Global risk from the atmospheric dispersion of radionuclides by nuclear power plant accidents in the coming decades

T. Christoudias¹, Y. Proestos¹, and J. Lelieveld^{1,2}

¹The Cyprus Institute, Nicosia, Cyprus ²Max Planck Institute of Chemistry, Mainz, Germany

Correspondence to: T. Christoudias (christoudias@cyi.ac.cy)

Abstract. We estimate the global risk from the release and atmospheric dispersion of radionuclides from nuclear power plant accidents using the EMAC atmospheric chemistrygeneral circulation model. We included all nuclear reactors that are currently operational, under construction and planned or proposed. We implemented constant continuous emissions from each location in the model and simulated atmospheric transport and removal via dry and wet deposition processes over 20 yr (2010-2030), driven by boundary conditions based on the IPCC A2 future emissions scenario. We present global overall and seasonal risk maps for potential surface layer concentrations and ground deposition of radionuclides, and estimate potential doses to humans from inhalation and ground-deposition exposures to radionuclides. We find that the risk of harmful doses due to inhalation is typically highest in the Northern Hemisphere during boreal winter due to relatively shallow boundary layer development and reduced mixing. Based on the continued operation of the current nuclear power plants, we calculate that the risk of radioactive contamination to the citizens of the USA will remain to be highest worldwide, followed by India and France. By including stations under construction and those that are planned and proposed our results suggest that the risk will become highest in China, followed by India and the USA.

1 Introduction

A nuclear accident is defined by the International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA) as a radiation release event that leads to significant consequences to people, the environment or the nuclear facility where it occurs. Examples include harmful doses to individuals, radioactive contamination of the soil, and nuclear reactor core melts, leading to major release of radioactivity with widespread consequences. Accidents at civilian nuclear power plants, in particular, can have major and far reaching environmental and societal impacts, leading to intense public debate about electrical power supply. It is a requisite to assess the radioactivity contamination risk to facilitate preparedness and mitigation strategies, advice stakeholders and decision makers, and inform the public to discuss policies on energy, safety and the environment at national, regional and global levels.

The IAEA International Nuclear Event Scale (INES) uses a numerical rating from 1 to 7 to categorize the significance of nuclear or radiological events in order to facilitate public understanding. INES levels consider three areas of impact: people and the environment, radiological barriers and control, and defense in depth. The scale is designed so that the severity of an event is about an order of magnitude greater for each increase in level. Thus far there have been two severe nuclear disasters, in Chernobyl, Ukraine, and Fukushima, Japan, categorised as level 7 (major accidents) on the INES scale, and more than 20 recent accidents categorised as level 4 and above, ie. having at least local consequences.

Lelieveld et al. (2012) estimated the global risk from major nuclear power plant accidents by modelling the emissions of radionuclides from 440 operational nuclear power plants worldwide, based on the Chernobyl accident emission inventory, using the atmospheric dynamics of the year 2005 as reference. They find that especially in areas around plants in densely populated regions, notably in West Europe and South Asia, a major nuclear power plant accident can expose around 30 million people to radioactive contamination.

Ten Hoeve and Jacobson (2012) performed a simulation of a hypothetical accident at the Diablo Canyon power plant in California, USA, using emissions comparable to the Fukushima accident, to analyze the influence of seasonality and location on the accident impact. They find that it may cause $\sim 25\%$ more fatalities than in Japan, despite having one fourth the population density, due to the different meteorological conditions.

Arnold et al. (2012, flexRISK) assessed the regional geographical distribution of the risk due to severe accidents at nuclear facilities, focussing on nuclear power plants (NPP) in Europe. They take into account source terms and accident frequencies to simulate the large-scale dispersion of radionuclides in the atmosphere for 88 nuclear sites through a total of about 2800 meteorological conditions over a ten year period (2000–2009) using the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART (Stohl et al., 1998), driven by operational meteorological data from the European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) at 0.75° (~ 75 km) resolution. Simulation results are used to calculate regional dose levels and limits for Europe.

The present paper both extends and complements the work of these previous studies. We included all nuclear power plants worldwide that are currently operational (OP), under construction (UC) and planned or proposed (PL), based on the nuclear power plant (NPP) database compiled and published by the World Nuclear Association (WNA). In our simulations we implemented constant continuous emissions from each NPP location, and compute atmospheric transport and removal over 20 yr (2010-2030) to account for climatological representativeness. We use boundary conditions prescribed by a future intermediate climate change scenario, to produce global overall and seasonal risk maps for potential surface layer concentrations and ground deposition. Furthermore, we estimated worldwide potential human doses from the inhalation of gaseous radioactivity and the exposure to deposited aerosol radionuclides. The dose from airsubmersion is many times lower than the dose from inhalation.

2 Model simulations

The ECHAM/MESSy Atmospheric Chemistry (EMAC) model is a numerical chemistry and climate simulation system that includes sub-models describing tropospheric and middle atmosphere processes and their interaction with oceans, land and human influences (Jöckel et al., 2010). It uses the second version of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2) to link multi-institutional computer codes. The core atmospheric model is the 5th generation European Centre Hamburg general circulation model (ECHAM5; Roeckner et al., 2006). For the present study we applied EMAC (ECHAM5 version 5.3.02, MESSy version 2.42p2) in the T106L31 resolution, i.e. with a spherical truncation of T106 (corresponding to a quadratic Gaussian grid of approximately 1.1 by 1.1° in latitude and longitude or 110 km) with 31 vertical hybrid pressure levels up to 10 hPa. The sea surface temperatures and sea ice distribution for the IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, 2007) A2 emissions scenario (Nakicenovic and Swart, 2000) between the years 2010–2030 were used as boundary conditions.

The applied model setup comprises the submodels RAD4ALL for radiation and atmospheric heating processes, CLOUD for cloud formation and microphysical processes including precipitation, and CONVECT for the vertical transports of trace species associated with convection. The DRY-DEP (Kerkweg et al., 2006) and SCAV (Tost et al., 2006, 2007) submodels were used to simulate aerosol dry and wet deposition processes, respectively. The SEDI submodel was used to simulate particle sedimentation, of which the results will be presented below as part of the simulated dry deposition. The TREXP (Tracer Release EXperiments from Point sources) submodel (Jöckel et al., 2010) was used to define tracers and emission sources.

Our model setup was tested using estimated emissions from the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear power plant accident and comparing surface layer concentrations of radionuclides against measurements by the Comprehensive Nuclear-Test-Ban Treaty Organisation (CTBTO) global monitoring station network. The results were published in Christoudias and Lelieveld (2013). In that study, the model transport mechanism was evaluated using the inert radionuclide 133 Xe as a passive transport tracer of contaminated air with good agreement between modelled estimates and observations at all stations. For the case of aerosol ¹³⁷Cs, the comparison was less favorable, though not systematically biased, and within the uncertainties of the source estimates and in the modelling of atmospheric transport and removal. The model systematically underestimated the ¹³¹I observations, however within the upper bound of the uncertainty range indicated by the emission source estimate. Again, apart from the ¹³¹I source term, the results were not systematically biased indicating reasonable agreement. Furthermore, the results of the model calculated global radioactivity deposition patterns were shown to be in agreement with comparable studies. Our study of the fallout from the Fukushima nuclear accident serves as a proof of principle and provides a reference for our model when evaluating the results of the present study.

3 Emissions

We focus on the radionuclides that are emitted as gases and partly attach to ambient aerosol particles: the semi-volatile isotopes of iodine ¹³¹I (which has a half-life of 8 days) and caesium ¹³⁷Cs (which has a half-life of ~ 30 yr). These isotopes of iodine and caesium adversely affect human health through the contamination of the air, water, soil and agricultural products (Anspaugh et al., 1988) and subsequent inhalation and ingestion through the food chain.

The low-volatile caesium isotope $^{137}\mathrm{Cs}$ is modeled assuming that it is incorporated in water-soluble aerosol with a standard lognormal distribution with mean radius 0.25 $\mu\mathrm{m}$ and a Henry's law coefficient equal to $1.0\,\mathrm{mol}\,\mathrm{L}^{-1}\,\mathrm{atm}^{-1}$

and a density of $1000.0 \,\mathrm{kg}\,\mathrm{m}^{-3}$, as in Christoudias and Lelieveld (2013). Due to the long decay half-life of $^{137}\mathrm{Cs}$ compared to the relatively short timescales of the atmospheric removal processes considered, its radioactive decay is not taken into account in the simulation and it is removed from the atmosphere predominantly through precipitation (small-scale convective and large-scale stratiform), and to a lesser extent (5–10%) through dry deposition and particle sedimentation.

