1 Effects of preexisting ice crystals on cirrus clouds and comparison

- 2 between different ice nucleation parameterizations with the
- 3 Community Atmosphere Model (CAM5)
- 4 X. Shi^{1,2,3}, X. Liu¹, and K. Zhang⁴
- 5 1 Department of Atmospheric Science, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, USA
- 6 2 Hebei Key Laboratory for Meteorology and Eco-environment, Shijiazhuang, China
- 7 3 Hebei Climate Center, Shijiazhuang, China
- 8 4 Atmospheric Science and Global Change Division, Pacific Northwest National Laboratory,
- 9 Richland, WA, USA
- 10
- 11 Correspondence to:
- 12 X. Liu (xliu6@uwyo.edu)
- 13

Abstract

15 In order to improve the treatment of ice nucleation in a more realistic manner in the 16 Community Atmospheric Model version 5.3 (CAM5.3), the effects of preexisting ice crystals 17 on ice nucleation in cirrus clouds are considered. In addition, by considering the in-cloud 18 variability in ice saturation ratio, homogeneous nucleation takes place spatially only in a 19 portion of cirrus cloud rather than in the whole area of cirrus cloud. With these improvements, 20 the two unphysical limits used in the representation of ice nucleation are removed. Compared 21 to observations, the ice number concentrations and the probability distributions of ice number 22 concentration are both improved with the updated treatment. The preexisting ice crystals significantly reduce ice number concentrations in cirrus clouds, especially at mid- to high 23 24 latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of ~ 10). Furthermore, the contribution of 25 heterogeneous ice nucleation to cirrus ice crystal number increases considerably.

26 Besides the default ice nucleation parameterization of Liu and Penner (2005, hereafter 27 LP) in CAM5.3, two other ice nucleation parameterizations of Barahona and Nenes (2009, hereafter BN) and Kärcher et al. (2006, hereafter KL) are implemented in CAM5.3 for the 28 29 comparison. In-cloud ice crystal number concentration, percentage contribution from 30 heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal number, and preexisting ice effects simulated 31 by the three ice nucleation parameterizations have similar patterns in the simulations with present-day aerosol emissions. However, the change (present-day minus pre-industrial times) 32 in global annual mean column ice number concentration from the KL parameterization 33 $(3.24 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ is obviously less than that from the LP $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ and BN $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ 34 35 parameterizations. As a result, the experiment using the KL parameterization predicts a much smaller anthropogenic aerosol longwave indirect forcing (0.24 W m⁻²) than that using the LP 36 (0.46 W m^{-2}) and BN (0.39 W m^{-2}) parameterizations. 37

38 < In this revised manuscript, major changes were marked in blue. >

39 **1** Introduction

40 Cirrus clouds play an important role in the global climate system because they have extensive global coverage (Wang et al., 1996; Wylie and Menzel, 1999). They cool the planet 41 by reflecting the solar radiation back to space and heat the planet by absorbing and 42 43 re-emitting the longwave terrestrial radiation (Liou, 1986; Rossow and Schiffer, 1999; Chen et al., 2000; Corti et al., 2005). The balance of these two processes depends mainly on cirrus 44 45 optical properties and thus on ice crystals number concentration (Haag, 2004; Kay et al., 46 2006; Fusina et al., 2007; Gettelman et al., 2012). Furthermore, the microphysical properties of cirrus clouds strongly influence the efficiency of dehydration at the tropical tropopause 47 layer and modulate water vapor in the upper troposphere and lower stratosphere (Korolev and 48 49 Isaac, 2006; Krämer et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2013).

50 In recent years, significant progress has been made in both cirrus cloud measurements 51 and cirrus cloud modeling (e.g., Heymsfield et al., 2005; Krämer et al., 2009; DeMott et al., 2011; Cziczo et al., 2013; Jensen et al., 2013; Diao et al., 2014; Barahona and Nenes, 2011; 52 53 Jensen et al., 2012; Spichtinger and Krämer, 2013; Murphy, 2014). Ice crystals may form by both homogeneous freezing of soluble aerosol/droplet particles and heterogeneous ice 54 55 nucleation on insoluble aerosol particles, called ice nuclei (IN, Pruppacher and Klett, 1997). Laboratory experiments and field observations show that various insoluble or partly insoluble 56 57 aerosol particles can act as IN under cirrus formation conditions, such as mineral dust, fly ash, 58 and metallic particles (DeMott et al., 2003; Cziczo et al., 2004; DeMott et al., 2011; Hoose 59 and Möhler, 2012). Understanding the role of different aerosol types serving as heterogeneous IN in cirrus clouds remains challenging (Szyrmer and Zawadzki, 1997; Kärcher et al., 2007; 60 Hendricks et al., 2011; Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Cziczo et al., 2013). Compared to 61

heterogeneous nucleation, homogeneous nucleation is relatively better understood (Koop et 62 al., 2000; Koop, 2004). The number concentration of soluble aerosol particles in the upper 63 64 troposphere is usually much higher than that of IN. Once taking place, homogeneous freezing 65 can generate a high number concentration of ice crystals in cold environments with high updraft velocities, and has been assumed to be a dominant process for cirrus cloud formation 66 (Heymsfield et al., 2005; Wang and Penner, 2010; Gettelman et al., 2012). However, 67 68 heterogeneous nucleation tends to occur at lower supersaturations, depletes the water vapor, 69 and thus prevents the homogeneous nucleation from occurring or reduces the number of ice 70 crystals produced by the homogeneous freezing (Kärcher and Lohmann, 2003; Spichtinger 71 and Gierens, 2009). If the homogeneous nucleation is prevented totally from occurring or how the rate of homogeneously nucleated ice crystals is reduced depends on several parameters. 72 73 such as number of heterogeneous IN, temperature, vertical updraft (Liu and Penner, 2005; 74 Kärcher et al., 2006; Barahona and Nenes, 2009). In recent years, the relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus heterogeneous nucleation to cirrus cloud formation has 75 76 attracted a lot of attentions. Cziczo et al. (2013) analyzed the residual particle composition (after the ice was sublimated) within cirrus crystals of North and Central America and nearby 77 78 oceans, and found that heterogeneous freezing was the dominant formation mechanism of 79 these clouds. However, simulations from general circulation models (GCMs) often show that homogeneous freezing is the primary contributor to ice number concentration in cirrus clouds 80 81 (Lohmann et al., 2008; Hendricks et al., 2011; Liu et al., 2012a; Zhang et al., 2013a; 82 Kuebbeler et al., 2014). The changes in the relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus heterogeneous nucleation may have a significant impact on estimating the 83 anthropogenic aerosol indirect effects through cirrus clouds (Liu et al., 2009). 84

Aerosol indirect effects on cloud properties are one of the largest uncertainties in the projection of future climate change (Lohmann and Feichter, 2005; IPCC, 2007; 2013). There

87 have been significant progresses in recent years in developing ice microphysics schemes for GCMs and studying aerosol effects on cirrus clouds (Liu et al., 2007; Gettelman et al., 2010; 88 89 Salzmann et al., 2010; Wang and Penner, 2010; Hendricks et al., 2011; Ghan et al., 2012; 90 Zhang et al., 2012; Barahona et al., 2014; Shi et al., 2013; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). A key 91 component in cirrus cloud microphysics schemes is the ice nucleation parameterization that 92 links ice number concentration to aerosol properties. Based on theoretical formulations or 93 model simulations of the ice crystal formation process in a rising air parcel, sophisticated ice 94 nucleation parameterizations considering the competition between homogeneous and 95 heterogeneous nucleation have been developed (Liu and Penner, 2005, hereafter LP; Kärcher 96 et al., 2006, hereafter KL; Barahona and Nenes, 2009, hereafter BN). Liu et al. (2012a) studied the impact of heterogeneous dust IN on upper tropospheric cirrus clouds using 97 Community Atmospheric Model version 5 (CAM5) with LP and BN parameterizations, and 98 99 found that the impact of heterogeneous dust IN with the LP parameterization is much larger 100 than that with the BN parameterization. Studies of anthropogenic aerosol indirect effects 101 showed that the annual global mean change in longwave cloud forcing from pre-industrial times to present-day estimated from CAM5 with the LP parameterization is 0.40–0.52 W m^{-2} 102 (Ghan et al., 2012), much larger than the estimate (0.05–0.20 W m⁻²) by the 103 104 ECHAM5-HAM2 model (Zhang et al., 2012) with the KL parameterization (Zhang et al., 105 unpublished result). Therefore, it is imperative to find out whether different ice nucleation 106 parameterizations are the main cause for these differences.

107 Compared to the two other ice nucleation parameterizations (LP and BN), the KL 108 parameterization considers the effects of preexisting ice crystals (PREICE) on ice nucleation. 109 The presence of PREICE may hinder homogeneous and heterogeneous nucleation from 110 happening owing to the depletion of water vapor by PREICE. Simulation results from 111 ECHAM with the KL parameterization showed that the PREICE effect leads to cirrus clouds

112 composed of fewer and larger ice crystals (Hendricks et al., 2011; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). 113 Barahona et al. (2014) incorporated the BN parameterization into the NASA Goddard Earth 114 Observing System model version 5 (GEOS5), and modified the original BN parameterization 115 to include the PREICE effect. They showed that cloud forcings are significantly reduced due 116 to the effect of PREICE (Barahona et al., 2014). Because the homogeneous nucleation event 117 usually requires a higher supersaturation than the heterogeneous nucleation, the impact on 118 homogeneous nucleation is stronger than on heterogeneous nucleation. Therefore, considering 119 PREICE may increase the contribution of heterogeneous nucleation to ice crystal formation.

Analysis of in situ datasets obtained in cirrus clouds found that ice saturation ratio, S_i , is 120 121 highly variable both spatially (Jensen et al., 2013) and temporally (Hoyle et al., 2005), and 122 that ice nucleation takes place only in a portion of cirrus cloud rather than in the whole area of 123 cirrus cloud (Diao et al., 2013; 2014). However, most GCMs assume that cirrus cloud is homogeneously mixed, and ice nucleation event occurs in the whole area of cirrus cloud 124 125 (Gettelman et al., 2010; Salzmann et al., 2010; Hendricks et al., 2011; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). 126 Only until recently have GCMs attempted to account for the fraction of cirrus cloud where homogeneous freezing occurs (f_{hom}) (Wang and Penner, 2010; Barahona et al., 2014; Wang et 127 al., 2014). 128

In this study, in order to improve the treatment of ice nucleation in CAM5, the PREICE effect is considered in the LP parameterization, which is the standard parameterization in CAM5. A method for calculating f_{hom} is developed, and the impact of f_{hom} on cirrus cloud properties is investigated. With these modifications, the two unphysical limits (i.e., lower limit of sulfate particles size and upper limit of the characteristic sub-grid updraft velocity) used to drive the LP ice nucleation parameterization are removed. We further investigate the sensitivity of cirrus cloud properties and aerosol indirect forcing through cirrus clouds to different ice nucleation parameterizations (LP, BN, KL) implemented in CAM5. This paper is
organized as follows. Model description and modifications are presented in Sect. 2. Model
simulations are evaluated and compared with observations in Sect. 3. Section 4 examines the
effects of PREICE. Section 5 presents the sensitivity of aerosol indirect effects to different ice
nucleation parameterizations. Conclusions are given in Sect. 6.

