# 1 Effects of preexisting ice crystals on cirrus clouds and comparison

- 2 between different ice nucleation parameterizations with the
- 3 Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5)
- 4 X. Shi<sup>1,2,3</sup>, X. Liu<sup>1</sup>, and K. Zhang<sup>4</sup>
- 5 1 Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, USA
- 6 2 Hebei Key Laboratory for Meteorology and Eco-environment, Shijiazhuang, China
- 7 3 Hebei Climate Center, Shijiazhuang, China
- 8 4 Atmospheric Science and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
- 9 Richland, WA, USA
- 10
- 11 Correspondence to:
- 12 X. Liu (xliu6@uwyo.edu)
- 13

#### Abstract

15 In order to improve the treatment of ice nucleation in a more realistic manner in the 16 Community Atmospheric Model version 5.3 (CAM5.3), the effects of preexisting ice crystals 17 on ice nucleation in cirrus clouds are considered. In addition, by considering the in-cloud variability in ice saturation ratio, homogeneous nucleation takes place spatially only in a 18 19 portion of cirrus cloud rather than in the whole area of cirrus cloud. Compared to 20 observations, the ice number concentrations and the probability distributions of ice number 21 concentration are both improved with the updated treatment. The preexisting ice crystals 22 significantly reduce ice number concentrations in cirrus clouds, especially at mid- to high latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of ~10). Furthermore, the contribution of 23 24 heterogeneous ice nucleation to cirrus ice crystal number increases considerably.

25 Besides the default ice nucleation parameterization of Liu and Penner (2005, hereafter 26 LP) in CAM5.3, two other ice nucleation parameterizations of Barahona and Nenes (2009, hereafter BN) and Kärcher et al. (2006, hereafter KL) are implemented in CAM5.3 for the 27 comparison. In-cloud ice crystal number concentration, percentage contribution from 28 29 heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal number, and preexisting ice effects simulated 30 by the three ice nucleation parameterizations have similar patterns in the simulations with 31 present-day aerosol emissions. However, the change (present-day minus pre-industrial times) in global annual mean column ice number concentration from the KL parameterization 32  $(3.24 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$  is less than that from the LP  $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$  and BN  $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ 33 34 parameterizations. As a result, the experiment using the KL parameterization predicts a much smaller anthropogenic aerosol longwave indirect forcing (0.24 W m<sup>-2</sup>) than that using the LP 35  $(0.46 \text{ W m}^{-2})$  and BN  $(0.39 \text{ W m}^{-2})$  parameterizations. 36

14

#### **1** Introduction

38 Cirrus clouds play an important role in the global climate system because they have extensive global coverage (Wang et al., 1996; Wylie and Menzel, 1999). They cool the planet 39 by reflecting the solar radiation back to space and heat the planet by absorbing and 40 41 re-emitting the longwave terrestrial radiation (Liou, 1986; Rossow and Schiffer, 1999; Chen 42 et al., 2000; Corti et al., 2005). The balance of these two processes depends mainly on cirrus 43 optical properties and thus on ice crystals number concentration (Haag, 2004; Kay et al., 2006; Fusina et al., 2007; Gettelman et al., 2012). Furthermore, the microphysical properties 44 of cirrus clouds strongly influence the efficiency of dehydration at the tropical tropopause 45 46 layer and modulate water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (Korolev and 47 Isaac, 2006; Krämer et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2013).

48 In recent years, significant progress has been made in both cirrus cloud measurements 49 and cirrus cloud modeling (e.g., Heymsfield et al., 2005; Krämer et al., 2009; DeMott et al., 2011; Cziczo et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2013; Diao et al., 2014; Barahona and Nenes, 2011; 50 51 Jensen et al., 2012; Spichtinger and Krämer, 2013; Murphy, 2014). Ice crystals may form by 52 both homogeneous freezing of soluble aerosol/droplet particles and heterogeneous ice 53 nucleation on insoluble aerosol particles, called ice nuclei (IN, Pruppacher and Klett, 1997). Laboratory experiments and field observations show that various insoluble or partly insoluble 54 55 aerosol particles can act as IN under cirrus formation conditions, such as mineral dust, fly ash, 56 and metallic particles (DeMott et al., 2003; Cziczo et al., 2004; DeMott et al., 2011; Hoose 57 and Möhler, 2012). Understanding the role of different aerosol types serving as heterogeneous IN in cirrus clouds remains challenging (Szyrmer and Zawadzki, 1997; Kärcher et al., 2007; 58 Hendricks et al., 2011; Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Cziczo et al., 2013). Compared to 59 heterogeneous nucleation, homogeneous nucleation is relatively better understood (Koop et 60 61 al., 2000; Koop, 2004). The number concentration of soluble aerosol particles in the upper

3

62 troposphere is usually much higher than that of IN. Once taking place, homogeneous freezing can generate a high number concentration of ice crystals in cold environments with high 63 64 updraft velocities, and has been assumed to be a dominant process for cirrus cloud formation 65 (Heymsfield et al., 2005; Wang and Penner, 2010; Gettelman et al., 2012). However, heterogeneous nucleation tends to occur at lower supersaturations, and thus prevents the 66 67 homogeneous nucleation from occurring or reduces the number of ice crystals produced by 68 the homogeneous freezing (Kärcher and Lohmann, 2003; Spichtinger and Gierens, 2009). If 69 the homogeneous nucleation is prevented or how the rate of homogeneously nucleated ice 70 crystals is reduced depends on several parameters, such as number of heterogeneous IN, 71 temperature, vertical updraft (Liu and Penner, 2005; Kärcher et al., 2006; Barahona and Nenes, 2009). In recent years, the relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus 72 73 heterogeneous nucleation to cirrus cloud formation has attracted a lot of attention. Cziczo et 74 al. (2013) analyzed the residual particle composition (after the ice was sublimated) within cirrus crystals of North and Central America and nearby oceans, and found that heterogeneous 75 freezing was the dominant formation mechanism of these clouds. However, simulations from 76 general circulation models (GCMs) often show that homogeneous freezing is the primary 77 contributor to ice number concentration in cirrus clouds (Lohmann et al., 2008; Hendricks et 78 al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2013a; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). The changes in the 79 relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus heterogeneous nucleation may have a 80 81 significant impact on estimating the anthropogenic aerosol indirect effects through cirrus 82 clouds (Liu et al., 2009).

Aerosol indirect effects on cloud properties are one of the largest uncertainties in the projection of future climate change (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; IPCC, 2007; 2013). There has been significant progress in recent years in developing ice microphysics schemes for GCMs and studying aerosol effects on cirrus clouds (Liu et al., 2007; Gettelman et al., 2010;

87 Salzmann et al., 2010; Wang and Penner, 2010; Hendricks et al., 2011; Ghan et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2012; Barahona et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2013; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). A key 88 89 component in cirrus cloud microphysics schemes is the ice nucleation parameterization that 90 links ice number concentration to aerosol properties. Based on theoretical formulations or 91 model simulations of the ice crystal formation process in a rising air parcel, sophisticated ice nucleation parameterizations considering the competition between homogeneous and 92 93 heterogeneous nucleation have been developed (Liu and Penner, 2005, hereafter LP; Kärcher 94 et al., 2006, hereafter KL; Barahona and Nenes, 2009, hereafter BN). Liu et al. (2012a) 95 studied the impact of heterogeneous dust IN on upper tropospheric cirrus clouds using 96 Community Atmospheric Model version 5 (CAM5) with LP and BN parameterizations, and found that the impact of heterogeneous dust IN with the LP parameterization is much larger 97 than that with the BN parameterization. Studies of anthropogenic aerosol indirect effects 98 99 showed that the annual global mean change in longwave cloud forcing from pre-industrial times to present-day estimated from CAM5 with the LP parameterization is 0.40-0.52 W m<sup>-2</sup> 100 (Ghan et al., 2012), much larger than the estimate (0.05-0.20 W m<sup>-2</sup>) by the 101 102 ECHAM5-HAM2 model (Zhang et al., 2012) with the KL parameterization (Zhang et al., 103 unpublished result). Therefore, it is imperative to find out whether different ice nucleation 104 parameterizations are the main cause for these differences.

Compared to the two other ice nucleation parameterizations (LP and BN), the KL parameterization considers the effects of preexisting ice crystals (PREICE) on ice nucleation. The presence of PREICE may hinder homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation from happening owing to the depletion of water vapor by PREICE. Simulation results from ECHAM with the KL parameterization showed that the PREICE effect leads to cirrus clouds composed of fewer and larger ice crystals (Hendricks et al., 2011; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). Barahona et al. (2014) incorporated the BN parameterization into the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System model version 5 (GEOS5), and modified the original BN parameterization to include the PREICE effect. They showed that cloud forcings are significantly reduced due to the effect of PREICE (Barahona et al., 2014). Because the homogeneous nucleation event usually requires a higher supersaturation than the heterogeneous nucleation, the impact on homogeneous nucleation is stronger than on heterogeneous nucleation. Therefore, considering PREICE may increase the contribution of heterogeneous nucleation to ice crystal formation.

118 Analysis of in situ datasets obtained in cirrus clouds found that ice saturation ratio,  $S_i$ , is 119 highly variable both spatially (Jensen et al., 2013) and temporally (Hoyle et al., 2005), and 120 that ice nucleation takes place only in a portion of cirrus cloud rather than in the whole area of 121 cirrus cloud (Diao et al., 2013; 2014). However, most GCMs assume that cirrus cloud is 122 homogeneously mixed, and ice nucleation event occurs in the whole area of cirrus cloud 123 (Gettelman et al., 2010; Salzmann et al., 2010; Hendricks et al., 2011; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). 124 Only until recently have GCMs attempted to account for the fraction of cirrus cloud where 125 homogeneous freezing occurs ( $f_{hom}$ ) (Wang and Penner, 2010; Barahona et al., 2014; Wang et 126 al., 2014).

127 In this study, in order to improve the treatment of ice nucleation in CAM5, the PREICE effect is considered in the LP parameterization, which is the standard parameterization in 128 129 CAM5. A method for calculating  $f_{hom}$  is developed, and the impact of  $f_{hom}$  on cirrus cloud 130 properties is investigated. With these modifications, the two unphysical limits (i.e., lower limit of sulfate particles size and upper limit of the characteristic sub-grid updraft velocity) 131 132 used to drive the LP ice nucleation parameterization are removed. We further investigate the 133 sensitivity of cirrus cloud properties and aerosol indirect forcing through cirrus clouds to 134 different ice nucleation parameterizations (LP, BN, KL) implemented in CAM5. This paper is organized as follows. Model description and modifications are presented in Sect. 2. Model 135

simulations are evaluated and compared with observations in Sect. 3. Section 4 examines the
effects of PREICE. Section 5 presents the sensitivity of aerosol indirect effects to different ice
nucleation parameterizations. Conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

**2 CAM Model and Experiments** 

#### 140 **2.1 CAM5**

141 The model used in this study is the version 5.3 of Community Atmospheric Model 142 (CAM, Neale et al., 2012). The treatment of clouds in CAM5.3 is divided into two categories: 143 convective cloud scheme with simplified cloud microphysics and stratiform cloud scheme 144 with relatively detailed cloud microphysics. Convective microphysics does not consider the 145 effects of aerosol particles on convective cloud droplets and ice crystals. A two-moment 146 stratiform cloud microphysics scheme (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008, hereafter MG; 147 Gettelman et al., 2008; Gettelman et al., 2010) is used in CAM5.3 and coupled to a modal aerosol module (Liu et al., 2012b) for aerosol-cloud interactions. The default three-mode 148 149 version of the modal aerosol module, which consists of Aitken, accumulation and coarse 150 modes, is used in this study. A moisture turbulence scheme (Bretherton and Park, 2009) is 151 used to explicitly simulate the stratus-radiation-turbulence interactions in CAM5. The 152 RRTMG radiation package is used to more accurately take into account of aerosol and cloud effects (Iacono et al., 2008). 153

#### 154 **2.2** Cirrus cloud scheme in the standard CAM5

The ice cloud fraction is diagnosed using the total water (water vapor and cloud ice), based on Gettelman et al. (2010). Supersaturation with respect to ice is allowed in the model, and grid-mean relative humidity with respect to ice (RHi) is used in the calculation of deposition growth of ice crystals (Liu et al., 2007). Considering the increase in cloud ice mixing ratio due to vapor deposition during one time step, the growth of ice crystals is 160 calculated using a relaxation timescale (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008; Gettelman et al.,
161 2010). Cloud water from the convective detainment at temperatures below -30°C is assumed
162 to be cloud ice with a prescribed mean radius (Gettelman et al., 2010).

