Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, C4859–C4860, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/C4859/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



**ACPD** 13, C4859–C4860, 2013

> Interactive Comment

## *Interactive comment on* "Evaluating evidence for CI sources and oxidation chemistry in a coastal, urban environment" *by* C. J. Young et al.

## Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 16 July 2013

This is an interesting paper. While the VOC ratios show no evidence of CI oxidation, the authors argue that a large fraction of OH is in fact initiated by CI. The authors also find that the lifetime of CI atoms are shorter than OH by an order of magnitude based on reactivity calculations. The idea is new and can be of interest to the community. However, I find that this paper is lack of quantitative results and in-depth discussions. Therefore I hope the authors to clarify the following issues before I can recommend the paper for publication:

1. The major conclusion of this paper is that CI leads to significant production of secondary radical (mainly OH discussed in this paper). But it is not clear to me how this secondary OH is formed. In my understanding the main mechanism is that CI produces  $RO_2$ , which leads to the production of  $HO_2$  and then produces OH via  $HO_2$ +NO.



Full Screen / Esc



Is there other pathway to produce secondary OH? This deserves some clarification.

2. If secondary OH is mainly produced from CI-initiated  $RO_2$ , given the lifetime of  $RO_2$  is on the order of minutes, I would expect the impact of CI chemistry on OH/O<sub>3</sub> is limited in the early morning, rather than the whole day. This can also be seen from Fig 4a, which shows that the integrated secondary OH production levels off around noon time, reflecting a much smaller production of OH after the morning.

3. Chain termination. The secondary OH produced from Cl-initiated RO<sub>2</sub>, will also react with NO<sub>2</sub>, leading to chain termination via OH + NO<sub>2</sub>. In terms of the production of RO<sub>2</sub> and secondary OH, I don't see much difference between OH-initiated and Cl-initiated chemistry. The major difference is that Cl-initiated RO<sub>2</sub> is largely from Cl + alkanes/alcohols, while OH-initiated RO<sub>2</sub> is from OH + Biogenics/aldehydes etc., as shown in Fig 7. But these RO<sub>2</sub> are all terminated by OH + NO<sub>2</sub>.

4. The impact of NO<sub>x</sub> on CINO<sub>2</sub>-initiated chemistry. It seems to me that the higher OH production at higher NO<sub>x</sub> conditions, as shown in Fig 4., is mainly due to the faster OH production through RO<sub>2</sub>/HO<sub>2</sub> + NO. This needs some discussion, so that the reader can understand how exactly the influence of NO<sub>x</sub> obscures the importance of CI as a primary oxidant.

5. I am wondering if authors could compare the secondary OH production from CINO<sub>2</sub>initiated chemistry to the secondary OH production from OH-initiated chemistry. This can be easily done in the model. While the authors claim CI leads to significant production of secondary OH, it is not clear if how significant this is compared to the standard chemistry. It would be a lot more helpful if the authors can show the impact of CI chemistry on OH/O<sub>3</sub>/HO<sub>2</sub>/RO<sub>2</sub> by turning off CI chemistry in the model. I think such information would be of great interest to the reader, and also helps to address the importance of CI chemistry in a coastal, urban environment. **ACPD** 13, C4859–C4860, 2013

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 13685, 2013.