141 **2** CAM Model and Experiments

142 **2.1 CAM5**

143 The model used in this study is the version 5.3 of Community Atmospheric Model 144 (CAM, Neale et al., 2012). The treatment of clouds in CAM5.3 is divided into two categories: convective cloud scheme with simplified cloud microphysics and stratiform cloud scheme 145 146 with relatively detailed cloud microphysics. Convective microphysics does not consider the 147 effects of aerosol particles on convective cloud droplets and ice crystals. A two-moment stratiform cloud microphysics scheme (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008, hereafter MG; 148 Gettelman et al., 2008; Gettelman et al., 2010) is used in CAM5.3 and coupled to a modal 149 150 aerosol module (Liu et al., 2012b) for aerosol-cloud interactions. The default three-mode version of the modal aerosol module, which consists of Aitken, accumulation and coarse 151 152 modes, is used in this study. A moisture turbulence scheme (Bretherton and Park, 2009) is 153 used to explicitly simulate the stratus-radiation-turbulence interactions in CAM5. The 154 RRTMG radiation package is used to more accurately take into account of aerosol and cloud 155 effects (Iacono et al., 2008).

156 **2.2** Cirrus cloud scheme in the standard CAM5

157 The ice cloud fraction is diagnosed using the total water (water vapor and cloud ice), 158 based on Gettelman et al. (2010). Supersaturation with respect to ice is allowed in the model, 159 and grid-mean relative humidity with respect to ice (*RHi*) is used in the calculation of deposition growth of ice crystals (Liu et al., 2007). Considering the increase in cloud ice mixing ratio due to vapor deposition during one time step, the growth of ice crystals is calculated using a relaxation timescale (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008; Gettelman et al., 2010). Cloud water from the convective detainment at temperatures below -30°C is assumed to be cloud ice with a prescribed mean radius (Gettelman et al., 2010).

165 Ice nucleation for cirrus clouds is based on the LP parameterization, which includes the 166 competition between homogeneous nucleation on sulfate and heterogeneous nucleation (immersion freezing) on dust. LP parameterization is derived from fitting the simulation 167 168 results of a cloud parcel with constant updraft velocities. The number of nucleated ice crystals 169 is a function of relative humidity, temperature, aerosol number concentration, and updraft 170 velocity. Since the current CAM5 model grid cannot resolve the sub-grid scale variability of 171 vertical velocity, W_{sub} , it is diagnosed from the square root of the turbulent kinetic energy 172 calculated in the moisture turbulence parameterization in CAM5.3 (Bretherton and Park, 2009). An upper limit of 0.2 m s⁻¹ is assumed for W_{sub} to fit to the observed ice number 173 174 concentrations (Gettelman et al., 2010). Dust in the coarse aerosol mode is taken as potential 175 heterogeneous IN. Homogeneous nucleation uses the sulfate aerosol particles in the Aiken 176 mode with diameter greater than 0.1 µm. The purpose of using this size limit is also to fit to 177 the observed ice number concentrations (Gettelman et al., 2010). The cloud droplet activation in warm liquid-phase clouds only happens at the cloud base of preexisting clouds or in all 178 179 levels of newly-formed clouds, as represented in CAM5. In comparison, ice nucleation is 180 allowed to happen in all levels of preexisting cirrus clouds in CAM5 if the nucleation 181 thresholds are met because RHi up to or even more than 120% are frequently observed inside 182 cirrus clouds (Krämer et al., 2009). The ice number concentration calculated from the ice 183 nucleation parameterization, N_{aai} , is assumed to be the maximum in-cloud ice number concentration in the current time step. New ice crystals will be produced if the in-cloud ice 184

185 number concentration, N_i , from the previous time step falls below N_{aai} . This is described in 186 Eq. (1) as

187
$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = \max\left(0, \frac{N_{aai} - N_i}{dt}\right) \quad . \tag{1}$$

2.3 Modifications to the standard ice nucleation parameterization in CAM5

189 In this study, several modifications have been made in the ice nucleation scheme in 190 CAM5. First, the effect of PREICE is taken into account, which will be introduced in the next 191 subsection. Second, the lower limit (0.1 µm diameter) of sulfate particles size used for 192 homogeneous freezing is removed. We use the number concentration of all sulfate aerosol 193 particles in the Aiken mode as an input for homogeneous nucleation. This is consistent with 194 the LP parameterization, which is derived for the background sulfate aerosol particles with a lognormal size distribution. Third, the upper limit (0.2 m s⁻¹) of W_{sub} is also removed because 195 196 updraft velocities measured from several aircraft campaigns show frequent occurrence of 197 larger values (>0.2 m s⁻¹, Zhang et al., 2013b). Finally, in-situ observations of cirrus clouds 198 show that only a small fraction of in-cloud S_i data surpasses the homogeneous freezing 199 saturation threshold (S_{hom} , Diao et al., 2013). So, we assume that the homogeneous freezing 200 takes place only in a fraction of cirrus clouds (f_{hom}) where in-cloud $S_i > S_{hom}$ is the RHi 201 threshold for a homogeneous ice nucleation event and it is a function of temperature (Kärcher 202 and Lohmann, 2002a,b). The in-cloud S_i variability can be calculated from the temperature 203 standard deviation, δ_T , following Kärcher and Burkhardt (2008):

204
$$S_i(T') \cong S_0 \exp\left[\frac{(T_0 - T')\theta}{{T_0}^2}\right]$$
, (2)

205
$$\frac{dP_{T'}}{dT'} = \frac{1}{\delta_T} \frac{1}{\sqrt{2\pi}} exp[-\frac{(T_0 - T')^2}{2\delta_T^2}] \qquad , \tag{3}$$

206 where T_0 and S_0 are mean in-cloud temperature and ice saturation, respectively, T' and $S_i(T')$ represents local in-cloud quantities, $\frac{dP_{T'}}{dT'}$ indicates the temperature probability distribution 207 function (PDF), $\theta = 6132.9$ K. The PDFs of T' and $S_i(T')$ can be found in Fig. 3 of Kärcher 208 209 and Burkhardt (2008). Here, we assume that T_0 is equal to the model grid temperature and δ_T 210 is uniformly applied to the whole grid area. S_0 is assumed to be 1.0 because the water vapor 211 deposition on ice crystals will remove supersaturation inside clouds with a long model time 212 step (30 min) in CAM5. According to measurement-based analysis of Hoyle et al. (2005), δ_T 213 is calculated from the diagnosed W_{sub} , $\delta_T \cong 4.3 W_{sub}$. The PDF of S_i can be constructed based on Eq. (2). By comparing S_i and S_{hom} , we can easily calculate the f_{hom} with ($S_i > S_{hom}$). Because 214 215 the ice number concentration after an ice nucleation event indicates the in-cloud value, the ice number concentration calculated from homogenous freezing parameterization is multiplied by 216 217 f_{hom} . In this way, we assume that the cirrus cloud is homogeneously mixed after a nucleation 218 event. We note that the in-cloud S_i variability due to the spatial variability of water vapor is 219 not considered, which can be important as suggested by recent studies (e.g., Diao et al., 2014).

220

2.4 Effect of PREICE on ice nucleation

To account for the effect of PREICE we introduce PREICE into CAM5 based on the concept of Kärcher et al. (2006), which is derived from an adiabatic rising air parcel. Without the PREICE effect, the temporal evolution of S_i is governed by (Kärcher et al., 2006)

224
$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i W - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} , \qquad (4)$$

where the parameters a_1 , a_2 , and a_3 depend only on the ambient temperature (*T*) and pressure (*P*), *W* is the updraft velocity, and $\frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt}$ denotes the growth rate of newly-nucleated ice crystals. Note that the sedimentation of ice crystals out of the rising parcel is not considered 228 during a nucleation event. To account for the PREICE effect, the depositional growth of 229 PREICE, $\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$ is added to Eq. (4)

$$230 \quad \frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i W - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \left(\frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} + \frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}\right) \qquad (5)$$

Equation (5) can be rewritten in the following form

232
$$\frac{dS_i}{dt} = a_1 S_i (W - W_{i,pre}) - (a_2 + a_3 S_i) \frac{dq_{i,nuc}}{dt} , \qquad (6)$$

233
$$W_{i,pre} = \frac{a_2 + a_3 S_i}{a_1 S_i} \frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$$
 (7)

Compared to Eq. (4), Eq. (6) indicates that the PREICE effect can be parameterized by reducing the vertical velocity for ice nucleation. This vertical velocity reduction, $W_{i,pre}$, caused by PREICE is calculated by Eq. (7).

Assuming all preexisting ice crystals have the same radius $(R_{i,pre})$, their growth rate is given by

239
$$\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt} = \frac{4\pi\rho_i}{m_w} n_{i,pre} R_{i,pre}^2 \frac{b_1}{1 + R_{i,pre} b_2} , \qquad (8)$$

240 where $n_{i,pre}$ is the PREICE number concentration, ρ_i is ice density, m_w is the mass of a water molecule. $b_1 = \alpha v_{th} n_{sat} (S_i - 1)/4$, $b_2 = \alpha v_{th}/(4D)$, α is the water vapor deposition 241 242 coefficient on ice, v_{th} is their thermal speed, n_{sat} is the water vapor number density at ice 243 saturation, D is the water vapor diffusion coefficient from the gas to ice phase (Kärcher et al., 244 2006). Note that Eqs.(5-8) represent an adiabatic rising air parcel with PREICE. We need the $W_{i,pre}$ for the ice nucleation parameterization. In the LP ice nucleation parameterization, ice 245 246 number produced from the homogeneous freezing is a function of temperature, sulfate number concentration, and updraft velocity. To calculate the corresponding $W_{i,pre}$, S_{hom} is used 247 248 in Eqs.(7-8). $n_{i,pre}$ and $R_{i,pre}$ in Eq.(8) indicate the number concentration and radius of in-cloud PREICE, respectively, from the previous time step. $W_{i,pre}$ used for heterogeneous nucleation is calculated based on the same approach, except that S_i in Eqs.(7-8) is replaced by the heterogeneous freezing saturation threshold (S_{het}).

252 Figure 1 shows $W_{i,pre}$ as a function of PREICE number concentration calculated using 253 Eqs. (7-8) at S_{hom} and S_{het}. S_{hom} is a function of temperature (Kärcher and Lohmann, 2002a,b), and is 1.53 at T=-60°C. For immersion freezing of coated dust particles, S_{het} varies between 254 1.15 and 1.7 (Hoose and Möhler, 2012; Kuebbeler et al., 2014). Here, Shet is assumed to be 255 256 1.3. The most distinct feature of this figure is that $W_{i,pre}$ is proportional to the PREICE number concentration. When the PREICE number concentration is greater than 50 L^{-1} and W less than 257 0.2 m s^{-1} , the black dotted line (for homogeneous freezing and PREICE radius of 25 μ m) 258 259 indicates that homogeneous freezing can not occur, because $W_{i,pre} > W$.

