Exploiting Simultaneous Observational Constraints on Mass and Absorption to Estimate the Global Direct Radiative Forcing of Black Carbon and Brown Carbon

- 4
- 5 X. Wang¹, C. L. Heald^{1, 2}, D.A. Ridley¹, J. P. Schwarz^{3, 4}, J. R. Spackman^{5, 6}, A. E. 6 Perring^{3, 4}, H. Coe⁷, D. Liu⁷, and A. D. Clarke⁸
- 7 [1]{Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of
- 8 Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA}
- 9 [2]{Department of Earth, Atmospheric and Planetary Sciences, Massachusetts Institute of
- 10 Technology, Cambridge, MA, USA}
- [3]{Chemical Sciences Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanicand Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA}
- [4]{Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University ofColorado Boulder, Boulder, Colorado, USA}
- 15 [5]{Physical Sciences Division, Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and
- 16 Atmospheric Administration, Boulder, Colorado, USA}
- 17 [6]{Science and Technology Corporation, Boulder, Colorado, USA}
- 18 [7]{Centre for Atmospheric Science, University of Manchester, Manchester, UK}
- 19 [8]{Department of Oceanography, University of Hawaii, Honolulu, HI, USA}
- 20 Correspondence to: X. Wang (xuanw12@mit.edu)
- 21

22 Abstract

23 Atmospheric black carbon (BC) is a leading climate warming agent, yet uncertainties on the 24 global direct radiative forcing (DRF) remain large. Here we expand a global model simulation 25 (GEOS-Chem) of BC to include the absorption enhancement associated with BC coating and 26 separately treat both the aging and physical properties of fossil fuel and biomass burning BC. 27 In addition we develop a global simulation of Brown Carbon (BrC) from both secondary 28 (aromatic) and primary (biomass burning and biofuel) sources. The global mean lifetime of 29 BC in this simulation (4.4 days) is substantially lower compared to the AeroCom I model 30 means (7.3 days), and as a result, this model captures both the mass concentrations measured

in near-source airborne field campaigns (ARCTAS, EUCAARI) and surface sites within 30%, 1 2 and in remote regions (HIPPO) within a factor of two. We show that the new BC optical properties together with the inclusion of BrC reduces the model bias in Absorption Aerosol 3 Optical Depth (AAOD) at multiple wavelengths by more than 50% at AERONET sites 4 5 worldwide. However our improved model still underestimates AAOD by a factor of 1.4 to 2.8 regionally, with largest underestimates in regions influenced by fire. Using the RRTMG 6 7 model integrated with GEOS-Chem we estimate that the all-sky top-of-atmosphere DRF of BC is +0.13 Wm⁻² (0.08 Wm⁻² from anthropogenic sources and 0.05 Wm⁻² from biomass 8 burning). If we scale our model to match AERONET AAOD observations we estimate the 9 DRF of BC is +0.21 Wm⁻², with an additional +0.11 Wm⁻² of warming from BrC. 10 Uncertainties in size, optical properties, observations, and emissions suggest an overall 11 uncertainty in BC DRF of -80%/+140%. Our estimates are at the lower end of the 0.2-1.0 12 Wm^{-2} range from previous studies, and substantially less than the +0.6 Wm^{-2} DRF estimated 13 in the IPCC 5th Assessment Report. We suggest that the DRF of BC has previously been 14 15 overestimated due to the overestimation of the BC lifetime (including the effect on the 16 vertical profile) and the incorrect attribution of BrC absorption to BC.

17

18 **1** Introduction

19 Black carbon aerosol (BC), which is produced from incomplete combustion, is an important 20 component of atmospheric particulate matter (PM). BC is strongly light-absorbing, and 21 therefore plays a role similar to greenhouse gases as a climate warming agent and can 22 influence cloud cover and emissivity and affect the atmosphere indirectly by changing the 23 concentrations of liquid cloud droplets and ice nuclei (IPCC, 2013; Bond et al., 2013). Furthermore, BC deposited on ice and snow can reduce the surface albedo and accelerate 24 25 melting (IPCC, 2013; Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008; Flanner et al., 2007). In addition to climatic effects, BC adversely affects human health through direct inhalation of the particles 26 27 which can include adsorbed harmful VOCs such as polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons 28 (PAHS) (Dachs and Eisenreich, 2000). Because of its adverse effects on both human health 29 and climate, reducing BC is viewed as a policy strategy with potential co-benefits (Anenberg 30 et al., 2012; Smith and Haigler, 2008).

The IPCC 5th Asessment Report (AR5) suggests that the direct radiative forcing (DRF) of atmospheric BC ($+0.6 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$, with $+0.4 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$ of this from fossil and biofuels) is second only

1 to CO₂ (IPCC, 2013), further bolstering the concept of BC control as an effective climate 2 mitigation strategy. The AR5 estimate is based on two studies: the multi-model assessment from AeroCom II (Aerosol Comparisons between Observations and Models) by Myhre et al. 3 (2013) (+0.23 Wm⁻² from fossil and biofuel emissions), and the substantially higher forcing 4 5 estimate of the Bond et al. (2013) assessment which incorporates an observationally weighted scaling of previous modeling estimates $(+0.51 \text{ Wm}^{-2} \text{ from fossil and biofuel emissions}, +0.2$ 6 Wm⁻² from biomass burning). This difference of more than a factor of two highlights both the 7 8 uncertainty in BC DRF and the potential for a substantial underestimation of BC absorption in 9 the current generation of global models. At the same time, previous studies suggest that 10 AeroCom I models overestimate remote and high altitude BC concentrations (Schwarz et al., 11 2008b; Koch et al., 2009) and that a shorter atmospheric BC lifetime (~4 days) than typically 12 simulated (5 - 10 days) is required to capture the low concentrations in the remote Pacific 13 (Wang et al., 2014). In this work we aim to reconcile these disparate observational constraints 14 on BC.

Model estimates of BC DRF rely on an accurate representation of BC mass concentrations (dependent on emissions, aging, transport, and removal processes) as well as optical properties (dependent on mixing state, size, morphology, and refractive indices). The integrated effect of mass concentration and optical properties can be described by the aerosol optical depth (AOD), single scattering albedo (SSA), absorption aerosol optical depth (AAOD) and asymmetry factor, which are the basis for calculating DRF in models. These three factors are related by the following:

$$22 \quad AAOD = AOD \times (1 - SSA)$$

(1)

Some studies have used observed BC concentrations to constrain model results before 23 calculating DRF (Jacobson 2001; 2012), however this approach neglects uncertainties in the 24 optical properties of BC. An alternative is to constrain a model by column AAOD, which 25 26 generally represents the absorption of BC and can be retrieved from satellite and ground-27 based measurements by sun-photometer; however, both satellite and ground-based 28 measurements suffer from significant uncertainties. The accuracy of ground-based sun-29 photometers (the observations used in the Bond et al., 2013 study) is limited under low 30 aerosol loading, implying less reliable SSA retrievals under these conditions (Dubovik et al., 31 2000). The retrieved AAOD from satellite relies on predetermined aerosol models with a series of assumptions which are less accurate than ground-based measurements (Li et al.,
 2009; Kahnert et al., 2010).

3 While AAOD observations may be essential to constraining the DRF of BC, there remain 4 additional challenges in interpreting these measurements. Observed column AAOD does not 5 describe the vertical distribution of aerosol absorption. As a consequence, different vertical 6 profiles and column loading of BC can produce the same estimate of column AAOD due to 7 the inhomogeneous vertical distribution of solar flux and the positions of clouds (Zarzycki 8 and Bond, 2010; Samset and Myhre, 2011) but may result in substantially different radiative 9 effects. In addition, AAOD captures absorption from all aerosols, including dust and organic 10 aerosol (OA). While dust sources may be geographically isolated from black carbon sources, 11 OA is typically co-emitted with BC. Most climate models treat OA as a scattering-only 12 (Chung et al., 2012), but recent studies show that OA can absorb light (Arola et al., 2011; 13 Lukacs et al., 2007; Hecobian et al., 2010; Chakrabarty et al., 2010; Kirchstetter & Novakov, 14 2004; Chen & Bond, 2010). This light-absorbing OA (so-called "brown carbon", BrC) is 15 found in both urban and biomass burning regions and is most absorbing at UV wavelengths. Chung et al., (2012) analyse the wavelength dependence of observed AAOD and estimate that 16 17 BrC globally contributes 20% of the total absorption at 550nm from all carbonaceous aerosols 18 (BC + OA). Feng et al., (2013) also suggest BrC can contribute up to 20% to the absorption of 19 all aerosols except dust and natural aerosols, while Lin et al., (2014) estimate that BrC 20 contributes 27% to 70% of BC absorption globally, when using an alternate set of optical 21 properties.

In this study, we aim to develop a model simulation of BC (and BrC) that simultaneously meets the observational constraints for both mass and AAOD, and in doing so, improve the estimate of the global DRF of BC and its uncertainties.

25

26 2 Model description

27 2.1 The standard GC-RT model

In this study, we use the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem (Bey et al., 2001) coupled with the radiative transfer model RRTMG (Lacono et al., 2008), a configuration known as GC-RT (Heald et al., 2014). GEOS-Chem is driven by assimilated meteorology from the Goddard Earth Observing System (GEOS) at the NASA Global Modeling and 1 Assimilation Office. Our simulations for 2006 to 2011 use the GEOS-5 meteorology and v9-

2 1-3 of GEOS-Chem with a horizontal resolution of $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$ and 47 vertical levels.

The standard simulation of BC in GEOS-Chem is described in Park et al. (2003). The model 3 4 assumes 80% of emitted BC is hydrophobic and the other 20% is hydrophilic. We update the 5 hydrophilic fraction of BC emitted from biomass burning to 70% based on field observations 6 (Akagi et al., 2012; Lack et al., 2012; Shamjad et al., 2012; Schwarz et al., 2008b, also see 7 Sect. 2.2). Hydrophobic BC is aged to hydrophilic BC with an e-folding time of 1.15 days 8 (the aging lifetime, Chin et al., 2002; Cook et al., 1999). The dry removal process is based on 9 a standard resistance-in-series model dependent on the local surface type and meteorological 10 conditions (Zhang, 2001) and wet removal mainly follows the scheme described by Liu et al. 11 (2001), which includes both in-cloud rainout (only for hydrophilic BC) and below-cloud 12 washout (for all BC) for both large scale and convective precipitation. We also adopt a series 13 of updates implemented by Wang et al. (2011), which include updated size-dependent parameters for below-cloud scavenging rate (Feng, 2007), a correction for the fractional area 14 15 distribution between in-cloud and below-cloud scavenging, and updated ice/snow scavenging for hydrophobic BC. The standard simulation of primary organic aerosols (POA) is the same 16 17 as BC except that POA is emitted as 50% hydrophilic. We also update this number to 70% for 18 biomass burning. The formation of secondary organic aerosol (SOA) is described using a 2-19 product model scheme and includes biogenic hydrocarbons (Chung and Seinfeld, 2002; 20 Henze and Seinfeld, 2006), and aromatics (Henze et al., 2008) as precursors. The model also 21 includes schemes for fine and coarse sea salt aerosols (Jaegle et al., 2010) and mineral dust in 22 4 size bins (Fairlie et al., 2007; Ridley et al., 2012).

23 Anthropogenic emissions (including fossil and biofuel sources) of both BC and POA follow 24 the Bond et al. (2007) global inventory, with some regional modifications. In the U.S., 25 seasonality from Park et al., 2003 is imposed; in Europe, the seasonality is based on MACCity anthropogenic emissions of the year 2010 (Diehl et al., 2012). In East Asia, we scale up the 26 emissions totals for BC and POA by 16% and 28% to match a newer inventory of Zhang et al. 27 (2009) with related seasonality. Use of this inventory has been shown to reduce bias in BC 28 simulations at a national scale (Kondo et al., 2011; Wang et al., 2013). These updates increase 29 global anthropogenic emissions of BC by 11% compared to Bond et al. (2007) (from 4.4 30 31 Tg/yr to 4.9 Tg/yr) and emissions of POA by 17% (from 8.7 Tg/yr to 10.1 Tg/yr). 32 Carbonaceous aerosol sources from biomass burning follow the year-specific monthly mean GFED3 inventory (van der Werf et al., 2010), contributing 1.6 to 2.3 Tg/yr of BC and 13.5 to
21.4 Tg/yr of POA in 2000 to 2011. We use the 1750 emission inventory of Dentener et al.
(2006) for preindustrial conditions, with no fossil fuel emissions, biofuel emissions of BC and
POA of 0.39 and 1.56 Tg/yr (about 20% of present), and biomass burning emissions of BC
and POA of 1.03 and 12.8 Tg/yr (about 50% of present).

