Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 33433–33462, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/33433/2013/ doi:10.5194/acpd-13-33433-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# The role of long-range transport and domestic emissions in determining atmospheric secondary inorganic particle concentrations across the UK

M. Vieno<sup>1,2</sup>, M. R. Heal<sup>3</sup>, S. Hallsworth<sup>1</sup>, D. Famulari<sup>1</sup>, R. M. Doherty<sup>2</sup>, A. J. Dore<sup>1</sup>, Y. S. Tang<sup>1</sup>, C. F. Braban<sup>1</sup>, D. Leaver<sup>1</sup>, M. A. Sutton<sup>1</sup>, and S. Reis<sup>1</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Natural Environment Research Council, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, Penicuik, UK <sup>2</sup>School of GeoSciences, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK <sup>3</sup>School of Chemistry, The University of Edinburgh, Edinburgh, UK

Received: 21 October 2013 – Accepted: 18 November 2013 – Published: 23 December 2013

Correspondence to: M. Vieno (vieno.massimo@gmail.com)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.





# Abstract

Surface concentrations of secondary inorganic particle components over the UK have been analysed for 2001–2010 using the EMEP4UK regional atmospheric chemistry transport model. In early 2003 an episode of substantially elevated surface concentrations of substantially elevated surface concentrations.

- trations of ammonium nitrate was measured across the UK by the AGANET network. The EMEP4UK model was able accurately to represent both the long-term decadal surface concentrations and the episode in 2003. The latter was identified as consisting of three separate episodes, each of less than 1 month duration, in February, March and April. The primary cause of the elevated nitrate levels across the UK was meteo-
- <sup>10</sup> rological, a persistent high pressure system, but whose varying location impacted the relative importance of transboundary vs. domestic emissions. Whilst long-range transport dominated the elevated nitrate in February, in contrast it was domestic emissions that mainly contributed to the March episode, and for the April episode both domestic emissions and long-range transport contributed. A prolonged episode such as the
- one in early 2003 can have substantial impact on annual average concentrations. The episode led to annual concentration differences at the regional scale of similar magnitude to those driven by long-term changes in precursor emissions over the full decade investigated here. The results demonstrate that a substantial part of the UK, particularly the south and south-east, may be close to or actually exceeding annual mean limit
- values because of import of inorganic aerosol components from continental Europe under specific conditions. The results reinforce the importance of employing multiple year simulations in the assessment of emissions reduction scenarios on PM concentrations and the need for international agreements to address the transboundary component of air pollution.





# 1 Introduction

Atmospheric particulate matter (PM) concentrations are governed by the transport, transformation and deposition of many chemical species. PM has a range of impacts including on climate through radiative forcing and on human health. Considering the health impacts alone, exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> (the size fraction of particles with an aero-dynamic diameter ≤ 2.5 µm) has been estimated to contribute to an average loss of life expectancy of around 6–7 months for residents of the UK, with an associated economic cost of some £16 billion per annum (IGCB, 2007). EU legislation sets standards

for ambient concentrations of PM, and now includes an obligation on individual member states to reduce population-weighted exposure to PM<sub>2.5</sub> by a specified percentage between 2010 and 2020 (Heal et al., 2012).

The complexity of ambient PM composition and formation, combined with the influence of meteorology on chemistry, dispersion and deposition, considerably complicates pinpointing the contributions of different chemical pollutant emission sources

- to ambient PM at specific locations (USEPA, 2009; AQEG, 2012). Consequently, it is a complicated process to formulate cost-effective policy action to reduce harm caused by PM. The inorganic chemical components of PM, ammonium ( $NH_4^+$ ), sulphate ( $SO_4^{2-}$ ) and nitrate ( $NO_3^-$ ), constitute a major fraction of  $PM_{2.5}$  (Putaud et al., 2010). The anthropogenic emissions of the gaseous precursors of inorganic PM – ammonia ( $NH_3$ ),
- <sup>20</sup> sulphur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>x</sub>) are also subject to various legislation that seeks to limit and reduce either a country's total emissions or the emissions from individual sources or source sectors (Heal et al., 2012; Reis et al., 2012). For SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>x</sub> in particular, emissions reductions have been very effective over the past few decades and this is reflected in reductions in ambient concentrations of the gases (Ro-
- <sup>25</sup> TAP, 2012). Despite this, PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations across much of western Europe have not fallen significantly since the year 2000 (Harrison et al., 2008), as exemplified by recent analysis for sulphate.





The longer lifetime of secondary PM components compared with their gaseous precursors means that transboundary transport and meteorology are important drivers. This is of particular relevance for the design of air quality policies seeking to reduce PM concentrations, especially as some limit values may be sensitive to a small number

<sup>5</sup> of high concentration episodes rather than long-term average concentrations. This is particularly important for the nitrate component which has shown to be the dominant component on days when  $PM_{10}$  exceeds 50 µgm<sup>-3</sup> (Yin and Harrison, 2008).

There remains a gap in understanding the extent to which domestic emissions and transboundary import of secondary inorganic PM contribute inter-annually and

- to episodes of elevated concentrations in the UK (RoTAP). This was the motivation for this work. Ambient concentrations of the inorganic components have been measured since the 1990s on a monthly average basis, as part of the UK Acid Gas and Aerosol Network (AGANET http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/networks/network-info?view=aganet, see Tang et al., 2009, for description of the approach), providing a dataset against which
- to compare model output. In the present paper the EMEP4UK Eulerian atmospheric chemistry transport model (ACTM) (Vieno et al., 2009, 2010) is first evaluated against these measurements and then used in sensitivity simulations to assess the contributions of trans-boundary and domestic emissions to secondary inorganic particle concentrations in the UK and their inter-annual variability.

#### 20 2 Methods

25

### 2.1 Model description and set-up

The EMEP4UK model used for this work is a nested regional ACTM based on version v3.7 of the main EMEP model (Simpson et al., 2012). A detailed description of the EMEP4UK model framework and setup are given in Vieno et al. (2010) and only brief relevant details are presented here.





The EMEP4UK model is driven by the Weather Research Forecast (WRF) model version 3.1.1 (www.wrf-model.org). The model horizontal resolution scales down from  $50 \text{ km} \times 50 \text{ km}$  in the main EMEP "Greater European" domain to  $5 \text{ km} \times 5 \text{ km}$  for the domain covering the British Isles (Fig. 1). The boundary conditions for the inner domain

- <sup>5</sup> are derived from the results of the European domain in a one-way nested setup. The EmChem09 chemical scheme was chosen for the present study, as it has been extensively validated at the European scale (Simpson et al., 2012, www.emep.int). The EmChem09 solver is based on Berge and Jakobsen (1998), but extended with photo-oxidant chemistry (Andersson-Skold and Simpson, 1999; Simpson et al., 1995). It has
- <sup>10</sup> 72 species and 137 reactions. Full details of the chemical scheme are given by Simpson et al. (2012). Gas/aerosol partitioning uses the EQSAM formulation (Metzger et al., 2002a, b).

Anthropogenic emissions of NO<sub>x</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, primary PM<sub>2.5</sub>, primary PM<sub>coarse</sub> (the difference between PM<sub>10</sub> and PM<sub>2.5</sub>), CO, and non-methane volatile organic compounds (NM)(OC) are included PM is the size fraction of particles with an accodynamic diam

- <sup>15</sup> (NMVOC) are included. PM<sub>10</sub> is the size fraction of particles with an aerodynamic diameter  $\leq 10 \,\mu$ m. For the UK, emissions values are taken from the National Atmospheric Emission Inventory (NAEI, http://naei.defra.gov.uk) at 1 km<sup>2</sup> resolution and aggregated to 5 km × 5 km resolution. The underpinning methods by which these emission inventories have been established are reported by Hellsten et al. (2008) and Dore et al. (2008).
- For the rest of the outer domain, the model uses the EMEP 50 km × 50 km resolution emission estimates provided by the Centre for Emission Inventories and Projections (CEIP, http://www.ceip.at/). Emissions estimates for international shipping (ENTEC, 2010) are aggregated to 5 km × 5 km for those emissions within the inner domain.

### 2.2 Model experiments

<sup>25</sup> Hourly surface concentrations of several pollutants, including NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>, and particle NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>, were simulated for the decade 2001–2010. To quantify the influence of long-range (i.e. non-UK, or "transboundary") and short-range (UK, "domestic") emissions on the UK surface concentrations of these components, a per-



turbation experiment was carried out by setting UK land emissions to zero for the year. This provides an approximate model estimate of the contribution of non-UK emissions to gaseous and particulate matter concentrations in the UK.

### 2.3 Measurement data

- <sup>5</sup> Model surface concentrations were compared with observational data from the UK Acid Gases and Aerosols Network (AGANet), which is one of the four component UK Eutrophying and Acidifying Pollutants monitoring networks (Conolly et al., 2011). The AGANet monitoring sites were established in 1999 for the long-term simultaneous measurement of the concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> gases and particle NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>,
- <sup>10</sup>  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $NH_4^+$ , in relation to changes in European emissions of  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_x$  and  $NH_3$ . Measurements are made using DELTA samplers (DEnuder for Long-Term Atmospheric sampling) and have monthly-averaged time resolution (Sutton et al., 2001). The size cut-off of the DELTA sampler has been estimated to be ~ 4.5 µm (Tang et al., 2009), therefore the measured concentrations are between the PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> size fractions.
- The EMEP4UK model assigns all SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> components to PM<sub>2.5</sub>. Modelled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> is assigned to both PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>coarse</sub> which leads to potential negative bias in modelled vs. measured concentrations for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Four sites representing different areas of the UK (marked on Fig. 1) have been selected for the comparisons presented here: Strathvaich Dam (north-west Scotland); Bush (central Scotland); Rothamsted (south-20 east England); and Yarner Wood (south-west England).

# 3 Results

The time series of the modelled and observed monthly mean surface concentrations of particle  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  at the four selected AGANet sites are shown in Fig. 2 and Fig. 3, respectively. The observations span the period 2001–2010, whereas the model values span the period 2001–2010. A peak in 2003 in  $NO_3^-$  concentrations can be seen



25

in both observations and the EMEP4UK simulations. Figures 2 and 3, show generally good agreement between the two datasets for three of the four sites included here, as illustrated quantitatively by the correlation and linear regression statistics (Table 1). The model-measurement comparison at the Strathvaich Dam site is adversely impacted by two extreme measurement values in 2006 and 2007 not evident in the simulations.

5

The spatial pattern across the British Isles and the inter-year variability of modelled annual mean surface concentrations are shown in Fig. 4 for NO<sub>2</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> and in Fig. 5 for particle NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup>. In each case, the top left panel shows the spatial distribution for 2001 and the other 9 panels show the differences in annual mean concentrations for each of years 2002–2010 relative to 2001. The maps for 2001 show highest concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> over central and south east England, related to UK emission sources, and over the English Channel, mostly related to shipping emission sources. The highest concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> over the UK are in 2003, with the lowest concentrations during 2008–2010. The extended periods of elevated

- NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> during February to April 2003 were sufficient to enhance the annual average NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration across the whole of the UK in 2003 by between 0.2–0.3 µg Nm<sup>-3</sup> compared with preceding and subsequent years (Fig. 5a), with a even larger enhancement in the annual mean for 2003 of 0.2–0.5 µg Nm<sup>-3</sup> for NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> (Fig. 5c). In contrast, the somewhat less elevated SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> concentrations during this period led to a modest increase in annual average SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> for 2003 of 0.0–0.1 µg S m<sup>-3</sup> (Fig. 5b). The spatial distribution of NH<sub>3</sub> shows a very different pattern to the other modelled components, with highest modelled concentrations in Brittany and north-west France and north-west
- England, reflecting the distribution of modelled NH<sub>3</sub> emissions which mainly arise from agricultural sources.
- The concentrations of the particle components  $NO_3^-$ ,  $SO_4^{2-}$  and  $NH_4^+$  are spatially smoother across the UK than the gaseous precursors (Figs. 4 and 5). The modelled annual surface concentrations of  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  (Fig. 4a and b) show that the concentrations of these gaesous components decline during 2001–2010 by substantially more than the decline in  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ . Over much of the UK (particularly England),





declines in modelled NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> between 2001 and 2010 exceed  $1 \mu g N/Sm^{-3}$  in 2010 compared with the  $0.1-0.2 \mu g Nm^{-3}$  decline in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, and the  $0.1-0.3 \mu g Sm^{-3}$  decline in SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> (up to  $0.4 \mu g Sm^{-3}$  decline in eastern England). On the other hand the model shows concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> hardly changing over the decade; in fact increasing slightly, up to ~  $0.2 \mu g Nm^{-3}$  over England, especially for 2009 and 2010 (Fig. 4c), whereas, with the exception of 2003, the modelled concentration of NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> in PM decreases from 2001–2010. Of note also, is a decrease of SO<sub>2</sub> annual surface concentration over the North Sea from 2007 onwards (Fig. 4b).

Figure 6a and b (upper panels) show the modelled monthly-mean surface concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, for the first 5 months of 2003, which covers the period of high secondary inorganic particle concentrations shown in (Figs. 2 and 3). To highlight the role of UK sources, the differences between the base simulations and the simulations with zero UK emissions are shown in the lower panels of Fig. 6a and b, with the data expressed as the percentage of the modelled concentrations that are directly

- attributable to UK domestic emissions (i.e. 100 × (Base Run Experiment)/Base), again as monthly averages. While the lower maps clearly show the dominating contribution of UK domestic sources to NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over mainland UK, a smaller contribution in the vicinity of major shipping channels reflects the fact that the scenario treated international shipping as part of the non-UK emissions.
- Figure 7a and b shows similar model results to Fig. 6 but for surface concentrations of particle  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$ , respectively. For these components, there is a smaller percentage contribution from UK sources than for  $SO_2$  and  $NO_x$  concentrations.

The highest concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> occurred during February and March (Fig. 6), with highest concentrations for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> occurring during February, March and April (Fig. 7). Figure 7 shows that, for February, up to 40 % of the monthly

<sup>25</sup> March and April (Fig. 7). Figure 7 shows that, for February, up to 40 % of the monthly average  $NO_3^-$  concentrations over the UK are attributable to UK emissions. In March and April, the UK contribution to  $NO_3^-$  concentrations rises to up to 80 %. The spatial pattern of the UK contribution to these concentrations differs between the months, with



February showing the smallest contribution of UK sources to  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations. In contrast, the episodes in March and April 2003 have substantially larger contributions of UK emissions to  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  concentrations.

A detailed comparison for 2003 between the measured and modelled NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> con-<sup>5</sup> centrations at the Bush 1 site (Scotland) is shown in Fig. 8 with the modelled values presented as both monthly and daily means. There is a close agreement between observations (red line) and model (blue line) for these high concentration episodes, with the monthly values broadly agreeing within 10 %.

The monthly modelled concentrations for the simulation with zero UK emissions are
also shown in Fig. 8 (green line). The modelled monthly NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations (blue line) were enhanced by 2.6 µgm<sup>-3</sup> by UK emissions in February, but by 5.0 µgm<sup>-3</sup> in March and by 2.6 µgm<sup>-3</sup> in April as compared with the model simulation with no-UK emissions (green line). The daily mean model NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations highlight substantial temporal variability within this February–April period. The daily average surface concentrations (orange line of Fig. 8) show three separate episodes; the first approximately matches the period 12–28 February (F), the second 10–27 March (M) and the third 1–30 April (A).

The characteristic differences between these three periods are illustrated in Fig. 9. Here the 12:00 LT wind vector is superimposed to the mean modelled surface concentration of PM  $NO_3^-$  for selected days during the three component episodes. It is seen that 12–15 February (episode F) and 17–20 March (episode M) were associate with stagnant air masses allowing  $NO_3^-$  PM concentrations to build up, while the period 11–14 April (episode A) was associated with a highlight polluted airmass arriving from the

East.

20

The UK February episode was associated with an easterly light wind advecting PM  $NO_3^-$  produced in the area of the north of France, Holland, north of Germany, and Denmark, where the centre of the high pressure was located (Fig. 9). During the March episode, the centre of the high pressure was over the UK with an associated light wind, clear sky, and cooler conditions leading to the accumulation of  $NO_3^-$  from UK emissions





with little import of  $NO_3^-$  or its precursors from outside the UK. The April episode was a mixture of conditions described for February and March.

Based on the simulations it is possible to estimate the annual contribution of non-UK emissions to the different components of  $PM_{2.5}$  at the four study sites, Strathvaich Dam,

- <sup>5</sup> Bush 1, Rothamsted and Yarner Wood. This is summarized Fig. 10 for the year 2003, also including the contribution of fine primary particulate matter (PPM<sub>2.5</sub>). Pollution import from non-UK sources ranges from an estimated 41 % for Bush 1, up to 62.5 % for Yarner Wood, highlighting the importance of transboundary pollution import on UK PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations.
- The same model results for 2003 can be expressed in terms of the contribution of non-UK emissions to the current European Commission (EC, 2013) limit value for  $PM_{2.5}$  and to the World Health Organisation (WHO, 2005) guideline value for  $PM_{2.5}$  at each of the four sites (Table 2). For these example sites, up to 18 % and 45 % of the limit and guideline values, respectively, is provided by non-UK emissions.

#### 15 4 Discussion

20

Inorganic particle components were simulated over the period 2001–2010. The smoother distribution of particle components as compared to their gaseous precursors reflects the longer timescales for forming these secondary pollutants, as compared with the emissions-driven patterns for the primary pollutant gases (AQEG, 2012). The highest modelled concentrations over this period are in 2003, particularly for PM  $NO_3^-$  and  $NH_4^+$ , and to a lesser extent for  $SO_4^{2-}$ , whilst lowest concentrations for each of these components are in 2008–2010.

The notably high PM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations in February to April 2003 were observed at AGANet stations across the UK and could be well reproduced by the model (Fig. 2, Table 1). Concentrations of PM SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> were also elevated during this period, although by a smaller amount, and were also well captured by the model (Fig. 3, Table 1). The magnitude of this elevation in annual average PM NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentration in 2003 is greater



than the decline in annual average concentration across the whole decade to 2010 of 0.1–0.2  $\mu g N m^{-3}$  (Fig. 5).

The simulated changes in the gaseous precursors for 2001–2010 follow the reductions in UK emissions over that period especially for  $NO_2$  and  $SO_2$  (MacCarthy et al.,

<sup>5</sup> 2012). The change of SO<sub>2</sub> annual surface concentration especially after 2007 over the North Sea (Fig. 4b) is a direct response to the introduction of a sulphur emission control area (SECA) in the North Sea, including the English Channel, by the 2007 MARPOL convention on marine pollution (Dore et al., 2007). Under the convention the sulphur content of bunker fuel was restricted to 1.5% by mass in 2007 (and will be further
 reduced to 0.1% in SECAs by 2020). This has resulted in a substantial reduction of emissions of SO<sub>2</sub> from the shipping sector.

The results in Figs. 4 and 5 illustrate the non-linear relationship between changes over time in SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> surface concentrations over the 2001–2010 decade and changes in the respective PM SO<sub>4</sub><sup>2-</sup> and NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> concentrations. The sensitivity of PM  $SO_4^{2-}$  to changes in its precursors is, however, considerably greater than for NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. The sensitivity decade and in this precursors is and law consistivity to LW NO.

- small decline in NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and low sensitivity to UK NO<sub>x</sub> emission found in this work was supported by the results in Harrison et al. (2013). The formation of both NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and  $SO_4^{2-}$  requires NH<sub>4</sub><sup>+</sup> as a counter-ion and there appear to be sufficient NH<sub>3</sub> emissions not to be a limiting factor to  $SO_4^{2-}$  formation. Conversely, UK NO<sub>x</sub>emissions are still relatively high, especially in urban areas, so with an abundance of NO<sub>x</sub> available for
- formation of ammonium nitrate available  $NH_3$  eventually may be consumed. Consequently, in areas of high  $NO_x$  emissions,  $NO_3^-$  formation appears to be more sensitive to  $NH_3$  emissions than is the case for  $SO_4^{2-}$  formation. This is consistent with Redington et al. (2009) whose modelling showed that  $SO_4^{2-}$  formation in the UK was less sensitive to a 30 %  $NH_3$  emissions reduction than  $NO_3^-$  formation which only responded slightly
- to the same  $NH_3$  emissions reduction that  $NO_3$  formation which only responded slightly

The modelled annual average  $NH_4^+$  shows a change between 2001 and 2010 over the UK which is intermediate between that of  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  (Fig. 5c). By 2010,  $NH_4^+$ 





concentrations decreased by  $0.3-0.4 \,\mu g N m^{-3}$  over most of England, but, as was the case for  $NO_3^-$  concentrations, annual average  $NH_4^+$  concentrations in 2003 were elevated by  $0.2-0.3 \,\mu g N m^{-3}$  compared with preceding and subsequent years. This confirms that the episodes of elevated  $NO_3^-$  in 2003 were driven by ammonium nitrate

- <sup>5</sup> specifically. The modelled decrease in PM  $NH_4^+$  concentrations as compared with minimal decrease (and some increase) in  $NH_3$  concentrations over the period 2001–2010 is consistent with the conclusions of Bleeker et al. (2009) and Horvath et al. (2009) for other parts of Europe that reducing SO<sub>2</sub> emissions have contributed to maintaining or even increasing gaseous  $NH_3$  concentrations.
- <sup>10</sup> The geographic origins of the PM episodes have been investigated in the model perturbation experiment. The monthly average surface concentrations for the zero UK emissions experiment show that surface concentrations of SO<sub>2</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> are mainly driven by UK emissions (Fig. 6) and by similar proportions of UK emissions throughout the period of high surface concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. However, the proportions of the NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>
- that are derived from UK and non-UK emissions changes between months (Fig. 7). The model results show that for February 2003 trans-boundary emissions had a small influence on NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>, whereas for March and April the trans-boundary transport of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> and/or its precursors was substantial. Abdalmogith et al. (2006) suggest that the annual average import of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> aerosol to the UK from Europe (as an average of 2002 and and a substantial).
- <sup>20</sup> 2003) is between 35–65% of the UK total  $NO_3^-$  concentration. Our study has found that, for 2003 (Fig. 7), the import to the UK from Europe was in the range 20–60% of UK total  $NO_3^-$  concentrations, with this proportion varying between the three episodes (labelled F, M and A in Fig. 8). Abdalmogith et al. (2006) concluded that the 2003  $NO_3^$ spring event was not well represented by their model, and the low emissions reso-
- <sup>25</sup> lution (10 km × 10 km grid) was suggested as a possible cause. In the present study work the elevated  $NO_3^-$  concentrations are well represented by the EMEP4UK model at 5 km × 5 km resolution. However, we find that simulation at 50 km × 50 km horizontal spatial resolution of the EMEP4UK model outer domain also represented these





features (results not included here), indicating that transport and dispersion were the main drivers of the pollution events.

Although the magnitude of monthly/daily elevated  $NO_3^-$  is similar for the three months of February, March and April 2003, each month has a different characteristic. A distinc-

tive meteorological feature for the three months was a persistent high pressure over the UK and Europe (unusual for this season) with an associated relatively cool temperature and little rainfall (not shown). The location and persistency of the high pressure strongly influenced the production and transport of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup>. Although emissions of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> precursors are controlled, the model analysis shows the substantial influence of metorology underpinning the high concentrations of NO<sub>3</sub><sup>-</sup> observed in the UK during the first part of 2003.

Current EU legislation has established a limit value of 25 μgm<sup>-3</sup> for annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the protection of human health; at the same time, the World Health Organisation (WHO) publishes a guideline value of 10 μgm<sup>-3</sup> annual mean PM<sub>2.5</sub> for the protection of human health. As Fig. 10 illustrates, determining the contribution of transboundary and regional transport to local PM concentrations is vital to inform policy development, as local measures can only address the local contribution. For the four sites analysed for 2003, Fig. 10 shows the share of non-UK contribution to modelled PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations ranging from 62.5% (Yarner Wood) to 41% (Bush 1). As these stations are representative of rural or background levels, it is likely that the relative long-range contribution to PM<sub>2.5</sub> concentrations at urban hotspots is smaller, but still substantial.

Table 2 expresses the non-UK contribution to modelled annual mean  $PM_{2.5}$  relative to the EC limit value and WHO guideline value for  $PM_{2.5}$  (for the protection of human

<sup>25</sup> health). The non-UK contribution ranges from 5 % at Strathvaich to 18 % at Rothamsted for the limit value at  $25 \,\mu gm^{-3}$  (or 14 % to 45 % for the same sites with respect to the guideline value of  $10 \,\mu gm^{-3}$ ). This indicates a clear gradient of non-UK contribution from greatest in the south-east and least in the north; this is likewise visible in Fig. 5.





The results presented here clearly demonstrate the need for international agreements to address the transboundary component of air pollution. If, for instance, an overall limit value of  $10 \mu \text{gm}^{-3}$  were to be established following the WHO guideline, a substantial number of UK monitoring sites (Fig. 2) in particular in the south and south-5 east of the country may be close to or exceed annual mean limit values due to import

of inorganic particle components from continental Europe under specific conditions. In the view of these results, the rather moderate further reductions agreed by parties to the Convention on Long-range Transboundary Air Pollution in the revision of the Gothenburg Protocol (Reis et al., 2012) for the period between 2010 and 2020 would result in a substantial remaining contribution of transboundary aerosol transport to UK 10 particulate matter concentrations for the next decade.

The results further illustrate how the inter-annual variability of surface concentrations of nitrate for the 2001–2010 decade as a response to changes in meteorological conditions is larger than the effect of changes in anthropogenic emissions. This suggests

that for compliance assessment, an average over several years would provide a more 15 robust basis than individual years, where a few short episodes can have a major influence.

#### 5 Conclusions

The EMEP4UK model was able accurately to represent both the long-term decadal (2001-2010) surface concentrations of particulate matter (PM) and a specific episode 20 of elevated PM NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> in 2003. The latter was identified as consisting of three separate episodes, each of less than 1 month duration, in February, March and April. The primary cause of the elevated nitrate levels across the UK was meteorological, related to a persistent high pressure system, with the contribution of imported pollution differing markedly between these events. 25

The findings emphasise the importance of employing multiple year simulations in the assessment of emissions reduction scenarios on PM concentrations. The inter-





annual variability of surface concentrations of nitrate for the 2001–2010 decade as a response to changes in meteorological conditions is larger than the effect of changes in anthropogenic emissions. For instance, up to 60% of  $NO_3^-$  may be imported from outside the UK under specific conditions.

<sup>5</sup> Our results highlight how inter-annual variability can profoundly affect the sensitivity to the attainment of limit values for ambient PM concentrations as a result of nondomestic contributions from transboundary air pollution transport.

Acknowledgements. This work is supported jointly by the UK Department for the Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra), the NERC Centre for Ecology and Hydrology (CEH), the EMEP programme under the UNECE LRTAP Convention, the Norwegian Meteorological Institute (Met.No) and the European Union projects NitroEurope IP and ÉCLAIRE.

#### References

25

- Abdalmogith, S. S., Harrison, R. M., and Zlatev, Z.: Intercomparison of secondary inorganic aerosol concentrations in the UK with predictions of the Unified Danish Eulerian Model, J. Atmos. Chem., 54, 43–66, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9012-3, 2006.
- <sup>15</sup> mos. Chem., 54, 43–66, doi:10.1007/s10874-006-9012-3, 2006.
   Andersson-Skold, Y. and Simpson, D.: Comparison of the chemical schemes of the EMEP MSC-W and IVL photochemical trajectory models, Atmos. Environ., 33, 1111–1129, 1999.
   AQEG: Fine Particulate Matter (PM<sub>2.5</sub>) in the United Kingdom, Air Quality Expert Group, UK Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, London, PB13837, available at: http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/library/reports?report\_id=727, 2012.
  - Berge, E. and Jakobsen, H. A.: A regional scale multi-layer model for the calculation of longterm transport and deposition of air pollution in Europe, Tellus B, 50, 205–223, 1998.
  - Bleeker, A., Sutton, M. A., Acherman, B., Alebic-Juretic, A., Aneja, V. P., Ellermann, T., Erisman, J. W., Fowler, D., Fagerli, H., Gauger, T., Harlen, K. S., Hole, L. R., Horvath, L., Mitosinkova, M., Smith, R. I., Tang, Y. S., and van Pul, A.: Linking ammonia emission trends
  - to measured concentrations and deposition of reduced nitrogen at different scales, in: Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting emission changes and environmental impacts, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., and Baker, S. M. H., 123–180, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-9121-6\_11, 2009.





- Conolly, C., Lawrence, H., Vincent, K., Donovan, B., Davies, M., Colbeck, C., Cape, J. N., Tang, Y. S., Bealey, W. J., Leaver, D., Poskitt, J., Beith, S., Thacker, S., Hockenhull, K., Woods, C., Simmons, I., Braban, C. F., van Dyke, N., Rowland, P., Fowler, D., and Sutton, M. A.: UK Eutrophying and Acidifying Atmospheric Pollutants (UKEAP) Annual Report 2010, 2011.
- Dore, A. J., Vieno, M., Tang, Y. S., Dragosits, U., Dosio, A., Weston, K. J., and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling the atmospheric transport and deposition of sulphur and nitrogen over the United Kingdom and assessment of the influence of SO<sub>2</sub> emissions from international shipping, Atmos. Environ., 41, 2355–2367, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.11.013, 2007.

5

- <sup>10</sup> Dore, A. J., Theobald, M. R., Kryza, M., Vieno, M., Tang, S. Y., and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling the deposition of reduced nitrogen at different scales in the United Kingdom, in: Air Pollution Modeling and Its Application XIX, edited by: Borrego, C. and Analsabel, M., NATO Science for Peace and Security Series Series C: Environmental Security, Springer, the Netherlands, 127–135, 2008.
- <sup>15</sup> EC: Air Quality Standards, European Commission, available at: http://ec.europa.eu/ environment/air/quality/standards.htm, last access: 15 October 2013.
  - ENTEC: UK Ship Emissions Inventory, Final Report, available at: http://uk-air.defra.gov. uk/reports/cat15/1012131459\_21897\_Final\_Report\_291110.pdf, Defra, Crown Copyright, 2010.
- Harrison, R. M., Stedman, J., and Derwent, D.: New directions: why are PM<sub>10</sub> concentrations in Europe not falling?, Atmos. Environ., 42, 603–606, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.11.023, 2008.
  - Harrison, R. M., Jones, A. M., Beddows, D. C. S., and Derwent, R. G.: The effect of varying primary emissions on the concentrations of inorganic aerosols predicted
- <sup>25</sup> by the enhanced UK Photochemical Trajectory Model, Atmos. Environ., 69, 211–218, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.12.016, 2013.
  - Heal, M. R., Kumar, P., and Harrison, R. M.: Particles, air quality, policy and health, Chem. Soc. Rev., 41, 6606–6630, doi:10.1039/C2cs35076a, 2012.
  - Hellsten, S., Dragosits, U., Place, C. J., Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Misselbrook, T. H., Tang, Y. S.,
- and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling the spatial distribution of ammonia emissions in the UK, Environ. Pollut., 154, 370–379, doi:10.1016/j.envpol.2008.02.017, 2008.
  - Horvath, L., Fagerli, H., and Sutton, M. A.: Long-term record (1981–2005) of ammonia and ammonium concentrations at K-Puszta Hungary and the effect of sulphur dioxide emission





change on measured and modelled concentrations, Atmospheric Ammonia, in: Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting emission changes and environmental impacts, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., and Baker, S. M. H., 181–185, doi:10.1007/978-1-4020-9121-6\_12, 2009.

- IGCB: An Economic Analysis to Inform the Air Quality Strategy, Updated Third Report of the Interdepartmental Group on Costs and Benefits, Department for Environment, Food and
- Interdepartmental Group on Costs and Benefits, Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs, PB12637, 2007.
  - MacCarthy, J., Thistlethwaite, G., Salisbury, E., Pang, Y., and Misselbrook, T.: Air Quality Pollutant Inventories for England, Scotland, Wales and Northern Ireland: 1990–2010, available at: http://uk-air.defra.gov.uk/reports/cat07/1209130947\_DA\_AQPI\_2010\_MainBody\_v1.pdf, 2012.

10

Metzger, S., Dentener, F., Krol, M., Jeuken, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Gas/aerosol partitioning – 2. Global modeling results, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4313, doi:10.1029/2001jd001103, 2002a.

Metzger, S., Dentener, F., Pandis, S., and Lelieveld, J.: Gas/aerosol partitioning: 1. A compu-

- tationally efficient model, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4312, doi:10.1029/2001jd001102, 2002b.
  - Putaud, J. P., Van Dingenen, R., Alastuey, A., Bauer, H., Birmili, W., Cyrys, J., Flentje, H., Fuzzi, S., Gehrig, R., Hansson, H. C., Harrison, R. M., Herrmann, H., Hitzenberger, R., Huglin, C., Jones, A. M., Kasper-Giebl, A., Kiss, G., Kousa, A., Kuhlbusch, T. A. J.,
- Loschau, G., Maenhaut, W., Molnar, A., Moreno, T., Pekkanen, J., Perrino, C., Pitz, M., Puxbaum, H., Querol, X., Rodriguez, S., Salma, I., Schwarz, J., Smolik, J., Schneider, J., Spindler, G., ten Brink, H., Tursic, J., Viana, M., Wiedensohler, A., and Raes, F.: A European aerosol phenomenology-3: physical and chemical characteristics of particulate matter from 60 rural, urban, and kerbside sites across Europe, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1308–1320, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.12.011, 2010.
  - Redington, A. L., Derwent, R. G., Witham, C. S., and Manning, A. J.: Sensitivity of modelled sulphate and nitrate aerosol to cloud, pH and ammonia emissions, Atmos. Environ., 43, 3227–3234, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2009.03.041, 2009.

Reis, S., Grennfelt, P., Klimont, Z., Amann, M., ApSimon, H., Hettelingh, J. P., Holland, M.,

LeGall, A. C., Maas, R., Posch, M., Spranger, T., Sutton, M. A., and Williams, M.: From acid rain to climate change, Science, 338, 1153–1154, doi:10.1126/science.1226514, 2012.





- RoTAP: Review of Transboundary Air Pollution: Acidification, Eutrophication, Ground Level Ozone and Heavy Metals in the UK, Contract Report to the Department for Environment, available at: http://www.rotap.ceh.ac.uk/about, Centre for Ecology and Hydrology, 2012. Simpson, D., Guenther, A., Hewitt, C. N., and Steinbrecher, R.: Biogenic emissions in Europe.
- 1. Estimates and uncertainties, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 100, 22875–22890, 1995.
   Simpson, D., Benedictow, A., Berge, H., Bergström, R., Emberson, L. D., Fagerli, H., Flechard, C. R., Hayman, G. D., Gauss, M., Jonson, J. E., Jenkin, M. E., Nyíri, A., Richter, C., Semeena, V. S., Tsyro, S., Tuovinen, J.-P., Valdebenito, Á., and Wind, P.: The EMEP MSC-W chemical transport model – technical description, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7825–7865, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7825-2012. 2012.
  - Sutton, M. A., Miners, B., Tang, Y. S., Milford, C., Wyers, G. P., Duyzer, J. H., and Fowler, D.: Comparison of low cost measurement techniques for long-term monitoring of atmospheric ammonia, J. Environ. Monitor., 3, 446–453, 2001.

Tang, Y. S., Simmons, I., van Dijk, N., Di Marco, C., Nemitz, E., Dammgen, U., Gilke, K., Djuri-

- cic, V., Vidic, S., Gliha, Z., Borovecki, D., Mitosinkova, M., Hanssen, J. E., Uggerud, T. H., Sanz, M. J., Sanz, P., Chorda, J. V., Flechard, C. R., Fauvel, Y., Ferm, M., Perrino, C., and Sutton, M. A.: European scale application of atmospheric reactive nitrogen measurements in a low-cost approach to infer dry deposition fluxes, Agr. Ecosyst. Environ., 133, 183–195, doi:10.1016/j.agee.2009.04.027, 2009.
- Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Wind, P., Di Marco, C., Nemitz, E., Phillips, G., Tarrason, L., and Sutton, M. A.: Application of the EMEP Unified Model to the UK with a Horizontal Resolution of 5 × 5 km<sup>2</sup>, in: Atmospheric Ammonia: Detecting emission changes and environmental impacts, edited by: Sutton, M. A., Reis, S., and Baker, S. M. H., 367–372, 2009.

Vieno, M., Dore, A. J., Stevenson, D. S., Doherty, R., Heal, M. R., Reis, S., Hallsworth, S.,

- Tarrason, L., Wind, P., Fowler, D., Simpson, D., and Sutton, M. A.: Modelling surface ozone during the 2003 heat-wave in the UK, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7963–7978, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7963-2010, 2010.
  - WHO: Air Quality Guidelines, Global Update 2005, Particulate Matter, Ozone, Nitrogen Dioxide and Sulfur Dioxide, World Health Organisation, available at: http://www.euro.who.int/\_\_data/ assets/pdf\_file/0005/78638/E90038.pdf, 2005.

30

Yin, J. X. and Harrison, R. M.: Pragmatic mass closure study for PM<sub>1.0</sub>, PM<sub>2.5</sub> and PM<sub>10</sub> at roadside, urban background and rural sites, Atmos. Environ., 42, 980–988, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2007.10.005, 2008.





**Table 1.** Mean concentrations, and correlation and regression statistics, for monthly-averaged modelled and measured  $NO_3^-$  and  $SO_4^{2-}$  in particulate matter for the period 2001–2010 at four sites of the AGANet network: Strathvaich Dam (north-west Scotland), Bush 1 (central Scotland), Rothamsted (south-east England), and Yarner Wood (south-west England). The comparison is based on a linear fit where measurement = slope · model + intercept.

