Dear Editor Quentin Errera,

Thanks to the suggestions of the referees, we feel the manuscript has improved since its initial form.

The most substantial changes we have made to the manuscript originate from two main comments from the reviewers. Firstly, the comment that the ensemble sizes were potentially too small motivated us to increase the number of ensemble members from 12 to 16 for all ensembles. This required updating of nearly all plots, and some adjustment of text, both in the results section and some slight modifications in the abstract and conclusions. However, we feel the change of ensemble size did not lead to the need to change the major findings of the study. Secondly, comments regarding the organization and length of the manuscript motivated us to restructure the presentation of results. Such changes can lead to "chain reactions" of necessary adjustments to the text in order to maintain a sense of flow between figures, therefore, a significant amount of the results section has undergone editing. The standard "tracked changes" version of the word document was quite messy and hard to read, therefore we have manually highlighted changes in the text: blue highlighting demarks text which is new or significantly modified, while gray highlighted text is that which has been moved basically verbatim from another location of the original manuscript. We hope this system facilitates a clear and easier read of the revised manuscript.

Point-by-point replies to the reviewer's comments with line number references are listed below. Many thanks again for the efforts of the editor and reviewers.

Sincerely,

Matthew Toohey

In the following, our responses to the reviewer's comments are in **bold**.

Reviewer 1:

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 24 July 2014

This manuscript presents a modeling investigation of the impact of volcanic aerosols on the NH stratospheric vortex. Model simulations are performed to examine the impact of the 1991 Mt Pinatubo eruption using four different aerosol forcing datasets. The analysis shows several robust results across the different forcing datasets (including changes in lower stratosphere temperatures, wave activity, and residual circulation), but also shows some differences. Perhaps the most important result is the lack of a robust NH polar vortex response.

The manuscript is well written, results are interesting and clearly presented, and I think the manuscript is suitable for publication in ACP in its current form.

I only have a couple of suggestions for the authors to consider.

1. Currently only ensemble mean quantities are shown. I think it would useful to include at least one figure showing the variability between the ensemble members, especially for polar vortex diagnostics. Maybe something like Figure 2, e.g., equivalent of Figure 2a but for each set of 12 runs.

We have added a figure (Fig. 9) showing the zonal mean zonal wind at 60°N, 10 hPa for each ensemble member of each ensemble. We agree with the reviewer that this is quite useful, and makes much more clear the differences (or lack thereof) of zonal wind between the different ensembles.

2. The full results for the 2 observation-based forcings are currently presented, and then the analysis is repeated for the model-based forcings. I wonder if might not be better to combine together, and compare extinction and heating for all 4, then temperature and winds for all 4, etc. I found myself effectively doing this as I read the paper.

Based on this comment, and comments from Reviewer 2 concerning the length of the manuscript, we have reorganized the presentation of results within the paper. We have used the suggested logic of grouping the results by field (zonal wind, temperature, etc). In order to shorten the paper, we have also merged the "grand ensemble" results with the results for the individual ensembles, such that the response features common to all ensembles need only be described once. Sections 3.2 and 3.3 of the revised manuscript are therefore the result of merging and some necessary rewording of the manuscript's prior Sections 3.2.2, 3.2.3, 3.3.2, 3.3.3, and 3.4. Figures 6, 7, 8, 10, and 12 have been formatted slightly differently, with the CTL absolute values being shown together with the VOLC grand

ensemble results, and the forced ensemble anomalies being now shown without the corresponding CTL absolute values.

Throughout the "changes marked" version of the revised manuscript, text which is relocated but basically unchanged is highlighted with gray, while text which is new, or modified version of prior text is highlighted in light blue.

Note, in order to further improve the flow of the presentation of results, we have also moved the discussion of the ERA-interim composites into Sec 2, and renamed the Section "Experiment: Observational basis, model and methods" (new and moved text comprising lines 94-143). Our justification for this move is that this discussion does not really belong to the results of the experiment presented in the paper, rather it is related to the hypothesis that the study tests. We find that the move significantly improves the flow of the presented experiment.

Reviewer 2:

There is some observational evidence for an increased strength of the stratospheric vortex in the NH in the winters after explosive volcanic eruptions. The stronger vortex is usually explained by an increased equator to pole temperature gradient in the lower stratosphere caused by the radiative effects of the volcanic aerosols.

In the present paper the impacts of 4 different aerosol forcings, all estimates of Pinatubo, are studied with a climate model. For each forcing an ensemble of 12 simulations is performed and the different ensembles are compared to a control ensemble.

Large differences are found among the ensembles and the changes are found to be fragile and sensitive to the details of the forcings. A more robust result is that the temperature gradient in the lower stratosphere is not due only to the direct radiative forcing but to a large extent is due to an increased wave-driven meridional circulation.

I find that the topic is interesting and that the paper contributes with important results.

The paper is also well written, although it is a little long.

Through modifications made to the manuscript (discussed above) based on the suggestions of the reviewers, we have decreased the length of the paper by about 400 words, and also significantly reduced the number of panels in the figures.

My main concern is the relatively small ensembles of 12 members. The winter stratosphere shows a lot of variability and I can not help worrying that many of the differences reported between the different forcings are only an effect of natural variability. If just a few members more in one ensemble than in another ensemble by chance end up in a weak vortex state this could dominate the ensemble means (like in Fig. 2). The authors do perform significance tests but bootstrapping is not so reliable for small

ensembles. It would be very interesting to see an additional 12 member ensemble for one of the forcings. The authors should also inspect all the individual simulations in the different ensembles and make sure that the ensemble means are not dominated by a few members.

We agree with the reviewer that more ensemble members would be ideal. In order to be consistent with the simulations used already in this work, new ensemble members should be produced from independent simulations of the historical period, with restarts at Jan 1 1991. Producing new initial conditions is therefore non-trivial.

In the time since the original manuscript was submitted, 4 new independent CMIP5 historical simulations have been completed using the MPI-ESM. We therefore have used these new 4 simulations to define 4 new initial condition states for our simulations, thereby increasing the ensemble size from 12 to 16 for all ensembles. The results based on 16 rather than 12 members have been included in the revised version.

As a result of the increase in ensemble size, the degree of difference between the S98 and SAGE_4 λ ensembles has decreased and differences at high latitudes is no longer significant. We have therefore modified the descriptions of the results, as well as certain statements in the abstract and conclusions of the paper accordingly.

The significant difference between the SVC and WVC forced ensembles has remained with the larger ensemble size. The degree of difference between SVC and the other ensembles is made visible in the new Figure 9, showing the zonal mean zonal wind at 60°N, 10 hPa. In this figure, one can see that in the SVC ensemble, only 2/16 members have zonal winds less than the mean of the CTL ensemble. We therefore feel that the main conclusion of the paper regarding the impact of spatial structure of the volcanic forcing on the stratospheric response is still justified. However, this conclusion is carefully qualified in the Discussion and Conclusions, where we argue that since the vortex response to volcanic forcing is mediated by wave forcing, modelling studies will need many ensemble members (even more than 16) to derive full confidence in the results.

There is a brief description of the bootstrapping in section 2.5. This description should be more detailed. Is it the ensemble members that are sampled? Normally one ends up with a distribution describing the null-hypothesis of no difference between ensembles and this null-hypothesis is then rejected if the observed difference falls in the tails of the distribution. Something else is done here but what?

We have added the following more explicit description of the bootstrapping technique (lines 246-253):

For example, for each latitude and pressure level, and for each forced ensemble, 1000 bootstrapped sample means are produced by sampling the 16 ensemble member values with replacement, resulting in an approximation of the uncertainty distribution for the mean value. The same process is applied to the CTL ensemble, with n=50. The difference between the two bootstrapped distributions defines the uncertainty in the mean difference, and is used to

define the 95% confidence interval of the ensemble mean difference. When the 95% interval excludes zero, differences are considered significant at the 95% confidence level.

Our bootstrap method produces a conservative estimate of significance, since it incorporates not only the variability of the control run, but also the uncertainty in each forced ensemble mean due to the variability of the forced ensemble members.

The authors seem to expect that the effect of the eruption last two winters; that is how they analyse the observations (Fig. 3). But when it comes to the model experiments only the first winter is considered. The authors should argue for this choice.

We have added an argument for focusing on the first winter to Sec 2.5, "Experiments" (lines 235-242). Briefly, we argue that it is possible the mechanisms linking volcanic forcing and the vortex response may be different in the first and second winters, and that focusing only on the first winter simplifies the analysis and interpretation of the model results.

Section 2.2 and 2.3: Why is there such a big difference between the two types of forcings (Fig. 1)?. The model based forcing seems much stronger than those based on observations. Also, is there any significant difference between the two model based forcings?

We have added a sentence regarding the potential reasons for differences between the observationand model-based forcings (lines 287-291). Basically, differences are due to a combination of underestimation of the AOD by observations due to saturation effects, or errors in the model simulations due to model-error or uncertainty in the SO2 injection amount and height.

Differences between the two model-based forcings are shown in Fig 5. The significance of these differences is quite difficult to define: since the "control" (either the control ensemble defined here, or preindustrial control run) has effectively a stratospheric aerosol forcing of zero – with zero variability – any differences between the two forcing sets will be significant. What really matters though is whether these differences have any influence on the response to the forcings, which is why we focus only on the significance in the responses to the forcings.

Section 3: It would be illustrative to see plots for all four individual winters of the zonal mean wind.

We have added Figure 9 (describes in lines 371-383), which shows the zonal mean wind at 60N and 10 hPa for all members of all ensembles.

I327: But the flux divergence in the stratosphere is very different in the two experiments and the small region you refer to is hardly significant.

This point has been moved to the discussion of the "grand" ensemble results (lines 399-403). In this case, the flux divergence at ~30N, and from 30-10 hPa is significant, and very likely clearly related to the positive anomaly in the residual circulation.

Paragraph beginning at line 487: I don't understand the arguments related to Fig. 13.

