

Interactive comment on “The Atmospheric Mercury Network: measurement and initial examination of an ongoing atmospheric mercury record across North America” by D. A. Gay et al.

D. A. Gay et al.

dgay@illinois.edu

Received and published: 6 September 2013

1. However, this discussion is currently biased in favour of the Tekrans, and as a result, I would like to see this discussion fleshed out a little more. As they clearly now state, this is an area of active research, and understanding some of the potential issues of using operationally defined GOM concentrations (and PMB2.5) to quantify dry deposition needs to be fully resolved.

We have addressed the potential issues with GOM in two of the previous comments directly (comments #2 and #3), and in other comments indirectly. We direct the readers

C6611

to these comments.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 10521, 2013.

C6612