163 Ice nucleation for cirrus clouds is based on the LP parameterization, which includes the 164 competition between homogeneous nucleation on sulfate and heterogeneous nucleation 165 (immersion freezing) on dust. LP parameterization is derived from fitting the simulation 166 results of a cloud parcel with constant updraft velocities. The number of nucleated ice crystals 167 is a function of relative humidity, temperature, aerosol number concentration, and updraft 168 velocity. Since the current CAM5 model grid cannot resolve the sub-grid scale variability of 169 vertical velocity,  $W_{sub}$ , it is diagnosed from the square root of the turbulent kinetic energy 170 calculated in the moisture turbulence parameterization in CAM5.3 (Bretherton and Park, 2009). An upper limit of 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup> is assumed for  $W_{sub}$  to fit to the observed ice number 171 concentrations (Gettelman et al., 2010). Dust in the coarse aerosol mode is taken as potential 172 173 heterogeneous IN. Homogeneous nucleation uses the sulfate aerosol particles in the Aiken 174 mode with diameter greater than 0.1 µm. The purpose of using this size limit is also to fit to 175 observed ice number concentrations (Gettelman et al., 2010). The cloud droplet activation in 176 warm liquid-phase clouds only happens at the cloud base of preexisting clouds or in all levels 177 of newly-formed clouds, as represented in CAM5. In comparison, ice nucleation is allowed to happen in all levels of preexisting cirrus clouds in CAM5 if the nucleation thresholds are met 178 179 because RHi up to or even more than 120% are frequently observed inside cirrus clouds 180 (Krämer et al., 2009). The ice number concentration calculated from the ice nucleation 181 parameterization,  $N_{aai}$ , is assumed to be the maximum in-cloud ice number concentration in 182 the current time step. New ice crystals will be produced if the in-cloud ice number 183 concentration,  $N_i$ , from the previous time step falls below  $N_{aai}$ . This is described in Eq. (1) as

$$184 \quad \frac{dN_i}{dt} = \max\left(0, \frac{N_{aai} - N_i}{dt}\right) \quad . \tag{1}$$

185

# 2.3 Modifications to the standard ice nucleation parameterization in CAM5

186 In this study, several modifications have been made in the ice nucleation scheme in 187 CAM5. First, the effect of PREICE is taken into account, which will be introduced in the next 188 subsection. Second, the lower limit (0.1 µm diameter) of sulfate particles size used for 189 homogeneous freezing is removed. We use the number concentration of all sulfate aerosol 190 particles in the Aiken mode as an input for homogeneous nucleation. This is consistent with 191 the LP parameterization, which is derived for the background sulfate aerosol particles with a lognormal size distribution. Third, the upper limit (0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup>) of  $W_{sub}$  is also removed because 192 updraft velocities measured from several aircraft campaigns show frequent occurrence of 193 larger values (>0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup>, Zhang et al., 2013b). Finally, in-situ observations of cirrus clouds 194 195 show that only a small fraction of in-cloud  $S_i$  data surpasses the homogeneous freezing 196 saturation threshold (Shom, Diao et al., 2013). So, we assume that the homogeneous freezing 197 takes place only in a fraction of cirrus clouds  $(f_{hom})$  where in-cloud  $S_i > S_{hom}$  is the RHi 198 threshold for a homogeneous ice nucleation event and it is a function of temperature (Kärcher 199 and Lohmann, 2002a,b). The in-cloud  $S_i$  variability can be calculated from the temperature 200 standard deviation,  $\delta_T$ , following Kärcher and Burkhardt (2008):

201 
$$S_i(T') \cong S_0 \exp\left[\frac{(T_0 - T')\theta}{T_0^2}\right]$$
, (2)

202 
$$\frac{dP_{T'}}{dT'} = \frac{1}{\delta_T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} exp[-\frac{(T_0 - T')^2}{2\delta_T^2}]$$
, (3)

where  $T_0$  and  $S_0$  are mean in-cloud temperature and ice saturation, respectively, T' and  $S_i(T')$ represents local in-cloud quantities,  $\frac{dP_{T'}}{dT'}$  indicates the temperature probability distribution function (PDF),  $\theta = 6132.9$  K. The PDFs of T' and  $S_i(T')$  can be found in Fig. 3 of Kärcher 206 and Burkhardt (2008). Here, we assume that  $T_0$  is equal to the model grid temperature and  $\delta_T$ is uniformly applied to the whole grid area.  $S_0$  is assumed to be 1.0 because the water vapor 207 208 deposition on ice crystals will remove supersaturation inside clouds with a long model time 209 step (30 min) in CAM5. According to measurement-based analysis of Hoyle et al. (2005),  $\delta_T$ 210 is calculated from the diagnosed  $W_{sub}$ ,  $\delta_T \cong 4.3 W_{sub}$ . The PDF of  $S_i$  can be constructed based 211 on Eq. (2). By comparing  $S_i$  and  $S_{hom}$ , we can easily calculate the  $f_{hom}$ , which is the probability 212 of  $S_i > S_{hom}$ . Because the ice number concentration after an ice nucleation event indicates the 213 in-cloud value, the ice number concentration calculated from homogenous freezing parameterization is multiplied by  $f_{hom}$ . In this way, we assume that the cirrus cloud is 214 215 homogeneously mixed after a nucleation event. We note that the in-cloud  $S_i$  variability due to 216 the spatial variability of water vapor is not considered, which can be important as suggested 217 by recent studies (e.g., Diao et al., 2014).

#### 218 **2.4 Effect of PREICE on ice nucleation**

To account for the effect of PREICE we introduce PREICE into CAM5 based on the concept of Kärcher et al. (2006), which is derived from an adiabatic rising air parcel. Without the PREICE effect, the temporal evolution of  $S_i$  is governed by (Kärcher et al., 2006)

222 
$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i W - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} , \qquad (4)$$

where the parameters  $a_1$ ,  $a_2$ , and  $a_3$  depend only on the ambient temperature (*T*) and pressure (*P*), *W* is the updraft velocity, and  $\frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt}$  denotes the growth rate of newly-nucleated ice crystals. Note that the sedimentation of ice crystals out of the rising parcel is not considered during a nucleation event. To account for the PREICE effect, the depositional growth of PREICE,  $\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$  is added to Eq. (4)

228 
$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i W - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \left(\frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} + \frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}\right) \qquad (5)$$

Equation (5) can be rewritten in the following form

230 
$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i (W - W_{i,pre}) - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} , \qquad (6)$$

231 
$$W_{i,pre} = \frac{a_2 + a_3 S_i}{a_1 S_i} \frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$$
 (7)

Compared to Eq. (4), Eq. (6) indicates that the PREICE effect can be parameterized by reducing the vertical velocity for ice nucleation. This vertical velocity reduction,  $W_{i,pre}$ , caused by PREICE is calculated by Eq. (7).

Assuming all preexisting ice crystals have the same radius  $(R_{i,pre})$ , their growth rate is given by

237 
$$\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt} = \frac{4\pi\rho_i}{m_w} n_{i,pre} R_{i,pre}^2 \frac{b_1}{1 + R_{i,pre}b_2} , \qquad (8)$$

238 where  $n_{i,pre}$  is the PREICE number concentration,  $\rho_i$  is ice density,  $m_w$  is the mass of a water molecule.  $b_1 = \alpha v_{th} n_{sat} (S_i - 1)/4$ ,  $b_2 = \alpha v_{th}/(4D)$ ,  $\alpha$  is the water vapor deposition 239 240 coefficient on ice,  $v_{th}$  is their thermal speed,  $n_{sat}$  is the water vapor number density at ice 241 saturation, D is the water vapor diffusion coefficient from the gas to ice phase (Kärcher et al., 242 2006). Note that Eqs.(5-8) represent an adiabatic rising air parcel with PREICE. We need the 243  $W_{i,pre}$  for the ice nucleation parameterization. In the LP ice nucleation parameterization, ice 244 number produced from the homogeneous freezing is a function of temperature, sulfate number concentration, and updraft velocity. To calculate the corresponding  $W_{i,pre}$ ,  $S_{hom}$  is used 245 246 in Eqs.(7-8).  $n_{i,pre}$  and  $R_{i,pre}$  in Eq.(8) indicate the number concentration and radius of in-cloud 247 PREICE, respectively, from the previous time step.  $W_{i,pre}$  used for heterogeneous nucleation is 248 calculated based on the same approach, except that  $S_i$  in Eqs.(7-8) is replaced by the 249 heterogeneous freezing saturation threshold  $(S_{het})$ .

250 Figure 1 shows  $W_{i,pre}$  as a function of PREICE number concentration calculated using Eqs. (7-8) at Shom and Shet. Shom is a function of temperature (Kärcher and Lohmann, 2002a,b), 251 and is 1.53 at T=-60°C. For immersion freezing of coated dust particles,  $S_{het}$  varies between 252 1.15 and 1.7 (Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). Here, Shet is assumed to be 253 1.3. The most distinct feature of this figure is that  $W_{i,pre}$  is proportional to the PREICE number 254 concentration. When the PREICE number concentration is greater than 50  $L^{-1}$  and W less than 255 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup>, the black dotted line (for homogeneous freezing and PREICE radius of 25 µm) 256 indicates that homogeneous freezing can not occur, because  $W_{i,pre} > W$ . 257

258 In the MG scheme, ice crystals are assumed to follow a gamma size distribution and 259 uniformly distributed in cirrus clouds (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008). Thus, an effective radius ( $R_{ieff,pre}$ ) is used to account for the PREICE size distribution. Because  $R_{i,pre} \times b_2$  in Eq. 260 (8) is usually far greater than 1 (not shown),  $\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$  is proportional to the first order of  $R_{i,pre}$ . 261 262 Therefore, R<sub>ieff,pre</sub> is obtained directly by using the first moment of ice particle size distribution (0.5/ $\lambda$ ,  $\lambda$  is the slope parameter of Eq. 1 in Morrison and Gettelman, 2008). We 263 note that this R<sub>ieff,pre</sub> is different from the effective radius used in the radiative transfer scheme 264 265 which is calculated from the third and second moments of size distribution. After rearranging 266 term (Eq. 3 in Morrison and Gettelman, 2008), R<sub>ieff,pre</sub> is calculated based on the following 267 formula:

268 
$$R_{ieff,pre} \cong \frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{q_{i,pre}}{\pi \rho_i n_{i,pre}} \right)^{1/3} \quad . \tag{9}$$

Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of cirrus cloud evolution and the impact of PREICE. Ice crystal numbers are from a short CAM5 simulation. In the default CAM5 that neglects the PREICE effect, ice number produced from the ice nucleation is 1243 L<sup>-1</sup> at the beginning time step  $t_1$ . During the next time step ( $t_2$ ), due to sedimentation of ice crystals (and/or other sink processes),  $N_i$  is reduced to 1174 L<sup>-1</sup>. However, with the homogeneous

nucleation occurring at  $t_2$ ,  $N_i$  is increased back to 1243 L<sup>-1</sup> according to Eq. (1). In the updated 274 ice nucleation scheme, because the PREICE effect is considered, homogeneous ice nucleation 275 will not happen until  $N_i$  is reduced from 1243 L<sup>-1</sup> to 27 L<sup>-1</sup> at the 78<sup>th</sup> time step ( $t_{78}$ ). After this 276 moment, the PREICE number ( $\leq 27L^{-1}$ ) is too low to prevent ice nucleation, so ice nucleation 277 occurs at  $t_{79}$ . Note that the newly-formed ice crystals number concentration is 191 L<sup>-1</sup> instead 278 of 1243  $L^{-1}$  because of the presence of PREICE with the number concentration of 27  $L^{-1}$ . The 279 presence of PREICE with concentration of 27 L<sup>-1</sup> reduces the vertical velocity (W- $W_{i,pre}$ ) used 280 for calculating homogeneous freezing ice crystals number concentration. Here the total  $N_i$  is 281 the number concentration of newly-formed ice crystals (191 L<sup>-1</sup>) plus the number 282 concentration of PREICE  $(27 L^{-1})$ . 283

### 284 **2.5** Other ice nucleation parameterizations in CAM5

In order to investigate the sensitivity of model simulated anthropogenic aerosol effects 285 through cirrus clouds to using different ice nucleation parameterizations, BN and KL ice 286 287 nucleation parameterizations are implemented in CAM5.3. The BN parameterization is 288 derived from an approximation to the analytical solution of air parcel equations. This parameterization calculates the maximum ice saturation ratio and nucleated ice crystals 289 290 number concentration explicitly in the rising air parcel and considers the competition between 291 homogeneous and heterogeneous freezing (Barahona and Nenes, 2009). One advantage of BN 292 parameterization is that the heterogeneous nucleation can be described by different nucleation spectra, derived either from the classical nucleation theory (CNT) or from observations (e.g., 293 294 Meyers et al., 1992; Phillips et al., 2008). In this work, the nucleation spectra based on CNT 295 is used to describe the immersion freezing on dust particles. Furthermore, the BN 296 parameterization used in this study has been modified to consider the effects of PREICE by 297 reducing the vertical velocity for ice nucleation (Barahona et al., 2014).

298 The KL parameterization is also implemented in CAM5.3. In this parameterization, the 299 competition between different freezing mechanisms and the effects of PREICE are treated by 300 explicitly calculating the evolution of  $S_i$  within one host-model's time step (e.g., 30 min). 301 Compared to LP and BN parameterizations, this method is computationally more expensive. 302 It is necessary to point out that, in the KL parameterization, the ice crystal number 303 concentration produced via homogeneous freezing is not sensitive to the sulfate aerosol number concentration in most cases except for the highest (4 m s<sup>-1</sup>) updraft velocities (Fig. 4 304 305 and Table 1 in Kärcher and Lohmann, 2002a). As compared to the KL parameterization, the 306 ice number concentrations from both BN and LP parameterizations are relatively more 307 sensitive to sulfate aerosol number concentration (Fig. 9 in Barahona and Nenes, 2008; Fig. 2 308 in Liu and Penner, 2005).

The effect of PREICE through  $W_{i,pre}$  is included in LP, BN and KL parameterizations. All 309 310 sulfate aerosol particles in the Aiken mode are used for the homogeneous nucleation in these 311 three ice nucleation parameterizations. In order to be consistent with the LP parameterization, 312 only the dust particles in the coarse mode are taken as potential heterogeneous IN in BN and KL parameterizations. To compare with LP and KL under the same condition, the parameter 313 314 that sets an upper limit on the freezing fraction of potential dust IN in the BN parameterization is set to 100%. The  $f_{hom}$  used for the LP parameterization, as discussed in 315 subsection 2.3, is also used for BN and KL parameterizations. Note that LP, BN and KL 316 317 parameterizations are applied only for cirrus clouds. For mixed-phased clouds, we use the default heterogeneous nucleation formulations in CAM5. 318

319

#### **2.6 Description of experiments**

All simulations in this study have been carried out at  $0.9^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ}$  horizontal resolution with 30 vertical levels and a 30-minute time step, using prescribed present-day sea surface temperatures. Each experiment has a pair of simulations driven by present-day (the year of
2000) and pre-industrial (the year of 1850) aerosol and precursor emissions from Lamarque et
al. (2010), separately. Without specification, the present-day model results are being
discussed. All simulations are run for 6 years, and results from the last 5 years are used in the
analysis.

327 Table 1 lists all experiments presented in this study. Compared to the Default experiment, 328 the Preice experiment removes the two unphysical limits (i.e., the lower limit of sulfate 329 particle size distribution and the upper limit of  $W_{sub}$ ) used in the ice nucleation parameterization in the default CAM5, and considers PREICE and  $f_{hom}$ . This experiment 330 331 includes a combination of all our updates to the ice nucleation parameterization. Compared to 332 the Preice experiment. NoPreice is used to examine the effects of PREICE, and Nofhom used 333 to examine the effects of f<sub>hom</sub>. Experiments PreiceBN, NoPreiceBN, PreiceKL, and NoPreiceKL are used to examine the PREICE effects in simulations with BN and KL ice 334 335 nucleation parameterizations (Sect. 4). Experiments Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL 336 are used to compare the model performance among the three ice nucleation parameterizations 337 (Sect. 5).

**338 3 Model evaluations** 

339 First, we evaluate  $W_{sub}$  used for driving the ice nucleation parameterization and in-cloud 340  $N_i$  predicted by CAM5.3 with the default and updated ice nucleation parameterization. Aircraft measurements from the DOE Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program 341 342 (ARM)'s Small Particles in Cirrus (SPARTICUS) campaign (http://acrf-campaign.arm.gov/sparticus/) for the period of January to July 2010 are used to 343 compare with model results. During the SPARTICUS campaign, ice crystal number and size 344 345 distribution as well as ambient meteorological variables were routinely measured over the

15

346 ARM Southern Great Plains (SGP) site (36.6°N, 97.5°W). Shattering of ice crystals was taken 347 into account through usage of a new two-dimensional stereo-imaging probes (2D-S) and 348 improved algorithms (Lawson, 2011). To compare with the aircraft measurements, we sample 349 instantaneous  $W_{sub}$  and  $N_i$  over the SGP site every three hours from model simulations for the 350 period of January to July.

351 In CAM5, the characteristic updraft velocity  $W_{sub}$  is calculated for a GCM grid that is 352 much larger than the spatial scale represented by the aircraft data, so it is very difficult to 353 directly compare them. In order to minimize the scale difference, following Zhang et al. 354 (2013b), aircraft data collected during each flight are averaged over a 50 km grid to derive the statistics of measured vertical velocity. Note that, only the updraft portion is counted to get 355 the mean updraft velocity. In the Default experiment, the upper limit of  $W_{sub}$  is 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup>. 356 Because the bin size is 0.06 m s<sup>-1</sup>, the cut-off in Default is not exactly 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup> but 0.24 m s<sup>-1</sup> 357 358 (Fig. 3, upper). However, aircraft measurements show that half (~55%) of updraft velocity data surpasses 0.24 m s<sup>-1</sup>. Thus, it is imperative to remove the upper limit of  $W_{sub}$ . In other 359 experiments without this upper limit, the occurrence frequency of  $W_{sub}$  deceases with 360 increasing  $W_{sub}$ , and agrees well with observation data (Fig. 3, upper). In the first smallest bin 361 362 (< 0.06 m s<sup>-1</sup>), the modeled occurrence frequency of  $W_{sub}$  is less than observations. However, the influence of this difference on ice nucleation is small because ice nucleation events are 363 significantly reduced in this lower updraft range ( $< 0.06 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ ) due to the effect of PREICE 364 365 (Fig. 6).

366  $N_i$  from Default is mainly distributed in the range of 5–100 L<sup>-1</sup>, and the occurrence 367 frequency of  $N_i$  at higher number concentrations (>100 L<sup>-1</sup>) is significantly lower than 368 observations (Fig. 3, lower). In the Preice experiment, ~11% of  $N_i$  is higher than 100 L<sup>-1</sup>, 369 which is significantly larger than that in Default (~3%). The main reason is that Preice 370 removes the two unphysical limits used for reducing the ice number concentrations. Although the occurrence frequency of  $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$  from Preice is still lower than observations (~30%), 371 its modeled histogram agrees better with the observations than Default. Compared to Preice, 372 the occurrence frequency of  $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$  from NoPreice (~40%) is increased significantly 373 374 because the PREICE effect is not included to hinder the homogeneous freezing. The occurrence frequency of  $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$  from Nofhom (~22%) is also lager than that from Preice 375 because homogeneous nucleation takes place in the whole area of cirrus clouds in Nofhom. 376 377 We note that the observed  $N_i$  is from in-situ aircraft measurements, while the modeled  $N_i$ represents the averages over the whole area of cirrus clouds within a model grid cell (~100 378 379 km). In addition, although measurements during the SPARTICUS campaign have significantly reduced the shattering of ice crystals, it is unclear whether the very high Ni 380  $(>1000 \text{ L}^{-1})$  is caused by the shattering artifact. These modeling and measurement issues need 381 382 to be considered when comparing model results with observations.

383 The time scale of homogeneous freezing in a rising air parcel is a few minutes (140 seconds at  $W=0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$ , Spichtinger and Krämer, 2013). It is still a challenge to sample the 384 385 homogeneous freezing process and to grasp the fraction of cirrus clouds experiencing the 386 homogeneous freezing in the real atmosphere. Thus, we cannot directly compare modeled  $f_{hom}$ with observations. Modeled  $f_{hom}$  from Sect. 2 peaks at the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) due 387 to higher  $W_{sub}$  and lower T, with a maximum of 10%~20%. It is ~5% at mid-latitudes, and 388 even smaller at high latitudes. Here, we make a preliminary analysis of observed "upcoming" 389 390 homogeneous nucleation events from the Tropical Composition, Cloud and Climate Coupling 391 Experiment (TC4) and the Mid-latitude Airborne Cirrus Properties Experiment (MACPEX). An observed "upcoming" homogeneous nucleation event is defined as an event when  $S_i$  in a 392 393 rising air parcel will reach  $S_{hom}$  within the time scale of one minute. The time scale of 394 homogeneous freezing is assumed to be one minute because the observed "upcoming"

homogeneous nucleation events usually go with high W (>0.5 m s<sup>-1</sup>). The occurrence 395 frequency of "upcoming" homogeneous nucleation events is 31 out of 8489  $(3.7 \times 10^{-3})$  and 10 396 397 out of 27017 (3.7×10<sup>-4</sup>) from TC4 and MACPEX in-cloud observation data, respectively. In other words,  $3.7 \times 10^{-3}$  (TC4) and  $3.7 \times 10^{-4}$  (MACPEX) of cirrus clouds will go through 398 399 homogeneous nucleation in one minute. With a time scale of 30 minutes (the model time 400 step), the observed  $f_{hom}$  would be ~10% and ~1% over TC4 and MACPEX, respectively. Here, 401 we assume the fraction of cirrus clouds that go through homogeneous nucleation is constant in 402 every minute. Modeled  $f_{hom}$  is close to this observational analysis in the tropical regions. Both 403 modeling and observational analyses suggest that  $f_{hom}$  in the tropical regions is larger than that 404 in mid-latitudes. Diao et al. (2013) analyzed the evolution of ice crystals based on in-situ 405 observations over North America. They found that ice crystal formation/growth is ~20% of 406 total analyzed samples. This value is not limited to the homogeneous freezing events, but 407 includes the heterogeneous freezing and ice crystal growth events. So it is reasonable to 408 assume that  $f_{hom}$  is less than 20%.