The largest difference between the control and the forced experiments is in the first panel which do not include the wave forcing. If changes were due to the dynamics I would expect that also the forced experiments would fall on the same line as the control experiment, but displaced towards one of the ends. The fact that the line has moved indicates a radiative change instead of a dynamical change?

We have amended Fig 13, by removing panel (c) and adding ensemble mean values to the horizontal and vertical axes of the remaining panels (a) and (b). We have also reworked the description and argumentation regarding Fig 13 (lines 462-484), which shows that for a given polar cap temperature, or for a given EP-flux, there is a small increase (~5 m/s) in zonal mean wind. This increase however is offset for 3 out of 4 of the volcanic ensembles by increases in EP-flux, which leads to a warmer, disturbed vortex. This figure is meant therefore to make 2 points. Firstly, it shows that typical relationships between dynamical quantities such as T and u, which are usually very highly correlated, are anomalous in volcanic winters. Secondly, the figure attempts to illustrate the negative feedback the increase in EP-flux produces in the simulations, and how the EP-flux increase counteracts the more direct impact of low-latitude heating due to the volcanic forcing. It is not necessarily an attempt to separate diabatic (radiative) from adiabatic (dynamical) heating, and we have removed any comments that could be construed in this direction.

Paragraph beginning at line 504: The discussion of the tropical upwelling does not seem to be central to the topic of the paper and could be deleted.

As suggested we have removed the tropical upwelling results.

In Figs. 7 and 11, (lower panels) it is difficult to see the difference between thin and thick curves. The authors should consider if these figures could be discarded as I don't think they contribute with important new information.

We agree with the reviewer's opinion that Figures 7 and 11 were hard to read, but we feel that the information contained in them, while strictly obtainable from other figures, summarizes a major finding of the paper, that the temperature anomalies at high latitudes result from circulation changes, not direct radiative aerosol heating. We have combined Figure 7 and 11 and simplified the presentation (including removing the gradient plots) in the current Figure 12 (described in lines 418-432).

1 The impact of volcanic aerosol on the Northern Hemisphere stratospheric polar

2 vortex: mechanisms and sensitivity to forcing structure

3 Matthew Toohey¹, Kirstin Krüger^{1,2}, Matthias Bittner^{3,4}, Claudia Timmreck³, Hauke Schmidt³ 4 5 6 [1] {GEOMAR Helmholtz Centre for Ocean Research Kiel, Kiel, Germany} 7 [2] {University of Oslo, Department of Geosciences, Oslo, Norway} 8 [3] {Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany} 9 [4] {International Max Planck Research School on Earth System Modeling (IMPRS), Hamburg, Germany} 10 11 Correspondence to: Matthew Toohey (mtoohey@geomar.de) 12

- 13 Abstract
- 14

15 Observations and simple theoretical arguments suggest that the Northern Hemisphere (NH) 16 stratospheric polar vortex is stronger in winters following major volcanic eruptions. However, recent 17 studies show that climate models forced by prescribed volcanic aerosol fields fail to reproduce this 18 effect. We investigate the impact of volcanic aerosol forcing on stratospheric dynamics, including the 19 strength of the NH polar vortex, in ensemble simulations with the Max Planck Institute Earth System 20 Model. The model is forced by four different prescribed forcing sets representing the radiative 21 properties of stratospheric aerosol following the 1991 eruption of Mt. Pinatubo: two forcing sets are 22 based on observations, and are commonly used in climate model simulations, and two forcing sets are 23 constructed based on coupled aerosol-climate model simulations. For all forcings, we find that 24 temperature and zonal wind anomalies in the NH high latitudes are not directly impacted by anomalous 25 volcanic aerosol heating. Instead, high latitude effects result from enhancements in stratospheric 26 residual circulation, which in turn result, at least in part, from enhanced stratospheric wave activity. 27 High latitude effects are therefore much less robust than would be expected if they were the direct 28 result of aerosol heating. Both observation-based forcing sets result in insignificant changes in vortex 29 strength. For the model-based forcing sets, the vortex response is found to be sensitive to the structure of the forcing, with one forcing set leading to significant strengthening of the polar vortex in rough 30 31 agreement with observation-based expectations. Differences in the dynamical response to the forcing 32 sets imply that reproducing the polar vortex responses to past eruptions, or predicting the response to 33 future eruptions, depends on accurate representation of the space-time structure of the volcanic 34 aerosol forcing.

36 1. Introduction

37

38 The Northern Hemisphere (NH) stratospheric winter polar vortex, which shows considerable interannual 39 and intra-seasonal variability, has been observed to be stronger than normal in winters after major 40 volcanic eruptions (Kodera, 1995; Labitzke and van Loon, 1989). While this observation is based on a 41 relatively small sample (limited to the winters after the 1963 Agung, 1982 El Chichón and 1991 Pinatubo 42 eruptions), the theoretical argument to explain such a strengthening appears clear: namely, that heating 43 of the lower stratosphere through the absorption of radiation by volcanic sulfate aerosols enhances the 44 equator-to-pole temperature gradient in the lower stratosphere, which, through the thermal wind 45 equation, leads to stronger westerly winds (Robock, 2000 and references therein). Satellite observations clearly show a warming of the tropical lower stratosphere after volcanic eruptions (Labitzke and 46 47 McCormick, 1992), so changes in meridional temperature gradients and zonal winds are logical 48 consequences. The degree to which secondary feedback mechanisms -- such as changes in ozone or 49 upward propagating planetary waves (e.g., Graf et al., 2007; Stenchikov et al., 2006)-- also affect the 50 vortex strength is at present unclear.

51

52 Post-volcanic strengthening of the NH polar vortex is an important step in a proposed mechanism which 53 explains observed changes in surface climate in post-eruption winters (Kodera, 1994; Perlwitz and Graf, 54 1995). Specifically, it is thought that through stratosphere-troposphere coupling (Baldwin and Dunkerton, 2001; Gerber et al., 2012) the volcanically-induced strong stratospheric polar vortex leads to 55 56 the observed positive anomalies in surface dynamical indexes such as the Northern Annular Mode 57 (NAM) or North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO) (Christiansen, 2008). Such dynamical changes lead to the 58 "winter warming" pattern of post-volcanic temperature anomalies (Robock and Mao, 1992), which is characterized by warmer temperatures over large regions of the NH continents during winter which 59 60 oppose the overall cooling impact of the volcanic aerosols on the surface. On the other hand, in a model 61 study, Stenchikov (2002) found that a positive phase of the NAM was also produced in an experiment in 62 which only the tropospheric impact of volcanic aerosols was included, implying that aerosol heating in 63 the lower tropical stratosphere is not necessary to force a positive NAM response. Whatever the 64 mechanisms, observations show that 11 out of 13 eruptions since 1870 were followed by positive 65 wintertime NAO values (Christiansen, 2008): the apparent robustness of this post-eruption dynamical 66 response should allow for enhanced skill in seasonal prediction for winters which follow volcanic 67 eruptions (e.g., Marshall et al., 2009).

69 While a number of early model simulations reported qualified success in simulating the atmospheric 70 dynamical response to volcanic eruptions (e.g., Graf et al., 1993; Kirchner et al., 1999; Rozanov, 2002; 71 Shindell et al., 2001), assessments of the multi-model ensembles of the Coupled Model Intercomparison 72 Projects (CMIP) 3 and 5 showed no significant winter warming response to prescribed volcanic forcing, 73 nor did they show significant anomalies in post-eruption dynamical quantities in the stratosphere or at 74 the surface (Driscoll et al., 2012; Stenchikov et al., 2006). It has been suggested that in order for a model 75 to successfully respond to volcanic forcing, it should include a reasonably well-resolved stratosphere 76 (Shindell, 2004; Stenchikov et al., 2006). However, analysis of the CMIP5 ensemble revealed no 77 appreciable systematic difference in post-eruption geopotential height anomalies to volcanic aerosol 78 forcing between models with or without well-resolved stratospheres (Charlton-Perez et al., 2013). 79 80 Most model simulations which incorporate the impact of volcanic eruptions, such as the CMIP3 and 5 81 historical simulations, do so using prescribed volcanic aerosol fields, which have associated

82 uncertainties. In this study we investigate how the response of the atmosphere to volcanic aerosol 83 forcing depends on the prescribed aerosol forcing used in the simulation. We use one CMIP5 model, the 84 MPI-ESM, and focus on the first winter after Mt. Pinatubo, the period of strongest volcanic forcing 85 within the era of satellite observations. We run ensemble simulations using four different forcing data 86 sets: two observation-based forcing sets, based primarily on different versions of SAGE II aerosol 87 extinction measurements, and two model-constructed aerosol forcing sets. By assessing the model 88 response to these forcing sets, we investigate (1) the mechanisms linking volcanic aerosol heating in the 89 lower tropical stratosphere and NH winter vortex strength, (2) what stratospheric circulation responses 90 to volcanic aerosol forcing are robustly produced by the model and forcings, and (3) the sensitivity of

91 the vortex response to changes in the space-time structure of the volcanic forcing.

92

93 2 Experiment: Observational basis, model and methods

94 In this section, the hypothesis that volcanic eruptions produce a strengthened NH winter polar vortex is

- 95 briefly summarized by examining ERA-interim reanalysis data. Then, the materials and methods of the
- 96 present modelling study are presented including the model and volcanic forcing sets used, and the
- 97 design of the ensemble simulations and analysis.
- 98 2.1 NH polar vortex response to volcanic eruptions in ERA-interim
- 99

100 The NH polar vortex is highly variable as a result of unforced internal variability, and the impact of 101 external forcings such as volcanic eruptions, the 11-year solar cycle, the El Niño Southern Oscillation 102 (ENSO), and the QBO. Isolating the vortex response to any individual forcing term can be difficult, 103 especially in the case of major volcanic eruptions for which so few actual events have occurred within 104 the era of satellite measurements. 105 106 A common and simple method to isolate the pure volcanic impact on the state of the NH winter 107 stratosphere is to simply average post-eruptive anomalies over winters after recent major volcanic 108 eruptions. This technique is here applied to ERA-Interim reanalysis (Dee et al., 2011) temperature and 109 zonal wind fields after the eruptions of El Chichón (1982) and Pinatubo (1991). Post-eruption winter 110 anomalies are constructed for the two winters after each eruption by differencing post-eruptive 111 December-to-February (DJF) mean fields with fields averaged for 3 (El Chichón) and 5 (Pinatubo) years 112 before the eruption (the shorter reference period for El Chichón resulting from the fact that the ERA-113 Interim data set begins in 1979). Differences in post-eruption anomalies for Pinatubo are not strongly 114 dependent on the choice of a 3, 4 or 5 year reference period. 115 116 Figure 1 (left) shows ERA-Interim temperature and zonal wind anomalies composited for 4 winters, the 2 117 winters each after Pinatubo and El Chichón. Mean temperature anomalies in the post-volcanic 118 composite show positive anomalies in the tropical lower stratosphere, as would be expected due to 119 aerosol heating. Temperature anomalies also show cooling of the tropical upper stratosphere, cooling of 120 the NH polar lower stratosphere, and warming of the NH polar upper stratosphere. Mean post-volcanic 121 zonal winds show a strengthening of the NH winter polar vortex by ~ 6 m/s. Such a simple average with a 122 small sample size does not completely remove the influences of variability resulting from other forcing 123 terms—notably the solar activity was at a maximum at the times of both the El Chichón and Pinatubo 124 eruptions, and El Niño events were observed in the first winters after both eruptions—but the 125 composite temperature and zonal wind anomaly structure is certainly a better approximation of the 126 direct volcanic impact than anomalies in any single post-volcanic year. For comparison, single winter 127 anomalies are shown for the first winter after the Pinatubo eruption. Temperature anomalies for DJF 128 1991/92 roughly follow the structure of the 4-year composite, albeit with tropical positive anomalies 129 located at higher altitudes, and weaker polar lower stratosphere cooling. Post-Pinatubo zonal wind 130 anomalies in the tropics reflect the state of the QBO at the time, with negative (easterly) wind 131 anomalies in the middle stratosphere (~50-15 hPa) and positive (westerly) anomalies in the upper

132 stratosphere (15-2 hPa). The polar vortex in the first post-Pinatubo winter was actually not as clearly 133 enhanced as the 4-year composite, with positive zonal wind anomalies only in the mid to lower polar 134 stratosphere centered at ~70°N. It is likely that in addition to the volcanic forcing, the vortex in DJF 135 1991/92 was weakened somewhat due to the influences of the concurrent forcing of the El Niño and QBO easterly phase. Based on these arguments, it can be hypothesized that the pure response of the 136 137 stratosphere to Pinatubo aerosol forcing would have the approximate structure of the composite 138 response shown in Fig. 1 (left), albeit with greater amplitude, since the aerosol optical depth and hence 139 aerosol radiative heating during the first post-Pinatubo winter is the strongest of the years used in the 140 volcanic composite. This "expected" response in the first post-Pinatubo winter is based on a small 141 sample size of observations, and an assumption of linear response to the magnitude of volcanic forcing, 142 however, in light of limited evidence, it represents a best first-order, observation-based expectation, 143 consistent with that assumed explicitly or implicitly in prior studies. 144 145 2.2 MPI-ESM 146 147 The MPI-ESM is a full Earth System model, with atmosphere, ocean, carbon cycle, and vegetation

components. Major characteristics of the full ESM and its performance in the CMIP5 experiments are
described by Giorgetta et al. (2013). The "low resolution" model configuration (MPI-ESM-LR) is used
here, with horizontal resolution of the atmospheric component given by a triangular truncation at 63
wave numbers (T63) and 47 vertical layers extending to 0.01 hPa. Unlike model configurations with
higher vertical resolutions, the LR version has no internally generated Quasi Biennial Oscillation (QBO).
CMIP5 historical simulations have previously been performed with the MPI-ESM model over the time

period 1850-2005. Prescribed external forcings for the historical simulations, including volcanic aerosols
as well as greenhouse gases and ozone follow CMIP5 recommendations, and the responses to these
forcings are described by Schmidt et al. (2013).

158

The MPI-ESM is configured to take volcanic aerosol forcing data in two formats, both of which are used in this study. One format consists of monthly zonal mean values of aerosol extinction, single scattering albedo, and asymmetry factor as a function of time, pressure, and the 30 short wave and long wave spectral bands used by the model. This format is consistent with the observation-based forcings sets introduced in Sec 2.3. A second format consists of monthly zonal mean aerosol extinction at 0.55 μm, 164 and zonal mean effective radius, both as a function of latitude, height, and time. Pre-calculated look-up 165 tables are then used to scale the 0.55 μ m extinction to the wavelengths of the model's radiation code 166 based on the effective radius. This methodology has been used to perform MPI-ESM simulations using 167 the forcing timeseries of Crowley et al. (2008, e.g., Timmreck et al., 2009) or output from prior runs of 168 the MAECHAM5-HAM coupled aerosol-climate model, (e.g., Timmreck et al., 2010) as done in this study 169 and described in Sec 2.4.

170

171 2.3 Observation-based aerosol forcing sets

172

173 Volcanic sulfate aerosol forcing for the MPI-ESM CMIP5 historical simulations is based on an extended 174 version of the aerosol data set developed by Stenchikov et al. (1998, hereafter S98) on the basis of SAGE 175 II measurements of aerosol extinction at 1.02 μ m and estimates of effective radii derived from 176 instruments on the Upper Atmosphere Research Satellite. The data are given at 40 pressure levels and 177 interpolated to the actual hybrid model layers during the simulations. The S98 data set is based 178 primarily on retrievals of aerosol extinction at 1.02 µm from SAGE II, with gaps filled with data from 179 ground-based lidar systems. Together, the S98 forcing set and that from Sato et al., (1993, with updates 180 http://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/strataer/), both primarily based on SAGE II data, have been used 181 in roughly half of the models that performed CMIP5 historical simulations (Driscoll et al., 2012). 182 183 Subsequent updates to the SAGE II retrievals have led to significant changes in the space-time 184 morphology of the estimated aerosol extinction after Pinatubo (Arfeuille et al., 2013; Thomason and 185 Peter, 2006). A new volcanic forcing set (SAGE_4 λ , Arfeuille et al., 2013) has been compiled and made 186 available to modeling centers (http://www.pa.op.dlr.de/CCMI/CCMI_SimulationsForcings.html), 187 specifically for use in Chemistry climate simulations within the Chemistry Climate Model 188 Intercomparison (CCMI) initiative (Eyring and Lamarque, 2013). Timeseries of zonal mean aerosol optical 189 depth (AOD) at 1 µm – the wavelength closest to the original SAGE II measurements and so less impacted 190 by uncertainties in derived aerosol size distribution—are shown in Fig. 2 over the Pinatubo period for 191 the S98 and SAGE_4 λ reconstructions. 192

193 It should be noted that even for Pinatubo—the best-observed eruption in history—the observation-

194 based volcanic aerosol forcing sets suffer from significant but mostly unquantified uncertainties. Most

195 notably, gaps in the satellite record result from sparse sampling of the satellite instruments (Stenchikov

- et al., 1998) and the fact that large optical depths in the initial months after the Pinatubo eruptionreduced atmospheric transmission below detectability (Russell et al., 1996).
- 198

199 2.4 Model-based aerosol forcing sets

200

201 Two "synthetic" volcanic aerosol forcing sets were constructed based on a 12-member ensemble of 202 simulations of a Pinatubo-like eruption using the MAECHAM5-HAM coupled aerosol-climate model with 203 SO₂ injections of 17 Tg and prescribed climatological sea surface temperatures (Toohey et al., 2011). 204 Figure 3 shows lower stratospheric zonal mean temperature anomalies (at 100 hPa) and zonal wind 205 anomalies (at 50 hPa) for these simulations, in comparison with ERA-Interim post-volcanic anomalies 206 described in Sec. 2.1. Most of the MAECHAM5-HAM ensemble members (roughly 9/12) show 207 characteristics of a strengthened polar vortex in the lower stratosphere, as quantified as negative 208 temperature anomalies at polar latitudes and positive zonal wind anomalies between 60° and 80°N in 209 Fig. 3. However, the ensemble variability of the simulations is pronounced, with 3 members showing a 210 weakened polar vortex with positive temperature anomalies over the polar cap and negative wind 211 anomalies between 60° and 80°N. From the full 12-member ensemble, two subsets were defined based 212 on the zonal wind anomalies, with strong and weak vortex composites (hereafter SVC and WVC) 213 selected respectively as the 3 members with the most positive and most negative zonal wind anomalies 214 at 50 hPa and 70°N. Aerosol properties (aerosol extinction at 0.55 µm and effective radius) for these two 215 composites were collected for use in MPI-ESM simulations. SVC and WVC zonal mean AOD timeseries, 216 scaled to 1 μ m so as to be consistent with the observation-based AOD timeseries, are shown in Fig. 1. 217

218 2.5 Experiments

219

The forcing sets described above were used to force four sixteen-member ensemble simulations of the Pinatubo eruption time period. The number of MPI-ESM realizations used here is therefore notably greater than the three MPI-ESM realizations used in prior single- (Schmidt et al., 2013) or multi-model (Charlton-Perez et al., 2013; Driscoll et al., 2012) investigations of the CMIP5 historical simulations. Ten of the sixteen unique initial condition states (at June 1991) were taken from ten independent, preexisting CMIP5 historical simulations. In order to increase the ensemble size, six of the historical simulations were restarted in 1980 with a small atmospheric perturbation applied, and integrated until

- 1991. All simulations were therefore forced with S98 volcanic forcing up until June 1991, at which point
 forcing either continued as S98, or switched to one of the other forcings.
- 229
- A control ensemble (CTL) is based on the five year period 1986-1990 for the original ten historical
- simulations used to produce the initial conditions, comprising in total 50 years, during which the other
- external forcings are negligibly different from 1991-1992 conditions. Anomalies for the volcanic
- ensembles are computed by differencing ensemble mean results with the CTL ensemble mean.
- 234
- 235 Observations imply that the NH winter vortex response to volcanic forcing may last for two years. While
- 236 considering the results for the first two winters together certainly increases the ensemble size, it is quite
- 237 possible that the mechanisms leading to vortex response are different in the first and second winters
- after an eruption. The magnitude of aerosol forcing will be different for the two winters for any forcing
- 239 set, with typically stronger aerosol forcing occurring in the first winter. Such temporal changes are likely
- 240 larger than differences between the different forcing sets used in this study. With these potential
- complications in mind, in order to simplify the analysis and interpretation of the model results in this
- study, we choose to focus our analysis only on the first winter after the Pinatubo eruption.
- 243
- Unless stated, results shown are ensemble means. Confidence intervals (95% level) are calculated for
 differences between forced and CTL ensemble means and the differences between the forced ensemble
 means using a bootstrapping technique, with 1000 resamples of the original ensembles. For example,
 for each latitude and pressure level, and for each forced ensemble, 1000 bootstrapped sample means
 are produced by sampling the 16 ensemble member values with replacement, resulting in an
 approximation of the uncertainty distribution for the mean value. The same process is applied to the CTL
 ensemble, with n=50. The difference between the two bootstrapped distributions defines the
- 251 uncertainty in the mean difference, and is used to define the 95% confidence interval of the ensemble
- 252 mean difference. A parallel procedure is used to define the confidence intervals for the differences of
- 253 two volcanically forced ensembles.
- 254
- 255 **3 Results**
- 256
- 257 3.1 Radiative forcing
- 258

259 Latitude-pressure plots of zonal mean DJF 1 µm extinction (EXT) are shown in Fig. 4 for the S98 and 260 SAGE_4λ forcing sets. A major difference between the two forcing sets is the vertical distribution of 261 extinction in the tropics, with SAGE 4λ extinction being more constrained to the lower stratosphere, 262 compared to S98 which has considerable extinction extending down into the upper troposphere. This 263 difference in tropical extinction is the result of improvements in the SAGE_4 λ retrieval: the S98 vertical 264 distribution in the tropics is very likely unrealistic (Arfeuille et al., 2013). The two forcing sets also differ 265 in terms of the magnitude of tropical extinction, with SAGE 4λ having stronger extinction in the tropical lower stratosphere for all wavelengths greater than 0.55 µm. Differences in extinction magnitude are 266 267 also apparent in the high latitude lower stratosphere of both hemispheres, with SAGE 4 λ extinction 268 much smaller than that of \$98.

269

Direct aerosol radiative heating rates (Q^{aer}) are computed by performing radiative transfer calculations
at each model timestep twice, once with and once without the volcanic aerosols [as in *Stenchikov et al.*,
1998]. We have calculated Q^{aer} for single realizations of each forced ensemble.

273

Net (longwave + shortwave) Q^{aer} for DJF for the two observation-based forcing sets is shown in Figure 4. 274 275 Q^{aer} values are positive over most of the stratosphere for both S98 and SAGE 4 λ forcings, with highest 276 magnitude in the tropical lower stratosphere at approximately 30 hPa, just north of the equator. Like 277 the extinction values (Fig. 4, upper row), S98 heating rates are spread over a larger vertical extent than 278 SAGE $_4\lambda$ heating rates: at the equator, S98 heating rates > 0.1 K/day extend from ~100 hPa upwards whereas for the SAGE_4 λ forcing set, heating rates >0.1K/day begin ~60 hPa. Like the extinction at 1 μ m 279 (and longer wavelengths) SAGE 4 λ forcing leads to stronger Q^{aer}, with maximum values of 0.5 K/day 280 compared to max values of 0.3 K/day for S98. Although minor compared to the differences in the 281 tropical stratosphere, there are differences in Q^{aer} in the NH polar latitudes, with S98 leading to slightly 282 larger Q^{aer} values in the NH polar lower stratosphere than for SAGE 4 λ . 283

284

Figure 5 shows DJF 1 μ m aerosol extinction for the SVC and WVC forcing sets. Compared to the

286 observation-based forcing sets, both model-based forcing sets have greater magnitudes of aerosol

287 extinction, especially in the high latitudes. Such differences likely arise from a combination of (1)

288 underestimates in the observation-based forcing sets due to saturation effects, especially in the weeks

289 directly following the eruption, and (2) potential errors in the model simulations, either in terms of

290 general model deficiencies, or errors in the model formulation in regards to the actual Pinatubo

291 eruption (e.g., SO₂ injection amount or height). The model-based extinctions also have stronger 292 gradients (both vertical and horizontal) across the tropopause compared to the observation-based 293 forcing sets. These differences, especially in the vertical, are likely due to the limited vertical resolution 294 of the observations. The primary difference between the SVC and WVC forcings is the hemispheric 295 partitioning of the aerosol extinction, with WVC having larger extinctions in the NH than SVC. We 296 interpret this difference as a result of wave driven circulation in the NH: in cases of strong NH wave 297 forcing in the original MAECHAM5-HAM runs, a stronger residual circulation transports more aerosol 298 from the tropical region towards the NH, while also disturbing the NH polar vortex. Therefore, by 299 selecting cases of weak polar vortex, we also select cases of strong northward aerosol transport. 300 Another major difference is the magnitude of extinction in the NH high latitudes, and therefore the 301 gradient in extinction around 60°N. The stronger aerosol extinction gradient in the SVC forcing set is 302 obviously a result of the strong vortex in the MAECHAM5-HAM simulations, which inhibits mixing of 303 aerosol into the polar cap. In the tropics, SVC and WVC have very small differences, and their vertical 304 distribution is almost identical. 305 306 Q^{aer} values from the model-based forcing sets, also shown in Fig. 5, are more similar to the observation-307 based Q^{aer} values than the extinctions: the differences is high latitude extinction have relatively little impact on the Q^{aer} differences due to the much weaker LW radiation field here than in the tropics. 308 Differences in Q^{aer} between the two model-based forcing ensembles are relatively small (compared to 309 310 differences between the two observation-based forcing ensembles), and are characterized primarily by the north-south shift between the two forcing sets, and the gradients in Q^{aer} at 60°S and 60°N. At high 311 latitudes, Q^{aer} values are apparently not strongly dependent on the aerosol extinction, e.g., SVC has 312 smaller aerosol extinction values in polar latitudes than WVC, but shows larger Q^{aer}. This is likely due to 313 314 the fact that the net (absorption-emission) long wave heating rate is strongly temperature dependent 315 because of the temperature dependence of the emission. As shown below, the SVC ensemble is characterized by a colder polar vortex than for WVC, which should lead to less emission and thus larger 316 317 net heating. 318 319 3.2 Temperature and zonal wind response 320 321 In order to determine which thermal and dynamical responses to the volcanic forcings are robust across 322 the different forcings, results are first examined by concatenating the 64 volcanic simulations into one

323	"grand" ensemble (VOLC), with ensemble mean anomalies of temperature and zonal wind shown in Fig.
324	6.
325	
326	Significant and robust temperature responses in the volcanic simulations include positive anomalies in
327	the tropical lower stratosphere and negative temperature anomalies in the troposphere, extending to
328	the surface between approximately 70°S-45°N. In the NH high latitude stratosphere, the temperature
329	anomaly structure for the VOLC ensemble is roughly consistent with that shown by Schmidt et al. (2013)
330	for three MPI-ESM realizations (using S98 forcing), with positive temperature anomalies in the upper
331	stratosphere and mesosphere. However, while temperature anomalies for the VOLC ensemble are
332	significant throughout most of the tropopause and lower-to-middle stratosphere, anomalies in the NH
333	polar region are generally not significant.
334	
335	Significant zonal wind responses in the VOLC ensemble include weakening of the subtropical jets at $^{\sim}30^{\circ}$
336	and ~100hPa of both hemispheres by 2-4 m/s, and a weakening of the SH stratospheric easterlies by 4-6
337	m/s. Grand ensemble mean zonal wind anomalies in the NH high latitude stratosphere reach a
338	maximum of ~2 m/s, and are not significant.
339	
339 340	Temperature and zonal wind anomalies are shown separately for the observation- and model-based
	Temperature and zonal wind anomalies are shown separately for the observation- and model-based forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ
340	
340 341	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ
340 341 342	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of
340 341 342 343	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K
340 341 342 343 344	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two
340 341 342 343 344 345	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for
340 341 342 343 344 345 346	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of
340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of Fig. 7) are significant at the 95% confidence level between approximately 200 and 20 hPa. The SAGE_4 λ
340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of Fig. 7) are significant at the 95% confidence level between approximately 200 and 20 hPa. The SAGE_4 λ ensemble shows slightly larger warming in the polar upper stratosphere, although the difference
 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of Fig. 7) are significant at the 95% confidence level between approximately 200 and 20 hPa. The SAGE_4 λ ensemble shows slightly larger warming in the polar upper stratosphere, although the difference
 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of Fig. 7) are significant at the 95% confidence level between approximately 200 and 20 hPa. The SAGE_4 λ ensemble shows slightly larger warming in the polar upper stratosphere, although the difference between S98 and SAGE_4 λ is not significant.
 340 341 342 343 344 345 346 347 348 349 350 351 	forcing ensembles in Figures 7 and 8, respectively. For the observation based forcings S98 and SAGE_4 λ (Fig. 7), the general features are consistent with the VOLC grand ensemble. In agreement with Q ^{aer} of Fig. 4, SAGE_4 λ tropical temperature anomalies are greater in magnitude, with peak values of 4.8 K compared to 3.6 K for S98. Temperature anomalies are also shifted in height between the two ensembles, with peak temperature anomalies located at 30 hPa for SAGE_4 λ , compared to 50 hPa for S98. Differences in tropical temperature anomalies between the two ensembles (right-most column of Fig. 7) are significant at the 95% confidence level between approximately 200 and 20 hPa. The SAGE_4 λ ensemble shows slightly larger warming in the polar upper stratosphere, although the difference between S98 and SAGE_4 λ is not significant.

356	For the model-based forcing ensembles SVC and WVC, temperature anomalies in the tropics and
357	midlatitudes are quite similar in structure between the two ensembles and similar to <mark>the grand</mark>
358	<mark>ensemble mean</mark> . In the NH high latitudes, however, the temperature responses are quite different in
359	structure between the SVC and WVC ensembles. The SVC ensemble produces a NH high latitude
360	temperature anomaly pattern with significant warming in the upper stratosphere and lower
361	mesosphere. WVC, on the other hand, gives a temperature anomaly pattern with insignificant positive
362	temperature anomalies in the polar lower and middle stratosphere. Differences between the two
363	forcing sets are significant in the polar lower and upper stratosphere.
364	
365	Zonal wind anomalies for the model-based forcing ensembles follow from the temperature anomalies.
366	The SVC ensemble produces a significant strengthening of the NH polar vortex, with peak zonal wind
367	anomalies 6-8 m/s. The WVC ensemble produces no significant change in NH vortex winds. <mark>The</mark>
368	difference between the vortex response in the SVC and WVC ensembles is significant in the polar lower
369	stratosphere, and in the mid-to-upper stratosphere at the highest latitudes (70-90°N).
370	
371	DJF zonal mean zonal wind at 60°N and 10 hPa for each realization of each ensemble is shown in Figure
372	9. Ensemble means with 95% confidence intervals are also shown. The mean of the control ensemble is
373	marked by a horizontal dashed line. Consistent with results discussed above, only the SVC ensemble
374	shows a significant zonal wind response to volcanic forcing, with an ensemble mean 95% interval which
375	excludes the control mean. In terms of individual ensemble members, 13/16 members of the SVC
376	ensemble have zonal mean wind greater than the control mean, although clearly all of the members lie
377	within the natural variability of the control ensemble. Three SVC members have zonal winds weaker
378	than the control; as such, the response to SVC forcing is not totally robust and the increase in ensemble
379	mean vortex strength appears to represent a change in the probability of weak vs. strong vortex states.
380	The WVC and S98 ensembles show ensemble means and spreads very similar to the control ensemble,
381	with S98 showing a weak and insignificant positive anomaly in wind strength. The SAGE_4 λ ensemble
382	shows an ensemble mean equal to that of the control ensemble, but interestingly shows some evidence
383	of a decrease in ensemble variability.
384	
385	3.3 Wave-driven circulation response
386	

- 387 For all ensembles, we have computed transformed Eulerian mean (TEM) diagnostics (Andrews et al.,
- 1987), including Eliassen-Palm (EP) fluxes, the meridional residual mass circulation stream function (ψ^*),
- the residual vertical velocity (\bar{w}^*), and temperature tendencies due to vertical residual advection.
- 390 Ensemble means of these quantities have been compared to values from the control ensemble in order
- to compute post-volcanic anomalies in the first NH winter. As for the temperature and zonal wind
- 392 anomalies, selected TEM quantities are examined first in terms of the grand VOLC ensemble in Figure
- 393 <mark>10.</mark>
- 394
- 395 It is well known that the variability of polar vortex strength is largely controlled by planetary wave drag,
- 396 and therefore on the upward wave flux from the troposphere into the stratosphere (Newman et al.,
- 2001; Polvani and Waugh, 2004). The vertical component of EP-flux (F_z) is a commonly used proxy for
- the amount of wave activity entering and propagating through the stratosphere. Figure 10 shows DJF F_z
- 399 for the control ensemble and anomalies for VOLC volcanic ensemble. Around the tropospause (~100-200
- 400 hPa), F_z anomalies in the VOLC ensemble are negative in the midlatitude (30-45°) regions of both
- 401 hemispheres, and generally positive in the mid-to-high latitudes (45-90°N). Positive F_z anomalies are
- 402 significant throughout the SH stratosphere, while in the NH, significant positive F_z anomalies occur
- 403 around 60°N and 100 hPa, and extend upwards slanting equatorward with height.
- 404
- Convergence of EP-flux (or negative values of EP-flux divergence, EPFD) leads to wave drag, a slowing of
 the wintertime westerly (eastward) zonal wind and a poleward residual circulation. Enhanced wave drag
 in volcanic simulations is found throughout the SH stratosphere and in the midlatitude NH middle
- 408 stratosphere (around 30°N, 10 hPa, Fig. 10d). Wave drag in the latter location is especially important for
- 409 forcing the residual circulation (Shepherd and McLandress, 2011). Figure 10 (e,f) shows the CTL and
- 410 VOLC anomalies of the meridional residual circulation stream function. The poleward NH residual
- 411 circulation stream function is found to be enhanced in the VOLC ensemble.
- 412
- The volcanically-induced residual circulation anomalies drive adiabatic heating anomalies where vertical motions are induced. Temperature tendency anomalies due to residual vertical velocity (hereafter dT_{w^*} , Fig. 10g,h) show clearly the tropical cooling associated with anomalous vertical upwelling, and heating at the mid and high latitudes due to anomalous downwelling.
- 417

- 418 The terms $dT_{\bar{w}^*}$ and Q^{aer} are found to dominate the temperature tendency budget compared to other
- 419 terms in the TEM diagnostics. Therefore, the temperature anomalies found in the volcanic simulations
- 420 can be understood to be primarily the result of the direct (diabatic) aerosol heating Q^{aer}, and the
- 421 indirect, dynamical (adiabatic) heating $dT_{\bar{w}^*}$. These terms, along with the corresponding temperature
- 422 anomalies, are shown for each of the volcanic ensembles as lower stratosphere (100-20hPa) averages in
- 423 Figure 11.
- 424
- 425 Ensemble mean temperature anomalies show roughly similar behavior in the tropics and midlatitudes
- 426 (0-55°N) for all ensembles. In the NH polar latitudes, weak positive temperature anomalies are
- 427 simulated for the S98, SAGE_4 λ and WVC ensembles, and negative anomalies for the SVC ensemble. Q^{aer}
- 428 peaks in the tropics and decays to zero between 30 and 60°N. dT_{w*} is negative in the tropics, opposing
- 429 the Q^{aer} heating, and becomes generally positive in the extratropics where downward advection occurs.
- 430 In the high latitudes, $dT_{\bar{w}^*}$ is positive for S98, SAGE_4l and WVC, but negative for SVC, consistent with the
- 431 temperature anomalies (Fig 8). It is thus clear that the differences in temperature anomalies at high
- 432 latitudes, and therefore the temperature gradients around 60°N, result from differences in $dT_{\bar{w}^*}$
- 433 **between the ensembles**. The effect of differences in temperature tendencies on temperature anomalies
- 434 is likely amplified at higher latitudes since radiative damping timescales increase with latitude in the
- 435 lower stratosphere (Newman and Rosenfield, 1997). These results underscore the point that
- 436 temperature gradients at the high latitudes are controlled by the structure of the volcanically induced
- 437 residual circulation anomalies rather than the direct aerosol heating.
- 438
- 439 Differences in the dynamical responses to the SVC and WVC volcanic forcings are further explored by
- 440 examining TEM diagnostics for these ensembles. As in the VOLC grand ensemble, both model-based
- forced ensembles show positive F_z anomalies throughout the SH stratosphere and negative anomalies in
- the subtropical tropopause region (Fig. 12a,b). Positive F₇ anomalies are found at the NH high latitude
- 443 lower stratosphere, extending upwards and slanting equatorward with height. However, these positive
- 444 F_z anomalies are much stronger (and significant only) in the WVC ensemble.
- 445
- 446 As in the VOLC ensemble, negative EP-flux divergence (wave drag) is significantly enhanced in the SH
- 447 stratosphere and in the NH midlatitude middle stratosphere in both the SVC and WVC ensembles (Fig.
- 448 10d,e). NH wave EP-flux divergence anomalies are notably stronger in the WVC ensemble between 10
- 449 and 100 hPa and 30-60°N; differences between the two ensembles are significant around 100hPa and

450	60°N. Residual circulation anomalies (Fig. 12g,h) show significant poleward circulation cells in both
451	hemispheres. Notable differences in the form of the induced residual circulation cells between the two
452	ensembles exist in the lower and middle NH stratosphere, with circulation cells confined to 0-45°N in the
453	SVC ensemble, and extending 0-90°N in the WVC ensemble. These differences are significant in around
454	100 hPa and 60°N, and as such appear related to the differences in EP-flux divergence discussed above.
455	Temperature tendency anomalies due to residual vertical velocity (dT $_{ar{w}^*}$, Fig. 10j,k) show that the broad
456	residual circulation anomaly cell in the WVC ensemble leads to dynamical heating of the lower
457	stratosphere extending from ~45N-90°N, while the narrower poleward cell in SVC leads to significant
458	heating only in the midlatitude (45-60°N) lower stratosphere. Differences in dynamical warming are
459	marginally significant in the polar lower stratosphere, consistent with the differences in EP-flux
460	divergence and residual circulation.
461	
462	The TEM fields of Fig. 12 thus show that the significant difference in polar vortex zonal wind response to
463	the SVC and WVC volcanic forcings comes about due to differences in the wave activity propagating
464	upwards into the stratosphere from the troposphere. This mechanism is further explored in Fig 13 for all
465	volcanic ensembles. Fig. 13 (left) shows the relationship between polar vortex wind (u at 10 hPa, 60°N,
466	hereafter u _{vortex}) and lower stratosphere polar temperature (T at 50 hPa, 60-90°N average, hereafter
467	T _{vortex}). Individual ensemble members are shown by circular markers, while ensemble mean values are
468	<mark>shown as triangles on the bottom and right-hand axes.</mark> The compact linear relationship between u _{vortex}
469	and T_{vortex} apparent in the control run is shifted in the volcanic simulations: for a given T_{vortex} , the
470	associated u _{vortex} is typically ~5 m/s stronger in the volcanic runs than in CTL. The SVC ensemble mean
471	${\sf T}_{\sf vortex}$ is similar to the CTL ensemble mean, and correspondingly, the SVC ${\sf u}_{\sf vortex}$ is larger than the CTL
472	ensemble mean by 5-10 m/s. In contrast, the other volcanic ensembles all show increases in T_{vortex} , and
473	correspondingly, u _{vortex} for these ensembles is less than that of SVC, and similar to the CTL ensemble
474	mean value.
475	
476	Polar lower stratosphere temperatures are related to upward EP-flux in the midlatitudes <mark>(Newman et</mark>
477	al., 2001; Polvani and Waugh, 2004). In Fig 13b, u _{vortex} is plotted vs. F _z at 100 hPa averaged over 45-75°N
478	(hereafter $F_{z,100}$, a common scalar metric for wave activity entering the extratropical stratosphere). Polar
479	vortex strength, u_{vortex} , is seen to be stronger for any particular $F_{z,100}$ value in the volcanic runs compared
480	to the CTL ensemble. For the SVC ensemble, F _{z,100} is comparable to the CTL value, and the u _{vortex} anomaly
481	is 5-10 m/s. The other ensembles show positive anomalies in $F_{z,100}$,; the zonal wind of these volcanic

482 ensembles are thus reduced due to the increased wave activity and hence wave drag. Post-volcanic

anomalies in F_{z,100} thus exert a negative feedback on the wind anomalies driven by changes in the lower
 stratosphere temperature gradient.

485

486 4. Discussion

487

488 A major result of the preceding sections is that the temperature structure of the lower stratosphere in 489 post-volcanic winters in the high latitudes is controlled primarily by induced residual circulation 490 anomalies. Post volcanic-eruption enhancement of the stratospheric residual circulation (or BDC) have 491 been suggested based on previous model studies (Pitari and Mancini, 2002; Pitari, 1993). Graf et al., 492 (2007) assessed the observational record and found evidence of increased winter stratospheric wave 493 activity after three eruptions (Agung, El Chichón and Pinatubo). Poberaj et al., (2011) showed that 494 anomalously strong EP-fluxes occurred in the SH after Pinatubo. Such increases in winter stratospheric 495 wave activity are likely a result of changes in the wave propagation conditions of the stratosphere 496 following aerosol radiative heating, allowing more planetary waves to propagate upwards. Similar 497 arguments explain climate models' predicted increase in future stratospheric residual circulation due to 498 changes in the atmospheric temperature structure due to climate change (Garcia and Randel, 2008; 499 McLandress and Shepherd, 2009; Shepherd and McLandress, 2011), which also enhances the meridional 500 temperature gradient in the lower stratosphere, albeit at lower altitudes.

501

502 The residual circulation anomalies induced by the volcanic forcing in the ensembles strengthen the 503 climatological residual circulation, although the structure of the anomalies is different than the 504 climatology. For example, the induced upwelling is centered on the equator whereas the maximum 505 climatological upwelling is centered in the summer hemisphere, and the induced upwelling is strongest 506 above the level of maximum aerosol radiative heating, and even negative below. This latter point may 507 explain why post-Pinatubo anomalies in tropical upwelling are not apparent in observational records, 508 which are usually displayed as timeseries of upwelling in the lower stratosphere (Seviour et al., 2012). 509 We have shown that increased wave activity and wave drag in both hemispheres is a robust response to 510 volcanic aerosol forcing, and therefore a component of the residual circulation anomalies in the 511 volcanically-forced simulations results from wave drag anomalies. However, given the fact that 512 maximum anomalous tropical upwelling occurs at and above the location of maximum aerosol radiative 513 heating in the tropics, it seems possible that there is also a diabatic component to the anomalous

residual circulation, forced directly by the aerosol radiative heating, as suggested by previous studies
(e.g., Aquila et al., 2013; Pitari and Mancini, 2002).

516

517 The lack of NH polar vortex response to the Pinatubo forcings used here does not necessarily rule out 518 the possibility that other forcings could produce significant vortex responses. Most obviously, the 519 magnitude of the volcanic forcing may be essentially important. Toohey et al. (2011) found a significant 520 vortex response to a near-super eruption volcanic forcing, which likely produced a much stronger direct 521 aerosol radiative heating gradient in the mid to high latitudes. Similarly, Bittner et al., (Sensitivity of the 522 Northern Hemisphere winter stratosphere variability to the strength of volcanic eruptions, submitted 523 manuscript) find a significant response of the MPI-ESM NH polar vortex to volcanic forcing representing 524 that of the 1815 eruption of Tambora. It is also important that our simulations do not include possible 525 chemical depletion of stratospheric ozone brought about by the presence of volcanic aerosols, or ozone 526 anomalies due to changes in the residual circulation. Induced changes in the meridional structure of 527 stratospheric ozone can also affect temperature gradients in the lower stratosphere (Muthers et al., 528 2014), and may be a significant feedback in the response of the polar vortex to volcanic forcing. 529

530 While neither of the two observation-based volcanic forcing sets used here produced significant vortex 531 responses, the difference in the responses was found to be significant at the 95% level. While the 532 SAGE_4 λ is almost certainly a more accurate reconstruction of the true aerosol evolution than S98 in 533 many aspects, e.g. the vertical distribution of aerosol extinction in the tropics, it does not produce the 534 expected increase in NH polar vortex strength in simulations with the MPI-ESM. It actually leads to a 535 significantly weaker vortex than the S98 forcing, which is especially surprising given it produces stronger 536 radiative heating in the tropical lower stratosphere than S98.

537

538 While the difference between responses to SVC and WVC forcing was not significant, the SVC was the 539 only forcing to produce a significant vortex strengthening. Such differences in simulated vortex response 540 between the different ensembles imply sensitivity in vortex response to the exact structure of the volcanic forcing. These differences between the ensembles, and especially the significant response of 541 542 SVC ensemble should perhaps be taken with a grain of salt: the large variability of wave drag and vortex 543 dynamics necessitates the use of large ensembles in order to negate the impact of variability on the 544 ensemble means. While our ensemble size of 16 is larger than most prior single-model volcanic studies, 545 it may still be insufficient to unambiguously identify significant high latitude responses from volcanic

forcing. Furthermore, given the anomalous heating of the lower stratosphere in volcanic simulations, it could be the case that insignificant anomalies in residual circulation lead to significant anomalies in ensemble mean temperature gradients and therefore zonal wind. Nevertheless, planetary wave propagation through the tropopause region has been shown to be quite sensitive to local vertical and meridional gradients in zonal wind and temperature (e.g., Chen and Robinson, 1992). It is plausible that small differences in the structure of the prescribed volcanic aerosol forcing, and resulting radiative heating, temperature and wind, could have relatively large impacts on wave propagation.

553

554 **6. Conclusions**

555

In simulations of the post-Pinatubo eruption period with the MPI-ESM with four different volcanic
aerosol forcings, an enhanced polar vortex—which is expected based on limited observations and simple
theoretical arguments—was not a robust response. The responses that were significant and robust
across all four forcings in the NH winter stratospheric include: (1) positive temperature anomalies in the
lower tropical stratosphere, (2) enhanced F_z in the NH midlatitudes (40-60°N) and wave drag in the
midlatitude middle stratosphere, (3) enhanced meridional residual circulation, (4) dynamical cooling of
the tropical lower stratosphere and heating of the midlatitude lower stratosphere.

563

564 The lack of robust polar vortex response to volcanic aerosol forcing in the MPI-ESM simulations of this 565 work is consistent with the multi-model results of the CMIP5 historical experiments (Charlton-Perez et 566 al., 2013; Driscoll et al., 2012). We have shown that the meridional temperature gradient in the 567 extratropical lower stratosphere induced by volcanic aerosol forcing, and therefore the strength of the 568 induced stratospheric winter polar vortex wind anomalies, is controlled primarily by dynamical heating 569 associated with the induced residual circulation rather than the direct aerosol radiative heating. The 570 vortex response in the model is therefore much less robust than would be expected if it were directly 571 due to the aerosol radiative heating, as it is instead subject to complexity and variability of wave-mean 572 flow interaction in the winter stratosphere.

573

574 Our results further imply that the NH polar vortex response is quite sensitive to the specific structure of

575 the volcanic forcing used. Generally, volcanic forcing leads to an overall increase in resolved wave

576 activity entering the mid- to high latitude stratosphere, and the impact of this wave activity tends to

577 weaken the polar vortex, counteracting the impact of low-latitude heating. However, one volcanic

- 578 forcing set used here, the model-based SVC forcing, did not significantly affect high-latitude wave
- 579 activity, and thereby produced a strengthened polar vortex, approximately in line with the expected
- 580 **response.** We speculate that such sensitivity is due to the role that minor differences in volcanically
- 581 induced temperature and wind anomalies play in wave-mean flow interactions in the stratosphere. An
- alternate theory is that differences in volcanic forcing lead to differences in wave generation in the
- 583 **troposphere.** In either case, the results imply that—at least for a Pinatubo magnitude eruption—very
- accurate reconstructions of volcanic aerosol forcing would be required to reproduce any impact of the
- aerosols on polar vortex strength in climate model simulations.
- 586
- 587
- 588 Acknowledgements
- 589
- 590 The authors would like to thank Chao Li and Holger Pohlmann for providing model restart fields from
- 591 MPI-ESM simulations. This work was supported by the Federal Ministry for Education and Research in
- 592 Germany (BMBF) through the research program "MiKlip" (FKZ:01LP130B(MT):/01LP1130A(CT,MB)).
- 593 Computations were done at the German Climate computer center (DKRZ). We acknowledge the
- 594 European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) for our use of ERA-Interim reanalysis
- 595 data.
- 596
- 597 References
- Andrews, D. G., Holton, J. R. and Leovy, C. B.: Middle Atmosphere Dynamics, Academic Press., 1987.
- Aquila, V., Oman, L. D., Stolarski, R., Douglass, A. R. and Newman, P. A.: The Response of Ozone and
 Nitrogen Dioxide to the Eruption of Mt. Pinatubo at Southern and Northern Midlatitudes, J. Atmos. Sci.,
 70(3), 894–900, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-12-0143.1, 2013.
- Arfeuille, F., Luo, B. P., Heckendorn, P., Weisenstein, D., Sheng, J. X., Rozanov, E., Schraner, M.,
 Brönnimann, S., Thomason, L. W. and Peter, T.: Modeling the stratospheric warming following the Mt.
 Pinatubo eruption: uncertainties in aerosol extinctions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13(22), 11221–11234,
 doi:10.5194/acp-13-11221-2013, 2013.
- Baldwin, M. P. and Dunkerton, T. J.: Stratospheric harbingers of anomalous weather regimes., Science,
 294(5542), 581–4, doi:10.1126/science.1063315, 2001.
- 608 Charlton-Perez, A. J., Baldwin, M. P., Birner, T., Black, R. X., Butler, A. H., Calvo, N., Davis, N. A., Gerber,
- 609 E. P., Gillett, N., Hardiman, S., Kim, J., Krüger, K., Lee, Y.-Y., Manzini, E., McDaniel, B. A., Polvani, L.,
- 610 Reichler, T., Shaw, T. A., Sigmond, M., Son, S.-W., Toohey, M., Wilcox, L., Yoden, S., Christiansen, B., Lott,

- 611 F., Shindell, D., Yukimoto, S. and Watanabe, S.: On the lack of stratospheric dynamical variability in low-
- top versions of the CMIP5 models, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118(6), 2494–2505, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50125,
 2013.
- 614 Chen, P. and Robinson, W. A.: Propagation of Planetary Waves between the Troposphere and
- 615 Stratosphere, J. Atmos. Sci., 49(24), 2533–2545, doi:10.1175/1520-
- 616 0469(1992)049<2533:POPWBT>2.0.CO;2, 1992.
- 617 Christiansen, B.: Volcanic Eruptions, Large-Scale Modes in the Northern Hemisphere, and the El Niño– 618 Southern Oscillation, J. Clim., 21(5), 910, doi:10.1175/2007JCL11657.1, 2008.
- 619 Crowley, T., Zielinski, G., Vinther, B. M., Udisti, R., Kreutz, K., Cole-Dai, J. and Castellano, E.: Volcanism 620 and the little ice age, PAGES Newsl., 16(2), 22–23, 2008.
- 621 Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M.
- A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol,
- 623 C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L.,
- Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K.,
- Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N. and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis:
- 626 configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137(656), 553–
- 627 597, doi:10.1002/qj.828, 2011.
- Driscoll, S., Bozzo, A., Gray, L. J., Robock, A. and Stenchikov, G.: Coupled Model Intercomparison Project
- 629 5 (CMIP5) simulations of climate following volcanic eruptions, J. Geophys. Res., 117(D17),
 630 doi:10.1029/2012JD017607, 2012.
- Eyring, V. and Lamarque, J.-F.: Overview of IGAC/SPARC Chemistry-Climate Model Initiative (CCMI)
 Community Simulations in Support of Upcoming Ozone and Climate Assessments, SPARC Newsl., (No.
- 633 40), 48–66, 2013.
- Garcia, R. R. and Randel, W. J.: Acceleration of the Brewer–Dobson Circulation due to Increases in
 Greenhouse Gases, J. Atmos. Sci., 65(8), 2731–2739, doi:10.1175/2008JAS2712.1, 2008.
- 636 Gerber, E. P., Butler, A., Calvo, N., Charlton-Perez, A., Giorgetta, M., Manzini, E., Perlwitz, J., Polvani, L.
- 637 M., Sassi, F., Scaife, A. A., Shaw, T. A., Son, S.-W. and Watanabe, S.: Assessing and Understanding the
- Impact of Stratospheric Dynamics and Variability on the Earth System, Bull. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 93(6),
 845–859, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00145.1, 2012.
- Giorgetta, M. A., Jungclaus, J., Reick, C. H., Legutke, S., Bader, J., Böttinger, M., Brovkin, V., Crueger, T.,
- Esch, M., Fieg, K., Glushak, K., Gayler, V., Haak, H., Hollweg, H.-D., Ilyina, T., Kinne, S., Kornblueh, L.,
- Matei, D., Mauritsen, T., Mikolajewicz, U., Mueller, W., Notz, D., Pithan, F., Raddatz, T., Rast, S., Redler,
- 643 R., Roeckner, E., Schmidt, H., Schnur, R., Segschneider, J., Six, K. D., Stockhause, M., Timmreck, C.,
- 644 Wegner, J., Widmann, H., Wieners, K.-H., Claussen, M., Marotzke, J. and Stevens, B.: Climate and carbon
- 645 cycle changes from 1850 to 2100 in MPI-ESM simulations for the coupled model intercomparison project
- 646 phase 5, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., n/a–n/a, doi:10.1002/jame.20038, 2013.

- Graf, H.-F., Kirchner, I., Robock, A. and Schult, I.: Pinatubo eruption winter climate effects: model versus
 observations, Clim. Dyn., 9(2), doi:10.1007/BF00210011, 1993.
- Graf, H.-F., Li, Q. and Giorgetta, M. A.: Volcanic effects on climate: revisiting the mechanisms, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 7(17), 4503–4511, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4503-2007, 2007.
- 651 Kirchner, I., Stenchikov, G. L., Graf, H.-F., Robock, A. and Antuña, J. C.: Climate model simulation of
- 652 winter warming and summer cooling following the 1991 Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption, J. Geophys.
- 653 Res., 104(D16), 19039–19055, doi:10.1029/1999JD900213, 1999.
- Kodera, K.: Influence of volcanic eruptions on the troposphere through stratospheric dynamical
 processes in the northern hemisphere winter, J. Geophys. Res., 99(D1), 1273, doi:10.1029/93JD02731,
 1994.
- Kodera, K.: On the origin and nature of the interannual variability of the winter stratospheric circulation
 in the northern hemisphere, J. Geophys. Res., 100(D7), 14077, doi:10.1029/95JD01172, 1995.
- Labitzke, K. and van Loon, H.: The Southern Oscillation. Part IX: The Influence of Volcanic Eruptions on
- the Southern Oscillation in the stratosphere., J. Clim., 2(10), doi:10.1175/1520-
- 661 0442(1989)002<1223:TSOPIT>2.0.CO;2, 1989.
- Labitzke, K. and McCormick, M. P.: Stratospheric temperature increases due to Pinatubo aerosols,
 Geophys. Res. Lett., 19(2), 207, doi:10.1029/91GL02940, 1992.
- Marshall, A. G., Scaife, A. A. and Ineson, S.: Enhanced Seasonal Prediction of European Winter Warming
 following Volcanic Eruptions, J. Clim., 22(23), 6168, doi:10.1175/2009JCLI3145.1, 2009.
- 666 McLandress, C. and Shepherd, T. G.: Simulated Anthropogenic Changes in the Brewer–Dobson
- 667 Circulation, Including Its Extension to High Latitudes, J. Clim., 22(6), 1516–1540,
- 668 doi:10.1175/2008JCLI2679.1, 2009.
- Muthers, S., Anet, J. G., Raible, C. C., Brönnimann, S., Rozanov, E., Arfeuille, F., Peter, T., Shapiro, a. I.,
- 670 Beer, J., Steinhilber, F., Brugnara, Y. and Schmutz, W.: Northern hemispheric winter warming pattern
- after tropical volcanic eruptions: Sensitivity to the ozone climatology, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., n/a–n/a,
 doi:10.1002/2013JD020138, 2014.
- Newman, P. A., Nash, E. R. and Rosenfield, J. E.: What controls the temperature of the Arctic
 stratosphere during the spring?, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D17), 19999, doi:10.1029/2000JD000061, 2001.
- Newman, P. A. and Rosenfield, J. E.: Stratospheric thermal damping times, Geophys. Res. Lett., 24(4),
 433–436, doi:10.1029/96GL03720, 1997.
- 677 Perlwitz, J. and Graf, H.-F.: The Statistical Connection between Tropospheric and Stratospheric
- 678 Circulation of the Northern Hemisphere in Winter., J. Clim., 8(10), doi:10.1175/1520-
- 679 0442(1995)008<2281:TSCBTA>2.0.CO;2, 1995.