The iodine isotope ¹³¹I is treated as being purely in the gas phase in our model, and is removed from the atmosphere via radioactive decay. This allows for the reduction of computational complexity and for the direct comparison of gaseous and aerosol components of radioisotopes, and is a valid approximation as the atmospheric gaseous to particulate fraction is estimated to be close to a factor of four by a number of relevant measurements:

- The "Ring of Five", an informal network of European national authorities (with more than 150 sampling systems of high volume samplers and activated coal traps), report that the average gaseous/total ratio for ¹³¹I is $77.2 \pm 13.6\%$ (Masson et al., 2011).
- The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Rad-Net stations detected 81 % of the ambient ¹³¹I in the gas and 19 % in the particle phase (Ten Hoeve and Jacobson, 2012).
- These values are in good agreement to the average values reported for the Chernobyl accident by Hilton et al. (1991) and the $71 \pm 11\%$ average reported near the Fukushima site (Stoehlker et al., 2011).

Based on the World Nuclear Association (WNA) nuclear power plant database, we account for a total of 241 emissions sites around the world: 189 operational nuclear power plants (each with one or multiple reactors), 16 currently under construction and 36 that are planned or proposed to be constructed. The geographical distribution of emission sites by operational status is shown in Fig. 1 and they are listed by country in Table 1. As can be seen, the vast majority of NPPs is located in the Northern Hemisphere, with the highest density clusters in the Eastern United States, Western Europe, and South-East China. The tracer release points are at a pressure level of 1000 hPa for all sites. Hence we do not account for explosive release or plume rise due to fires. Regarding the temporal distribution, the tracers are emitted continuously throughout the simulated period to allow for a probabilistic risk assessment. Spin-up effects at the beginning of the simulation are neglected as they occur at timescales very much shorter than a decade, and the atmospheric residence times of both gaseous and aerosol radionuclides included in our model are of the order of a few days due to removal via radioactive decay or deposition processes.

The 20-yr constant continuous emission source from each nuclear power plant has been normalized to 1 PBq in total,

being a small fraction of the release expected from a maior (INES 7) accident. To obtain a realistic calculation of radioactivity distributions and deposition, our results can be scaled by realistic emissions (e.g. $1760 PBq^{131}I$ and 85 PBq¹³⁷Cs from Chernobyl (International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA), 2006) or 37 PBq 137 Cs and 750 PBq 131 I from Fukushima (Christoudias and Lelieveld, 2013). To obtain the overall probabilistic risk, one would also need to account for the accident and emission risk of each individual plant or an average risk of all plants, which is speculative as such information is not publicly available (Lelieveld et al., 2012, 2013). Therefore, the results presented here compare the relative impacts of different radioactivity emission sources based on meteorological conditions rather than the risks of individual nuclear power plant accidents, as we assume the same source for each plant, regardless of local accident risk factors, number of reactors, capacity, etc.

4 Results

We present the modelled global concentrations in the surface layer (centered around 30 m above the surface) and ground deposition in Sect. 4.2, and the corresponding risk factors and effective committed doses from inhalation directly or due to resuspension from remaining on contaminated ground in Sect. 4.1.

4.1 Doses from inhalation and ground deposition

Radiation absorption doses by the human population are measured in units of Sievert (Sv), taking into account the relative biological impacts of ionizing radiation, since each type of radiation has a different effect on living tissue. We estimated the potential cumulative committed doses to the population due to inhalation and the lifetime effective doses (over a 50 yr period) from ground contamination by applying conversion factors for ¹³⁷Cs and ¹³¹I as recommended by the IAEA (IAEA, 2009, Appendix I), also considering resuspension, weathering and ground roughness. The ¹³⁴Cs concentration and deposition rate in each model grid cell has been calculated by scaling to ¹³⁷Cs, assuming an ¹³⁴Cs to ¹³⁷Cs activity ratio of 0.9, based on observations by the CTBTO, as reported in Ten Hoeve and Jacobson (2012). The contribution by noble gases, such as 133 Xe, can be neglected as the dose ratios relative to ¹³¹I are effectively zero.

The inhalation doses are converted from model calculated concentrations ($Bqsm^{-3}$) into Sv, applying factors of 1.29×10^{-11} , and 2.44×10^{-12} for ¹³⁷Cs, and ¹³¹I, respectively. It can be assumed that food intervention measures will prevent significant doses to the population due to the ingestion of radionuclides (IAEA, 2009, Appendix I), and thus internal dosages from ingestion are not included in our calculations. The effective dose to the public from exposure to ground contamination due to the deposition of ¹³⁷Cs, $^{134}\mathrm{Cs}$ and $^{131}\mathrm{I}$ is also calculated. The 50 yr ground deposition doses are obtained by converting deposited radioactivity in Bqm^{-2} into an effective dose in Sv, using the factors $1.30\times10^{-7},\,5.10\times10^{-9}$ and 2.70×10^{-10} for $^{137}\mathrm{Cs},\,^{134}\mathrm{Cs}$ and $^{131}\mathrm{I}$, respectively (IAEA, 2009). The effects of $^{137}\mathrm{Cs}$ provide the dominant component of the 50 yr effective dose with $^{131}\mathrm{I}$ and $^{134}\mathrm{Cs}$ contributing to a much lesser extent. The sensitivity of these dose estimates to the radionuclide $^{132}\mathrm{Te}$ is expected to be low, taking into account for example a radioactivity ratio of $^{131}\mathrm{I}:(^{131}\mathrm{I}+^{132}\mathrm{Te}):^{134}\mathrm{Cs}:^{137}\mathrm{Cs}{=}1:2:0.1:0.1$ (Katata et al., 2011), as measured after the Fukushima Dai-ichi accident, while the dose factors from inhalation and deposition are at least 3 orders of magnitude lower.

4.2 Global surface concentration and deposition

The global mean (years 2010–2030) gaseous 131 I concentration relative risk from operating, under construction and planned nuclear power plants is shown in Fig. 2. To estimate the expected concentration risk we present the surface layer concentration based on the release of 1 PBq per station.

For the operational plants, as can be expected considering their locations, the combined total concentration is highest over the mid-western and eastern Unites States, Japan and most of the continent of Europe with a maximum in southwestern Germany. Northern Europe and the eastern coast of China have highest concentrations from power plants that are under construction. For the planned stations, a more uniform distribution throughout the Northern Hemisphere is calculated, with highest levels in the eastern USA, eastern Europe, the Middle East, India and eastern China. For all cases, the concentrations in the Southern Hemisphere are much lower, because of the low density of stations and the relatively short lifetime of the tracer which does not allow it to mix globally.

The concentration levels, and hence the expected risk from atmospheric dispersion and potential dose rates also exhibit seasonal variability (Fig. 3). In particular, levels close to the ground in the Northern Hemisphere, where the highest number of nuclear reactors are located, show increased concentrations in magnitude and geographical extent in the boreal winter, compared to the summer season. Concentrations are lower during summer, especially within the Arctic circle, corresponding to lower potential risk. The seasonal climatological mean surface layer concentrations of ¹³¹I radionuclides are shown in Fig. 3. The surface level concentrations of ¹³⁷Cs follow a similar seasonal tendency. The total mass of aerosol ¹³⁷Cs in the atmosphere is lower in the winter months and higher during summer, due to stronger removal predominantly via wet deposition. Although iodine is modelled in the gas phase, making the atmospheric load much less dependent on deposition processes, it nevertheless follows a yearly cycle. In winter the horizontal advection is relatively efficient due to strong winds, and the mean concentrations are highest near the surface due to the reduced vertical development of the boundary layer.

To illustrate the effects of temporal variability we present the coefficient of variation (defined as the regional temporal standard deviation σ over the mean μ) in Fig. 4. It can be seen that the overall variability is within 5%, with the highest values in the Southern Hemisphere, dominated by the activity of South African Nuclear Power Plants, in any case not exceeding 20%. The relatively small overall magnitude of the coefficient of variation signifies that the 20 year time period chosen is adequate for our analysis and that the interannual variability is appropriately represented, being well within the uncertainties of the model results (cf. Christoudias and Lelieveld, 2013).

The global total cumulative surface deposition of ¹³⁷Cs over the period 2010–2030 is shown in Fig. 5. As expected, the highest levels of deposition, corresponding to the highest risk of ground contamination and resulting doses to the population, occur in the regions with the highest concentration of power plants – the Eastern and Mid-Western Unites States, continental Europe and Eastern China along the Pacific Rim. Relatively large deposition is expected to occur over land in the tropics because of wet deposition due to the heavy precipitation, even though most of the emissions are released from NPPs in mid-latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere. This corroborates that the trade wind regime in the relatively dry subtropics forms an effective transport corridor of radioactivity from the extratropics.

The deposition risk is dominated by emissions from the operational stations, being highest in the eastern USA and southwestern Germany. Risks may be expected to increase from stations under construction, in particular in eastern Asia, and to a lesser extent in Russia and Central Europe, South Asia and Central Africa. If all planned nuclear power plants would materialize, the risks in these regions would increase accordingly, in addition to the eastern USA and South Africa.

4.3 Relative population risk

The Fukushima nuclear accident has reignited the debate on the risks posed by nuclear power plants. Notably, Japan and Germany have decided to phase out the use of nuclear power plants for the production of electricity. Note that this has not been accounted for in the present study as we follow the WNA reactor database. These decisions highlight that potential changes in the global nuclear energy sector happen at the national level and therefore we next quantify the risks for the population per country.

To quantify the relative risk posed to the population by the nuclear power plants of each country we calculate a relative risk index defined as the cumulative expected dose from ground deposition and air concentration in our model times the density of the population that is exposed. The risk index is calculated separately for operational (OP), under construc-

tion (UC), and planned power (PL) plants for each nation and is scaled with respect to the minimum exposure risk in each category. For the calculation we used population projections from the UN for the year 2025 available at a spatial resolution of 2.5' (CIESIN/CIAT/SEDAC, 2005). The relative risks are shown in the bar charts of Fig. 6. It is apparent that the added risk from power plants that are presently under construction or planned to be built is concentrated in the region of South-East Asia and dominated by the current and planned activities in China and India, and that the current relativively high risk in the USA will remain.

To give some examples on how to interpret the results in Fig. 6 the following, again emphasizing the assumption that all nuclear power plants worldwide have the same accident probability, leading to the same emissions. Our calculations indicate that citizens of the USA have a 4 times higher risk of being exposed to radioactivity from current stations than those in Japan and China, and 13 times the people in Russia. In India, France and Germany, the risk for the population is 7.5, 6 and 4 times that in Russia, respectively. In the future, also accounting for planned and proposed stations, the relative risk index in China, India and the USA will be 12, 10 and 8 times that in Russia, respectively. The relatively high risks in China and India are related to the large numbers of stations (Fig. 1) as well as the high population densities in their downwind environment.

5 Summary and conclusions

The EMAC atmospheric chemistry–general circulation model was used to assess the risk from the atmospheric emission and transport of radionuclides from nuclear power plants. All nuclear reactors that are currently operational, under construction and planned or proposed were included in the model simulation based on the WNA reactor database. We simulated 20 yr (2010–2030) to allow for climatic representativeness in the present and next decade, using model boundary conditions of sea surface temperature and sea ice concentration based on the IPCC A2 future emissions scenario.

We focus on the radionuclides that are emitted as gases and partly attach to ambient aerosol particles: the semi-volatile isotopes of iodine ¹³¹I and caesium ¹³⁷Cs. ¹³⁷Cs is modeled as a water-soluble aerosol, and due to the long decay hallflife of ¹³⁷Cs compared to the short timescales of the atmospheric removal processes considered, its radioactive decay can be neglected in the simulation. ¹³¹I is modeled as a trace gas, as the gas to particulate fraction in the atmosphere is approximately a factor of four, and it undergoes radioactive decay with a half-life of about 8 days.

The expected near-surface concentrations per unit atmospheric load of ¹³¹I from each emission point in our model, and hence the expected exposure risk factors and potential inhalation dose rates, exhibit seasonal variability, with highest concentrations in the Northern Hemisphere during boreal winter. The total atmospheric load of aerosol ¹³⁷Cs also exhibits a yearly cycle, with lower values during boreal winter months, owing to higher removal rates from deposition processes, notably through precipitation.

The risk factor is expected to increase in future throughout the Northern Hemisphere with the areas most affected over Northern Europe, the Middle East and South-Eastern China including Japan, when stations that are currently under construction become operational. A number of stations that are presently planned or proposed to be built, would further increase the risk over Eastern Europe, the Eastern Mediterranean, South-East China and the continental United States.

The highest levels of deposition of emitted aerosol radionuclides, corresponding to the highest risk of ground contamination and resulting doses to the population, occur in the regions with the highest density of nuclear power plants – the Eastern and Mid-Western Unites States, continental Europe and the Pacific Rim of China. Relatively large deposition risk is expected over land in the tropics, even in regions without NPPs (Central America, Sub-Saharan Africa), because of wet deposition from upwind stations due to high rates of precipitation and effective transport from the mid-latitudes by the trade winds.

By combining our simulation results for the period 2010–2030 with projected population data, and assuming that all nuclear power plants have the same accident and emission probability, it appears that the relative risk index to the citizens of the USA to be exposed to radioactive contamination from the current stations is relatively highest worldwide, followed by India, France, Germany, Japan and China. If the plants under construction and those planned and proposed will also become operational, this order will change, and the highest risk is expected in China, followed by India and the USA.

The Fukushima nuclear accident has ignited a debate on the safety of energy production using nuclear power in a number of countries. Notably, Japan is considering shutting down its reactors and Germany is phasing out its nuclear power plants within the next decade. However, even though this is expected to reduce the risk in the immediate vicinity of the plants significantly, there will still be significant risk from potential accidents in neighboring countries, especially those upwind for example in China and France, respectively.

Based on our results, it is evident that the risk posed from nuclear power plant accidents is not limited to the national or even regional level but assumes a global dimension. Many nations may receive great exposure after major accidents, even ones that are not pursuing nuclear energy as a means of power production. It is thus important to continuously quantify scientifically and assess the environmental and human health risk, to support preparedness, mitigation and for the strategic planning and energy policies.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://\@journalurl/\@pvol/\@ fpage/\@pyear/\@journalnameshortlower-\@pvol-\ @fpage-\@pyear-supplement.pdf.

The supplementary material includes plots of our results for the relative concentration, deposition and equivalent human population dose for each country.

Acknowledgements. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Research Council under the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme (FP7/2007– 2013)/ERC grant agreement no 226144. This work was supported by the Cy-Tera Project, which is co-funded by the European Regional Development Fund and the Republic of Cyprus through the Research Promotion Foundation. The Climate Data Operators (CDO) (Schulzweida, 2013), netCDF operators (NCO) (Zender, 2013) and NCAR Command Language (NCL) (UCAR/NCAR/CISL/VETS, 2012) were used for data processing and visualisation.

References

- Anspaugh, L., Catlin, R., and Goldman, M.: The global impact of the Chernobyl reactor accident, Science, 242, 1513–1519, 1988.
- Arnold, D., Gufler, K., Kromp, W., Kromp-Kolb, H., Mraz, G., Seibert, P., Sholly, S., Sutter, P., and Wenisch, A.: flexRISK – Flexible tools for assessment of nuclear risk in Europe, in: Air Pollution Modeling and its Application XXI, Springer, 737–740, 2012.
- Christoudias, T. and Lelieveld, J.: Modelling the global atmospheric transport and deposition of radionuclides from the Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear accident, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 1425–1438, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-1425-201310.5194/acp-13-1425-2013, 2013.
- CIESIN/CIAT/SEDAC: Gridded Population of the World, Version 3 (GPWv3), available at: http://sedac.ciesin.columbia.edu/gpw, 2005.
- Hilton, J., Cambray, R., and Green, N.: Chemical fractionation of radioactive caesium in airborne particles containing bomb fallout, Chernobyl fallout and atmospheric material from the Sellafield site, J. Environ. Radioactiv., 15, 103–111, 1991.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA): International Nuclear and Radiological Event Scale User Manual, IAEA, Vienna, 2009.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change: Climate Change 2007: the Physical Science Basis, in: The Properties of Gases and Liquids, 3rd edn., edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., IPCC Fourth Assassement report (AR4), Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, U.K. and New York, NY, USA, 2007.
- International Atomic Energy Agency (IAEA): Environmental Consequences of the Chernobyl Accident and Their Remediation: Twenty Years of Experience, IAEA, Vienna, 2006.
- Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., Tost, H., Riede, H., Baumgaertner, A., Gromov, S., and Kern, B.: Development cycle 2 of the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy2), Geosci.

