1 Integrating laboratory and field data to quantify the

2 immersion freezing ice nucleation activity of mineral dust

3 particles

4

Paul J. DeMott¹, Anthony J. Prenni^{1,*}, Gavin R. McMeeking², Ryan C. Sullivan³,
 Markus D. Petters⁴, Yutaka Tobo^{1,#}, Monika Niemand⁵, Ottmar Möhler⁵,
 Jefferson R. Snider⁶, Zhien Wang⁶, and Sonia M. Kreidenweis¹

- 8 [1]{ Department of Atmospheric Science, Colorado State University, Fort Collins, CO 805239 1371, USA }
- 10 [2]{ Droplet Measurement Technologies, Boulder, CO, USA }

[3]{ Center for Atmospheric Particle Studies, Carnegie Mellon University, Pittsburgh, PA,
USA }

[4]{ Department of Marine and Atmospheric Sciences, North Carolina State University,
Raleigh, NC, USA }

15 [5]{ Institute for Meteorology and Climate Research – Atmospheric Aerosol Research,

16 Karlsruhe Institute of Technology, Karlsruhe, Germany }

- 17 [6] { Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Wyoming, Laramie, WY, USA }
- 18 [*]{Now at: Air Resources Division, National Park Service, Denver, CO}
- 19 [#]{Now at: National Institute of Polar Research, Tachikawa, Tokyo 190-8518, Japan}

20 Correspondence to: P. J. DeMott (Paul.DeMott@Colostate.edu)

21

22 Abstract

Data from both laboratory studies and atmospheric measurements are used to develop an empirical parameterization for the immersion freezing activity of natural mineral dust particles. Measurements made with the Colorado State University (CSU) continuous flow diffusion chamber (CFDC) when processing mineral dust aerosols at a nominal 105% relative humidity with respect to water (RH_w) are taken as a measure of the immersion freezing 28 nucleation activity of particles. Ice active frozen fractions versus temperature for dusts 29 representative of Saharan and Asian desert sources were consistent with similar measurements in atmospheric dust plumes for a limited set of comparisons available. The 30 31 parameterization developed follows the form of one suggested previously for atmospheric 32 particles of non-specific composition in quantifying ice nucleating particle concentrations as 33 functions of temperature and the total number concentration of particles larger than 0.5 µm 34 diameter. Such an approach does not explicitly account for surface area and time dependencies for ice nucleation, but sufficiently encapsulates the activation properties for 35 potential use in regional and global modeling simulations, and possible application in 36 developing remote sensing retrievals for ice nucleating particles. A calibration factor is 37 38 introduced to account for the apparent underestimate (by approximately 3, on average) of the 39 immersion freezing fraction of mineral dust particles for CSU CFDC data processed at an 40 RH_w of 105% versus maximum fractions active at higher RH_w. Instrumental factors that affect 41 activation behaviour versus RH_w in CFDC instruments remain to be fully explored in future 42 studies. Nevertheless, the use of this correction factor is supported by comparison to ice 43 activation data obtained for the same aerosols from Aerosol Interactions and Dynamics of the 44 Atmosphere (AIDA) expansion chamber cloud parcel experiments. Further comparison of the 45 new parameterization, including calibration correction, to predictions of the immersion freezing surface active site density parameterization for mineral dust particles, developed 46 47 separately from AIDA experimental data alone, shows excellent agreement for data collected 48 in a descent through a Saharan aerosol layer. These studies support the utility of laboratory 49 measurements to obtain atmospherically-relevant data on the ice nucleation properties of dust and other particle types, and suggest the suitability of considering all mineral dust as a single 50 51 type of ice nucleating particle as a useful first order approximation in numerical modeling 52 investigations.

- 53
- 54
- 55
- 57

- 58

60 **1** Introduction

61 Ice nucleation by atmospheric aerosols impacts the microphysical composition, radiative properties and precipitation processes in clouds colder than 0°C. Since the processes 62 63 responsible for ice nucleation are not fully understood at the molecular level, and it has yet to be demonstrated that the full variety of surface property influences on ice nucleation by the 64 65 many types of aerosols present in the atmosphere can be described by phenomenological models, there is need to simplify description of ice nucleation as understood through 66 67 measurements. Even with an improved understanding of ice nucleation from a fundamental standpoint, there will remain a need for simplified schemes to describe ice nucleation in 68 69 computationally intensive numerical models and for developing links between aerosol optical 70 properties and ice nucleating particle concentration profiles using remote sensing 71 measurements (Seifert et al. 2011).

72 Complete description of ice nucleation for ice nucleating particles (INPs), INP and INPs 73 being terms we will adopt in this paper following Vali (2014), requires consideration of all relevant heterogeneous ice nucleation mechanisms. As defined by Vali (1985), these 74 75 mechanisms involve either deposition of ice from the vapour phase (deposition nucleation) or 76 freezing of a particle liquid phase in the bulk of cloud droplets (immersion freezing), during 77 action as a CCN (condensation freezing), or after collision of a particle with the surface of a 78 liquid droplet (contact freezing). The relative importance of different ice nucleation 79 mechanisms will be affected by INP mixing state, and also the cloud formation conditions and 80 the thermodynamic path followed by particles entering clouds. Thus, for example, particles 81 reaching cold cloud regions through the base of warm-based cumulus clouds may have a high 82 likelihood of being within supercooled droplets at the point of freezing, whereas those 83 entering the base of an altocumulus cloud at below -20°C may be available to act via 84 deposition and condensation or immersion freezing. We consider that immersion freezing may be the most critical mechanism for quantifying ice nucleation in moderately supercooled 85 86 clouds because most INPs reaching supercooled cloud conditions arrive having spent 87 substantial time in clouds, and potentially serving as CCN by virtue of their sizes and/or 88 compositions. Murray et al. (2012) summarize additional observational support for the 89 relative major importance of immersion freezing nucleation.

90 Data for developing simplified descriptions, or parameterizations, of INP concentrations may come exclusively from laboratory data (e.g., Niemand et al., 2012), exclusively from field 91 92 data (Tobo et al., 2013), or may combine laboratory and field data to test or constrain 93 parameterization frameworks (Phillips et al., 2008, 2013; Niemand et al., 2012). Situations 94 can occur for which a certain particle type dominates INPs during field measurements, and 95 this offers the opportunity to investigate the consistency of laboratory and field measurements 96 for the particular type of INP, and to thereby develop more representative parameterizations. 97 This study emphasizes quantifying immersion freezing nucleation using laboratory and field 98 measurements made with the Colorado State University (CSU) continuous flow diffusion 99 chamber (CFDC) ice nucleation instrument. This instrument, described in detail by Rogers 100 (1988) and Rogers et al. (2001), is designed to focus a flowing stream of particles in a narrow 101 lamina within the water vapor and temperature gradient fields created between two cylindrical 102 ice surfaces held at different temperatures in order to expose the particles to well-defined 103 temperature and relative humidity conditions that promote ice nucleation. We will herein 104 equate freezing nucleation occurring when operating the CFDC in the substantially 105 supersaturated regime with respect to liquid water to immersion freezing since processing 106 particles in this manner favors rapid formation of droplets prior to subsequent freezing (see 107 next section). Recent studies for which CFDC measurements were made together with 108 instruments or methods that mimic the immersion freezing process more explicitly support 109 this assumption (Sullivan et al., 2010a; Niedermeier et al., 2011a; Garcia et al., 2012; Wex et 110 al., 2014).

111 DeMott et al. (2010) applied similar considerations in developing a simplified 112 parameterization of INP number concentrations of all compositional types intended for global model use on the basis of field data collected in multiple campaigns using the CSU CFDC 113 114 instrument. Interpreting CFDC data in a deterministic manner (see Appendix A), DeMott et 115 al. (2010), hereafter D10, demonstrated that incorporating dependence of INP number concentrations on aerosol number concentrations larger than 0.5 µm greatly improved 116 117 predicted INP compared to including temperature dependence alone. It was acknowledged 118 that additional aerosol compositional dependencies might explain some of the variance 119 remaining in observed INP number concentrations versus values predicted by the D10 120 parameterization. This contention is supported by the fact that Tobo et al. (2013) observed 121 systematic errors of predicted (D10) versus measured INP number concentration at a single 122 forest site, which appeared to have been dominated by biological INPs. In the present study,

we seek to follow the approach of D10 and Tobo et al. (2013), but apply it exclusively to data on mineral dust INPs collected in the laboratory and in field measurements where mineral dust particles dominated in the layers where aircraft CFDC observations of INP number concentration were made. In this way, comparison can be made to a method that utilized only laboratory data from testing of varied mineral dust particles in the Aerosol Interactions and Dynamics of the Atmosphere (AIDA) chamber for development of an ice nucleation parameterization (Niemand et al., 2012).

130

131 **2 Methods**

132 All laboratory data used in this paper were collected during sampling at the AIDA chamber in 133 the ICIS-2007 (International workshop on Comparing Ice nucleation measuring Systems -2007) campaign (DeMott et al., 2011) and the ACI03 (3rd Aerosol-Cloud Interaction) 134 campaign, held in 2009. In these campaigns, multiple instruments sampled particles from a 4 135 m³ aerosol chamber, and sometimes from the larger (84 m³) AIDA chamber prior to cloud-136 137 forming expansions. Field study data are used from the Pacific Dust experiment (Stith et al., 2009) flown over the Pacific Ocean basin on the NSF/NCAR G-V aircraft in 2007, and the 138 139 2011 Ice in Clouds – Tropical clouds study (ICE-T) flown on the NSF/NCAR C-130 aircraft 140 from St. Croix, U.S. Virgin Islands (Heymsfield and Willis, 2014). The former study focused 141 on Asian dust transports in the mid- to upper troposphere, while the latter study emphasized the influence of the Saharan Aerosol Layer on ice formation in tropical cumuli. The use of 142 143 these data in this study is described in this section.