6 RRTMG is a radiative transfer code that calculates both longwave and shortwave atmospheric 7 fluxes (Lacis and Oinas, 1991). Within GEOS-Chem, RRTMG calculates instantaneous 8 radiative fluxes including both the total flux and the flux difference associated with specific 9 atmospheric species (ozone, methane, sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, BC, OA, sea salt or dust) 10 every 3 hours. The optical properties (AOD, SSA and asymmetry parameter) of these species 11 are calculated within GEOS-Chem. In the standard GC-RT, we assume that aerosols are 12 externally mixed with log-normal size distributions based on the aerosol information defined 13 in the Global Aerosol Data Set (GADS) database (Kopke et al., 1997) with updates from Drury et al., (2010), and hygroscopic growth factors from Martin et al. (2003). Here we use 14 15 the BC density and RI recommended by Bond and Bergstrom (2006), which are found to agree better with observations (Park et al., 2004; Stier et al., 2007; Kondo et al., 2010a). 16 17 Properties for BC are shown in Table 1. Optical properties are calculated at 7 discrete relative humidities (RH) for each wavelength using Mie code (Mischenko et al., 1999). Further details 18 19 on the implementation of RRTMG in GEOS-Chem can be found in Heald et al. (2014).

The model configuration described above is our "baseline" GC-RT configuration. This will be compared to our "best" and "most absorbing" simulations described further below. Table 1 summarizes the assumptions we use for "baseline" and "best" simulations.

23 2.2 Updated aging mechanism for BC

24 Upon emission BC is typically unmixed and hydrophobic, however once in the atmosphere, 25 BC can be coated by other materials through processes such as condensation and coagulation 26 (Stier et al., 2006; Moteki et al., 2007; Adachi et al., 2010; Liu et al., 2013). Since most 27 coating materials are soluble, aged BC is assumed to be hydrophilic and can therefore be removed via in-cloud rainout, implying a shorter atmospheric lifetime. In addition, this 28 coating or internal mixing can enhance the absorption of solar radiation (Fuller et al., 1999; 29 Schnaiter et al., 2005; Bond & Bergstrom, 2006), therefore the aging process is important for 30 the radiative impact of BC. The standard GC-RT assumes an aging lifetime of 1.15 days, 31

equivalent to a constant aging rate of $\sim 10^{-5}$ s⁻¹, with no absorption enhancement; however, the aging rate likely differs with coating conditions. Given that the coating material is related to co-emitted species, we separately track BC emitted by fossil and biofuel/biomass sources in our simulations.

5 In urban areas dominated by fossil fuel, BC is typically coated by sulfate (Moteki et al., 2007; 6 Moffet & Prather, 2009; Friedman et al., 2009), thus we assume that the aging process for 7 fossil-BC is driven by the coating of condensed sulfuric acid. As suggested by Koch et al. 8 (2001) and Liu et al. (2010), we assume that the gas-phase sulfuric acid is generally produced 9 by the oxidation of SO₂ by the hydroxyl radical (OH) and is immediately condensed on BC. 10 As a result, the aging rate of BC can be assumed to be proportional to the concentration of gas-phase sulfuric acid, which is further proportional to the concentration of SO₂ and OH 11 12 (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006):

13
$$k = 1/\tau = \alpha [H_2SO_4(g)] + b = \alpha [SO_2] [OH] + b$$
 (2)

k and τ are the aging rate and e-folding time (Liu et al., 2010). The constant term (b) 14 15 represents the contribution of aging conversion due to the coagulation and aggregation of BC with other soluble particles; this process is very slow. By assuming a coagulation e-folding 16 time of 20 days, b is estimated to be 5.8 x 10^{-7} s⁻¹, improving the seasonality of simulated BC 17 18 in the Arctic (Liu et al., 2010). Here we apply the Liu et al. (2010) BC aging scheme with 19 dynamic [OH] and [SO₂] (rather than with fixed SO₂ concentrations as in their study). This is 20 supported by the experimental studies of Zhang et al. (2008) and Khalizov et al. (2009), who find a good linear relationship between gas-phase H₂SO₄ exposure (with associated SO₂ 21 22 concentration and constant OH levels) and the mass fraction of the shell coating on BC. We fix the constant a to be 2 x 10^{-22} cm⁶ molec⁻² s⁻¹, resulting in a mean e-folding time close to 23 1.15 days for typical urban conditions with OH concentrations of 10^6 molecules/cm³ and SO₂ 24 concentrations of 5×10^{10} molec cm⁻³ (~2 ppb). Here we assume that the aging rate is based on 25 the atmospheric [SO₂] and [OH] concentrations (which contribute to the formation of gas-26 27 phase sulfuric acid), but this aging rate is not directly related to the rate of SO₂ oxidation. Nitrate and organics may also coat BC in urban areas; however, as sulfate is the most 28 29 common coating material in observations (Moteki et al., 2007; Moffet and Prather, 2009), we 30 only consider sulfate here.

The biofuel/biomass-BC is more likely to be rapidly mixed with co-emitted soluble organic compounds. In field studies, this process is observed to be very fast (Akagi et al.,2012; Lack et al.,2012; Shamjad et al., 2012; Schwarz et al.,2008b, Moteki et al., 2007; Moffet and
Prather, 2009), usually within several hours. Based on the observed data in Akagi et al. 2012
for chaparral fires in California, a new e-folding time of 4 hours is assumed for the aging of
biofuel/biomass-BC. In addition, nearly all field observations of biomass burning (Akagi et
al.,2012; Lack et al.,2012; Shamjad et al., 2012) show that more than 70% of BC emitted
from fires are thickly coated by soluble materals after 1 hour. We therefore increase the initial
hydrophilic fraction of emitted BC from this source from 20% to 70%.

8 These changes decrease the global mean atmospheric lifetime of BC (from 5.1 days in the 9 standard model to 4.4 days) and also imply that this lifetime depends on local source type and 10 pollution levels. These aging updates are used in our "best" simulation. The 70% hydrophilic 11 assumption for biomass burning emitted BC is also included in the "baseline" simulation to 12 highlight the influence of the description of the aging process when comparing these two.

13 **2.3** Updated BC properties for optical calculation

The optical effects of aerosols can be quantified with aerosol optical depth (AOD) and absorption AOD (AAOD). Calculation of these quantities within the model is based on the mass extinction efficiency (MEE) and single scattering albedo (SSA) as well as the simulated mass concentrations. The MEE and SSA are derived from Mie code (Mishchenko et al., 1999) as a function of the refractive index (RI), density and size distribution of the particles.

19 The size distributions of all aerosols in our simulations are assumed to be log-normal, defined 20 by two parameters: the geometric median diameter (GMD) and standard deviation (δ). In the 21 standard GC-RT, GMD and δ are assumed to be 40 nm and 1.6, however these factors should 22 vary substantially with emission source. Generally, observations show that fossil-BC emitted 23 in urban areas have a smaller GMD and larger δ than those from biomass burning sources 24 (Dubovik et al., 2002; Schwarz et al., 2008b). Using the median values from a series of field 25 studies (Akagi et al., 2012; Schwarz et al., 2006; 2008; Lack et al., 2012; Dubovik et al., 26 2002; Shamjad et al., 2012; Moffet et al., 2009; Knox et al., 2009; Kondo et al., 2011b), GMD 27 is fixed at 60 nm and 140 nm for fossil and biofuel/biomass-BC, respectively, and δ is specified to be 1.6 and 1.4 for these two kinds of sources. At 550 nm, MEE and MAE (mass 28 absorption efficiency, = MEE \times (1 - SSA)) are calculated to be 6.9 and 5.9 m²g⁻¹ in the 29 standard GC-RT. With our updates, MEE and MAE increase to 8.2 and 6.3 m2 g-1 for fossil-30 BC and 9.6 and 6.3 m²g⁻¹ for biofuel/biomass-BC. The MAE values at 550 nm are at the 31

lower end of the range 6.3 - 8.7 m²g⁻¹ suggested by Clarke et al., 2004 and Bond and
 Bergstrom 2006, but close to some other studies (Akagi et al., 2012; Schnaiter et al., 2003;
 Lewis et al., 2009). We use these size distributions in our "best" simulations for fossil and
 biofuel/biomass-BC, and discuss the sensitivity of our results to size in Sect. 5.

5 Owing to the lensing effect, a coated BC particle with non-absorbing shell will produce 6 greater absorption than the BC core alone (Fuller et al., 1999; Jacobson, 2000). It is not 7 possible to model this effect explicitly in the externally-mixed bulk aerosol scheme of GEOS-8 Chem, therefore we use absorption enhancement (AE = MAE with coating / MAE without 9 coating) to describe this infuluence from internal mixing. Although a core-shell model can be 10 used to estimate this AE value, it relies on the challenging estimation of the relative location 11 and thickness of the shell at various conditions. Laboratory studies show that the AE changes very little when the shell is thick enough (Bueno et al., 2011; Cross et al., 2011; Shiraiwa et 12 al., 2010; Shamjad et al., 2012; Knox et al., 2009), all estimating a maximum AE value 13 14 around 2. Bond et al. (2006) conducted a series of core-shell calculations and found that the AE is 1.9 for thickly coated BC. Even without coating, the interactions between small 15 spherules in an aggregate state can enhance the absorption by 30%. However, this 30% 16 17 enhancement disappears when the aggregation includes coating by a non-absorbing shell. Based on the AE for aggregated BC (1.3) and coated aggregated BC (1.9), Bond et al. (2006) 18 19 suggest an AE of 1.5. This value is also close to values estimated from several field studies of 20 biomass burning outflow (Schwarz et al., 2008a; 2008b; Lack et al., 2012; Moffet & Prather, 21 2009). However, recent studies also show that the AE in urban regions may be even smaller 22 (Schwarz et al., 2008; Cappa et al., 2012). Cappa et al., (2012) observed a very small AE (1.1) 23 in two urban regions of California (Los Angeles and Sacramento) during the summer of 2010. It remains unclear why the absorption enhancement of BC was observed to be so low, it may 24 be due to a thin shell or other uncertain effects. In our "best" simulation, we apply AE = 1.125 for fossil-BC and 1.5 for biofuel/biomass-BC as scaling factors on our aged (hydrophilic) BC. 26 After applying the AE from coating, we estimate the "best" MAE at 550nm to be 7.0 m^2g^{-1} 27 for fossil fuel emitted BC and 9.5 m^2g^{-1} for biofuel and biomass burning emitted BC. 28

We perform an additional set of "most absorbing" simulations, where we maximize the absorption from BC. In this simulation, the absorption enhancement is set to 2 for both fossil-BC and biofuel/biomass-BC. The updated aging mechanisms in Sect. 2.2 decrease BC mass and increase the aged-BC fraction. The first effect decreases the absorption while the second one enhances the absorption. The overall impact from the aging will be to decrease the absorption for both fossil- and biofuel/biomass-BC. As a result, we do not apply the new aging mechanisms in our "most absorbing" simulation.

4 **2.4** Treatment of Brown Carbon

5 Brown carbon absorbs radiation, particularly at UV wavelengths and therefore should be 6 considered separately from "white" OA when simulating aerosol absorption. In our 7 simulations we separately track two sources of BrC: Br-POA (brown primary organic 8 aerosols) and Br-SOA (brown second organic aerosols).

