



*Supplement of*

## **Bromine partitioning in the tropical tropopause layer: implications for stratospheric injection**

**R. P. Fernandez et al.**

*Correspondence to:* A. Saiz-Lopez (a.saiz@csic.es)

# Supplementary Material

## Bromine partitioning in the tropical tropopause layer: implications for stratospheric injection

R. P. Fernandez<sup>1</sup>, R. J. Salawitch<sup>2</sup>, D. E. Kinnison<sup>3</sup>, J-F. Lamarque<sup>3</sup> and A. Saiz-Lopez<sup>1</sup>

[1]{Atmospheric Chemistry and Climate Group, Institute of Physical Chemistry Rocasolano, CSIC, Madrid 28006, Spain}

[2]{Department of Atmospheric and Oceanic Science, Department of Chemistry and Biochemistry, and Earth System Science Interdisciplinary Center, University of Maryland, College Park, Maryland, MD 20742, USA}

[3]{Atmospheric Chemistry Division, NCAR, Boulder, CO 80301, USA}

Correspondence to: A. Saiz-Lopez (a.saiz@csic.es)

### 1 Updates on the bromine chemistry scheme

Heterogeneous recycling of halogen species over sulfate aerosol, ice-particles and sea-salt aerosols are shown in (Table S1), while the Henry law's constants for wet deposition of each independent inorganic species is presented in Table S2.

### 2 Uncertainty of box-model results

Figure 9 in the main text presents estimates of the uncertainty of calculated values of Br, BrO, and HBr in the tropical tropopause layer. The figure includes a representation of the uncertainty due to gas phase processes, plus a total overall uncertainty found considering heterogeneous and gas phase processes. Here, we describe how these uncertainties were found. The baseline sensitivity simulation (*Run\_1a*) was run using JPL-2010 kinetics (Sander et al., 2011). The simulations were repeated many times, allowing each time for a single change in a kinetics parameter relative to the baseline simulation. Rate constants for Br+O<sub>3</sub>,

1 BrO+NO, Br+H<sub>2</sub>CO, and Br+HO<sub>2</sub> were varied according to the uncertainties given by JPL-  
2 2006. Similarly, the *J-value* for BrO was varied, allowing for an uncertainty of ±8 % as  
3 reported by Wilmouth et al., (Wilmouth et al., 1999), because JPL-2006 does not give an  
4 uncertainty for the BrO cross-section. The model was run for variations allowing for both  
5 increases and decreases of each parameter. The results of Figure 9 are focused on daytime  
6 chemistry. These 5 kinetic parameters were determined, based on analysis of model output, to  
7 be the primary determinants of the daytime partitioning of Br, BrO, and HBr. The dashed  
8 lines in Figure 9 were found based on a root mean sum of squares (RSS) combination of the  
9 fractional differences between results of the baseline simulation and results of each of the  
10 individual uncertainty analysis runs, accounting for the direction of the shift of each molecule  
11 (i.e., the lower limit for Br represents all simulations that resulted in reduced values of Br  
12 relative to the baseline run). The dashed lines represent the combined uncertainty due to the 5  
13 gas phase kinetic parameters described above.

14 Consideration of uncertainties in heterogeneous processes leads to additional uncertainty,  
15 particularly for HBr and Br. For calculation of these uncertainties, two additional model runs  
16 were folded into the analysis (see Table 2): *Run\_0*, which results in higher values of HBr and  
17 lower values of Br relative to the baseline (due to a reaction probability of zero for the  
18 HOBr+HBr heterogeneous reaction); and, *Run\_2b*, which results in decreased HBr and  
19 increased Br, due to the very efficient heterogeneous processing associated with the  
20 combination of ice and chlorine. The dotted lines in Figure 9 represent incorporation, into a  
21 RSS analysis, of fractional differences resulting from *Run\_0* and *Run\_2b* plus the  
22 perturbations to gas phase kinetics. The dotted lines therefore represent an overall uncertainty  
23 due to both gas phase and heterogeneous processes.