260 In the MG scheme, ice crystals are assumed to follow a gamma size distribution and 261 uniformly distributed in cirrus clouds (Morrison and Gettelman, 2008). Thus, an effective radius ($R_{ieff,pre}$) is used to account for the PREICE size distribution. Because $R_{i,pre} \times b_2$ in Eq. 262 (8) is usually far greater than 1 (not shown), $\frac{dq_{i,pre}}{dt}$ is proportional to the first order of $R_{i,pre}$. 263 Therefore, Rieff,pre is obtained directly by using the first moment of ice particle size 264 265 distribution (0.5/ λ , λ is the slope parameter of Eq. 1 in Morrison and Gettelman, 2008). We note that this R_{ieff,pre} is different from the effective radius used in the radiative transfer scheme 266 which is calculated from the third and second moments of size distribution. After rearranging 267 268 term (Eq. 3 in Morrison and Gettelman, 2008), Rieff, pre is calculated based on the following 269 formula:

270
$$R_{ieff,pre} \cong \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{q_{i,pre}}{\pi \rho_i n_{i,pre}} \right)^{1/3}$$
 (9)

271 Figure 2 shows the schematic diagram of cirrus cloud evolution and the impact of PREICE. Ice crystal numbers are from a short CAM5 simulation. In the default CAM5 that 272 neglects the PREICE effect, ice number produced from the ice nucleation is 1243 L⁻¹ at the 273 beginning time step t_1 . During the next time step (t_2) , due to sedimentation of ice crystals 274 (and/or other sink processes), N_i is reduced to 1174 L⁻¹. However, with the homogeneous 275 nucleation occurring at t_2 , N_i is increased back to 1243 L⁻¹ according to Eq. (1). In the updated 276 ice nucleation scheme, because the PREICE effect is considered, homogeneous ice nucleation 277 will not happen until N_i is reduced from 1243 L⁻¹ to 27 L⁻¹ at the 78th time step (t_{78}). After this 278 moment, the PREICE number ($\leq 27L^{-1}$) is too low to prevent ice nucleation, so ice nucleation 279 occurs at t_{79} . Note that the newly-formed ice crystals number concentration is 191 L⁻¹ instead 280 of 1243 L^{-1} because of the presence of PREICE with the number concentration of 27 L^{-1} . Here 281 the total N_i is the number concentration of newly-formed ice crystals (191 L⁻¹) plus the 282 number concentration of PREICE (27 L^{-1}). 283

284 **2.5** Other ice nucleation parameterizations in CAM5

In order to investigate the sensitivity of model simulated anthropogenic aerosol effects 285 286 through cirrus clouds to using different ice nucleation parameterizations, BN and KL ice 287 nucleation parameterizations are implemented in CAM5.3. The BN parameterization is 288 derived from an approximation to the analytical solution of air parcel equations. This 289 parameterization calculates the maximum ice saturation ratio and nucleated ice crystals number concentration explicitly in the rising air parcel and considers the competition between 290 291 homogeneous and heterogeneous freezing (Barahona and Nenes, 2009). One advantage of BN 292 parameterization is that the heterogeneous nucleation can be described by different nucleation 293 spectra, derived either from the classical nucleation theory (CNT) or from observations (e.g., Meyers et al., 1992; Phillips et al., 2008). In this work, the nucleation spectra based on CNT 294

is used to describe the immersion freezing on dust particles. Furthermore, the BN parameterization used in this study has been modified to consider the effects of PREICE by reducing the vertical velocity for ice nucleation (Barahona et al., 2014).

298 The KL parameterization is also implemented in CAM5.3. In this parameterization, the 299 competition between different freezing mechanisms and the effects of PREICE are treated by 300 explicitly calculating the evolution of S_i within one host-model's time step (e.g., 30 min). Compared to LP and BN parameterizations, this method is computationally more expensive. 301 302 It is necessary to point out that, in the KL parameterization, the ice crystal number 303 concentration produced via homogeneous freezing is not sensitive to the sulfate aerosol number concentration in most cases except for the highest (4 m s⁻¹) updraft velocities (Fig. 4 304 305 and Table 1 in Kärcher and Lohmann, 2002a). As compared to the KL parameterization, the 306 ice number concentrations from both BN and LP parameterizations are relatively more 307 sensitive to sulfate aerosol number concentration (Fig. 9 in Barahona and Nenes, 2008; Fig. 2 308 in Liu and Penner, 2005).

309 The effect of PREICE through $W_{i,pre}$ is included in LP, BN and KL parameterizations. All 310 sulfate aerosol particles in the Aiken mode are used for the homogeneous nucleation in these 311 three ice nucleation parameterizations. In order to be consistent with the LP parameterization, 312 only the dust particles in the coarse mode are taken as potential heterogeneous IN in BN and KL parameterizations. To compare with LP and KL under the same condition, the parameter 313 that sets an upper limit on the freezing fraction of potential dust IN in the BN 314 parameterization is set to 100%. The f_{hom} used for the LP parameterization, as discussed in 315 subsection 2.3, is also used for BN and KL parameterizations. Note that LP, BN and KL 316 317 parameterizations are applied only for cirrus clouds. For mixed-phased clouds, we keep using the default heterogeneous nucleation formulations in CAM5. 318

319 **2.6 Description of experiments**

All simulations in this study have been carried out at $0.9^{\circ} \times 1.25^{\circ}$ horizontal resolution with 30 vertical levels and a 30-minute time step, using prescribed present-day sea surface temperatures. Each experiment has a pair of simulations driven by present-day (the year of 2000) and pre-industrial (the year of 1850) aerosol and precursor emissions from Lamarque et al. (2010), separately. Without specification, the present-day model results are being discussed. All simulations are run for 6 years, and results from the last 5 years are used in the analysis.

327 Table 1 lists all experiments presented in this study. Compared to the Default experiment, the Preice experiment removes the two unphysical limits (i.e., the lower limit of sulfate 328 329 particle size distribution and the upper limit of W_{sub}) used in the ice nucleation 330 parameterization in the default CAM5, and considers PREICE and f_{hom} . This experiment 331 includes a combination of all our updates to the ice nucleation parameterization. Compared to 332 the Preice experiment, NoPreice is used to examine the effects of PREICE, and Nofhom used to examine the effects of f_{hom}. Experiments PreiceBN, NoPreiceBN, PreiceKL, and 333 334 NoPreiceKL are used to examine the PREICE effects in simulations with BN and KL ice 335 nucleation parameterizations (Sect. 4). Experiments Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL are used to compare the model performance among the three ice nucleation parameterizations 336 337 (Sect. 5).

338 **3** I

8 Model evaluations

First, we evaluate W_{sub} used for driving the ice nucleation parameterization and in-cloud N_i predicted by CAM5.3 with the default and updated ice nucleation parameterization. Aircraft measurements from the DOE Atmospheric Radiation Measurement Program (ARM)'s Small Particles in Cirrus (SPARTICUS) campaign 343 (http://acrf-campaign.arm.gov/sparticus/) for the period of January to July 2010 are used to 344 compare with model results. During the SPARTICUS campaign, ice crystal number and size 345 distribution as well as ambient meteorological variables were routinely measured over the 346 ARM Southern Great Plains (SGP) site (36.6°N, 97.5°W). Shattering of ice crystals was taken into account through uses of a new two-dimensional stereo-imaging probes (2D-S) and 347 improved algorithms (Lawson, 2011). To compare with the aircraft measurements, we sample 348 instantaneous W_{sub} and N_i over the SGP site every three hours from model simulations for the 349 350 period of January to July.

351 In CAM5, the characteristic updraft velocity W_{sub} is calculated for a GCM grid that is much larger than the spatial scale represented by the aircraft data, so it is very difficult to 352 353 directly compare them. In order to minimize the scale difference, following Zhang et al. 354 (2013b), aircraft data collected during each flight are averaged over a 50 km grid to derive the 355 statistics of measured vertical velocity. Note that, only the updraft portion is counted to get the mean updraft velocity. In the Default experiment, the upper limit of W_{sub} is 0.2 m s⁻¹. 356 Because the bin size is 0.06 m s⁻¹, the cut-off in Default is not exactly 0.2 m s⁻¹ but 0.24 m s⁻¹ 357 (Fig. 3, upper). However, aircraft measurements show that half (~55%) of updraft velocity 358 data surpasses 0.24 m s⁻¹. Thus, it is imperative to remove the upper limit of W_{sub} . In other 359 experiments without this upper limit, the occurrence frequency of W_{sub} deceases with 360 increasing W_{sub} , and agrees well with observation data (Fig. 3, upper). In the first smallest bin 361 (< 0.06 m s⁻¹), the modeled occurrence frequency of W_{sub} is less than observations. However, 362 the influence of this difference on ice nucleation is small because ice nucleation events are 363 significantly reduced in this lower updraft range ($< 0.06 \text{ m s}^{-1}$) due to the effect of PREICE 364 365 (Fig. 6).

The most frequently observed N_i is in the range of 5–500 L⁻¹ (Fig. 3, lower). N_i from 366 Default is mainly distributed in the range of 5–100 L^{-1} , and the occurrence frequency of N_i at 367 higher number concentrations (>100 L^{-1}) is significantly lower than observations. In the 368 Preice experiment, ~11% of N_i is higher than 100 L⁻¹, which is significantly larger than that in 369 370 Default (~3%). The main reason is that Preice removes the two unphysical limits used for reducing the ice number concentrations. Although the occurrence frequency of $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$ 371 from Preice is still lower than observations (\sim 30%), its modeled histogram agrees better with 372 the observations than Default. Compared to Preice, the occurrence frequency of $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$ 373 374 from NoPreice (~40%) is increased significantly because the PREICE effect is not included to hinder the homogeneous freezing. The occurrence frequency of $N_i > 100 \text{ L}^{-1}$ from Nofhom 375 (~22%) is also lager than that from Preice because homogeneous nucleation takes place in the 376 whole area of cirrus clouds in Nofhom. We note that the observed N_i is from in-situ aircraft 377 378 measurements, while the modeled N_i represents the averages over the whole area of cirrus 379 clouds within a model grid cell (~100 km). In addition, although measurements during the 380 SPARTICUS campaign have significantly reduced the shattering of ice crystals, it is unclear whether the very high Ni (>1000 L^{-1}) is caused by the shattering artifact. These modeling and 381 382 measurement issues need to be considered when comparing model results with observations.

383 The time scale of homogeneous freezing in a rising air parcel is a few minutes (140 seconds at $W=0.1 \text{ m s}^{-1}$, Spichtinger and Krämer, 2013). It is still a challenge to sample the 384 385 homogeneous freezing process and to grasp the fraction of cirrus clouds experiencing the homogeneous freezing in the real atmosphere. Thus, we cannot directly compare modeled f_{hom} 386 with observations. Modeled f_{hom} from Sect. 2 peaks at the tropical tropopause layer (TTL) due 387 to higher W_{sub} and lower T, with a maximum of 10%~20%. It is ~5% at mid-latitudes, and 388 389 even smaller at high latitudes. Here, we make a preliminary analysis of observed "upcoming" 390 homogeneous nucleation events from the Tropical Composition, Cloud and Climate Coupling 391 Experiment (TC4) and the Mid-latitude Airborne Cirrus Properties Experiment (MACPEX). 392 An observed "upcoming" homogeneous nucleation event is defined as an event when S_i in a 393 rising air parcel will reach S_{hom} within the time scale of one minute. The time scale of 394 homogeneous freezing is assumed to be one minute because the observed "upcoming" 395 homogeneous nucleation events usually go with high W (>0.5 m s⁻¹). The occurrence frequency of "upcoming" homogeneous nucleation events is 31 out of 8489 (3.7×10^{-3}) and 10 396 out of 27017 (3.7×10⁻⁴) from TC4 and MACPEX in-cloud observation data, respectively. In 397 other words, 3.7×10^{-3} (TC4) and 3.7×10^{-4} (MACPEX) of cirrus clouds will go through 398 399 homogeneous nucleation in one minute. With a time scale of 30 minutes (the model time step), the observed f_{hom} would be ~10% and ~1% over TC4 and MACPEX, respectively. Here, 400 401 we assume the fraction of cirrus clouds that go through homogeneous nucleation is constant in 402 every minute. Modeled f_{hom} is close to this observational analysis in the tropical regions. Both 403 modeling and observational analyses suggest that f_{hom} in the tropical regions is larger than that 404 in mid-latitudes. Diao et al. (2013) analyzed the evolution of ice crystals based on in-situ 405 observations over North America. They found that ice crystal formation/growth is ~20% of 406 total analyzed samples. This value is not limited to the homogeneous freezing events, but 407 includes the heterogeneous freezing and ice crystal growth events. So it is reasonable to assume that f_{hom} is less than 20%. 408