409 Figure 4 compares the variation of modeled  $N_i$  versus temperature against that observed 410 in Krämer et al. (2009) who collected an extensive aircraft dataset in the temperature range of 411 183–250 K. Note that, these observations might be influenced by shattering of ice crystals, especially for warm cirrus clouds with relative larger ice crystals (Field et al., 2006). 412 413 Therefore, for the following comparison, we should keep in mind that the observed  $N_i$  might 414 be overestimated in warm cirrus clouds. The most distinct feature of this figure is that 415 modeled  $N_i$  tends to increase with decreasing temperature for the whole temperature range. 416 This temperature variation is caused by the homogeneous nucleation mechanism. Based on 417 the same sulfate particles, homogeneous nucleation tends to produce more ice crystals at 418 lower temperature (Liu and Penner, 2005). It is obvious that the modeled trend of increasing

419  $N_i$  with decreasing temperature is on the contrary of what is observed. At temperature below 205 K, observed  $N_i$  is in the range of 10-80 L<sup>-1</sup>, whereas modeled  $N_i$  is in the range of 50– 420 2000 L<sup>-1</sup>. Liu et al. (2012a) gave a possible explanation for this: heterogeneous nucleation 421 422 could be the primary nucleation mechanism under these very low temperatures (i.e., near 423 TTL) because homogeneous freezing might be suppressed by aerosols rich with organic matter (Murray, 2008; Krämer et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2010). Barahona 424 425 and Nenes (2011) suggested that small-scale temperature fluctuations could make cirrus 426 clouds reside in a "dynamic equilibrium" state with sustained levels of low  $N_i$  consistent with cirrus characteristics observed at TTL. Furthermore, Spichtinger and Krämer (2013) found 427 428 that ice crystal production via homogeneous nucleation could be limited by high frequency gravity waves. However, these aerosol and dynamical characteristics are currently not 429 430 accounted for in the model. In the temperature range of 205–230 K, modeled  $N_i$  is close to the 431 observed values. The  $N_i$  from Preice is higher than that in Default, and agrees better with observations. The main reason is that the two unphysical limits used for reducing the ice 432 433 number concentrations are removed (see also the PDF of  $N_i$  in Fig. 3, lower panel). In both 434 NoPreice and Nofhom,  $N_i$  is remarkably larger than in Preice. Compared to Default, Preice and Nofhom predict higher  $N_i$  and show better agreement with observations in this 435 436 temperature range. As discussed above, the main reason is that the two unphysical limits are 437 removed.

The  $N_i$  differences between the default and updated nucleation schemes will affect modeled cloud radiative forcings. Figure 5 shows the annual and zonal means of longwave and shortwave cloud forcing (LWCF, SWCF), column-integrated cloud ice number concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path (IWP). Modeled CDNUMI from the NoPreice experiment is significantly higher than those from other experiments. As a result, higher IWP is shown in NoPreice. Compared to Preice, Nofhom also produces more CDNUMI and thus 444 higher IWP. Thus NoPreice predicts much stronger LWCF than other experiments, which is larger than observations in the tropical regions. LWCFs from Default, Preice and Nofhom 445 446 agree with observations in the tropical regions, but are underestimated at mid- and high 447 latitudes. In all experiments, modeled SWCFs agree the observations at mid- and high latitudes, but are overestimated (more negative) in the tropical regions, especially for the 448 449 NoPreice. Overall, there is no remarkable difference between the Default and Preice in cloud 450 radiative forcings (both LWCF and SWCF) because the difference in CDNUMI is relatively 451 small.

Table 2 gives global and annual means of cloud and radiative flux variables from 452 453 present-day simulations in Table 1 and comparison with observations. Compared to Default, 454 CDNUMI from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice increases by 40%, 133%, and 1130%, 455 respectively. Because cirrus clouds can heat the atmosphere by absorbing and re-emitting the longwave terrestrial radiation (Liou, 1986), the increase in CDNUMI can lead to the increase 456 457 of atmospheric stability and the weakening of convection, such as the fast atmospheric 458 response discussed in Andrews et al. (2010). Thus, convective precipitation rates (PRECC) 459 from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice are reduced compared to Default, especially for the 460 NoPreice. Large-scale precipitation rates (PRECL) from Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice are all close to each other (ranging from 1.04 to 1.05 mm day<sup>-1</sup>). Compared to 461 Default, IWP from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice increases by 1.23 g m<sup>-2</sup>, 3.18 g m<sup>-2</sup>, and 462 7.96 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively. The reason is that higher ice number concentrations in these 463 experiments lead to smaller ice crystal sizes and thus less sedimentation losses of ice water 464 465 mass. In accordance with the increased ice water mass, high cloud fractions (CLDHGH) are also increased in these experiments. Liquid water paths (LWP) and column-integrated droplet 466 number concentration (CDNUMC) from the three experiments are also increased with 467 increasing CDNUMI. This might be a result of increased atmospheric stability and weakened 468

469 convection. Obviously, SWCF and LWCF from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice become 470 stronger due to the increases in LWP, IWP, CDNUMC and CDNUMI as compared to the 471 Default. Changes in SWCF and LWCF between the Default and Preice are moderate (-1.27 W 472 m<sup>-2</sup> in SWCF, 1.23 W m<sup>-2</sup> in LWCF). Overall, global annual mean results from both Default 473 and Preice show generally good agreements with observations.

474 The estimated anthropogenic aerosol effects are given in Table 3. The more 475 representative method suggested by Ghan (2013) is used to estimate aerosol effects on cloud 476 radiative forcings. Cloud radiative forcings marked with an asterisk are diagnosed from the 477 whole-sky and clear-sky top-of-atmosphere radiative fluxes with aerosol scattering and absorption neglected. ' $\Delta$ ' indicates a change between present-day (the year 2000) and 478 479 pre-industrial times (the year 1850) with the only change in aerosol and precursor gas 480 emissions.  $\Delta$ CDNUMI in Preice is larger than in Default due to the use of all sulfate number concentration in the Aiken mode. The differences in cloud forcings ( $\Delta SWCF^*$  and  $\Delta LWCF^*$ ) 481 between Preice and Default are less than one standard deviation (0.19 W m<sup>-2</sup> for  $\Delta$ SWCF<sup>\*</sup> and 482 0.13 W m<sup>-2</sup> for  $\Delta$ LWCF<sup>\*</sup>) calculated from the difference of each of 5 years.  $\Delta$ SWCF<sup>\*</sup> and 483  $\Delta LWCF^*$  in Nofhom are both a little stronger than in Preice. NoPreice gives the strongest 484 changes in cloud forcings ( $\Delta$ SWCF<sup>\*</sup> and  $\Delta$ LWCF<sup>\*</sup>) and in cloud water paths ( $\Delta$ LWP and 485  $\Delta$ IWP), because  $\Delta$ CDNUMI is largest in this experiment.  $\Delta$ PRECC in Default, Preice and 486 Nofhom are negligibly small. Overall, the difference in the simulated anthropogenic aerosol 487 indirect forcing ( $\Delta CF^*$ ) between the Default and Preice is small (~0.1 W m<sup>-2</sup>). 488

489

### 9 4 **PREICE effect and sensitivity to different ice nucleation parameterizations**

490 In this section we analyze the effect of PREICE and its sensitivity to different ice 491 nucleation parameterizations. Considering the PREICE effect, the effective updraft velocity, 492  $W_{eff} (W_{eff} = W_{sub} - W_{i,pre})$ , is used to drive the ice nucleation parameterization. Figure 6 shows 493 the PDF of  $W_{sub}$ ,  $W_{eff}$  and  $W_{i,pre}$  from homogeneous ice nucleation occurrence events in Preice. 494 Results from PreiceBN and PreiceKL have similar patterns to Preice (not shown). For ice nucleation occurrence events ( $W_{eff} > 0$ ),  $W_{i,pre}$  is mainly distributed in the range of 0-0.1 m s<sup>-1</sup>. 495 496 This indicates that ice nucleation usually happens at low PREICE number concentrations (< 497 50 L<sup>-1</sup>). Different from the PDF pattern of model diagnosed  $W_{sub}$  (Fig. 3, upper) which includes all samples, the most frequently sampled  $W_{sub}$  with occurrence of ice nucleation 498 events is in the range of 0.1-0.4 m s<sup>-1</sup> because  $W_{sub}$  must be larger than  $W_{i,pre}$ .  $W_{eff}$  is mainly 499 distributed in a range of 0-0.3 m s<sup>-1</sup>, and rarely larger than 1.0 m s<sup>-1</sup>. The comparison between 500  $W_{eff}$  and  $W_{sub}$  indicates that PREICE not only reduces the occurrence frequency of 501 502 homogeneous nucleation, but also reduces the number density of nucleated ice crystals from 503 homogeneous nucleation.

504 Figure 7 shows the annual zonal mean  $N_i$  from NoPreice and Preice. NoPreiceBN, PreiceBN, NoPreiceKL and PreiceKL experiments are also analyzed, but not shown here, 505 506 because the effect of PREICE in experiments using BN and KL parameterizations are similar 507 to that using the LP parameterization. Without the influence of PREICE,  $N_i$  is higher than 500  $L^{-1}$  in the upper troposphere, and even higher (> 2000  $L^{-1}$ ) at mid- and high latitudes of the 508 509 Southern Hemisphere (SH). After considering the PREICE effects,  $N_i$  is significantly reduced, 510 especially at mid- and high latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of  $\sim 10$ ). Global annual mean results show that CDNUMI from simulations using LP, BN and KL 511 512 parameterizations is reduced by a factor of 6~11 (Table 2) after the PREICE effect is 513 considered. Compared to the distribution pattern from NoPreice,  $N_i$  from Preice is higher in 514 the tropical tropopause region rather than in the SH upper troposphere. It seems that the 515 influence of PREICE is relatively weaker in the tropical tropopause due to low T and high 516  $W_{sub}$  there (not shown).

517 Because of the large difference in  $N_i$  between experiments with and without the effects of 518 PREICE, there must be consequent differences in cloud forcings and precipitation as 519 explained above. Compared to experiments with the PREICE effect, PRECC (precipitation) from NoPreice, NoPreiceBN and NoPreiceKL are reduced by 13%, 10%, and 15%, 520 respectively (Table 2). The LWCF changes range from 8.0 to 12.6 W m<sup>-2</sup> for in simulations 521 using the LP, BN and KL parameterizations. SWCF changes have similar magnitude but with 522 523 the opposite sign. Barahona et al. (2014) studied the effect of PREICE using GEOS5 with the BN parameterization. Change in LWCF and SWCF due to PREICE is 5 W m<sup>-2</sup> and 4 W m<sup>-2</sup>, 524 525 respectively. We note that heterogeneous ice nucleation in GEOS5 includes the immersion 526 nucleation and deposition nucleation on dust, black carbon, and soluble organics. In their study, the global mean  $N_i$  from the heterogeneous nucleation and its contribution to total  $N_i$ 527 are  $\sim 22 \text{ L}^{-1}$  and  $\sim 30\%$ , respectively (Fig. 7 in Barahona et al., 2014). In our study using the 528 529 modified CAM5.3 with the BN parameterization, the  $N_i$  from the heterogeneous nucleation and its contribution to total  $N_i$  are 5.1 L<sup>-1</sup> and 9.4%, respectively. The number concentration 530 of heterogeneous IN from CAM5.3 is significantly lower than that from GEOS5. As a result, 531 in CAM5.3 there are less IN competing with the homogeneous ice nucleation and PREICE 532 533 has a larger impact. This might be the main reason why the PREICE effect in CAM5.3 with 534 the BN parameterization is stronger than that in GEOS5. In ECHAM5 with the KL parameterization, changes in LWCF and SWCF are 1.5 W m<sup>-2</sup> and 0.95 W m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively 535 536 when heterogeneous nucleation and PREICE (during ice nucleation process) are taken into 537 account (Kuebbeler et al., 2014). In the study of Kuebbeler et al. (2014), both deposition 538 nucleation on pure dust and immersion nucleation on coated dust were included. The number 539 concentration of heterogeneous IN (including the deposition and immersion modes) ranges between 0.1 L<sup>-1</sup> and 10 L<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2 in Kuebbeler et al., 2014). This IN number concentration is 540 541 similar to ours. However, both sulfate number concentration and total  $N_i$  in Kuebbeler et al.

(2014) are much higher than ours (by a factor of  $5\sim20$  in most regions). We note that in 542 ECHAM5 ice nucleation process requires that the model grid is supersaturated with respect to 543 544 ice (i.e., RHi > 100%), and the depositional growth of ice crystals is treated based on the 545 model grid mean *RHi*. If a model grid is supersaturated and a sufficient number of PREICE is present, the depositional growth of the PREICE treated in the cirrus cloud microphysics 546 547 scheme will remove the supersaturation in the grid, hinder the subsequent ice nucleation, and 548 significantly reduce the occurrence frequency of ice nucleation events (Kuebbeler et al., 549 2014). Thus, the effect of PREICE on the subsequent ice nucleation, which is represented by 550 reducing the updraft velocity, is much weakened in ECHAM5.

551 Table 4 gives the influence of PREICE on the relative contribution of homogeneous 552 versus heterogeneous nucleation to the total ice number concentration in cirrus clouds. The 553 contributions of heterogeneous nucleation from experiments without the effects of PREICE 554 are less than 1%. After considering the PREICE effects, the contribution of heterogeneous 555 nucleation from Preice, PreiceBN, and PreiceKL is increased to 17.6%, 9.4%, and 8.9%, 556 respectively. The reason is that, when PREICE is taken into account, the newly-formed ice 557 crystals number concentration from homogeneous nucleation is significantly reduced (by a 558 factor of  $\sim 10$ , not shown), whereas the ice crystals number concentration from heterogeneous 559 nucleation is slightly decreased. This indicates that the PREICE effects can significantly 560 change the relative contribution of homogeneous versus heterogeneous nucleation to cirrus 561 formation, especially at higher dust number concentrations (Table 4).

### 562 **5** Comparison between different ice nucleation parameterizations

In this section we focus on the comparison between Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL experiments. Because the two unphysical limits are removed in Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL,  $N_i$  from these experiments is slightly larger than that from Default (Fig. 8, left).

Although the parameterization details are very different between LP, BN, and KL, the 566 567 simulated  $N_i$  has a very similar pattern in these simulations under similar meteorological conditions (W, T, RHi) and aerosol distributions. One distinct feature of  $N_i$  distribution 568 569 patterns from these experiments is that  $N_i$  reduces towards lower altitudes. This is caused by 570 the homogeneous nucleation rate reduction with increasing temperature (Koop, 2004). The global and annual mean CDNUMI from Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL are close to each 571 other (ranging from  $116 \times 10^6$  to  $119 \times 10^6$  m<sup>-2</sup>, Table 2). However, differences in the global and 572 573 annual mean percentage contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation among Preice 574 (17.6%), PreiceBN (9.4%) and PreiceKL (8.9%) experiments are obvious (Table 4). Overall, 575 the heterogeneous nucleation contributions from Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL have similar distribution patterns (Fig. 8, right). Contribution from the heterogeneous nucleation is less 576 577 than 10% in the tropical upper troposphere and in the SH. In other words, homogeneous 578 nucleation is the dominant contributor there. In the tropical lower troposphere and in the 579 Northern Hemisphere (NH), heterogeneous nucleation became more important due to higher 580 dust number concentrations. The study of Liu et al. (2012a) showed that difference in 581 heterogeneous nucleation contribution between simulations using the LP parameterization and 582 the BN parameterization is obvious, especially in the NH. Note that the empirical 583 parameterization by Phillips et al. (2008) is used to describe the heterogeneous nucleation on 584 dust particles for the BN parameterization in the work of Liu et al. (2012a), whereas the nucleation spectra based on CNT (without the upper limit of dust activated fraction) is used in 585 586 our study. Kuebbeler et al. (2014) also studied the contribution from heterogeneous nucleation using the ECHAM5 model with the KL parameterization. They found that heterogeneous 587 588 nucleation contributes largest in the tropical troposphere and in the Arctic. At the mid- and 589 high latitudes in the NH, their model results show that the contribution from heterogeneous 590 nucleation is less than 1%, whereas our model results show that the contribution from

heterogeneous nucleation is larger than 10%. One important difference between the KL parameterization used in our study and the KL parameterization used by Kuebbeler et al. (2014) is that they modified the KL parameterization by including an upper limit of activated fraction of pure dust particles as a function of  $S_i$ . This may cause the difference in the heterogeneous nucleation contribution between our and their studies.

Figure 9 shows the changes in annual and zonal mean LWCF, SWCF, CDNUMI and 596 597 IWP between simulations using present-day and pre-industrial emissions. ΔCDNUMI from all 598 experiments is around zero in the SH because changes in sulfate and dust aerosol number 599 densities that drive ice nucleation parameterizations are small.  $\Delta$ CDNUMI from the PreiceKL experiment is smaller between 30°-60° N as compared to other experiments. In regions higher 600 than 60° N or lower than 30° N, all experiments are rather similar. The reason is that the ice 601 602 crystal number concentration from homogeneous freezing is not sensitive to sulfate number concentrations in most cases in the KL parameterization, whereas it is more sensitive to 603 604 sulfate number concentrations in the other two parameterizations. We note that Table 1 in 605 Kärcher and Lohmann (2002a) showed that  $N_i$  from the KL parameterization became sensitive to sulfate number concentration under low temperature (200K) and high updraft velocity (0.4 606 m s<sup>-1</sup>, 4 m s<sup>-1</sup>). Thus,  $\Delta$ CDNUMI with the KL parameterization can reach  $10 \times 10^6$  m<sup>-2</sup> in the 607 tropical regions due to low T and high  $W_{sub}$  there.  $\Delta$ CDNUMI from the Preice experiment 608 between 60°-80° N (negative) has the opposite sign than the other experiments (positive). 609 However, these changes are generally within the ranges of two standard deviations. Table 3 610 shows that the global mean  $\triangle$ CDNUMI from PreiceKL (3.24×10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) is less than those from 611 Preice  $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$  and PreiceBN  $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ . Compared to  $\Delta$ CDNUMI, the fluctuation 612 613 of  $\Delta$ IWP is more complicated because many other microphysical processes (especially in mixed phase clouds) can also impact  $\Delta$ IWP. Furthermore, changes in cloud properties caused 614 615 by the aerosol indirect effects may modulate the atmospheric circulation and water vapor

616 transport, and then impact IWP in other regions. Changes in circulation would affect 617 convection and the detrainment of ice crystals. This might explain why  $\Delta IWP$  from all 618 experiments are not statistically significant. Differences in global and annual mean  $\Delta IWP$ 619 among these experiments are also remarkable. Global mean  $\Delta$ IWP from Preice, PreiceBN, and PreiceKL are 0.12 g m<sup>-2</sup>, 0.03 g m<sup>-2</sup>, and 0.01 g m<sup>-2</sup>, respectively (Table 3).  $\Delta$ SWCF is 620 621 mainly caused by aerosol indirect effects through warm clouds (Gettelman et al., 2012). Thus, patterns of  $\Delta$ SWCF with different ice nucleation parameterizations are similar, and not 622 obviously correlated with  $\Delta$ CDNUMI. Differences in global and annual mean  $\Delta$ SWCF<sup>\*</sup> 623 among Preice (-2.01 W m<sup>-2</sup>), PreiceBN (-1.86 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and PreiceKL (-1.88 W m<sup>-2</sup>) are 624 625 relatively small (Table 3). However, the patterns of  $\Delta$ LWCF are associated with those of  $\Delta$ CDNUMI for all experiments. For example, both  $\Delta$ LWCF and  $\Delta$ CDNUMI from the 626 627 PreiceKL experiment are negative at mid-latitudes in the NH. Table 3 shows that the global and annual mean  $\Delta LWCF^*$  is strongest in Preice (0.46 W m<sup>-2</sup>), slightly weaker in PreiceBN 628 (0.39 W m<sup>-2</sup>), and weakest in PreiceKL (0.24 W m<sup>-2</sup>). This is consistent with the difference in 629 630  $\Delta$ CDNUMI.

631 **6** 

## 6 Discussion and conclusions

632 One purpose of this study is to improve the representation of ice nucleation in CAM5.3. First, the PREICE effect is considered by reducing vertical velocity ( $W_{eff} = W_{sub} - W_{i,pre}$ ), 633 following the method of KL parameterization. Second, homogeneous freezing takes place 634 spatially only in a portion of cirrus cloud  $(f_{hom})$  rather than in the whole area of cirrus cloud. 635 636 Barahona et al. (2014) considered a similar factor that accounts for ice nucleation occurrence area within the grid cell in GEOS5 based on results from a parcel statistical ensemble model 637 638 (Barahona and Nenes, 2011). In our study,  $f_{hom}$  is calculated by introducing the PDF of 639 in-cloud S<sub>i</sub> based on the empirical analysis of Kärcher and Burkhardt (2008) and Hoyle et al. (2005). We note that only in-cloud  $S_i$  variability resulting from the sub-grid temperature 640

641 fluctuation is taken into account in this study, whereas the sub-grid water vapor variability is 642 neglected. Including the latter may lead to a much stronger effect and coupling between 643 different nucleation events. The diagnosed  $f_{hom}$  is in general less than 20%, consistent with the 644 work of Diao et al. (2013). We note that the uncertainty caused by  $f_{hom}$  is moderate because 645 the effect of  $f_{hom}$  on ice number concentration is weaker than the PREICE effect. Finally, the 646 two unphysical limits (the upper limit of  $W_{sub}$  and the lower limit of Aitken-mode sulfate 647 aerosol size) used in the representation of ice nucleation in CAM5 are removed. Compared to 648 observations, the probability distributions of ice number concentration and the diagnosed 649 sub-grid updraft velocity are both improved with the updated treatment. The difference in 650 cloud radiative forcings between the updated model and the default model is moderate (-1.27 W m<sup>-2</sup> in SWCF, 1.23 W m<sup>-2</sup> in LWCF). 651

The influence of PREICE on the relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus heterogeneous nucleation is studied using the updated CAM5.3 model. Model results show that  $N_i$  is significantly reduced because PREICE reduces the occurrence frequency of homogeneous nucleation, especially at mid- to high-latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of ~10). As a result, the contribution of heterogeneous ice nucleation to cirrus ice crystal number increases considerably from 0.5% to 17.4% (Table 4).

