

Ozone trends at
a northern
mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Ozone trends derived from the total column and vertical profiles at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair¹, S. Godin-Beekmann¹, J. Kuttippurath¹, G. Ancellet¹, F. Goutail¹,
A. Pazmiño¹, L. Froidevaux², J. M. Zawodny³, R. D. Evans⁴, and M. Pastel¹

¹UPMC Université Paris 06, Université Versailles-Saint-Quentin, UMR8190, LATMOS-IPSL, CNRS/INSU, Paris, France

²Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA, USA

³Chemistry and Dynamics Branch, NASA Langley Research Center, Hampton, VA, USA

⁴NOAA, Earth System Research Laboratory, Global Monitoring Division, Boulder, Colorado, USA

Received: 17 February 2013 – Accepted: 12 March 2013 – Published: 18 March 2013

Correspondence to: P. J. Nair (gopalapi@aero.jussieu.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

The trends and variability of ozone are assessed over a northern mid-latitude station, Haute-Provence Observatory (OHP – 43.93° N, 5.71° E), using total column ozone observations from the Dobson and Système d'Analyse par Observation Zénithale spectrometers, and stratospheric ozone profile measurements from Light detection and ranging, ozonesondes, Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment II, Halogen Occultation Experiment and Aura Microwave Limb Sounder. A multi-variate regression model with quasi biennial oscillation (QBO), solar flux, aerosol optical thickness, heat flux, North Atlantic oscillation (NAO) and piecewise linear trend (PWLT) or Equivalent Effective Stratospheric Chlorine (EESC) functions is applied to the ozone anomalies. The maximum variability of ozone in winter/spring is explained by QBO and heat flux in 15–45 km and in 15–24 km, respectively. The NAO shows maximum influence in the lower stratosphere during winter while the solar flux influence is largest in the lower and middle stratosphere in summer. The total column ozone trends estimated from the PWLT and EESC functions are of -1.39 ± 0.26 and -1.40 ± 0.25 DU yr⁻¹, respectively over 1984–1996 and about 0.65 ± 0.32 and 0.42 ± 0.08 DU yr⁻¹, respectively over 1997–2010. The ozone profiles yield similar and significant EESC-based and PWLT trends in 1984–1996 and are about -0.5 and -0.8 % yr⁻¹ in the lower and upper stratosphere, respectively. In 1997–2010, the EESC-based and PWLT trends are significant and of order 0.3 and 0.1 % yr⁻¹, respectively in the 18–28 km range, and at 40–45 km, EESC provides significant ozone trends larger than the insignificant PWLT results. Therefore, this analysis unveils ozone recovery signals from total column ozone and profile measurements at OHP, and hence in the mid-latitudes.

1 Introduction

After two decades of regulated emissions, the level of stratospheric ODS has been reduced and some of its components have been phased out (WMO, 2007). The analyses

ACPD

13, 7081–7112, 2013

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



show that total column ozone measurements in the mid-latitudes are stabilised from the mid-1990s onwards (Newchurch et al., 2003; Reinsel et al., 2005; Vyushin et al., 2007). Similarly, a significant change in trend is found in the upper stratosphere at mid-latitudes (Steinbrecht et al., 2006; Jones et al., 2009). Thus, stratospheric ozone showed a slowing of decline attributable to ODS decrease at mid-latitudes (WMO, 2011).

Since 1990, several studies have focused on the inter-annual variability of ozone in connection with the seasonal cycle, quasi biennial oscillation (QBO), solar flux and aerosol optical thickness (Bojkov et al., 1990; Reinsel et al., 1994; Staehelin et al., 1998). In the recent decade the dynamical variations using heat flux, a proxy describing the planetary wave drive, are discussed to investigate changes in ozone distributions related to the residual circulation (Dhomse et al., 2006; Weber et al., 2011). The teleconnection patterns such as North Atlantic oscillation (NAO) and Arctic oscillation (AO) also have significant influence on ozone, particularly in the middle stratosphere (Weiss et al., 2001). The long-term trend in ozone has been estimated using various methods including piecewise linear trend (PWLTL) (Reinsel et al., 2002, 2005; Zanis et al., 2006; Vyushin et al., 2007, 2010; Kuttippurath et al., 2013) and Equivalent Effective Stratospheric Chlorine (EESC) functions and EESC-dependent functions (Yang et al., 2006; Brunner et al., 2006; Wohltmann et al., 2007; Kieseewetter et al., 2010; Salby et al., 2011).

This study analyses the trends and variability in total column ozone and stratospheric ozone profiles at Haute-Provence Observatory (OHP – 43.93° N, 5.71° E). A regression model with various explanatory parameters such as QBO, solar flux, aerosol optical thickness, heat flux, NAO and EESC or PWLTL functions is applied to the data. Total column ozone observations from the Dobson and Système d'Analyse par Observation Zénithale (SAOZ) spectrometers and ozone profile measurements from the light detection and ranging (lidar), ozonesondes, Stratospheric Aerosol and Gas Experiment (SAGE) II, Halogen Occultation Experiment (HALOE) and Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) are used for the study.

This article is organised as follows: the description of total column ozone, ozone vertical profiles, explanatory variables and the regression model are given in Sect. 2. Section 3 discusses the regression analyses, variability and trends derived from both total column and vertically resolved ozone profiles. Finally, Sect. 4 concludes with the main findings.

2 Data and methods

2.1 Total column ozone observations

The measurement principle of Dobson spectrometer is based on the differential absorption of solar light by ozone (Dobson, 1957, 1968). It performs ozone observations by measuring the relative intensities of ultraviolet (UV) wavelengths emanating from the Sun, Moon or zenith sky. The precision and accuracy of direct sun observations are $\sim 1\%$ and $\sim 3\%$, respectively while the zenith observations are less precise (Basher et al. (1982) and <http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/gmd/ozwv/dobson/papers/report13/report13.html>). We use Dobson total column ozone measurements for the 1984–2010 period (<http://woudc.org/data/citation.e.html>).

SAOZ observes sunlight scattered from the zenith sky in the 300–600 nm spectral range during sunrise and sunset (Pommereau and Goutail, 1988). Ozone measurements are carried out in the Chappuis band (450–650 nm) and are retrieved using Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy method. The SAOZ version (v) 2 data in 1992–2010 are used here. The measurement uncertainty is of the order of 3% (Hendrick et al., 2011).

2.2 Ozone profile measurements

The lidar instrument at OHP uses differential absorption lidar technique for measuring ozone with the ozone absorbed wavelength at 308 nm and the non-absorbed wavelength at 355 nm. The uncertainty of the measurements is $\sim 5\%$ below 20 km, 3% at

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



20–45 km and 10 % above 45 km. The important features of the OHP ozone lidar measurements are described in Godin-Beekmann et al. (2003) and Nair et al. (2011, 2012). Lidar ozone measurements during 1985–2010 are used for the study.

At OHP, Electrochemical Concentration Cell (ECC) ozonesondes (Komhyr, 1969) with 1 % buffered potassium iodide cathode sensor solution have been using since 1991. We follow the approach described in Nair et al. (2012) for analysing the ozonesonde data. The vertical resolution of the sonde measurements is ~ 0.2 km and the uncertainty is ± 5 –10 % up to ~ 32 km (Smit et al., 2007). The ECC ozonesonde profiles over 1991–2010 are utilised for the analysis.

SAGE II on the Earth Radiation Budget Satellite uses the solar occultation technique for measuring ozone. These ozone measurements have a vertical range of 10–50 km and a vertical resolution of ~ 1 km with an uncertainty of ~ 5 % at 20–45 km and 5–10 % at 15–20 km. The ozone number density profiles processed by the v7.0 algorithm (Wang et al., 2006) for the period 1984–2005 are considered here.

HALOE on the Upper Atmosphere Research satellite was used the solar occultation technique for measuring ozone from the limb transmittances of the $9.6 \mu\text{m}$ ozone band (Russell et al., 1993). The vertical range of the ozone profiles is 15–60 km with a vertical resolution of ~ 2.5 km and an uncertainty of 10 % at 30–64 km and ~ 30 % at 15 km (Brühl et al., 1996). The ozone volume mixing ratio (VMR) profiles v19 for 1991–2005 are used for the analysis.

Aura MLS measures thermal emissions from the rotational lines of the measured species through the limb of the atmosphere. Ozone measurements have a vertical range of about 10–73 km, a vertical resolution of 2.5–3 km in the stratosphere and an uncertainty of 5–10 % between 16 and 60 km (Froidevaux et al., 2008). The ozone VMRs from Aura MLS v3.3 in 2004–2010, screened as suggested in the v3.3 validation report (Livesey et al., 2011), are used here.

2.3 Explanatory variables

Several proxies are used to diagnose the changes in ozone associated with the natural and anthropogenic variations, for instance. The QBO (<http://www.geo.fu-berlin.de/en/met/ag/strat/produkte/>) is a quasi-periodic oscillation of the equatorial zonal wind (Andrews et al., 1987). Since QBO is of equatorial origin, its effect on ozone is in different phases at various latitudes (Bojkov et al., 1990). In our study, we use Singapore zonal winds at 10 and 30 hPa (hereafter QBO 10 and QBO 30, respectively), which are out of phase by $\sim \frac{\pi}{2}$ (Steinbrecht et al., 2003). Monthly mean solar flux observations (ftp://ftp.ngdc.noaa.gov/STP/SOLAR_DATA/SOLAR_RADIO/FLUX/) at a wavelength of 10.7 cm made at Ottawa and Penticton are used for investigating the impact of 11-yr solar cycle related variations of UV irradiance on ozone. The effect of volcanic aerosols (<http://data.giss.nasa.gov/modelforce/strataer/>) on ozone is studied using the aerosol optical thickness measured at 550 nm (Sato et al., 1993).

To account for the effect of Brewer–Dobson circulation, planetary wave activity from the troposphere to stratosphere is analysed using the heat flux data averaged over 45–75° N at 100 hPa, as described in Kuttippurath and Nikulin (2012). The cumulative heat flux is calculated for a given month by integrating the heat flux from the preceding October to the month concerned, keeping the data for October as such (e.g. Dhomse et al., 2006). The NAO (<http://www.cru.uea.ac.uk/cru/data/>) is another proxy affecting the Northern Hemisphere climate (Hurrell et al., 2003). It is measured as the difference between the normalised sea level pressure over Gibraltar and southwest Iceland (e.g. Appenzeller et al., 2000). To account for the influence of ODS abundances, EESC (<http://fmiarc.fmi.fi/candidoz/>) is used as a proxy. The EESC trends are estimated to be about 0.05 and -0.02 ppbyr^{-1} before and after the EESC peak year (1997 in the mid-latitudes), respectively (Vyushin et al., 2010).

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



2.4 Multiple regression model

The long-term evolution of monthly mean ozone is analysed using a regression model, which is similar to those of Reinsel et al. (1994); Staehelin et al. (1998); Kuttippurath et al. (2013). Our analysis adopts two different methodologies to assess the trend.

5 First, the PWLT function is used for estimating ozone trends before and after 1997 and is referred to the PWLT model (Reinsel et al., 2002). Secondly, EESC function is used instead of the PWLT terms in the model and is called the EESC-based model (Brunner et al., 2006). In this study, PWLT trends are estimated using the turn-around year of 1997 as EESC peaks in 1997 at mid-latitudes (WMO, 2011). The selection of
10 the turn-around year is very important as it affects largely the PWLT trend results.

The regression model is fitted to ozone time series of each month (January, February, . . . , December) over the period. Variations in ozone (Y) due to the natural and anthropogenic changes can be expressed as

$$15 \quad Y(t) = \sum_{m=1}^{12} C_m^X X(t) + \epsilon(t)$$

where C_m^X is the regression coefficient of the proxy (X) time series for each month m (January, February, . . . , December), t corresponds to the months in the time series and ϵ is the residual.

In the regression, monthly mean QBO, solar flux, aerosols and NAO and deseasonalised heat flux are used. The error of regression coefficient is calculated as (Press et al., 1989)
20

$$\sigma_c^2 = (\mathbf{A}^T \mathbf{A})^{-1} \times \frac{\sum_t \left(Y(t) - \sum_{m=1}^{12} C_m^X P(t) \right)^2}{Z - P} \times \frac{1 + \phi}{1 - \phi}$$

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



where \mathbf{A} is the matrix of the proxies, Z is the number of data points, P is the number of fitted parameters and ϕ is the autocorrelation of the residuals.

3 Results and discussion

3.1 Regression analysis of column ozone

5 The temporal evolution of the total column ozone measurements from the Dobson and SAOZ spectrometers is displayed in Fig. 1a. Both data sets follow a similar pattern of ozone evolution. Ozone values are relatively lower since the early 1990s and are levelled off since the early 2000s. A maximum ozone value of about 420 DU is observed in 2010 as found by the Dobson spectrometer.

10 The deseasonalised (monthly mean ozone – monthly climatology) total column ozone measurements from both instruments are averaged to obtain a single data set from 1984 to 2010 and are smoothed using a 5 month running mean. Then, the multiple regression analysis is performed. The deseasonalised ozone, the fitted regression model and residuals are shown in Fig. 1b. The regression model explains about 65%
15 of the variance in the total column ozone, as estimated from the R^2 statistics (Storch and Zwiers, 1999) and the autocorrelation is ~ 0.12 for one year lag. Table 1 provides the regression coefficients of all explanatory variables estimated for the months of April and November, when the ozone trend estimates show maximum and minimum values respectively, and the average of all months.

20 The explanatory parameters fitted to the data are displayed in Fig. 1c–e. Generally QBO, solar flux, heat flux and NAO together explain most of the ozone variations. For instance, the easterly phase of QBO and negative NAO index contribute to the large ozone values in 1986 and 1987. The ozone decrease in 1990 and 2002 is well captured by the model and is largely influenced by the westerly phase of QBO, positive NAO
25 index and small heat flux, even if solar maximum should increase the ozone amount.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The aerosol terms associated with the Mount Pinatubo eruption contributed to about 25 DU decrease in total ozone in 1993.

The large ozone values in 2010 are well explained by the model with large QBO (12 DU), heat flux (9 DU) and NAO (6 DU). A recent study by Steinbrecht et al. (2011) reported that the easterly phase of QBO and a large negative AO index resulted in the large value of ozone observed at the Meteorological Observatory Hohenpeissenberg, another mid-latitude station near OHP. Our study confirms their findings, but also points out that the large planetary wave activity during the period also contributed to this anomalous ozone value. Similarly, large ozone values in 2009 can be explained by the coincidence of easterly phase of QBO (~ 12 DU) and large heat flux (~ 9 DU).

The influence of proxies on the inter-annual variability of ozone is presented in Fig. 2. It is estimated as $C_m^X \times 2\sigma(X)$ with σ as the standard deviation of the proxy time series (Steinbrecht et al., 2003). The positive values show correlation between the proxy and ozone data while negative values exhibit anticorrelation. A proxy term is considered to be significant if the regression coefficient of a proxy is greater than twice the standard deviation of the respective proxy.

In Fig. 2, Q10 and Q30 are the individual contributions of QBO 10 and QBO 30 respectively. In general, QBO 10 and QBO 30 exhibit an anticorrelation with ozone in November–May and provide significant contribution of about 12 and 8 DU, respectively to the ozone variability. The Mount Pinatubo volcanic aerosols show an anticorrelation with ozone in all months and explain about 10 DU of the ozone variability. The solar flux correlates with ozone and shows a significant variability of about 5 DU in summer. Similarly, NAO shows a correlation in spring and anticorrelation in other seasons with a maximum variability of about 6 DU in November. The heat flux shows positive correlation and is significant in winter, contributing about 8 DU to the ozone variability.

3.1.1 Trends in total column ozone

Figure 3 presents the seasonal evolution of ozone trends estimated from the PWLT and EESC-based models before and after 1997. The ozone trends estimated before

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Ozone trends at
a northern
mid-latitude station**

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



1997 based on the PWLT and EESC fits are negative and similar. The EESC-based ozone trends calculated after 1997 are positive in all months while the PWLT trends are positive in all months, except in July and August. In addition, a clear seasonality is observed in both trends with the maximum in winter/spring. In 1984–1996, maximum PWLT and EESC-based ozone trend is found in April and minimum in November. In 1997–2010, the maximum PWLT trend is computed in March and minimum in August while EESC-based ozone trend is maximum in April and minimum in November. Please note that 2σ error bars are provided elsewhere in the text.

Table 2 lists the year-round total column ozone trends estimated from the PWLT and EESC-based regression models. The PWLT fits provide significant year-round trend of $-1.39 \pm 0.26 \text{ DU yr}^{-1}$ over 1984–1996 and $0.65 \pm 0.32 \text{ DU yr}^{-1}$ over 1997–2010. The ozone trends using EESC fits are $-1.40 \pm 0.25 \text{ DU yr}^{-1}$ over 1984–1996 and $0.42 \pm 0.08 \text{ DU yr}^{-1}$ during 1997–2010. It should be noted that the PWLT trend prior to 1997 coincides with the trend estimated using EESC function while the PWLT trend after 1997 is larger than the trend computed using the EESC fit. It suggests that in the considered latitude regimes, the decrease in total ozone before 1997 is attributed to the increased ODS abundances while only 50 % of the increase in total ozone after the turn-around year can be explained by the decreased amount of ODSs, consistent with the previous studies (WMO, 2011). It suggests the influence of other parameters in addition to the ODS decrease for the positive trend in ozone during the 1997–2010 period. A similar result is shown by Vyushin et al. (2007) from the Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer and Solar Backscatter UltraViolet zonal average data analysed using both PWLT and EESC fits in the northern mid-latitudes.

3.2 Regression analysis of ozone profiles

The ozone profile measuring instruments such as lidar, ozonesondes, SAGE II, HALOE and Aura MLS are used for finding the evolution of stratospheric ozone during 1984–2010. The SAGE II and HALOE ozone measurements are taken within $\pm 5^\circ$ latitude and $\pm 10^\circ$ longitude band with respect to the location of OHP while Aura MLS ozone

observations within $\pm 2.5^\circ$ latitude and $\pm 5^\circ$ longitude band around the station are used. The other screening criteria mentioned in Nair et al. (2012) are also applied here for various measurements. Since these data sets have different vertical resolution, they are interpolated in 1 km vertical grid.

Figure 4 shows the monthly ozone anomaly in % [$100 \times (\text{monthly mean ozone} - \text{monthly climatology}) / \text{monthly climatology}$] of each measurement technique at the altitude bands of 16–20, 19–23, 23–27, 28–32, 33–37 and 38–42 km. All measurement techniques exhibit similar ozone anomalies and are within $\pm 10\%$ generally, except at 16–20 km. Similarly, ozone anomalies are slightly higher at 38–42 km before the mid-1990s. In 2010, all available data sets show positive anomalies at 16–20 km, which implies that a major part of the total column ozone in 2010, noted in Sect. 3.1, arises from the lower stratosphere. The monthly ozone anomalies from these instruments are averaged to form a single profile in each month. The data are smoothed using a 5 month running mean and then regressed. The same regression models and proxies used for the analysis of total column ozone measurements are used here. However, the aerosol proxy data are applied only up to 30 km as they are not important above 30 km due to the decrease in surface area density.

In order to find how well the model explains the observed variability of the ozone, R^2 is calculated in each month for the EESC-based model and is shown in Fig. 5. The 5 month smoothing generally increases the correlation between ozone anomaly and the model. The model shows a good agreement in the 20–40 km region in all months except around 25 km in the summer and autumn months. The best agreement is found in the winter/spring months, with R^2 values greater than 0.6 above 20 km and reaching about 0.85 at 22 km in March and April. On the other hand, the model explains only 20–50 % of the variability over 16–18 km over all months, indicating that the considered proxies are not sufficient to explain the observed variability at these altitudes.

Figure 6 displays the vertical distribution of the temporal evolution of average ozone anomaly (top panel), the EESC-based model (middle panel) and the residual (bottom panel). The observed features in the ozone anomaly are reproduced quite well in the

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



regressed data between 20 and 40 km and the corresponding residuals are nearly zero. Large positive ozone anomalies are found in the whole stratosphere in 1986 and negative ones in 1995–1997. These features are more or less replicated by the model with wave activity, QBO and NAO. Low ozone anomalies are estimated in the lower stratosphere in 1989, 1993, 1995 and 2002 and can be explained in part due to large positive NAO indices in those years. Additionally, about 15% reduction in ozone in 1993 is associated with aerosols from the Mount Pinatubo volcanic eruption.

Large positive ozone anomalies are observed in the lower stratosphere in 1987, 1999, 2009 and 2010. The regression model could explain a part of these large ozone anomalies in 1987 and 2009, which is caused by the easterly phase of QBO and large negative NAO index. The model reproduces well the large ozone anomaly in the lower stratosphere in 2010, which is contributed by the large planetary wave activity, easterly phase of QBO, and negative NAO index, as discussed for the total column ozone. In contrast, the considered proxies could not explain very high ozone found in the lower stratosphere in 1999. This is due to the fact that the Arctic winter 1999 was characterised by an unusually warm polar vortex and the vortex filaments were elongated down to southern Europe (e.g. Heese et al., 2001; Godin et al., 2002).

3.2.1 Link between ozone profile and total column

To find the altitude range from which the explanatory variables contribute the most to total column ozone, a regression analysis is performed to the ozone vertical profiles in DU km⁻¹. The estimated variabilities of the proxies are integrated in 1 km width and are shown in Fig. 7. Therefore, this figure can be compared to the variability of proxies contributing to the total ozone variability (i.e. Fig. 2).

The contribution of various proxies is distinct at different altitude ranges. For instance, both QBO 30 and QBO 10 show negative and positive correlations with total ozone from November to April and from May to October, respectively (see Fig. 2). In comparison to Fig. 7, it is found that maximum contribution (~ 3–8 DU) of QBO 30 to the total ozone comes from the lower stratosphere (15–24 km), whereas the maximum contribution (3–

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



13DU) of QBO 10 comes from 15 to 35 km. The analysis on ozone profiles and total column ozone observations shows a maximum contribution of QBO 30 in May.

Aerosols show a significant negative correlation with ozone below 22 km and positive correlation above around 22 km. The increase in ozone over 23–30 km can be due to the lower concentration of NO_x resulting from the heterogeneous reactions occurring on the surfaces of aerosols (e.g. Brunner et al., 2006). The aerosols show maximum correlation with total column and ozone profiles in March. The main contribution of solar flux to total ozone (2–3 DU) arises from the 20–34 km range. A maximum contribution of about 4 DU comes from the 15–34 km range in June–September and from 15–24 km over October–May. The maximum variability of total column ozone and profile measurements due to solar flux is found in August.

The NAO provides a contribution of about 5 DU to the total ozone from 15 to 24 km in January–May and in December. In the winter months, NAO index is anticorrelated with ozone as reported in Zanis et al. (2006). The NAO shows its maximum correlation with ozone profiles and total column ozone in December–January months. The significant contribution of heat flux to the ozone variability of about 5–8 DU is originated from the 15–24 km altitude range. The maximum ozone variability due to heat flux is found in March–April for both total column and profile measurements.

3.2.2 Trends in stratospheric ozone profiles

Figure 8 represents the vertical distribution of year-round ozone trends estimated from the PWLT and EESC fits in 1984–1996 (solid line) and 1997–2010 (dashed line) for average ozone anomaly time series. In 1984–1996, both trends show similar and significant values of the order of $-0.65\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ at 16 km, -0.4 to $-0.5\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ at 34–37 km and about $-0.8\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ at 38–45 km. In the 17–24 km region, EESC-based and PWLT trends vary slightly and are about -0.5 and $-0.4\% \text{yr}^{-1}$, respectively. The largest negative trends are computed in the 38–45 km region, similar to those estimated in Steinbrecht et al. (2009) and Jones et al. (2009). In the 1997–2010 period, significant ozone trend of $0.3\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ is computed using PWLT model in 18–28 km and of $0.1\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ by the EESC-

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



based model in 15–30 km. In the 31–39 km region both regressions yield similar and significant trend of $0.15\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$. Above 39 km, EESC-based trends are significant and are about $0.25\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ while PWLT trends are smaller and insignificant. These results match well with those reported in WMO (2011) and by Vigouroux et al. (2008), who deduced a partial column ozone trend of $0.3\% \text{ yr}^{-1}$ at 18–27 and 27–42 km using Fourier Transform Infrared Measurements at Jungfraujoch, another northern mid-latitude station near OHP, for the 1995–2004 period. To check the consistency between the ozone trends estimated from the total column and profile measurements, the ozone trends in $\text{DU km}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ are averaged between 10 and 50 km. The resulting EESC-based and PWLT trend estimates are about -1.0 and -1.1 DU yr^{-1} , respectively over 1984–1996. In 1997–2010 they are of order 0.3 and 0.55 DU yr^{-1} , respectively. These results are nearly same as found for the total column ozone observations.

As found from the total column ozone, the ozone profile measurements also show similar trends for the PWLT and EESC-based analyses in 1984–1996, showing the influence of ODSs in decreasing ozone during that period. In 1997–2010, the ozone trends derived from the EESC-based model are smaller than those from PWLT model below 30 km suggesting the impact of other factors in addition to the decrease of ODSs for the increase in ozone. Above 40 km, the significant positive trends can be attributed to EESC only. It reflects the impact of ODSs on the evolution of ozone in the upper stratosphere, where the amount of ozone is mainly determined by the processes linked to homogeneous chemistry. Therefore, this study shows signals of ozone recovery in the lower, middle and upper stratosphere at mid-latitudes.

4 Conclusions

This study analyses the long-term evolution of monthly mean ozone at a northern mid-latitude station. The analysis uses total column ozone data from the Dobson and SAOZ spectrometers and stratospheric ozone profiles from lidar, ozonesondes, SAGE II, HALOE and Aura MLS. The variations in ozone are studied using a multiple linear

regression model including QBO, solar flux, aerosol optical thickness, heat flux, NAO and PWLT or EESC terms. The regression model can explain about 65 % of the observed variance of the total column ozone and about 60–70 % of the ozone variability in the 20–40 km altitude range during winter/spring and about 20–40 % below 20 and above 40 km.

The explanatory parameters exhibit clear seasonality and vertical differences in their contributions to the observed ozone variability. The QBO explains ozone variability in the whole stratosphere during winter/spring while a significant response of ozone to solar flux is observed mostly in the middle stratosphere and a small part in the lower and upper stratosphere in summer. The heat flux and NAO contributions are maximum in the lower stratosphere during winter/spring and winter, respectively.

The PWLT and EESC fits yield similar and significant column ozone trends of about -1.39 ± 0.26 and -1.40 ± 0.25 DUyr⁻¹ prior to 1997. The column ozone trends from both regressions show significant positive value in 1997–2010, but slightly higher ozone trends are derived from PWLT model. Stratospheric ozone profiles also yield similar and significant EESC-based and PWLT trends of about $-0.5\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ in 34–37 km and about $-0.8\% \text{yr}^{-1}$ in 38–45 km in 1984–1996. The ozone trends based on PWLT and EESC regressions are significant and are of order 0.3 and 0.1 %yr⁻¹, respectively at 18–28 km in 1997–2010. In the 40–45 km range, EESC provides significant ozone trends of about 0.25 %yr⁻¹ and are larger than the insignificant PWLT trends.

This analysis indicates that the decline of total column and stratospheric ozone before 1997 is mainly attributed to the positive ODS trends, consistent with previous studies. However, the significant increase in ozone after the mid-1990s is partly caused by the decrease in ODSs below 30 km and suggests the influence of other parameters rather than the reduced ODS level there. In contrast, the influence of ODS still dominates above 40 km inducing a noteworthy increase in ozone. Therefore, this study suggests that stratospheric ozone is recovering not only in the upper stratosphere, as shown by Steinbrecht et al. (2006), but also in the whole stratosphere at mid-latitudes.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Acknowledgements. We would like to thank J. M. Russell III for the HALOE ozone data. We thank Cathy Boone and the ETHER team for maintaining ETHER data cluster and the staff at OHP for operating the ozone monitoring instruments. Work at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology was done under contract with the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (NASA). We also thank the NASA Langley Research Center (NASA-LaRC) and the NASA Langley Radiation and Aerosols Branch for providing SAGE II data, and the collaborative institutes of the NASA Langley Research Center for maintaining HALOE data. The data used in this effort were acquired as part of the activities of NASA's Science Mission Directorate, and are archived and distributed by the Goddard Earth Sciences (GES) Data and Information Services Center (DISC). The ground-based ozone measurements were obtained as part of the NDACC and are publicly available (see <http://www.ndacc.org>). Reanalysis of the OHP lidar data was performed under the EU NORS contract. This work was partly supported by a funding from the GEOMON (Global Earth Observation and Monitoring of the atmosphere) European project.



The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

References

- Appenzeller, C., Weiss, A. K., and Staehelin, J.: North Atlantic oscillation modulates total ozone winter trends, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 27, 1131–1134, doi:10.1029/1999GL010854, 2000. 7086
- Andrews, D. G., Holton, J. R., and Leovy, C. B. (Eds.): *Middle atmosphere dynamics*, Academic Press, International Geophysics Series, 40, Orlando, USA, 1987. 7086
- Basher, R. E.: *Review of the Dobson Spectrophotometer and Its Accuracy*, WMO Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Report No. 13, WMO, Geneva, December 1982. 7084

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



**Ozone trends at
a northern
mid-latitude station**

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Bojkov, R., Bishop, L., Hill, W., Reinsel, G., and Tiao, G.: A statistical trend analysis of revised
dobson total ozone data over the Northern Hemisphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 95, 9785–9807,
1990. 7083, 7086

Brühl, C., Roland Drayson, S., Russell III, J. M., Crutzen, P. J., McInerney, J. M., Purcell, P. N.,
Claude, H., Gernandt, H., McGee, T. J., McDermid, I. S., and Gunson, M. R.: Halogen Oc-
cultation Experiment ozone channel validation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 101, 10217–10240, 1996.
7085

Brunner, D., Staehelin, J., Maeder, J. A., Wohltmann, I., and Bodeker, G. E.: Variability and
trends in total and vertically resolved stratospheric ozone based on the CATO ozone data
set, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 4985–5008, doi:10.5194/acp-6-4985-2006, 2006. 7083, 7087,
7093

Dhomse, S., Weber, M., Wohltmann, I., Rex, M., and Burrows, J. P.: On the possible causes
of recent increases in northern hemispheric total ozone from a statistical analysis of satellite
data from 1979 to 2003, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 6, 1165–1180, doi:10.5194/acp-6-1165-2006,
2006. 7083, 7086

Dobson, G. M. B.: Observers' handbook for the ozone spectrophotometer, in: *Annals of the
International Geophysical Year, V, Part 1*, Pergamon Press, 46–89, New York, 1957. 7084

Dobson, G. M. B.: Forty years research on atmospheric ozone at Oxford: a History, *Appl. Optics*,
7, 387–405, 1968. 7084

Froidevaux, L., Jiang, Y. B., Lambert, A., Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Waters, J. W., Brow-
ell, E. V., Hair, J. W., Avery, M. A., McGee, T. J., Twigg, L. W., Sunnicht, G. K., Jucks, K. W.,
Margitan, J. J., Sen, B., Stachnik, R. A., Toon, G. C., Bernath, P. F., Boone, C. D.,
Walker, K. A., Filipiak, M. J., Harwood, R. S., Fuller, R. A., Manney, G. L., Schwartz, M. J.,
Daffer, W. H., Drouin, B. J., Cofield, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Jarnot, R. F., Knosp, B. W., Pe-
run, V. S., Snyder, W. V., Stek, P. C., Thurstans, R. P., and Wagner, P. A.: Validation of
Aura Microwave Limb Sounder stratospheric ozone measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113,
D15S20, doi:10.1029/2007JD008771, 2008. 7085

Godin, S., Marchand, M., Hauchecorne, A., and Lefèvre, F.: Influence of Arctic polar ozone
depletion on lower stratospheric ozone amounts at Haute-Provence Observatory (43.92° N,
5.71° E), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 8272, doi:10.1029/2001JD000516, 2002. 7092

Godin-Beekmann, S., Porteneuve, J., and Garnier, A.: Systematic DIAL lidar monitoring of
the stratospheric ozone vertical distribution at Observatoire de Haute-Provence (43.92° N,
5.71° E), *J. Environ. Monitor.*, 5, 57–67, 2003. 7085

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Heese, B., Godin, S., and Hauchecorne, A.: Forecast and simulation of stratospheric ozone filaments: a validation of a high-resolution potential vorticity advection model by airborne ozone lidar measurements in winter 1998/1999, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 20011–20024, doi:10.1029/2000JD900818, 2001. 7092
- 5 Hendrick, F., Pommereau, J.-P., Goutail, F., Evans, R. D., Ionov, D., Pazmino, A., Kyrö, E., Held, G., Eriksen, P., Dorokhov, V., Gil, M., and Van Roozendael, M.: NDACC/SAOZ UV-visible total ozone measurements: improved retrieval and comparison with correlative ground-based and satellite observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 5975–5995, doi:10.5194/acp-11-5975-2011, 2011. 7084
- 10 Hurrell, J. W., Kushnir, Y., Ottensen, G., and Visbeck, M. (Eds.): *The North Atlantic Oscillation: Climatic Significance and Environmental Impact*, Geophysical Monograph, Vol. 134, American Geophysical Union, Washington, DC, 279 pp., 2003. 7086
- Jones, A., Urban, J., Murtagh, D. P., Eriksson, P., Brohede, S., Haley, C., Degenstein, D., Bourassa, A., von Savigny, C., Sonkaew, T., Rozanov, A., Bovensmann, H., and Burrows, J.: Evolution of stratospheric ozone and water vapour time series studied with satellite measurements, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 6055–6075, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6055-2009, 2009. 7083, 7093
- 15 Kiesewetter, G., Sinnhuber, B.-M., Weber, M., and Burrows, J. P.: Attribution of stratospheric ozone trends to chemistry and transport: a modelling study, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 12073–12089, doi:10.5194/acp-10-12073-2010, 2010. 7083
- 20 Komhyr, W. D.: Electrochemical concentration cells for gas analysis, *Ann. Geophys.*, 25, 203–210, 1969, <http://www.ann-geophys.net/25/203/1969/>. 7085
- Kuttippurath, J. and Nikulin, G.: A comparative study of the major sudden stratospheric warmings in the Arctic winters 2003/2004–2009/2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 8115–8129, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8115-2012, 2012. 7086
- 25 Kuttippurath, J., Lefèvre, F., Pommereau, J.-P., Roscoe, H. K., Goutail, F., Pazmiño, A., and Shanklin, J. D.: Antarctic ozone loss in 1979–2010: first sign of ozone recovery, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 13, 1625–1635, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1625-2013, 2013. 7083, 7087
- 30 Livesey, N. J., Read, W. G., Froidevaux, L., Lambert, A., Manney, G. L., Pumphrey, H. C., Santee, M. L., Schwartz, M. J., Wang, S., Cofeld, R. E., Cuddy, D. T., Fuller, R. A., Jarnot, R. F., Jiang, J. H., Knosp, B. W., Stek, P. C., Wagner, P. A., and Wu, D. L.: Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) Version 3.3 Level 2 data quality and descrip-

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

tion document, PL D-33509, Jet Propulsion Laboratory California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, 91109–8099, 2011. 7085

Nair, P. J., Godin-Beekmann, S., Pazmiño, A., Hauchecorne, A., Ancellet, G., Petropavlovskikh, I., Flynn, L. E., and Froidevaux, L.: Coherence of long-term stratospheric ozone vertical distribution time series used for the study of ozone recovery at a northern mid-latitude station, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 4957–4975, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4957-2011, 2011. 7085

Nair, P. J., Godin-Beekmann, S., Froidevaux, L., Flynn, L. E., Zawodny, J. M., Russell III, J. M., Pazmiño, A., Ancellet, G., Steinbrecht, W., Claude, H., Leblanc, T., McDermid, S., van Gijssel, J. A. E., Johnson, B., Thomas, A., Hubert, D., Lambert, J.-C., Nakane, H., and Swart, D. P. J.: Relative drifts and stability of satellite and ground-based stratospheric ozone profiles at NDACC lidar stations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 1301–1318, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1301-2012, 2012. 7085, 7091

Newchurch, M. J., Yang, E.-S., Cunnold, D. M., Reinsel, G. C., and Zawodny, J. M.: Evidence for slowdown in stratospheric ozone loss: First stage of ozone recovery, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 108, 4507, doi:10.1029/2003JD003471, 2003. 7083

Pommereau, J. P. and Goutail, F.: Stratospheric O₃ and NO₂ observations at the southern polar circle in summer and fall 1988, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 15, 895–897, doi:10.1029/GL015i008p00895, 1988. 7084

Press, W. H., Flannery, B. P., Teukolsky, S. A., and Vetterling, W. T. (Eds.): *Numerical Recipes*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 504–508, 1989. 7087

Reinsel, G. C., Tiao, G. C., Wuebbles, D. J., Kerr, J. B., Miller, A. J., Nagatani, R. M., Bishop, L., and Ying, L. H.: Seasonal trend analysis of published ground-based and TOMS total ozone data through 1991, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 99, 5449–5464, doi:10.1029/93JD03517, 1994. 7083, 7087

Reinsel, G. C., Weatherhead, E. C., Tiao, G. C., Miller, A. J., Nagatani, R. M., Wuebbles, D. J., and Flynn, L. E.: On detection of turnaround and recovery in trend for ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4078, doi:10.1029/2001JD000500, 2002. 7083, 7087

Reinsel, G. C., Miller, A. J., Weatherhead, E. C., Flynn, L. E., Nagatani, R. M., Tiao, G. C., and D. J. Wuebbles: Trend analysis of total ozone data for turnaround and dynamical contributions, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D16306, doi:10.1029/2004JD004662, 2005. 7083

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Russell, J. M., Gordley, L. L., Park, J. H., Drayson, S. R., Hesketh, W. D., Cicerone, R. J., Tuck, A. F., Frederick, J. E., Harries, J. E., and Crutzen, P. J.: The Halogen Occultation Experiment, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 10777–10797, 1993. 7085

Salby, M., Titova, E., and Deschamps, L.: Rebound of Antarctic ozone, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L09702, doi:10.1029/2011GL047266, 2011. 7083

Sato, M., Hansen, J., McCormick, M., and Pollack, J.: Stratospheric Aerosol Optical Depths, 1850–1990, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 22987–22994, 1993. 7086

Staehelin, J., Kegel, R., and Harris, N. R. P.: Trend analysis of the homogenized total ozone series of Arosa (Switzerland), 1926–1996, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 103, 8389–8399, doi:10.1029/97JD03650, 1998. 7083, 7087

Smit, H. G. J., Straeter, W., Johnson, B. J., Oltmans, S. J., Davies, J., Tarasick, D. W., Hoeger, B., Stübi, R., Schmidlin, F. J., Northam, T., Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Boyd, I., and Posny, F.: Assessment of the performance of ECC ozonesondes under quasi flight conditions in the environmental simulation chamber: insights from the Juelich Ozone Sonde Intercomparison Experiment (JOSIE), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D19306, doi:10.1029/2006JD007308, 2007. 7085

Steinbrecht, W., Hassler, B., Claude, H., Winkler, P., and Stolarski, R. S.: Global distribution of total ozone and lower stratospheric temperature variations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 3, 1421–1438, doi:10.5194/acp-3-1421-2003, 2003. 7086, 7089

Steinbrecht, W., Claude, H., Schöenborn, F., McDermid, I. S., Godin, S., Song, T., Swart, D. P. J., Meijer, Y. J., Bodeker, G. E., Connor, B. J., Kämpfer, N., Hocke, K., Calisesi, Y., Schneider, N., de la Noë, J., Parrish, A. D., Boyd, I. S., Brühl, C., Steil, B., Giorgetta, M. A., Manzini, E., Thomason, L. W., Zawodny, J. M., McCormick, M. P., Russell III, J. M., Bhatta, P. K., Stolarski, R. S., and Hollandsworth-Frith, S. M.: Long-term evolution of upper stratospheric ozone at selected stations of the Network for the Detection of Stratospheric Change (NDSC), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D10308, doi:10.1029/2005JD006454, 2006. 7083, 7095

Steinbrecht, W., Claude, H., Schöenborn, F., McDermid, I. S., Leblanc, T., Godin-Beekmann, S., Keckhut, P., Hauchecorne, A., Van Gijssel, J. A. E., Swart, D. P. J., Bodeker, G. E., Parrish, A., Boyd, I. S., Kämpfer, N., Hocke, K., Stolarski, R. S., Frith, S. M., Thomason, L. W., Remsberg, E. E., Von Savigny, C., Rozanov, A., and Burrows, J. P.: Ozone and temperature trends in the upper stratosphere at five stations of the Network

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



for the detection of atmospheric composition change, *Int. J. Remote Sens.*, 30, 3875–3886, doi:10.1080/01431160902821841, 2009. 7093

Steinbrecht, W., Köhler, U., Claude, H., Weber, M., Burrows, J. P., and van der A, R. J.: Very high ozone columns at northern mid latitudes in 2010, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L06803, doi:10.1029/2010GL046634, 2011. 7089

Storch, H. V. and Zwiers, F. W.: *Statistical Analysis in Climate Research: Fitting Statistical Models*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 1999. 7088

Vigouroux, C., De Mazière, M., Demoulin, P., Servais, C., Hase, F., Blumenstock, T., Kramer, I., Schneider, M., Mellqvist, J., Strandberg, A., Velasco, V., Notholt, J., Sussmann, R., Stremme, W., Rockmann, A., Gardiner, T., Coleman, M., and Woods, P.: Evaluation of tropospheric and stratospheric ozone trends over Western Europe from ground-based FTIR network observations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 6865–6886, doi:10.5194/acp-8-6865-2008, 2008. 7094