Figure 4 compares the variation of modeled N_i versus temperature against that observed in Krämer et al. (2009) who collected an extensive aircraft dataset in the temperature range of 183–250 K. Note that, these observations might be influenced by shattering of ice crystals, especially for warm cirrus clouds with relative larger ice crystals (Field et al., 2006). Therefore, for the following comparison, we should keep in mind that the observed N_i might be overestimated in warm cirrus clouds. The most distinct feature of this figure is that 415 modeled N_i tends to increase with decreasing temperature for the whole temperature range. 416 This temperature variation is caused by the homogeneous nucleation mechanism. Based on 417 the same sulfate particles, homogeneous nucleation tends to produce more ice crystals at 418 lower temperature (Liu and Penner, 2005). It is obvious that the modeled trend of increasing 419 N_i with decreasing temperature is on the contrary of what is observed. At temperature below 205 K, observed N_i is in the range of 10-80 L⁻¹, whereas modeled N_i is in the range of 50– 420 2000 L⁻¹. Liu et al. (2012a) gave a possible explanation for this: heterogeneous nucleation 421 422 could be the primary nucleation mechanism under these very low temperatures (i.e., near 423 TTL) because homogeneous freezing might be suppressed by aerosols rich with organic 424 matter (Murray, 2008; Krämer et al., 2009; Jensen et al., 2010; Murray et al., 2010). Barahona 425 and Nenes (2011) suggested that small-scale temperature fluctuations could make cirrus clouds reside in a "dynamic equilibrium" state with sustained levels of low N_i consistent with 426 427 cirrus characteristics observed at TTL. Furthermore, Spichtinger and Krämer (2013) found that ice crystal production via homogeneous nucleation could be limited by high frequency 428 429 gravity waves. However, these aerosol and dynamical characteristics are currently not 430 accounted for in the model. In the temperature range of 205–230 K, modeled N_i is close to the 431 observed values. The N_i from Preice is higher than that in Default, and agrees better with 432 observations. The main reason is that the two unphysical limits used for reducing the ice 433 number concentrations are removed (see also the PDF of N_i in Fig. 3, lower panel). In both 434 NoPreice and Nofhom, N_i is remarkably larger than in Preice. Compared to Default, Preice 435 and Nofhom predict higher N_i and show better agreement with observations in this temperature range. As discussed above, the main reason is that the two unphysical limits are 436 437 removed.

438 The N_i differences between the default and updated nucleation schemes will affect 439 modeled cloud radiative forcings. Figure 5 shows the annual and zonal means of longwave 440 and shortwave cloud forcing (LWCF, SWCF), column-integrated cloud ice number 441 concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path (IWP). Modeled CDNUMI from the NoPreice 442 experiment is significantly higher than those from other experiments. As a result, higher IWP 443 is shown in NoPreice. Compared to Preice, Nofhom also produces more CDNUMI and thus higher IWP. Unlike cloud droplets in warm clouds, ice crystals in cirrus clouds have a 444 significant influence on LWCF. Thus NoPreice predicts much stronger LWCF than other 445 446 experiments, which is larger than observations in the tropical regions. LWCFs from Default, 447 Preice and Nofhom agree with observations in the tropical regions, but are underestimated at 448 mid- and high latitudes. In all experiments, modeled SWCFs agree the observations at mid-449 and high latitudes, but are overestimated (more negative) in the tropical regions, especially 450 for the NoPreice. Overall, there is no remarkable difference between the Default and Preice in 451 cloud radiative forcings (both LWCF and SWCF) because the difference in CDNUMI is relatively small. 452

453 Table 2 gives global and annual means of cloud and radiative flux variables from 454 present-day simulations in Table 1 and comparison with observations. Compared to Default, 455 CDNUMI from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice increases by 40%, 133%, and 1130%, 456 respectively. Because cirrus clouds can heat the atmosphere by absorbing and re-emitting the longwave terrestrial radiation (Liou, 1986), the increase in CDNUMI can lead to the increase 457 of atmospheric stability and the weakening of convection, such as the fast atmospheric 458 459 response discussed in Andrews et al. (2010). Thus, convective precipitation rates (PRECC) from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice are reduced compared to Default, especially for the 460 461 NoPreice. Large-scale precipitation rates (PRECL) from Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice are all close to each other (ranging from 1.04 to 1.05 mm day⁻¹). Compared to 462 Default, IWP from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice increases by 1.23 g m⁻², 3.18 g m⁻², and 463 7.96 g m⁻², respectively. The reason is that higher ice number concentrations in these 464

465 experiments lead to smaller ice crystal sizes and thus less sedimentation losses of ice water 466 mass. In accordance with the increased ice water mass, high cloud fractions (CLDHGH) are 467 also increased in these experiments. Liquid water paths (LWP) and column-integrated droplet 468 number concentration (CDNUMC) from the three experiments are also increased with 469 increasing CDNUMI. This might be a result of increased atmospheric stability and weakened convection. Obviously, SWCF and LWCF from Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice become 470 stronger due to the increases in LWP, IWP, CDNUMC and CDNUMI as compared to the 471 472 Default. Changes in SWCF and LWCF between the Default and Preice are moderate (-1.27 W m⁻² in SWCF, 1.23 W m⁻² in LWCF). Overall, global annual mean results from both Default 473 474 and Preice show generally good agreements with observations.

475 The estimated anthropogenic aerosol effects are given in Table 3. The more 476 representative method suggested by Ghan (2013) is used to estimate aerosol effects on cloud radiative forcings. Cloud radiative forcings marked with an asterisk are diagnosed from the 477 478 whole-sky and clear-sky top-of-atmosphere radiative fluxes with aerosol scattering and 479 absorption neglected. ' Δ ' indicates a change between present-day (the year 2000) and 480 pre-industrial times (the year 1850) with the only change in aerosol and precursor gas 481 emissions. Δ CDNUMI in Preice is larger than in Default due to the use of all sulfate number concentration in the Aiken mode. The differences in cloud forcings ($\Delta SWCF^*$ and $\Delta LWCF^*$) 482 between Preice and Default are less than one standard deviation (0.19 W m⁻² for Δ SWCF^{*} and 483 0.13 W m⁻² for $\Delta LWCF^*$) calculated from the difference of each of 5 years. $\Delta SWCF^*$ and 484 $\Delta LWCF^*$ in Nofhom are both a little stronger than in Preice. NoPreice gives the strongest 485 changes in cloud forcings ($\Delta SWCF^*$ and $\Delta LWCF^*$) and in cloud water paths (ΔLWP and 486 487 Δ IWP), because Δ CDNUMI is largest in this experiment. Δ PRECC in Default, Preice and Nofhom are negligibly small. Overall, the difference in the simulated anthropogenic aerosol 488 indirect forcing (ΔCF^*) between the Default and Preice is small (~0.1 W m⁻²). 489

490 **4 PREICE** effect and sensitivity to different ice nucleation parameterizations

491 In this section we analyze the effect of PREICE and its sensitivity to different ice 492 nucleation parameterizations. Considering the PREICE effect, the effective updraft velocity, W_{eff} ($W_{eff} = W_{sub}$ - $W_{i,pre}$), is used to drive the ice nucleation parameterization. Figure 6 shows 493 the PDF of W_{sub} , W_{eff} and $W_{i,pre}$ from homogeneous ice nucleation occurrence events in Preice. 494 495 Results from PreiceBN and PreiceKL have similar patterns to Preice (not shown). For ice nucleation occurrence events ($W_{eff} > 0$), $W_{i,pre}$ is mainly distributed in the range of 0-0.1 m s⁻¹. 496 497 This indicates that ice nucleation usually happens at low PREICE number concentrations (< 50 L⁻¹). Different from the PDF pattern of model diagnosed W_{sub} (Fig. 3, upper) which 498 499 includes all samples, the most frequently sampled W_{sub} with occurrence of ice nucleation events is in the range of 0.1-0.4 m s⁻¹ because W_{sub} must be larger than $W_{i,pre}$. W_{eff} is mainly 500 distributed in a range of 0-0.3 m s⁻¹, and rarely larger than 1.0 m s⁻¹. The comparison between 501 W_{eff} and W_{sub} indicates that PREICE not only reduces the occurrence frequency of 502 503 homogeneous nucleation, but also reduces the number density of nucleated ice crystals from homogeneous nucleation. 504

505 Figure 7 shows the annual zonal mean N_i from NoPreice and Preice. NoPreiceBN, PreiceBN, NoPreiceKL and PreiceKL experiments are also analyzed, but not shown here, 506 507 because the effect of PREICE from experiments using BN and KL parameterizations are similar to that using the LP parameterization. Without the influence of PREICE, N_i is higher 508 than 500 L⁻¹ in the upper troposphere, and even higher (> 2000 L⁻¹) at mid- and high latitudes 509 510 of the Southern Hemisphere (SH). After considering the PREICE effects, N_i is significantly 511 reduced, especially at mid- and high latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of ~ 10). 512 Global annual mean results show that CDNUMI from simulations using LP, BN and KL parameterizations is reduced by a factor of 6~11 (Table 2) after the PREICE effect is 513 514 considered. Compared to the distribution pattern from NoPreice, N_i from Preice is higher in 515 the tropical tropopause region rather than in the SH upper troposphere. It seems that the 516 influence of PREICE is relatively weaker in the tropical tropopause due to low T and high 517 W_{sub} there (not shown).

518 Because of the large difference in N_i between experiments with and without the effects of 519 PREICE, there must be consequent differences in cloud forcings and precipitation as explained above. Compared to experiments with the PREICE effect, PRECC from NoPreice, 520 521 NoPreiceBN and NoPreiceKL are reduced by 13%, 10%, and 15%, respectively (Table 2). The LWCF changes range from 8.0 to 12.6 W m⁻² for in simulations using the LP, BN and 522 523 KL parameterizations. SWCF changes have similar magnitude but with the opposite sign. Barahona et al. (2014) studied the effect of PREICE using GEOS5 with the BN 524 parameterization. Change in LWCF and SWCF due to PREICE is 5 W m⁻² and 4 W m⁻², 525 526 respectively. We note that heterogeneous ice nucleation in GEOS5 includes the immersion 527 nucleation and deposition nucleation on dust, black carbon, and soluble organics. In their 528 study, the global mean N_i from the heterogeneous nucleation and its contribution to total N_i are $\sim 22 \text{ L}^{-1}$ and $\sim 30\%$, respectively (Fig. 7 in Barahona et al., 2014). In our study using the 529 modified CAM5.3 with the BN parameterization, the N_i from the heterogeneous nucleation 530 and its contribution to total N_i are 5.1 L⁻¹ and 9.4%, respectively. The number concentration 531 532 of heterogeneous IN from CAM5.3 is significantly lower than that from GEOS5. As a result, in CAM5.3 there are less IN competing with the homogeneous ice nucleation and PREICE 533 has a larger impact. This might be the main reason why the PREICE effect in CAM5.3 with 534 535 the BN parameterization is stronger than GEOS5. In ECHAM5 with the KL parameterization, changes in LWCF and SWCF are 1.5 W m⁻² and 0.95 W m⁻², respectively when 536 537 heterogeneous nucleation and PREICE (during ice nucleation process) are taken into account (Kuebbeler et al., 2014). In the study of Kuebbeler et al. (2014), both deposition nucleation on 538 539 pure dust and immersion nucleation on coated dust were included. The number concentration

of heterogeneous IN (including the deposition and immersion modes) ranges between $0.1 L^{-1}$ 540 541 and 10 L^{-1} (Fig. 2 in Kuebbeler et al., 2014). This IN number concentration is similar to ours. However, both sulfate number concentration and total N_i in Kuebbeler et al. (2014) are much 542 543 higher than ours (by a factor of 5~20 in most regions). We note that in ECHAM5 ice nucleation process requires that the model grid is supersaturated with respect to ice (i.e., RHi 544 545 > 100%), and the depositional growth of ice crystals is treated based on the model grid mean 546 RHi. If a model grid is supersaturated and a sufficient number of PREICE is present, the 547 depositional growth of the PREICE treated in the cirrus cloud microphysics scheme will 548 remove the supersaturation in the grid, hinder the subsequent ice nucleation, and significantly 549 reduce the occurrence frequency of ice nucleation events (Kuebbeler et al., 2014). Thus, the 550 effect of PREICE on the subsequent ice nucleation, which is represented by reducing the 551 updraft velocity, is much weakened in ECHAM5.