9 There are currently no global emissions estimates for BrC. In field observations (Arola et al., 10 2011; Lukacs et al., 2007; Hecobian et al., 2010) Br-POA seems to be most abundant in the 11 regions dominated by biofuel combustion or biomass burning. A series of laboratory 12 measurements also demonstrate absorption from biofuel/biomass burning emitted OA (Chakrabarty et al., 2010; Kirchstetter & Novakov, 2004; Chen and Bond, 2010). However, 13 14 there is little information about how much of the OA emitted from biofuel/biomass burning is 15 likely to be Br-POA. Similar to the approach of Park et al. (2010), here we simply assume 50% of the POA from biofuel and 25% from biomass burning is Br-POA (a total of 8 Tgyr⁻¹ 16 17 of BrC). These values are discussed in Sect. 5 in light of comparison with observations. 18 Experiments show that most of the light-absorbing SOA is associated with aromatic carbonyls 19 (Jaoui et al., 2008; Desyaterik et al., 2013; Lambe et al., 2013). Therefore we assume that aromatic SOA is Br-SOA in our simulation. Aromatic SOA is 8% of the total SOA simulated 20 in the model and represents a source of 3.2 Tgyr⁻¹ BrC. 21

The aerosol properties of BrC in our simulation are identical to OA from the GADS database, with the exception of the imaginary part of refractive index (i), which describes the absorption of aerosol. Based on Mie theory, this imaginary part can be derived from the measured MAE using the following relationship:

26
$$i = (MAE \cdot \rho \cdot \lambda)/4\pi$$

(3)

The ρ and λ are the density of measured aerosol and the corresponding wavelength of MAE. Many studies have measured the absorption of BrC (Chen and Bond, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Yang et al., 2009; Kirchstetter et al., 2004; Barnard et al., 2008; Chakarbarty et al., 2010; Song et al., 1023; Saleh et al., 2013; Updyke et al., 2012; Nakayama et al., 2013), but the measured absorption differs significantly. Generally the absorption is

1 estimated by either measuring the absorption from organics extracted in 2 water/acetone/methanol or calculating the absorption of total aerosols minus BC. Since the second method depends on the assumed and uncertain optical properties of BC, it is likely less 3 4 accurate than the extraction method. Typically more than 90% of the measured BrC is 5 extractable in acetone/methanol, 30% - 70% is extractable in water. Here we assume that the acetone/methanol-soluble BrC is about to equal to the total BrC, the water-soluble part is Br-6 7 SOA, and the acetone/methanol-soluble minus the water-insoluble part is Br-POA. The 8 absorption measured for the water-soluble organics is substantially less than the 9 acetone/methanol soluble organics. As a result, we derive the imaginary refractive index for 10 BrPOA from the measured absorption in acetone/methanol-extracted experiments and the 11 imaginary refractive index for BrSOA from the water-extracted experiments. The calculated i 12 from previous field and laboratory studies are shown in Fig. 1.

13 It is clear from Fig. 1 that the imaginary part of the refractive index, i, varies substantially 14 between different studies. Typically estimates from field observations are larger than values 15 from laboratory experiments. We choose several studies which include measurements at multiple wavelengths (Chen and Bond, 2010; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013) as 16 17 references for calculating i for our simulations. We average these selected values and fit a 18 logarithmic curve over the shortwave range (shown in Fig. 1). Our fitted curve represents 19 values near the upper end of all the measurements, thereby representing near-maximum absorption properties for BrC. These values for BrPOA and BrSOA are applied in our "best" 20 21 and "most absorbing" simulations. The refractive index of BrC is only updated at wavelengths lower than 600nm. At longer wavelengths, our estimated i is very small (< 0.005) and similar 22 to the original OA assumption in GADS. We estimate the MAE at 440nm to be $1.0 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ for 23 Br-POA and $0.3 \text{ m}^2\text{g}^{-1}$ for Br-SOA. 24

25

26 **3 Observations**

We use two types of observations to constrain the global distribution and radiative impacts of
BC: mass concentrations of BC from a series of aircraft campaigns and surface observations
and wavelength-dependent AAOD from ground-based AERONET sites.

3.1 Aircraft and surface concentration measurements

2 The HIPPO (HIAPER Pole-to-Pole Observations, Schwarz et al., 2013) airborne campaign surveyed large latitude and altitude ranges (80°N-67°S, 0.3-14 km) with 5 flight series 3 4 transecting the Pacific in January 2009, November 2009, March-April 2010, June-July 2011 5 and August-September 2011. Black carbon mass concentrations were measured aboard the 6 NSF/NCAR Gulfstream V research aircraft with a Single Particle Soot Photometer (SP2, 7 Schwarz et al., 2008). The detection range for refractory black carbon (rBC) mass corresponds to 90 - 550 nm volume equivalent diameter, assuming 1.8 g cm⁻³ density, with a 8 9 total uncertainty in accumulation mode rBC mass mixing ratio of ±30% (Schwarz et al., 10 2013). The flight tracks, which extend accross the remote Pacific into the Arctic, are shown in 11 Fig. 2.

12 Two additional aircraft campaigns are included in our analyses. They are EUCAARI (European Integrated project on Aerosol Cloud Climate and Air Quality interactions) around 13 14 the coastal areas of the United Kingdom during April and September in 2008 (McMeeking et 15 al., 2010) and ARCTAS (Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from 16 Aircraft and Satellites) during April, June and July, 2008 (Jacob et al., 2010). As in HIPPO, 17 BC in these two campaigns was measured using the SP2 instrument. The detection range for 18 particle diameter used in ARCTAS is 80 - 860 nm and 70 - 460 nm in EUCAARI. The 19 uncertainty is estimated to be 10% and 30% in ARCTAS and EUCAARI (McMeeking et al., 20 2010; Kondo et al., 2011b). These campaigns provide a near-source contrast to the constraints 21 provided by the HIPPO measurements.

For comparison with airborne measurements, the model is sampled both temporally and spatially to match the flight tracks.

24 As the horizontal coverage of the above aircraft campaigns is limited, surface measurements 25 in three source regions, China, the U.S. and Europe, are also included for comparison. In China, we use rural measurements obtained with online filter-based optical methods 26 27 (Aethalometer and COSMOS) from Wang et al. (2013) in 2010 and filter-based thermal methods from Zhang et al. (2008) in 2006. In the U.S., we use the IMPROVE aerosol network 28 29 (Interagency Monitoring of Protected Visual Environments, http://vista.cira.colostate.edu/improve/) observations from 2010, which measures BC using a 30 31 filter-based thermal method. In Europe, we use the EMEP (The European Monitoring and Evaluation Program, http://www.emep.int/) observations, also in 2010. The EMEP 32

measurement technique varies across sites, from filter-based thermal measurement to
 Aethalometer online optical measurements. All of these measurements cover at least three
 seasons.

4 **3.2 AERONET Measurements**

5 The Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) is a global ground-based aerosol remote sensing 6 network of radiometers (Dubovik et al., 2000; Holben et al., 2001). By measuring the spectral 7 sky radiance in a wide angular range from the sun, AERONET retrieves spectral AOD and 8 SSA for the atmospheric column overhead. Measurements are reported at 4 wavelengths (440, 9 675, 870 and 1020nm).

10 The latest AERONET product (version 2) includes two levels of data: 1.5 and 2. The level-1.5 11 AOD is automatically cloud cleared but not finally calibrated, such that the level-2 data 12 should be of higher quality. We use the mean AOD from 2000 to 2011 in order to compensate 13 for sampling limitations and increase the robustness of our seasonal averages. The 12-year 14 averaged global mean AOD at 440nm is 0.29 in level-2 and similarly 0.27 in level-1.5. 15 However, in specific regions or seasons, the difference between the two levels can be large. 16 For example, in spring in China, the average AOD is about 40% higher in the level-1.5 17 product than in the level-2 product. We therefore only use the high quality level-2 AOD.

18 The level-2 SSA AERONET data are only available under high AOD conditions (AOD > 0.4at 440nm) (Dubovik et al., 2000; Bahadur et al., 2012); this subset is only 20% of the level-19 20 1.5 measurements. This presents a challenge for interpreting the global SSA constraints 21 provided by AERONET: The level-2 data is of higher quality than the level 1.5, but is biased 22 towards high aerosol loading conditions. The global average AAOD for the level-2 product 23 (which can only be derived when AOD is high) is 0.058 at 440nm, but is only 0.026 in the 24 level-1.5 product, over a factor of two different. However, if we only consider the sites and 25 times when level-2 SSA is retrieved and compare mean values with level 1.5 for the same 26 periods, we find that AAOD is 15% lower at 440nm in the level 1.5 data, suggesting a large 27 data quality impact. To address this challenge when deriving AAOD we use level-2 SSA 28 under both high and low AOD conditions, that is, we assume that the SSA is independent of AOD in a given season. This is reasonable if the aerosol composition at a given location is 29 relatively constant throughout a given season. The overall AOD may vary because of a 30 31 change in total aerosol loading, but SSA remains the same when the relative ratios among different species are constant. Bahadur et al. (2012) tested this assumption by showing that
the SSA is not correlated with AOD, suggesting that the use of level-2 SSA for all AOD
levels is unlikely to result in large biases.

4 The AAOD from AERONET does not only reflect the absorption from BC and BrC, but also 5 that from coarse dust. Based on previous studies (Dubovik et al., 2002; Chung et al., 2012; 6 Russell et al., 2010), we use the Extinction/Absorption Angstrom Exponent to exclude data 7 points highly affected by dust. In our comparison, data with Extinction Angstrom Exponent < 8 1 and Absorption Angstrom Exponent > 1.5 are considered as those highly affected by dust 9 and are removed in our analysis. This exclusion decreases the global mean AERONET AOD 10 and AAOD by 5% and 7%, respectively (12-years mean, 440nm). There are additional 11 uncertainties associated with AERONET measurements, such as those from the clear-sky 12 sampling, which are discussed further in Sect. 6.2.

13

14 **4** Comparing simulated BC mass concentrations to observations

We compare both the "baseline" and "best" modeled BC mass concentrations to observations.
The only difference in the simulation of BC mass in these two schemes is the aging process.
The meteorology and biomass burning emissions used in the simulations are for the year corresponding to the observations.

19 4.1 Remote measurements (HIPPO)

20 The measurements from the HIPPO campaign are divided into four regions: around the 21 equator (20°S-20°N); the Northern Hemisphere (20°N-60°N); the Southern Hemisphere 22 (20°S-67°S) and the Arctic (60°N-80°N). Measurements in the equator and Southern 23 Hemisphere regions generally reflect very clean background conditions. Occasionally, the Southern Hemisphere region can also be affected by biomass burning in Africa and South 24 25 America. Both the equator and Southern Hemisphere are ideal regions to examine the aging 26 mechanisms in the model since continental air masses are well aged by the time they reach 27 these locations. In contrast, the Northern Hemisphere and Arctic regions are more 28 heavilyinfluenced by anthropogenic emissions. Both of our "baseline" and "best" simulations 29 are able to represent the vertical profile of observed BC concentrations. In addition, the 30 updated aging in the "best" simulation shows improvement over the "baseline" in the mid-31 troposphere nearly in all regions (e.g. Fig. 2, row 3, col 3); however, it still underestimates the observation at upper altitudes in several regions (e.g. row 5, col 1). The model bias varies
with region and season. The "best" model simulation of BC concentrations is within a factor
of 2 for 85% of the data points and within a factor of 3 for 96% of the measurements.

4 Fig. 3 shows the frequency distribution of observed and modeled BC concentrations. At the 5 equator, our "best" simulation captures the peak of observed concentrations better than the "baseline" simulation. However, in the other three regions, particularly the two regions in the 6 7 Northern Hemisphere, neither of our simulations is able to capture the observed low 8 concentrations. These bias between model and observation may be associated with errors in 9 the model description of scavenging, emissions or transport, or any combination of these. This 10 includes the inability of a coarse-grid Eulerian model to reproduce the BC concentration 11 gradients within a gridbox, the effect of which is likely strongest near source where clean air 12 mixes with freshly emitted plumes (Rastigejev et al., 2010; Vignati et al., 2010).

Fig. 4 compares the overall model performance for the "baseline" and "best" simulations of 13 14 the HIPPO campaigns. Overall, the "baseline" model overestimates BC concentrations by 44%, with larger bias at high altitudes. This bias is substantially reduced in the "best" model 15 16 (22% overestimate). Although the model bias varies, in most regions the model still 17 overestimates BC concentrations at mid-altitudes, even with our faster aging mechanisms. It 18 is unclear whether this bias is connected to anthropogenic or biomass burning BC. We have 19 conducted a series of sensitivity tests by varying assumptions in our aging mechanisms and 20 the scavenging rate, but there is no simple adjustment that better represents the entirety of the HIPPO data. Our simple aging scheme may not be suitable for all environments. The shape 21 22 and size of BC as well as the co-emitted species may vary considerably regionally and 23 seasonally, which could give rise to different aging efficiency. Bias in the amount and 24 location of precipitation in the GEOS-5 product may also play a role. However, in general we 25 conclude that the model simulation in remote areas is not markedly biased, and that our model, including an updated aging scheme, represents the low BC concentrations over remote 26 27 regions substantially better than previous model studies (Koch et al., 2009; Schwarz et al., 28 2010).