144 **2.1 Use and interpretation of CFDC data**

As done in D10, INP number concentrations active during the CFDC residence time for 145 146 periods of stable conditions of the processing temperature in degrees Kelvin (T_k) , relative 147 humidity with respect to water (RH_w), and pressure were tabulated as a function of aerosol 148 particle concentrations for particles with diameters >0.5 µm. Also as in D10, CFDC data were 149 considered as deterministic outcomes rather than reflecting time-dependent nucleation rates. 150 A brief discussion of this assumption, its possible validity and implications are given in 151 Appendix A. Data were stratified at 5°C temperature intervals, with a 1°C difference allowed 152 about the target value. Finally, a reference condition was placed on the processing RH_w 153 deemed representative of immersion freezing nucleation. To explain the reason for and choice of a reference value, it is necessary to briefly review the CFDC principles of operation
described in detail in other publications (e.g., Rogers, 1988; Rogers et al., 2001).

156 Aerosol enters the CFDC starting from ambient temperature conditions, but reduced to 157 temperatures already below 273 K during entry into the insulated CFDC inlet manifold and 158 with relative humidity lowered already by passage through diffusion dryers (DeMott et al., 159 2009). The aerosol lamina, representing typically 15% of the total flow, passes downward 160 between sheath flows in the vertically-oriented, cylindrical CFDC. In Fig. 1, calculations 161 made based on the model of Rogers (1988) and focused at the central position of the aerosol 162 lamina show how the sample rapidly cools and adjusts SS_w (= $RH_w - 100\%$) over 1 to 3 s in the upper part of the vertical chamber. The nearly steady-state central lamina temperature, 163 164 -30°C in Fig. 1, is determined by the warm (outer) and cold (inner) wall ice surface 165 temperatures. Cooling of a few tenths of a degree continues as the SS_w rises from subsaturated 166 conditions to 5% for the case shown in Fig. 1. Depending on temperature, particle phase state 167 and CCN activation properties, deposition nucleation may be possible or the particle will 168 otherwise be immersed in a cloud droplet, favoring ice activation by condensation and 169 immersion freezing, which we will assume not to distinguish here. The CSU CFDC design 170 uses evaporation of liquid water droplets followed by optical particle sizing to differentiate 171 activated INPs, grown to ice crystal sizes, from other aerosols. Evaporation is forced by the 172 setting of equal (cold) ice wall temperatures in the lower $\sim 1/3$ of the CSU CFDC (Rogers et 173 al., 2001; DeMott et al., 2010), or after about 4.7s for the conditions in Fig. 1. Ice crystals 174 survive the evaporation region, typically at sizes much larger than 3 µm (not shown), used as 175 the "cut-point" size separating ice versus liquid in this study. Unfrozen liquid water droplets 176 are reduced in size, at least until a certain steady state supersaturation is exceeded in the upper 177 growth section of the CFDC, whereupon droplets grow too large to shrink below the ice cut-178 point size in the time spent in the evaporation region. This high RH_w limit has been referred to 179 as the "droplet breakthrough" RH_w (Stetzer et al., 2008). For the typical operational flow rate 180 conditions used for the CSU CFDC, this is predicted to occur when RH_w approaches 109% if 181 a condensation coefficient of 1 is assumed for water growth and evaporation calculations 182 when starting from the submicron (dry) sizes of particles typically generated for laboratory 183 studies (Fig. 1). This will be a limitation specific to the geometry and flow rate of all 184 continuous flow ice thermal diffusion chambers unless an explicit water/ice phase 185 discrimination method is used for counting INPs.

186 Figure 2 confirms the reasonableness of the calculated upper RH_w limitation for the CSU 187 CFDC (Fig. 1), showing droplet breakthrough occurring at 108.5% RH_w while sampling 188 mineral dust particles with a size mode at about 0.2 µm and the size parameters stated in the 189 caption of Fig. 2. The calculations in Fig. 1 are made for monodisperse 0.3 micron particles. 190 Droplet breakthrough is indicated in Fig. 2 by the point at which the apparent INP active 191 fraction (= n_{INP}/n_a where n_a is total particle concentration measured with a condensation 192 particle counter) rises sharply with further RH_w increase. RH_w values for this upper limit 193 exceeding 110% have been observed in some other laboratory studies (Petters et al., 2009; 194 DeMott et al., 2011), and this likely relates to modest variations in the total length of exposure 195 to the ice-coated growth region of the CFDC due to manually controlling the water volume 196 pumped into the CFDC chamber during wall icing cycles. In practice, when sampling 197 atmospheric particle distributions, we have observed droplet breakthrough to occur at between 198 106.5 to 108.5% RH_w for the CSU CFDC. This is in part due to sampling particles with sizes 199 up to the 50% cut-point limit of 1.5 to 2.4 micron inlet impactors used operationally in 200 different studies to assure that larger particles are not falsely counted as ice crystals. Droplet 201 breakthrough RH_w also depends on processing temperature, as discussed by Richardson 202 (2009).

203 Due to this upper RH_w limitation for processing, a reference or normalizing RH_w condition for 204 assembling common CFDC laboratory and field data on immersion freezing was selected as 205 105% for the central lamina condition, or 5% supersaturation with respect to water. An 206 additional consideration in selecting this value is that RH_w uncertainty, as estimated and extrapolated from Richardson (2009), is ±1.6, 2 and 2.4 % at -20, -25, and -30°C, respectively 207 208 (Hiranuma et al., 2014). Thus, a processing RH_w of 105% assures that particles are exposed to 209 a minimum RH_w of ~102% at the central lamina position, a value that should favor droplet 210 activation even for wettable particles at sizes exceeding the lower limit (>0.1 μ m) that typifies 211 most INPs on the basis of theoretical (Marcolli et al., 2007) and observational (DeMott et al., 212 2010) studies.

Figure 2 also demonstrates a common feature noted in earlier studies of polydisperse populations of both mineral dust (DeMott et al., 2011) and biomass burning aerosols (Petters et al., 2009) acting as INPs, which is the asymptotic rise of INP active fraction when RH_w exceeds 100%, up to the upper limit for droplet breakthrough. This result suggests that unresolved factors are limiting the full expression/observation of immersion freezing 218 nucleation in the CFDC until relatively high water supersaturation. Extended discussion of 219 factors influencing this feature that require further research is given in Appendix B, but we 220 here follow Petters et al. (2009) in interpreting that the INP active fraction at high RH_w, prior 221 to droplet breakthrough, reflects the maximum INP activity achievable at a given CFDC 222 processing temperature for which all particles have been activated into cloud droplets and 223 diluted sufficiently to promote immersion freezing. Additional experimental support for the 224 fact that nearly complete CCN activation and growth of mineral dust particles occurs in the 225 CSU CFDC at RH_w between 105 and about 110% RH_w is given in Appendix B, Fig. B2. We 226 may contrast our assumptions and approach in this regard to that of Welti et al. (2014), who 227 used separate experiments to define immersion freezing fractions and then applied calculation 228 and subtraction methods to interpret and attribute additional INP fractions freezing as 229 contributions from a condensation freezing process at $RH_w > 100\%$.

230 2.2 AIDA cloud chamber and data analysis

The AIDA cloud simulation chamber is a large vessel with a volume of 84 m³ that can be 231 232 sealed and evacuated to simulate cloud parcel expansion. It has been used extensively for ice 233 nucleation studies and specifically for investigations and parameterization of immersion 234 freezing nucleation (Niemand et al., 2012). Detailed descriptions of the device and 235 instrumentation are given in Möhler et al. (2006) and Wagner et al. (2006). Preparation of the 236 chamber for cloud expansions involves flushing with clean synthetic air to reduce particle concentrations to below 0.1 cm⁻³ and using initial expansions to create a thin ice layer on the 237 238 chamber walls so that the relative humidity is close to ice saturation at the start temperature 239 for cloud expansions. Initial temperature is set by cooling the insulated box enclosure that 240 surrounds the stainless steel chamber. Locking and evacuating the chamber leads to adiabatic temperature reduction within the chamber and cloud formation occurs once the humidity 241 242 exceeds 100% with respect to water. Temperature uncertainty is 0.2 K and uncertainty in 243 relative humidity with respect to ice is approximately 5%. The time length of cloud 244 expansions is ultimately limited by heat transfer into the cloud volume and sedimentation of larger cloud particles. 245

Aerosols tested as ice nuclei are typically first generated into the 4 m³ aerosol chamber before being drawn into the AIDA chamber (Kanji et al., 2011). Dust samples were produced using an RBG-1000 rotating brush disperser (Palas GmbH), while SnomaxTM bacteria were generated using spray atomization and mixing with dry air. Ambient air can also be drawn directly into the AIDA chamber. Total particle number concentration is measured continuously using a condensation particle counter (CPC3010; TSI Inc.) and size distributions are determined pre-expansion using a Scanning Mobility Particle Sizer (SMPS; TSI Inc.) and an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS, TSI Inc.). Cloud droplet and ice crystal counting and sizing are achieved with two Welas optical particle counters (Palas GmbH) as described by Benz et al. (2005).

256 Analysis of AIDA cloud expansion data in immersion freezing experiments follows Niemand 257 et al. (2012), as shown in Fig. 3. As for the CFDC, we interpret AIDA activation of natural 258 mineral dust INPs as occurring in a deterministic manner, although differences between the two techniques could also reflect longer activation times at the modest cooling rates (~1K 259 min⁻¹ after cloud forms) in AIDA expansions. Figure 3 shows an expansion that followed the 260 261 CFDC sampling shown in Fig. 2. Full activation of aerosol into cloud droplets is achieved in 262 AIDA (±30% maximum deviation, as noted by Niemand et al., 2012) and ice active fraction 263 of aerosol frozen exceeds 0.001 below about 250 K and 0.01 by 247 K, 4 minutes after cloud 264 formation. Note that we utilize AIDA data only up to the point that ice number concentrations are still increasing, and so terminate analyses of ice active fraction beyond this point. Ice 265 266 crystals much larger than 100 µm can exist after this time, so that sedimentation begins to 267 become significant in the chamber, and this is a useful diagnostic for terminating the 268 attribution of INP number concentrations and active fraction to instantaneous temperature in 269 the chamber.

270 **2.3 Parameterization approach**

The D10 parameterization of a "global" type of INP collected from multiple locations tookthe form,

273
$$n_{INP}(T_k) = a \left(273.16 - T_k \right)^b \left(n_{a > 0.5 \mu m} \right)^{(c(27316 - T_k) + d)}$$
 (1)

where a = 0.0000594, b = 3.33, c = 0.0264, d = 0.0033, T_k is cloud temperature in degrees Kelvin, $n_{a>0.5\mu m}$ is the number concentration (std cm⁻³) of aerosol particles with diameters larger than 0.5 µm, and $n_{INP}(T_k)$ is ice nucleating particle number concentration (std L⁻¹) at T_k. Tobo et al. (2013) proposed a modified version of Eq. (1) to more generally describe the size and temperature dependence of various composition-specific types of INPs as, which we modify slightly as,

280
$$n_{INP}(T_k) = (cf) (n_{a>0.5\mu m})^{(\alpha(27316-T_k)+\beta)} \exp(\gamma(273.16-T_k)+\delta)$$
 (2)

The *cf* factor was not included in Tobo et al. (2013), by default being set to 1. The other equation coefficients could encapsulate this constant, but we will use it as a means to segregate instrumental calibration factors when assessing maximum immersion freezing concentrations or active fractions of mineral dust particles, as will be further addressed in this paper. As for Eq. (1), the units of concentration in this equation are at standard temperature and pressure conditions.

Less accurate predictability might be expected in relating $n_{INP}(T_k)$ to larger aerosol particle concentrations rather than to aerosol surface area, which is expected to be a more natural unifying factor as regards quantifying the ice nucleation ability of specific types of INPs (Niemand et al., 2012; Murray et al., 2012; Knopf and Alpert, 2013). We evaluate this point using atmospheric data, as discussed in the next section.

292 We apply Eq. (2) to describe INP number concentrations for a specific category taken to 293 represent all mineral dusts, a simplification we support with the existing data. Again, we will 294 also follow the approach of Petters et al. (2009) to quantify the maximum immersion freezing 295 activity of laboratory-generated mineral dust particles using CFDC data, in consideration of 296 instrumental and microphysical factors that lead to an RH_w dependence of INP number 297 concentrations above water saturation in CFDC-style instruments. This exercise defines a 298 single *cf* value. The derived *cf* value is applied to CFDC data collected on aerosols present 299 prior to cloud-forming expansions in the AIDA chamber in order to test consistency with ice 300 fractions formed in the laboratory supercooled clouds. We also compare Eq. (2) with the 301 active site density (INPs per surface area) parameterization for mineral dust INPs of Niemand 302 et al. (2012) for atmospheric measurements in a Saharan dust layer. This case is introduced in 303 the next section.

304 2.4 Case study and other field data

A case study from the ICE-T experiment was selected for use in comparing the developed parameterization to the surface active site density parameterization of dust INP number concentration (Niemand et al., 2012). The NSF/NCAR C-130 aircraft flew an instrument package including meteorological and state parameter measurements, aerosol and cloud microphysical wing-mounted probes, aerosol and gas phase sampling systems that used inlets to the cabin (this included the CFDC), and the Wyoming cloud radar (WCR) and lidar (WCL) 311 instruments (Wang et al., 2012). The forward-facing isokinetic aerosol inlet used is the same 312 as described by Eidhammer et al. (2010). Concentrated African dust particle layers were 313 sampled on only a few days during the month-long ICE-T study. The case selected, from July 314 4, 2011 (called RF02 in the ICE-T data archive at NCAR) included perhaps the most 315 prominent elevated African dust layer that was sampled during the study. This case was quite 316 similar to the African dust layer case documented near Florida by DeMott et al. (2003), but 317 the CFDC processing conditions in the present case were selective for heterogeneous 318 immersion freezing, as already discussed. A vertical profile during descent through the dust 319 layer and into the marine boundary layer (MBL) is shown in Fig. 4. The dust layer is clearly 320 distinguished by the higher aerosol number concentrations and surface areas at sizes above 0.5 µm measured by the wing-mounted FSSP-300 (Forward Scattering Spectrometer Probe -321 300) at between 1300 and 4000 m altitude. This aerosol signature is not associated with 322 323 clouds, as evidenced by the lower relative humidity in this altitude range. The composition of 324 this elevated aerosol layer is inferred to be dominated by mineral dusts due to the prediction 325 of transported dust in the region by global aerosol forecast models (not shown) and by the 326 higher values of lidar linear depolarization ratio (LDR) measured by the WCL in the layer 327 above about 1300 m, as shown in Fig. 4. LDR, which is the ratio of perpendicular backscatter 328 intensities to parallel backscatter intensities with respect to the transmitter polarization axis, is 329 an excellent diagnostic for mineral dust (Sassen et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2009). Figure 4 thus 330 demonstrates a clear distinction between the mineral dust layer and the MBL below this level. 331 This transition in aerosol characteristics provides a stringent and informative case for testing 332 parameterizations of mineral dust INPs.

Other brief entries into the dust layers during ICE-T RF02 (July 4, 2011), and PACDEX G-V flight data from RF12 (May 22, 2007) and RF14 (May 24, 2007) obtained while tracking a cross-Pacific dust plume described by Stith et al. (2009), were used in the compilation of CFDC data for parameterization development. Lidar data were not available during PACDEX, and so identification of dust layers were primarily by the presence of larger particles at higher altitudes in predicted plume regions (Stith et al., 2009).

340 **3 Results**

341

3.1 Parameterization of CFDC INP data

342 CFDC measurements of $n_{INP}(T_k)$ processed at 105% RH_w versus $n_{a>0.5 \mu m}$, measured with the 343 CFDC optical particle counter, are shown in Fig. 5 at nominal 5 K temperature intervals. Data collected while sampling particles aerosolized from Asian and Saharan soil sources into the 344 345 AIDA aerosol chamber are included together in Fig. 5 with field measurements made within 346 Saharan and Asian dust plumes. Laboratory data represent 1 to 3 minute average data while 347 field data are averaged over 5-30 minute periods, as per protocol used by DeMott et al. 348 (2010). Uncertainties represented by error bars on data points are twice the sampling error 349 assuming Poisson arrival statistics for CFDC INP counts. Figure 5 also shows that INP 350 number concentrations increase by approximately a factor of 10 per 5°C temperature interval 351 at any $n_{a>0.5 \mu m}$. While differences of a factor of 2 to 3 are seen amongst different lab and field 352 aerosols for processing at one temperature, these results suggest that it is possible to 353 approximately unify the different data sets using Eq. (2). For example, plotted as dashed lines 354 in Fig. 5 is Eq. (2) with cf = 1, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1.25$, $\gamma = 0.46$, and $\delta = -11.6$, considering all data 355 taken as one sample. As a reminder, cf is taken as 1 in this case because these are CFDC data 356 collected specifically at the reference RH_w value of 105%. Comparison of predicted versus observed INP number concentrations for the entire data set are shown in Fig. 6. The r^2 of the 357 358 fit is 0.94, and the corresponding standard errors (a factor of ~2) and 95% confidence 359 intervals (a factor or ~4) are also shown. Representative standard errors at specific 360 temperatures are also mapped onto the predicted lines in Fig. 5. These analyses suggests that, 361 to first order, the INP activity of these dusts that surely contain gross mineralogical 362 differences can nevertheless be quantitatively described by the same relation for application in 363 a relatively simple form in numerical models.

This parameterization for mineral dust particles is compared to the D10 parameterization at -30°C (243.2 K) and -20°C (253.2 K) in Fig. 7. At lower temperatures and higher dust number concentrations, the D10 parameterization may strongly underestimate INP number concentrations. However, the parameterizations are less distinguishable at certain warmer temperatures and at lower values of $n_{a>0.5\mu m}$. Both parameterizations remain weakly constrained at temperatures warmer than -20°C, where much additional ambient and laboratory data are needed. The parameterization developed herein is strictly valid where data were available, between 238 and 252 K, and use to warmer temperatures represents pureextrapolation.

373 3.2 Maximum active freezing fractions – derivation of calibration factor (*cf*) 374 and comparison to AIDA cloud expansions

We utilized a number of additional CFDC experiments from the ACI03 campaign at a variety 375 of processing temperatures, while sampling of aerosols from the aerosol storage vessel, to 376 perform a comparison/calibration of the INP number concentration at maximum RHw values 377 378 measured to $n_{INP}(T_k)$ at 105% RH_w. These results are shown in Fig. 8. The regression line in 379 Fig. 8 is drawn for the range of atmospherically realistic INP number concentrations, primarily including data only to as low as -30°C, which are the conditions also used by 380 381 Niemand et al. (2012) to determine their surface area-based parameterization. The calibration 382 factor for maximum active fraction is approximately 3, and we suggest this value as the cf383 prefactor in Eq. (2) for applying the parameterization to atmospheric data. We repeat the 384 caveat that the influences on the maximum INP number concentration values measured by the 385 CSU CFDC are not clearly resolved, and could mask a warm temperature bias to the fraction 386 of particles active at the steady-state processing temperature set in the CFDC (see Appendix 387 B). For this reason, comparison was sought versus ice formation data from AIDA cloud 388 expansion experiments, considered as ground truth for clouds.