Vyushin, D. I., Fioletov, V. E., and Shepherd, T. G.: Impact of long-range correlations on trend detection in total ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D14307, doi:10.1029/2006JD008168, 2007. 7083, 7090

Vyushin, D. I., Shepherd, T. G., and Fioletov, V. E.: On the statistical modeling of persistence in total ozone anomalies, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D16306, doi:10.1029/2009JD013105, 2010. 7083, 7086

Wang, P. H., Cunnold, D. M., Trepte, C. R., Wang, H. J., Jing, P., Fishman, J., Brackett, V. G., Zawodney, J. M., and Bodeker, G. E.: Ozone variability in the midlatitude upper troposphere and lower stratosphere diagnosed from a monthly SAGE II climatology relative to the tropopause, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D21304, doi:10.1029/2005JD006108, 2006. 7085

Weber, M., Dikty, S., Burrows, J. P., Garny, H., Dameris, M., Kubin, A., Abalichin, J., and Langematz, U.: The Brewer–Dobson circulation and total ozone from seasonal to decadal time scales, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 11221–11235, doi:10.5194/acp-11-11221-2011, 2011. 7083

Weiss, A. K., Staehelin, J., Appenzeller, C., and Harris, N. R. P.: Chemical and dynamical contributions to ozone profile trends of the Payerne (Switzerland) balloon soundings, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 106, 22685–22694, doi:10.1029/2000JD000106, 2001. 7083

WMO (World Meteorological Organization): *Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2006*, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Rep. 50, Geneva, Switzerland, 572 pp., 2007. 7082

WMO: Scientific assessment of ozone depletion: 2010, Global Ozone Research and Monitoring Project, Rep. 52, Geneva, Switzerland, 516 pp., 2011. 7083, 7087, 7090, 7094

Wohlthmann, I., Lehmann, R., Rex, M., Brunner, D., and Mäder, J. A.: A process-oriented regression model for column ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12304, doi:10.1029/2006JD007573, 2007. 7083

Yang, E.-S., Cunnold, D. M., Salawitch, R. J., McCormick, M. P., Russell III, J., Zawodny, J. M., Oltmans, S., and Newchurch, M. J.: Attribution of recovery in lower-stratospheric ozone, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D17309, doi:10.1029/2005JD006371, 2006. 7083

Zanis, P., Maillard, E., Staehelin, J., Zerefos, C., Kosmidis, E., Tourpali, K., and Wohlthmann, I.: On the turnaround of stratospheric ozone trends deduced from the reevaluated Umkehr record of Arosa, Switzerland, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22307, doi:10.1029/2005JD006886, 2006. 7083, 7093

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. The regression coefficients of QBO 10, QBO 30, solar flux, NAO, heat flux and volcanic aerosols estimated from the total column ozone measurements are shown for April, November and the average of all months. The QBO 10 and QBO 30 are expressed in $\text{DU} (\text{ms}^{-1})^{-1}$, solar flux in $\text{DU} (100 \text{ solar flux unit})^{-1}$, heat flux in $\text{DU} (\text{K ms}^{-1})^{-1}$, aerosol and NAO are given in DU. Twice the standard deviation of the regression coefficient is also given.

Parameters	Apr	Nov	Average
QBO 10	-0.09 ± 0.25	-0.07 ± 0.14	-0.07 ± 0.05
QBO 30	-0.17 ± 0.29	$+0.03 \pm 0.18$	-0.06 ± 0.06
Solar flux	$+1.44 \pm 9.50$	-2.15 ± 5.59	$+1.42 \pm 1.97$
NAO	$+1.49 \pm 3.17$	-1.76 ± 1.73	-0.47 ± 0.65
Heat flux	$+5.52 \pm 5.62$	$+0.59 \pm 1.96$	$+2.49 \pm 1.32$
Aerosol	-148.68 ± 159.51	-39.47 ± 116.91	-67.52 ± 36.17

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 2. The year-round total column ozone trends in DU yr^{-1} with 95 % confidence intervals estimated using both PWLT and EESC fits.

Method	1984–1996	1997–2010
PWLT	-1.39 ± 0.26	0.65 ± 0.32
EESC	-1.40 ± 0.25	0.42 ± 0.08

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.




Fig. 1. (a) Time series of the total column ozone measurements from the Dobson and SAOZ spectrometers, (b) monthly mean deseasonalised ozone, the EESC-based model and the residual, (c) ozone-fitted signals of proxies QBO and NAO, (d) solar flux (SFX) and heat flux (HFX), and (e) aerosols (AER) along with the EESC fit.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 2. The influence of individual explanatory variables on the variability of combined Dobson and SAOZ total column ozone data analysed using the EESC-based model. The Q30 and Q10 represent QBO 30 and QBO 10 respectively.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.




Fig. 3. The monthly ozone trends derived from the combined Dobson and SAOZ total column ozone measurements. The black and red curves represent the PWT trends and EESC-based ozone trends, respectively. The solid and dashed lines denote ozone trends over 1984–1996 and 1997–2010, respectively. The error bars correspond to the 95 % confidence intervals.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.




Fig. 4. Time series of ozone anomalies estimated from lidar, ozonesonde, SAGE II, HALOE and Aura MLS ozone measurements at specific altitude bands. The data are resolved in 1 km vertical grid.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 5. Seasonal variations of the vertical distribution of R^2 estimates computed for the average of the stratospheric ozone anomalies from different observations.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 6. Temporal evolution of the vertical distribution of average ozone anomaly (top panel), regression model (middle panel) and residual (bottom panel) over 1984–2010.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.




Fig. 7. Variability of different proxies in DU estimated from the average ozone anomaly of the vertical profiles.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion






Fig. 8. Vertical structure of the year-round PWLT (black) and EESC-based (red) ozone trends in 15–45 km estimated from the average ozone anomaly. The solid and dashed lines represent ozone trends over 1984–1996 and 1997–2010, respectively. The dotted lines represent -0.75 , 0 and $0.75\% \text{yr}^{-1}$.

Ozone trends at a northern mid-latitude station

P. J. Nair et al.

Title Page

Abstract Introduction

Conclusions References

Tables Figures

◀ ▶

◀ ▶

Back Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