29 **4.2** Near-source measurements

Fig. 5 compares the simulation and observations of BC mass concentrations during the
 ARCTAS and EUCAARI campaigns. The model simulation more accurately reproduces the

magnitude and vertical profile of BC in these near-source areas than the remote HIPPO 1 2 observations (note the linear scale on plots), which suggests that the bias in emissions is less significant than the biases in aging, transport, and removal. There is also little difference 3 between our "baseline" and "best" simulations here, demonstrating how aging does not 4 5 control near-source BC mass concentrations. The spring measurements (5a and 5c) are affected by biomass burning plumes in the free troposphere (peak ~4km) (Wang et al., 2011), 6 7 which are not captured by the model. The model skill is much higher in the summer (5b and 5d), when fire is not an important source. Overall, the "best" model simulation of BC 8 9 concentrations is within a factor of 2 for 97% of the ARCTAS data points, with a mean bias 10 of -20%. The EUCAARI observations of BC concentrations in the free troposphere are very low ($< 5 \text{ ng/m}^3$ above 3km), similar to the background concentrations reported for the HIPPO 11 campaigns. With the exception of the time shortly after aircraft take off and before landing, 12 13 the EUCAARI flight path traverses relatively clean marine regions around U.K. However, all 14 of the model grid boxes in this region include both land and sea, therefore the model BC mass concentrations are overestimated in this region (mean +95% bias), particularly near the 15 16 surface. At high altitude, which is associated more with regional mean conditions than the 17 local sources, the absolute model bias is smaller. All of the simulated mean values are within 18 the standard deviation of the near-source airborne measurements except for those in the 19 upper-troposphere during EUCAARI.

20 We also compare the modeled surface concentrations to surface measurements in three 21 regions dominated by anthropogenic emissions: China, the U.S. and Europe. Fig. 6 shows that 22 our "best" model underestimates the surface BC in China (-21%) and Europe (-29%), but 23 overestimates in the U.S. (+28%). Biases in China and Europe are both largely the result of individual sites with high BC concentrations which likely reflect very local sources 24 25 (Dunhuang in west China and Ispra in Italy). When excluding the observations from these two 26 sites, the overall model bias decreases to -6% in China and -5% in Europe. The overestimate 27 over the U.S. is likely associated with the decreasing trend of aerosol emissions after 2000 28 (Leibensperger et al., 2011), the year of the Bond et al. (2007) emission inventory used in the 29 model.

30 To summarize, our near-source comparisons show that our "best" simulation of BC 31 concentrations is within a factor of two of observations at most altitudes and within 30% at 32 the surface.

2 5 Comparing simulated and AERONET regional AAOD

As shown in Sect. 4, the mean bias of our simulation of BC mass concentrations is generally small in both source and remote regions compared to the model errors shown in previous studies (Koch et al., 2009; Schwarz et al., 2008b). In this section, we compare our simulation with AERONET AAOD observations which integrate both BC mass and optical properties. For this comparison, biomass burning emissions are averaged for 12 years to compare with the 12-year average of AERONET data. The meteorology is for the year 2010.

9 Fig. 7 shows the "best" modeled annual average AAOD at 440nm and 675nm together with 10 AERONET data. In most regions, the baseline model underestimates the AERONET AAOD 11 at both wavelengths, but the bias decreases as we increase the absorption in the model. Large 12 model biases remain in the biomass burning regions of Africa, India and Southeast Asia. In 13 the following analysis, we focus on the regionally averaged AAOD within 5 regions (selected 14 for diversity and minimum dust influence), which are shown as red boxes in Fig. 7. The 15 model simulation in each region is sampled to the locations of AERONET sites. Detailed 16 comparisons of AAOD in these regions are shown in Fig. 8. The vertical error bars show the 17 standard deviations of regional annual average values, which represent the inter-annual 18 variability in measured AAOD. Since we assume that the SSA is temporally constant at each 19 site, these standard deviations are only associated with AOD. The black dashed lines show an 20 alternative averaging of AERONET data, here we use the AERONET level 1.5 SSA when the 21 level 2.0 SSA is not available. This this procedure generates nearly identical average AAOD 22 in East Asia and South Africa. In the other three regions, the difference between the two data 23 processing methods is less than 30%; this uncertainty will be discussed further in Sect. 6.3.

24 Fig. 8a, b and c show that the "baseline" simulation underestimates the observations and fails 25 to capture the wavelength dependence of AAOD in these anthropogenically-influenced regions. The bias in the "baseline" simulation increases with decreasing wavelength, from 26 27 30% at 675nm and 870nm to about 60% at 440nm. While Fig. 8 only shows annual average values, the results are generally consistent across seasons, but with smaller biases in U.S 28 29 spring, East Asia winter and Europe spring. The differences in each season are within $\pm 20\%$ 30 of the annual average in both observations and simulations. The "best" simulation shows 31 substantial improvement over the "baseline" simulation, but still underestimates the observed AAOD. The green shaded regions shows the range of "best" simulated results related to 32

uncertainties in size distribution (GMD = 20 to 200nm, δ = 1.3 to 2.2), refractive index (1.75-1 2 0.63i to 1.95-0.79i, the range suggested by Bond and Bergstrom, 2006), hygroscopic growth (no growth and double the growth) and the aged fraction of BC to which absorption 3 enhancement is applied (consider all BC are aged or only hydrophilic BC are aged). Our 4 5 "best" simulation is near the top of this range; uncertainty in these assumptions produce at most an 8% increase in the AAOD (the higher end of shading) and cannot account for model-6 7 measurement differences. The "best" simulation also shows an improved representation of the 8 wavelength dependence of AAOD; the Absorption Angstrom Eexponent increased from 1.0 9 or smaller in our "baseline" simulation to values much closer to AERONET observations 10 (around 1.3). This improvement is associated with the inclusion of BrC which enhances 11 absorption in the UV (Fig. 1). As shown with the brown lines in Fig. 8, BrC contributes 25%, 12 15% and 20% of the total simulated AAOD at 440nm in the U.S, East Asia and Europe.

13 The "most absorbing" simulations almost exactly match the AAOD observations in the U.S 14 and East Asia, but still modestly underestimate observations in Europe. This comparison 15 suggests that the AAOD bias can be eliminated by using an AE of 2 (the upper limit of acceptable values) without increasing BC mass in specific regions. Since other uncertainties 16 17 in BC properties (described by green shadings) fail to account for the model bias, we suggest that the bias between our "best" simulation and the AERONET observations are the result of 18 19 an underestimate of either or both AE and BC mass. Indeed, the same fractional increase of 20 AE or BC mass concentrations in our model produce very similar increases in simulated 21 AAOD. Our evaluation of mass concentrations in Sect. 4, suggest that a bias in emissions is unlikely for these regions. However, in Europe, a 30% increase in biofuel emissions (both BC 22 23 and OA) would improve the simulation of both AAOD and AAE. Alternatively, the 24 underestimate in this region may be the result of neglecting the contribution of nitrate to 25 absorption enhancement, which has been shown to contribute to BC coatings in Europe (Liu 26 et al., 2013).

In biomass burning dominated regions (Fig. 8d and 8e), the comparisons are only for the fire season (August to September). Biomass burning emissions in these two months dominate the total annual BC emissions in both the Amazon and South Africa and provide an opportunity to evaluate our biomass burning BC and BrC assumptions. However, such comparisons are more challenging than in anthropogenically-influenced regions because the number of sites is not large enough to capture all the areas influenced by fire plumes. In these regions, while the

AAE is underestimated in the "baseline" simulation, the inclusion of substantial BrC from 1 2 biomass burning sources leads to an overestimate of the AAE in the "best" simulation. In comparison with the anthropogenically-dominated regions, this suggests that either the 3 emissions or absorption of BrC from biomass burning sources is too high. Alternatively, a 4 5 30% increase of BC emission from biomass burning, which is also very uncertain, would also bring the model into agreement with observed AAE. However, given that the bias in the 6 7 "best" simulation of AAOD is less than 20% at all wavelengths in the Amazon (Fig. 5e), there 8 is little justification for any further changes in the treatment of BrC or biomass burning 9 emission factors in this region.

The large bias in South Africa is likely due to the underestimate of both the poorly constrained emission factors for biomass burning (Bond et al., 2013) and the uncertainty of burned area. The GFED3 emissions used here are close to the FINNv1 (The Fire Inventory from NCAR version 1.0, Wiedinmyer et al., 2011) estimates for South Africa, but a factor of two smaller than the AMMA (the Multidisciplinary Analysis of African Monsoon program) inventory (Liousse et al., 2010), which suggests that significant burning in African savanna and grasslands are underestimated in GFED3.

We note that the absorption enhancement applied here is a proxy for the lensing effect, or any other factor which enhances absorption beyond standard spherical Mie calculations. These factors (e.g. geometry) may also contribute to the model underestimate of AAOD.

20 To generalize this comparison to the global scale, the global land area is divided into 10 regions following the definitions of Bond et al., 2013, shown in Fig. 9. In most groups, the 21 22 bias in the "best" modeled AAOD are consistent at 440nm and 675nm. However, in North 23 America, Latin America and Southeast Asia, the model bias at 675nm is larger than at 440nm, 24 which suggests that we overestimate the BrC absorption in these regions. In contrast, model bias of AAOD at 440nm is much larger than at 675nm in Middle East, where the emissions 25 26 are dominated by biofuel. This suggests that we may underestimate the BrC absorption from 27 biofuel in the Middle East. Fig. 9 shows the ratio of observed AAOD to modeled AAOD at 28 550nm to describe the overall model bias in each region. All the ratios are smaller than 2, 29 except for biomass burning regions (South Asia, Southeast Asia, Latin America and Africa), 30 where uncertainty associated with emission factors is high and observations are limited. Fig. 9 also shows the same ratio from Bond et al. (2013) who compared the 550nm AAOD in the 31 32 AeroCom I model mean to AERONET observations. The original ratios (light blue bars) from

the AeroCom models are larger than our estimate in all regions except Latin America. The 1 2 AeroCom I models, used in Bond et al. (2013) do not treat BC as internally mixed or consider the absorption enhancement from BC coating, and therefore underestimate the AAOD, 3 resulting in larger biases. When Bond et al. (2013) apply an absorption enhancement of 1.5 to 4 5 all the simulated AAOD, the ratios (dark blue bars) are closer to one than our values in North America, West Europe and EECCA (Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia), resulting 6 7 from our assumption of a smaller enhancement of 1.1 for fossil-BC. Furthermore, the 8 omission of BrC, leads to larger underestimates of absorption in Bond et al. (2013), particularly in high OC regions. In addition, Bond et al. (2013) apply absorption enhancement 9 to all BC (not separating fresh and aged) and they apply a uniform SSA assumption of 0.36 to 10 11 calculate the simulated AAOD (550nm), which ignores the spatial and seasonal variation of 12 SSA. In our simulations, the SSA of BC varies from 0.2 to 0.4 at 550nm in different season 13 and region.

14

15 6 Estimateing the Direct Radiative Forcing (DRF) of BC

16 6.1 DRE and DRF in GC-RT

We now estimate the DRF (from pre-industrial to present-day) associated with our BC simulations and explore the uncertainties in this estimates. We first estimate the direct radiative effect (DRE), the flux perturbation associated with the present-day burden of all BC. The BC DRE and DRF for our "best" simulation are shown in Fig. 10; global mean values are 0.14 and 0.13 Wm⁻² for all-sky condition. The DRF includes 0.08 Wm⁻² from anthropogenic sources and 0.05 Wm⁻² from biomass burning.

Following the analysis in Sect. 5 and as done in Bond et al. (2013), we provide an alternate 23 24 estimate of the DRF by scaling the AAOD to match the AERONET observational constraints 25 based on the ratios shown in Fig. 9. We do not apply the scaling over oceans, given the lack of measurement constraint over the ocean (discussed further in section 6.2). The global mean 26 27 AAOD at 550nm is 0.0014 before the scaling and 0.0024 after scaling for BC, 0.0005 before the scaling and 0.0008 after scaling for BrC. BrC contributes 25% of the total AAOD from 28 carbonaceous aerosols, which is similar to the measurement-based estimate of Chung et al., 29 30 2012 (20%). The global mean all-sky DRF associated with this observationally-scaled simulation is 0.21 Wm⁻² for BC (0.13 Wm⁻² anthropogenic, 0.08 Wm⁻² biomass burning). 31

After scaling, the DRF of BrC is -0.02 Wm^{-2} . Although the DRF of BrC is negative, it is only slightly scattering compared to POA. The DRF of total OA increases from -0.32 Wm^{-2} in the baseline simulation to -0.25 Wm^{-2} when including absorption from BrC, we therefore estimate an absorption DRF from BrC of $+0.07 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$. If we do not consider BrC and assume the difference between modeled and observed AAOD must be attributed solely to BC, the DRF of BC increases to 0.32 Wm^{-2} .

