

Interactive comment on "Isoprene emissions over Asia 1979–2012: impact of climate and land use changes" by T. Stavrakou et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 7 January 2014

The authors present isoprene emission model output from five simulated cases, with each case beyond the first progressively adding additional corrections to the land-use, emission factor, and radiation inputs of the base case. Each of these corrections are explained and justified in detail, and the results of the final case are then compared to top-down isoprene emission estimates from satellite HCHO column measurements, showing good agreement in most areas within the examined domain.

This work represents an important contribution towards the evaluation and improvement of existing land-use and emissions datasets, thoroughly outlining the major factors influencing isoprene emissions in Asia, as well as the recent history of land-use and climatic changes in the area. The paper is generally clear and well-organized, and offers conclusions with clear importance to the atmospheric chemistry community, C10725

while highlighting the need for further research into emission measurement campaigns. Word choice, punctuation, and phrasing are occasionally awkward to my ear (and I have listed suggested fixes below), but never unintelligibly so. Overall this is a well-written paper with important contributions to future climate and air-quality modeling efforts.

General questions:

- Though the time series is short, there appears to be a decreasing trend in the difference between the bottom-up and top-down emissions estimates, as shown in Table 3. Is this an artifact caused by abnormally high and low discrepancies in 2007 and 2012, respectively, or is there any reason to believe that this could be indicative of some underlying trend in the error of either the top-down or bottom-up estimate?
- The negative trend in isoprene emissions attributable to CO2 is identified as approximately 0.15% yr-1, but is neglected in the analysis because this is "small compared to the trends associated with climate change." However, in the conclusion the primary cause for increasing emissions in Asia is identified as surface warming, with a net impact on isoprene emissions of around 0.2% yr-1. How can a negligible driver can have an impact only 25% smaller in magnitude than a primary one?

Suggested changes:

• Page 29553, lines 3-6: "Finally, a decreasing trend in the top-down Chinese emissions inferred after 2007, is in line with the cooling episode recorded in China

after that year, thus suggesting that the satellite HCHO columns are able to capture climate-induced changes in emissions." -> "Finally, a decreasing trend in the inferred top-down Chinese emissions since 2007 is in line with recorded local cooling, thus suggesting that the satellite HCHO columns are able to capture climate-induced changes in emissions."

- Page 29553, line 11: Remove comma after "conditions".
- Page 29553, line 15: Change "as measured e.g. by" to "as estimated by indicators such as"
- Page 29554, line 1: Remove comma after "uncertainties".
- Page 29556, line 3: Add "and" after final comma.
- Page 29566, line 14: Remove comma before "because of".
- Page 29566, line 14: Remove comma after "reduced".
- Page 29567, lines 1-4: I recommend completely reworking this sentence for clarity.
- Page 29567, lines 4-6: "Moreover, the emissions are also reduced, by about 25% in Indonesia, due to the higher cropland fraction in S1 than in S0. Furthermore, the emissions are strongly reduced in the S2 scenario, by a factor of 2–3 on average, as already discussed in Sect. 4." -> "The emissions are further reduced by approximately 25% in Indonesia due to the higher cropland fraction in S1 compared to S0, with additional reduction by a factor of approximately 2-3 in the S2 scenario as discussed in Sect. 4."
- Page 29567, line 12: Replace "dominated" with "driven"?

C10727

- Page 29567, line 14: Replace "all simulations, however" with "all simulations. However", and remove final comma before "due".
- Page 29568, line 16: Remove space in "MetOp- A"
- Page 29570, line 4: "comforting the strong emission reduction derived in the S4 simulation, compared to the much higher fluxes of the standard S0 scenario." -> "supporting the strong emission reductions of the S4 simulation over the much higher fluxes of the standard S0 scenario."
- Page 29571, line 2: Remove both uses of "by".
- Fig. 4: It appears that the bottom right panel has a misplaced % sign. Should it be in parentheses at the end, as in the top panel?

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 29551, 2013.