552 Table 4 gives the influence of PREICE on the relative contribution of homogeneous 553 versus heterogeneous nucleation to the total ice number concentration in cirrus clouds. The 554 contributions of heterogeneous nucleation from experiments without the effects of PREICE are less than 1%. After considering the PREICE effects, the contribution of heterogeneous 555 556 nucleation from Preice, PreiceBN, and PreiceKL is increased to 17.6%, 9.4%, and 8.9%, 557 respectively. The reason is that, when PREICE is taken into account, the newly-formed ice 558 crystals number concentration from homogeneous nucleation is significantly reduced (by a 559 factor of ~ 10 , not shown), whereas the ice crystals number concentration from heterogeneous nucleation is slightly decreased. This indicates that the PREICE effects can significantly 560 561 change the relative contribution of homogeneous versus heterogeneous nucleation to cirrus 562 formation, especially at higher dust number concentrations (Table 4).

563 **5** Comparison between different ice nucleation parameterizations

564 In this section we focus on the comparison between Default, Preice, PreiceBN and 565 PreiceKL experiments. Because the two unphysical limits are removed in Preice, PreiceBN 566 and PreiceKL, N_i from these experiments is slightly larger than that from Default (Fig. 8, left). Although the parameterization details are very different between LP, BN, and KL, the 567 simulated N_i has a very similar pattern in these simulations under similar meteorological 568 conditions (W, T, RHi) and aerosol distributions. One distinct feature of N_i distribution 569 570 patterns from these experiments is that N_i reduces towards lower altitudes. This is caused by 571 the homogeneous nucleation rate reduction with increasing temperature (Koop, 2004). The global and annual mean CDNUMI from Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL are close to each 572 other (ranging from 116×10^6 to 119×10^6 m⁻², Table 2). However, differences in the global and 573 574 annual mean percentage contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation among Preice (17.6%), PreiceBN (9.4%) and PreiceKL (8.9%) experiments are obvious (Table 4). Overall, 575 576 the heterogeneous nucleation contributions from Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL have similar distribution patterns (Fig. 8, right). Contribution from the heterogeneous nucleation is less 577 578 than 10% in the tropical upper troposphere and in the SH. In other words, homogeneous 579 nucleation is the dominant contributor there. In the tropical lower troposphere and in the 580 Northern Hemisphere (NH), heterogeneous nucleation became more important due to higher 581 dust number concentrations. The study of Liu et al. (2012a) showed that difference in heterogeneous nucleation contribution between simulations using the LP parameterization and 582 583 the BN parameterization is obvious, especially in the NH. Note that the empirical 584 parameterization by Phillips et al. (2008) is used to describe the heterogeneous nucleation on 585 dust particles for the BN parameterization in the work of Liu et al. (2012a), whereas the 586 nucleation spectra based on CNT (without the upper limit of dust activated fraction) is used in 587 our study. Kuebbeler et al. (2014) also studied the contribution from heterogeneous nucleation

588 using the ECHAM5 model with the KL parameterization. They found that heterogeneous 589 nucleation contributes largest in the tropical troposphere and in the Arctic. At the mid- and 590 high latitudes in the NH, their model results show that the contribution from heterogeneous 591 nucleation is less than 1%, whereas our model results show that the contribution from 592 heterogeneous nucleation is larger than 10%. One important difference between the KL parameterization used in our study and the KL parameterization used by Kuebbeler et al. 593 594 (2014) is that they modified the KL parameterization by including an upper limit of activated 595 fraction of pure dust particles as a function of S_i . This may cause the difference in the 596 heterogeneous nucleation contribution between our and their studies.

597 Figure 9 shows the changes in annual and zonal means of LWCF, SWCF, CDNUMI and 598 IWP between simulations using present-day and pre-industrial emissions. ΔCDNUMI from all 599 experiments are around zero in the SH because changes in sulfate and dust aerosol number 600 densities that drive ice nucleation parameterizations are small. **ACDNUMI** from the PreiceKL 601 experiment is smaller between 30°-60° N as compared to other experiments. In regions higher 602 than 60° N or lower than 30° N, all experiments are rather similar. The reason is that the ice crystal number concentration from homogeneous freezing is not sensitive to sulfate number 603 604 concentrations in most cases in the KL parameterization, whereas it is more sensitive to sulfate number concentrations in the other two parameterizations. We note that Table 1 in 605 606 Kärcher and Lohmann (2002a) showed that N_i from the KL parameterization became sensitive 607 to sulfate number concentration under low temperature (200K) and high updraft velocity (0.4 m s⁻¹, 4 m s⁻¹). Thus, Δ CDNUMI with the KL parameterization can reach 10×10^6 m⁻² in the 608 609 tropical regions due to low T and high W_{sub} there. $\Delta CDNUMI$ from the Preice experiment between 60°-80° N (negative) has the opposite sign than the other experiments (positive). 610 However, these changes are generally within the ranges of two standard deviations. Table 3 611 shows that the global mean Δ CDNUMI from PreiceKL (3.24×10⁶ m⁻²) is less than those from 612

Preice $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ and PreiceBN $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$. Compared to Δ CDNUMI, the fluctuation 613 614 of Δ IWP is more complicated because many other microphysical processes (especially in 615 mixed phase clouds) can also impact Δ IWP. Furthermore, changes in cloud properties caused 616 by the aerosol indirect effects may modulate the atmospheric circulation and water vapor transport, and then impact IWP in other regions. This might explain why Δ IWP from all 617 experiments are not statistically significant. Differences in global and annual mean ΔIWP 618 619 among these experiments are also remarkable. Global mean ΔIWP from Preice, PreiceBN, and PreiceKL are 0.12 g m⁻², 0.03 g m⁻², and 0.01 g m⁻², respectively (Table 3). Δ SWCF is 620 621 mainly caused by aerosol indirect effects through warm clouds (Gettelman et al., 2012). Thus, 622 patterns of Δ SWCF with different ice nucleation parameterizations are similar, and not obviously correlated with Δ CDNUMI. Differences in global and annual mean Δ SWCF^{*} 623 among Preice (-2.01 W m⁻²). PreiceBN (-1.86 W m⁻²) and PreiceKL (-1.88 W m⁻²) are 624 625 relatively small (Table 3). However, the patterns of Δ LWCF are associated with those of Δ CDNUMI for all experiments. For example, both Δ LWCF and Δ CDNUMI from the 626 PreiceKL experiment are negative at mid-latitudes in the NH. Table 3 shows that the global 627 and annual mean $\Delta LWCF^*$ is strongest in Preice (0.46 W m⁻²), slightly weaker in PreiceBN 628 (0.39 W m⁻²), and weakest in PreiceKL (0.24 W m⁻²). This is consistent with the difference in 629 ∆CDNUMI. 630

631

6 Discussion and conclusions

One purpose of this study is to improve the representation of ice nucleation in CAM5.3. First, the PREICE effect is considered by reducing vertical velocity ($W_{eff} = W_{sub} - W_{i,pre}$), following the method of KL parameterization. Second, homogeneous freezing takes place spatially only in a portion of cirrus cloud (f_{hom}) rather than in the whole area of cirrus cloud. Barahona et al. (2014) considered a similar factor that accounts for ice nucleation occurrence area within the grid cell in GEOS5 based on results from a parcel statistical ensemble model 638 (Barahona and Nenes, 2011). In our study, f_{hom} is calculated by introducing the PDF of 639 in-cloud S_i based on the empirical analysis of Kärcher and Burkhardt (2008) and Hoyle et al. 640 (2005). We note that only in-cloud S_i variability resulting from the sub-grid temperature 641 fluctuation is taken into account in this study, whereas the sub-grid water vapor variability is 642 neglected. Including the latter may lead to a much stronger effect and coupling between different nucleation events. The diagnosed f_{hom} is in general less than 20% in consistent with 643 644 the work of Diao et al. (2013). We note that the uncertainty caused by f_{hom} is moderate 645 because the effect of f_{hom} on ice number concentration is weaker than the PREICE effect. 646 Finally, the two unphysical limits (the upper limit of W_{sub} and the lower limit of Aitken-mode 647 sulfate aerosol size) used in the representation of ice nucleation in CAM5 are removed. 648 Compared to observations, the probability distributions of ice number concentration and the 649 diagnosed sub-grid updraft velocity are both improved with the updated treatment. The 650 difference in cloud radiative forcings between the updated model and the default model is moderate (-1.27 W m^{-2} in SWCF. 1.23 W m^{-2} in LWCF). 651

The influence of PREICE on the relative contribution of homogeneous nucleation versus heterogeneous nucleation is studied using the updated CAM5.3 model. Model results show that N_i is significantly reduced because PREICE reduces the occurrence frequency of homogeneous nucleation, especially at mid- to high-latitudes in the upper troposphere (by a factor of ~10). As a result, the contribution of heterogeneous ice nucleation to cirrus ice crystal number increases considerably from 0.5% to 17.4% (Table 4).

The comparison between different ice nucleation parameterizations is also investigated using the updated CAM5.3 model. Both LP and BN parameterizations consider the PREICE effect based on the concept of the KL parameterization. The ice number distribution, the contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation to the total ice nucleation, and the influence of 662 PREICE agree well among LP, BN and KL parameterizations in CAM5. However, compared to GEOS5 with the BN parameterization (Barahona et al., 2014) and ECHAM5 with the KL 663 664 parameterization (Kuebbeler et al., 2014), BN and KL parameterizations in CAM5 give much 665 stronger PREICE effects. In Kuebbeler et al. (2014), both the ice nucleation parameterization and the cloud microphysics scheme for the ice depositional growth include the PREICE 666 effect. In the cloud microphysics scheme, the depositional growth of PREICE removes the 667 668 supersaturation in the grid and hinders the subsequent ice nucleation. Thus, the effect of 669 PREICE during the ice nucleation process, which is represented by reducing the updraft 670 velocity, is weakened. GEOS5 considers the immersion and deposition ice nucleation on dust, 671 black carbon, and soluble organics (Barahona et al., 2014), while CAM5 only considers the immersion nucleation on coarse mode dust. As a result, heterogeneous IN number 672 673 concentration and its contribution to total ice crystal number are much higher from GEOS5 (~22 L^{-1} and ~30%, respectively on the global annual mean) than those from CAM5 with the 674 BN parameterization ($\sim 5.1 \text{ L}^{-1}$ and 9.4%, respectively on the global annual mean). This might 675 explain the stronger PREICE effect from CAM5 with the BN parameterization. Therefore, the 676 differences among this study, Barahona et al. (2014) and Kuebbeler et al. (2014) can be more 677 678 driven by differences in meteorological input parameters (W, T, RHi), the assumptions of 679 aerosol inputs for ice nucleation parameterizations (e.g., immersion versus deposition 680 aerosol characteristics, etc.), and the methodology of parameterization freezing, 681 implementation in models, than ice nucleation parameterizations themselves. Another 682 interesting finding is that N_i from the KL parameterization is not sensitive to sulfate number 683 concentrations compared to LP and BN parameterizations. The global and annual mean change in column ice number concentration between present day and pre-industrial time 684 (Δ CDNUMI) with the KL parameterization (3.24×10⁶ m⁻²) is less that those with the LP 685 parameterization $(8.46 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$ and the BN parameterization $(5.62 \times 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2})$. The 686

anthropogenic aerosols longwave indirect forcing ($\Delta LWCF^*$) from the KL parameterization is 0.24 W m⁻², smaller than that from the LP (0.46 W m⁻²) and BN (0.39 W m⁻²) parameterizations.