7 We can compare of BrC simulation and resulting forcing to previous studies. Feng et al., 8 (2013) base their BrC optical properties on Chen and Bond (2010) (smaller than the pink 9 triangles in Fig.1a, since they choose a different burning condition) and Kirchstetter et al. (2004) (light green circles in Fig.1a) and treat 66% of the POA from biofuel and biomass 10 burning as Br-POA. They do not include any secondary sources of BrC. The biomass burning 11 emissions employed in this study, are about 40% higher than our biomass burning source 12 based on the 12-years GFED3 average. This results in a 170% larger global BrC source 13 14 (30.3Tgyr⁻¹), but a similar global BrC DRF (+0.04 to +0.11 Wm⁻²). Notice that our DRF value is scaled to meet AERONET data. In contrast, Lin et al. (2014) estimate a much larger DRF 15 for BrC (+0.22 to +0.57 Wm⁻²). Their optical properties for primary BrC are also based on 16 Chen and Bond (2010) and Kirchstetter et al. (2004). However they also treat all SOA as BrC 17 18 and apply these same absorption properties to the Br-SOA, resulting in an unrealistically high 19 estimate of BrC DRF.

20 Fig. 11 compares the DRF between previous studies and our estimates, including 21 disaggregation by source type. Table 2 summarizes the related BC budget and properties for these studies (Bond et al., 2013; Myhre et al., 2013; Schulz et al., 2006; Wang et al., 2014; 22 IPCC, 2013). Our "best" estimated BC load (0.16 mg/m²) and lifetime (4.4 days) are slightly 23 higher than the estimate (0.15 mg/m^2 and 4.2 days) of Wang et al (2014) who also use the 24 GEOS-Chem model. This difference is primarily the result of their treatment of hydrophobic 25 26 BC as ice nuclei in cold clouds; implementing this in our simulations has little impact given that our fast aging has converted most hydrophobic BC to hydrophilic before transport to high 27 28 altitudes. They also suggest that their treatment could overestimate the scavenging of BC and is inconsistent with some ice nucleation studies (Friedman et al., 2011). They also use the 29 30 default GEOS-Chem assumptions for the refractive index and density of BC (see Sect. 2) 31 which produce an AAOD at 550nm and DRF which are 20% and 45% higher than this study, 32 though their BC mass load is lower.

Conversely, the mass load of BC in our "best" model is substantially smaller than most of the 1 AeroCom models (both phase I from Schulz et al., 2006 and phase II from Myhre et al., 2 2013), which overestimate BC mass concentrations at high altitudes and remote locations (a 3 factor of 3 to 15, Koch et al., 2009). Even with our shorter lifetime, the modeled BC in our 4 5 "best" simulation is still higher than observations over much of the remote oceans (Sect. 4.1), which indicates that the BC mass load and lifetime are likely even lower than our estimates. 6 7 However we show that our simulation of AAOD (including BrC) is less biased than the 8 AeroCom models at AERONET sites. Our simulation also has lower BC absorption forcing 9 efficiency (AFE) than AeroCom models. This is associated with the lower lifetime which 10 particularly decreases concentrations at high altitudes. The fraction of BC load above 5 km is 11 12% in our model, compared to 21% in AeroCom phase I models. Fig. 12 shows the fraction of BC load above 5km and the AFE distribution in our "best" simulation. Excluding the 12 13 Antarctic region (with extremely low BC and AAOD) these two factors are moderately 14 correlated (R = 0.6). A greater fraction of BC at high altitudes increases the radiative effect as a result of the larger solar flux at high altitudes (Samset and Myhre, 2011). Generally, in our 15 simulation a 10% increase in the fraction of BC above 5km leads to a 60 Wm⁻² increase in 16 AFE. The AeroCom model overestimate of BC mass at high altitudes implies that their AFE 17 is also overestimated. 18

Compared to the AeroCom models, our model with fast scavenging represents both nearsource and remote BC concentrations better, and also simulates a higher AAOD (in better agreement with AERONET) and lower BC DRF. The smaller modeled DRF and smaller AAOD bias produce a scaled DRF which is far less than that in Bond et al., 2013 (0.21 vs 0.71, 70% difference) and AR5 estimates, suggesting that the direct radiative forcing from BC has been overestimated.

25 6.2 Uncertainties

We estimate an overall uncertainty of -80%/+140% for DRE and DRF, which is summarized in detail in Table 3. There are large uncertainties associated with the AERONET AAOD observations. The uncertainty from the AERONET retrieval is estimated as the largest difference when using AOD and SSA from different AERONET versions. The 4% uncertainty associated with clear-sky observational sampling is calculated from model experiments based on GEOS-5 meteorology, and is similar to estimates of Wang et al. (2014) (3%) and Bond et al. 2013 (1%).

We do not estimate the uncertainties associated with detailed model processes as scaling the 1 2 AAOD incorporates the bias from all of these processes. However these scale factors are based on a limited number of AERONET sites. By randomly sampling 75% of all AERONET 3 4 sites to calculate scale factors in each region, we estimate this uncertainty as -40%/+65%. We 5 also include an additional uncertainty associated with the AAOD over oceans. The average ratio of AERONET AAOD to modeled AAOD at island or coastal sites is 2.1, which is not 6 7 included in the scaling in Sect. 6.1. This ratio indicates that the modeled AAOD at 8 AERONET sites needs to be scaled up by about 100% to meet the measurements. However, in the mass concentration analysis in Sect. 4.1, our model simulation remains biased high by 9 10 ~50% in some oceanic areas. Thus we estimate the uncertainty in Ocean AAOD to be -11 50%/+100%.

The uncertainty related to dust contributions to AAOD is taken from Bond et al. (2013).
Uncertainty associated with BrC is estimated by assuming two extreme conditions: no BrC and maximum BrC absorption (90% of POA from biomass burning and biofuel is assumed to be BrC). These extremes result in +40% and -22% difference to scaled BC DRE.

16 Scaling DRE/DRF based on column AAOD includes the uncertainty associated with the 17 vertical profile of BC. Zarzycki and Bond (2011) developed a column-weighting scheme and 18 estimate that this uncertainty can be as high as 40%. We estimate this uncertainty by 19 conducting sensitivity tests by increasing/decreasing the BC fraction above 5km by 50%. This 20 suggests a $\pm 35\%$ uncertainty in DRE and DRF. Finally, it is unclear whether the pre-industrial DRE should be scaled when predicting DRF. In our calculations, the pre-industrial DRE is not 21 22 scaled, that is, we assume that the biases are the result of emissions. If we scale the preindustrial BC DRE, the final estimated BC DRF will be +0.19 Wm⁻², equivalent to a -10%23 24 uncertainty in DRF (but not DRE).

The total uncertainty (calculated as the root sum of squared error, assuming the uncertainties described above are independent) is estimated at -80%/+140% for both DRE and DRF. Our final estimate for the range in global mean BC DRE and DRF is 0.04 - 0.53 Wm⁻² and 0.04 - 0.50 Wm⁻².

7 Conclusions and Implications

We use the GEOS-Chem global chemical transport model integrated with online RRTMG radiation transfer model (GC-RT) to investigate the mass concentrations, optical properties and radiative effect of black carbon (BC). We separate BC by sources and update our treatment of aging, size, and absorption efficiency. We also include brown carbon (BrC) as an absorber. These modifications represent a significant advance over previous global modeling approaches.

8 Our model captures the magnitude of BC mass concentrations in near source regions. 9 However, the model overestimates BC concentrations over the remote oceans compared to 10 HIPPO observations, although the updated aging has improved the simulation of these low concentrations. Our simulated BC lifetime and mass load are lower than AeroCom models. 11 12 Both previous studies (Koch et al., 2009; Wang et al., 2014) and our own work show that BC concentrations have been overestimated in remote areas and at high altitude and that the 13 14 overestimate cannot be eliminated with simple modifications to the scavenging or aging scheme. This suggests that the BC atmospheric lifetime is lower than ~4 days. 15

16 Previously, nearly all models have substantially underestimated the absorption aerosol optical 17 depth (AAOD) (Koch et al., 2009; Bond et al., 2013 and references therein) reported at ground-based AERONET network sites. Our updated optical properties for BC and the 18 19 inclusion of brown carbon have reduced the bias by more than 50% in AAOD and almost 20 eliminated the bias in absorption angstrom exponent (AAE) in typical urban areas. In a 21 sensitivity simulation where we use an upper-limit estimate of absorption enhancement, we 22 capture the magnitude of AERONET AAOD very well in typical anthropogenic emissions 23 dominated areas (U.S. and East Asia). The "best" model biases range from -35% to -178% in 24 different regions. These biases incorporate errors in both BC mass and optical properties; it is 25 therefore not appropriate to use these to scale emissions.

After scaling our simulated AAOD to match AERONET measurements over land, we estimate that the global mean DRF of BC is 0.21 (0.04-0.50) Wm⁻². Our estimate of the DRF is smaller than almost all the recent estimates which range from 0.19 Wm⁻² to 0.9 Wm⁻² (IPCC, 2013; Myhre et al., 2013; Bond et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Chung et al., 2012). This can be attributed to three factors: (1) lower BC mass concentrations in remote oceans and at high altitude, in agreement with observations; (2) updated treatment of both aging and optical properties for BC from different sources, (3) inclusion of the absorption from BrC,

which may have been incorrectly attributed to BC absorption in some previous studies. 1 2 Although these three factors have been suggested by many studies (Cappa et al., 2012; Zhang et al., 2013; Liu et al., 2013; Wang et al., 2014; Koch et al., 2009), no study has included all 3 4 of these effects in a radiative forcing estimate for BC. Additional observations of both mass 5 and absorption efficiency for BC and BrC are needed, particularly in the Southern Hemisphere, to reduce the uncertainty on this estimate. Reducing BC emissions has been 6 7 suggested as a policy option to mitigate climate change from greenhouse gas forcing, with a 8 co-benefit of improving air quality (Ramanathan and Carmichael, 2008; Smith and Haigler, 9 2008). Our work indicates that the effectiveness of this policy may be more limited than 10 recent work suggests.

11

12 Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the EPA-STAR program. Although the research described in this article has been funded in part by the US EPA through grant/cooperative agreement (RD-83503301), it has not been subjected to the Agency's required peer and policy review and therefore does not necessarily reflect the views of the Agency and no official endorsement should be inferred. We thank Cameron McNaughton and Yutaka Kondo for providing measurements of BC mass concentrations from the ARCTAS campaign.

1 References

- Adachi, K., S. H. Chung, and P. R. Buseck: Shapes of soot aerosol particles and implications
 for their effects on climate, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D15206, doi:10.1029/2009JD012868,
 2010.
- 5 Akagi, S. K. et al.: Evolution of trace gases and particles emitted by a chaparral fire in
 6 California, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12:1397–1421, 2012.
- 7 Anenberg, S. C., Schwartz, J., Shindell, D., Amann, M., Faluvegi, G., Klimont, Z., Janssens-
- 8 Maenhout, G., Pozzoli, L., Dingenen, R. V., Vignati, E., Emberson, L., Muller, N. Z., West, J.
- 9 J., Williams, M., Demkine, V., Hicks, W. K., Kuylenstierna, J., Raes, F. and Ramanathan V.:
- 10 Global air quality and health co-benefits of mitigating near-term climate change through
- 11 methane and black carbon emission controls, Environ. Health Perspect., 120, 831–839, 2012.
- 12 Arola, A. et al.: Inferring absorbing organic carbon content from AERONET data, Atmos.
- 13 Chem. Phys., 11:215–225, 2011.
- 14 Bahadur, R., Praveen, P. S., Xu, Y. and Ramanathan, V.: Solar absorption by elemental and
- brown carbon determined from spectral observations, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109, 43,
 17366-17371, doi:10.1073/pnas.1205910109, 2012.
- 17 Bey, I., D. J. Jacob, R. M. Yantosca, J. A. Logan, B. Field, A. M. Fiore, Q. Li, H. Liu, L. J.
- 18 Mickley, and M. Schultz: Global modeling of tropospheric chemistry with assimilated
- 19 meteorology: Model description and evaluation, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 23,073–23,095, 2001.
- 20 Bond, T. C. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Light absorption by carbonaceous particles: an 21 investigative review, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 40, 27–67, doi:10.1080/02786820500421521, 2006.
- Bond, T. C., Bhardwaj, E., Dong, R., Jogani, R., Jung, S. K., Roden, C., Streets, D. G., and
 Trautmann, N. M.: Historical emissions of black and organic carbon aerosol from energyrelated combustion, 1850–2000, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 21(2), GB2018,
 doi:10.1029/2006GB002840, 2007.
- Bond, T. C., Doherty, S., Fahey, D., Forster, P., Berntsen, T., DeAngelo, B., Flanner, M.,
 Ghan, S., Kärcher, B., and Koch, D.: Bounding the role of black carbon in the climate system:
 A scientific assessment, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 5380–5552, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50171,
 2013.

