

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Atmospheric organic matter in clouds: exact masses and molecular formula identification using ultrahigh resolution FT-ICR mass spectrometry” by Y. Zhao et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 2 September 2013

General Comments This study reports on detailed chemical analyses of two samples of supercooled clouds in Colorado. The authors focus on compounds containing N, S, O, and C. This type of thorough chemical characterization of cloud samples is not very common using the techniques applied by the authors. The topic is of interest to this journal as clouds are important for aqueous-phase chemistry and a number of other reasons relevant for climate and biogeochemical cycling of nutrients. Owing to the nature of this study and extensive amount of chemical data, there are many details that are covered, and as a result, the manuscript is somewhat wordy and the reader must be patient to extract the main scientific nuggets, which are certainly embedded

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



and of value to report. I would encourage the authors to see if they have ways to make the manuscript more concise. Upon my reading it appears that key results include the following (i) a higher state of oxidation for organic species as compared to aerosol studies, suggestive of more aqueous oxidation in clouds; (ii) significant influence from biogenic SOA and wood combustion; and (iii) CHNO compounds were frequent, as were CHOS and CHNOS compounds. The data are of high quality and are worthy of publication. The main results are useful to add to the growing literature on the importance of cloud chemistry. The paper is written well. I recommend publication subject to minor revisions suggested below.

Specific Comments:

Page 20564, Line 1-5: It may be useful here to also report airborne field measurements showing production of SOA in actual clouds, which is also an excellent indication of the processes in discussion in addition to laboratory experiments. Here are examples that are suggested to fill this gap:

Crahan, K. K., et al. (2004), An exploration of aqueous oxalic acid production in the coastal marine atmosphere, *Atmos. Environ.*, 38(23), 3757–3764, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2004.04.009.

Sorooshian, A., et al. (2010), Constraining the contribution of organic acids and AMS m/z 44 to the organic aerosol budget: On the importance of meteorology, aerosol hygroscopicity, and region, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L21807, doi:10.1029/2010GL044951.

Wonaschuetz, A., et al. (2012), Aerosol and gas re-distribution by shallow cumulus clouds: An investigation using airborne measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D17202, doi:10.1029/2012JD018089.

Technical Comments:

Page 20573, Line 5: “formula” should be “formulas”

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

Figure 7 caption, Line 1: “Isoabndance” spelled wrong

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 20561, 2013.

ACPD

13, C6471–C6473, 2013

Interactive
Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

C6473