The comparison between different ice nucleation parameterizations is also investigated using the updated CAM5.3 model. Both LP and BN parameterizations consider the PREICE effect based on the concept of the KL parameterization. The ice number distribution, the contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation to the total ice nucleation, and the influence of PREICE agree well among LP, BN and KL parameterizations in CAM5. However, compared to GEOS5 with the BN parameterization (Barahona et al., 2014) and ECHAM5 with the KL parameterization (Kuebbeler et al., 2014), BN and KL parameterizations in CAM5 give much 665 stronger PREICE effects. In Kuebbeler et al. (2014), both the ice nucleation parameterization and the cloud microphysics scheme for the ice depositional growth include the PREICE 666 667 effect. In the cloud microphysics scheme, the depositional growth of PREICE removes the 668 supersaturation in the grid and hinders the subsequent ice nucleation. Thus, the effect of PREICE during the ice nucleation process, which is represented by reducing the updraft 669 670 velocity, is weakened. GEOS5 considers the immersion and deposition ice nucleation on dust, 671 black carbon, and soluble organics (Barahona et al., 2014), while CAM5 only considers the 672 immersion nucleation on coarse mode dust. As a result, heterogeneous IN number concentration and its contribution to total ice crystal number are much higher from GEOS5 673 (~22  $L^{-1}$  and ~30%, respectively on the global annual mean) than those from CAM5 with the 674 BN parameterization ( $\sim 5.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$  and 9.4%, respectively on the global annual mean). This might 675 676 explain the stronger PREICE effect from CAM5 with the BN parameterization. Therefore, the 677 differences among this study, Barahona et al. (2014) and Kuebbeler et al. (2014) may be driven by differences in meteorological input parameters (W, T, RHi), the assumptions of 678 aerosol inputs for ice nucleation parameterizations (e.g., immersion versus deposition 679 freezing, aerosol characteristics, etc.), and the methodology of parameterization 680 681 implementation in models, than ice nucleation parameterizations themselves. Another 682 interesting finding is that  $N_i$  from the KL parameterization is not sensitive to sulfate number 683 concentrations compared to LP and BN parameterizations. The global and annual mean change in column ice number concentration between present day and pre-industrial time 684 ( $\Delta$ CDNUMI) with the KL parameterization (3.24×10<sup>6</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) is less that those with the LP 685 parameterization  $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$  and the BN parameterization  $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ . The 686 anthropogenic aerosols longwave indirect forcing ( $\Delta LWCF^*$ ) from the KL parameterization is 687 0.24 W m<sup>-2</sup>, smaller than that from the LP (0.46 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and BN (0.39 W m<sup>-2</sup>) 688 689 parameterizations.

690

### 691 Acknowledgments

- 692 X. Liu and K. Zhang were supported by the Office of Science of U.S. Department of Energy
- as part of the Earth System Modeling Program. X. Shi would like to acknowledge the support
- from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.41205071). We would like
- 695 to acknowledge the use of computational resources (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) at the
- 696 NCAR-Wyoming Supercomputing Center provided by the National Science Foundation and
- 697 the State of Wyoming, and supported by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems
- 698 Laboratory. PNNL is a multiprogram laboratory operated for DOE by Battelle Memorial
- 699 Institute under contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.
- 700

#### 701 **Reference:**

- 702 Adler, R. F., Huffman, G. J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P.-P., Janowiak, J., Rudolf, B.,
- 703 Schneider, U., Curtis, S., Bolvin, D., Gruber, A., Susskind, J., Arkin, P., and Nelkin, E.: The
- 704Version-2Global PrecipitationClimatologyProject (GPCP)MonthlyPrecipitation705Analysis(1979–Present),J.Hydrometeor.,4,1147-1167,
- 706 doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<1147:TVGPCP>2.0.C0;2, 2003.
- Andrews, T., Forster, P. M., Boucher, O., Bellouin, N., and Jones, A.: Precipitation, radiative
  forcing and global temperature change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L14701, doi:
  10.1029/2010GL043991, 2010.
- 710 Barahona, D., Molod, A., Bacmeister, J., Nenes, A., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., Phillips, V.,
- 711 and Eichmann, A.: Development of two-moment cloud microphysics for liquid and ice
- vithin the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System Model (GEOS-5), Geosci. Model Dev.,
- 713 7, 1733-1766, doi: 10.5194/gmd-7-1733-2014, 2014.
- 714 Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Dynamical states of low temperature cirrus, Atmos. Chem.
- 715 Phys., 11, 3757-3771, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3757-2011, 2011.
- 716 Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterization of cirrus cloud formation in large-scale
- 717 models: Homogeneous nucleation, J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos., 113, D11211,
- 718 doi:10.1029/2007JD009355, 2008.
- Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterizing the competition between homogeneous and
  heterogeneous freezing in ice cloud formation polydisperse ice nuclei, Atmos. Chem.
  Phys., 9, 5933-5948, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5933-2009, 2009.
- 722 Bretherton, C. S. and Park, S.: A New Moist Turbulence Parameterization in the
- Community Atmosphere Model, J. Climate, 22, 3422-3448, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2556.1,
  2009.
- 725 Chen, T., Rossow, W. B., and Zhang, Y.: Radiative Effects of Cloud-Type Variations, J.

- 726 Climate, 13, 264-286, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<0264:REOCTV>2.0.CO;2,
- 727 2000.
- Corti, T., Luo, B. P., Peter, T., Vomel, H., and Fu, Q.: Mean radiative energy balance and
  vertical mass fluxes in the equatorial upper troposphere and lower stratosphere,
  Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L06802, doi:10.1029/2004GL021889, 2005.
- Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hoose, C., Jensen, E. J., Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Smith, J. B., Twohy,
  C. H., and Murphy, D. M.: Clarifying the Dominant Sources and Mechanisms of Cirrus
  Cloud Formation, Science, 340, 1320-1324, doi:10.1126/science.1234145, 2013.
- Cziczo, D. J., Murphy, D. M., Hudson, P. K., and Thomson, D. S.: Single particle
  measurements of the chemical composition of cirrus ice residue during CRYSTAL-FACE,
  J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D04201, doi:10.1029/2003jd004032, 2004.
- 737 DeMott, P. J., Cziczo, D. J., Prenni, A. J., Murphy, D. M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Thomson, D. S.,
- Borys, R., and Rogers, D. C.: Measurements of the concentration and composition of
  nuclei for cirrus formation, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 14655-14660,
  doi:10.1073/pnas.2532677100, 2003
- 741 DeMott, P. J., Möhler, O., Stetzer, O., Vali, G., Levin, Z., Petters, M. D., Murakami, M., Leisner,
- 742 T., Bundke, U., Klein, H., Kanji, Z. A., Cotton, R., Jones, H., Benz, S., Brinkmann, M.,
- 743 Rzesanke, D., Saathoff, H., Nicolet, M., Saito, A., Nillius, B., Bingemer, H., Abbatt, J., Ardon,
- 744 K., Ganor, E., Georgakopoulos, D. G., and Saunders, C.: Resurgence in Ice Nuclei
- 745 Measurement Research, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 92, 1623-1635, 746 doi:10.1175/2011BAMS3119.1, 2011.
- Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Beaton, S. P., and Rogers, D. C.: Evolution of ice
  crystal regions on the microscale based on in situ observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40,
  3473-3478, doi:10.1002/grl.50665, 2013.
- 750 Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Avallone, L. M., Paige, M. E., Beaton, S. P., Campos,
- 751 T., and Rogers, D. C.: Cloud-scale ice-supersaturated regions spatially correlate with high
- 752watervaporheterogeneities,Atmos.Chem.Phys.,14,2639-2656,753doi:10.5194/acp-14-2639-2014, 2014.
- Field, P. R., Heymsfield, A. J., and Bansemer, A.: Shattering and Particle Interarrival Times
- Measured by Optical Array Probes in Ice Clouds, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 23, 1357-1371,
  doi:10.1175/JTECH1922.1, 2006.
- Fusina, F., Spichtinger, P., and Lohmann, U.: Impact of ice supersaturated regions and
  thin cirrus on radiation in the midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24,
  doi:10.1029/2007jd008449, 2007.
- 760 Gettelman, A., Liu, X., Barahona, D., Lohmann, U., and Chen, C.: Climate impacts of ice 761 nucleation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D20201, doi:10.1029/2012jd017950, 2012.
- 762 Gettelman, A., Liu, X., Ghan, S. J., Morrison, H., Park, S., Conley, A. J., Klein, S. A., Boyle, J.,
- 763 Mitchell, D. L., and Li, J. L. F.: Global simulations of ice nucleation and ice supersaturation
- with an improved cloud scheme in the Community Atmosphere Model, J. Geophys.
  Res.-Atmos., 115, D18216, doi:10.1029/2009jd013797, 2010.
- 766 Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., and Ghan, S. J.: A new two-moment bulk stratiform cloud
- 767 microphysics scheme in the community atmosphere model, version 3 (CAM3). Part II:
- Single-column and global results, J. Climate, 21, 3660-3679, doi:10.1175/2008jcli2116.1,
  2008.
- 770 Ghan, S. J.: Technical Note: Estimating aerosol effects on cloud radiative forcing, Atmos.
- 771 Chem. Phys., 13, 9971-9974, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9971-2013, 2013.
- Ghan, S. J., Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P. J., Yoon, J. H., and Eaton, B.: Toward a
- 773 Minimal Representation of Aerosols in Climate Models: Comparative Decomposition of

- Aerosol Direct, Semidirect, and Indirect Radiative Forcing, J. C., 25, 6461-6476, doi:
  10.1175/jcli-d-11-00650.1, 2012.
- 776 Greenwald, T. J., Stephens, G. L., Haar, T. H. V., and Jackson, D. L.: A physical retrieval of
- 777 cloud liquid water over the global Oceans using Special Sensor Microwave/Imager
- 778 (SSM/I) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18471–18488, 1993.
- Haag, W.: The impact of aerosols and gravity waves on cirrus clouds at midlatitudes, J.
  Geophys. Res., 109, D12202, doi:10.1029/2004JD004579, 2004.
- Han, Q., Rossow, W. B., and Lacis, A. A.: Near-Global Survey of Effective Droplet Radii in
  Liquid Water Clouds Using ISCCP Data, J. Climate, 7, 465-497,
  doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1994)007<0465:NGSOED>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Hendricks, J., Kärcher, B., and Lohmann, U.: Effects of ice nuclei on cirrus clouds in a
  global climate model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D18206, doi:10.1029/2010JD015302,
  2011.
- Heymsfield, A. J., Miloshevich, L. M., Schmitt, C., Bansemer, A., Twohy, C., Poellot, M. R.,
- Fridlind, A., and Gerber, H.: Homogeneous Ice Nucleation in Subtropical and Tropical
- Convection and Its Influence on Cirrus Anvil Microphysics, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 41-64,
  doi:10.1175/JAS-3360.1, 2005.
- 791 Hoose, C. and Möhler, O.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on atmospheric aerosols: a
- review of results from laboratory experiments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9817-9854, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012, 2012.
- Hoyle, C. R., Luo, B. P., and Peter, T.: The origin of high ice crystal number densities in cirrus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 2568-2579, doi:10.1175/JAS3487.1, 2005.
- 796 Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W. D.:
- 797 Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative
- transfer models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D13103, doi:10.1029/2008jd009944,
  2008.
- 800 IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the 801 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 802 Combridge Union Press New York 2007
- 802 Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2007.
- 803 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I
- to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
  Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1535 pp,
  doi:10.1017/CB09781107415324, 2013.
- 807 Jensen, E. J., Diskin, G., Lawson, R. P., Lance, S., Bui, T. P., Hlavka, D., McGill, M., Pfister, L.,
- 808 Toon, O. B., and Gao, R.: Ice nucleation and dehydration in the Tropical Tropopause 809 Layor P. Natl Acad Sci. 110, 2041-2046 doi:10.1073/pnas.1217104110.2013
- 809 Layer, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110, 2041-2046, doi:10.1073/pnas.1217104110, 2013.
- Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., and Bui, T. P.: Physical processes controlling ice concentrations in
  cold cirrus near the tropical tropopause, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D11205,
  doi:10.1029/2011JD017319, 2012.
- 813 Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., Bui, T. P., Lawson, P., and Baumgardner, D.: Ice nucleation and
- cloud microphysical properties in tropical tropopause layer cirrus, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 815 10, 1369-1384, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1369-2010, 2010.
- 816 Kärcher, B. and Burkhardt, U.: A cirrus cloud scheme for general circulation models, Q. J.
- 817 Roy. Meteor. Soc., 134, 1439-1461, doi:10.1002/qj.301, 2008.
- 818 Kärcher, B., Hendricks, J., and Lohmann, U.: Physically based parameterization of cirrus
- 819 cloud formation for use in global atmospheric models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111,
- 820 D01205, doi:10.1029/2005JD006219, 2006.
- 821 Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A parameterization of cirrus cloud formation:

- 822 Heterogeneous freezing, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4402, doi:10.1029/2002JD003220, 823 2003.
- 824 Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A parameterization of cirrus cloud formation: 825 Homogeneous freezing of supercooled aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, AAC 826 4-1-AAC 4-10, doi:10.1029/2001JD000470, 2002a.
- 827 Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A Parameterization of cirrus cloud formation: Homogeneous freezing including effects of aerosol size, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 107, 828 829 4698, doi:10.1029/2001JD001429, 2002b.
- 830 Kärcher, B., Möhler, O., DeMott, P. J., Pechtl, S., and Yu, F.: Insights into the role of soot 831 aerosols in cirrus cloud formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4203-4227,
- doi:10.5194/acp-7-4203-2007, 2007. 832
- 833 Kay, J. E., Baker, M., and Hegg, D.: Microphysical and dynamical controls on cirrus cloud 834 optical depth distributions, I. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 111, D24205, 835 doi:10.1029/2005jd006916, 2006.
- Kiehl, J. T. and Trenberth, K. E.: Earth's Annual Global Mean Energy Budget, B. Am. 836 837 Meteorol. Soc., 78, 197-208,
- 838 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<0197:EAGMEB>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- 839 Koop, T.: Homogeneous ice nucleation in water and aqueous solutions, Z. Phys. Chem., 840 218, 1231-1258, doi:10.1524/zpch.218.11.1231.50812, 2004.
- Koop, T., Luo, B. P., Tsias, A., and Peter, T.: Water activity as the determinant for 841 842 homogeneous ice nucleation in aqueous solutions, Nature, 406, 611-614, 843 doi:10.1038/35020537, 2000.
- Korolev, A. and Isaac, G. A.: Relative humidity in liquid, mixed-phase, and ice clouds, J. 844 845 Atmos. Sci., 63, 2865-2880, doi:10.1175/JAS3784.1, 2006.
- 846 Krämer, M., Schiller, C., Afchine, A., Bauer, R., Gensch, I., Mangold, A., Schlicht, S., Spelten,
- N., Sitnikov, N., Borrmann, S., de Reus, M., and Spichtinger, P.: Ice supersaturations and 847 848 cirrus cloud crystal numbers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3505-3522, 849 doi:10.5194/acp-9-3505-2009, 2009.
- 850 Kuebbeler, M., Lohmann, U., Hendricks, J., and Kärcher, B.: Dust ice nuclei effects on
- 851 cirrus clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3027-3046, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3027-2014, 852 2014.
- 853 Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C.,
- 854 Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J.,
- 855 Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van 856 Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning
- 857 emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos. Chem.
- 858 Phys., 10, 7017-7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- 859 Lawson, R. P.: Effects of ice particles shattering on the 2D-S probe, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4,
- 860 1361-1381, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1361-2011, 2011.
- Li, J. L. F., Waliser, D. E., Chen, W. T., Guan, B., Kubar, T., Stephens, G., Ma, H. Y., Deng, M., 861
- 862 Donner, L., Seman, C., and Horowitz, L.: An observationally based evaluation of cloud ice water in CMIP3 and CMIP5 GCMs and contemporary reanalyses using contemporary 863
- 864 satellite data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 117, D16105, doi:10.1029/2012JD017640, 2012.
- Liou, K. N.: Influence of Cirrus Clouds on Weather and Climate Processes a Global 865 1167-1199,
- 866 Perspective, Mon. Weather Rev., 114,
- doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1986)114<1167:IOCCOW>2.0.CO;2, 1986. 867
- Liu, X., Penner, J. E., Ghan, S. J., and Wang, M.: Inclusion of Ice Microphysics in the NCAR 868 869 Community Atmospheric Model Version 3 (CAM3), J. Climate, 20, 4526-4547, Doi:

- 870 10.1175/Jcli4264.1, 2007.
- 871 Liu, X., Penner, J. E., and Wang, M.: Influence of anthropogenic sulfate and black carbon
- on upper tropospheric clouds in the NCAR CAM3 model coupled to the IMPACT global aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 114, D03204, Doi: 10.1029/2008JD010492,
- 874 2009.
- Liu, X., Shi, X., Zhang, K., Jensen, E. J., Gettelman, A., Barahona, D., Nenes, A., and Lawson,
- P.: Sensitivity studies of dust ice nuclei effect on cirrus clouds with the Community
  Atmosphere Model CAM5.3, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12061-12079,
  doi:10.5194/acp-12-12061-2012, 2012a.
- 879 Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., Lamarque, J. F., Gettelman, A.,
- 880 Morrison, H., Vitt, F., Conley, A., Park, S., Neale, R., Hannay, C., Ekman, A. M. L., Hess, P.,
- 881 Mahowald, N., Collins, W., Iacono, M. J., Bretherton, C. S., Flanner, M. G., and Mitchell, D.:
- Toward a minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: description and evaluation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5.3, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 709-739,
- 884 doi:10.5194/gmd-5-709-2012, 2012b.
- Liu, X. H. and Penner, J. E.: Ice nucleation parameterization for global models, Meteorol.
- 886 Z., 14, 499-514, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2005/0059, 2005.
- Loeb, N. G., Wielicki, B. A., Doelling, D. R., Smith, G. L., Keyes, D. F., Kato, S., Manalo-Smith,
- N., and Wong, T.: Toward Optimal Closure of the Earth's Top-of-Atmosphere Radiation
  Budget, J.Climate, 22, 748–766, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2637.1, 2009.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem.
- 891 Phys., 5, 715-737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.
- Lohmann, U., Spichtinger, P., Jess, S., Peter, T., and Smit, H.: Cirrus cloud formation and
  ice supersaturated regions in a global climate model, Environ. Res. Lett., 3, 045022(11p),
  doi:10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/045022, 2008.
- 895 Meyers, M. P., Demott, P. J., and Cotton, W. R.: New Primary Ice-Nucleation 896 Parameterizations in an Explicit Cloud Model, J. Appl. Meteorol., 31, 708-721, 897 doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1992)031<0708:NPINPI>2.0.CO;2, 1992.
- Morrison, H. and Gettelman, A.: A new two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics scheme in the community atmosphere model, version 3 (CAM3). Part I: Description and numerical tests, J. Climate, 21, 3642-3659, doi:10.1175/2008jcli2105.1, 2008.
- Murphy, D. M.: Rare temperature histories and cirrus ice number density in a parcel and
  one-dimensional model, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 10701-10723,
  doi:10.5194/acpd-14-10701-2014,2014.
- Murray, B. J.: Inhibition of ice crystallisation in highly viscous aqueous organic acid droplets, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5423-5433, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5423-2008, 2008.
- 906 Murray, B. J., TheodoreW.Wilson, Dobbie, S., Cui, Z., Al-Jumur, S. M. R. K., Möhler, O.,
- 907 Schnaiter, M., RobertWagner, Benz, S., Niemand, M., Saathoff, H., Ebert, V., StevenWagner,
- and Kärcher, B.: Heterogeneous nucleation of ice particles on glassy aerosols under
   cirrus conditions, Nat. Geosci., 3, 233-236, doi:10.1038/ngeo817, 2010.
- 910 Neale, R. B., Gettelman, A., Park, S., Conley, A. J., Kinnison, D., Marsh, D., Smith, A. K., Vitt,
- 911 F., Morrison, H., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. D., Iacono, M. J., Easter, R. C., Liu, X., and
- 912 Taylor, M. A.: Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 5.0), NCAR
- 913 Tech. Note NCAR/TN-485+STR, 289 pp., Natl. Cent. for Atmos. Res, Boulder, Co, 2012.
- 914 Phillips, V. T. J., DeMott, P. J., and Andronache, C.: An empirical parameterization of
- 915 heterogeneous ice nucleation for multiple chemical species of aerosol, J. Atmos. Sci., 65,
- 916 2757-2783, doi:10.1175/2007jas2546.1, 2008.
- 917 Platnick, S., King, M. D., Ackerman, S. A., Menzel, W. P., Baum, B. A., Riedi, J. C., and Frey, R.

- 918 A.: The MODIS cloud products: algorithms and examples from Terra, Ieee Trans.
- 919 Geosci.Remote Sens., 41, 459–473, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.808301, 2003.
- 920 Pruppacher, H. R. and Klett, J. D.: Microphysics of Cloud and Precipitation, Springer, New 921 York, 954pp, 1997.
- 922 Rossow, W. B. and Schiffer, R. A.: Advances in Understanding Clouds from ISCCP, B. Am. Soc., 80, 2261-2287,
- 923 Meteorol.
- 924 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<2261:AIUCFI>2.0.CO;2, 1999.
- 925 Salzmann, M., Ming, Y., Golaz, J.-C., Ginoux, P. A., Morrison, H., Gettelman, A., Krämer, M.,
- 926 and Donner, L. J.: Two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics in the GFDL AM3
- 927 GCM: description, evaluation, and sensitivity tests, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010. 8037-8064,
- 928 doi:10.5194/acp-10-8037-2010, 2010.
- 929 Shi, X., Wang, B., Liu, X., and Wang, M.: Two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics 930 in the grid-point atmospheric model of IAP LASG (GAMIL), Adv. Atmos. Sci., 30, 868-883, 931 doi:10.1007/s00376-012-2072-1, 2013.
- 932 Spichtinger, P. and Gierens, K. M.: Modelling of cirrus clouds - Part 2: Competition of 933 nucleation mechanisms, Atmos. different Chem. Phys., 9, 2319-2334,
- 934 doi:10.5194/acp-9-2319-2009, 2009.
- 935 Spichtinger, P. and Krämer, M.: Tropical tropopause ice clouds: a dynamic approach to 936 the mystery of low crystal numbers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9801-9818, 937 doi:10.5194/acp-13-9801-2013, 2013.
- 938 Szyrmer, W. and Zawadzki, I.: Biogenic and anthropogenic sources of ice-forming nuclei: 939 Meteorol. А review. B. Am. Soc., 78, 209-228, 940 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<0209:BAASOI>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- 941 Wang, M. and Penner, J. E.: Cirrus clouds in a global climate model with a statistical 942 cirrus cloud scheme, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5449-5474. 943 doi:10.5194/acp-10-5449-2010, 2010.
- 944 Wang, M., Liu, X., Zhang, K., and Comstock, J. M.: Aerosol effects on cirrus through ice 945 nucleation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5 with a statistical cirrus scheme, J.
- 946 Adv.Model. Earth Syst., 06, doi:10.1002/2014MS000339, 2014.
- Wang, P.-H., Minnis, P., McCormick, M. P., Kent, G. S., Yue, G. K., Young, D. F., and Skeens, K. 947
- M.: A 6-year climatology of cloud occurence frequency from Stratospheric Aerosol and 948 949 Gas experiment II observations (1985–1990), J. Geophys.Res., 101, 407–429,
- 950 doi:10.1029/96JD01780, 1996.
- 951 Weng, F. Z. and Grody, N. C.: Retrieval of cloud liquid water using the Special Sensor 952 Microwave Imager (SSM/I), J. Geophys. Res., 99, 25535–25551, 1994.
- 953 Wielicki, B. A., Barkstrom, B. R., Harrison, E. F., Lee, R. B., Louis Smith, G., and Cooper, J. E.:
- 954 Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES): An Earth Observing System 955 Experiment, Meteorol. B. Am. Soc., 77, 853-868, doi: 956 http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0853:CATERE>2.0.C0;2, 1996.
- 957 Wylie, D., Jackson, D. L., Menzel, W. P., and Bates, J. J.: Trends in Global Cloud Cover in
- 958 Two Decades of HIRS Observations, J. Climate, 18, 3021-3031, doi:10.1175/JCLI3461.1, 959 2005.
- 960 Wylie, D. P. and Menzel, W. P.: Eight years of high cloud statistics using HIRS, J. Climate, 961 12, 170-184, doi:10.1175/1520-0442-12.1.170, 1999.
- 962 Zhang, K., O'Donnell, D., Kazil, J., Stier, P., Kinne, S., Lohmann, U., Ferrachat, S., Croft, B.,
- 963 Quaas, J., Wan, H., Rast, S., and Feichter, J.: The global aerosol-climate model
- ECHAM-HAM, version 2: sensitivity to improvements in process representations, Atmos. 964
- 965 Chem. Phys., 12, 8911-8949, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-8911-2012, 2012.

- Zhang, K., Liu, X., Wang, M., Comstock, J. M., Mitchell, D. L., Mishra, S., and Mace, G. G.:
  Evaluating and constraining ice cloud parameterizations in CAM5.3 using aircraft
  measurements from the SPARTICUS campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4963-4982,
  doi:10.5194/acp-13-4963-2013, 2013a.
- 970 Zhang, K., Liu, X., Comstock, J., Wang, M., Wan, H., and Bui, T.: Vertical Draft Velocity in
- 971 Cirrus Clouds and Long-Wave Aerosol Indirect Effect, The Atmosphere Model Working
- 972 Group Meeting, Boulder, Colo., 11–13 February 2013, 2013b.

973
Experiment	Two limits	PREICE	$f_{hom}$	Ice parameterization
Default	Yes	No	No	LP
Preice	No	Yes	Yes	LP
NoPreice	No	No	Yes	LP
Nofhom	No	Yes	No	LP
PreiceBN	No	Yes	Yes	BN
NoPreiceBN	No	No	Yes	BN
PreiceKL	No	Yes	Yes	KL
NoPreiceKL	No	No	Yes	KL

974 Table 1 List of experiments conducted in this study.

977 Table 2. Global annual mean results from present-day simulations and observations. Shown 978 are total cloud fraction (CLDTOT,%) and high cloud fraction (CLDHGH,%) compared to 979 ISCCP data (Rossow and Schiffer, 1999), MODIS data (Platnick et al., 2003), and HIRS data (Wylie et al., 2005), shortwave cloud forcing (SWCF, W m<sup>-2</sup>), longwave cloud forcing 980 (LWCF, W m<sup>-2</sup>), whole-sky shortwave (FSNT, W m<sup>-2</sup>) and longwave (FLNT, W m<sup>-2</sup>) net 981 radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere, clear-sky shortwave (FSNTC, W m<sup>-2</sup>) and 982 longwave (FLNTC, W m<sup>-2</sup>) radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere compared to ERBE 983 data (Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997) and CERES data (Loeb et al. 2009), liquid water path 984 (LWP, g m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to SSM/I oceans data (Greenwald et al., 1993; Weng and Grody, 985 1994) and ISCCP data (Han et al., 1994), ice water path (IWP, g m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to CloudSat 986 data (Li et al., 2012), column-integrated grid-mean cloud droplet number concentration 987 (CDNUMC, 10<sup>10</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) compared to MODIS data (Table 4 in Barahona et al., 2014), 988 column-integrated grid-mean ice crystal number concentration (CDNUMI,  $10^6 \text{ m}^{-2}$ ), 989 convective (PRECC, mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and large-scale (PRECL, mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and total precipitation 990

991 rate (PRECT, mm day<sup>-1</sup>) compared to Global Precipitation Climatology Project data set
992 (Adler et al., 2003).

	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL	OBS
CLDTOT	62.52	63.01	64.37	67.95	63.45	67.30	63.49	68.92	62-75
CLDHGH	36.34	37.26	38.92	44.12	37.95	43.55	38.01	45.89	21-33
SWCF	-50.25	-51.52	-53.96	-62.67	-51.30	-59.07	-51.38	-63.15	-(46-53)
LWCF	22.42	23.65	27.12	34.81	23.38	31.42	23.25	35.85	27-31
FSNT	237.38	236.08	233.66	225.16	236.33	228.71	236.21	224.74	234-242
FLNT	-236.26	-234.88	-231.44	-222.49	-235.24	-226.38	-235.32	-221.50	-(234-240)
FSNTC	287.67	287.63	287.67	287.88	287.66	287.83	287.62	287.94	287-288
FLNTC	-258.68	-258.53	-258.57	-257.31	-258.62	-257.80	-258.57	-257.34	-(265-269)
LWP	43.62	43.90	44.60	46.72	43.84	45.88	43.94	46.78	50-87
IWP	16.37	17.60	19.55	24.33	17.09	21.09	17.01	23.87	25.8
CDNUMC	1.37	1.39	1.42	1.53	1.39	1.49	1.40	1.53	1.96
CDNUMI	83.20	119.32	193.30	1021.05	116.19	702.59	119.43	1267.13	
PRECC	2.01	1.97	1.90	1.71	1.98	1.78	1.98	1.69	
PRECL	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.06	1.05	1.05	
PRECT	3.05	3.02	2.95	2.75	3.02	2.84	3.03	2.74	2.68

993 Table 3. Global annual mean variables changes (present-day minus pre-industrial times). Illustrated are changes in net cloud forcing ( $\Delta CF^*$ , W m<sup>-2</sup>) as well as the long-wave ( $\Delta LWCF^*$ , 994 W m<sup>-2</sup>) and shortwave ( $\Delta$ SWCF<sup>\*</sup>, W m<sup>-2</sup>) components, the changes in convective ( $\Delta$ PRECC, 995 mm day<sup>-1</sup>), large-scale ( $\Delta$ PRECL, mm day<sup>-1</sup>) and total precipitation rate ( $\Delta$ PRECT, mm 996 day<sup>-1</sup>), the change in total cloud fraction ( $\Delta$ CLDTOT, %), high cloud fraction ( $\Delta$ CLDHGH, 997 %), liquid water path ( $\Delta$ LWP, g m<sup>-2</sup>), ice water path ( $\Delta$ IWP, g m<sup>-2</sup>), and column droplet 998 number concentration ( $\Delta$ CDNUMC, 10<sup>10</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>), and column ice number concentration 999  $(\Delta CDNUMI, 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2}).$ 1000

	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL
$\Delta \mathrm{CF}^*$	-1.44	-1.55	-1.60	-2.14	-1.47	-1.88	-1.64	-2.23
$\Delta SWCF^*$	-1.95	-2.01	-2.13	-4.51	-1.86	-3.58	-1.88	-3.94
$\Delta LWCF^*$	0.51	0.46	0.53	2.37	0.39	1.70	0.24	1.71
ΔPRECC	0	0	0	-0.03	-0.01	-0.02	0	-0.02
ΔPRECL	-0.0	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02
ΔPRECT	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	-0.04
ΔCLDTOT	0.22	0.28	0.40	0.84	0.32	0.70	0.19	0.74
∆CLDHGH	0.02	0.20	0.24	0.95	0.12	0.73	0.01	0.62
$\Delta LWP$	3.83	3.59	3.77	5.73	3.40	4.33	3.66	4.56
ΔIWP	0.12	0.12	0.14	1.21	0.03	0.62	0.01	0.60
ΔCDNUMC	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.47	0.38	0.44	0.39	0.45
ΔCDNUMI	5.60	8.46	13.10	327.38	5.62	116.49	3.24	225.42

Table 4. All percentage contributions from heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal
number concentration (in unit of %) within different ranges of dust number concentration for
all present-day simulations. Model results are sampled every three hours. Only ice nucleation
occurrence events are analyzed.

Dust range	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL
$1 - 10 \text{ L}^{-1}$	6.8	5.7	2.1	0.1	3.3	0.3	3.4	0.1
$10 - 100L^{-1}$	62.1	41.2	21.0	1.4	34.8	3.9	33.8	1.9
$> 100 L^{-1}$	99.5	89.8	78.0	10.9	92.2	39.2	93.0	25.8
All	27.9	17.6	6.7	0.5	9.4	1.0	8.9	0.5



1009

1010 **Fig. 1.** Vertical velocity reduction caused by PREICE ( $W_{i,pre}$ ) as a function of ice number 1011 concentration. Results are shown for different ice radius, 10µm (solid line), 25µm (dotted 1012 line) and 50µm (dash line). The ambient condition is that *T*=-60°C, *P*=230hpa,  $S_i=S_{het}$  (red) 1013 and  $S_i=S_{hom}$  (black).



Neglect the influence of preexisting ice crystals



Consider the influence of preexisting ice crystals

1016 Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of cirrus cloud evolution. Upper panel represents the default ice nucleation scheme that neglects the influence of PREICE, lower panel represents the updated 1017 1018 scheme that considers the PREICE effect. Ice crystals number concentrations are shown 1019 inside the ovals. Time steps are shown above the ovals. All numbers are based on cirrus cloud evolution within a model grid cell (3°N, 75°W, ~198 hPa, ~217 K). In this experiment, the 1020 updraft velocity is set to 0.2 m s<sup>-1</sup> and the sulfate number concentration is set to 100 cm<sup>-3</sup>. 1021 1022 Heterogeneous nucleation is not taken into account. The simulation is run 3 months. Just one cirrus cloud evolution process is shown here. 1023



**Fig. 3.** Probability distribution frequency of sub-grid updraft velocity ( $W_{sub}$ , upper) and in-cloud ice number concentration ( $N_i$ , lower) for Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice experiments. Black-dashed line refers to aircraft measurements from the SPARTICUS campaign. The observed  $W_{sub}$  data was averaged over 50 km by 50 km grid (Zhang et al., 2013b). Model results are sampled over the field measurement site every three hours.



1032

**Fig. 4.** In-cloud ice crystal number concentration ( $N_i$ , L<sup>-1</sup>) versus temperature for Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice experiments. Model results are sampled every three hours over tropical, mid-latitude and Arctic regions including the observation locations reported in Krämer et al. (2009). The 50% percentile (solid line), 25% and 75% percentiles (error bar) are shown for each 1-K temperature bin. The gray color indicates observations between 25% and 75% percentiles.



Fig. 5. Annual and zonal mean distributions of longwave and shortwave cloud forcing
(SWCF, LWCF), column cloud ice number concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path
(IWP). Black-solid line refers to CERES data for cloud forcing (Wielicki et al., 1996). Units
are shown in the upper right corner.



1047 **Fig. 6.** Probability distribution frequency (PDF) of sub-grid updraft velocity ( $W_{sub}$ , black), 1048 effective updraft velocity ( $W_{eff}$ , blue) and vertical velocity reduction caused by PREICE 1049 ( $W_{i,pre}$ , red) from the Preice experiment. Model results are sampled every three hours. Only 1050 homogeneous ice nucleation occurrence events ( $W_{eff}$ >0) are analyzed.



**Fig. 7.** Annual zonal mean in-cloud ice crystal number concentration ( $N_i$ , L<sup>-1</sup>) from NoPreice (left) and Preice (right) experiments. Note the different color bars. Results are sampled from model grids where annual mean occurrence frequency of ice nucleation events is greater than 0.001.



**Fig. 8.** Same as Fig.7, but for in-cloud ice crystal number concentration ( $L^{-1}$ , left) and percentage contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal number concentration (%, right) from Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL experiments.



**Fig. 9.** Changes (present-day minus pre-industrial times) in annual and zonal mean distributions of longwave and shortwave cloud forcing (LWCF, SWCF), column cloud ice number concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path (IWP) for Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL experiments. The vertical bars overloading on solid lines indicate the ranges of two standard deviation calculated from the difference of each of 5 years at different latitudes.