- 1 Bueno, P. A., Havey, D. K., Mulholland, G. W., Hodges, J. T., Gillis, K. A., Dickerson R.
- 2 and Zachariah, M. R.: Photoacoustic Measurements of Amplification of the Absorption Cross
- 3 Section for Coated Soot Aerosols, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 45, 10, 1217–1230, 2011.
- 4 Cappa, C. D., Onasch, T. B., Massoli, P., Worsnop, D. R., Bates, T. S., Cross, E. S.,
- 5 Davidovits, P., Hakala, J., Hayden, K. L., Jobson, B. T., Kolesar, K. R., Lack, D. A., Lerner,
- 6 B. M., Li, S.-M., Mellon, D., Nuaaman, I., Olfert, J. S., Petäjä, T., Quinn, P. K., Song, C.,
- 7 Subramanian, R., Williams, E. J., and Zaveri, R. A.: Radiative Absorption Enhancements Due
- 8 to the Mixing State of Atmospheric Black Carbon, Science, 337, 1078–1081,
- 9 doi:10.1126/science.1223447, 2012.
- Chakrabarty, R. K. et al.: Brown carbon in tar balls from smoldering biomass combustion,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10:6363–6370, 2010.
- 12 Chen, Y. and Bond, T. C.: Light absorption by organic carbon from wood combustion,
 13 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10:1773–1787, 2010.
- Chin, M., P. Ginoux, S. Kinne, O. Torres, B. Holben, B. N. Duncan, R. V. Martin, J. A.
 Logan, A. Higurashi, and T. Nakajima: Tropospheric aerosol optical thickness from the
 GOCART model and comparisons with satellite and sunphotometer measurements, J. Atmos.
 Sci., 59, 461–483, 2002.
- 18 Cooke, W. F., C. Liousse, H. Cachier, and J. Feichter: Construction of a 1x1 fossil fuel 19 emission data set for carbonaceous aerosol and implementation and radiative impact in the 20 ECHAM-4 model, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 22,137–22,162, 1999.
- Chung, C. E., Ramanathan, V., and Decremer, D.: Observationally constrained estimates of
 carbonaceous aerosol radiative forcing, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 109(29): 11624-11629,
 doi:10.1073/pnas.1203707109, 2012.
- Chung, S. H. and Seinfeld, J. H.: Global distribution and climate forcing of carbonaceous
 aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4407, doi:10.1029/2001JD001397, 2002.
- Clarke, A. D., Shinozuka, Y., Kapustin, V. N., Howell, S., Huebert, B., Doherty, S.,
 Anderson, T., Covert, D., Anderson, J., Hua, X., Moore II, K. G., McNaughton, R.,
 Carmichael, G. and Weber, R.: Size distributions and mixtures of dust and black carbon
 aerosol in Asian outflow: physiochemistry and optical properties, J. Geophys. Res., 109,
 D15S09, doi:10.1029/2003JD004378, 2004.

- 1 Cross, E. S., Onasch, T. B., Ahern, A., Wrobel, W., Slowik, J. G., Olfert, J., Lack, D. A.,
- 2 Massoli, P., Cappa, C. D., Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Fahey, D. W., Sedlacek, A.,
- 3 Trimborn, A., Jayne, J. T., Freedman, A., Williams, L. R., Ng, N. L., Mazzoleni, C., Dubey,
- 4 M., Brem, B., Kok, G., Subramanian, R., Freitag, S., Clarke, A., Thornhill, D., Marr, L. C.,
- 5 Kolb, C. E., Worsnop, D. R., and Davidovits, P.: Soot Particle Studies Instrument Inter-
- 6 Comparison Project Overview, Aerosol Sci. Tech., 44, 8, 592–611, 2010.
- Dachs, J., and S. J. Eisenreich: Adsorption onto aerosol soot carbon dominates gas-particle
 partitioning of polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, Environ. Sci. Technol., 34(17), 3690–3697,
 doi:10.1021/es991201+, 2000.
- 10 Dentener, F., et al.: Emissions of primary aerosol and precursor gases in the years 2000 and
- 11 1750: Prescribed data-sets for AeroCom, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4321-4344,
- 12 doi:10.5194/acp-6-4321-2006, 2006.
- 13 Desyaterik, Y., Y. Sun, X. Shen, T. Lee, X. Wang, T. Wang, and J. L. Collett Jr.: Speciation
- 14 of "brown" carbon in cloud water impacted by agricultural biomass burning in eastern China,
- 15 J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 7389–7399, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50561, 2013.
- Diehl, T. et al.: Anthropogenic, biomass burning, and volcanic emissions of black carbon,
 organic carbon, and SO2 from 1980 to 2010 for hindcast model experiments, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. Discuss., 12:24895-24954, 2012.
- 19 Drury, E. E., Jacob, D. J., Spurr, R. J. D., Wang, J., Shinozuka, Y., Anderson, B. E., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J., McNaughton, C., andWeber, R. J.: Synthesis of satellite (MODIS), aircraft 20 21 (ICARTT), and surface (IMPROVE, EPA-AQS, AERONET) aerosol observations over 22 eastern North America to improve MODIS aerosol retrievals and constrain aerosol 23 concentrations J. and sources, Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 115, D14204, 24 doi:10.1029/2009JD012629, 2010.
- Dubovik, O., and M. D. King: A flexible inversion algorithm for retrieval of aerosol optical
 properties from Sun and sky radiance measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 105(D16), 20673–
 20696, 2000.
- 28 Dubovik, O., B. Holben, T. F. Eck, A. Smirnov, Y. J. Kaufman, M. D. King, D. Tanre, and I.
- 29 Slutsker: Variability of absorption and optical properties of key aerosol types observed in
- 30 worldwide locations, J. Atmos. Sci., 59(3), 590–608, 2002.

- Fairlie, T. D., Jacob, D. J., and Park, R. J.: The impact of transpacific transport of mineral
 dust in the United States, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1251–1266, 2007.
- 3 Feng, J.: A 3-mode parameterization of below-cloud scavenging of aerosols for use in 625

4 atmospheric dispersion models, Atmos. Environ., 41, 6808–6822, 626, 5 doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.04.046, 2007.

- Feng, Y., et al.: Brown Carbon: a Significant Atmospheric Absorber of Solar Radiation?,
 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 8607–8621, 2013.
- 8 Flanner, M. G., C. S. Zender, J. T. Randerson and P. J. Rasch: Present-day climate forcing
 9 and response from black carbon in snow, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D11202,
 10 doi:10.1029/2006JD008003, 2007.
- 11 Friedman, B., H. Herich, L. Kammermann, D. S. Gross, A. Arneth, T. Holst, and D. J. Cziczo:
- 12 Subarctic atmospheric aerosol composition: 1. Ambient aerosol characterization, J. Geophys.
- 13 Res., 114, D13203, doi:10.1029/2009JD011772, 2009.
- 14 Friedman, B., G. Kulkarni, J. Beranek, A. Zelenyuk, J. A. Thornton, and D. J. Cziczo: Ice
- nucleation and droplet formation by bare and coated soot particles, J. Geophys. Res., 116,
 D17203, doi:10.1029/2011JD015999, 2011.
- Fuller, K. A., W. C. Malm, and S. M. Kreidenweis: Effects of mixing on extinction by
 carbonaceous particles, J. Geophys. Res., 104(D13), 15941–15954,
 doi:10.1029/1998JD100069, 1999.
- 20 Hecobian, A. et al. (2010), Water-Soluble Organic Aerosol material and the light-absorption
- characteristics of aqueous extracts measured over the Southeastern United States, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 10:5965–5977.
- 23 Heald, C. L., Ridley, D. A., Kroll, J. H., Barrett, S. R. H., Cady-Pereira, K. E., Alvarado, M.
- J., and Holmes, C. D.: Contrasting the direct radiative effect and direct radiative forcing ofaerosols,
- 26 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5513–5527, doi:10.5194/acp-14-5513-2014, 2014.
- Henze, D. K. and Seinfeld, J. H. (2006), Global secondary organic aerosol from isoprene
 oxidation, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L09812, doi:10.1029/2006GL025976.
- 29 Henze, D. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Ng, N. L., Kroll, J. H., Fu, T.-M., Jacob, D. J., and Heald, C. L.:
- 30 Global modeling of secondary organic aerosol formation from aromatic hydrocarbons: high

- vs. low-yield pathways, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2405–2420, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2405-2008,
 2008.
- Holben, B. N., Tanre, D., Smirnov, A., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Abuhassan, N., Newcomb, W.
 W., Schafer, J. S., Chatenet, B., Lavenu, F., Kaufman, Y. J., Castle, J. V., Setzer, A.,
 Markham, B., Clark, D., Frouin, R., Halthore, R., Karneli, A., O'Neill, N. T., Pietras, C.,
 Pinker, R. T., Voss, K., and Zibordi, G.: An emerging ground-based aerosol climatology:
 aerosol optical depth from AERONET, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12067–12097,
 doi:10.1029/2001JD900014, 2001.
- 9 Iacono, M. J., Delamere, J. S., Mlawer, E. J., Shephard, M.W., Clough, S. A., and Collins, W.
- 10 D.: Radiative forcing by long-lived greenhouse gases: calculations with the AER radiative
- 11 transfer models, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 113, D13103, doi:10.1029/2008jd009944, 2008.
- 12 IPCC: Climate Change 2013: The Physical Science Basis: Summary for Policymakers,13 Cambridge, UK, 2013.
- 14 Jacob, D. J., et al.: The Arctic Research of the Composition of the Troposphere from Aircraft
- and Satellites (ARCTAS) mission: Design, execution, and first results, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
 10(11), 5191–5212, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5191-2010, 2010.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: A physically-based treatment of elemental carbon optics: Implications for
 global direct forcing of aerosols, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27(2), 217–220,
 doi:10.1029/1999GL010968, 2000.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Strong radiative heating due to the mixing state of black carbon in
 atmospheric aerosols, Nature, 409(6821), 695–697, 2001.
- Jacobson, M. Z.: Investigating cloud absorption effects: Global absorption properties of black
 carbon, tar balls, and soil dust in clouds and aerosols, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D06205,
 doi:10.1029/2011JD017218, 2012.
- Jaeglé, L., Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Alexander, B., and Lin, J.-T.: Global distribution of sea
 salt aerosols: new constraints from in situ and remote sensing observations, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys., 11, 3137–3157, doi:10.5194/acp-11-3137-2011, 2011.
- 28 Jaoui, M., E. O. Edney, T. E. Kleindienst, M. Lewandowski, J. H. Offenberg, J. D. Surratt, 29 and J. H. Seinfeld: Formation of secondary organic aerosol from irradiated α -