690

691 Acknowledgments

692X. Liu and K. Zhang were supported by the Office of Science of U.S. Department of Energy

as part of the Earth System Modeling Program. X. Shi would like to acknowledge the support

694 from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No.41205071). We would like

695 to acknowledge the use of computational resources (ark:/85065/d7wd3xhc) at the

696 NCAR-Wyoming Supercomputing Center provided by the National Science Foundation and

697 the State of Wyoming, and supported by NCAR's Computational and Information Systems

698 Laboratory. PNNL is a multiprogram laboratory operated for DOE by Battelle Memorial

699 Institute under contract DE-AC05-76RL01830.

700

701 **Reference:**

Adler, R. F., Huffman, G. J., Chang, A., Ferraro, R., Xie, P.-P., Janowiak, J., Rudolf, B.,
Schneider, U., Curtis, S., Bolvin, D., Gruber, A., Susskind, J., Arkin, P., and Nelkin, E.: The
Version-2 Global Precipitation Climatology Project (GPCP) Monthly Precipitation
Analysis (1979–Present), J. Hydrometeor., 4, 1147-1167,
doi:10.1175/1525-7541(2003)004<1147:TVGPCP>2.0.CO;2, 2003.

707 Andrews, T., Forster, P. M., Boucher, O., Bellouin, N., and Jones, A.: Precipitation, radiative

- 708 forcing and global temperature change, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L14701, doi: 10.1029/2010GL043991, 2010.
- 710 Barahona, D., Molod, A., Bacmeister, J., Nenes, A., Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., Phillips, V.,
- 711 and Eichmann, A.: Development of two-moment cloud microphysics for liquid and ice

vithin the NASA Goddard Earth Observing System Model (GEOS-5), Geosci. Model Dev.,

- 713 7, 1733-1766, doi: 10.5194/gmd-7-1733-2014, 2014.
- 714 Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Dynamical states of low temperature cirrus, Atmos. Chem.
- 715 Phys., 11, 3757-3771, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3757-2011, 2011.
- 716 Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterization of cirrus cloud formation in large-scale
- 717 models: Homogeneous nucleation, J. Geophys. Res. -Atmos., 113, D11211,
- 718 doi:10.1029/2007JD009355, 2008.
- 719 Barahona, D. and Nenes, A.: Parameterizing the competition between homogeneous and

- heterogeneous freezing in ice cloud formation polydisperse ice nuclei, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 9, 5933-5948, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5933-2009, 2009.
- 722 Bretherton, C. S. and Park, S.: A New Moist Turbulence Parameterization in the 723 Community Atmosphere Model, J. Climate, 22, 3422-3448, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2556.1,
- 724 2009.
- 725 Chen, T., Rossow, W. B., and Zhang, Y.: Radiative Effects of Cloud-Type Variations, J.
- 726 Climate, 13, 264-286, doi:10.1175/1520-0442(2000)013<0264:REOCTV>2.0.CO;2, 727 2000.
- Corti, T., Luo, B. P., Peter, T., Vomel, H., and Fu, Q.: Mean radiative energy balance and
 vertical mass fluxes in the equatorial upper troposphere and lower stratosphere,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L06802, doi:10.1029/2004GL021889, 2005.
- Cziczo, D. J., Froyd, K. D., Hoose, C., Jensen, E. J., Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Smith, J. B., Twohy,
 C. H., and Murphy, D. M.: Clarifying the Dominant Sources and Mechanisms of Cirrus
 Cloud Formation, Science, 340, 1320-1324, doi:10.1126/science.1234145, 2013.
- 734 Cziczo, D. J., Murphy, D. M., Hudson, P. K., and Thomson, D. S.: Single particle
- 735 measurements of the chemical composition of cirrus ice residue during CRYSTAL-FACE,
- 736 J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 109, D04201, doi:10.1029/2003jd004032, 2004.
- 737 DeMott, P. J., Cziczo, D. J., Prenni, A. J., Murphy, D. M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Thomson, D. S.,
- Borys, R., and Rogers, D. C.: Measurements of the concentration and composition of nuclei for cirrus formation, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 100, 14655-14660,
- 740 doi:10.1073/pnas.2532677100, 2003
- 741 DeMott, P. J., Möhler, O., Stetzer, O., Vali, G., Levin, Z., Petters, M. D., Murakami, M., Leisner,
- T., Bundke, U., Klein, H., Kanji, Z. A., Cotton, R., Jones, H., Benz, S., Brinkmann, M.,
 Rzesanke, D., Saathoff, H., Nicolet, M., Saito, A., Nillius, B., Bingemer, H., Abbatt, J., Ardon,
- 744 K., Ganor, E., Georgakopoulos, D. G., and Saunders, C.: Resurgence in Ice Nuclei
- 745 Measurement Research, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 92, 1623-1635,
- 746 doi:10.1175/2011BAMS3119.1, 2011.
- Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Beaton, S. P., and Rogers, D. C.: Evolution of ice
 crystal regions on the microscale based on in situ observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40,
 3473-3478, doi:10.1002/grl.50665, 2013.
- 750 Diao, M., Zondlo, M. A., Heymsfield, A. J., Avallone, L. M., Paige, M. E., Beaton, S. P., Campos,
- 751 T., and Rogers, D. C.: Cloud-scale ice-supersaturated regions spatially correlate with high 752 water vapor heterogeneities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 2639-2656,
- 753 doi:10.5194/acp-14-2639-2014, 2014.
- 754 Field, P. R., Heymsfield, A. J., and Bansemer, A.: Shattering and Particle Interarrival Times
- 755 Measured by Optical Array Probes in Ice Clouds, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 23, 1357-1371, 756 doi:10.1175/JTECH1022.1.2006
- 756 doi:10.1175/JTECH1922.1, 2006.
- 757 Fusina, F., Spichtinger, P., and Lohmann, U.: Impact of ice supersaturated regions and
- thin cirrus on radiation in the midlatitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24,doi:10.1029/2007jd008449, 2007.
- 60 Gettelman, A., Liu, X., Barahona, D., Lohmann, U., and Chen, C.: Climate impacts of ice nucleation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D20201, doi:10.1029/2012jd017950, 2012.
- 761 Rucleation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D20201, doi:10.1029/2012jd017950, 2012. 762 Gettelman, A., Liu, X., Ghan, S. J., Morrison, H., Park, S., Conley, A. J., Klein, S. A., Boyle, J.,
- 763 Mitchell, D. L., and Li, J. L. F.: Global simulations of ice nucleation and ice supersaturation
- with an improved cloud scheme in the Community Atmosphere Model, J. Geophys.
- 765 Res.-Atmos., 115, D18216, doi:10.1029/2009jd013797, 2010.
- 766 Gettelman, A., Morrison, H., and Ghan, S. J.: A new two-moment bulk stratiform cloud
- 767 microphysics scheme in the community atmosphere model, version 3 (CAM3). Part II:

- Single-column and global results, J. Climate, 21, 3660-3679, doi:10.1175/2008jcli2116.1,
 2008.
- Ghan, S. J.: Technical Note: Estimating aerosol effects on cloud radiative forcing, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 13, 9971-9974, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9971-2013, 2013.
- 772 Ghan, S. J., Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P. J., Yoon, J. H., and Eaton, B.: Toward a
- 773 Minimal Representation of Aerosols in Climate Models: Comparative Decomposition of
- Aerosol Direct, Semidirect, and Indirect Radiative Forcing, J. C., 25, 6461-6476, doi:
- 775 10.1175/jcli-d-11-00650.1, 2012.
- 776 Greenwald, T. J., Stephens, G. L., Haar, T. H. V., and Jackson, D. L.: A physical retrieval of
- cloud liquid water over the global Oceans using Special Sensor Microwave/Imager
 (SSM/I) observations, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 18471–18488, 1993.
- Haag, W.: The impact of aerosols and gravity waves on cirrus clouds at midlatitudes, J.
 Geophys. Res., 109, D12202, doi:10.1029/2004JD004579, 2004.
- Han, Q., Rossow, W. B., and Lacis, A. A.: Near-Global Survey of Effective Droplet Radii in
 Liquid Water Clouds Using ISCCP Data, J. Climate, 7, 465-497,
- 783 doi:10.1175/1520-0442(1994)007<0465:NGSOED>2.0.CO;2, 1994.
- Hendricks, J., Kärcher, B., and Lohmann, U.: Effects of ice nuclei on cirrus clouds in a
 global climate model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 116, D18206, doi:10.1029/2010JD015302,
 2011.
- 787 Heymsfield, A. J., Miloshevich, L. M., Schmitt, C., Bansemer, A., Twohy, C., Poellot, M. R.,
- Fridlind, A., and Gerber, H.: Homogeneous Ice Nucleation in Subtropical and Tropical Convection and Its Influence on Cirrus Anvil Microphysics, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 41-64,
- 790 doi:10.1175/JAS-3360.1, 2005.
- Hoose, C. and Möhler, O.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation on atmospheric aerosols: a
 review of results from laboratory experiments, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9817-9854,
 doi:10.5194/acp-12-9817-2012, 2012.
- Hoyle, C. R., Luo, B. P., and Peter, T.: The origin of high ice crystal number densities in cirrus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 2568-2579, doi:10.1175/JAS3487.1, 2005.
- 796 Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M. W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W. D.:
- Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: Calculations with the AER radiative
 transfer models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D13103, doi:10.1029/2008jd009944,
 2008.
- 800 IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the
- 801 Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 802 Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 2007.
- 803 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I
- to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change.
 Cambridge University Press, Cambridge Univ. Press, New York, 1535 pp,
- 806 doi:10.1017/CB09781107415324, 2013.
- 807 Jensen, E. J., Diskin, G., Lawson, R. P., Lance, S., Bui, T. P., Hlavka, D., McGill, M., Pfister, L.,
- 808 Toon, O. B., and Gao, R.: Ice nucleation and dehydration in the Tropical Tropopause
- 809 Layer, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 110, 2041-2046, doi:10.1073/pnas.1217104110, 2013.
- 810 Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., and Bui, T. P.: Physical processes controlling ice concentrations in
- cold cirrus near the tropical tropopause, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D11205,
 doi:10.1029/2011JD017319, 2012.
- 813 Jensen, E. J., Pfister, L., Bui, T. P., Lawson, P., and Baumgardner, D.: Ice nucleation and
- 814 cloud microphysical properties in tropical tropopause layer cirrus, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 815 10, 1369-1384, doi:10.5194/acp-10-1369-2010, 2010.

- 816 Kärcher, B. and Burkhardt, U.: A cirrus cloud scheme for general circulation models, Q. J.
- 817 Roy. Meteor. Soc., 134, 1439-1461, doi:10.1002/qj.301, 2008.
- 818 Kärcher, B., Hendricks, J., and Lohmann, U.: Physically based parameterization of cirrus
- cloud formation for use in global atmospheric models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111,
 D01205, doi:10.1029/2005JD006219, 2006.
- Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A parameterization of cirrus cloud formation:
 Heterogeneous freezing, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 108, 4402, doi:10.1029/2002JD003220,
 2003.
- Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A parameterization of cirrus cloud formation:
 Homogeneous freezing of supercooled aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, AAC
 4-1-AAC 4-10, doi:10.1029/2001JD000470, 2002a.
- Kärcher, B. and Lohmann, U.: A Parameterization of cirrus cloud formation:
 Homogeneous freezing including effects of aerosol size, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 107,
 4698, doi:10.1029/2001JD001429, 2002b.
- 830 Kärcher, B., Möhler, O., DeMott, P. J., Pechtl, S., and Yu, F.: Insights into the role of soot
- 831 aerosols in cirrus cloud formation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 4203-4227, 832 doi:10.5194/acp-7-4203-2007, 2007.
- 833 Kay, J. E., Baker, M., and Hegg, D.: Microphysical and dynamical controls on cirrus cloud
- 834 optical depth distributions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 111, D24205, 835 doi:10.1029/2005jd006916, 2006.
- 836Kiehl, J. T. and Trenberth, K. E.: Earth's Annual Global Mean Energy Budget, B. Am.837Meteorol.Soc.,78,197-208,
- 838 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<0197:EAGMEB>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- Koop, T.: Homogeneous ice nucleation in water and aqueous solutions, Z. Phys. Chem.,
 218, 1231-1258, doi:10.1524/zpch.218.11.1231.50812, 2004.
- Koop, T., Luo, B. P., Tsias, A., and Peter, T.: Water activity as the determinant for homogeneous ice nucleation in aqueous solutions, Nature, 406, 611-614,
- 843 doi:10.1038/35020537, 2000.
- Korolev, A. and Isaac, G. A.: Relative humidity in liquid, mixed-phase, and ice clouds, J.
 Atmos. Sci., 63, 2865-2880, doi:10.1175/JAS3784.1, 2006.
- 846 Krämer, M., Schiller, C., Afchine, A., Bauer, R., Gensch, I., Mangold, A., Schlicht, S., Spelten,
- N., Sitnikov, N., Borrmann, S., de Reus, M., and Spichtinger, P.: Ice supersaturations and
 cirrus cloud crystal numbers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3505-3522,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-3505-2009, 2009.
- 850 Kuebbeler, M., Lohmann, U., Hendricks, J., and Kärcher, B.: Dust ice nuclei effects on
- 851 cirrus clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 3027-3046, doi:10.5194/acp-14-3027-2014,
 852 2014.
- Lamarque, J. F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Miarilla, A., Osuan, P., Schultz, M. C., Shindell, D., Smith, S. L., Stahfart, F., Van, Aanderson, J.
- 854 Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J.,
- 855 Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van
- Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, Atmos. Chem.
- 858 Phys., 10, 7017-7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010.
- Lawson, R. P.: Effects of ice particles shattering on the 2D-S probe, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 1361–1381, doi:10.5194/amt-4-1361-2011, 2011.
- Li, J. L. F., Waliser, D. E., Chen, W. T., Guan, B., Kubar, T., Stephens, G., Ma, H. Y., Deng, M.,
- 862 Donner, L., Seman, C., and Horowitz, L.: An observationally based evaluation of cloud ice
- 863 water in CMIP3 and CMIP5 GCMs and contemporary reanalyses using contemporary

- 864 satellite data, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 117, D16105, doi:10.1029/2012JD017640, 2012.
- 865Liou, K. N.: Influence of Cirrus Clouds on Weather and Climate Processes a Global866Perspective,Mon.WeatherRev.,114,1167-1199,867doi:10.1175/1520.0402(1086)114<1167.100CCOW>2.0.CO.2.1086
- 867 doi:10.1175/1520-0493(1986)114<1167:I0CCOW>2.0.CO;2, 1986.
- Liu, X., Penner, J. E., Ghan, S. J., and Wang, M.: Inclusion of Ice Microphysics in the NCAR
- 869 Community Atmospheric Model Version 3 (CAM3), J. Climate, 20, 4526-4547, Doi: 10.1175/Jcli4264.1, 2007.
- 871 Liu, X., Penner, J. E., and Wang, M.: Influence of anthropogenic sulfate and black carbon
- 872 on upper tropospheric clouds in the NCAR CAM3 model coupled to the IMPACT global
- 873 aerosol model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos, 114, D03204, Doi: 10.1029/2008JD010492,
- 874 2009.
- Liu, X., Shi, X., Zhang, K., Jensen, E. J., Gettelman, A., Barahona, D., Nenes, A., and Lawson,
 P.: Sensitivity studies of dust ice nuclei effect on cirrus clouds with the Community
 Atmosphere Model CAM5.3, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12061-12079,
- 877AtmosphereModelCAM5.3,Atmos.Chem.Phys.,12,12061-12878doi:10.5194/acp-12-12061-2012, 2012a.
- 879 Liu, X., Easter, R. C., Ghan, S. J., Zaveri, R., Rasch, P., Shi, X., Lamarque, J. F., Gettelman, A.,
- 880 Morrison, H., Vitt, F., Conley, A., Park, S., Neale, R., Hannay, C., Ekman, A. M. L., Hess, P.,
- 881 Mahowald, N., Collins, W., Iacono, M. J., Bretherton, C. S., Flanner, M. G., and Mitchell, D.:
- 882 Toward a minimal representation of aerosols in climate models: description and
- evaluation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5.3, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 709-739,
 doi:10.5194/gmd-5-709-2012, 2012b.
- Liu, X. H. and Penner, J. E.: Ice nucleation parameterization for global models, Meteorol.
 Z., 14, 499-514, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2005/0059, 2005.
- Loeb, N. G., Wielicki, B. A., Doelling, D. R., Smith, G. L., Keyes, D. F., Kato, S., Manalo-Smith,
- N., and Wong, T.: Toward Optimal Closure of the Earth's Top-of-Atmosphere Radiation
 Budget, J.Climate, 22, 748–766, doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2637.1, 2009.
- Lohmann, U. and Feichter, J.: Global indirect aerosol effects: a review, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 715-737, doi:10.5194/acp-5-715-2005, 2005.
- Lohmann, U., Spichtinger, P., Jess, S., Peter, T., and Smit, H.: Cirrus cloud formation and
- 893 ice supersaturated regions in a global climate model, Environ. Res. Lett., 3, 045022(11p),
- 894 doi:10.1088/1748-9326/3/4/045022, 2008.
- Meyers, M. P., Demott, P. J., and Cotton, W. R.: New Primary Ice-Nucleation
 Parameterizations in an Explicit Cloud Model, J. Appl. Meteorol., 31, 708-721,
 doi:10.1175/1520-0450(1992)031<0708:NPINPI>2.0.CO;2, 1992.
- 898 Morrison, H. and Gettelman, A.: A new two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics
- scheme in the community atmosphere model, version 3 (CAM3). Part I: Description and
- 900 numerical tests, J. Climate, 21, 3642-3659, doi:10.1175/2008jcli2105.1, 2008.
- 901 Murphy, D. M.: Rare temperature histories and cirrus ice number density in a parcel and
- 902 one-dimensional model, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 10701-10723, 903 doi:10.5194/acpd-14-10701-2014,2014.
- Murray, B. J.: Inhibition of ice crystallisation in highly viscous aqueous organic acid
 droplets, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 5423-5433, doi:10.5194/acp-8-5423-2008, 2008.
- 906 Murray, B. J., TheodoreW.Wilson, Dobbie, S., Cui, Z., Al-Jumur, S. M. R. K., Möhler, O.,
- 907 Schnaiter, M., RobertWagner, Benz, S., Niemand, M., Saathoff, H., Ebert, V., StevenWagner,
- 908 and Kärcher, B.: Heterogeneous nucleation of ice particles on glassy aerosols under
- 909 cirrus conditions, Nat. Geosci., 3, 233-236, doi:10.1038/ngeo817, 2010.
- 910 Neale, R. B., Gettelman, A., Park, S., Conley, A. J., Kinnison, D., Marsh, D., Smith, A. K., Vitt,
- 911 F., Morrison, H., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. D., Iacono, M. J., Easter, R. C., Liu, X., and

- 912 Taylor, M. A.: Description of the NCAR Community Atmosphere Model (CAM 5.0), NCAR
- 913 Tech. Note NCAR/TN-485+STR, 289 pp., Natl. Cent. for Atmos. Res, Boulder, Co, 2012.
- 914 Phillips, V. T. J., DeMott, P. J., and Andronache, C.: An empirical parameterization of
- heterogeneous ice nucleation for multiple chemical species of aerosol, J. Atmos. Sci., 65,
 2757-2783, doi:10.1175/2007jas2546.1, 2008.
- 917 Platnick, S., King, M. D., Ackerman, S. A., Menzel, W. P., Baum, B. A., Riedi, J. C., and Frey, R.
- 918 A.: The MODIS cloud products: algorithms and examples from Terra, Ieee Trans. 919 Geosci.Remote Sens., 41, 459–473, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2002.808301, 2003.
- Pruppacher, H. R. and Klett, J. D.: Microphysics of Cloud and Precipitation, Springer, New
 York, 954pp, 1997.
- 922Rossow, W. B. and Schiffer, R. A.: Advances in Understanding Clouds from ISCCP, B. Am.923Meteorol.Soc.,80,2261-2287,
- 924 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1999)080<2261:AIUCFI>2.0.C0;2, 1999.
- 925 Salzmann, M., Ming, Y., Golaz, J.-C., Ginoux, P. A., Morrison, H., Gettelman, A., Krämer, M.,
- 926 and Donner, L. J.: Two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics in the GFDL AM3
- 927 GCM: description, evaluation, and sensitivity tests, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 2010. 8037-8064,
- 928 doi:10.5194/acp-10-8037-2010, 2010.
- 929 Shi, X., Wang, B., Liu, X., and Wang, M.: Two-moment bulk stratiform cloud microphysics
- 930 in the grid-point atmospheric model of IAP LASG (GAMIL), Adv. Atmos. Sci., 30, 868-883,
 931 doi:10.1007/s00376-012-2072-1, 2013.
- Spichtinger, P. and Gierens, K. M.: Modelling of cirrus clouds Part 2: Competition of
 different nucleation mechanisms, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2319-2334,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-2319-2009, 2009.
- 935 Spichtinger, P. and Krämer, M.: Tropical tropopause ice clouds: a dynamic approach to 936 the mystery of low crystal numbers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9801-9818,
- 937 doi:10.5194/acp-13-9801-2013, 2013.
- Szyrmer, W. and Zawadzki, I.: Biogenic and anthropogenic sources of ice-forming nuclei:
 A review, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 78, 209-228,
 doi:10.1175/1520-0477(1997)078<0209:BAASOI>2.0.CO;2, 1997.
- Wang, M. and Penner, J. E.: Cirrus clouds in a global climate model with a statistical
 cirrus cloud scheme, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5449-5474,
 doi:10.5194/acp-10-5449-2010, 2010.
- Wang, M., Liu, X., Zhang, K., and Comstock, J. M.: Aerosol effects on cirrus through ice
 nucleation in the Community Atmosphere Model CAM5 with a statistical cirrus scheme, J.
 Adv.Model. Earth Syst., 06, doi:10.1002/2014MS000339, 2014.
- 947 Wang, P.-H., Minnis, P., McCormick, M. P., Kent, G. S., Yue, G. K., Young, D. F., and Skeens, K.
- 948 M.: A 6-year climatology of cloud occurence frequency from Stratospheric Aerosol and 949 Gas experiment II observations (1985–1990), J. Geophys.Res., 101, 407–429,
- 949 Gas experiment II observations (1985–1990), J. Geophys.Res., 101, 407–429, 950 doi:10.1029/96JD01780, 1996.
- Weng, F. Z. and Grody, N. C.: Retrieval of cloud liquid water using the Special Sensor
 Microwave Imager (SSM/I), J. Geophys. Res., 99, 25535–25551, 1994.
- Wielicki, B. A., Barkstrom, B. R., Harrison, E. F., Lee, R. B., Louis Smith, G., and Cooper, J. E.:
 Clouds and the Earth's Radiant Energy System (CERES): An Earth Observing System
 Experiment, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 77, 853-868, doi: http://dx.doi.org/10.1175/1520-0477(1996)077<0853:CATERE>2.0.CO;2, 1996.
- 957 Wylie, D., Jackson, D. L., Menzel, W. P., and Bates, J. J.: Trends in Global Cloud Cover in
- 757 Wyne, D., Jackson, D. E., Menzel, W. F., and Bates, J. J.: Trends in Global Cloud Cover in 958 Two Decades of HIRS Observations, J. Climate, 18, 3021-3031, doi:10.1175/JCLI3461.1,
- 959 2005.

- 960 Wylie, D. P. and Menzel, W. P.: Eight years of high cloud statistics using HIRS, J. Climate, 12, 170-184, doi:10.1175/1520-0442-12.1.170, 1999. 961
- Zhang, K., O'Donnell, D., Kazil, J., Stier, P., Kinne, S., Lohmann, U., Ferrachat, S., Croft, B., 962
- 963 Quaas, J., Wan, H., Rast, S., and Feichter, J.: The global aerosol-climate model 964 ECHAM-HAM, version 2: sensitivity to improvements in process representations, Atmos. 965
- Chem. Phys., 12, 8911-8949, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-8911-2012, 2012.
- Zhang, K., Liu, X., Wang, M., Comstock, J. M., Mitchell, D. L., Mishra, S., and Mace, G. G.: 966
- 967 Evaluating and constraining ice cloud parameterizations in CAM5.3 using aircraft
- 968 measurements from the SPARTICUS campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4963-4982, 969 doi:10.5194/acp-13-4963-2013, 2013a.
- Zhang, K., Liu, X., Comstock, J., Wang, M., Wan, H., and Bui, T.: Vertical Draft Velocity in 970
- Cirrus Clouds and Long-Wave Aerosol Indirect Effect, The Atmosphere Model Working 971
- 972 Group Meeting, Boulder, Colo., 11–13 February 2013, 2013b.
- 973

Experiment	Two limits	PREICE	f_{hom}	Ice parameterization
Default	Yes	No	No	LP
Preice	No	Yes	Yes	LP
NoPreice	No	No	Yes	LP
Nofhom	No	Yes	No	LP
PreiceBN	No	Yes	Yes	BN
NoPreiceBN	No	No	Yes	BN
PreiceKL	No	Yes	Yes	KL
NoPreiceKL	No	No	Yes	KL

974 Table 1 List of experiments conducted in this study.

977 Table 2. Global annual mean results from present-day simulations and observations. Shown 978 are total cloud fraction (CLDTOT,%) and high cloud fraction (CLDHGH,%) compared to 979 ISCCP data (Rossow and Schiffer, 1999), MODIS data (Platnick et al., 2003), and HIRS data (Wylie et al., 2005), shortwave cloud forcing (SWCF, W m⁻²), longwave cloud forcing 980 (LWCF, W m⁻²), whole-sky shortwave (FSNT, W m⁻²) and longwave (FLNT, W m⁻²) net 981 radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere, clear-sky shortwave (FSNTC, W m⁻²) and 982 longwave (FLNTC, W m⁻²) radiative fluxes at the top of the atmosphere compared to ERBE 983 984 data (Kiehl and Trenberth, 1997) and CERES data (Loeb et al. 2009), liquid water path (LWP, g m⁻²) compared to SSM/I oceans data (Greenwald et al., 1993; Weng and Grody, 985 1994) and ISCCP data (Han et al., 1994), ice water path (IWP, g m⁻²) compared to CloudSat 986 data (Li et al., 2012), column-integrated grid-mean cloud droplet number concentration 987 (CDNUMC, 10¹⁰ m⁻²) compared to MODIS data (Table 4 in Barahona et al., 2014), 988 column-integrated grid-mean ice crystal number concentration (CDNUMI, 10^6 m^{-2}), 989 convective (PRECC, mm day⁻¹) and large-scale (PRECL, mm day⁻¹) and total precipitation 990

991 rate (PRECT, mm day⁻¹) compared to Global Precipitation Climatology Project data set
992 (Adler et al., 2003).

	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL	OBS
CLDTOT	62.52	63.01	64.37	67.95	63.45	67.30	63.49	68.92	62-75
CLDHGH	36.34	37.26	38.92	44.12	37.95	43.55	38.01	45.89	21-33
SWCF	-50.25	-51.52	-53.96	-62.67	-51.30	-59.07	-51.38	-63.15	-(46-53)
LWCF	22.42	23.65	27.12	34.81	23.38	31.42	23.25	35.85	27-31
FSNT	237.38	236.08	233.66	225.16	236.33	228.71	236.21	224.74	234-242
FLNT	-236.26	-234.88	-231.44	-222.49	-235.24	-226.38	-235.32	-221.50	-(234-240)
FSNTC	287.67	287.63	287.67	287.88	287.66	287.83	287.62	287.94	287-288
FLNTC	-258.68	-258.53	-258.57	-257.31	-258.62	-257.80	-258.57	-257.34	-(265-269)
LWP	43.62	43.90	44.60	46.72	43.84	45.88	43.94	46.78	50-87
IWP	16.37	17.60	19.55	24.33	17.09	21.09	17.01	23.87	25.8
CDNUMC	1.37	1.39	1.42	1.53	1.39	1.49	1.40	1.53	1.96
CDNUMI	83.20	119.32	193.30	1021.05	116.19	702.59	119.43	1267.13	
PRECC	2.01	1.97	1.90	1.71	1.98	1.78	1.98	1.69	
PRECL	1.04	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.05	1.06	1.05	1.05	
PRECT	3.05	3.02	2.95	2.75	3.02	2.84	3.03	2.74	2.68

993 Table 3. Global annual mean variables changes (present-day minus pre-industrial times). Illustrated are changes in net cloud forcing (ΔCF^* , W m⁻²) as well as the long-wave ($\Delta LWCF^*$, 994 W m⁻²) and shortwave (Δ SWCF^{*}, W m⁻²) components, the changes in convective (Δ PRECC, 995 mm day⁻¹), large-scale (Δ PRECL, mm day⁻¹) and total precipitation rate (Δ PRECT, mm 996 day⁻¹), the change in total cloud fraction (Δ CLDTOT, %), high cloud fraction (Δ CLDHGH, 997 %), liquid water path (Δ LWP, g m⁻²), ice water path (Δ IWP, g m⁻²), and column droplet 998 number concentration (Δ CDNUMC, 10¹⁰ m⁻²), and column ice number concentration 999 $(\Delta CDNUMI, 10^6 \text{ m}^{-2}).$ 1000

	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL
$\Delta \mathrm{CF}^*$	-1.44	-1.55	-1.60	-2.14	-1.47	-1.88	-1.64	-2.23
ΔSWCF^*	-1.95	-2.01	-2.13	-4.51	-1.86	-3.58	-1.88	-3.94
$\Delta LWCF^*$	0.51	0.46	0.53	2.37	0.39	1.70	0.24	1.71
ΔPRECC	0	0	0	-0.03	-0.01	-0.02	0	-0.02
ΔPRECL	-0.0	-0.01	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02	-0.01	-0.02
ΔPRECT	-0.01	-0.01	-0.01	-0.05	-0.02	-0.04	-0.01	-0.04
ΔCLDTOT	0.22	0.28	0.40	0.84	0.32	0.70	0.19	0.74
∆CLDHGH	0.02	0.20	0.24	0.95	0.12	0.73	0.01	0.62
ΔLWP	3.83	3.59	3.77	5.73	3.40	4.33	3.66	4.56
ΔIWP	0.12	0.12	0.14	1.21	0.03	0.62	0.01	0.60
ΔCDNUMC	0.38	0.38	0.40	0.47	0.38	0.44	0.39	0.45
ΔCDNUMI	5.60	8.46	13.10	327.38	5.62	116.49	3.24	225.42

Table 4. All percentage contributions from heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal
number concentration (in unit of %) within different ranges of dust number concentration for
all present-day simulations. Model results are sampled every three hours. Only ice nucleation
occurrence events are analyzed.

Dust range	Default	Preice	Nofhom	NoPreice	PreiceBN	NoPreiceBN	PreiceKL	NoPreiceKL
$1 - 10 \text{ L}^{-1}$	6.8	5.7	2.1	0.1	3.3	0.3	3.4	0.1
$10 - 100L^{-1}$	62.1	41.2	21.0	1.4	34.8	3.9	33.8	1.9
$> 100 L^{-1}$	99.5	89.8	78.0	10.9	92.2	39.2	93.0	25.8
All	27.9	17.6	6.7	0.5	9.4	1.0	8.9	0.5



1009

1010 **Fig. 1.** Vertical velocity reduction caused by PREICE ($W_{i,pre}$) as a function of ice number 1011 concentration. Results are shown for different ice radius, 10µm (solid line), 25µm (dotted 1012 line) and 50µm (dash line). The ambient condition is that *T*=-60°C, *P*=230hpa, $S_i=S_{het}$ (red) 1013 and $S_i=S_{hom}$ (black).



Neglect the influence of preexisting ice crystals



Consider the influence of preexisting ice crystals

1016 Fig. 2. Schematic diagram of cirrus cloud evolution. Upper panel represents the default ice nucleation scheme that neglects the influence of PREICE, lower panel represents the updated 1017 1018 scheme that considers the PREICE effect. Ice crystals number concentrations are shown 1019 inside the ovals. Time steps are shown above the ovals. All numbers are based on cirrus cloud evolution within a model grid cell (3°N, 75°W, ~198 hPa, ~217 K). In this experiment, the 1020 updraft velocity is set to 0.2 m s⁻¹ and the sulfate number concentration is set to 100 cm⁻³. 1021 1022 Heterogeneous nucleation is not taken into account. The simulation is run 3 months. Just one cirrus cloud evolution process is shown here. 1023



Fig. 3. Probability distribution frequency of sub-grid updraft velocity (W_{sub} , upper) and in-cloud ice number concentration (N_i , lower) for Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice experiments. Black-dashed line refers to aircraft measurements from the SPARTICUS campaign. The observed W_{sub} data was averaged over 50 km by 50 km grid (Zhang et al., 2013b). Model results are sampled over the field measurement site every three hours.



1032

Fig. 4. In-cloud ice crystal number concentration (N_i , L⁻¹) versus temperature for Default, Preice, Nofhom and NoPreice experiments. Model results are sampled every three hours over tropical, mid-latitude and Arctic regions including the observation locations reported in Krämer et al. (2009). The 50% percentile (solid line), 25% and 75% percentiles (error bar) are shown for each 1-K temperature bin. The gray color indicates observations between 25% and 75% percentiles.



Fig. 5. Annual and zonal mean distributions of longwave and shortwave cloud forcing
(SWCF, LWCF), column cloud ice number concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path
(IWP). Black-solid line refers to CERES data for cloud forcing (Wielicki et al., 1996). Units
are shown in the upper right corner.



1047 **Fig. 6.** Probability distribution frequency (PDF) of sub-grid updraft velocity (W_{sub} , black), 1048 effective updraft velocity (W_{eff} , blue) and vertical velocity reduction caused by PREICE 1049 ($W_{i,pre}$, red) from the Preice experiment. Model results are sampled every three hours. Only 1050 homogeneous ice nucleation occurrence events (W_{eff} >0) are analyzed.



Fig. 7. Annual zonal mean in-cloud ice crystal number concentration (N_i , L⁻¹) from NoPreice (left) and Preice (right) experiments. Note the different color bars. Results are sampled from model grids where annual mean occurrence frequency of ice nucleation events is greater than 0.001.



Fig. 8. Same as Fig.7, but for in-cloud ice crystal number concentration (L^{-1} , left) and percentage contribution from heterogeneous ice nucleation to total ice crystal number concentration (%, right) from Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL experiments.



Fig. 9. Changes (present-day minus pre-industrial times) in annual and zonal mean distributions of longwave and shortwave cloud forcing (LWCF, SWCF), column cloud ice number concentration (CDNUMI), and ice water path (IWP) for Default, Preice, PreiceBN and PreiceKL experiments. The vertical bars overloading on solid lines indicate the ranges of two standard deviation calculated from the difference of each of 5 years at different latitudes.