- 680 Pitari, G.: A numerical study of the possible perturbation of stratospheric dynamics due to Pinatubo
- aerosols Implications for tracer transport, J. Atmos. Sci. (ISSN 0022-4928), 50, 2443–2461,
- 682 doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1993)050<2443:ANSOTP>2.0.CO;2, 1993.
- Pitari, G. and Mancini, E.: Short-term climatic impact of the 1991 volcanic eruption of Mt. Pinatubo and
 effects on atmospheric tracers, Nat. Hazards Earth Syst. Sci., 2(1), 2002.
- Poberaj, C. S., Staehelin, J. and Brunner, D.: Missing Stratospheric Ozone Decrease at Southern
 Hemisphere Middle Latitudes after Mt. Pinatubo: A Dynamical Perspective, J. Atmos. Sci., 68(9), 1922–
 1945, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-10-05004.1, 2011.
- Polvani, L. M. and Waugh, D. W.: Upward Wave Activity Flux as a Precursor to Extreme Stratospheric
 Events and Subsequent Anomalous Surface Weather Regimes., J. Clim., 17(18), doi:10.1175/15200442(2004)017<3548:UWAFAA>2.0.CO;2, 2004.
- Robock, A.: Volcanic Eruptions and Climate, Rev. Geophys., 38(2), 191–219, doi:10.1029/1998RG000054,
 2000.
- Robock, A. and Mao, J.: Winter warming from large volcanic eruptions, Geophys. Res. Lett., 19(24), 2405,
 doi:10.1029/92GL02627, 1992.
- Rozanov, E. V.: Climate/chemistry effects of the Pinatubo volcanic eruption simulated by the UIUC
 stratosphere/troposphere GCM with interactive photochemistry, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D21), 4594,
 doi:10.1029/2001JD000974, 2002.
- Russell, P. B., Livingston, J. M., Pueschel, R. F., Bauman, J. J., Pollack, J. B., Brooks, S. L., Hamill, P.,
 Thomason, L. W., Stowe, L. L., Deshler, T., Dutton, E. G. and Bergstrom, R. W.: Global to microscale
 evolution of the Pinatubo volcanic aerosol derived from diverse measurements and analyses, J.
 Geophys. Res., 101(D13), 18745–18763, doi:10.1029/96JD01162, 1996.
- Sato, M., Hansen, J. E., McCormick, M. P. and Pollack, J. B.: Stratospheric Aerosol Optical Depths, 1850–
 1990, J. Geophys. Res., 98(D12), 22987–22994, doi:10.1029/93JD02553, 1993.
- Schmidt, H., Rast, S., Bunzel, F., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Kinne, S., Krismer, T., Stenchikov, G., Timmreck,
 C., Tomassini, L. and Walz, M.: Response of the middle atmosphere to anthropogenic and natural
 forcings in the CMIP5 simulations with the Max Planck Institute Earth system model, J. Adv. Model.
- 707 Earth Syst., n/a–n/a, doi:10.1002/jame.20014, 2013.
- Seviour, W. J. M., Butchart, N. and Hardiman, S. C.: The Brewer-Dobson circulation inferred from ERAInterim, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 138(665), 878–888, doi:10.1002/qj.966, 2012.
- 710 Shepherd, T. G. and McLandress, C.: A Robust Mechanism for Strengthening of the Brewer–Dobson
- Circulation in Response to Climate Change: Critical-Layer Control of Subtropical Wave Breaking, J.
 Atmos. Sci., 68(4), 784–797, doi:10.1175/2010JAS3608.1, 2011.
- 713 Shindell, D. T.: Dynamic winter climate response to large tropical volcanic eruptions since 1600, J.
- 714 Geophys. Res., 109(D5), doi:10.1029/2003JD004151, 2004.

- Shindell, D. T., Schmidt, G. A., Miller, R. L. and Rind, D.: Northern hemisphere winter climate response to
- 716 greenhouse gas, ozone, solar, and volcanic forcing, J. Geophys. Res., 106(D7), 7193,
- 717 doi:10.1029/2000JD900547, 2001.
- Stenchikov, G.: Arctic Oscillation response to the 1991 Mount Pinatubo eruption: Effects of volcanic
 aerosols and ozone depletion, J. Geophys. Res., 107(D24), doi:10.1029/2002JD002090, 2002.
- Stenchikov, G., Hamilton, K., Stouffer, R. J., Robock, A., Ramaswamy, V., Santer, B. and Graf, H.-F.: Arctic
 Oscillation response to volcanic eruptions in the IPCC AR4 climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 111(D7),
 doi:10.1029/2005JD006286, 2006.
- 723 Stenchikov, G. L., Kirchner, I., Robock, A., Graf, H.-F., Antuña, J. C., Grainger, R. G., Lambert, A. and
- Thomason, L.: Radiative forcing from the 1991 Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption, J. Geophys. Res.,
 103(D12), 13837–13857, doi:10.1029/98JD00693, 1998.
- Thomason, L. W. and Peter, T., Eds.: Assessment of Stratospheric Aerosol Properties (ASAP), SPARC
 Report No. 4, WCRP- 124, WMO/TD-No. 1295., 2006.
- 728 Timmreck, C., Graf, H.-F., Lorenz, S. J., Niemeier, U., Zanchettin, D., Matei, D., Jungclaus, J. H. and
- Crowley, T. J.: Aerosol size confines climate response to volcanic super-eruptions, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
 37(24), L24705, doi:10.1029/2010GL045464, 2010.
- Timmreck, C., Lorenz, S. J., Crowley, T. J., Kinne, S., Raddatz, T. J., Thomas, M. A. and Jungclaus, J. H.:
 Limited temperature response to the very large AD 1258 volcanic eruption, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36(21),
- 733 doi:10.1029/2009GL040083, 2009.
- Toohey, M., Krüger, K., Niemeier, U. and Timmreck, C.: The influence of eruption season on the global
- aerosol evolution and radiative impact of tropical volcanic eruptions, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11(23),
 12351–12367, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12351-2011, 2011.
- 737



Figure 1: ERA-Interim DJF (top) temperature and (bottom) zonal wind anomalies for (left) post-volcanic
 composite (n=4) of two winters after eruptions of El Chichón and Pinatubo, and (right) 1991/92, the first

741 winter after the Pinatubo eruption.

742



Figure 2: Zonal mean aerosol optical depth at 1 µm timeseries for the Pinatubo eruption, as 745

reconstructed from observations producing the S98 and SAGE_4 λ forcing datasets (left) and based on 746

747 MAECHAM5-HAM model simulations (right) and composited according to strong (SVC) and weak (WVC)

vortex states. Gray vertical lines demark the DJF period of interest. 748





Figure 3: First post-eruption NH winter (DJF) anomalies of (left) temperature at 100 hPa and (right) zonal
wind at 50 hPa for the 12 member MAECHAM5-HAM Pinatubo ensemble of (Toohey et al., 2011)(gray
lines). Ensemble members comprising the strong and weak vortex composites (SVC and WVC) as defined
in the main text are marked by circle and cross markers, respectively. For comparison, ERA-Interim
anomalies based on a composite of the 2 winters after the eruptions of El Chichón and Pinatubo (blue),
and the single winter after the Pinatubo eruption (red) are also shown.





volcanic aerosol forcing sets in first DJF after Pinatubo (winter 1991/92). (bottom) aerosol radiative

heating (Q^{aer}) as computed within the MPI-ESM model for each forcing set. Right-hand column shows

764 S98-SAGE_4 λ differences for both 1 μ m extinction and Q^{aer}.





Figure 5: (top) latitude-pressure distributions of 1 µm aerosol extinction in the SVC and WVC volcanic 768

aerosol forcing sets in first DJF after Pinatubo (winter 1991/92). (bottom) aerosol radiative heating (Q^{aer}) 769

as computed within the MPI-ESM model for each forcing set. Right-hand column shows SVC-WVC 770

771 differences for both 1 μ m extinction and Q^{aer}.



Figure 6: DJF temperature (top) and zonal wind (bottom) from the CTL ensemble (left) and anomalies for
the VOLC grand ensemble (right). Hatching highlights anomalies which are significant at the 95%
confidence level based on a bootstrapping algorithm.



Figure 7: Temperature and zonal wind response of the observations-based volcanic forced ensembles.
Shown are DJF anomalies for the (left) S98 and (middle) SAGE_4λ ensembles, and (right) the difference
between the two ensembles for (top) temperature and (bottom) zonal wind. Hatching highlights

anomalies which are significant at the 95% confidence level based on a bootstrapping algorithm.



Figure 8: Temperature and zonal wind response of the model-based volcanic forced ensembles. Shown
are DJF anomalies for the (left) SVC and (middle) WVC ensembles, and (right) the difference between
the two ensembles for (top) temperature and (bottom) zonal wind. Hatching highlights anomalies which
are significant at the 95% confidence level based on a bootstrapping algorithm.



796 Figure 9: DJF zonal wind at 60N and 10 hPa for the CTL ensemble and the volcanically forced ensembles

797 S98, SAGE_4 λ , SVC and WVC. Individual ensemble members shown as gray symbols. Ensemble means

shown in colored symbols, with vertical whiskers representing the 95% confidence interval of the

ensemble mean. Dashed horizontal line shows the ensemble mean of the CTL ensemble.

800



- 806 **Figure 10**: Selected transformed Eularian mean diagnostics for the CTL ensemble (left) and anomalies for
- the VOLC grand ensemble (right). Shown are (a,b) vertical component of EP-flux, (c,d) EP-flux
- 808 divergence, (e,f) residual circulation and (g,h) heating due to residual vertical advection. Contours in (a)
- are in units of 1×10^4 kg s⁻². Stream function contours in (e) are log-spaced, ranging from 10 to 1×10^4
- 810 kg/m/s, while those in (f) are log-spaced ranging from 1 to 100 kg/m/s.

811



814 Figure 11: Lower stratospheric (100-20 hPa), DJF average thermodynamic quantities from the S98 and

SAGE_4 λ forced ensembles: (a) temperature anomalies, (b) temperature tendencies due to aerosol

816 radiative heating (solid) and due to vertical advection (dashed).

817



822 Figure 12: Selected transformed Eularian mean diagnostics for the SVC and WVC forced ensembles. 823 Shown are DJF anomalies for the (left) SVC and (middle) WVC ensembles, and (right) the difference 824 between the two ensembles for (a,b,c) vertical component of EP-flux, (d,e,f) EP-flux divergence, (g,h,i)

- 825 residual circulation and (j,k,l) heating due to residual vertical advection. Stream function contours are
- 826 log-spaced ranging from 1 to 100 kg/m/s.





829 Figure 13: Scatter plots of vortex wind, temperature and wave forcing for the CTL and four volcanically

830 forced ensembles. Shown are (left) zonal wind at 10 hPa, 60°N plotted against temperature at 50 hPa,

831 60-90°N averaged, (right) zonal wind at 10 hPa, 60°N plotted against the vertical component of EP-flux

averaged over 40-75°N. Individual ensemble members are shown by colored circles or crosses, and

833 ensemble mean values are shown by colored triangles along the bottom and right-hand axes of each

834 panel.