

## ***Interactive comment on “Particle number concentrations over Europe in 2030: the role of emissions and new particle formation” by L. Ahlm et al.***

**R. Saunders**

rws\_brancaaster@hotmail.com

Received and published: 3 April 2013

Why was the year 2030 specifically chosen? Would not a year-to-year trend up to say 2030 be more informative? Do the authors seriously envisage submitting a follow-up paper in 18-20 years time to indicate whether their predictions were within reasonable agreement with reality or not?! That may seem a pedantic question but unless it happens, what true value are such distant predictions?

I'm sure that the model is state-of-the-art but with all the inherent assumptions and uncertainties and presumably untreated factors (i.e. secondary inorganic particle formation, background scavenging and the effects of a whole host of organics and other

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



species not treated which may participate in particle formation and growth) can the predictions of such a singular and specific parameter as total particle number over Europe realistically be claimed to be valid? In reality, this parameter must be highly variable from day-to-day – does the model capture such innate variability?

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 8769, 2013.

Interactive  
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