Model Dev., 3, 717–752, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/gmd-3-717-201010.5194/gmd-3-717-2010, 2010.

- Katata, G., Terada, H., Nagai, H., and Chino, M.: Numerical reconstruction of high dose rate zones due to the Fukushima Daiichi Nuclear Power Plant accident, J. Environ. Radioactiv., 111, 2–12, 2011.
- Kerkweg, A., Buchholz, J., Ganzeveld, L., Pozzer, A., Tost, H., and Jöckel, P.: Technical Note: An implementation of the dry removal processes DRY DEPosition and SEDImentation in the Modular Earth Submodel System (MESSy), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 4617–4632, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-4617-200610.5194/acp-6-4617-2006, 2006.
- Lelieveld, J., Kunkel, D., and Lawrence, M. G.: Global risk of radioactive fallout after major nuclear reactor accidents, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 4245–4258, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-12-4245-201210.5194/acp-12-4245-2012, 2012.
- Lelieveld, J., Lawrence, M. G., and Kunkel, D.: Comment on "Global risk of radioactive fallout after major nuclear reactor accidents" by Lelieveld et al. (2012), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 31–34, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-13-31-201310.5194/acp-13-31-2013, 2013.
- Masson, O., Baeza, A., Bieringer, J., Brudecki, K., Bucci, S., Cappai, M., Carvalho, F. P., Connan, O., Cosma, C., Dalheimer, A., Didier, D., Depuydt, G., De Geer, L. E., De Vismes, A., Gini, L., Groppi, F., Gudnason, K., Gurriaran, R., Hainz, D., Halldórsson, Ó., Hammond, D., Hanley, O., Holeý, K., Homoki, Z., Ioannidou, A., Isajenko, K., Jankovic, M., Katzlberger, C., Kettunen, M., Kierepko, R., Kontro, R., Kwakman, P. J., Lecomte, M., Leon Vintro, L., Leppänen, A. P., Lind, B., Lujaniene, G., Mc Ginnity, P., Mc Mahon, C., Malá, H., Manenti, S., Manolopoulou, M., Mattila, A., Mauring, A., Mietelski, J. W., Møller, B., Nielsen, S. P., Nikolic, J., Overwater, R. M., Pálsson, S. E., Papastefanou, C., Penev, I., Pham, M. K., Povinec, P. P., Ramebäck, H., Reis, M. C., Ringer, W., Rodriguez, A., Rulík, P., Saey, P. R., Samsonov, V., Schlosser, C., Sgorbati, G., Silobritiene, B. V., Söderström, C., Sogni, R., Solier, L., Sonck, M., Steinhauser, G., Steinkopff, T., Steinmann, P., Stoulos, S., Sýkora, I., Todorovic, D., Tooloutalaie, N., Tositti, L., Tschiersch, J., Ugron, A., Vagena, E., Vargas, A., Wershofen, H., and Zhukova, O: Tracking of airborne radionuclides from the damaged Fukushima Dai-ichi nuclear reactors by European networks, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 7670-7677, 2011.
- Nakicenovic, N. and Swart, R.: Special report on emissions scenarios (SRES), A special report of working Group III of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, IPCC, Geneva, 2000.
- Roeckner, E., Brokopf, R., Esch, M., Giorgetta, M., Hagemann, S., Kornblueh, L., Manzini, E., Schlese, U., and Schulzweida, U.: Sensitivity of simulated climate to horizontal and vertical resolution in the ECHAM5 atmosphere model, J. Climate, 19, 3771– 3791, 2006.
- Schulzweida, U.: CDO User's Guide, version 1.6.0 [Software], MPI for Meteorology, Germany, available at: https://code.zmaw.de/ projects/cdo/wiki/Cdo\#Documentation, 2013.
- Stoehlker, U., Nikkinen, M., and Gheddou, A.: Detection of radionuclides emitted during the Fukushima nuclear accident with the CTBT radionuclide network, in: Monitoring Research Review: Ground-Based Nuclear Explosion Monitoring Technologies, 715–724, 2011.