	Particulate NO <sub>3</sub>				
	Strathvaich Dam	Bush 1	Rothamsted	Yarner Wood	
Measurement mean	0.49 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	1.37 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	3.35 µg m <sup>−3</sup>	1.98µgm <sup>-3</sup>	
Model mean	0.77 μg m <sup>-3</sup>	1.42 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	2.73 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	2.23 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	
r	0.49	0.91	0.81	0.86	
Slope	0.59	0.96	0.68	0.95	
Intercept	0.48 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.10 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.44 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.34 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	

	Particulate $SO_4^{2-}$				
	Strathvaich Dam	Bush 1	Rothamsted	Yarner Wood	
Measurement mean	0.57 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.94 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	1.75 µg m <sup>−3</sup>	1.20 µg m <sup>−3</sup>	
Model mean	0.61 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.95 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	1.48 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	1.28 µg m <sup>−3</sup>	
r	0.72	0.79	0.65	0.69	
Slope	0.86	0.76	0.56	0.65	
Intercept	$0.12  \mu g  m^{-3}$	0.24 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.50 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	0.36 µg m <sup>-3</sup>	



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

<b>Discussion</b> Pap	<b>AC</b> 13, 33433–	<b>ACPD</b> 13, 33433–33462, 2013				
Ωŗ	The r	ole of				
—	and do	mestic				
Dis	emis	emissions				
cussion	M. Vieno et al.					
Pa						
per	Title	Title Page				
—	Abstract	Introduction				
Disc	Conclusions	References				
ISSI	Tables	Figures				
on F						
ape	I	►I				
P		Þ				
_	Back	Close				
)iscuss	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc				
sion F	Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version				
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion				



**Table 2.** Model simulated contributions of Continental European  $PM_{2.5}$  import to the current European Commission limit value (EC, 2013) and to the World Health Organisation guideline value (WHO, 2005) at each of the four sites for the EMEP4UK model simulations for the year 2003.

Continental European contribution	Strathvaich Dam	Bush 1	Rothamsted	Yarner Wood
EC limit value of $25 \mu g m^{-3}$	5%	8%	18%	15%
WHO guideline of 10 $\mu$ g m <sup>-3</sup>	14%	20%	45 %	38 %



**Fig. 1.** The EMEP4UK Greater European domain, modelled at 50 km × 50 km horizontal resolution and, outlined in red, the nested British Isles domain, modelled at 5 km × 5 km horizontal resolution. The colour scale indicates grid-average altitude. The four UK AGANet measurement sites used in this study are also shown.







**Fig. 2.** Monthly average surface concentrations of particulate matter nitrate, observed (RED) and modelled (BLUE), for 2001–2010 at four sites of the AGANet network: Strathvaich Dam (north-west Scotland), Bush (central Scotland), Rothamsted (south-east England), and Yarner Wood (south-west England).





**Fig. 3.** Monthly average surface concentration of particulate matter sulphate, observed (RED) and modelled (BLUE), for 2001–2010 at four sites of the AGANet network: Strathvaich Dam (north-west Scotland), Bush (central Scotland), Rothamsted (south-east England), and Yarner Wood (south-west England).











**Fig. 5.** Modelled annual average surface concentrations of **(a)**  $NO_3^-$ , **(b)**  $SO_4^{2-}$  and **(c)**  $NH_4^+$ . The top left panel of each figure shows the concentrations in 2001 (horizontal scales in  $\mu$ gNm<sup>-3</sup> or  $\mu$ gSm<sup>-3</sup>, as relevant). The remaining panels illustrate the difference in surface concentrations in each of years 2002 to 2010 compared with 2001 (vertical scales in  $\mu$ gNm<sup>-3</sup> or  $\mu$ gSm<sup>-3</sup>, as relevant).





**Fig. 6.** Modelled monthly average surface concentrations and UK contributions for **(a)** NO<sub>2</sub> and **(b)** SO<sub>2</sub> through January to May 2003. In each case the upper panel shows the mean concentrations ( $\mu$ gNm<sup>-3</sup> or  $\mu$ gSm<sup>-3</sup>), while the lower panel shows the percentage contribution from UK emissions (calculated as [100 × (Base – no UK emi)/Base] between the base simulation and the simulation with zero UK emissions).











ISCUSSION

Paper

Discussion Paper





Fig. 8. Modelled and observed monthly mean (left axis) and daily mean (right axis) surface concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub><sup>-</sup> at the Bush site (Scotland) for the year 2003. The red line is the measured monthly values from the AGANet network, the blue line is the modelled monthly means for the base run, the green line is the modelled monthly means for the experiment with no UK emissions, and the orange line is the modelled daily means for the base run. (All model values are averages of hourly data). The blue shadows highlight the three episodes labelled F. M and A.





Fig. 9. Modelled daily mean surface concentration of  $NO_3^-$  and the 12:00 LT wind vector for 12-15 February (episode F), 17-20 March (episode M) and 11-14 April (episode A).



ISCUSSION

Paper

Discussion Paper





**Fig. 10.** Mean composition of  $PM_{2.5}$  components as estimated by the EMEP4UK model for four sites across the UK, averaged for the whole of 2003. The model base run (including all national and international emissions) is compared with the results from a simulation excluding UK emissions. The percentages shown indicate the proportion of total inorganic aerosol at the site that is estimated to due to non-UK emissions. The bars and the percentages account for the contribution of fine primary particulate matter (PPM<sub>2.5</sub>) (shown in black).



Discussion Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion Paper** 

