

Impact of Tropical Land Convection on the Water Vapour Budget in the Tropical Tropopause Layer

F. Carminati^{1,2,3}, P. Ricaud¹, J.-P. Pommereau⁴, E. Rivière⁵, S. Khaykin⁴, J.-L. Attié^{1,2,6} and J. Warner³

[1]{CNRM GAME, Météo-France, CNRS UMR 3589, France}

[2]{Université de Toulouse, Toulouse, France}

[3]{AOSC, University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA}

[4]{LATMOS, CNRS, Université Versailles St Quentin, Guyancourt, France}

[5]{GSMA, CNRS, Université Champagne Ardennes, Reims, France}

[6]{Laboratoire d'Aérodynamique, CNRS UMR 5560, Toulouse, France}

Correspondence to: F. Carminati (Carminati@atmos.umd.edu)

Abstract

The tropical deep overshooting convection is known to be most intense above continental areas such as South America, Africa, and the maritime continent. However, its impact on the Tropical Tropopause Layer (TTL) at global scale remains debated. In our analysis, we use the 8-year Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) water vapour (H_2O), cloud ice water content (IWC), and temperature datasets from 2005 to date, to highlight the interplays between these parameters and their role in the water vapour variability in the TTL, separately in the northern and southern tropics. In the tropical upper troposphere (177 hPa), continents, including the maritime continent, present the night-time (01:30 Local Time) peak in the water vapour mixing ratio characteristic of the H_2O diurnal cycle above tropical land. The western Pacific region, governed by the tropical oceanic diurnal cycle, has a daytime maximum (13:30 Local Time). In the TTL (100 hPa) and tropical lower stratosphere (56 hPa), South America and Africa differs from maritime continent and western Pacific displaying a daytime maximum of H_2O . In addition, the relative amplitude between day and night is found to be systematically higher by 5–10% in the south tropical UT and 1-3% in the TTL than in the northern tropics during their respective summer, indicative of a more vigorous convective intensity in the

1 southern tropics. Using a regional scale approach, we investigate how mechanisms linked to
2 the H₂O variability differ in function of the geography. In summary, the MLS water vapour
3 and cloud ice water observations demonstrate a clear contribution to the TTL moistening by
4 ice crystals overshooting over land tropical regions. The process is found to be much more
5 effective in the southern tropics. Deep convection is responsible for temperature diurnal
6 variability in the same geographical areas in the lowermost stratosphere, which in turn drives
7 the variability of H₂O.

8 **1 Introduction**

9 The Tropical Tropopause Layer (TTL), the transition layer sharing Upper Tropospheric (UT)
10 and Lower Stratospheric (LS) characteristics, is the gateway for Troposphere to Stratosphere
11 Transport (TST), and plays a key role in the global composition and circulation of the
12 stratosphere (Holton et al., 1995; Fueglistaler et al., 2009). TST processes responsible for the
13 upward motion of air masses are: 1) the slow ascent (300 m/month) due to radiative heating
14 associated with horizontal advection, known as ‘Cold Trap’ (Holton and Gettelman, 2001;
15 Gettelman et al., 2002; Fueglistaler et al., 2004), 2) fast overshooting updrafts followed by
16 detrainment referred to as ‘Freeze and Dry’ process (Brewer, 1949; Sherwood and Dessler,
17 2000, 2001, 2003; Dessler, 2002), and 3) the fast and direct injection by ‘geyser-like’
18 overshoots (Knollenberg et al., 1993; Corti et al., 2008; Khaykin et al., 2009) that can
19 penetrate into the LS. The long known convective area in the Western Pacific, referred to as
20 ‘stratospheric fountain’ (Newell and Gould-Stewart, 1981), has been the focus of numerous
21 field campaigns. However, studies in the early 2000s pointed out that most vigorous
22 convections occur over continental tropical areas where overshooting precipitation features
23 (OPFs) are more frequent (Alcala and Dessler, 2002; Liu and Zipser, 2005). These convective
24 activities show a marked diurnal cycle and a pronounced late afternoon maximum (Liu and
25 Zipser, 2005) in contrast to oceanic regions of little diurnal variation. Evidence of TTL-
26 penetrating overshooting continental convection and its impact on trace gases, aerosols, water
27 vapour, ice particles, chemical composition, and transport mechanisms were gathered during
28 the Hibiscus, Stratospheric-Climate Links with Emphasis on the Upper Troposphere and
29 Lower Stratosphere – Ozone (SCOUT-O3), SCOUT – African Monsoon and
30 Multidisciplinary Analyses (AMMA) and Tropical Convection, Cirrus, and Nitrogen Oxides
31 Experiment (TROCCINOX) field campaigns in South America, west Africa and Australia
32 between 2001 and 2006 (Corti et al., 2008; Schiller et al., 2009; Cairo et al., 2010;
33 Pommereau et al., 2011). A significant contribution of continental convection to the chemical

1 composition of the LS has been reported by Ricaud et al. (2007, 2009) from Odin-SMR (Sub-
2 Millimetre Radiometer) satellite observations. They showed higher mixing ratio of
3 tropospheric trace gases (N_2O and CH_4) in the TTL during the Southern summer. Ricaud et al.
4 (2007, 2009) results are consistent with the cleansing of the aerosols in the LS seen by Cloud-
5 Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observation (CALIPSO) during the same
6 season (Vernier et al., 2011).

7 The present study addresses one of the most debated aspects of the TTL and the LS, the
8 budget of water vapour (H_2O), and aspires to be a baseline for further studies related to the
9 TRO-pico project (www.univ-reims.fr/TRO-pico). TRO-pico aims to monitor H_2O variations
10 in the TTL and the LS linked to deep overshooting convection during field campaigns, which
11 took place in the austral summer in Bauru, Sao Paulo state, Brazil, involving a combination of
12 balloon-borne, ground-based and space-borne observations and modelling.

13 Being the most powerful greenhouse gas and playing an important role in the UT, TTL and
14 LS chemistry as one of the main sources of OH radicals, H_2O is a key parameter in the
15 radiative balance and chemistry of the stratosphere and its variation can affect climate
16 (Solomon et al., 2010). The mean tropical (20°N - 20°S) H_2O mixing ratio is estimated to be
17 between 3.5 and 4 ppmv in the TTL at 100 hPa (Russell et al., 1993; Weinstock et al., 1995;
18 Read et al., 2004; Fueglistaler et al., 2009). In agreement with this mean mixing ratio, Liang
19 et al. (2011) estimated a mean H_2O stratospheric entry of 3.9 ± 0.3 ppmv at 100 hPa in the
20 tropics.

21 Although the moistening of the lower stratosphere by convective overshooting is well
22 demonstrated, its contribution at global scale is still debated. For example, in 2006, the
23 SCOUT-AMMA campaign in Western Africa revealed a 1 to 3 ppmv (with a 7 ppmv peak)
24 moistening of the 100-80 hPa layer (Khaykin et al., 2009). Although this process is well
25 captured in cloud-resolving models (Chaboureau et al., 2007; Jensen et al., 2007; Grosvenor
26 et al., 2007; Chemel et al., 2009; Liu et al., 2010; Hassim and Lane, 2010), global scale
27 models do not yet integrate this sub-grid scale non-hydrostatic process, which may result in
28 an underestimation of the impact of overshoots at large scale. During 1980-2010, the lower
29 stratospheric H_2O has increased by an average of 1.0 ± 0.2 ppmv ($27 \pm 6\%$) with significant
30 short-term variations (Oltmans et al., 2000; Rosenlof et al., 2001; Hurst et al., 2011 and
31 references herein). Thus, better knowledge of the hydration-dehydration processes in the TTL

1 and the LS is fundamental to understand the long-term evolution of stratospheric H₂O and its
2 possible connection to the negative trend of temperature in the LS (WMO, 2007).

3 In 2009, Liu and Zipser (2009) investigated the implications of day (13:30 Local Time)
4 versus night (01:30 Local Time) differences of both H₂O and carbon monoxide (CO) in the
5 TTL using 4 years Microwave Limb Sounder (MLS) version 2.2 datasets. Their analysis
6 showed H₂O and CO diurnal variations in the UT consistent with that of vertical transport by
7 deep convection. Larger water vapour and CO mixing ratios were found at night than during
8 the day, because of the convective uplift in the afternoon and the early evening. Both mixing
9 ratios are observed to decrease with the weakening of convection and the horizontal mixing,
10 resulting in a minimum around local noon. Day versus night variations were also observed at
11 higher levels in the TTL. However, while the CO mixing ratio remained the largest at night,
12 that of H₂O was found largest during the day. Since H₂O and CO are lofted simultaneously,
13 Liu and Zipser (2009) hypothesised that H₂O was transformed into ice. H₂O variability in the
14 TTL was then associated to the diurnal cycle of temperature, itself linked to the diurnal cycle
15 of the cooling resulting from convective lofting of adiabatically cooled air.

16 Our analyses adopt the Liu and Zipser (2009) philosophy to discuss the difference between
17 daytime and night-time datasets with the aim of better apprehending the role of continental
18 convection on hydrating and dehydrating processes in the TTL. Our work, however, is based
19 on twice-longer datasets, spanning over 8 years, from 2005 to 2012, and on an improved
20 version (v3.3, see section 2.1 Methodology) of MLS H₂O, cloud ice water content (IWC) and
21 temperature. Moreover, we separate the northern and southern tropics during their respective
22 summer convective seasons: i) June, July and August, hereafter JJA and ii) December,
23 January and February, hereafter DJF, respectively, rather than studying the full inter-tropical
24 belt mixing all seasons. Owing to this distinction, we are able to separately match H₂O and
25 IWC variations in the northern and southern tropics, both in DJF and JJA. We also focus on
26 restricted areas of the north tropical and the south tropical South America, Africa, maritime
27 continent (where the convection was shown to be most intense by Liu and Zipser, 2005), and
28 Western Pacific (see Figure 2). This regional scale approach emphasizes the effects of
29 convection over land (South America and Africa), continental-oceanic regions (maritime
30 continent), and ocean (Western Pacific) on H₂O, IWC and temperature, and provides analyses
31 to the differences relative to hydrating and dehydrating processes.

