sup> Zheng, X., Wan, G., Tang, J., Zhang, X., Yang, W., Lee, H., and Wang, C.: <sup>7</sup>Be and <sup>210</sup>Pb radioactivity and implications on sources of surface ozone at Mt. Waliguan, Chinese Sci. Bull., 50, 167–171, 2005.
  - Zheng, X., Shen, C., Wan, G., Liu, K., and Tang, J., Xu, X.: <sup>10</sup>Be/<sup>7</sup>Be implies the contribution of stratosphere -troposphere transport to the winter-spring surface O<sub>3</sub> variation observed on
- the Tibetan Plateau, Chinese Sci. Bull., 56, 84-88, 2011. 10

<b>Discussion</b> Pa	<b>AC</b> 14, 1823–1	<b>ACPD</b> 14, 1823–1859, 2014						
per   Discussion	Influence of airma transport events the variability of surface ozone J. Ma et al.							
Paper	Title	Page						
—	Abstract	Introduction						
Discussic	Conclusions Tables	References Figures						
in Paper	14 4	►I ►						
Discussio	Back Full Scre	Close een / Esc						
n Paper	Printer-frier Interactive	Printer-friendly Version Interactive Discussion						

![](_page_20_Picture_5.jpeg)

<b>AC</b> 14, 1823–	<b>ACPD</b> 14, 1823–1859, 2014						
Influence transport the varia surface J. Ma	Influence of airmass transport events on the variability of surface ozone J. Ma et al.						
Title	Page						
Abstract	Introduction						
Conclusions	References						
Tables	Figures						
	•						
Back	Close						
Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc						
Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version						
Interactive Discussion							

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

Month  $O_3$  [ppbv] CO [ppbv]  $Mean \pm SD$ Diurnal Max (LT) Diurnal Min (LT) Diurnal amplitude  $Mean \pm SD$ Jan  $45.4 \pm 5.6$ 49.2 (14:00) 41.4 (08:00) 7.9  $139 \pm 56$ Feb  $50.6 \pm 5.8$ 54.3 (12:00) 47.2 (08:00) 7.1  $153 \pm 46$ Mar  $57.1 \pm 6.9$ 61.4 (12:00) 50.5 (08:00) 10.8  $185 \pm 57$ Apr  $58.3 \pm 8.8$ 63.7 (13:00) 50.1 (07:00) 13.6  $182 \pm 59$ May  $50.2 \pm 9.8$ 58.4 (13:00) 39.9 (07:00) 18.5  $181 \pm 54$ Jun  $37.4 \pm 11.6$ 46.6 (13:00) 27.9 (06:00) 18.7  $146 \pm 40$ Jul 34.8 (13:00) 18.5 (07:00) 16.3  $26.8 \pm 12.5$  $153 \pm 47$  $24.2 \pm 8.8$ 31.8 (13:00) 17.3 (06:00) 14.5 Aug  $156 \pm 42$  $29.6 \pm 9.2$ 37.7 (13:00) 20.3 (06:00) 17.4 Sep  $159 \pm 41$ 24.1 (08:00) Oct  $31.4 \pm 10.1$ 37.5 (14:00) 13.4  $124 \pm 36$ Nov  $38.1 \pm 7.8$ 42.8 (14:00) 33.1 (09:00) 9.7  $118 \pm 44$ Dec  $39.7 \pm 5.0$ 44.7 (14:00) 36.1 (10:00) 8.6  $119 \pm 53$ 

#### **Table 1.** Monthly mean $O_3$ and CO, the average diurnal $O_3$ maxima, minima, and amplitudes.

Т	Wind speed	humidity	O <sub>3</sub>	CO	Spring (%)	Summer (%)	Fall (%)	Winter (%)
-1.9	2.8	1.5	53.5	99	50.0	0.0	0.0	50.0
5.0	1.8	5.0	43.8	126	38.6	18.6	17.1	25.7
1.6	2.1	2.1	40.5	93	4.0	0.0	28.0	68.0
0.9	1.9	2.4	36.0	98	10.2	0.7	21.1	68.0
3.2	2.3	4.2	44.8	139	46.8	2.2	17.4	33.6
7.1	1.9	7.9	32.6	135	17.1	37.4	28.3	17.3
9.5	1.6	9.7	27.6	150	14.6	49.5	35.8	0.0
	<i>T</i> -1.9 5.0 1.6 0.9 3.2 7.1 9.5	T       Wind speed         -1.9       2.8         5.0       1.8         1.6       2.1         0.9       1.9         3.2       2.3         7.1       1.9         9.5       1.6	T         Wind speed         humidity           -1.9         2.8         1.5           5.0         1.8         5.0           1.6         2.1         2.1           0.9         1.9         2.4           3.2         2.3         4.2           7.1         1.9         7.9           9.5         1.6         9.7	T         Wind speed         humidity         O <sub>3</sub> -1.9         2.8         1.5         53.5           5.0         1.8         5.0         43.8           1.6         2.1         2.1         40.5           0.9         1.9         2.4         36.0           3.2         2.3         4.2         44.8           7.1         1.9         7.9         32.6           9.5         1.6         9.7         27.6	TWind speedhumidityO3CO-1.92.81.553.5995.01.85.043.81261.62.12.140.5930.91.92.436.0983.22.34.244.81397.11.97.932.61359.51.69.727.6150	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$ \begin{array}{c ccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$

<b>Table 2.</b> Average air temperature (°C), wind speed (ms <sup>-1</sup> ), specific humidity (gkg <sup>-1</sup> ), O <sub>3</sub> and
CO volume mixing ratios (ppb) associated with different types of trajectories and seasonal
fractioning of trajectories.