Table 1. Number of operational, under construction and planned nuclear power stations included in our model per country, based on the WNA reactor database.

Country	Code	Operational	Constructed	Planned
Argentina	AR	2		
Armenia	AM	1		
Belarus	BY			1
Belgium	BE	2		
Brazil	BR	1		
Bulgaria	BG	1		
Canada	CA	1		
China	CN	3	10	8
Czech Republic	CZ	2		
Egypt	EG			1
Finland	FI	2		1
France	FR	19		
Germany	DE	12		
Hungary	HU	1		
India	IN	6	1	5
Iran	IR	1		1
Japan	JP	16	1	
Jordan	JO			2
Lithuania	LT			1
Mexico	MX	1		
Netherlands	NL	1		
Pakistan	PK	2		
Romania	RO	1		
Russia	RU	9	2	4
Slovakia	SK	2		1
Slovenia	SI	1		
South Africa	ZA	1		1
South Korea	KR	4		
Spain	ES	5		
Sweden	SE	3		
Switzerland	CH	4		
Taiwan	TW	3	1	
Turkey	TR			2
Ukraine	UA	4		1
UAE	AE		1	
UK	GB	8		
United States	US	65		5
Vietnam	VN			2
Total		189	16	36

- Stohl, A., Hittenberger, M., and Wotawa, G.: Validation of the Lagrangian particle dispersion model FLEXPART against largescale tracer experiment data, Atmos. Environ., 32, 4245–4264, 1998.
- Ten Hoeve, J. and Jacobson, M.: Worldwide health effects of the Fukushima Daiichi nuclear accident, Energy & Environmental Science, 5, 8743–8757, 2012.
- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Technical note: A new comprehensive SCAVenging submodel for global atmospheric chemistry modelling, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 565–574, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-6-565-200610.5194/acp-6-565-2006, 2006.
- Tost, H., Jöckel, P., Kerkweg, A., Pozzer, A., Sander, R., and Lelieveld, J.: Global cloud and precipitation chemistry and wet deposition: tropospheric model simulations with ECHAM5/MESSy1, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 2733–2757, doi:http://dx.doi.org/10.5194/acp-7-2733-200710.5194/acp-7-2733-2007, 2007.
- UCAR/NCAR/CISL/VETS: The NCAR Command Language (Version 6.0.0) [Software], Boulder, Colorado, available at: http://dx.doi.org/10.5065/D6WD3XH5, 2012.
- Zender, C. S.: NCO User's Guide, version 4.3.5 [Software], Irvine, California, available at: http://nco.sf.net/nco.pdf, 2013.



Fig. 1. Geographical distribution of emission sites corresponding to nuclear power plants that are operational (red circles), under construction (blue squares) and planned or proposed (green crossed circles). Source: World Nuclear Association (WNA) reactor database.



Fig. 2. Top to bottom: Operating, under construction, planned or proposed, and combined total nuclear power plant relative risk from global mean (years 2010–2030) ¹³¹I surface layer concentration and equivalent daily effective dose to the public from inhalation.



Fig. 3. Relative risk of seasonal total (includes operational, under construction, planned power plants) 131 I mean surface layer concentration, and equivalent effective dose to the public from inhalation, averaged over the years 2010–2030.



Fig. 4. Top to bottom: Operating, under construction, and planned or proposed nuclear power plant relative risk coefficient of variation (σ/μ) , over 2010–2030.



Fig. 5. Top to bottom: Operating, under construction, planned or proposed, and combined total nuclear power plant relative risk from climatological total global cumulative dry and wet deposition and sedimentation of 137 Cs and equivalent effective dose to the population from exposure related to the 50 yr ground contamination over the 2010–2030 period.



Fig. 6. Relative risk to the human population from each country by nuclear power plants in operation (top), under construction (bottom-left), and planned or proposed (bottom-right) calculated by integrating the product of the dose from ground deposition (black) and inhalation (grey), and human population density assuming unit PBq emission per station. Population projections by the UN for the year 2025 were used. Table 1 lists the country codes.