For comparison of CFDC INP data with AIDA chamber experiment data, experiments were utilized for which direct sampling of aerosol conditioned in the AIDA chamber was done with the CFDC prior to first expansion cloud formation in ACI03 and ICIS studies. Additionally, we considered only experiments for which the CFDC and AIDA cloud temperatures were within 1°C of each other, or for which the CFDC processed at two temperatures to allow linear interpolations of INP numbers and active fractions for comparison. These restrictions limited the number of such comparisons available from sampling of INPs in past studies.

There were a total of eight experiments, listed in Table 1, for which a direct comparison of CFDC maximum INP number concentration at a given temperature sampled from AIDA preexpansion, and equivalent immersion freezing INP concentration and active fraction from the subsequent first AIDA expansions were available. Table 1 also notes the aerosol concentration larger than 0.5 μ m, as required for parameterization following Eq. (2), and total aerosol surface area as required by the parameterization of Niemand et al. (2012). The INP 402 types represented in this comparison are Saharan (SD) and Asian dust (AD2), Canary Island dust (CID), ambient particles (Amb), and spraved/dried SnomaxTM bacterial particle 403 404 suspensions, as described by Niemand et al. (2012) and Kanji et al. (2011). In some cases, the 405 mineral dusts were coated by exposure to secondary organic aerosol formation (Kanji et al., in preparation), noted as "cSOA" in Table 1. Comparison of active fractions from the two 406 407 instruments is shown in Fig. 9. General agreement is found between the measurement 408 methods, with a bias toward higher INP concentrations in AIDA for this small sample of 409 experiments.

410 In Figure 10, the mineral dust parameterization with cf = 3 in Eq. (2) is compared to the D10 411 parameterization to highlight the steeper activity dependence of mineral dust particles 412 compared to all types of INPs sampled to formulate D10. One might wonder if the cf factor 413 should be applied to the D10 measurements as well, but further measurements will be needed 414 to determine if corrections are valid for all the types of natural ice nuclei represented in the 415 D10 measurements at different temperatures. This is especially highlighted in Fig. 10 by the 416 fact that mineral dusts do not explain the INP activity suggested using the D10 417 parameterization at temperatures warmer than 253 K, at least for typical atmospheric $n_{a>0.5\mu m}$ of less than a few cm^{-3} . This is the temperature regime that may be dominated by organic ice 418 nucleating particles such as ice nucleating bacteria (Garcia et al., 2012; O'Sullivan et al., 419 420 2014). It is also a temperature regime where more data were collected for D10 vs. very little 421 in the present work.

422 **3.3 Case study intercomparison**

423 It is clear that the new mineral dust parameterization should reasonably predict the CFDC 424 data observed in the Saharan Aerosol Layer profile during the RF02 flight in the ICE-T study, since these data were included in the set used for developing the parameterization. 425 426 Nevertheless, the comparison is useful for evaluating the consistency or differences between 427 different INP parameterizations when applied for ambient aerosol data, including mineral dust 428 particle distributions extending to sizes >2.5 µm that were not sampled into the CFDC. As noted in Fig. 4, Saharan aerosols were focused within a layer overlying the marine boundary 429 layer, with total surface areas per unit volume exceeding 8×10^{-11} m² cm⁻³ and number 430 concentrations as high as 30 cm⁻³ at sizes above 0.5 μ m as measured by a forward scattering 431 spectrometer probe (FSSP-300, Droplet Measurement Technologies, Boulder, CO). Such 432

433 layers were not seen in aerosol vertical profiles or in lidar data on non-dusty days (not 434 shown). INP number concentration data from the descent sounding through this layer is 435 shown in Fig. 11, plotted as 30-s running average data instead of averages over an integrated 436 period. Appropriate uncertainties are shown for this averaging interval at two representative 437 altitudes and INP number concentrations. As repeat sampling of the layer was originally 438 intended (but was instead terminated by early landing), CFDC sample flow was stopped for a 439 short period during the stepped-descent (note data at ~3000 m and 700 m levels represent 440 additional time spent at those levels) to remove an ice crystal impactor collecting activated 441 particles, and then a period of filtering sample air was performed to quantify and correct for 442 background counts that can potentially be generated through frost particle ejection from 443 surfaces in the chamber (Prenni et al., 2009). This transition interrupted assessment of INP 444 concentration through the depth of the layer, but since aerosol concentrations were 445 continuously sampled by the FSSP-300, the parameterizations could be applied using CFDC 446 sample temperatures during the balance of the descent. FSSP-300 number concentrations of 447 particles at sizes above 0.5 µm were used within the mineral dust parameterization, while the FSSP-300 surface area was used within the Niemand et al. (2012) parameterization. For 448 449 simplification, we apply that parameterization to the integrated aerosol surface area per unit volume (S_{tot} in m² cm⁻³) at sizes above 0.5 µm to obtain $n_{INP}(T_k)$ in units per ambient liter as, 450

451
$$n_{INP}(T_k) \approx 1 \times 10^{-5} S_{tot} n_s(T_k),$$
 (3)

452 where $n_s(T_k)$ [m⁻²] is given by Niemand et al. (2012) as,

453 $n_s(T_k) = \exp[-0.517(T_k - 273.15) + 8.934]$

The approximation in Eq. (3) bypasses a usual need to bin surface area by particle size and integrate the terms within an exponential (c.f., Eq. (2) of Niemand et al., 2012). We consider it valid for the case shown in Fig. 11 because the contributions of aerosols larger than 5 μ m were limited, and because the CFDC temperature used limits the total active fractions of particles in the size range below 5 μ m to less than about 0.1, and hence binning surface area is not required for accuracy.

460 The results shown in Fig. 11 demonstrate several points. First, $n_{INP}(T_k)$ as measured by the 461 CFDC while processing at 105% RH_w is well reproduced by the new mineral dust 462 parameterization (Eq. (2) with cf = 1 (trace "D" in Fig. 11) within the Saharan aerosol layer. 463 This is to be expected on the basis of the parameterization development in Section 3.1, where

(4)

464 cf = 1 represents uncorrected data. However, in the marine boundary layer, CFDC IN data are 465 already grossly overestimated by the uncorrected mineral dust parameterization (cf = 1), and even appear overestimated by the global IN parameterization (D10). This result suggests that 466 467 the aerosol and INPs in the marine boundary layer are quite distinct from the Saharan aerosol layer, which has not been well-mixed into the marine boundary layer at the location of 468 469 measurements. Within the mineral dust layer, the corrected form of the parameterization using 470 cf = 3 is in excellent agreement with the Niemand et al. (2012) parameterization (trace "N12") 471 for this case. While providing confidence that both parameterizations can thus be used to 472 describe atmospheric ice nucleation by mineral dust particles specifically in the temperature 473 ranges for which they were developed, we note that comparison to ice formation in 474 atmospheric clouds has yet to be examined.

475

476 **4 Conclusions**

477 A parameterization based on a combination of laboratory and field data was developed to 478 specifically quantify the immersion freezing numbers of natural mineral dust particles. This 479 parameterization links the prediction of ice nucleating particle number concentrations to 480 particle number concentrations at sizes larger than 0.5 µm and to temperature, but is specific 481 to mineral dust compositions. In this manner, the higher efficiency of mineral dust particles in 482 comparison to global-average INPs at temperatures colder than -20°C is quantified for use in 483 numerical models. Use of the parameterization to warmer temperatures necessarily entails 484 extrapolation of the present results. Agreement was found between this simplified 485 parameterization and the surface area based parameterization of Niemand et al. (2012), 486 developed solely from laboratory data, supporting the atmospheric applicability of laboratory 487 ice nucleation results to the atmosphere. Consequently, our results additionally support the 488 premise of Niemand et al. (2012) that, to a first order, mineral dust particles from locations as 489 separate as the Saharan or Asian regions may be parameterized as a common particle type for 490 numerical modeling purposes. The reason for this result is not entirely clear, given the clear 491 mineralogical differences present in and transported from different desert regions (Murray et 492 al., 2012). Possibly, the relatively high abundance (>20% by mass) of more highly ice-active 493 specific components of dusts, such as feldspars, from both Asian and Saharan regions 494 (Atkinson et al., 2013) drives this result. Nevertheless, it remains to be seen that this 495 conclusion is fully consistent with the unifying role of aerosol concentrations at $>0.5 \mu m$ or 496 total surface area of mineral dust particles on determining INP number concentrations, since 497 many other mineral components make up the balance of dust particle mass. It remains for 498 additional measurements at different locales to further evaluate this conclusion regarding the 499 relative uniformity of INP properties of mineral dust particles globally or, alternately, to 500 demonstrate the special utility of mineralogical-specific parameterizations. It is also necessary 501 to point out that we have not herein explicitly considered or evaluated the potential impact of 502 aging processes (e.g., uptake condensed phase material) or cloud processing on the measured 503 or predicted immersion freezing activity. Such impacts may or may not already be present in 504 the field measurements. Some of the laboratory experiments included particle coatings with 505 secondary organic aerosol, which appeared to have no significant impact on immersion 506 freezing (to be elaborated in a future publication). Additionally, we may note that a number of 507 previous studies suggest little influence of aging on immersion freezing of activated cloud 508 droplets by mineral dusts, unless reactions occur between the condensed species and the 509 mineral surface that impact the efficiency of active sites (Sullivan et al., 2010a, 2010b; 510 Niedermeier et al., 2011a; Reitz et al., 2012).

