

[Interactive
Comment](#)

Interactive comment on “Observation of biogenic secondary organic aerosols in the atmosphere of a mountain site in central China: temperature and relative humidity effects” by J. J. Li et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 31 August 2013

Overall Comment and Suggestion:

Biogenic secondary organic aerosol (BSOA) formation is currently an active area of research within the atmospheric chemistry community. Understanding the environmental parameters and anthropogenic influences on BSOA remain unclear and certainly warrants further investigation. The authors of the present manuscript set out to measure known BSOA tracers from isoprene, monoterpenes, and sesquiterpenes using gas chromatography interfaced to mass spectrometry (GC/MS) with prior derivatization (i.e., trimethylsilylation) in order to determine their presence and abundance at Mt. Hua, which is a high altitude site within in China. With the quantitative chemical com-

[Full Screen / Esc](#)

[Printer-friendly Version](#)

[Interactive Discussion](#)

[Discussion Paper](#)



position data they obtain using GC/MS applied to PM₁₀ samples, they then set out to understand the effects of temperature and relative humidity (RH) on their formation. Furthermore, they investigate what size fractions these BSOA tracers are found in. Except for cis-pinonic acid, all of the measured BSOA tracers are found in the fine aerosol mode, which is not too surprising. The authors properly use the GC/MS method and I applaud them for using surrogate standards that closely resemble the compounds under investigation. Many of the compounds being measured lack commercially available authentic standards, and thus, this is the best they can do in order to quantify these compounds (I'm not complaining about this, but pointing out to the Editor that their selection of surrogate standards is quite appropriate). If I'm to evaluate this paper based on the goals the authors set out to achieve, I think they have done that. However, I must agree with Reviewer 1 that detailed statistics is lacking, especially when looking at the effects of RH. BSOA tracer data is certainly lacking in China, and thus, I think this paper could be publishable in Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics once the authors address my specific comments below, as well as Reviewer 1's comments. I have additional comments that I would like to raise that were not raised by Reviewer 1. In order to be concise, I don't want to repeat the same comments by Reviewer 1. I will state here that I completely agree with Reviewer 1's assessment of this paper and I think the authors need to clearly address Reviewer 1's concerns. By using the data set they already have here, I think the authors could restructure this manuscript to focus on a more scientifically interesting topic; specifically, what are the potential anthropogenic influences on BSOA formation? The authors certainly address this question in the current manuscript by looking at the correlations with in-situ aerosol acidity. Due to my concerns and Reviewer 1's concerns, I must suggest that this manuscript be "accepted with major revisions noted."

Specific comments:

1.) Page 17650, Lines 1-3:

The authors state: "Furthermore, the higher concentration of sulfate and nitrate can

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

also enhance BSOA formation by an acid-catalyzed reaction"

This statement in the manuscript made me think of my point raised in the overall comment above about possibly restructuring this paper to focus on the influences of anthropogenic emissions on BSOA formation. Why didn't the authors consider examining correlations of BSOA tracers with sulfate and nitrate data they obtained using IC? Also, do the authors have NO_x and SO₂ data at their site? If so, did they consider looking how these anthropogenic pollutants correlate with BSOA tracers? You also have EC data, so it would be interesting to know how that correlates with your BSOA data. In general, what can be learned from comparing these data to anthropogenic emission tracers?

2.) Experimental Section - Missing some isoprene SOA tracers:

Besides measuring the 2-methyltetrols and 2-methylglyceric acid, why didn't the authors measure or report the C₅-alkene triols and 3-methyltetrahydrofuran-3,4-diols? I understand why the authors only quantified the 2-methyltetrols and 2-methylglyceric acid for purposes of using the Kleindienst et al. (2007, Atmos. Environ.) method. However, the C₅-alkene triols and 3-methyltetrahydrofuran-3,4-diols have been shown to be quite abundant in the S.E. USA region, especially the C₅-alkene triols (Lin et al., 2013, ACP). The C₅-alkene triols measured by GC/MS have been measured to be of similar abundance to the 2-methyltetrols in the S.E. USA region.

3.) Recoveries:

Why did the authors not use the recoveries measured from spiking filters with known amounts of the surrogate standards in the data they presented in this paper? This was unclear to me.

4.) Application of the Kleindienst et al. (2007, Atmos. Environ) SOA Tracer Method:

Even though the authors use the Kleindienst et al. tracer method, this method has a lot of uncertainties associated with it. The authors need to acknowledge the fact that this

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



tracer method was only developed under very limited experimental conditions of NO_x, aerosol acidity, and RH. Thus, its application could result in major underestimates of the true BSOA contributions to total OC mass. For example, isoprene-derived epoxides (IEPOX and MAE) (Paulot et al., 2009; Surratt et al., 2010; Lin et al., 2013) produced from isoprene oxidation have been demonstrated to lead to SOA but depend on the level of aerosol acidity (and thus composition of pre-existing aerosol) and liquid water content (LWC).

5.) Aerosol acidity estimates:

I agree with Reviewer 1's concern about not considering the Mg²⁺ and Ca²⁺ in the aerosol acidity calculation. I'm surprised to see that the AIM-2 model was able to model aerosol acidity for all 34 samples. A lot of recent studies have had trouble being able to run this model due to low RHs or complete neutralization of the aerosol samples (e.g., Lin et al., 2013, ACP). Also, aerosol acidity is a difficult parameter to predict well, especially without considering the organic interaction. Recent work from Smith et al., (2012, ACP) showed that efflorescence and deliquescence RHs were affected by the miscibility of isoprene SOA material with ammonium sulfate particles. Of course, the models are still being developed to better account for interactions like this. Thus, the AIM-2 model (or even isopropia) really represent the best we can do at this time.

Minor Comments:

1.) Section 3.1.3:

The authors may want to note in this section recent work by Lin et al. (2013, ACP) and Ding et al. (2008, ES&T), especially since they found that the 2-methyltetrol contribution to OM and OC was ~5% and 6%, respectively, in the S.E. USA region. The contributions of 2-methyltetrols are much more abundant in the S.E. USA region than found here in the current study.

2.) Page 17653, Line 11:

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Change "tion, in contrast," to "tion. In contrast,"

3.) Page 17653, Line 18:

Change "low NO_x condition," to "low-NO_x conditions,"

4.) Page 17654, Line 4:

Change "researches" to "studies"

5.) Page 17654, Lines 11-22:

When you are talking about RH improving BSOA yields and affecting composition, you should be more specific and state isoprene SOA. The RH effects on monoterpene and sesquiterpene SOA have not been fully analyzed in the laboratory.

6.) Page 17654, Line 21:

Change "to conduct for understanding" to "in order to understand"

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 17643, 2013.

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper