

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Evaluation of GEOS-5 sulfur dioxide simulations during the Frostburg, MD 2010 field campaign” by V. Buchard et al.

Patricia Castellanos

p.castellanos@vu.nl

Received and published: 12 October 2013

The manuscript presents an evaluation of SO₂ and sulfate concentrations from the GOES-5 model with surface and aircraft observations. The authors found that correcting the injection height of power plant SO₂ emissions improved the comparison of model SO₂ concentrations to EPA ground-based measurements. However, there continued to be a positive bias in surface SO₂ and sulfate concentration, which leads the authors to conclude that the loss of sulfate may be underestimated in the model.

Overall the manuscript is clear, concise, and appropriate for publication in ACP. The main comment is regarding the conclusion that sulfate aerosol losses may be underestimated. It's difficult to see this from the data presented because the 2005 emissions

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



[Interactive
Comment](#)

used in the model are likely too high for 2010. This is supported by the high bias apparent in Fig 5, and the authors state this on page 21773 line 1. Could the high bias in sulfate also be attributed to the overestimated emissions - despite the high bias in SO₂ lifetime? Would it be possible to scale the 2005 emissions to 2010 using CEM data? Or compare 2005 surface observations to a model simulation using 2005 meteorology? If sulfate is still overestimated then I think you will have a stronger argument.

As a minor comment, the section describing the comparison to MF-DOAS observations could use some more description. For example, it's not clear how the air mass factor is calculated to get the vertical column, what is the estimated spatial (horizontal and vertical) footprint of the observation, and what is the temporal resolution. All important factors when trying to reconcile model and measured concentrations.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 21765, 2013.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)