- pinene/toluene/NOx mixtures and the effect of isoprene and sulfur dioxide, J. Geophys. Res.,
 113, D09303, doi:10.1029/2007JD009426, 2008.
- Kahnert, M.: On the discrepancy between modeled and measured mass absorption cross
 sections of light absorbing carbon aerosols, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 44(6), 453–460,
 doi:10.1080/02786821003733834, 2010.
- Khalizov, A. F., R. Zhang, D. Zhang, H. Xue, J. Pagels, and P. H. McMurry: Formation of
 highly hygroscopic soot aerosols upon internal mixing with sulfuric acid vapor, J. Geophys.
 Res., 114, D05208, doi:10.1029/2008JD010595, 2009.
- 9 Kirchstetter, T. W., T. Novakov, and P. V. Hobbs: Evidence that the spectral dependence of
 10 light absorption by aerosols is affected by organic carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D21208,
 11 doi:10.1029/2004JD004999, 2004.
- Knox, A., G. J. Evans, J. R. Brook, X. Yao, C. H. Jeong, K. J. Godri, K. Sabaliauskas, and J.
 G. Slowik: Mass absorption cross-section of ambient black carbon aerosol in relation to
 chemical age, Aerosol Sci. Technol., 43(6), 522–532, doi:10.1080/02786820902777207,
 2009.
- Koch, D.: Transport and direct radiative forcing of carbonaceous and sulfate aerosols in the
 GISS GCM, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D17), 20,311–20,332, doi:10.1029/2001JD900038, 2001.
- 18 Koch, D., et al.: Evaluation of black carbon estimations in global aerosol models, Atmos.
 19 Chem. Phys., 9(22), 9001–9026, doi:10.5194/acp-9-9001-2009, 2009.
- Kopke, P., Hess, M., Schult, I., and Shettle, E. P.: Global Aerosol Data Set, Max Planck Inst.
 fur Meteorol., Hamburg, Germany, 1997.
- Kondo, Y., N. Oshima, M. Kajino, R. Mikami, N. Moteki, N. Takegawa, R. L. Verma, Y.
 Kajii, S. Kato, and A. Takami: Emissions of black carbon in East Asia estimated from
 observations at a remote site in the East China Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D16201,
 doi:10.1029/2011JD015637, 2011a.
- 26 Kondo, Y., Matsui, H., Moteki, N., Sahu, L., Takegawa, N., Kajino, M., Zhao, Y., Cubison,
- 27 M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Vay, S., Diskin, G. S., Anderson, B., Wisthaler, A., Mikoviny, T.,
- 28 Fuelberg, H. E., Blake, D. R., Huey, G., Weinheimer, A. J., Knapp, D. J., and Brune, W. H.:
- 29 Emissions of black carbon, organic, and inorganic aerosols from biomass burning in North

- America and Asia in 2008, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D08204, doi:10.1029/2010JD015152,
 2011b.
- Lacis, A. A. and Oinas, V.: A description of the correlated kappa-distribution method for
 modeling non-gray gaseous absorption, thermal emission, and multiple-scattering in vertically
 inhomogeneous atmosphere J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 96, 9027–9063,
 doi:10.1029/90jd01945, 1991.
- 7 Lack, D. A., Langridge, J. M., Bahreini, R., Cappa, C. D., Middlebrook, A. M., and Schwarz,
- 8 J. P.: Brown carbon and internal mixing in biomass burning particles, P. Natl. Acad. Sci.
- 9 USA, 109, 14802-14807, doi:10.1073/pnas.1206575109, 2012.
- 10 Lambe, A. T., Cappa, C. D., Massoli, P., Onasch, T. B., Forestieri, S. D., Martin, A. T.,

11 Cummings, M. J., Croasdale, D. R., Brune, W. H., Worsnop, D. R., and Davidovits, P.:

12 Relationship between oxidation level and optical properties of secondary organic aerosol,

- 13 Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 6349–6357, 2013.
- 14 Leibensperger, E. M., L. J. Mickley, D. J. Jacob, W. T. Chen, J. H. Seinfeld, A. Nenes, P. J.
- 15 Adams, D. G. Streets, N. Kumar, and D. Rind: Climatic effects of 1950–2050 changes in US
- 16 anthropogenic aerosols Part 1: Aerosol trends and radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 17 12(7), 3333–3348, doi:10.5194/acp-12-3333-2012, 2012.
- Lewis, K. A., et al.: Reduction in biomass burning aerosol light absorption upon
 humidification: roles of inorganically-induced hygroscopicity, particle collapse, and
 photoacoustic heat and mass transfer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9(22), 8949–8966,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-8949-2009, 2009.
- Li, Z., Zhao, X., Kahn, R., Mishchenko, M., Remer, L., Lee, K. H., Wang, M., Laszlo, I.,
 Nakajima, T., and Maring, H.: Uncertainties in satellite remote sensing of aerosols and impact
 on monitoring its long-term trend: a review and perspective, Ann. Geophys., 28, 2755–2770,
 2009.
- 26 Lin, G., Penner, J. E., Flanner, M. G., Sillman, S., Xu, L., and Zhou, C.: Radiative forcing of
- 27 organic aerosol in the atmosphere and on snow: effects of SOA and brown carbon, J.
- 28 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, doi:10.1002/2013JD021186, 2014.
- 29 Liousse, C. et al.: Updated African biomass burning emission inventories in the framework of
- 30 the AMMA-IDAF program, with an evaluation of combustion aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 31 10, 9631–9646, 2010.

- Liu, J., Bergin, M., Guo, H., King, L., Kotra, N., Edgerton, E., and Weber, R. J.: Size resolved measurements of brown carbon in water and methanol extracts and estimates of their
 contribution to ambient fine-particle light absorption, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 12389–12404,
 doi:10.5194/acp-13-12389-2013, 2013.
- Liu, H. Y., D. J. Jacob, I. Bey, and R. M. Yantosca: Constraints from Pb-210 and Be-7 on wet
 deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by
 assimilated meteorological fields, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 106, 12109–12128, 2001.
- 8 Liu, J., S. Fan, L. W. Horowitz, and H. Levy II: Evaluation of factors controlling long-range
 9 transport of black carbon to the Arctic, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D04307,
 10 doi:10.1029/2010JD015145, 2011.
- Liu, J. et al.: Size-resolved measurements of brown carbon and estimates of their contribution
 to ambient fine particle light absorption based on water and methanol extracts, Atmos. Chem.
 Phys. Discuss., 13, 18233–18276, 2013.
- Lukács, H., et al.: Seasonal trends and possible sources of brown carbon based on 2-year
 aerosol measurements at six sites in Europe, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D23S18,
 doi:10.1029/2006JD008151, 2007.
- Martin, R.V., D.J. Jacob, R.M. Yantosca, M. Chin, and P. Ginoux: Global and regional
 decreases in tropospheric oxidants from photochemical effects of aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.,
 108, 4097, doi:10.1029/2002JD002622, 2003.
- McMeeking, G. R. et al.: Black carbon measurements in the boundary layer over western and northern Europe, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 9393–9414, 2010.
- Mishchenko, M.I., J.M. Dlugach, E.G. Yanovitskij, and N.T. Zakharova: Bidirectional
 reflectance of flat optically thick particulate layers: an efficient radiative transfer solution and
 applications to snow and soil surfaces, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transfer, 63, 409-432,
 1999.
- Moffet, R. C. and Prather, K. A.: In-situ measurements of the mixing state and optical
 properties of soot with implications for radiative forcing estimates, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA,
 106, 11872-11877, doi:10.1073/pnas.0900040106, 2009.
- Moteki, N., Y. Kondo, Y. Miyazaki, N. Takegawa, Y. Komazaki, G. Kurata, T. Shirai, D. R.
 Blake, T. Miyakawa, and M. Koike: Evolution of mixing state of black carbon particles:

- Aircraft measurements over the western Pacific in March 2004, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34,
 L11803, doi:10.1029/2006GL028943, 2007.
- Myhre, G. et al.: Radiative forcing of the direct aerosol effect from AeroCom Phase II
 simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13:1853–1877, 2013.
- 5 Nakayama, T. et al.: Wavelength and NOx dependent complex refractive index of SOAs
 6 generated from the photooxidation of toluene, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 531–545, 2013.
- Park, R. J., D. J. Jacob, M. Chin, and R. V. Martin: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols over the
 United States and implications for natural visibility, J. Geophys. Res., 108(D12), 4355,
 doi:10.1029/2002JD003190, 2003.
- Park, R. J., Kim, M. J., Jeong, J. I., Youn, D., and Kim, S.: A contribution of brown carbon
 aerosol to the aerosol light absorption and its radiative forcing in East Asia, Atmos. Environ.,
- 12 44, 1414–1421, 2010.
- Ramanathan, V., and G. Carmichael: Global and regional climate changes due to black
 carbon, Nat. Geosci., 1(4), 221–227, doi:10.1038/ngeo156, 2008.
- Rastigejev, Y., R. Park, M. P. Brenner, and D. J. Jacob: Resolving intercontinental pollution
 plumes in global models of atmospheric transport, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D02302,
 doi:10.1029/2009JD012568, 2010.
- Ridley, D. A., Heald, C. L., and Ford, B. J.: North African dust export and impacts: an
 integrated satellite and model perspective, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D02202,
 doi:10.1029/2011JD016794, 2012.
- Russell, P. B. et al.: Absorption Angstrom Exponent in AERONET and related data as an
 indicator of aerosol composition, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 1155-1169, 2010.
- Saleh, R. et al.: Absorptivity of brown carbon in fresh and photo-chemically aged biomassburning emissions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 7683–7693, 2013.
- Samset, B. H., and G. Myhre: Vertical dependence of black carbon, sulphate and biomass
 burning aerosol radiative forcing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L24802,
 doi:10.1029/2011GL049697, 2011.
- 28 Seinfeld, J. H., and S. N. Pandis: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, From Air Pollution to
- 29 Climate Change, 2nd ed., John Wiley, Hoboken, N. J, 2006.

- Schnaiter, M., Linke, C., Möhler, O., Naumann, K.-H., Saathoff, H., Wagner, R., Schurath,
 U., and Wehner, B.: Absorption amplification of black carbon internally mixed with
 secondary organic aerosol, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D19204, doi:10.1029/2005JD006046,
 2005.
- Schulz, M., et al.: Radiative forcing by aerosols as derived from the AeroCom present-day
 and pre-industrial simulations, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 5225–5246, doi:10.5194/acp-6-52252006, 2006.
- 8 Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Fahey, D. W., Gao, R. S., Lohmann, U., Stier, P., Watts, L.
- 9 A., Thomson, D. S., Lack, D. A., Pfister, L., Mahoney, M. J., Baumgardner, D., Wilson, J. C.,
- 10 and Reeves, J. M.: Coatings and their enhancement of black carbon light absorption in the
- 11 tropical atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D03203, doi:10.1029/2007JD009042, 2008a.
- 12 Schwarz, J. P., Gao, R. S., Spackman, J. R., Watts, L. A., Thomson, D. S., Fahey, D. W.,
- 13 Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Holloway, J. S., Trainer, M., Frost, G. J., Baynard, T., Lack, D. A.,
- 14 de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., and Del Negro, L. A.: Measurement of the mixing state, mass,
- 15 and optical size of individual black carbon particles in urban and biomass burning emissions,
- 16 Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L13810, doi:10.1029/2008GL033968, 2008b.
- 17 Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Gao, R. S., Watts, L. A., Stier, P., Schulz, M., Davis, S. M., 18 Wofsy, S. C., and Fahey, D. W.: Global-scale black carbon profiles observed in the remote 19 atmosphere and compared models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L18812, to doi:10.1029/2010GL044372, 2010. 20
- 21 Schwarz, J. P., B. H. Samset, A. E. Perring, J. R. Spackman, R. S. Gao, P. Stier, M. Schulz, F. 22 L. Moore, E. A. Ray, and D. W. Fahey: Global-scale seasonally resolved black carbon vertical 23 profiles the Pacific, 40, 5542-5547, over Geophys. Res. Lett., 24 doi:10.1002/2013GL057775, 2013.
- Shamjad, P. M., Tripathi, S. N., Aggarwal, S. G., Mishra, S. K., Joshi, M., Khan, A., Sapra,
 B. K., and Ram, K.: Comparison of experimental and modeled absorption enhancement by
 black carbon (BC) cored poly disperse aerosols under hygroscopic conditions, Environ. Sci.
- 28 Technol., 46, 8082–8089, 2012.
- 29 Shiraiwa, M., Y. Kondo, T. Iwamoto & K. Kita: Amplification of Light Absorption of Black
- 30 Carbon by Organic Coating, Aerosol Science and Technology, 44:1, 46-54, 2010.