511 Our investigations support that a calibration factor on CFDC INP concentrations is needed to 512 account for instrumental factors if the intent is to describe the full expression of 513 condensation/immersion freezing by natural mineral dust particles. The CFDC processing RH_w value that is required for such full expression may exceed that practically possible for 514 515 use while measuring ambient aerosol size distributions (e.g., without achieving droplet 516 breakthrough contamination of the ice nucleation signal), so further laboratory studies may be 517 required for specific INP aerosol types. We suggest cf = 3 for predicting $n_{INP}(T_k)$ for 518 immersion freezing by natural mineral dusts using Eq. (2), along with the other 519 parameterization coefficients that have been obtained based on sampling at a practical RH_w of 520 105%. While the calibration factor did not depend on processing temperature for the mineral 521 dust particles examined, and has been shown to likely be necessary for fully quantifying INPs 522 from biomass burning particles (Petters et al. 2009), cf and the form of this compositionspecific parameterization may be specific to the type of INP. For example, SnomaxTM INPs 523 524 are fully activated in a CFDC by the point of water saturation at all temperatures below about 525 -7°C (see, e.g., DeMott et al., 2011), and so no further activation occurs in the supersaturated 526 regime. A data point for such an experiment is shown in Fig. 9, and consequently is a positive outlier of CFDC/AIDA active fraction after application of cf = 3. Nevertheless, these results 527 528 have implications for the design and operation of any CFDC-type ice nucleation instrument,

suggesting careful characterization of ice nucleation response to RH_w for any particular device and different INP types that compose natural populations. In particular, scanning up to and beyond the RH_w for droplet breakthrough to establish CCN activation (e.g., Fig. B2) is recommended. This will more clearly define the upper RH_w limit for assessing ice active fraction versus temperature uniformly for any INP type being tested and CFDC instrument type being used.

535 Use of Eq. (2) to describe condensation/immersion freezing nucleation will depend on 536 accurate prediction of where dust particles are present and where they are not, as the gross 537 over-prediction of INPs for the tropical marine boundary layer aerosols shown in Fig. 11 538 demonstrates. Finally, in applying the parameterization in cloud models, consideration may 539 need to be given to CCN activation properties (i.e., particles must be in droplets) and loss of 540 INPs to wet scavenging that occurs prior to cloud parcels reaching freezing temperatures. A 541 first application of the new parameterization in a prognostic ice nucleation scheme within 542 regional model simulations has been published by Fan et al. (2013).

543

544 Appendix A

545 A brief discussion of deterministic versus stochastic analyses and parameterization

546 The approach in this paper follows the deterministic (or singular) interpretation of immersion 547 freezing data, which approximates immersion freezing as temperature-dependent only and does not quantify time-dependent ice nucleation behavior. This is in contrast to a purely 548 549 stochastic model or to multi-component stochastic models designed to quantify the timedependent characteristics of INPs, as summarized for example in Niedermeier et al. (2011b), 550 551 Murray et al. (2012), Wright and Petters (2013), Knopf and Alpert (2013) and Vali (2014). In 552 a deterministic approach, one primarily seeks to define the ice nucleation activity spectrum 553 measured during a set instrument time or using a set cooling rate, represented by a relation 554 between INP number concentrations and temperature for a known total number (e.g., DeMott 555 et al., 2010) or surface area (e.g., Niemand et al., 2012; Hoose and Moehler, 2012; Murray et 556 al. 2012) of particles. Wright and Petters (2013) and Vali (2014) discuss the fact that 557 temperature dependence dominates time dependence for most INP types. An expectation of 558 the applicability of the singular approximation for freezing of natural mineral dusts follows 559 Broadley et al. (2012), wherein NX-Illite is proposed as a surrogate for airborne mineral dust, 560 and such particles show little dependence of ice nucleation rate on cooling rate. Finally,

561 Wright and Petters (2013) specifically conclude that a CFDC should represent INP 562 concentrations active in updrafts, despite the short observational time for detecting INPs. This is because the additional nuclei active over time at any supercooled temperature are typically 563 564 found to represent those newly active for an additional 1 to 3K cooling. This fact may in 565 many cases simplify numerical model prediction of ice formation, as tracking the budget of an INP population undergoing variable nucleation rates can be difficult to implement in a 566 567 prognostic cloud model. Deterministic interpretation also involves the most straightforward 568 use of data collected by real-time ice nucleation instruments, and future reanalyses for 569 nucleation rates is still possible.

570 Knopf and Alpert (2013) also quantify the relation of immersion freezing nucleation rate to 571 water activity, and thus that parameterization unifies heterogeneous immersion freezing for 572 both cloud droplets and non-dilute haze particles that may freeze below water saturation at 573 lower temperatures. In a similar manner, Sassen and Dodd (1988) and DeMott et al. (1997; 574 1998) beforehand applied the concept of effective freezing temperature versus solution 575 melting point depression to adjust deterministically-analyzed freezing data for INPs immersed 576 in dilute droplets to conditions of more concentrated solution droplets. Thus, deterministic 577 models may presumably be formulated to account for the now recognized water activity dependence of freezing as well. Nevertheless, as already mentioned, this papers focuses on 578 579 the first order data needed, the ice nucleation activity temperature spectrum for INPs within 580 pure water droplets.

581

582 Appendix B

583 Additional discussion of factors affecting INP activation above water saturation in 584 CFDC instruments

In the analyses presented in this paper, the *cf* factor in Eq. (2) is defined by assuming that immersion freezing INP number concentrations for mineral dust particles are underestimated at the CFDC reference RH_w value of 105%, in which case a higher RH_w value is required to fully activate immersion freezing on the entire aerosol distribution. The need to achieve higher RH_w , as shown in Figure 2, likely relates to both microphysical and instrumental factors that play interwoven roles, with consequent implications for operating CFDC instruments and interpreting their data (DeMott et al., 2011). While this discussion is generally applicable to all CFDC-type instruments, it is specific to the configuration of theCSU CFDC, and results will be different for other similar instruments.

594 First, if the CFDC exposed all particles to the same conditions for the same amount of time, 595 independent of RH_w, then we should expect a nearly delta-function response of INP number 596 fraction to RH_w ensuing around the RH_w values that characterize CCN activation. For mineral 597 dust particles, hygroscopicity is thought to be low (Koehler et al., 2009; Herich et al., 2009), 598 but may be mitigated by adsorption activation (Kumar et al., 2009), such that a range of 599 critical supersaturations from a few tenths of a percent to perhaps 1-2% for smaller particles 600 could be imagined as an inherent kinetic delay for condensation/immersion freezing in the 601 CFDC. There may be kinetic factors as well related to diluting any condensed material away 602 from ice active sites on mineral particle surfaces.

603 However, in addition to activation effects, the CFDC method neither assures equal exposure of RH_w nor equal time of immersion of potential INPs in cloud particles at varied RH_w. 604 605 Again, Fig. 1 shows the dynamic changes in temperature and SS_w that occur prior to a short period of nearly steady state conditions that characterize CFDC-type instruments. It is also 606 607 apparent from Fig. 1 that for a steady flow rate, the time of existence of droplets exceeding a 608 certain size, e.g. 1 µm, increases with RH_w. Dynamic consideration given to altering flow rate 609 would be needed in order to maintain the residence time of particles in droplets at the same value for different processing RH_w. The discussion given in Section 2.1 was simplified to 610 focus on the central conditions of the CFDC aerosol lamina. However, RH_w conditions across 611 612 the aerosol lamina at any one position during transit of particles through the CFDC are also 613 variable. As shown in Fig. B1a the edge-to-edge RH_w difference across the aerosol lamina is 614 2% for an RH_w "set point" of 105% at -30°C. That RH_w difference exists for perfect 615 containment of the aerosol inside the lamina, which may not be achieved operationally, as 616 discussed originally by Rogers (1988). Figure B1b revisits this topic, showing recent 617 measurements of the transit of a 1 s particle pulse through the CFDC. Comparison of the 618 predicted time of this particle pulse versus that observed by monitoring the particle number 619 concentration at the CFDC outlet with a condensation particle counter indicate skewing of the 620 timing of the pulse, suggesting a range of pass-through times for particles. These results 621 indicate that although particles are retained mainly within the position of the aerosol stream, 622 some particles likely escape the central lamina to a distance of up to 4 times the lamina 623 breadth. This potential spread in lamina thickness is highlighted by shading in Fig. B1a. Since 624 we do not know the true distribution of particles in this space, we will not pursue a 625 quantitative example here, but it is obvious that a spread of particles, especially toward the 626 cold wall, leads to a wide range of RH_w exposure of some proportion of particles for a steady 627 state target RH_w above water saturation. Only at much higher target supersaturation will the 628 entire lamina reside above water saturation, although this will necessarily increase the breadth 629 of representative temperatures. This could be the most important factor affecting the detection 630 of condensation/immersion freezing nucleation of natural mineral dust particles with a CFDC. 631 Despite these concerns, evidence clearly exists for high CCN active fractions ultimately 632 occurring in the CSU CFDC instrument for RH_w values close to the values used to define the 633 maximum immersion freezing INP concentrations in these studies. Figure B2 shows two 634 additional experiments from the ICIS-2007 studies where RH_w was raised to higher values to 635 examine full droplet breakthrough, indicating CCN fractions up to 0.8. Similar freezing 636 curves occurring for homogeneous freezing of solution droplets have also been previously 637 demonstrated for the CSU CFDC instruments (DeMott et al., 2009; Koehler et al., 2009; Richardson, 2009; Richardson et al., 2010), indicating no special limitation on freezing high 638 639 fractions of particles in these instruments. These results support the validity of the assumption 640 that immersion freezing activity is assessed with the CFDC instrument in the present study.