<b>ACPD</b> 14, 1823–1859, 2014					
Influence of airmass transport events on the variability of surface ozone					
J. Ma	et al.				
Title Page					
Abstract	Introduction				
Conclusions	References				
Tables	Figures				
	N				
Back	Close				
Full Screen / Esc					
Printer-friendly Version					
Interactive Discussion					

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion Paper** 

**Discussion Paper** 

![](_page_22_Picture_4.jpeg)

iscuss	AC	ACPD								
sion F	14, 1823–	14, 1823–1859, 2014								
aper	Influence transport	Influence of airmass								
Die	the varia	the variability of surface ozone								
scussion	J. Ma	J. Ma et al.								
Paper	Title	Title Page								
—	Abstract	Introduction								
Discu	Conclusions	References								
noissr	Tables	Figures								
Pape	I	►I								
<u> </u>		•								
	Back	Close								
iscussi	Full Scre	Full Screen / Esc								
ion Pa	Printer-frier	Printer-friendly Version								
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion								

CC I

**Table 3.** Numbers of hours and consecutive days meeting the different *Y* criteria.

		<i>Y</i> > 3	Y > 4	Y > 5	Y > 6	Y > 7	Y > 8
numbers of hours	A.L.I.	784	396	218	138	88	72
numbers of consecutive days	ALL Spring	200 42	136	91 15	63 10	46 5	38 4
	Summer	32	14	11	9	5	4
	Fall	58	43	29	19	16	12
	Winter	65	53	32	21	17	15

**Table 4.** Monthly results of the  $O_3$ -CO correlations, derived from 10:00–18:00 LT measurements from Xianggelila. The slopes and intercepts of the regression lines were derived using the reduced-major-axis regression technique.

Month	intercept	slope	$R^2$	Ρ	Ν
Jan	33.5	0.109	0.0007	0.59	392
Feb	35.9	0.117	0.0494	< 0.0001	438
Mar	38.7	0.119	0.1950	< 0.0001	521
Apr	39.7	0.136	0.1160	< 0.0001	519
May	83.4	-0.192	0.0531	< 0.0001	509
Jun	85.2	-0.344	0.0861	< 0.0001	516
Jul	-14.0	0.347	0.0540	< 0.0001	497
Aug	-3.1	0.233	0.0411	< 0.0001	515
Sep	67.5	-0.251	0.0547	< 0.0001	461
Oct	65.2	-0.285	0.1080	< 0.0001	499
Nov	58.4	-0.183	0.0693	< 0.0001	420
Dec	50.7	-0.094	0.0551	0.02	300

![](_page_24_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_24_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 1. The geographical location of the Xianggelila Regional Atmosphere Background Station.

![](_page_25_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_25_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_26_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 2.** The average diurnal variations of  $O_3$  and wind speed (WS) at the Xianggelila station for different periods.

![](_page_26_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

![](_page_26_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 3. Monthly variations of rainfall, cloud cover and boundary mixing layer height at Xianggelila station.

![](_page_27_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_27_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_28_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 4. Mean backward trajectories ending at Xianggelila. The endpoint height is 500 m a.g.l. The numbers of trajectories in each cluster and their percent ratios among the total trajectories are shown. The unit of trajectory height is ma.g.l.

![](_page_28_Picture_2.jpeg)

Discussion Paper

![](_page_29_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 5.** Kernel probability density of trajectory pressure level, trajectory height above ground level and hourly *Y* indicator in each season.

![](_page_29_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_29_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 6.** Correlation between trajectory pressure level or height and Y indicator with significant levels (*P* value). The upper-left graph shows monthly trends of trajectory pressure and *Y* indicator, while the upper-right is the correlation between both. The lower-left graph shows monthly trends of trajectory height and *Y* indicator, while the lower-right is the correlation between both. Note that correlation between trajectory pressure and *Y* indicator is actually negative but shown in absolute value.

![](_page_30_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_30_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_31_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 7.** Time series of Y value, water vapor, CO and  $O_3$  mixing ratios from 5 July to 11 July 2008.

![](_page_31_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_0.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_1.jpeg)

![](_page_32_Figure_2.jpeg)

Discussion Paper

![](_page_32_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 9.** Geopotential height, horizontal wind vector on 300 hPa and potential vorticity on 350 K from 5 July to 8 July 2008. The filled red circle denotes the Xianggelila station.

![](_page_33_Picture_2.jpeg)

![](_page_33_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 10. Total column  $O_3$  from 5 July to 8 July 2008. The red pentacle denotes the Xianggelila station.

![](_page_34_Figure_2.jpeg)

![](_page_34_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_35_Figure_0.jpeg)

**Fig. 11.** Hours with both trajectory pressure lower than and Y value bigger than given values in different seasons. Note that x-axis is in logarithmic coordinate.

![](_page_35_Picture_2.jpeg)

Discussion Paper

![](_page_35_Picture_3.jpeg)

![](_page_36_Figure_0.jpeg)

Fig. 12. Distributions of the values of O<sub>3</sub>, CO, and water vapor above specific trajectory pressure and Y indicator. Y-axis denotes trajectory pressure (hPa) and x-axis denotes Y indicator. Units of color bar of  $O_3$  and CO are ppb; of water vapor is  $gkg^{-1}$ .

![](_page_36_Figure_2.jpeg)

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper