Supplementary Information

1 FROST framework derivations

1.1 Normalising cooling-rate dependence

In this derivation we want to know the temperature required in order to attain a specific fraction frozen in a cooling experiment, and how this changes with the cooling rate. For a population of droplets containing a specific IN species, starting at a temperature T_0 – upon cooling the fraction of droplets frozen (f) after n_r steps (of time or temperature) can be calculated with the product of the probability for an event not happening (P_{not}) at each consecutive step. Once we have this then the probability of an event happening is $(1 - P_{not})$ so that:

$$f(n_r) = 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{n_r} P_{not,k} \tag{1}$$

where,

$$P_{not,k} = \exp(-J(T_k) \, s \, \delta t_r) \tag{2}$$

 $J(T_k)$ is the nucleation rate coefficient at time step k, s is the surface area of IN and δt_r is the time step of the cooling experiment. Incorporating Eq. (2) into Eq. (1) gives:

$$f(n_r) = 1 - \prod_{k=0}^{n_r} \exp(-J(T_k) \, s \, \delta t_r) = 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n_r} J(T_k) \, s \, \delta t_r\right) \tag{3}$$

Over a small change in temperature the nucleation rate coefficient, J(T), can be approximated by a linear relationship: $\ln J(T_k) = \lambda T_k + c$. To calculate J(T) for any number of δt_r steps this can be expanded:

$$J(T_{k=0}) = \exp(\lambda T_0 + c)$$

$$J(T_{k=1}) = \exp(\lambda(T_0 + \delta T) + c) = \exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T)$$

$$J(T_{k=2}) = \exp(\lambda(T_0 + \delta T + \delta T) + c)$$

= $\exp(\lambda(T_0) + c) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T)$
= $\exp(\lambda(T_0) + c) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T)^2$

$$J(T_{k=3}) = \exp(\lambda(T_0 + \delta T + \delta T + \delta T) + c)$$

= $\exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T)$
= $\exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot \exp(\lambda \delta T)^3$

Therefore it can be se seen that:

$$J(T_k) = \exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot [\exp(\lambda \delta T)]^k = J(T_0) \cdot [\exp(\lambda \delta T)]^k$$
(4)

Incorporating into Eq. (3):

$$f(n_r) = 1 - \exp\left(-s \cdot \delta t_r \cdot J(T_0) \sum_{k=0}^{n_r} [\exp(\lambda \delta T)]^k\right)$$
(5)

The summation term can be removed using a geometric summation of series where

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} ar^k = a \frac{1-r^n}{1-r}.$$

Rearranging Eq. (5) identifies the series:

$$\frac{-\ln(1-f(n_r))}{s\cdot\delta t_r\cdot J(T_0)} = \sum_{k=0}^{n_r} [\exp(\lambda\delta T)]^k$$
(6)

Substituting the RHS into the geometric summation of series formula where a = 1; $r = \exp(\lambda \delta T)$; and $n - 1 = n_r$ (therefore $n = n_r + 1$) gives:

$$\frac{-\ln(1-f(n_r))}{s\cdot\delta t_r\cdot J(T_0)} = \frac{1-[\exp(\lambda\delta T)]^{n_r+1}}{1-\exp(\lambda\delta T)}$$
(7)

This now needs to be rearranged and solved for the number of steps n_r :

$$\frac{-\ln(1-f(n_r))\cdot(1-\exp(\lambda\delta T))}{s\cdot\delta t_r\cdot J(T_0)} = 1-\exp(\lambda\delta T(n_r+1))$$
(8)

$$1 - \left[\frac{-\ln(1 - f(n_r)) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T))}{s \cdot \delta t_r \cdot J(T_0)}\right] = \exp(\lambda \delta T(n_r + 1))$$
⁽⁹⁾

$$\ln\left[1 - \left[\frac{-\ln(1 - f(n_r)) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T))}{s \cdot \delta t_r \cdot J(T_0)}\right]\right] \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T} = n_r + 1$$
⁽¹⁰⁾

$$n_r = \ln\left[1 - \left[\frac{-\ln(1 - f(n_r)) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T))}{s \cdot \delta t_r \cdot J(T_0)}\right]\right] \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T} - 1$$
⁽¹¹⁾

A change in the cooling rate from r_1 to r_2 results in a change in the number of steps required to reach fraction f, so that $f = f(n_{r_1}) = f(n_{r_2})$:

$$n_{r2} - n_{r1} = \ln \left[\frac{1 - \left[-\ln(1 - f) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T)) \cdot \frac{1}{s \cdot \delta t_{r2} \cdot J(T_0)} \right]}{1 - \left[-\ln(1 - f) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T)) \cdot \frac{1}{s \cdot \delta t_{r1} \cdot J(T_0)} \right]} \right] \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T}$$
(12)

where δT is constant in both simulations, and δt is dependent on the cooling rate. Introducing the constant $C = -\ln(1-f) \cdot (1 - \exp(\lambda \delta T))$ gives:

$$n_{r2} - n_{r1} = \ln \left[\frac{1 - \left[\frac{C}{s \cdot \delta t_{r2} \cdot J(T_0)} \right]}{1 - \left[\frac{C}{s \cdot \delta t_{r1} \cdot J(T_0)} \right]} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T}$$
(13)

When $(S \cdot \delta t \cdot J(T_0)) \ll C$, Eq. (13) is approximated by the following equation:

$$n_{r2} - n_{r1} = \ln \left[\frac{C \cdot s \cdot \delta t_{r1} \cdot J(T_0)}{C \cdot s \cdot \delta t_{r2} \cdot J(T_0)} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T}$$
(14)

This condition breaks down when the temperature T_0 (for $J(T_0)$) is close to the temperature at which a cooling experiment simulation (cooled from 273.15 K) exceeds a cumulative fraction frozen of 0.9.

Cancelling terms in Eq. (14) provides:

$$n_{r2} - n_{r1} = \ln\left[\frac{\delta t_{r1}}{\delta t_{r2}}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda \delta T}$$
(15)

Multiplying the change in n_r by the temperature step δT (constant in both cases) provides a formula for the change in temperature:

$$\Delta T = (n_{r2} - n_{r1})\delta T = \ln\left[\frac{\delta t_{r1}}{\delta t_{r2}}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{\lambda}$$
(16)

Substituting $r_1 = \frac{\delta T}{\delta t_{r_1}}$ and $r_2 = \frac{\delta T}{\delta t_{r_2}}$ into Eq. (16) provides us with a formula that can be used to calculate the change in temperature observed at a fraction *f* upon a change in cooling rate:

$$\Delta T = \ln\left[\frac{r_1}{r_2}\right] \cdot \frac{1}{-\lambda} \tag{17}$$

Using $r = \Delta T/t$ the relative change in cooling rate described by $\ln(r_1/r_2)$ can also be expressed as a relative change in time $\ln(t_2/t_1)$:

$$\Delta T = \ln \left[\frac{t_2}{t_1} \right] \cdot \frac{1}{-\lambda} \tag{18}$$

1.2 Reconciling isothermal experiments with cooling experiments

For the framework to be consistent between all experiments the residence-time dependence and the cooling-rate dependence need to be reconciled. The aim is to derive a formula that can be used to describe the entire time-dependent behaviour (cooling-rate and residence-time dependence) of an IN species. The cooling-rate dependence manifests because the time-step at each temperature increases, or decreases, therefore we hypothesise that there is a similar dependence for changes in residence time in isothermal experiments.

In order to reconcile the two experimental we need to understand how the change in cooling rate corresponds to a change in residence time for an isothermal simulation. To start off we need to equate the fraction frozen in a cooling experiment simulation (denoted as 'cool') to that of an isothermal experiment simulation (denoted as 'iso'), so that $f_{cool}(T) = f_{iso}(T)$.

As per the section above, the number of droplets frozen at a specific temperature (upon cooling from $T_0 = 273.15$ K) can be determined by calculating the product of the probability that an event does not occur between T_0 and T, with the probability of an event occurring per droplet as $(1 - P_{not})$. For the cooling experiment, from Eq. (3), we have:

$$f_{cool}(T) = 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n_{cool}} J(T_k) \, s \, \delta t_r\right) \tag{19}$$

The probability of a freezing event occurring in an isothermal experiment follows Eq. (19) but temperature T_k is constant so that $J(T_k) = J(T)$:

$$f_{\rm iso}(T) = 1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{i=1}^{n_{\rm iso}} J(T) \, s \, \delta t_{\rm iso}\right) = \exp(-J(T) \cdot s \cdot \delta t_{\rm iso} \cdot n_{\rm iso}) \tag{20}$$

In the isothermal simulation, the total time for a fraction to be reached is simply a product of the time step and number of steps where $t_{\text{total,iso}} = n_{\text{iso}} \cdot \delta t_{\text{iso}}$. Incorporating this into Eq. (20) gives:

$$f_{\rm iso}(T) = 1 - \exp(-J(T) \cdot s \cdot t_{\rm total, iso}).$$
⁽²¹⁾

For a specific fraction frozen, Eq. (21) can be equated to Eq. (19) so that $f_{cool}(T) = f_{iso}(T)$. Realising that J(T) for the isothermal simulation equals J(T) in the cooling experiment after n_{cool} steps gives:

$$1 - \exp\left(-\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} J(T_k) \, s \, \delta t_r\right) = 1 - \exp\left(J\left(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}\right) \cdot s \cdot t_{\text{total,iso}}\right) \tag{22}$$

where the LHS represents the cooling experiment simulation and the RHS, the isothermal experiment simulation. Solving for $t_{total,iso}$ gives:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}} = \frac{1}{J(T_{n_{\text{cool}}})} \sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} J(T_k) \cdot \delta t_{\text{cool}}$$
(23)

From Eq. (4) we know that for a nucleation rate coefficient represented by $\ln J(T_k) = \lambda T_k + c$, in a cooling experiment simulation $J(T_k) = \exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot [\exp(\lambda \delta T)]^k$ and in an isothermal simulation $J(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \exp(\lambda T_{n_{\text{cool}}} + c) = \exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot [\exp(\lambda \delta T)]^{n_{\text{cool}}}$.