24

25

26

1 **Table S1.** Heterogeneous reactions involving bromine and chlorine in CAM-Chem.

2

	Reactions	Reactive uptake	Comments
Stratospheric sulfate aerosol reactions			
H1.	$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_3$	$f(\text{wt}\%)$	a
H2.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOCl} + \text{HNO}_3$	$f(\text{T,P,HCl,H}_2\text{O,r})$	a
H3.	$\text{BrONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOBr} + \text{HNO}_3$	$f(\text{T,P,H}_2\text{O,r})$	a
H4.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{HNO}_3$	$f(\text{T,P,HCl,H}_2\text{O,r})$	a
H5.	$\text{HOCl} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$f(\text{T,P,HCl,HOCl,H}_2\text{O,r})$	a
H6.	$\text{HOBr} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{BrCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$f(\text{T,P,HCl,HOBr,H}_2\text{O,r})$	a
Nitric acid tri-hydrate reactions			
H7.	$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma = 4.0 \times 10^{-4}$	b
H8.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOCl} + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma = 4.0 \times 10^{-3}$	b
H9.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma = 0.2$	b
H10.	$\text{HOCl} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\gamma = 0.1$	b
H11.	$\text{BrONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOBr} + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma = 0.3$	b
Water-ice aerosol reactions§			
H12.	$\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow 2 \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.02$	b
H13.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOCl} + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = \gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.3$	b
H14.	$\text{BrONO}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{HOBr} + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = 0.1^\dagger$ $\gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.3$	b
H15.	$\text{ClONO}_2 + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{HNO}_3$	$\gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.3$	b
H16.	$\text{HOCl} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = \gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.2$	b
H17.	$\text{HOBr} + \text{HCl} \rightarrow \text{BrCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = \gamma_{\text{strat}} = 0.3$	b
H18.	$\text{HOCl} + \text{HBr} \rightarrow \text{BrCl} + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = 0.2^\dagger$	b
H19.	$\text{HOBr} + \text{HBr} \rightarrow \text{Br}_2 + \text{H}_2\text{O}$	$\gamma_{\text{trop}} = 0.12^\dagger$	b
Sea-salt aerosol reactions			
HSS0.	$\text{BrONO}_2 \rightarrow 0.65 \text{ Br}_2 + 0.35 \text{ BrCl}$	$\gamma = 0.08$	c
HSS1.	$\text{BrNO}_2 \rightarrow 0.65 \text{ Br}_2 + 0.35 \text{ BrCl}$	$\gamma = 0.04$	c
HSS2.	$\text{HOBr} \rightarrow 0.65 \text{ Br}_2 + 0.35 \text{ BrCl}$	$\gamma = 0.1$	c

HSS3.	$\text{ClONO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2$	$\gamma = 0.02$	c
HSS4.	$\text{ClNO}_2 \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2$	$\gamma = 0.02$	c
HSS5.	$\text{HOCl} \rightarrow \text{Cl}_2$	$\gamma = 0.1$	c

---

1

2 <sup>a</sup> gamma computed online following (Kinnison et al., 2007).

3 <sup>b</sup> values taken from JPL-2010 (Sander et al., 2011).

4 <sup>c</sup> values based on the THAMO model (Saiz-Lopez et al., 2008) adjusted to the CAM-Chem implementation

5 following (Ordóñez et al., 2012).

6 † the tropopause level computed online in CAM-Chem was used to differentiate the troposphere and the

7 stratosphere.

8

9

1 **Table S2.** Henry's Law constants for relevant halogen species in CAM-Chem.

2

Species	$k_0$ (M atm <sup>-1</sup> )	c (K)	Ice-uptake	Comments
ClONO <sub>2</sub>	1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup>		YES	a
ClNO <sub>2</sub>	3.5 x10 <sup>-2</sup>		YES	b
HCl	1.54 x10 <sup>0</sup>	9000	YES	c, †
HOCl	9.3 x10 <sup>2</sup>		YES	c
BrONO <sub>2</sub>	1.0 x 10 <sup>6</sup>		YES	a
BrNO <sub>2</sub>	3.0 x10 <sup>-1</sup>		YES	
HOBr	1.9 x10 <sup>3</sup>		NO	b
HBr	7.2 x10 <sup>-1</sup>	6100	NO	c
BrCl	9.4 x10 <sup>-1</sup>	5600	YES	c
Br <sub>2</sub>	7.6 x10 <sup>-1</sup>	4100	YES	c

3

4 All values were taken from the Henry's Law Constant Compilation of Dr. Rolf Sander (Sander, 1999).

5 <sup>a</sup> Virtually infinite solubility is represented in the model using a very large but arbitrary number.

6 <sup>b</sup> Within the range of values given in the corresponding reference.

7 <sup>c</sup> Other values are also reported in the corresponding reference.

8 † Considering an acid dissociation constant of  $K_a = 1.3 \times 10^{-6}$

9

10

## 1   **References**

2

3   Kinnison, D. E., Brasseur, G. P., Walters, S., Garcia, R. R., Marsh, D. R., Sassi, F., Harvey,  
4   V. L., Randall, C. E., Emmons, L., Lamarque, J. F., Hess, P., Orlando, J. J., Tie, X. X.,  
5   Randel, W., Pan, L. L., Gettelman, A., Granier, C., Diehl, T., Niemeier, U. and Simmons, A.  
6   J.: Sensitivity of chemical tracers to meteorological parameters in the MOZART-3 chemical  
7   transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112(D20), D20302, doi:10.1029/2006JD007879, 2007.

8   Ordóñez, C., Lamarque, J.-F., Tilmes, S., Kinnison, D. E., Atlas, E. L., Blake, D. R., Sousa  
9   Santos, G., Brasseur, G. and Saiz-Lopez, A.: Bromine and iodine chemistry in a global  
10   chemistry-climate model: description and evaluation of very short-lived oceanic sources,  
11   *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12(3), 1423–1447, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1423-2012, 2012.

12   Saiz-Lopez, A., Plane, J. M. C., Mahajan, A. S., Anderson, P. S., Bauguitte, S. J.-B., Jones, A.  
13   E., Roscoe, H. K., Salmon, R. A., Bloss, W. J., Lee, J. D. and Heard, D. E.: On the vertical  
14   distribution of boundary layer halogens over coastal Antarctica: implications for O<sub>3</sub>, HO<sub>x</sub>,  
15   NO<sub>x</sub> and the Hg lifetime, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8(4), 887–900, doi:10.5194/acp-8-887-2008,  
16   2008.

17   Sander, R.: *Compilation of Henry's Law Constants for Inorganic and Organic Species of*  
18   *Potential Importance in Environmental Chemistry (v3)*., 1999.

19   Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Barker, J. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Sciences, G. E.,  
20   Wine, P. H., Abbatt, J. P. D., Burkholder, J. B., Kolb, C. E., Moortgat, G. K., Huie, R. E. and  
21   Orkin, V. L.: *Chemical Kinetics and Photochemical Data for Use in Atmospheric Studies,*  
22   *Evaluation No. 17, JPL\_NASA, 10-6(17), 2011.*

23   Wilmouth, D. M., Hanisco, T. F., Donahue, N. M. and Anderson, J. G.: Fourier Transform  
24   Ultraviolet Spectroscopy of the  $A\ 2\ \Pi\ 3/2 \leftarrow X\ 2\ \Pi\ 3/2$  Transition of  $\text{BrO}^\dagger$ , *J. Phys. Chem.*  
25   *A*, 103(45), 8935–8945, doi:10.1021/jp991651o, 1999.

26