641 It is also necessary to mention the additional cooling that droplets are exposed to during entry 642 into and persistence through the CFDC evaporation section as a possible positive artifact 643 leading to enhanced ice activation as RH_w is increased. Evaporation is forced by setting both 644 ice walls to the colder ice wall temperature in the CSU CFDC design. The temperature curve 645 in Fig. 1 shows that droplets do not evaporate until temperature has decreased by 2°C for 646 processing conditions of -30°C and 102% RH_w, while at 105 % RH_w and higher, droplets do 647 not completely evaporate during cooling by an additional 3°C before exit from the chamber to the optical counter. Above about 105% RHw, these droplets may stay dilute and the 648 649 consequence is that additional freezing could occur for this reason alone. Crystals nucleating 650 in this region will grow only slowly from their original droplet size, typically less than 1 µm s⁻¹, due to the lowered ice supersaturation present. Thus, only at the highest RH_w approaching 651 652 water droplet breakthrough would late-forming crystals even have the possibility to exceed 653 the ice cut-size for detection as IN. Nevertheless, we must necessarily treat this factor as a 654 caveat concerning the corrections to ice active fraction that we propose on the assumption that 655 INP number concentration values measured at high RH_w are relevant for immersion freezing 656 at the CFDC steady state temperature.

Time-dependent immersion freezing could be considered as another possible source explaining increasing ice activation versus RH_w . Inspection of Fig. 1 suggests a tripling of the residence time for droplets larger than 1 µm for an RH_w increase from 102 to 109%, whereas Fig. 2 shows an ice active fraction increase of nearly an order of magnitude over this RH_w range, suggesting that time dependence is not the primary factor at play. Nevertheless, we note again that an analysis of data in terms of nucleation rates has not been performed here, as the target format of the present parameterization is a time-independent form.

These characteristics of continuous flow chambers suggest the need for further investigation, 664 665 numerically and experimentally, to clarify the specific conditions and residence times attributable to observed ice formation for different types of INP. INP detection may also be 666 667 influenced by how different CFDC-style devices drive reduction to ice saturation, for example via reduction to the cold wall temperature (Rogers et al., 2001) or to the warm wall 668 temperature (Stetzer et al., 2008). The potential for particles to spread out of the intended 669 670 focused lamina and the consequent overall range of processing temperatures and RH_w 671 represented, probably depends critically on internal design of the aerosol delivery to the 672 center of the processing chamber. In general, these factors reemphasize the point that ice 673 nucleation devices do not yet operate to the potential precision of CCN devices and therefore 674 require careful consideration when evaluating things like apparent time dependence for ice 675 nucleation or ascribing meaning to the RH_w dependence of ice nucleation at above water 676 saturation by different INPs.

677

678 Acknowledgements

679 This work was partially funded by the National Science Foundation under grants ATM-680 0611936, ATM-0841602 and AGS-1036028, and the Department of Energy, Office of 681 Science, Office of Biological and Environmental Research program under Contract # 682 SC00002354. J. Snider and Z. Wang acknowledge support under NSF award AGS-1034858. Experiments performed at the Karlsruhe Institute of Technology (KIT) AIDA chamber were 683 684 supported by the Helmholtz Association through the Virtual Institute on Aerosol-Cloud Interactions (VI-ACI, VH-VI-233) and the research program Atmosphere and Climate 685 (ATMO). P. DeMott also acknowledges KIT for supporting his visit to assist completion of 686 687 this paper. All processed data are available upon request.

689 **References**

- Atkinson, J. D., Murray, B. J., Woodhouse, M. T., Whale, T. F., Baustian, K. J., Carslaw, K.
 S., Dobbie, S., O'Sullivan, D., and Malkin, T. L.: The importance of feldspar for ice
 nucleation by mineral dust in mixed-phase clouds, Nature, 498, 355–358, 2013.
- Benz, S., Megahed, K., Möhler, O., Saathoff, H., Wagner, R., and Schurath, U.: T-dependent
 rate measurements of homogeneous ice nucleation in cloud droplets using a large atmospheric
 simulation chamber. J. Photochem. Photobiol., 176, 208–217,
- 696 doi:10.1016/j.jphotochem.2005.08.026, 2005.
- 697 Broadley, S. L., Murray, B. J., Herbert, R. J., Atkinson, J. D., Dobbie, S., Malkin, T. L.,
- Condliffe, E., and Neve, L.: Immersion mode heterogeneous ice nucleation by an illite rich
 powder representative of atmospheric mineral dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 287–307,
 doi:10.5194/acp-12-287-2012, 2012.
- DeMott, P.J., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Rogers, D. C.: The susceptibility or ice formation in
 upper tropospheric clouds to insoluble aerosol components. J. Geophys. Res., 102, 1957519584, 1997.
- 704 DeMott P.J., Rogers, D. C., Kreidenweis, S. M., Chen, Y., Twohy, C. H., Baumgardner, D.
- Heymsfield, A. J., and Chan., K. R.: The role of heterogeneous freezing nucleation in upper
 tropospheric clouds: Inferences from SUCCESS, Geophys. Res. Lett., 25, 1387-1390, 1998.
- 707 DeMott, P. J., Sassen, K., Poellot, M. Baumgardner, D., Rogers, D. C., Brooks, S., Prenni A.
- 708 J., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: African dust aerosols as atmospheric ice nuclei. Geophys. Res.
- 709 Lett., 30, No. 14, 1732, doi:10.1029/2003GL017410, 2003 (Correction published: Geophys.
- 710 Res. Lett., 36, L07808, doi:10.1029/2009GL037639, 2009).
- 711 DeMott, P. J., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., Carrico, C. M., Kreidenweis, S. M., Collett, J. L.
- 712 Jr., and Moosmüller, H.: Ice nucleation behavior of biomass combustion particles at cirrus
- 713 temperatures, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D16205, doi:10.1029/2009JD012036, 2009.
- 714 DeMott, P.J., Prenni, A. J., Liu, X., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Richardson, M. S.,
- Eidhammer, T., Kreidenweis, S. M., and Rogers, D. C.: Predicting global atmospheric ice
 nuclei distributions and their impacts on climate, Proc. Natnl. Acad. Sci., 107 (25), 1121711222, 2010.

- 718 DeMott, P. J., Möhler, O., Stetzer, O., Vali, G., Levin, Z., Petters, M. D., Murakami, M.,
- 719 Leisner, T., Bundke, U., Klein, H., Kanji, Z., Cotton, R., Jones, H., Benz, S., Brinkmann, M.,
- 720 Rzesanke, D., Saathoff, H., Nicolet, M., Saito, A., Nillius, B., Bingemer, H., Abbatt, J.,
- 721 Ardon, K., Ganor, E., Georgakopoulos, D. G., and Saunders, C.: Resurgence in ice nucleation
- research. Bull. Amer. Meteor. Soc., 92, 1623-1635, 2011.
- Eidhammer, T., DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C.,
- 724 Stith, J., Heymsfield, A., Wang, Z., Haimov, S., French, J., Pratt, K., Prather, K., Murphy, S.,
- 725 Seinfeld, J., Subramanian, R., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Ice initiation by aerosol particles:
- 726 Measured and predicted ice nuclei concentrations versus measured ice crystal concentrations
- 727 in an orographic wave cloud. J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 2417–2436. doi: 10.1175/2010JAS3266.1,
- 728 2010.
- Fan, J., Leung, L. R., DeMott, P. J., Comstock, J. M., Singh, B., Rosenfeld, D., Tomlinson, J.
- 730 M., White, A., Prather, K. A., Minnis, P., Ayers, J. K., and Min, Q.: Aerosol impacts on
- 731 California winter clouds and precipitation during CalWater 2011: local pollution vs. long-
- range transported dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 19921–19970, 2013.
- 733 Garcia, E., Hill, T. C. J., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Franc, G. D., and Kreidenweis, S. M.:
- Biogenic ice nuclei in boundary layer air over two U.S. High Plains agricultural regions, J.
 Geophys. Res.117, D18209, doi:10.1029/2012JD018343, 2012.
- Herich, H., Tritscher, T., Wiacek, A., Gysel, M., Weingartner, E., Lohmann, U.,
 Baltensperger, U., and Cziczo, D. J.: Water uptake of clay and desert dust aerosol particles at
 sub- and supersaturated water vapor conditions, Physical Chemistry Chemical Physics, 11,
 7804–7809, 2009.
- Heymsfield, A. J., and Willis, P. T.: Conditions favoring secondary ice production in tropical
 maritime convective clouds, Accepted to J. Atmos. Sci., 2014.
- Hiranuma, N. et al.: *Supplement of:* A comprehensive laboratory study on the immersion
 freezing behavior of illite NX particles: a comparison of seventeen ice nucleation
 measurement techniques, Supplement of Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 14, 22045–22116,
 doi:10.5194/acpd-14-22045-2014-supplement, 2014.
- Kanji, Z., DeMott, P. J., Möhler, O., and Abbatt, J. P. D.: Results from the University of
 Toronto Continuous Flow Diffusion Chamber at International Workshop on Comparing Ice
 Nucleation Measuring Systems (ICIS 2007), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 31–41, 2011.