- Smith, K. R. and E. Haigler: Co-Benefits of Climate Mitigation and Health Protection in
 Energy Systems: Scoping Methods. Annu. Rev. Public Health 29:11–25, 2008.
- Song, C., M. Gyawali, R. A. Zaveri, J. E. Shilling, and W. P. Arnott: Light absorption by
 secondary organic aerosol from α-pinene: Effects of oxidants, seed aerosol acidity, and
 relative humidity, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 11,741–11,749, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50767,
 2013.
- Stier, P., J. H. Seinfeld, S. Kinne, J. Feichter, and O. Boucher: Impact of nonabsorbing
 anthropogenic aerosols on clear-sky atmospheric absorption, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D18201,
 doi:10.1029/2006JD007147, 2006.
- Updyke, K. M., Nguyen, T. B., and Nizkorodov, S. A.: Formation of brown carbon via
 reactions of ammonia with secondary organic aerosols from biogenic and anthropogenic
 precursors, Atmos. Environ., 63, 22–31, 2012.
- 13 van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S.,
- 14 Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the
- 15 contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), Atmos.
- 16 Chem. Phys., 10, 11707–11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010.
- 17 Vignati, E. et al.: Sources of uncertainties in modelling black carbon at the global scale,
 18 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2595-2611, 2010.
- Wang, Q., et al.: Sources of carbonaceous aerosols and deposited black carbon in the Arctic in
 winter-spring: Implications for radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12453–12473,
 2011.
- Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Spackman, J. R., Perring, A. E., Schwarz, J. P., Moteki, N., Marais, E.
 A., Ge, C., Wang, J., and Barrett, S. R. H.: Global budget and radiative forcing of black
 carbon aerosol: constraints from pole-to-pole (HIPPO) observations across the Pacific, J.
 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 119, 195-206, doi:10.1002/2013JD020824, 2014.
- Wang, X., Wang, Y., Hao, J., Kondo, Y., Irwin, M., Munger, J. W., and Zhao, Y.: Top-down
 estimate of China's black carbon emissions using surface observations: sensitivity to
 observation representativeness and transport model error, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118,
 5781–5795, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50397, 2013.

- Wiedinmyer, C. et al.: The Fire INventory from NCAR (FINN): a high resolution global
 model to estimate the emissions from open burning, Geosci. Model Dev., 4, 625–641, 2011.
- 3 Yang, M. et al.: Attribution of aerosol light absorption to black carbon, brown carbon, and
- 4 dust in China interpretations of atmospheric measurements during EAST-AIRE, Atmos.
- 5 Chem. Phys., 9, 2035–2050, 2009.
- Zarzycki, C. M., and T. C. Bond: How much can the vertical distribution of black carbon
 affect its global direct radiative forcing?, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L20807,
 doi:10.1029/2010GL044555, 2010.
- 9 Zhang, L. M., Gong, S. L., Padro, J., and Barrie, L.: A size-segregated particle dry deposition
 10 scheme for an atmospheric aerosol module, Atmos. Environ., 35, 549–560, 2001.
- 11 Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A., Klimont, Z.,
- 12 Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y., Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.:
- 13 Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131-
- 14 5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009, 2009.
- Zhang, R., Khalizov, A. F., Pagels, J., Zhang, D., Xue, H., and McMurry, P. H.: Variability in
 morphology, hygroscopicity, and optical properties of soot aerosols during atmospheric
 processing, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 105, 10291-10296, doi:10.1073/pnas.0804860105, 2008.
- 18 Zhang, X. L., Lin, Y. H., Surratt, J. D., and Weber, R. J.: Sources, Composition and
- 19 Absorption Ångström Exponent of Light absorbing Organic Components in Aerosol Extracts
- 20 from the Los Angeles Basin, Environ. Sci. Technol., 47, 3685–3693, 2013.
- 21 Zhang, X. Y., Y. Q. Wang, X. C. Zhang, W. Guo, S. L. Gong, P. Zhao, and J. L. Jin:
- 22 Carbonaceous aerosol composition over various regions of China during 2006, J. Geophys.
- 23 Res., 113, D14111, doi:10.1029/2007JD009525, 2008.

1 Table 1. Summary of Parameterizations for carbonaceous aerosols in the "baseline" and

2 "best" configurations in GC-RT.

	Baseline	Best				
Initial hydrophilic	BC: 20% in fossil/biofuel, 70% in biomass burning					
fraction	POA: 50% in fossil/biofuel, 70% in biomass burning					
BC aging	fixed aging rate, e-folding	fossil-BC: aging rate k = a [SO ₂] [OH] + b				
DC aging	time = 1.15 days	biofuel/biomass-BC: fixed aging rate, e-folding time = 4 hou				
BC Refractive Index	1.95 – 0.79i at 550nm, with wavelength dependence in GADS					
BC density	1.8 g/cm ³					
BC size (log-normal	$CMD^{3} = 40nm \delta = 1.6$	fossil-BC: GMD = 60nm, δ = 1.6				
distribution)	GMD = 4000, 0 = 1.0	biofuel/biomass-BC: GMD = 140nm, δ = 1.4				
BC mixing	externally mixed	absorption enhancement from coating of BC: 1.1 for fossil-BC;				
De mixing		1.5 for biofuel/biomass-BC (hydrophilic BC only)				
Br-POA	not included	50% of biofuel/biomass burning emitted POA				
Br-SOA	not included	aromatic SOA				
3 $a GMD = ge$	cometric median diameter					

- 1 Table 2. Global average of mass and optical related properties of BC in GC-RT (for year
- 2 2010) and other studies. Note that pre-industrial emissions in our study and AeroCom models
- 3 are the same (Denenter et al., 2006).

Reference	emission (Tg/yr)	lifetime (day)	load (mg/m²)	load above 5km (%)	550nm AAOD x 1000	TOA DRE (Wm ⁻²)	TOA DRF (Wm ⁻²)	AFE ^b (Wm ⁻ ²)	NDRE ^c (W/g)	scaled 550nm AAOD x 1000	scaled TOA DRE (Wm ⁻²)	scaled TOA DRF (Wm ⁻²)
baseline GC- RT in this study	6.9 (4.9) ^a	4.9	0.18 (0.11)	17	1.12 (0.70)	0.11 (0.07)	0.10 (0.07)	98	610			
'best" GC-RT in this study	6.9 (4.9)	4.4	0.16 (0.10)	12	1.40 (0.85)	0.14 (0.08)	0.13 (0.08)	100	880	2.44 (1.50)	0.22 (0.13)	0.21 (0.13)
Bond et al., 2013 ^d					2.12 (1.72)			171		6.05 (4.89 ^e)	0.88	0.71 (0.51)
Schulz et al., 2006 ^f	(6.3)	(7.3)	(0.25)	(21)	(1.90)	(0.29)	(0.25)	(153)	(1160)			
Myhre et al., 2013 ^g			(0.14)			(0.20)	(0.18)		(1438)			
Wang et al., 2014 ^h	6.5	4.2	0.15	9	1.70		0.19	114 ⁱ		2.60		0.31

4 ^aNumbers in all bracket are anthropogenic(industrial) only for each value

 $5 \quad {}^{b} AFE = Absorption forcing efficiency, which is the direct radiative effect (DRE) per unit aerosol absorption$ 6 optical depth (AAOD) at 550nm

- ^c NDRE: Direct radiative effect normalized to BC column load, = DRF / load
- ^d The AAOD and scaled AAOD are based on the mean AOD value in AeroCom phase I experiment A results from Kinne et al,(2006) with a uniform SSA=0.36 assumption. The scaled DRE and DRF is the mean of 20
- 10 model results from previous studies when scaling the modeled AAOD to 0.006.
- ^e This number is taken from IPCC (2013).
- ^f Mean values of AeroCom phase I experiment B. The DRE and NDRE are not given initially and calculated
 based on other values.
- ^g Mean values of AeroCom phase II. The DRE is not given initially and calculated based on other values.
- ¹⁵ ^h Based on GEOS-Chem simulated BC mass and RTM simulated AAOD/DRF. Note that this study does not describe the pre-industrial condition used in their simulations.
- ¹⁷ ⁱ Specified here as DRF/AAOD; the true AFE number should be higher than 114.
- 18
- 19

T I	Uncertainty					
Uncertainty source	DRE	DRF				
AERONET AAOD retrieval	+100%	+100%				
AERONET clear-sky sampling	+/-4%	+/-4%				
	-40%/+65%	-40%/+65%				
AAOD scaling	(±30% in land, -50%/+100% in	(±30% in land, -50%/+100% in				
	Ocean)	Ocean)				
Dust impact	+/-65%	+/-65%				
BrC impact	-20%/+40%.	-20%/+40%.				
Vertical distribution	±35%	±35%				
pre-industrial scaling		-10%				
Total uncertainty	-80%/+140%	-80%/+140%				
Estimated range	$0.04 - 0.53 \ Wm^{-2}$	$0.04 - 0.50 \ \mathrm{Wm^{-2}}$				



Figure 1. Summary of measured values for the imaginary part of the refractive index, i, for (a)
Br-POA and (b) Br-SOA. Estimates from field studies are shown as circles; estimates from
laboratory studies are shown as triangles. The black curves are used in our model. See Sect.
2.4 for detailed calculations.





Figure 2. The mean vertical profile of BC mass concentrations (shown in 1 km bins) from the
5 HIPPO campaign in 2009-2011, shown by region and different campaign (year and season).
Observations (black) are compared with the "baseline" (red) and the "best" (green) GC-RT
simulation. Error bars show the standard deviation of measurements averaged in each vertical
bin. The last column shows the flight route for each campaign.



Figure 3. Frequency distribution of observed (black) and "baseline" (red) and "best" (green)
simulated black carbon concentrations during all five HIPPO campaigns from 2009-2011,
shown by region.

- C



Figure 4. Point to point comparison of observed and simulated BC mass concentrations from
the HIPPO aircraft campaign for (a) the "baseline" simulation and (b) the "best" simulation.
The color bar shows the altitude of the aircraft measurements. The 1-to-1 line is shown as a
dotted black line, the best-fit line is shown as a black solid line. NMB: normalized mean bias
between modeled and observed data.





Figure 5. The observed (black) and "baseline" (red) and "best" (green) simulated black carbon median vertical profile during (a) ARCTAS DC8, April 2008; (b) ARCTAS DC8, Jun-July, 2008; (c) ARCTAS P3B, April 2008; (d) ARCTAS P3B, Jun-July 2008 and (e) EUCAARI, April & September 2008. The related flight tracks are shown on the bottom right corner. The error bars show the standard deviations of measurements averaged in each vertical bin. Note the different scale among panels.



Figure 6. Annual mean surface BC concentrations in China (left), the U.S. (middle) and
Europe in the "best" model in 2010 and observations (overlaid with circles). Observations in
China are from 2006 except for the sites near Beijing and Shanghai. All the other observations
are from 2010.





Figure 7. The annual mean simulated AAOD at 440nm (upper) and 670nm (lower) from the
"best" simulation. The circles indicate the 12-year average observed AAOD at AERONET
sites. The red boxes in the upper figure show the analysis regions discussed in Sect. 5 and
shown in Figure 8.



1

2 Figure 8. The regional mean wavelength-dependent AAOD at AERONET sites in (a) U.S., 3 (b) East Asia, (c) Europe, (d) Amazon and (e) South Africa (regions defined by red boxes in 4 Fig. 7). Averages are annual for a-c and for the fire season in d-e. The available number of 5 sites averaged in each region are shown in brackets. Numbers at the upper-right corner of each panel indicate the absorption Angstrom Exponent (440nm/675nm). Observations are 6 7 shown in black, with 3 model simulations: "baseline" (red), "best" (green), "most absorbing" (blue). The contribution from brown carbon is shown in brown. Error bars show the standard 8 9 deviation of observed annual AERONET AAOD. Green shadings show the range of "best" modeled AAOD when changing BC properties independent of BC mass and absorption 10 11 enhancement, see text for detail.



■ this study ■ Bond et al., 2013 with absorption enhancement = 1.5 ■ Bond et al., 2013

1

Figure 9. Top: Region groups defined in Sect. 5 based on Table S1 in Bond et al., 2013. EECCA = Eastern Europe, Caucasus and Central Asia. Bottom: The ratio of mean regional AERONET AAOD to "best" modeled AAOD (green, average value over selected AERONET sites in Sect. 5.2) and mean regional AERONET AAOD to mean regional AeroCom I AAOD (light blue, average value over selected AERONET sites defined in Bond et al., 2013). The bar with dark blue indicates the ratios when an absorption enhancement of 1.5 was uniformly applied.



Figure 10. The global annual mean BC TOA DRE in "best" model, scaled DRE and scaled
DRF in 2010 at both clear-sky and all-sky conditions. Numbers in red indicate the global
mean value.



Figure 11. Comparison of our GC-RT estimate of global average DRF of BC compared to
other studies. DRF is show by source type (FF = fossil fuel, BF = biofuel, BB = biomass
burning). We also show the absorption DRF from BrC.



2 Figure 12. Global distribution of the fraction of BC mass load over 5km (left) and BC

3 absorption forcing efficiency (right, AFE = DRE / AAOD at 550nm).