Replacing $J(T_k)$ and $J(T_{n_{cool}})$ in Eq. (23) gives:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \frac{\delta t_{\text{cool}} \cdot \exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} [\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{cool})]^k\right)}{\exp(\lambda T_0 + c) \cdot [\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})]^{n_{\text{cool}}}}$$
(24)

Cancelling out terms gives:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \delta t_{\text{cool}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} \left[\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}}) \right]^{k} \left[\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}}) \right]^{-n_{\text{cool}}} \right)$$
(25)

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \delta t_{\text{cool}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} [\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})]^{(k-n_{\text{cool}})} \right)$$
(26)

We can remove the summation term using a geometric summation of series, in order to do this we need to reverse the summation sequence using

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} a^{(k-n_{\text{cool}})} \equiv \sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} a^{(-k)}$$

so that:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \delta t_{\text{cool}} \cdot \left(\sum_{k=0}^{n_{\text{cool}}} [\exp(\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})]^{-k} \right)$$
(27)

The Summation of series can be performed using the following formula:

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n} ar^{k} = a \frac{1 - r^{n+1}}{1 - r}$$

which gives:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \delta t_{\text{cool}} \cdot \frac{1 - [\exp(-\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})]^{n_{\text{cool}}+1}}{1 - \exp(-\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})}$$
(28)

Substituting $\gamma = (\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}} \cdot (n_{\text{cool}} + 1))$ we can see that where $\gamma \gg 1$, $[\exp(-\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})]^{n_{\text{cool}}+1} \rightarrow 0$. Rearranging so that $\gamma = \frac{\delta T_{\text{cool}} n_{\text{cool}} + \delta T_{\text{cool}}}{\lambda^{-1}} = \frac{T_{\text{iso}} + \delta T_{\text{cool}}}{\lambda^{-1}}$, we can see that this limit is reached for all cases except when, together, T_{iso} is very high (> -5 °C) and λ is very shallow ($|\lambda| > 1$).

So, assuming that the isothermal experiment is below this temperature:

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \frac{\delta t_{\text{cool}}}{1 - \exp(-\lambda \cdot \delta T_{\text{cool}})}$$
(29)

A Taylor expansion of $\exp(-\lambda \cdot dT_{cool})$ will result in $\left[1 - \lambda \delta T_{cool} + \frac{(\lambda \delta T_{cool})^2}{2!} - \frac{(\lambda \delta T_{cool})^3}{3!} \dots\right]$. When $\lambda \delta T_{cool} \gg \frac{1}{2} (\lambda \delta T_{cool})^2$, $\exp(-\lambda \cdot \delta T_{cool}) \cong 1 - \lambda \delta T_{cool}$. This is satisfied when the simulation temperature step $\lambda \delta T_{cool} \ll 1$.

$$t_{total,iso}(T_{n_{cool}}) = \frac{\delta t_{cool}}{\lambda \cdot \delta T_{cool}}$$
(30)

Substituting $r_{\text{cool}} = \frac{\delta T_{\text{cool}}}{\delta t_{\text{cool}}}$, where $r_{\text{cool}} < 0$

$$t_{\text{total,iso}}(T_{n_{\text{cool}}}) = \frac{\delta t_{\text{cool}}}{\lambda \cdot r_{\text{cool}} \cdot \delta t_{\text{cool}}} = \frac{1}{\lambda \cdot r_{\text{cool}}}$$
(31)

Using a standard cooling rate r_{standard} , for which we have chosen -1 K min⁻¹, allows us to reconcile the isothermal and cooling rate experiment simulations. For cooling rate experiments, replacing r_1 in Eq. (17) with r_{standard} and r_2 with the experimental cooling rate r, in K min⁻¹, gives the shift in temperature (named β) as a function of cooling rate:

$$\beta(r) = \Delta T = \frac{1}{-\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{1}{|r|}\right)$$
(32)

For isothermal experiments, replacing r_{cool} with $r_{standard}$ in Eq. (31) gives the time required for an isothermal experiment to be comparable to a normalised cooling experiment. Substituting t_1 in Eq. (18) with t_{total} in Eq. (31), and t_2 with the experimental residence time t, in seconds, gives β as a function of residence time:

$$\beta(t) = \Delta T = \frac{1}{-\lambda} \ln\left(\frac{-\lambda \cdot t}{60}\right)$$
(33)

Experimental data can now be modified and normalised using $T' = T_{experiment} - \beta$, where T' is the normalised temperature, and $T_{experiment}$ the temperature of the experiment data point.