

Interactive comment on “Total sulphate vs. sulphuric acid monomer in nucleation studies: which represents the “true” concentration?” by K. Neitola et al.

Anonymous Referee #3

Received and published: 18 March 2013

MS No.: acp-2012-1005

The authors describe findings from a flow experiment investigating H₂SO₄ measurements using different detection techniques, i.e. a denuder technique measuring total sulphate (MARGA) and nitrate CIMS and CI-API-TOF measuring the H₂SO₄ monomer. Gas-phase H₂SO₄ is provided by flushing a part of the carrier gas through a saturator with concentrated sulphuric acid. The main message of this paper is that there exists a large discrepancy of about two orders of magnitude for the total sulphate concentration by MARGA vs. H₂SO₄ monomer concentration measured by the mass specs analysing the same sample. Furthermore, the MARGA results confirm roughly the ex-

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



pected H₂SO₄ concentration derived from the vapour pressure. The authors are not able to explain the large discrepancy although a state-of-the-art measurement technique was available in the experiments (CI-API-TOF). At the moment, the whole story sounds a bit mysterious for me. Here my comments:

- Nothing is said regarding the CI-API-TOF results other than H₂SO₄ monomer concentrations! Are there any other clusters visible? What's the matter with H₂SO₄-base or H₂SO₄-organic compounds? How the authors checked the carrier gas impurities? How can carrier gas impurities influence or explain the observed disagreement of total sulphate and H₂SO₄ monomer concentration?

- In a single paragraph the MARGA technique should be compared with CIMS and CI-API-TOF stating the detection limits, upper detectible concentrations, calibration results and the expected measurement errors.

- The authors should explain more in detail equation (1) regarding the “simple” equation of H₂SO₄ vapour pressure as derived from experimental data by Ayers et al.! I guess it is not enough to reference only to the work by Kulmala and Laaksonen.

- The authors observed nucleation for H₂SO₄ concentrations of about 10⁽⁷⁾ cm⁽⁻³⁾ in line with former results from the same experiment but using another H₂SO₄ source. Do they believe that there was no third body involved in the nucleation process? They should explain their findings in light of the current knowledge of atmospheric nucleation.

- The work by Ball et al.(1999) and Zollner et al.(2012) has been mentioned in the manuscript. Both studies used also a liquid H₂SO₄ source and H₂SO₄ monomer measurements by CIMS. A discussion of the findings of this manuscript regarding the former papers would be fine.

Finally, I guess, the authors should try to find out the reason for the missing H₂SO₄. They have the best technique at the moment doing that.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 2313, 2013.

C648

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