- 749 Knopf, D. A., and Alpert, P. A.: A water activity based model of heterogeneous ice nucleation
- kinetics for freezing of water and aqueous solution droplets, Faraday Discussions, 165, 513534, DOI: 10.1039/C3FD00035D2013, 2013.
- 752 Koehler, K. A., Kreidenweis, S. M., DeMott, P. J., Petters, M. D., Prenni, A. J., and Carrico,
- 753 C. M.: Hygroscopicity and cloud droplet activation of mineral dust aerosol. Geophys. Res.
- 754 Lett., 36, L08805, doi:10.1029/2009GL037348, 2009.
- 755 Koehler, K. A., DeMott, P. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Popovicheva, O. B., Petters, M. D.,
- 756 Carrico, C., Kireeva, E., Khokhlova, T., and Shonija, N: Cloud condensation nuclei and ice
- nucleation activity of hydrophobic and hydrophilic soot particles, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys.,
 11, 7906 7920, 2009.
- Kumar, P., Nenes, A., and Sokolik I. N.: Importance of adsorption for CCN activity and
 hygroscopic properties of mineral dust aerosol, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L24804,
 doi:10.1029/2009GL040827, 2009.
- Marcolli, C., Gedamke, S., Peter, T., and Zobrist, B.: Efficiency of immersion mode ice
 nucleation on surrogates of mineral dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5081–5091,
 doi:10.5194/acp-7-5081-2007, 2007.
- Möhler, O., Field, P. R., Connolly, P., Benz, S., Saathoff, H., Schnaiter, M., Wagner, R.,
 Cotton, R., Krämer, M., Mangold, A., and Heymsfield, A. J.: Efficiency of the deposition
 mode ice nucleation on mineral dust particles. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3007–3021,
 doi:10.5194/acp-6-3007-2006, 2006.
- Murray, B. J, O'Sullivan, D., Atkinson, J.D., and Webb, M. E.: Ice nucleation by particles
 immersed in supercooled cloud droplets. Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6519-6554, 2012.
- 771 Niedermeier, D., Hartmann, S., Clauss, T., Wex, H., Kiselev, A., Sullivan, R. C., DeMott, P.
- J. Petters, M. D., Reitz, P., Schneider, J., Mikhailov, E., Sierau, B., Stetzer, O., Reimann, B.,
- Bundke, U., Shaw, R. A., Buchholz, A., Mentel, T. F., and Stratmann, F.: Experimental study
- of the role of physicochemical surface processing on the IN ability of mineral dust particles.
- 775 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 11131-11144, 2011a.
- 776 Niedermeier, D., R. A. Shaw, S. Hartmann, H. Wex, T. Clauss, J. Voigtländer and F.
- 777 Stratmann, Heterogeneous ice nucleation: exploring the transition from stochastic to singular
- 778 freezing behaviour, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8767–8775, 2011b.

- Niemand, M., Moehler, O., Vogel, B., Vogel, H., Hoose, C., Connolly, P., Klein, H.,
 Bingemer, H., DeMott, P., Skrotzki, J., and Leisner, T.: Parameterization of immersion
 freezing on mineral dust particles: An application in a regional scale model. J. Atmos. Sci.,
 69, 3077-3092, 2012.
- 783 O'Sullivan, D., Murray, B. J., Malkin, T. L., Whale, T. F., Umo, N. S., Atkinson, J. D., Price,
- H. C., Baustian, K. J., Browse, J., and Webb, M. E.: Ice nucleation by fertile soil dusts:
- relative importance of mineral and biogenic components, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 1853–
- 786 1867, doi:10.5194/acp-14-1853-2014, 2014.
- 787 Petters, M. D., Parsons, M. T., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Carrico, C.
- 788 M., Sullivan, A. P., McMeeking, G. R., Levin, E., Wold, C. E., Collett, J. L. Jr., and
- 789 Moosmüller, H.: Ice nuclei emissions from biomass burning. J. Geophys. Res., 114, D07209,
- 790 doi: 10.1029/2008JD011532, 2009.
- 791 Phillips, V. T. J., DeMott, P. J., Andronache, C., Pratt, K., Prather, K. A., Subramanian, R.,
- and Twohy, C.: Improvements to an Empirical Parameterization of Heterogeneous Ice
- 793 Nucleation and its Comparison with Observations. J. Atmos. Sci., 70, 378-409, 2013.
- Phillips, V. T. J., DeMott, P. J., and Andronache, C.: An Empirical Parametrisation of
 Heterogeneous Ice Nucleation for Multiple Chemical Species of Aerosol. J. Atmos. Sci., 65,
 2757-2783, 2008.
- Prenni, A. J., Petters, M. D., Faulhaber, A., Carrico, C. M., Ziemann, P. J., Kreidenweis, S.
 M., and DeMott, P. J.: Heterogeneous ice nucleation measurements of secondary organic
 aerosol generated from ozonolysis of alkenes, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L06808,
 doi:10.1029/2008GL036957, 2009.
- Richardson, M. S., 2009: Making Real Time Measurements of Ice Nuclei Concentrations at
 Upper Tropospheric Temperatures: Extending the Capabilities of the Continuous Flow
 Diffusion Chamber, Ph.D Dissertation, Colorado State University, 262 pp.
- 804 Richardson, M. S., DeMott, P. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Petters, M. D., and Carrico, C. M.,
- 805 2010: Observations of ice nucleation by ambient aerosol in the homogeneous freezing regime.
- 806 Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L04806, doi:10.1029/2009GL041912.
- 807 Reitz, P., Spindler, C., Mentel, T. F., Poulain, L., Wex, H., Mildenberger, K., Niedermeier,
- 808 D., Hartmann, S., Clauss, T., Stratmann, F., Sullivan, R. C., DeMott, P. J., Petters, M. D.,
- 809 Sierau, B., and Schneider, J.: Surface modification of mineral dust particles by sulphuric acid

- processing: implications for ice nucleation abilities. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7839-7858,2011.
- Rogers, D. C.: Development of a continuous flow thermal gradient diffusion chamber for ice
 nucleation studies. Atmos. Res., 22, 149–181, 1988.
- 814 Rogers, D.C., DeMott, P. J., Kreidenweis S. M., and Chen, Y.: A continuous flow diffusion
- 815 chamber for airborne measurements of ice nuclei, J. Atmos. Oceanic Technol., 18, 725-741,
- 816 2001.
- 817 Sassen, K., DeMott, P. J., Prospero, J., and Poellot, M. R.: Saharan dust storms and indirect
- 818 aerosol effects on clouds: CRYSTAL-FACE results, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, No. 12, 1633,
- 819 doi:10.1029/2003GL017371, 2003.
- 820 Seifert, P., Ansmann, A., Groß, S., Freudenthaler, V., Heinold, B., Hiebsch, A., Mattis, I.,
- 821 Schmidt, J., Schnell, F., Tesche, M., Wandinger, U., and Wiegner, M.: Ice formation in
- 822 ash-influenced clouds after the eruption of the Eyjafjallajökull volcano in April 2010, J.
- 823 Geophys. Res., 116, D00U04, doi:10.1029/2011JD015702, 2011.
- Stetzer, O., Baschek, B., Lüönd, F., and Lohmann, U.: The Zurich Ice Nucleation Chamber
 (ZINC)—A new instrument to investigate atmospheric ice formation. Aerosol Sci. Technol.,
 42, 64–74, 2008
- 827 Stith, J. L., Ramanathan, V., Cooper, W. A., Roberts, G., DeMott, P. J., Carmichael, G.,
- 828 Hatch, C. D., Adhikary, B., Twohy, C. H., Rogers, D. C., Baumgardner, D., Prenni, A. J.,
- Campos, T., Gao, R. S., Anderson, J., and Feng, Y.: An overview of aircraft observations
 from the Pacific Dust Experiment campaign. J. Geophys. Res., 114, D05207,
 doi:10.1029/2008JD010924, 2009.
- Sullivan, R. C., Petters, M. D., DeMott, P. J., Kreidenweis, S. M., Wex, H., Niedermeier, D.,
 Stratmann, F., Reitz, P., and Schneider, J.: Irreversible loss of ice nucleation surface sites in
- mineral dust particles induced by sulphuric acid condensation. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 11471-11487, 2010a.
- 836 Sullivan, R. C., Miñambres, L., DeMott, P. J., Prenni, A. J., Carrico, C. M., Levin, E. J.T., 837 and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Chemical processing does not always impair heterogeneous ice 838 nucleation particles. Geophys. 37, L24805, of mineral dust Res. Lett., 839 doi:10.1029/2010GL045540, 2010.

- 840 Tobo, Y., Prenni, A. J., DeMott, P. J., Huffman, J. A., McCluskey, C. S., Tian, G., Pöhlker,
- C., Pöschl, U., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Biological aerosol particles as a key determinant of
 ice nuclei populations in a forest ecosystem, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., DOI:
 10.1002/jgrd.50801, in press 2013.
- 844 Vali, G., Interpretation of freezing nucleation experiments: singular and stochastic; sites and
- 845 surfaces, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5271–5294, 2014.
- Vali, G., Nucleation Terminology, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 66, 1426–1427, 1985.
- 847 Wagner, R., Benz, S., Möhler, O., Saathoff, H., and Schurath, U.: Probing ice clouds by
- 848 broadband mid-infrared extinction spectroscopy: case studies from ice nucleation experiments
- 849 in the AIDA aerosol and cloud chamber. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6 (12), 4775–4800,
- doi:10.5194/acp-6-4775-2006, 2006.
- 851 Wang, Z., Wechsler, P., Kuestner, W., French, J., Rodi, A., Glover, B., Burkhart, M., and
- Lukens, D., Wyoming Cloud Lidar: instrument description and applications, Optics Express
 Vol. 17, Iss. 16, pp. 13576–13587, 2009.
- 854 Wang, Z., French, J., Vali, G., Wechsler, P., Haimov, S., Rodi, A., Deng, M., Leon, D.,
- Snider, J., Peng, L., and Pazmany, A. L.: Single aircraft integration of remote sensing and in
 situ sampling for the study of cloud microphysics and dynamics. BAMS, 93, 653–766. doi:
 10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00044.1, 2012.
- 858 Welti, A., Kanji, Z., Luound, F., Stetzer, O., and Lohmann, U.: Exploring the Mechanisms of
- 859 Ice Nucleation on Kaolinite: From Deposition Nucleation to Condensation Freezing, J.
 860 Atmos. Sci., 71, 16-36, 2014.
- Wex, H., DeMott, P. J., Tobo, Y., Hartmann, S., Raddatz, M., Clauss, T., Niedermeier, D.,
 and Stratmann, F.: Kaolinite particles as ice nuclei: learning from the use of different types of
 kaolinite and different coatings. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 14, 5529–5546, 2014.
- 864 Wright, T. P., and Petters, M. D.: The role of time in heterogeneous freezing nucleation, J.
- 865 Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 3731–3743, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50365, 2013

| Project | Expt | INP | Т | AIDA-INP | CFDC-INP | $n_{a>0.5\mu m}$ | $\mathbf{S}_{\mathrm{tot}}$ |
|---------|------|---------|-------|----------|----------|------------------|-----------------------------|
| | | type | (K) | fraction | fraction | (cm^{-3}) | $(\mu m2 \text{ cm}^{-3})$ |
| ICIS | 23 | CID | 247.9 | 0.0009 | 0.0012 | 36.0 | 61 |
| ICIS | 20 | SD | 249.7 | 0.0023 | 0.0038 | 28.0 | 102 |
| ACI03 | 43 | Amb | 238.3 | 0.0009 | 0.0002 | 10.1 | 246 |
| ACI03 | 9 | AD2cSOA | 245.1 | 0.0032 | 0.0018 | 58.0 | 226 |
| ACI03 | 7 | AD2cSOA | 245.6 | 0.0080 | 0.0025 | 29.0 | 117 |
| ACI03 | 2 | AD2 | 248.2 | 0.0008 | 0.0010 | 0.8 | 2.3 |
| ACI03 | 3 | AD2 | 247.2 | 0.0005 | 0.0005 | 0.6 | 3.1 |
| ICIS | 26 | Snomax | 265.2 | 0.0052 | 0.0060 | 88.0 | 1089 |

867 Table 1. Equivalent experiment comparisons between CFDC and AIDA



870 Figure 1. Calculated time-dependent temperature in Kelvin (T_k) , and supersaturation $(SS_w =$ 871 RH_w – 100%) for a potential INP starting at 0.3 μm diameter and cooling to a target temperature of -30° C and steady state SS_w of ~5% in transit through the CFDC, using the 872 873 model of Rogers (1988). Droplet diameter is shown for these calculated conditions ($D_{5\%}$) and 874 for conditions of SS_w approaching 2% ($D_{2\%}$) and 9% ($D_{9\%}$) in the growth region prior to the 875 point of transition into the ice saturated (evaporation) region of the CFDC. Perfect water 876 accommodation is assumed for these growth rate calculations. Flow rates specified are equivalent to those used in data collection for most samples used in this study, with a total 877 878 residence time of 7 seconds.



Figure 2. INP active fraction of mineral dust particles versus RHw during a "scan" of RHw from low to high values during CFDC sampling from the AIDA chamber prior to a "first expansion" cloud formation experiment using a Saharan Dust (type SD, AIDA Experiment #20, September 25, 2007)) aerosol distribution with $n_a = 411 \text{ cm}^{-3}$, $d_g = 0.18 \text{ }\mu\text{m}$ and $\sigma_g =$ 2.14. A 15 s running mean line overlays 1Hz data points. The regions of continuously increasing ice active fraction is distinguished from the region of onset of cloud droplet contamination of the ice signal above 108.5% RHw. Aerosol lamina temperature was maintained at 249.7 K during the scan.



Figure 3. Temperature, pressure, and the number fractions of particles in droplets and ice crystals for the ICIS 2007 AIDA cloud expansion experiment #22 using Saharan dust aerosols. The data (0.2 Hz as plotted) indicate the complete activation of particles to cloud droplets and minor fractions of these particles activating as INPs as the chamber temperature cools. Calculation of ice-active fraction is suspended at the point of strong ice crystal sedimentation after 350 s.



Figure 4. Vertical profiles during descent sounding by C130 aircraft on July 4, 2011 during
the ICE-T study. Panels from left to right, respectively, are FSSP-300 ambient particle
concentrations in clear air at optical diameters larger than 0.5 μm, FSSP-300 surface area in
the same size range, relative humidity and lidar linear depolarization ratio.



Figure 5. Relations between CFDC INP number concentrations measured at a nominal value of 105% RH_w and $n_{a>0.5 \ \mu m}$ in laboratory (lab) and field (PACDEX and ICE-T) measurements of Asian (AD) and Saharan (SD) dust particles at temperatures of approximately 253, 248, 243 and 238 degrees Kelvin. Dashed lines are not best fits for each temperature, but are instead determined from the empirical fit given by Eq. (2) (cf = 1, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1.25$, $\gamma = 0.46$, and $\delta = -11.6$). Uncertainties in observational data, given as twice the Poisson sampling error for the time-integrated samples, are shown by vertical error bars on data points. Note that at higher n_{INP} these error bars are not visible beyond the plotted point size. Representative measures of standard error in the predicted lines (see Fig. 6) are shown by capped error bars.

- - -



940

Figure 6. Prediction of Eq. 2 (cf = 1, $\alpha = 0$, $\beta = 1.25$, $\gamma = 0.46$, and $\delta = -11.6$), plotted versus raw field and laboratory data collected at 105% RH_w (Fig. 5), with lines added around the 1:1 line (solid) to indicate standard error (short-dashed) and 95% confidence intervals (longdashed).





Figure 7. As in Fig. 5, but comparing raw (Fig. 5) and predicted (cf = 1) results to the D10 parameterization (calculated for the same data) at nominal temperatures of 243 K and 253 K. Note that the D10 parameterization does not produce a straight line in the panel figures due to the variation of temperature within 1 K that was allowed in the analysis.



Figure 8. CFDC INP number concentration at peak RH_w values (preceding droplet breakthrough) in comparison to n_{INP} measured at 105% RH_w . A range of temperatures from approximately 253 to 238 K are represented, as shown. The dashed line expresses cf = 3 as a uniform correction on INP number concentration for this selection of data.



Figure 9. Comparison of CFDC maximum INP active fraction and AIDA cloud expansionsactive fractions for common experiments when the CFDC sampled from the AIDA chamber

961 prior to first expansion. The square point is for an experiment using SnomaxTM particles.





Figure 10. Comparison of ice nucleation parameterization from this study (Eq. 2 with cf = 3), with the D10 parameterization for calculations in the mixed-phase cloud regime.



975 Figure 11. Comparison of ice nucleation data and parameterizations for data collected 976 onboard the NSF/NCAR C-130 aircraft during the ICE-T study descent sounding through a 977 Saharan dust layer shown in Fig. 4. CFDC INP data plotted as a 30-s running average at 978 ambient conditions are given by the blue trace, the D10 parameterization is the long-dash 979 trace, the solid black trace labeled cf = 1 is the uncalibrated parameterization derived using Eq. 2 ($\alpha = 0, \beta = 1.25, \gamma = 0.46$, and $\delta = -11.6$), and the short-dash trace labeled cf = 3 is the 980 981 calibration-corrected parameterization with the same coefficients, both also corrected from 982 STP to ambient INP concentrations. Uncertainties representing twice the Poisson sampling 983 error of the 30-s running average data are given at two altitudes, and the standard errors of 984 the cf = 3 prediction are shown at two nearby altitudes. Plotted for comparison is the 985 parameterization of Niemand et al. (2012), using aerosol surface area and CFDC processing 986 temperature as input. CFDC processing temperature cooled from 248 K at 5 km to 246 K at 987 landing, while CFDC calculated RH_w at the lamina position was maintained at 105±0.5%. 988 The shaded region represents the marine boundary layer (MBL). Label F indicates that CFDC 989 sample air was being filtered. The data gap is when CFDC flow was shut off to remove an ice 990 crystal impactor.

991





Figure B1. a) A schematic depiction of a particle beam (shading) spread to a breadth of 4 times the ideal positions of the cold (blue) and warm (red) edges of the aerosol lamina on the basis of transit time measurements of a 1 s wide aerosol pulse through the CFDC column (b). The transit time measurement for a lamina central temperature of -30° C and 105% RH_w is compared to that calculated for a uniform distribution of particles residing fully within the aerosol lamina. Other calculated values in a) are the temperature profile (green), SSw (solid black), and downward (negative) air velocity (dashed) in the gap between the CFDC walls.



Figure B2. As in Fig. 2, raw 1 Hz CFDC data from an ICIS-2007 experiment on September 1013 1014 24, 2007 showing the fraction of total aerosol concentrations (measured by a CPC) appearing at OPC sizes above 3 µm during RH_w scanning for two experiments at -21°C when processing 1015 particles from a dust sample that had been collected following a dust storm in Israel (Kanji et 1016 al., 2011). The data termed NAUA was sampled following dispersion into a 4 m³ aerosol 1017 chamber, with concentrations of approximately 5000 cm⁻³ present at the time of sampling. 1018 The data termed AIDA was sampled directly from the AIDA expansion chamber prior to a 1019 cloud expansion, when the total particle concentrations were approximately 100 cm⁻³. Water 1020 1021 droplet breakthrough of the CFDC evaporation region occurs at ~108% in each case and progressively more activated cloud droplets survive through the evaporation region as RH_w is 1022 1023 increased further.