1	Simulation of the interannual variations of aerosols in China: Role of
2	variations in meteorological parameters
3	
4	
E	
5	
6	Qing Mu ^{a,b} , and Hong Liao ^{a,*}
7	
8	^a State Key Laboratory of Atmospheric Boundary Layer Physics and Atmospheric
9	Chemistry, Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing,
10	China.
11	^b Graduate University of Chinese Academy of Sciences, Beijing, China.
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	*Corresponding author address:
22	Prof. Hong Liao
23	LAPC, Institute of Atmospheric Physics
24	Chinese Academy of Sciences
25	Beijing 100029, China
26	E-mail: <u>hongliao@mail.iap.ac.cn</u>
27	
28	
29	
30	

31 Abstract

32 We used the nested grid version of the global three-dimensional Goddard Earth Observing System chemical transport model (GEOS-Chem) to examine 33 34 the interannual variations (IAVs) of aerosols over heavily polluted regions in China for years 2004–2012. The role of variations in meteorological 35 36 parameters was quantified by a simulation with fixed anthropogenic emissions 37 at year 2006 levels and changes in meteorological parameters over 38 2004–2012. Simulated $PM_{2.5}$ (particles with a diameter of 2.5 μ m or less) 39 aerosol concentrations exhibited large IAVs in North China (NC, 32-42°N, 40 110-120°E), with regionally averaged absolute percent departure from the 41 mean (APDM) values of 17%, 14%, 14%. and 11% in 42 December-January-February (DJF), March-April-May (MAM), 43 June-July-August (JJA), and September-October-November (SON), 44 respectively. Over South China (SC, 22–32°N, 110–120°E), the IAVs in PM_{2.5} 45 were found to be the largest in JJA, with the regional mean APDM values of 14% in JJA and of about 9% in other seasons. Concentrations of PM_{2.5} over the 46 47 Sichuan Basin (SCB, 27-33°N, 102-110°E) were simulated to have the smallest IAVs among the polluted regions examined in this work, with the 48 49 APDM values of 8-9% in all seasons. All aerosol species (sulfate, nitrate, 50 ammonium, black carbon, and organic carbon) were simulated to have the 51 largest IAVs over NC in DJF, corresponding to the large variations in 52 meteorological parameters over NC in this season. Process analyses were 53 performed to identify the key meteorological parameters that determined the 54 IAVs of different aerosol species in different regions. While the variations in 55 temperature and specific humidity, which influenced the gas-phase formation

56 of sulfate, jointly determined the IAVs of sulfate over NC in both DJF and JJA, 57 wind (or convergence of wind) in DJF and precipitation in JJA were the dominant meteorological factors to influence IAVs of sulfate over SC and the 58 59 SCB. The IAVs in temperature and specific humidity influenced gas-to-aerosol partitioning, which were the major factors that led to the IAVs of nitrate aerosol 60 61 in China. The IAVs in wind and precipitation were found to drive the IAVs of organic carbon aerosol. We also compared the IAVs of aerosols simulated with 62 63 variations in meteorological parameters alone with those simulated with 64 variations in anthropogenic emissions alone; the variations in meteorological fields were found to dominate the IAVs of aerosols in northern and southern 65 China over 2004–2012. Considering that the IAVs in meteorological fields are 66 67 mainly associated with natural variability in the climate system, the IAVs in 68 aerosol concentrations driven by meteorological parameters have important 69 implications for the effectiveness of short-term air quality control strategies in 70 China.

71

72 **1** Introduction

73 Aerosols are major air pollutants that have adverse effects on human health. reduce atmospheric visibility, and influence global climate change. With the 74 75 rapid economic development in China over the past decades, concentrations 76 of aerosols are now among the highest in the world (Fu et al., 2008; Cao et al., 77 2012; Sun et al., 2013) driven mainly by the increases in direct and precursor emissions (Streets et al., 2003). Aerosol concentrations in China have 78 79 variations on different time scales (Zhang et al., 2010a; Zhu et al., 2012); we 80 aim to understand interannual variations (IAVs) of aerosols in this study. 81 Understanding interannual variations in aerosols driven by variations in 82 meteorological parameters is especially important for air pollution control. For 83 example, the Action Plan for Air Pollution Prevention and Control released by the State Council of China in year 2013 aims to reduce the annual mean PM_{2.5} 84 concentrations in the regions of Beijing-Tianjin-Hebei, Yangtze Delta, and 85 86 Pearl River Delta by 25%, 20%, and 15% respectively, as the concentrations in year 2017 are compared with those in 2012. The role of interannual variations 87 88 in meteorological parameters needs to be separated from the impact of the 89 reductions in emissions in these targeted reductions.

The IAVs of aerosols were usually quantified in previous studies by statistical variables such as standard deviation (SD), relative standard deviation (RSD), mean absolute deviation (MAD), and absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM), which are defined as

94
$$SD = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left(C_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \right)^2}$$
 (1)

95
$$\text{RSD} = 100\% \times \text{SD}/(\frac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^{n}C_i)$$
 (2)

96
$$MAD = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left| C_i - \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_i \right|$$
(3)

97
$$APDM = 100\% \times MAD / \left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} C_{i}\right)$$
(4)

98 where C_i is aerosol concentration of year *i*, and *n* is the number of years 99 examined. Therefore SD and MAD represent the absolute IAVs in aerosol 100 concentration, and RSD and APDM represent the IAVs relative to the average 101 concentration over the *n* years.

102 Large IAVs of aerosols have been reported in previous studies for different 103 aerosol species in different regions. Mahowald et al. (2003) showed that 104 annual mean mineral dust concentrations measured at 10 sites in the United 105 States over 1979–2000 had RSD values of 57–101%. Habib et al. (2006) 106 found by using the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer Absorbing Aerosol 107 Index datasets that the absorbing aerosol column burdens averaged over April–May of 1981–1992 exhibited RSD of 16–30% in different regions of India. 108 109 Alston et al. (2012) showed by using ground-based measurements at 41 sites in the southeastern United States (29 sites of PM_{2.5} measurements provided 110 111 by Environmental Protection Agency and 12 sites by Georgia Dept. of Natural 112 Resources) that monthly-mean PM_{2.5} concentrations in that region had APDM 113 values of 5-10% over 2000-2009. They also showed by using three sets of 114 aerosol optical depth (AOD) from Moderate Resolution Imaging 115 Spectroradiometer (MODIS) Terra, Multi-angle Imaging Spectroradiometer 116 Terra, and MODIS Aqua that monthly-mean AOD in the southeastern United 117 States had RSD values of 15–25% over 2000–2009.

Observations and modeling studies have also shown that aerosols in China have large IAVs. Qu et al. (2010) reconstructed PM_{10} aerosol concentrations at 86 Chinese cities using records of air pollution index from summer 2000 to winter 2006, and reported that seasonal-median PM₁₀ levels exhibited APDM values of 15–35% in those cities. Yang et al. (2011) collected weekly samples of carbonaceous aerosols over 2005–2008 at two sites in Beijing and reported that year-to-year changes in emission and meteorology altered annual-average fine organic carbon (OC) concentrations at the rural site in Beijing by as much as 27% over the observational time period.

The IAVs of aerosols are influenced by both emissions and meteorology. 127 128 Meteorological parameters influence aerosol concentrations through altering 129 emissions, chemical reactions, transport, and deposition. For example, 130 increases in temperature enhance chemical production of sulfate in the 131 atmosphere (Aw and Kleeman, 2003; Dawson et al., 2007; Kleeman, 2008) 132 and decrease nitrate aerosol formation (Bellouin et al., 2011; Liao et al., 2006; Pye et al., 2009; Racherla and Adams, 2006). Aerosol concentrations 133 134 decrease with increasing precipitation as wet deposition provides the main 135 aerosol sink (Balkanski et al., 1993; Dawson et al., 2007), and changes in ventilation (wind speed, mixing depth) have large impacts on aerosols since 136 137 aerosols are mainly influenced by local meteorological conditions. Tai et al. (2010) found that daily variation in meteorology as described by the multiple 138 139 linear regression could explain up to 50% of $PM_{2.5}$ variability based on an 140 11-year (1998–2008) observational record over the contiguous United States, 141 with temperature, relative humidity, precipitation, and circulation all being important predictors. 142

143 Since concentrations of chemical species are the net results from 144 comprehensive physical and chemical processes, the Integrated Process 145 Rates (IPR) (Im et al., 2011) have been used to identify the dominant 146 processes (such as horizontal and vertical transport, emissions of primary 147 species, gas-phase chemistry, dry deposition, cloud processes, and aerosol processes) that influence the concentrations of chemical species in the 148 149 Community Multi-scale Air Quality model (CMAQ) for episodic events (Jose et al., 2002; Goncalves et al., 2009) as well as for yearly (Zhang et al., 2009) to 150 151 decadal simulations (Civerolo et al., 2010). The IPR analyses in these studies 152 ranked the roles of different processes in the formation and fate of a chemical 153 species. For example, the horizontal flows and gas-phase chemical reactions 154 in the morning and the vertical flows in the afternoon were found to be the main 155 factors in the formation of surface O_3 during a photochemical pollution episode 156 in the coastal area of South-Western Europe in summer (Goncalves et al., 157 2009). Recently, a similar process analysis scheme was implemented within 158 the Weather Research and Forecasting model coupled with Chemistry (WRF 159 Chem) to understand the key photochemical and physical processes for the 160 formation of O_3 (Jiang et al., 2012) and PM_{10} (Jiang et al., 2013).

The scientific goals of this work are: (1) to quantify the IAVs in 161 surface-layer aerosol concentrations in China resulted from the variations in 162 163 meteorological conditions during 2004-2012, using the global 164 three-dimensional chemical transport model GEOS-Chem, and (2) to identify 165 the key meteorological parameters that influenced the IAVs of aerosols in different polluted regions of China by the IPR analyses. Section 2 describes 166 the model, emissions, and numerical experiments. Section 3 presents 167 168 simulated distributions of aerosols and IAVs in concentrations of different aerosol species in China averaged over 2004–2012. The key meteorological 169 parameters that influenced IAVs of aerosols are examined by IPR in Section 4. 170

171 Section 5 discusses the impacts of anthropogenic and natural emissions on172 IAVs of aerosols in China.

173

174 **2** Model description and numerical experiments

175 2.1 GEOS-Chem Model

176 We simulated aerosols using the global chemical transport model GEOS-Chem (version 9-01-02) driven by the GEOS-5 assimilated 177 178 meteorological fields from the Goddard Earth Observing System of the NASA 179 Global Modeling and Assimilation Office. We used the nested-grid capability of the GEOS-Chem model over East Asia (11°S-55°N, 70°-150°E) with a 180 horizontal resolution of 0.5° latitude by 0.667° longitude and 47 vertical layers 181 182 up to 0.01hPa (Chen et al., 2009). Chemical boundary conditions were from the global simulations performed at 4° x 5° horizontal resolution. 183

184 The GEOS-Chem model has fully coupled O₃-NO_x-hydrocarbon chemistry and aerosols including sulfate (SO_4^2) , nitrate (NO_3) , ammonium (NH_4^+) (Park et 185 al., 2004; Pye et al., 2009), OC and BC (Park et al., 2003), sea salt (Alexander 186 187 et al., 2005), and mineral dust (Fairlie et al., 2007). The gas-aerosol partitioning of nitric acid and ammonia is calculated using the ISORROPIA II 188 189 thermodynamic equilibrium model (Fountoukis and Nenes, 2007). Wet 190 deposition of soluble aerosols and gases follows the scheme of Liu et al. (2001) 191 and dry deposition follows a standard resistance-in-series model of Wesely (1989). We do not examine IAVs of mineral dust and sea salt aerosols in this 192 193 study, because sea salt aerosol is not a major aerosol component in China 194 based on measurements (Ye et al., 2003; Duan et al., 2006) and mineral dust 195 aerosol simulation has very large uncertainties (Fairlie et al., 2007; Fairlie et al., 196 2010).

197 Considering the large uncertainties in chemistry schemes of secondary 198 organic aerosol (SOA), SOA in our simulations was assumed to be the 10% 199 carbon yield of OC from biogenic terpenes (Park et al., 2003) and 2% carbon 200 yield of OC from biogenic isoprene (van Donkelaar et al., 2007).

201 2.2 Emissions

Global emissions of aerosol precursors and aerosols in the GEOS-Chem 202 203 model generally follow Park et al. (2003) and Park et al. (2004). Anthropogenic 204 emissions of NO_x, SO₂, BC, and OC (including emissions from power, industry, 205 residential, and transportation) in the Asian domain are overwritten by David 206 Streets' 2006 emission inventory (http://mic.greenresource.cn/intex-b2006) 207 (Zhang et al., 2009) in this work. Estimates of NH₃ emissions in China showed 208 large uncertainties in previous studies (Streets et al., 2003; Kim et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2010b; Huang et al., 2011; Huang et al., 2012). We used in our 209 210 simulations the most recent estimate of NH₃ emissions in China by Huang et al. (2012), which was 9.8 Tg yr⁻¹, instead of 13.5 Tg yr⁻¹ from Streets et al. (2003). 211 212 Monthly variations in SO_2 , NO_x , and NH_3 follow those in Wang et al. (2013). 213 Table 1 summarizes year 2006 annual emissions of NO_x, SO₂, NH₃, OC, and BC in Asia (70–150°E, 11°S–55°N) and eastern China (98–130°E, 20–55°N). 214 215 Natural NO_x emissions from lightning were described by Sauvage et al.

(2007) and Murray et al. (2012), and those from soil were described by Yienger
and Levy (1995). Natural NH₃ emissions from soil, vegetation, and the oceans
were from the Global Emissions Inventory Activity inventory (Bouwman et al.,
1997). Biomass burning emissions were from the monthly Global Fire
Emissions Database-v2 inventory (van der Werf et al., 2006). Biogenic VOC

emissions were calculated from Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosolsfrom Nature (Guenther et al., 2006).

223 **2.3 Numerical Experiments**

To quantify IAVs of aerosols over 2004-2012, we performed the following simulations of aerosols in China using the GEOS-Chem model driven by the GEOS-5 meteorological fields:

(1) ANNmet: The simulation to examine how the IAVs of aerosols were
influenced by variations in meteorological parameters. Meteorological
fields, natural emissions, and biomass burning emissions were allowed to
vary from 2004 to 2012, while anthropogenic emissions were kept at the
year 2006 values.

(2) ANNmet_ATM: Sensitivity simulation for 2004–2012 to examine the
sensitivity of IAVs of aerosols to variations in atmospheric conditions alone.
All natural emissions (such as soil NO_x, lightning NO_x as well as biogenic
sources) that were sensitive to meteorological parameters were turned off.
Anthropogenic emissions were kept at the year 2006 values.
Meteorological fields and biomass burning emissions were allowed to vary
from 2004 to 2012.

(3) ANNemis: The simulation to examine how the IAVs of aerosols were
influenced by variations in anthropogenic emissions. Anthropogenic and
biomass burning emissions were allowed to vary from 2004 to 2012.
Meteorological parameters and hence natural emissions were kept at the
year 2006 values.

(4) ANNall: Simulation of aerosols for years 2004–2012 with yearly varying
 meteorological parameters, biomass, natural and anthropogenic emissions.

The IAVs in anthropogenic emissions over 2004–2012 were obtained by using scaling factors; the annual scaling factors for NO_x were taken from Zhang et al. (2012) and those for SO_2 , OC, and BC were taken from Lu et al. (2011).

In ANNmet, the IAVs in meteorological fields influenced aerosol 250 251 concentrations in two ways. First, changes in meteorological parameters influenced chemical reactions, transport, and deposition of aerosols. Second, 252 253 precursor emissions from natural sources varied with meteorological fields. We 254 performed one sensitivity simulation ANNmet_ATM with natural emissions 255 turned off. The differences between ANNmet and ANNmet ATM represent the 256 differences in IAVs with and without natural emissions. Biomass burning 257 emissions were partly anthropogenic and partly natural, which were allowed to 258 vary over 2004-2012 in all the simulations. Comparison of ANNmet and ANNemis tells us the relative importance of variations in meteorological 259 260 parameters and anthropogenic emissions in IAVs of aerosols.

The presentations of the IAVs of aerosols will be focused on three polluted regions in China, North China (NC, 32–42°N, 110–120°E), South China (SC, 22–32°N, 110–120°E), and the Sichuan Basin (SCB, 27–33°N, 102–110°E), as defined in Fig. 1.

265

3 Simulated IAVs of aerosols resulted from IAVs of meteorological
 parameters alone

268 **3.1** Simulated distributions of aerosol concentrations

Figure 2 shows simulated seasonal-mean surface-layer concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} (sum of sulfate, nitrate, 271 ammonium, OC, and BC) averaged over 2004-2012 of simulation ANNmet. 272 Simulated aerosol concentrations were high over polluted eastern China throughout the year. Sulfate concentrations in NC were 15–20 μ g m⁻³ in 273 June-July-August (JJA) with the strong photochemistry in that season, and the 274 concentrations over SC showed small values of $3-10 \ \mu g \ m^{-3}$ in JJA as a result 275 276 of the large precipitation associated with the summer monsoon. The maximum sulfate concentrations of 25–30 µg m⁻³ were simulated over SCB in 277 December-January-February (DJF), as a result of the large SO₂ emissions 278 279 from winter heating. Our simulated seasonal variations in sulfate 280 concentrations agree well with those in Wang et al. (2013).

Simulated nitrate concentrations over NC were in the range of 15-40 µg 281 m⁻³ throughout the year, with maximum concentrations of about 40 µg m⁻³ in 282 DJF. High NO_x emissions and low temperatures favored nitrate formation in 283 DJF. Nitrate concentrations showed values of 5–15 μ g over SC and SCB m⁻³ in 284 285 JJA when temperatures were the highest. Simulated ammonium concentrations were in the range of 5–20 μ g m⁻³ over NC, SC, and SCB, with 286 seasonal variations in these regions following those of nitrate. 287

The simulated distributions of OC and BC were similar to those of their emissions, with the highest values in NC. Simulated OC and BC concentrations were high in DJF and September-October-November (SON) and low in March-April-May (MAM) and JJA, owing to the seasonal variations in precipitation.

293 Simulated $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations were in the range of 70–90 µg m⁻³ in NC 294 throughout the year, which were generally higher than the concentrations in 295 SC and SCB. In the surface layer, nitrate was predicted to be the most abundant aerosol species over eastern China, followed by sulfate, ammonium,
OC, and BC. Wang et al. (2013) reported that high nitrate in the GEOS-Chem
model is likely caused by the overestimate of NH₃ emissions, and Kharol et al.
(2013) demonstrated that the persistent nitrate in GEOS-Chem in China is,
overall, as much linked to high NO_x emissions as it is to high NH₃ emissions.

301 **3.2** Simulated IAVs of aerosols

Figures 3 and 4 show, respectively, the MAD and APDM values of seasonal mean surface-layer concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} simulated in ANNmet. We also present the domain-averaged values of MAD and APDM for NC, SC, and SCB in Tables 2 and 3.

306 The MAD and APDM values of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium from 307 ANNmet indicated that concentrations of these species in NC had larger IAVs than those in SC (Tables 2 and 3). Over NC, SC, and SCB, sulfate aerosol 308 309 showed regional mean APDM values of 10-24%. The largest IAVs of sulfate 310 were found over NC in DJF, with APDM values of exceeding 24%. These IAVs 311 were significant, considering that these were averages over 2004-2012; year by year variations can be larger than the averages reported here. Our 312 313 simulated IAV of sulfate was close to the IAV of 14-20% reported by Gong et al. 314 (2010) for sulfate at the Canadian High Arctic.

The MAD and APDM values obtained in simulation ANNmet showed that nitrate concentrations also had large IAVs (Tables 2 and 3). The APDM values were 13–18% over NC where nitrate concentrations were the highest. The APDM values of nitrate in NC did not show large variations with season, which were larger than the APDM values of sulfate in JJA but smaller than those of sulfate in DJF. The distribution and magnitude of the APDM values of 321 ammonium generally followed those of nitrate over polluted eastern China.

The spatial pattern of either MAD or APDM of OC was similar to that of BC in all seasons. OC exhibited seasonal mean APDM values of 6–12% in polluted NC, SC, and SCB throughout the year. Because BC is a chemically inert tracer, the IAVs in BC obtained in ANNmet were caused by the variations in transport and deposition. The APDM values of BC were about the same as those of OC, except that the APDM values of BC were smaller in NC in MAM and in SC in DJF, MAM, and JJA.

The IAVs of PM_{2.5} concentrations were the largest in NC; the regional mean APDM values were 17%, 14%, 14%, and 11% in DJF, MAM, JJA, and SON (Table 3), respectively. Over SC, the maximum APDM value of 14% was found in JJA and the highest APDM values were about 9% in other seasons (Table 3). Over SCB, PM_{2.5} showed the smallest IAVs among all regions, with APDM values of 8–9% in all seasons.

335 **3.3** Comparisons of simulated IAVs of aerosols with measurements

Simulated concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, and ammonium aerosols in China 336 337 have been evaluated in the study of Wang et al. (2013) and those of carbonaceous aerosols in China have been evaluated by Fu et al. (2012), both 338 339 of which used the same one-way nested-grid capability of the GEOS-Chem. 340 Wang et al. (2013) found that simulated concentrations of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium at 22 sites in East Asia exhibited annual mean biases of -10%, 341 +31%, and +35%, respectively, and Fu et al. (2012) showed that the simulated 342 343 annual mean concentrations of BC and OC averaged over rural and background sites were underestimated by 56% and 76%, respectively. 344

345 For the purpose of this study, we evaluated the model's performance in

346 simulating the IAVs of aerosols by comparing simulated aerosol optical depths (AODs) with satellite measurements, because of the lack of long-term 347 ground-based measurements in China (Chan and Yao, 2008). The Level 3 348 349 MODIS/Terra monthly products (MOD08 M3, 350 http://ladsweb.nascom.nasa.gov/) with 1°×1° equal-angle global grid were obtained from NASA LAADS (Level 1 and Atmosphere Archive and Distribution 351 System). Collections 5 and 5.1 contain the time series of AODs from March 352 353 2000 to the present. We used AODs at the 550 nm wavelength, which incorporated only the highest quality retrievals. Over East Asia, the MODIS 354 355 measurements have been well validated through many studies (Chin et al., 356 2004; Park et al., 2011). In the GEOS-Chem model, the AODs of sulfate, 357 nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, sea salt, and dust aerosols were calculated 358 based on aerosol mass concentration, extinction efficiency, effective radius, particle mass density, and the assumed aerosol size distribution (Drury et al., 359 2010). The hygroscopic growth of each aerosol species with relative humidity 360 361 was accounted for, using the hygroscopic growth factors listed in Martin et al. 362 (2003).

363 We compared simulated and observed IAVs in AODs for the cities of Beijing (39.5°N, 116.2°E), Changsha (28.1°N, 112.6°E) and Chengdu (30.7°N, 364 365 104.0°E) (Fig. 5), which were chosen to represent the model performance in NC, SC and SCB regions, respectively. The correlation coefficients between 366 367 observed and modeled monthly mean AODs from ANNmet simulation were 0.83, 0.34, 0.13 for Beijing, Changsha, and Chengdu, respectively. The large 368 369 correlation coefficient for Beijing indicates that the model was able to capture to some extent the observed IAVs in NC. The small correlation coefficients in 370

371 Chengdu can be explained in part by the complex topography and satellite 372 limitations such as cloud contamination (Xia et al., 2004). Note that in simulation ANNmet, simulated AODs correlated well with the simulated column 373 374 burdens and surface-layer concentrations of PM_{2.5}; the correlation coefficients 375 between simulated monthly mean AODs and column burdens (surface-layer 376 concentrations) of PM_{2.5} were 0.95 (0.81), 0.94 (0.81), and 0.91 (0.77) over Beijing, Changsha, and Chengdu, respectively. The IAVs of observed AODs 377 378 agreed fairly well with the IAVs of surface-layer aerosol concentrations. For 379 example, the seasonal-mean APDM values of observed AODs were 18% 380 (DJF), 15% (MAM), 24% (JJA), and 16% (SON) for Beijing, close to the 381 seasonal-mean APDM values of surface-layer PM_{2.5} shown in Fig. 4.

382

4 Understanding the IAVs of aerosols by process analyses

4.1 IAVs of meteorological parameters

Figure 6 shows seasonal mean temperature, specific humidity, precipitation, 850 hPa zonal and meridional winds for DJF and JJA. All these meteorological fields were averaged over years 2004–2012. Over central and eastern China, temperature, specific humidity and precipitation generally exhibited much larger values in JJA than in DJF. At 850 hPa, strong westerlies were found in NC in DJF, and prevailing southerlies occurred in JJA, reflecting the typical features of winds in China.

Figures 7 and 8 show, respectively, the MAD and APDM values of surface-layer temperature, specific humidity, and precipitation for DJF and JJA. The APDM values of temperature in DJF were generally larger than those in JJA. Piao et al. (2003) also showed that the largest IAV of temperature in 396 eastern China was in winter (December and February) based on the 397 reanalyzed temperatures over 1982–1999. Specific humidity showed APDM values of 6–20% in DJF and of 2–8% in JJA over central and eastern China. 398 399 For precipitation, APDM values of 20-80% and 10-30% were calculated in DJF and JJA, respectively, and the APDM values of precipitation were larger in 400 401 NC than in SC, which agreed with those reported in Qian and Lin (2005). The variations in temperature and specific humidity can influence chemical 402 403 reactions of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium, while those in precipitation are 404 important for wet deposition of all aerosol species. The relatively large APDM values of these meteorological parameters in DJF suggested large IAVs of 405 406 aerosols in this season.

407 **4.2 Process analyses**

The concentrations of aerosols are determined by emissions, chemical reactions, transport, and deposition. Therefore, the IAVs of aerosols are influenced by IAV of each of these processes. The weighted contribution of each process to IAV of an aerosol is estimated here using

412
$$\% PC_i = MAD_i / \sum_i^n MAD_i$$
 (5)

413 where *n* is the number of processes considered, MAD_i is the MAD value of process *i* and $\% PC_i$ is the relative contribution of process *i* to the sum of the 414 contributions from all processes (Im et al., 2011). Once the most important 415 416 processes are selected from this approach, meteorological variables to which the processes are sensitive to are classified as the key meteorological 417 418 parameters that lead to IAV of the aerosol. Since we aimed to examine the 419 IAVs in surface-layer aerosol concentrations, our process analyses for an aerosol species were performed for each region (NC, SC, or SCB) from the 420

surface to 1 km altitude. For an aerosol species, the budget (mass flux from
each process) was constructed for the selected region considering the mass
balance of this aerosol. Chemical production and removal, transport, as well as
wet and dry deposition of the aerosol were diagnosed at every time step and
summed over each season in simulation ANNmet.

426 **4.2.1 Sulfate**

Processes that influence the IAVs of sulfate concentrations include 427 428 anthropogenic emissions, formation pathways (gas-phase oxidation of SO₂ by 429 OH, and in-cloud oxidation of SO_2 by ozone and hydrogen peroxide), mass 430 fluxes through the four lateral boundaries and the upper boundary at 1 km, wet 431 and dry deposition. On the basis of simulation ANNmet, Figure 9 shows the 432 mass fluxes and APDM values and Figure 10 shows the MAD values and relative contributions of individual atmospheric processes to sulfate 433 concentrations in different regions for DJF and JJA. Transport fluxes were 434 435 calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km. 436

With respect to sulfate budget over NC in DJF, vertical flux through the top 437 438 side and gas-phase sulfate formation by reaction of SO₂ with OH had the largest values of 0.041 Tg S season⁻¹ and 0.019 Tg S season⁻¹, respectively 439 440 (Fig. 9a). Among the four horizontal fluxes through the lateral boundaries of NC, the flux through the south boundary had the largest value of 0.017 Tg S 441 season⁻¹, while that through the west boundary had the smallest value of 0.003 442 Tq S season⁻¹. The in-cloud reactions of SO₂ with O₃ and H₂O₂ contributed 443 relatively small to sulfate formation, by 0.005 Tg S season⁻¹ and 0.004 Tg S 444 season⁻¹, respectively. Wet deposition was 0.006 Tg S season⁻¹ and dry 445

446 deposition was the smallest flux. Fig. 10 shows that gas-phase oxidation of 447 SO₂ by OH was the most important process that contributed to the IAV of sulfate, with relative contribution of 30%, followed by the vertical flux through 448 449 the top side (18%). The relative contributions of horizontal fluxes through the lateral boundaries were in the range of 3-11%. Each of the aqueous-phase 450 451 oxidations of SO₂ by O₃ and H₂O₂ accounted for 6% of the IAV of sulfate. The relative contribution by wet deposition was 8% and that by dry deposition was 452 0.4% (Fig. 10a). In JJA, gas-phase formation of sulfate increased to 0.039 Tg 453 S season⁻¹ with relative contribution of 57%, making it the only process that 454 455 determined the IAV of sulfate concentration over NC in this season. Since 456 gas-phase oxidation of SO₂ is sensitive to temperature and humidity (Yao et al., 457 2002; Zhang et al., 2012), we conclude that the variations in temperature and 458 specific humidity were the key factors that drove the IAV of sulfate over NC. 459 The relatively high MAD values of temperature in NC in both DJF and JJA (Fig. 460 7) as well as the large MAD values of specific humidity over NC in JJA (Fig. 7) support the above conclusion. 461

Similar analyses were performed for sulfate in SC. In DJF, the gas-phase 462 formation of sulfate and wet deposition were the dominant source and sink of 463 464 sulfate in this region (Fig. 9b). The vertical flux, flux through the south 465 boundary, and wet deposition had the largest contributions to IAV of sulfate with relative contributions of 24%, 18%, and 15%, respectively, indicating that 466 wind and precipitation were the main meteorological factors that determined 467 the IAV of sulfate concentration over SC in DJF. In JJA, sulfate formation from 468 the reaction of SO₂ with OH had a large value of 0.030 Tg S season⁻¹, but this 469 process had a very low APDM value of 6% (Fig. 9b). Fig. 10b showed that wet 470

471 deposition and in-cloud oxidation of SO_2 by H_2O_2 were the prevailing 472 processes that contributed, respectively, 20% and 18% to the IAV of sulfate 473 concentration in SC. These two processes corresponded well with the high 474 MAD values of precipitation in JJA as shown in Fig. 7.

With respect to sulfate budget over the SCB in DJF, vertical flux through 475 476 the top side, wet deposition, and gas-phase formation of sulfate had the largest values of 0.050, 0.023, and 0.019 Tg S season⁻¹, respectively (Fig. 9c). 477 478 The vertical flux through the top side was the most important process that 479 contributed to the IAV of sulfate with a relative contribution of 33%, followed by wet deposition (23%) and in-cloud oxidation of SO_2 by O_3 (12%) (Fig. 10c). We 480 481 can infer from these analyses that wind (or convergence of winds) was the 482 dominant meteorological factor to influence IAV of sulfate over SCB in DJF. In JJA, wet deposition was the largest contributor to the IAV of sulfate 483 484 concentration with relative contribution of 35%, which corresponded well with 485 the high MAD values of precipitation in JJA (Fig. 7).

486 **4.2.2** Nitrate

The processes that influence nitrate concentration include gas-to-aerosol 487 488 conversion of HNO₃ to form nitrate, mass fluxes through the four lateral 489 boundaries and the upper boundary at 1 km, wet and dry deposition. Among all 490 the processes, gas-to-aerosol conversion was found to be the key process that 491 drove the IAV of nitrate over the three studied regions. In DJF (JJA), gas-to-aerosol conversion was calculated to have relative contributions of 56% 492 493 (71%), 45% (73%), and 62% (73%) in NC, SC, and SCB, respectively (Fig. 12), 494 indicating that the IAVs in temperature and specific humidity drove the IAVs of nitrate in these polluted regions. As reported by Dawson et al. (2007), 495

496 temperature and humidity have the largest influences on gas-to-aerosol497 partitioning of HNO₃.

498 **4.2.3** Organic carbon

499 Processes that influence IAV of OC include mass fluxes through the four lateral boundaries and the upper boundary, emissions from anthropogenic, 500 501 biomass, biofuel and biogenic sources, as well as wet and dry deposition. With respect to OC budget over NC, emissions had the largest mass fluxes in OC 502 503 budget in both DJF and JJA (Fig. 13). However, Fig. 14 shows that transport 504 fluxes were the most important processes to drive the IAV of OC in both seasons. The relative contributions of transport fluxes were in the range of 505 506 5–23% in DJF and 8.0–25% in JJA. Wet deposition made contributions of 9% 507 in DJF and 11% in JJA. Note that the relative contributions of biogenic and 508 biomass emissions were very small.

509 Similar analysis for SC showed that biofuel emission, fluxes through the 510 north and south boundaries had the largest values in OC budget in DJF (Fig. 511 13). Vertical transport, transport through the south boundary, and flux through 512 the north boundary had the largest contributions to the IAV of OC in DJF, with 513 relative contributions of 24%, 20%, and 15%, respectively (Fig. 14). In JJA, 514 transport through the north boundary had the largest relative contribution of 515 23%, followed by wet deposition of 16%.

516 Over SCB in DJF, vertical transport had the largest relative contribution of 517 31%, followed by mass flux through the south boundary of 24% (Fig. 14). In 518 JJA, wet deposition, vertical transport, and transport at the south boundary had 519 the largest contributions to the IAV of OC, with relative contributions of 30%, 520 15%, and 11%, respectively. 521 To conclude, wind was the most important meteorological parameter that 522 drove the IAV of OC in the three regions. Precipitation also played a crucial 523 role in JJA over SC and SCB.

524

525 5 Impacts of anthropogenic emissions and meteorology-sensitive 526 natural emissions on IAVs of aerosols

Table 2 and 3 show, respectively, the simulated MAD and APDM values of 527 SO₄²⁻, NO₃⁻, NH₄⁺, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} in ANNmet, ANNmet_ATM, ANNemis, 528 529 and ANNall experiments. As described in Section 2, the comparisons of the 530 APDM values from ANNemis with those obtained in ANNmet indicate the 531 relative importance of anthropogenic emissions and meteorological 532 parameters in the IAVs of aerosols. Based on the annual scaling factors taken 533 from Zhang et al. (2012) and Lu et al. (2011), the annual total emissions of NO_x , SO₂, OC, and BC in China had APDM values of 7%, 5%, 3%, and 5%, 534 535 respectively, over years 2004-2012. In NC, the APDM values of concentrations of all aerosol species obtained in ANNemis were much smaller 536 537 than those in ANNmet, indicating that the variations in meteorological parameters played more important roles than variations in anthropogenic 538 emissions in driving the IAVs of aerosols. Similar results were found in SC, 539 540 except that the APDM values of nitrate aerosol driven by variations in emissions alone became close to those driven by variations in meteorological 541 542 parameters alone. In SCB, the variations in emissions were as important as 543 those in meteorological fields for IAVs of nitrate, ammonium, and PM_{2.5} 544 aerosols in MAM, JJA, and SON. These results in SCB can be explained by 545 the nonlinear responses in nitrate and ammonium aerosols to variations in

precursor emissions. Wang et al. (2013) also showed that nonlinear responses
of sulfate, nitrate and ammonium to changes in precursor emissions differed
by region and season, as meteorological fields were kept at a specific year and
the same emission scaling factors were applied to all regions.

550 The differences in MAD and APDM values between ANNmet_ATM and 551 ANNmet represented the IAVs of aerosols caused by meteorology-sensitive 552 natural emissions. The roles of natural emissions were generally small, except 553 that the differences in APDM between ANNmet_ATM and ANNmet were large 554 in DJF and MAM for OC over SC (Table 3), which were caused by the high 555 biogenic emissions in the region (Fu and Liao, 2012).

556

557 6 Conclusions

558 We used the nested grid version of the GEOS-Chem model to estimate the role of meteorology in the IAVs of aerosols over China for years 2004–2012. 559 560 We performed simulations, ANNmet (effects of variations in meteorology 561 alone), ANNmet ATM ANNmet and (same as except that 562 meteorology-sensitive natural emissions were turned off), ANNemis (effects of variations in anthropogenic emissions alone), and ANNall (combined effects of 563 564 variations in meteorology and anthropogenic emissions) to identify the key 565 parameters that influence the IAVs of aerosols.

We defined two parameters, mean absolute deviation (MAD) and absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM), to quantify the IAVs in concentrations of aerosols over 2004–2012. Results from simulation ANNmet showed that, driven by changes in meteorological parameters alone, the regional mean APDM values of sulfate, nitrate, and OC aerosols were in the 571 ranges of 10–24%, 9–18%, and 6–12%, respectively, over the studied regions 572 (NC, SC, and SCB) throughout the year. As a result of the IAVs of individual aerosol species, simulated PM_{2.5} aerosol concentrations exhibited large IAVs 573 574 in NC, with regionally averaged APDM values of 17%, 14%, 14%, and 11% in DJF, MAM, JJA, and SON, respectively. Over SC, the IAVs in PM_{2.5} were found 575 576 to be the largest in JJA; the regional mean APDM value was 14% in JJA and about 9% in other seasons. Concentrations of PM_{2.5} over SCB were simulated 577 578 to have the smallest IAVs among the polluted regions examined in this work. 579 with the APDM values of 8-9% in all seasons. All aerosol species (sulfate, 580 nitrate, ammonium, black carbon, and organic carbon) were simulated to have 581 the largest IAVs over NC in DJF, corresponding to the large variations in 582 meteorological parameters over NC in DJF.

We applied process analyses for sulfate, nitrate and OC to identify key 583 584 meteorological parameters that led to IAVs of these aerosols over 2004–2012. 585 For sulfate in NC, gas-phase formation of sulfate was found to be the key process that drove the IAV of sulfate in both DJF and JJA, with relative 586 587 contribution of 30% in DJF and of 57% in JJA, inferring that the variations in temperature and specific humidity jointly determined the IAV of sulfate in NC. 588 589 Over SC and SCB, the most important process that dominated IAV of sulfate in 590 DJF was found to be the vertical flux through the top side with relative 591 contribution of 24% in SC and of 33% in SCB, and the key process in JJA was found to be wet deposition with relative contributions of 20% and 35% in SC 592 593 and SCB, respectively. For nitrate, gas-to-aerosol conversion was found to be 594 the key process that dominated the IAVs of nitrate over the three regions, with 595 very high relative contributions of 45-62% in DJF and 71-73% in JJA, indicating that temperature and specific humidity were the major factors that drove the IAV of nitrate in China. For OC, transport was the most important process that influenced the IAV of OC throughout the year, and precipitation also played a crucial role in JJA over SC and SCB, associated with the East Asian summer monsoon precipitation.

601 We also examined the relative importance of anthropogenic emissions and 602 meteorological parameters in the IAVs of aerosols. For all aerosol species 603 (sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, BC, and OC), the APDM values in ANNmet were larger than those in ANNemis in NC and SC, indicating that the variations in 604 605 meteorological parameters played more important roles than variations in 606 anthropogenic emissions in driving the IAVs of aerosols in these two regions. 607 In SCB, the variations in emissions were found to be as important as those in meteorological fields for IAVs of nitrate, ammonium, and PM_{2.5} aerosols in 608 609 MAM, JJA, and SON.

610 The IAVs in meteorological fields are mainly associated with natural variability in the climate system; hence the magnitudes of IAVs in aerosol 611 612 concentrations driven meteorological parameters bv have important 613 implications for the effectiveness of short-term air quality control strategies in China. We note that the changes in anthropogenic emissions on longer time 614 615 scales (for example, decades) may lead to linear trends in simulated aerosol concentrations (Yang et al., 2014). For studies on longer time scales, the MAD 616 617 and APDM values need to be calculated after detrending the time series, 618 following the approach used in previous studies that examined interannual variations in ozone concentrations (Camp et al., 2003), sea surface 619 temperature, partial pressure of CO₂ (Gruber et al., 2002), sea level pressure 620

621 (Thompson et al., 1998), and North Atlantic Oscillation index (Jung et al.,622 2003).

623

Acknowledgements. This work was supported by the National Basic Research Program of China (973 program, Grant No. 2014CB441202), the Strategic Priority Research Program of the Chinese Academy of Sciences Strategic Priority Research Program Grant No. XDA05100503, and the National Natural Science Foundation of China under grant 41321064. We thank the MODIS Atmosphere Discipline Group for providing the MODIS data and anonymous reviewers for helpful suggestions during the review process.

631

632 **References**

- Alexander, B., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Li, Q. B., Yantosca, R. M., Savarino, J.,
 Lee, C. C. W., and Thiemens, M. H.: Sulfate formation in sea-salt aerosols:
 Constraints from oxygen isotopes, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D10307, doi:
 10.1029/2004jd005659, 2005.
- Alston, E. J., Sokolik, I. N., and Kalashnikova, O. V.: Characterization of
 atmospheric aerosol in the US Southeast from ground- and space-based
 measurements over the past decade, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1667-1682,
 doi: 10.5194/amt-5-1667-2012, 2012.
- Aw, J., and Kleeman, M. J.: Evaluating the first-order effect of intraannual
 temperature variability on urban air pollution, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4365,
 doi: 10.1029/2002JD002688, 2003.
- Balkanski, Y. J., Jacob, D. J., Gardner, G. M., Graustein, W. C., and Turekian,
 K. K.: Transport and Residence Times of Tropospheric Aerosols Inferred
 from a Global 3-Dimensional Simulation of Pb-210, J. Geophys. Res., 98,
 20573-20586, doi: 10.1029/93jd02456, 1993.
- Bellouin, N., Rae, J., Jones, A., Johnson, C., Haywood, J., and Boucher, O.:
 Aerosol forcing in the Climate Model Intercomparison Project (CMIP5)
 simulations by HadGEM2-ES and the role of ammonium nitrate, J.
 Geophys. Res., 116, D20206, doi: 10.1029/2011jd016074, 2011.
- Bouwman, A. F., Lee, D. S., Asman, W. A. H., Dentener, F. J., VanderHoek, K.
 W., and Olivier, J. G. J.: A global high-resolution emission inventory for ammonia, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 11, 561–587, doi:10.1029/97GB02266, 1997.
- Camp, C. D., Roulston, M. S., and Yung, Y. L.: Temporal and spatial patterns of
 the interannual variability of total ozone in the tropics, J. Geophys. Res, 108,
 D4643, doi:10.1029/2001JD001504, 2003.
- Cao, J. J., Wang, Q. Y., Chow, J. C., Watson, J. G., Tie, X. X., Shen, Z. X.,
 Wang, P., and An, Z. S.: Impacts of aerosol compositions on visibility
 impairment in Xi'an, China, Atmos. Environ., 59, 559-566, doi:
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.036, 2012.
- 663 Chan, C. K., and Yao, X.: Air pollution in mega cities in China, Atmos. Environ.,
 664 42, 1-42, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.09.003, 2008.
- Chen, D., Wang, Y., McElroy, M. B., He, K., Yantosca, R. M., and Le Sager, P.:
 Regional CO pollution and export in China simulated by the high-resolution
 nested-grid GEOS-Chem model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 3825–3839,
 doi:10.5194/acp-9-3825-2009, 2009.
- Chin, M., Chu, A., Levy, R., Remer, L., Kaufman, Y., Holben, B., Eck, T.,
 Ginoux, P., and Gao, Q. X.: Aerosol distribution in the Northern Hemisphere
 during ACE-Asia: Results from global model, satellite observations, and
 Sun photometer measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D23s90, doi:
 10.1029/2004jd004829, 2004.
- Civerolo, K., Hogrefe, C., Zalewsky, E., Hao, W., Sistla, G., Lynn, B., 674 Rosenzweig, C., and Kinney, P. L.: Evaluation of an 18-year CMAQ 675 simulation: Seasonal variations and long-term temporal changes in sulfate 676 677 and nitrate, Atmos. Environ., 44, 3745-3752, doi: 678 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.056, 2010.
- Dawson, J. P., Adams, P. J., and Pandis, S. N.: Sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to climate in
 the Eastern US: a modeling case study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7,

- 681 4295–4309, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4295-2007, 2007.
- Drury, E., Jacob, D. J., Spurr, R. J. D., Wang, J., Shinozuka, Y., Anderson, B.
 E., Clarke, A. D., Dibb, J., McNaughton, C., and Weber, R.: Synthesis of
 satellite (MODIS), aircraft (ICARTT), and surface (IMPROVE, EPA-AQS,
 AERONET) aerosol observations over eastern North America to improve
 MODIS aerosol retrievals and constrain surface aerosol concentrations and
 sources, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D14204, doi: 10.1029/2009jd012629,
 2010.
- Duan, F., He, K., Ma, Y., Yang, F., Yu, X., Cadle, S., Chan, T., and Mulawa, P.:
 Concentration and chemical characteristics of PM_{2.5} in Beijing, China:
 2001–2002, Sci. Total Environ., 355, 264-275,
 doi:10.1016/j.scitotenv.2005.03.001, 2006.
- Fairlie, T. D., Jacob, D. J., and Park, R. J.: The impact of transpacific transport
 of mineral dust in the United States, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1251-1266, doi:
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.048, 2007.
- Fairlie, T. D., Jacob, D. J., Dibb, J. E., Alexander, B., Avery, M. A., van
 Donkelaar, A., and Zhang, L.: Impact of mineral dust on nitrate, sulfate, and
 ozone in transpacific Asian pollution plumes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 3999-4012, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-3999-2010, 2010.
- 700Fountoukis, C., and Nenes, A.: ISORROPIA II: a computationally efficient
thermodynamicfor701thermodynamicequilibriummodel701for
- 702 $K^+-Ca^{2+}-Mg^{2+}NH_4^+-Na^+-SO_4^{2-}-NO_3^--Cl^--H_2O$ aerosols, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 703 4639-4659, doi:10.5194/acp-7-4639-2007, 2007.
- Fu, Q. Y., Zhuang, G. S., Wang, J., Xu, C., Huang, K., Li, J., Hou, B., Lu, T.,
 and Streets, D. G.: Mechanism of formation of the heaviest pollution
 episode ever recorded in the Yangtze River Delta, China, Atmos. Environ.,
 42, 2023-2036, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.12.002, 2008.
- Fu, T. M., Cao, J. J., Zhang, X. Y., Lee, S. C., Zhang, Q., Han, Y. M., Qu, W. J.,
 Han, Z., Zhang, R., Wang, Y. X., Chen, D., and Henze, D. K.:
 Carbonaceous aerosols in China: top-down constraints on primary sources
 and estimation of secondary contribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12,
 2725-2746, doi: 10.5194/acp-12-2725-2012, 2012.
- Fu, Y., and Liao, H.: Simulation of the interannual variations of biogenic
 emissions of volatile organic compounds in China: Impacts on tropospheric
 ozone and secondary organic aerosol, Atmos. Environ., 59, 170-185, doi:
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.05.053, 2012.
- Goncalves, M., Jimenez-Guerrero, P., and Baldasano, J. M.: Contribution of atmospheric processes affecting the dynamics of air pollution in South-Western Europe during a typical summertime photochemical episode, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 849-864, doi:10.5194/acp-9-849-2009, 2009.
- Gruber, N., Bates, N. R., and Keeling, C. D.: Interannual variability in the North
 Atlantic Ocean carbon sink, Science, 298, 2374–2378,
 doi:10.1126/science.1077077, 2002.
- Guenther, A., Karl, T., Harley, P., Wiedinmyer, C., Palmer, P. I., and Geron, C.:
 Estimates of global terrestrial isoprene emissions using MEGAN (Model of Emissions of Gases and Aerosols from Nature), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3181-3210, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3181-2006, 2006.
- Habib, G., Venkataraman, C., Chiapello, I., Ramachandran, S., Boucher, O.,
 and Reddy, M. S.: Seasonal and interannual variability in absorbing

- 731aerosols over India derived from TOMS: Relationship to regional732meteorology and emissions, Atmos. Environ., 40, 1909-1921, doi:73310.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.07.077, 2006.
- 734 Huang, C., Chen, C. H., Li, L., Cheng, Z., Wang, H. L., Huang, H. Y., Streets, D. G., Wang, Y. J., Zhang, G. F., and Chen, Y. R.: Emission inventory of 735 anthropogenic air pollutants and VOC species in the Yangtze River Delta 736 737 region, China. Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4105-4120, doi: 10.5194/acp-11-4105-2011, 2011. 738
- Huang, X., Song, Y., Li, M. M., Li, J. F., Huo, Q., Cai, X. H., Zhu, T., Hu, M., and
 Zhang, H. S.: A high-resolution ammonia emission inventory in China,
 Global Biogeochem. Cy., 26, Gb1030, doi: 10.1029/2011gb004161, 2012.
- Im, U., Markakis, K., Poupkou, A., Melas, D., Unal, A., Gerasopoulos, E., 742 743 Daskalakis, N., Kindap, T., and Kanakidou, M.: The impact of temperature changes on summer time ozone and its precursors in the Eastern 744 745 Chem. Phys., 3847-3864. doi: Mediterranean, Atmos. 11, 746 10.5194/acp-11-3847-2011, 2011.
- Jiang, F., Zhou, P., Liu, Q., Wang, T. J., Zhuang, B. L., and Wang, X. Y.:
 Modeling tropospheric ozone formation over East China in springtime, J.
 Atmos. Chem., 69, 303-319, doi: 10.1007/s10874-012-9244-3, 2012.
- Jiang, Z., Liu, Z., Wang, T., Schwartz, C. S., Lin, H.-C., and Jiang, F.: Probing
 into the impact of 3DVAR assimilation of surface PM₁₀ observations over
 China using process analysis, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 6738–6749, doi:
 10.1002/jgrd.50495, 2013.
- Jose, R. S., Perez, J. L., Pleguezuelos, C., Camacho, F., and Gonzalez, R. M.:
 MM5-CMAQ air quality modelling process analysis: Madrid case, Adv. Air
 Pollut. Ser., 11, 171-179, 2002.
- Jung, T., Hilmer, M., Ruprecht, E., Kleppek, S., Gulev, S.K., Zolina, O.:
 Characteristics of the recent eastward shift of interannual NAO variability, J.
 Climate, 16, 3371–3382, 2003.
- Kharol, S., Martin, R. V., Philip, S., Vogel, S., Henze, D. K., Chen, D., Wang, Y.,
 Zhang, Q., Heald, C. L., Persistent Sensitivity of Asian Aerosol to
 Emissions of Nitrogen Oxides, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 1021-1026,
 doi:10.1002/grl.50234, 2013.
- Kim, J. Y., Song, C. H., Ghim, Y. S., Won, J. G., Yoon, S. C., Carmichael, G. R.,
 and Woo, J. H.: An investigation on NH₃ emissions and particulate
- 766 NH_4^+ -NO₃ formation in East Asia, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2139-2150, doi: 767 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.048, 2006.
- Kleeman, M. J.: A preliminary assessment of the sensitivity of air quality in
 California to global change, Climatic Change, 87, S273-S292, doi:
 10.1007/s10584-007-9351-3, 2008.
- Liao, H., Chen, W. T., and Seinfeld, J. H.: Role of climate change in global
 predictions of future tropospheric ozone and aerosols, J. Geophys. Res.,
 111, D12304, doi: 10.1029/2005jd006852, 2006.
- Liu, H. Y., Jacob, D. J., Bey, I., and Yantosca, R. M.: Constraints from ²¹⁰Pb and ⁷Be on wet deposition and transport in a global three-dimensional chemical tracer model driven by assimilated meteorological fields, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12109-12128, doi: 10.1029/2000jd900839, 2001.
- Lu, Z., Zhang, Q., and Streets, D. G.: Sulfur dioxide and primary carbonaceous
 aerosol emissions in China and India, 1996-2010, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11,

- 780 9839-9864, doi: 10.5194/acp-11-9839-2011, 2011.
- Mahowald, N., Luo, C., del Corral, J., and Zender, C. S.: Interannual variability
 in atmospheric mineral aerosols from a 22-year model simulation and
 observational data, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4352, doi:
 10.1029/2002jd002821, 2003.
- Martin, R. V., Jacob, D. J., Yantosca, R. M., Chin, M., and Ginoux, P.: Global 785 786 and regional decreases in tropospheric oxidants from photochemical 787 effects aerosols. J. Geophys. Res., 108. 4097. of doi: 788 10.1029/2002jd002622, 2003.
- Murray, L. T., Jacob, D. J., Logan, J. A., Hudman, R. C., and Koshak, W. J.:
 Optimized regional and interannual variability of lightning in a global
 chemical transport model constrained by LIS/OTD satellite data, J.
 Geophys. Res., 117, D20307, doi:10.1029/2012JD017934, 2012.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Chin, M., and Martin, R. V.: Sources of carbonaceous
 aerosols over the United States and implications for natural visibility, J.
 Geophys. Res., 108, 4355, doi: 10.1029/2002jd003190, 2003.
- Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Field, B. D., Yantosca, R. M., and Chin, M.: Natural and transboundary pollution influences on sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols in the United States: Implications for policy, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D15204, doi: 10.1029/2003jd004473, 2004.
- 800 Park, R. S., Song, C. H., Han, K. M., Park, M. E., Lee, S. S., Kim, S. B., and 801 Shimizu, A.: A study on the aerosol optical properties over East Asia using a 802 combination of CMAQ-simulated aerosol optical properties and 803 remote-sensing data via a data assimilation technique, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12275-12296, doi: 10.5194/acp-11-12275-2011, 2011. 804
- Piao, S. L., Fang, J. Y., Zhou, L. M., Guo, Q. H., Henderson, M., Ji, W., Li, Y.,
 and Tao, S.: Interannual variations of monthly and seasonal normalized
 difference vegetation index (NDVI) in China from 1982 to 1999, J. Geophys.
 Res., 108, 4401, doi: 10.1029/2002jd002848, 2003.
- Pye, H. O. T., Liao, H., Wu, S., Mickley, L. J., Jacob, D. J., Henze, D. K., and
 Seinfeld, J. H.: Effect of changes in climate and emissions on future
 sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosol levels in the United States, J. Geophys.
 Res., 114, D01205, doi: 10.1029/2008jd010701, 2009.
- Qian, W., and Lin, X.: Regional trends in recent precipitation indices in China,
 Meteorol. Atmos. Phys., 90, 193-207, doi: 10.1007/s00703-004-0101-z,
 2005.
- Qu, W. J., Arimoto, R., Zhang, X. Y., Zhao, C. H., Wang, Y. Q., Sheng, L. F.,
 and Fu, G.: Spatial distribution and interannual variation of surface PM₁₀
 concentrations over eighty-six Chinese cities, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10,
 5641-5662, doi: 10.5194/acp-10-5641-2010, 2010.
- Racherla, P. N., and Adams, P. J.: Sensitivity of global tropospheric ozone and
 fine particulate matter concentrations to climate change, J. Geophys. Res.,
 111, D24103, doi: 10.1029/2005jd006939, 2006.
- 823 Streets, D. G., Bond, T. C., Carmichael, G. R., Fernandes, S. D., Fu, Q., He, D., Klimont, Z., Nelson, S. M., Tsai, N. Y., Wang, M. Q., Woo, J. H., and Yarber, 824 K. F.: An inventory of gaseous and primary aerosol emissions in Asia in the 825 year 2000, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8809, doi: 10.1029/2002jd003093, 2003. 826 827 Sun, Y. L., Wang, Z. F., Fu, P. Q., Yang, T., Jiang, Q., Dong, H. B., Li, J., and Jia, J. J.: Aerosol composition, sources and processes during wintertime in 828 829 Beijing, China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 4577-4592. doi:

- 830 10.5194/acp-13-4577-2013, 2013.
- Tai, A. P. K., Mickley, L. J., and Jacob, D. J.: Correlations between fine
 particulate matter (PM_{2.5}) and meteorological variables in the United States:
 Implications for the sensitivity of PM_{2.5} to climate change, Atmos. Environ.,
 44, 3976-3984, doi: 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.06.060, 2010.
- Thompson, D. W. J. and Wallace, J. M.: The arctic oscillation signature in the
 wintertime geopotential height and temperature fields, Geophys. Res. Lett.,
 25, 1297–1300, doi: 10.1029/98GL00950, 1998.
- van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Park, R. J., Heald, C. L., Fu, T. M., Liao, H.,
 and Guenther, A.: Model evidence for a significant source of secondary
 organic aerosol from isoprene, Atmos. Environ., 41, 1267-1274, doi:
 10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.051, 2007.
- Wang, Y., Zhang, Q. Q., He, K., Zhang, Q., and Chai, L.:
 Sulfate-nitrate-ammonium aerosols over China: response to 2000-2015
 emission changes of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen oxides, and ammonia, Atmos.
 Chem. Phys., 13, 2635-2652, doi: 10.5194/acp-13-2635-2013, 2013.
- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistance to gaseous dry
 deposition in regional scale numerical models, Atmos. Environ., 23,
 1293-1340, 1989.
- Xia, X., Chen, H., and Wang, P.: Validation of MODIS aerosol retrievals and
 evaluation of potential cloud contamination in East Asia, J. Environ. Sci., 16,
 832-837, 2004.
- Yang, F., Huang, L., Duan, F., Zhang, W., He, K., Ma, Y., Brook, J. R., Tan, J.,
 Zhao, Q., and Cheng, Y.: Carbonaceous species in PM_{2.5} at a pair of
 rural/urban sites in Beijing, 2005-2008, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 7893-7903,
 doi: 10.5194/acp-11-7893-2011, 2011.
- Yang, Y., Liao, H., and Lou, S.: Decadal trend and interannual variation of
 outflow of aerosols from East Asia: Roles of variations in meteorological
 parameters and emissions, submitted to Atmos. Environ., 2014.
- Ye, B., Ji, X., Yang, H., Yao, X., Chan, C. K., Cadle, S. H., Chan, T., and
 Mulawa, P. A.: Concentration and chemical composition of PM_{2.5} in
 Shanghai for a 1-year period, Atmos. Environ., 37, 499-510, 2003.
- Zhang, L., Liao, H., and Li, J. P.: Impacts of Asian summer monsoon on
 seasonal and interannual variations of aerosols over eastern China, J.
 Geophys. Res., 115, D00k05, doi: 10.1029/2009jd012299, 2010a.
- Zhang, Q., Geng, G., Wang, S., Richter, A., and He, K.: Satellite remote
 sensing of changes in NOx emissions over China during 1996–2010,
 Chinese Sci. Bull., 57, 2857-2864, doi: 10.1007/s11434-012-5015-4, 2012.
- Zhang, Q., Streets, D. G., Carmichael, G. R., He, K. B., Huo, H., Kannari, A.,
 Klimont, Z., Park, I. S., Reddy, S., Fu, J. S., Chen, D., Duan, L., Lei, Y.,
 Wang, L. T., and Yao, Z. L.: Asian emissions in 2006 for the NASA INTEX-B
 mission, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 5131-5153, doi:10.5194/acp-9-5131-2009,
 2009.
- Zhang, Y., Vijayaraghavan, K., Wen, X. Y., Snell, H. E., and Jacobson, M. Z.:
 Probing into regional ozone and particulate matter pollution in the United
 States: 1. A 1 year CMAQ simulation and evaluation using surface and
 satellite data, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D22304, doi 10.1029/2009jd011898,
 2009.
- Zhang, Y., Dore, A. J., Ma, L., Liu, X. J., Ma, W. Q., Cape, J. N., and Zhang, F.
 S.: Agricultural ammonia emissions inventory and spatial distribution in the

 880
 North
 China
 Plain,
 Environ.
 Pollut.,
 158,
 490-501,
 doi:

 881
 10.1016/j.envpol.2009.08.033,
 2010b.

Zhu, J. L., Liao, H., and Li, J. P.: Increases in aerosol concentrations over
eastern China due to the decadal-scale weakening of the East Asian
summer monsoon, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L09809, doi:
10.1029/2012gl051428, 2012.

886

		Eastern
Species	Asia	China
NO _X (Tg N yr⁻¹)		
Aircraft	0.08	0.02
Anthropogenic	10.6	6.38
Biomass burning	1.09	0.14
Biofuel	0.02	<0.01
Fertilizer	0.35	0.12
Lightning	1.16	0.28
Soil	0.88	0.27
Total	14.18	7.21
SO ₂ (Tg S yr ⁻¹)		
Aircraft	0.01	<0.01
Anthropogenic	23.85	15.98
Biomass burning	0.36	0.04
Biofuel	<0.01	<0.01
Volcanoes	3.99	0.07
Ship	0.48	0.05
Total	28.69	16.14
NH₃ (Tg N yr⁻¹)		
Anthropogenic	14.18	7.26
Natural	2.33	0.49
Biomass burning	0.82	0.11
Biofuel	0.8	0.3
Total	18.13	8.16
OC (Tg C yr ⁻¹)		
Anthropogenic	1.54	1.03
Biomass burning	4.62	0.67
Biofuel	3.47	1.44
Biogenic	2.5	0.55
Total	12.13	3.69
BC (Tg C yr ⁻¹)		
Anthropogenic	1.52	0.96
Biomass burning	0.55	0.05
Biofuel	0.92	0.39
Total	2.99	1.4

Table 1. Summary of annual emissions of aerosols and aerosol precursors in Asia (70–150°E, 11°S–55°N) and eastern China (98–130°E, 20–55°N)

Creation		DIF			MAM				JJA				SON			
Species	ANNmet	ANNmet_ATM	ANNemis	ANNall												
									NC							
SO ₄ ²⁻	2.0	2.1	0.5	2.0	1.3	1.3	0.5	1.3	1.4	1.4	0.4	1.5	1.5	1.5	0.5	1.6
NO ₃	2.3	2.3	1.0	2.6	1.8	1.8	1.5	2.5	2.4	2.3	1.9	3.0	2.1	2.0	1.5	2.3
NH_4^+	1.2	1.2	0.2	1.2	1.0	0.9	0.4	1.1	1.1	1.1	0.5	1.3	1.0	1.0	0.4	1.0
OC	0.5	0.5	0.2	0.6	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.3
BC	0.3	0.3	0.2	0.3	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
PM _{2.5}	6.1	6.2	1.3	6.4	4.4	4.2	2.1	5.1	5.0	4.9	2.6	5.7	4.9	4.8	1.9	4.8
									SC							
SO ₄ ²⁻	1.7	1.8	0.5	1.7	1.2	1.2	0.4	1.2	0.9	0.9	0.3	0.9	1.1	1.1	0.5	1.2
NO ₃	1.4	1.4	0.8	1.7	1.2	1.2	1.4	2.0	1.2	1.2	1.3	1.8	1.3	1.3	1.4	1.9
NH_4^+	0.8	0.8	0.2	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.9	0.6	0.6	0.4	0.8	0.7	0.7	0.5	0.8
OC	0.5	0.3	0.1	0.5	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.3	0.2	0.1	0.3
BC	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
PM _{2.5}	3.7	3.6	1.4	4.1	3.1	3.0	2.1	4.2	2.8	2.8	2.0	3.6	3.1	3.1	2.3	3.8
									SCB							
SO ₄ ²⁻	1.8	1.8	0.8	2.0	1.0	1.0	0.7	1.3	0.9	1.0	0.7	1.1	1.3	1.2	0.9	1.5
NO ₃	1.2	1.2	0.7	1.5	0.7	0.7	0.9	1.1	0.8	0.8	0.8	1.3	0.8	0.8	0.9	1.3
NH_4^+	0.6	0.6	0.2	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.5	0.5	0.5	0.7	0.6	0.6	0.5	0.7
OC	0.3	0.3	0.1	0.4	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.3	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2	0.2	0.2	0.1	0.2
BC	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.1
PM _{2.5}	3.1	3.1	1.7	3.7	2.0	2.0	2.1	3.1	2.3	2.4	2.0	3.2	2.5	2.5	2.3	3.4

889 Tal	le 2. Simulated MAD) values (µg m ⁻³) of aerosols ir	n ANNmet,	ANNmet	ATM, ANNe	mis, and ANNall.
---------	---------------------	------------------------------	------------------	-----------	--------	-----------	------------------

<u> </u>		DIF			MAM				JJA				SON			
Species	ANNmet	ANNmet_ATM	ANNemis	ANNall												
									NC							
SO ₄ ²⁻	23.6	23.8	4.2	24.6	17.0	16.7	4.1	18.2	14.7	14.7	4.4	14.6	13.7	13.6	4.3	17.3
NO_3^-	18.2	18.3	5.0	20.0	15.6	15.7	8.2	19.2	17.0	17.4	11.1	19.6	13.0	13.2	8.0	15.1
NH_4^+	17.0	17.1	1.9	18.2	15.2	15.1	4.6	17.1	14.9	14.8	5.4	15.6	11.7	11.7	3.4	13.4
OC	12.1	12.1	5.1	13.1	10.7	8.7	5.0	11.3	8.3	8.2	5.1	8.5	7.7	7.6	5.1	8.5
BC	12.0	12.1	3.2	13.9	8.7	8.7	2.9	10.4	8.2	8.3	2.7	9.6	7.5	7.5	3.0	8.9
$PM_{2.5}$	16.9	16.9	2.5	17.9	13.8	13.8	4.7	15.8	13.7	13.7	5.3	14.5	10.7	10.8	3.6	12.5
									SC							
SO ₄ ²⁻	13.9	14.1	4.4	15.5	11.8	11.6	4.6	14.1	15.7	15.1	6.2	17.6	10.6	10.5	4.5	12.1
NO_3^-	11.5	11.5	5.9	13.0	11.7	11.5	11.0	18.0	17.7	16.7	14.7	24.5	12.2	11.9	10.8	16.6
NH_4^+	9.3	9.2	2.9	10.9	10.5	10.3	6.4	14.5	16.1	15.4	9.6	19.8	9.5	9.4	5.9	13.6
OC	11.9	7.6	5.0	12.5	10.3	7.4	5.0	10.4	9.2	8.8	5.1	9.3	7.2	6.8	5.1	7.6
BC	8.6	7.8	2.8	10.5	7.7	7.2	2.5	9.8	8.3	8.2	2.1	10.2	6.9	6.9	2.5	7.9
PM _{2.5}	9.2	8.8	3.4	10.6	9.7	9.5	6.2	13.5	13.8	13.4	8.4	17.1	8.6	8.6	5.7	10.7
									SCB							
SO ₄ ²⁻	14.4	14.2	5.4	16.6	10.1	9.6	7.4	13.8	10.8	10.2	8.9	15.0	10.7	10.6	7.3	13.7
NO ₃	11.4	11.5	6.5	14.3	9.3	9.3	11.9	15.9	12.9	11.3	17.0	21.6	10.5	9.8	10.9	14.8
NH_4^+	9.0	9.0	3.1	10.3	8.5	8.2	7.9	12.7	10.8	10.0	10.8	16.2	8.7	8.5	6.9	11.1
OC	7.3	6.8	5.0	8.9	6.6	5.5	5.1	8.2	5.8	5.8	5.1	6.9	5.6	5.6	5.1	6.2
BC	7.4	7.4	3.0	9.5	5.3	5.4	2.8	8.1	5.4	5.4	2.5	8.0	5.8	5.8	2.8	7.7
PM _{2.5}	8.8	8.8	4.1	10.5	7.6	7.5	7.4	11.8	9.2	8.8	9.2	14.0	8.0	7.9	6.8	10.5

891	Table 3. Simulated APDM values (%) of aerosols in ANNmet. ANNme	ATM. ANNemis. and ANNall.
0/1		

- 892 **Figure Captions**
- 893

Fig. 1. Polluted regions examined in this study, including North China (NC,
32°-42°N, 110°-120°E), South China (SC, 22°-32°N, 110°-120°E), and the
Sichuan Basin (SCB, 27°-33°N, 102°-110°E).

897

Fig. 2. Simulated seasonal-mean surface-layer concentrations (μ g m⁻³) of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} in ANNmet averaged over 2004–2012.

901

Fig. 3. Mean absolute deviation (MAD, μ g m⁻³) of surface-layer concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} simulated in ANNmet for years 2004–2012.

905

Fig. 4. Absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM, %) of surface-layer
concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} simulated in
ANNmet for years 2004–2012.

909

Fig. 5. MODIS AOD (black line, left axis), simulated AOD in ANNall (red dotted line, left axis), simulated AOD in ANNmet (red line, left axis), simulated surface-layer $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in ANNmet (green line, $\mu g m^{-3}$, right axis), and simulated column burden of $PM_{2.5}$ in ANNmet (blue line, mg m⁻², right axis) over polluted cities of (a) Beijing, (b) Changsha, and (c) Chengdu.

915

Fig. 6. Seasonal mean surface air temperature (K), specific humidity (g kg⁻¹), precipitation (mm d⁻¹), zonal and meridional wind at 850 hPa (m s⁻¹) in DJF and JJA. Winds that were eastward or northward were positive, and those that were westward and southward were negative. Meteorological fields were from the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological data and were averaged over 2004–2012.

922

Fig. 7. The MAD values of surface air temperature (K), specific humidity (g kg⁻¹) and precipitation (mm d⁻¹) in DJF and JJA based on the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological fields of 2004–2012.

926

Fig. 8. The APDM values (%) of surface air temperature, specific humidity, and
precipitation in DJF and JJA based on the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological
fields of 2004–2012.

930

Fig. 9. Sulfate budget (mass flux from each process in Tg S season⁻¹, right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were 935 calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.

936

Fig. 10. The MAD (Tg S season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each sulfate process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.

942

Fig. 11. Nitrate budget (mass flux from each process in Tg N season⁻¹, right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.

948

Fig. 12. The MAD (Tg N season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each nitrate process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.

954

Fig. 13. Organic carbon budget (mass flux from each process in Tg C season⁻¹,
right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained
from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA.
Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and
sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.

Fig. 14. The MAD (Tg C season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each organic carbon process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 1. Polluted regions examined in this study, including North China (NC, 32–42°N, 110–120°E), South China (SC, 22–32°N, 110–120°E), and the Sichuan Basin (SCB, 27–33°N, 102–110°E).



Fig. 2. Simulated seasonal-mean surface-layer concentrations (μ g m⁻³) of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} in ANNmet averaged over 2004–2012.



Fig. 3. Mean absolute deviation (MAD, μ g m⁻³) of surface-layer concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} simulated in ANNmet for years 2004–2012.



Fig. 4. Absolute percent departure from the mean (APDM, %) of surface-layer concentrations of sulfate, nitrate, ammonium, OC, BC, and PM_{2.5} simulated in ANNmet for years 2004–2012.



Fig. 5. MODIS AOD (black line, left axis), simulated AOD in ANNall (red dotted line, left axis), simulated AOD in ANNmet (red line, left axis), simulated surface-layer $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations in ANNmet (green line, $\mu g m^{-3}$, right axis), and simulated column burden of PM2.5 in ANNmet (blue line, mg m⁻², right axis) over polluted cities of (a) Beijing, (b) Changsha, and (c) Chengdu.



Fig. 6. Seasonal mean surface air temperature (K), specific humidity (g kg⁻¹), precipitation (mm d⁻¹), zonal and meridional wind at 850 hPa (m s⁻¹) in DJF and JJA. Winds that were eastward or northward were positive, and those that were westward and southward were negative. Meteorological fields were from the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological data and were averaged over 2004–2012.



Fig. 7. The MAD values of surface air temperature (K), specific humidity (g kg⁻¹) and precipitation (mm d⁻¹) in DJF and JJA based on the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological fields of 2004–2012.



Fig. 8. The APDM values (%) of surface air temperature, specific humidity, and precipitation in DJF and JJA based on the GEOS-5 assimilated meteorological fields of 2004–2012.



Fig. 9. Sulfate budget (mass flux from each process in Tg S season⁻¹, right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 10. The MAD (Tg S season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each sulfate process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 11. Nitrate budget (mass flux from each process in Tg N season⁻¹, right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 12. The MAD (Tg N season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each nitrate process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 13. Organic carbon budget (mass flux from each process in Tg C season⁻¹, right) and APDM of each flux (%, left) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.



Fig. 14. The MAD (Tg C season⁻¹, right) and relative contribution (%, left) of each organic carbon process (flux) in (a) NC, (b) SC, and (c) SCB obtained from simulation ANNmet. Blue lines are for DJF and red lines are for JJA. Transport fluxes were calculated at the boundaries while other sources and sinks were calculated as the sums over the grid cells of the region under 1 km.