1 The paper is organised as follows. Section 2 investigates how convective systems impact H₂O
2 and IWC day versus night variability in the UT, TTL, and LS in different seasons, and the
3 role of temperature. Eight regional scale areas (north and south tropical of South America,
4 Africa, maritime continent, and western Pacific) are compared in terms of hydration or
5 dehydration and H₂O variability from the UT to the LS in section 3. Uncertainties and
6 relationships between H₂O, IWC and temperature are discussed in section 4. Conclusions in
7 section 5 finalise the paper.

8 **2 Water Vapour, Cloud Ice Water Content, and Temperature Diurnal Variability**

9 Liu and Zipser (2009) studied the water vapour of the UT (146 hPa) and the TTL (100 hPa) in
10 the inter-tropical belt (20°N-20°S) for the 2005-2008 period. They found, on average, in
11 September-November and in March-May, strong evidence of H₂O diurnal variations over land
12 attributed to the diurnal cycle of convection intensity displaying maximum in late afternoon
13 followed by a morning decrease (Liu and Zipser, 2005). The H₂O lofted in the UT by
14 convective systems was shown rising until late night and then dropping to a minimum around
15 local noon when convection is the weakest. Figure 1 schematically summarises this interplay
16 between diurnal variations of convective systems and H₂O mixing ratio in the UT. In the
17 TTL, the largest amount of H₂O was observed in the early afternoon. This was attributed to
18 the change from gas phase to ice phase when H₂O enters the TTL followed by the sublimation
19 of ice crystals in the morning. To explain this feature, Liu and Zipser (2009) suggested two
20 hypotheses: 1) in situ ice formation when deep convection generates gravity waves that lift
21 and cool the tropopause (Potter and Holton, 1995; Sherwood and Dessler, 2001) leading to the
22 dehydration of the TTL in the late afternoon, a process known as “Freeze and Dry”, and 2)
23 “ice geysers” that can directly inject ice crystals formed in the adiabatically cooled core of the
24 overshoot turrets potentially hydrating the TTL after being sublimated (Corti et al., 2008;
25 Khaykin et al., 2009). Both hypotheses result in a cooling of the TTL, which is consistent
26 with the results of Khaykin et al. (2013). Following Liu and Zipser (2009), we investigate the
27 H₂O diurnal variability in the tropics using an extended and improved MLS dataset described
28 in the following section. In this study, the UT is defined by pressure greater than 121 hPa, the
29 TTL in the pressure range from 121 to 68 hPa, and the LS at pressure less than 68 hPa,
30 corresponding to the MLS pressure levels. A more qualitative definition of the TTL can be
31 from several hPa below the level of zero radiative heating (LZRH) (Folkins et al., 1999) to
32 several hPa above the Cold Point temperature.

2.1 Methodology

In this study, we used the Level 2 (L2) version 3.3 (v3.3) water vapour mixing ratio operational product from MLS aboard the NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS) Aura platform. Aura is a sun-synchronous near-polar orbiter completing 233 revolution cycles every 16 days which results in a daily global coverage with about 14 orbits, allowing samplings at 01:30 and 13:30 Local Time (LT) at the equator (Barnes et al., 2008). The MLS H₂O version 2.2 (v2.2) products have been validated (Read et al., 2007; Lambert et al., 2007), but the differences between v2.2 and v3.3 are minor (<10%) in the tropics at the TTL pressure levels (Livesey et al., 2011). The precision ranges from 40% at 215 hPa to 6% at 46 hPa, and the accuracy from 25% at 215 hPa to 4% at 46 hPa, for a vertical resolution of 2.5-to-3.2 km (Livesey et al., 2011). Note that a data screening, as suggested by Livesey et al. (2011), has been applied.

The v3.3 IWC product is derived from MLS cloud-induced radiances as detailed by Wu et al. (2006). The vertical resolution is ~3 km, precision 1.2-to-0.07 mg.m⁻³, and accuracy 100-150% in the 215-82 hPa reliable pressure range (Livesey et al., 2011). The v3.3 IWC only differs from the v2.2 (Wu et al., 2008) by a 5-20% negative bias in the 215-100 hPa layer and a larger random noise. We use the screenings suggested by Livesey et al. (2011), which is composed by a temperature profile filter (Schwartz et al., 2008), as well as the '2 σ - 3 σ ' screening method described by Wu et al. (2008).

The v3.3 temperature, very similar to the v2.2 described by Schwartz et al. (2008), has a reliable pressure range from 261 to 0.001 hPa. In the layer of interest for our study, from 215 to 31 hPa, the vertical resolution ranges from 5 to 3.6 km, the precision from ± 1 to ± 0.6 K, and the accuracy is about ± 2 K (Livesey et al., 2011). An adequate screening has been also applied following Livesey et al. (2011).

Because our goal is to highlight the impact of the convection in the TTL, we averaged the most convective summer months in each hemisphere: DJF in the southern tropics and JJA in the northern tropics, over 8 years (2005-2012) in a 10°x10° horizontal grid from 25°N to 25°S and from 180°W to 180°E. A night-time (daytime) dataset has been produced for each period considering all data at solar zenith angle greater (smaller) than 90°. The difference between daytime and night-time datasets, hereafter referred as D-N, will be discussed in the next section. Unlike Liu and Zipser (2009), we focus on the most convective season for each hemisphere rather than the mean convective (non-convective) season March-April-May

1 (September-October-November) within 20°N-20°S. In addition we use a twice-longer dataset
2 hence increasing signal-to-noise ratio. Furthermore, the v3.3 H₂O retrievals have twice as
3 many pressure layers (316, 261, 215, 177, 146, 121,100, 82, 68, 56, 46, 38 and 31 hPa) than
4 v2.2 in our domain of study and a vertical resolution enhanced by up to 0.8 km.

5 **2.2 Tropical Water Vapour**

6 Figure 2 shows the per cent relative difference between daytime (13:30 LT) and night-time
7 (01:30 LT) H₂O mixing ratio measured by MLS at 177 (UT), 100 (TTL) and 56 hPa (LS),
8 during the convective season of the southern tropics (DJF) and that of the northern tropics
9 (JJA). At 177 hPa in the UT in DJF, the southern tropics show a night-time maximum above
10 continental areas, i.e. South America and Africa, up to 20% larger at 01:30 LT than at 13:30
11 LT, and to a lesser extent above the maritime continent (about -10%). In contrast, the D-N in
12 oceanic regions and northern tropics is weak or insignificant. A similar picture is observed in
13 JJA, where more H₂O is detected at 01:30 LT in the northern tropics, over South America (up
14 to 15%) and Africa (up to 10%), while the D-N drops to near 0% over oceans and in the
15 southern tropics. Remarkably, the amplitude of the D-N is 5 – 10% larger over south tropical
16 land than over north tropical land during their respective summer seasons.

17 At higher levels, i.e., 100 hPa in the TTL and 56 hPa in the LS, the picture is out of phase
18 with that of the UT, displaying H₂O daytime maxima over south tropical South America and
19 south tropical Africa in DJF and small diurnal variation or night-time maxima elsewhere. In
20 JJA, the H₂O daytime maximum over land is only seen at 56 hPa in the south edge of the
21 Tibetan anticyclone in the Asian monsoon region and in Central America (another monsoon
22 region), although in absence of strong night-time upper tropospheric moistening signal.

23 In summary, with the exception of the monsoon regions, a marked night-time (daytime) water
24 vapour increase is observed in the UT (TTL and LS), during the summer over continental
25 areas where convection is the most intense, remarkably of larger amplitude in the southern
26 than the northern tropics.

27 In order to demonstrate that the observed features are not artefacts from the retrieval
28 properties, we examined the MLS averaging kernels (AKs) in the pressure domain of interest.
29 AKs at the equator and at 70°N of each MLS products are provided on the NASA Jet
30 Propulsion Laboratory webpage (<https://mls.jpl.nasa.gov/data/ak/>). Figure 3 shows the MLS
31 H₂O AKs at equator between 250 and 30 hPa. Dashed black lines represent the 177, 100, and

1 56 hPa levels. For each level, we coloured the corresponding AK that peaks exactly at the
2 pressure of interest. The 177 hPa AK mostly covers the UT with a full-width at half-
3 maximum (FWHM) from 230 to 125 hPa. The 100 hPa AK covers the TTL region from 125
4 to 80 hPa. Finally, the FWHM of the 56 hPa AK extends from 70 to 45 hPa in the LS. Thus,
5 each of the three highlighted AKs peaks and covers the layer of interest (UT, TTL, and LS)
6 with minor overlapping at half maximum. Thereby, we can assume that the three layers are
7 independent in the Optimal Estimation theory since the three AKs cover the region 230-45
8 hPa with no overlapping at the half-maximum level.

9 The MLS a priori are also analysed. MLS a priori is a combination of climatology and
10 operational meteorological data (Livesey and Snyder, 2004) so that for every retrieved H₂O
11 profile corresponds an a priori profile. One year of H₂O a priori, from January to December
12 2012 was treated with the same methodology as H₂O. Figure 4 shows the per cent relative
13 difference between daytime and night-time MLS H₂O a priori at 177, 100, and 56 hPa in DJF
14 and JJA in the tropics. Globally, the a priori D-N is well below 1% at all levels. Nonetheless,
15 the distribution is not uniform. Localized areas can reach a D-N close to -2%, as in southern
16 Brazil at 100 hPa. Tropical lands (e.g. South America and Africa) have negative or nearly null
17 a priori D-N at all levels. This implies that the positive H₂O D-N (Fig. 2) measured in the
18 TTL and LS is not an artefact generated by the a priori and its amplitude is certainly
19 underestimated. Conversely, in the UT, the negative H₂O D-N above continents in DJF and
20 JJA is probably slightly overestimated by at most 2%.

21 **2.3 Tropical Ice Water Cloud**

22 Similar to Fig. 2, Fig. 5 shows IWC at 177 and 100 hPa. The black dashed and solid lines
23 represent the contours of the IWC occurrences (15 and 50%, respectively) over the 8-year
24 period. At both levels in DJF, the IWC occurrence frequency is the highest over south tropical
25 South America and Africa, as well as the maritime continent and western Pacific. IWC also
26 shows systematic daytime maxima above continental areas and night-time maxima over
27 oceanic regions that are in phase with the known different diurnal cycle of convection over
28 land and ocean. Remarkably, the amplitude of the IWC diurnal cycle is larger at 100 hPa than
29 at 177 hPa. In JJA, the maximum occurrence frequencies are shifted to the northern tropics,
30 over Central America, Central Africa, and the South East Asian monsoon regions. These
31 observations are in agreement with previous studies characterising the distribution of cirrus
32 clouds (Nazaryan et al., 2008; Sassen et al., 2008). The regions of early afternoon maxima are

1 restricted to Amazonia, Central Africa and south Asia that are again over land convective
2 areas, in contrast to the oceanic cycle.

3 In summary, the MLS IWC shows a systematic positive D-N of maximum amplitude in the
4 TTL at 100 hPa in phase with the diurnal cycle of convective development in the early
5 afternoon over continents and early morning above oceans, as shown in Figure 1.

6 **2.4 Role of the Temperature**

7 The MLS temperature at 100 hPa, averaged in the same way as H₂O, is shown in Fig. 2 (192
8 K solid lines and 195 K dashed lines). At this level, the temperature is lower in DJF over the
9 equatorial South America and Africa, the maritime continent, and western Pacific than in JJA
10 where less cooling is observed above the most intense continental convective areas. Khaykin
11 et al. (2013) estimated the temperature diurnal cycle from the COSMIC satellites GPS Radio
12 Occultation measurements. At the MLS sampling time, the temperature measured by
13 COSMIC had not reached its maximal amplitude but did show its premises, with a ~0.2 K
14 cooling (warming) at 13:30 LT (01:30 LT), in agreement both in sign and magnitude with the
15 temperature measured by MLS. At 100 hPa, the COSMIC temperature diurnal cycle is
16 consistent with the positive continental signature of H₂O D-N (see Fig. 2) in contrast to
17 oceanic areas where the D-N is insignificant. In JJA of the northern tropics, they show that the
18 late afternoon cooling is limited to Central Africa and does not appear elsewhere. The
19 afternoon LS cooling over land is consistent with the diurnal cycle of OPFs (Liu and Zipser,
20 2005) and radiosonde observations near strong land convective systems reported in South
21 East Brazil (Pommereau and Held, 2007; Pommereau, 2011), Central Africa (Khaykin et al.,
22 2009; Cairo et al., 2010), Borneo Island (Johnson and Kriete, 1982), and Northern Australia
23 (Danielsen, 1993). Danielsen (1982) suggested that such cooling results from the
24 overshooting of adiabatically cooled air across the tropopause. The larger amplitude of the
25 cooling over Amazonia and Central Africa would imply a much more intense convection over
26 clean rain forest areas than the aerosol-rich northern continental troposphere. As proposed by
27 Khaykin et al. (2013), the larger aerosol concentration in the northern tropics might reduce the
28 Convective Available Potential Energy (CAPE). This idea was first suggested by Rosenfeld et
29 al. (2008) who developed a conceptual model to address the question of the relationship
30 between aerosols, cloud microphysics, and radiative properties. Their results show that at
31 moderate cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) aerosol concentration, the CAPE is enhanced until
32 a maximum is reached to a concentration of ~1200 cm⁻³. Beyond this limit, larger CCN

1 concentration has the opposite impact, preventing rainout in tropical clouds and inhibiting the
2 convection. To our knowledge, no published study assesses this hypothesis. Nonetheless, it
3 was demonstrated that carbon-based solar-absorbing aerosols with large optical thickness
4 (such as soot) warm the planetary boundary layer, making it more stable and inhibiting the
5 development of convective clouds (Ackerman et al., 2000; Koren et al., 2004).

6 **3 Water Vapour Seasonal Variations Over Land Areas**

7 If H₂O, IWC and temperature diurnal cycles over land are of convective origin, they should
8 present systematic seasonal cycles, and moreover, differences between them. The H₂O
9 seasonal cycles are investigated in the following sections.

10 **3.1 Methodology**

11 Eight boxes of 10° latitude x 20° longitude have been created over south tropical South
12 America [0°-10°S, 55°W-75°W], Africa [0°-10°S, 15°E-35°E], maritime continent [0°-10°S,
13 110°E-130°E]; and western Pacific [0°-10°S, 150°E-170°E], and over north tropical South
14 America [0°-10°N, 55°W-75°W], Africa [0°-10°N, 15°-35°E], maritime continent [0°-10°N,
15 110°E-130°E], and western Pacific [0°-10°N, 150°E-170°E], (as represented in the upper
16 right panel of Fig. 2). The MLS H₂O v3.3 dataset has been monthly averaged within each box
17 from January 2005 to December 2012. In order to better focus on seasonal cycles, the semi-
18 annual oscillation (SAO) (Delisi and Dunkerton, 1988) and the inter-annual variability, (such
19 as that related to the quasi-biennial oscillation (QBO) or the El Niño-Southern Oscillation
20 (ENSO) (Liang et al., 2011)), have been removed by filtering their contributions using a Fast
21 Fourier Transform (FFT) of 12±2 months band-pass. Moreover, the monthly averaged D-N
22 was calculated as the difference between daytime and night-time data from the filtered
23 dataset. Finally, anomalies are created from the difference between the filtered monthly mean
24 H₂O content and the filtered 8-year mean at each pressure level.

25 **3.2 Water Vapour in the Southern Tropics**

26 Figures 6a and b show the filtered monthly-averaged MLS H₂O mixing ratio (ppmv), relative
27 D-N (%) and relative anomaly (%) seasonal variations over south tropical land areas (South
28 America and Africa), and south tropical oceanic areas (maritime continent and western
29 Pacific), respectively. Note that, because of the smaller water vapour mixing ratio in the
30 stratosphere, the colour scale is 2.3-7.5 ppmv above 121 hPa and 4-150 ppmv below 121 hPa

1 in the upper panels. Similarly, we use $\pm 5\%$ above 121 hPa and $\pm 24\%$ below 121 hPa,
2 respectively in the middle panels.

3 The H₂O mixing ratio seasonal cycles (upper panels Figs. 6a and b) are in phase in all four
4 locations (summer October-April maxima in the UT and winter June-October maxima in the
5 TTL). However, the amplitude of the cycle is larger in the UT above the maritime continent
6 and western Pacific (up to 146 ppmv instead of ~ 130 ppmv) and smaller in the TTL (down to
7 2.2 ppmv instead of ~ 3.5 ppmv) compared to South America and Africa. In all four areas, the
8 H₂O mixing ratio in the TTL – LS decreases in the summer at lower temperature (195 K
9 dashed lines, 190 K dotted). The driest hygropause is observed from January to April at about
10 82 hPa in all regions. In the LS, H₂O is vertically transported in a slow ascent by the Brewer-
11 Dobson circulation (Mote et al., 1996). This mechanism, referred as ‘tape recorder’, causes
12 the wet and dry air parcels to be progressively time-lagged as they gain altitude.

13 The H₂O D-N (middle panels Fig. 6a and b) also shows systematic seasonal modulations in
14 the UT. Its amplitude and its sign however differ in function of the region. The upper
15 tropospheric negative D-N is of larger magnitude during the summer and over the two
16 continents (e.g. -24.8% in South America) in comparison to oceanic areas (e.g. -9.5% in
17 maritime continent). In the TTL, a positive summertime D-N (e.g. 5.6% in South America) is
18 observed over land below the Cold Point. In contrast, maritime continent and western Pacific
19 TTL show a year-long positive D-N (1–3%) between 90 and 60 hPa at the Cold Point level.

20 Finally, the H₂O anomaly of the 2005-2012 mean H₂O mixing ratio (lower panels), shows a
21 decrease with height from up to $\pm 28\%$ to 0% in the UT, and a local maximum in the TTL
22 consistent with the seasonal cycle of the Cold Point temperature ($\pm 33\%$ amplitude in all
23 areas).

24 **3.3 Water Vapour in the Northern Tropics**

25 Figs. 7a and b are similar to Figs. 6a and b but for north tropical South America and Africa,
26 and north tropical maritime continent and western Pacific, respectively.

27 Although the H₂O seasonal cycles in the UT (top panels Figs 7a and b) are similar to those of
28 the southern tropics, they display a summer maximum of weaker amplitude (up to 29 ppmv in
29 South America). The TTL and the LS are also very similar with larger amplitude above South
30 America and Africa compared to the oceanic regions. However, the D-N features (middle
31 panels Figs. 7a and b) are significantly different: in the UT, a weaker night-time maximum

1 humidity is displayed (-17% relative to -25% in the southern tropics), and in the TTL, above
2 South America and Africa, a weaker daytime maximum is displayed (1.5% relative to 4% in
3 the southern tropics). The only similar regions between the northern and southern tropics are
4 maritime continent and western Pacific. The monthly mean anomalies are similar to those of
5 the southern tropics, although of lesser amplitude in the UT (± 8 -18% relative to ± 18 -28% in
6 the southern tropic).

7 The El Niño and La Niña events do not appear in the Figs. 6 and 7 (a and b) because the FFT
8 filter removes inter-annual variations. However, by influencing the tropical circulation, these
9 events indirectly perturb the D-N and anomaly amplitudes. The ENSO events of 2006-07 and
10 2009-10 (Su and Jiang, 2013) match both the upper tropospheric (TTL) strengthening
11 (weakening) followed by the weakening (reinforcing) of both D-N and anomaly amplitudes
12 over south tropical South America and maritime continent, as well as the opposite effect
13 above south tropical Africa and western Pacific.

14 The ENSO 2009-10 was the strongest, displaying the warmest sea surface temperatures in the
15 Pacific since 1980, followed by a strong La Niña event the next summer (Lee and McPhaden,
16 2010; Kim et al., 2011). As shown by Su and Jiang (2013), the ENSO 2006-07, (an Eastern
17 Pacific event), resulted in a weakening of the Walker circulation, while the stronger ENSO
18 2009-10, (a central Pacific event), resulted in an eastward displacement of the Walker cell and
19 a strengthening of the Hadley cell. The authors found a 5% increase of high cirrus clouds (at
20 100 hPa) in South America along with a 30% drop above the Pacific in 2009-10. Amplitude
21 changes in H₂O D-N and anomalies in the southern tropics (Figs. 6 a and b) are consistent
22 with the Su and Jiang (2013) observations during the El Niño events, further underlying the
23 convective origin of water vapour variations in the TTL and in the stratosphere. In the
24 northern tropics (Figs. 7a and b), these modulations are approximately out-of-phase with
25 respect to the southern tropics; yet, they do not coincide as much as in the south to the ENSO
26 years, meaning that other perturbations probably affect the convection.

27 **4 Discussion**

28 In the previous sections, we presented the seasonal and D-N variations of H₂O, temperature,
29 and IWC from the UT to the LS in the tropical band (20°N-20°S) first, and then focused on
30 specific locations of interest, namely, south tropical and north tropical South America, Africa,
31 maritime continent, and western Pacific. Below, we discuss the implications of these

1 variations, in the light of our observations and analyses, in terms of hydrating-dehydrating
2 processes affecting the H₂O budget in the TTL and the LS.

3 **4.1 Uncertainties**

4 We showed that H₂O measurements at 177, 100, and 56 hPa were independent with respect to
5 each other, and that the a priori does not generate artificial positive values in the D-N above
6 continents. Nonetheless, uncertainties in MLS H₂O accuracy and precision (7% and 10%,
7 respectively at 83 hPa) remain to be understood. In the case of our study, it is important to
8 understand the meaning of these uncertainties and consider them separately. On the one hand,
9 the accuracy that can be viewed as a random error is considerably reduced in our study
10 because, between 2005 and 2012, we average a large number of data (~14,000 profiles in each
11 10°x10° grid bin for the whole period). On the other hand, the precision, reflecting the
12 systematic error (including biases), is not reducible by averaging the data. However, when the
13 difference between two datasets with the same systematic error is calculated, this systematic
14 error is theoretically removed. Assuming that the daytime and the night-time MLS precisions
15 are similar, we can expect that the systematic error is minimized in the D-N analyses. It is
16 also important to acknowledge that values of a large number of H₂O D-N are close to zero.
17 They represent the insignificant cases and produce an underestimation of the D-N amplitude.

18 Next, we evaluated the number of days when both a H₂O average daytime and night-time
19 profile were available (consisting typically of ~6 profiles each) in the African and South
20 American regions, and estimated the percentage for which the D-N was significant. We
21 consider to be significant all |D-N| greater than 10%. Table 1 shows the percentage of days
22 when the D-N is significant at 177, 100 and 56 hPa in south tropical America. In total, there
23 are 1637 out of 2921 days (2005-2012 period) when both daytime and night-time are
24 available. Among these, about 80% present a significant D-N at 177 hPa, 50% at 100 hPa and
25 10% at 56 hPa, during the convective season (DJF). The statistics are similar in south tropical
26 Africa and their counterpart in the northern tropics (not shown). The small amplitude of D-N
27 in the TTL and the LS is thus the result of the average of a large number of D-N that are close
28 to zero, but the non-negligible amount of significant cases allows us to safely rely on the sign
29 of D-N.

30 This study aims to be a qualitative analysis of the H₂O variability, because, even if MLS was
31 able to measure the finest variation, it does not sample at the maximum of convection, but
32 rather an initial state (at 13:30 LT at the beginning of the convection cycle) and a final state

1 (at 01:30 LT toward the end of the cycle). Therefore, we can only conjecture what happens in-
2 between.

3 **4.2 Convective versus non-convective scenarios**

4 Based on the observations made in the previous section, we implemented a filter relative to
5 the D-N significance. We analysed the D-Ns for which $|D-N|$ at 177 hPa is greater or equal to
6 20%, which we consider as significantly convective cases. Also, we assume to be
7 insignificantly convective cases the D-Ns for which $|D-N|$ at 177 hPa is less than 5%. We
8 mainly focus on strong convective tropical land areas: South America and Africa. Results for
9 the southern tropics are showed in Fig. 8.

10 For significantly convective cases, the D-N in the UT in south tropical America and Africa is
11 similar to that of Fig. 6a (the larger amplitude results with the selection of the most significant
12 cases). However, the pattern is different in the TTL. In both areas, we observe a year-long
13 positive layer between 121 and 100 hPa, extending up to 82 hPa in summer. Another positive
14 layer is found between 56 and 46 hPa in the LS, also similar to that of Fig. 6a.

15 For insignificantly convective cases, we assume that the convection is not responsible for the
16 variability above 177 hPa. We observe a D-N distribution in the TTL similar to that of
17 oceanic areas in Fig. 6b. A negative layer, at approximately 121 – 100 hPa, is surmounted by
18 a positive D-N extending from 100 to 68 hPa, with maxima at 82 hPa coincident in time and
19 pressure with the temperature minimum. Characterized by a strong negative D-N, the
20 variability at the bottom of the TTL can only result from advection from outside the box.
21 However, the transport must occur on short timescale (a few hours) from the source to the
22 box, suggesting an origin from neighbouring convective areas; otherwise, mixing would
23 progressively eliminate the difference between the day and night. In the LS, the negative D-N
24 between 46 and 56 hPa also suggests possible advection from neighbouring regions.

25 Overall, transport by advection produces D-N in opposition of phase with respect to that of
26 convective origin, resulting in an underestimation in the 121–100 hPa pressure range and an
27 overestimation in the 82 – 68 hPa layer of the D-N as represented in Fig. 6a. Similar results
28 are obtained in the northern tropics with less amplitude (not shown). Over oceanic areas, the
29 D-N in the TTL is similar in amplitude and sign both for significantly and insignificantly
30 convective cases, and presents the same characteristics than in Fig. 6b (not shown).

4.3 Hydrating-dehydrating processes

As explained in section 2.4 and also suggested by Danielsen (1982), the late afternoon cooling by injection of adiabatic cooled air from overshooting convective systems is a well-understood feature which may have two implications: 1) drying by condensation at temperatures below saturation either at, or below, the Cold Point tropopause (Danielsen, 1982; Sherwood and Dessler, 2001), and/or 2) moistening by the subsequent sublimation of ice crystals injected in the TTL by overshooting convection. The first option would explain the positive D-N signal in the extremely dry tropopause region above the maritime continent and western Pacific. This results from the heating rate cycle of cirrus clouds formed by condensation because of the low temperature (Hartmann et al., 2001; Corti et al., 2006). However, the wetter TTL in continental areas requires a hydrating process that the first scheme does not provide.

The H₂O mixing ratio, D-N, and anomalies show marked seasonal variations in the eight regions. However, the upper tropospheric D-Ns are of systematically larger amplitude above land areas, particularly in the southern tropics. Another typical feature of these areas is the positive D-N at the bottom of the TTL and up to 82 hPa during the most convective season, in contrast to oceanic areas that display a positive D-N near the tropopause at 82 hPa.

The main differences between these areas are their convection characteristics, with late afternoon maximum intensity over tropical land and weak diurnal change over ocean. Moreover, the stronger signal in the south tropical summer, particularly above South America, indicates a much more intense convection than in the northern tropics. These observations are consistent with the Yang and Slingo (2000) mean brightness temperature climatology showing the lowest brightness temperatures, synonymous of colder cloud top, in the southern tropics in DJF and more precisely over South America. Also, this North-South difference in D-N amplitude cannot be, at least in the UT, attributed to a gradient in the relative humidity (RH). In South America, Africa, maritime continent and western Pacific, north and south tropical RHs are comparable during their respective summer (Gettelman et al., 2006).

In the TTL and LS, the variability of the anomaly in all areas, which remains unchanged regardless of the strength of the convection in the UT, is consistent with the seasonal variability of the Cold Point temperature. This indicates that in the TTL and above, the

1 continental convection does not affect H₂O seasonal variability, even though, it strongly
2 impacts its diurnal cycle.

3 To assert the hypothesis of a daytime moistening in TTL over land areas, we computed H₂O,
4 IWC and temperature 2-month running averages, from 2005 to 2012, at 177, 100, and 56 hPa
5 above the four south tropical regions (see Fig. 9) where the convection is the most intense.
6 The H₂O mixing ratio and IWC seasonal variations are similar at all longitudes in the UT (177
7 hPa), displaying maxima in the summer (October-March); whereas, the temperature is slightly
8 lower in the winter (August-October) and the summer (January-March) than the other months.
9 The picture is different at 100 hPa where H₂O and temperature variations are in phase (with a
10 high correlation rate $r > 0.9$) displaying a maximum in winter–early spring (May-October);
11 whereas, IWC is out of phase with H₂O ($r < -0.6$). At 56 hPa, where MLS has no available
12 IWC information, H₂O has been transported by the Brewer-Dobson circulation from the TTL,
13 and results out of phase with the temperature ($r < -0.8$).

14 Fig. 10 shows the seasonal variations of daytime and night-time anomalies for H₂O mixing
15 ratio and temperature over the same areas as in Fig. 9. In the UT (177 hPa), a strong night-
16 time moistening in summer (October-March) over South America and Africa is in phase with
17 the diurnal cycle of convection. The upper tropospheric night-time moistening is weaker
18 above the maritime continent and nearly absent in the western Pacific. The TTL (100 hPa) in
19 the summer is characterized by a daytime moistening above the two land convective regions,
20 whereas anomalies show a night-time moistening in winter, and slight or insignificant night-
21 time moistening during the whole year over the oceanic areas. The picture is very similar at
22 56 hPa in the LS, where daytime hydration is also observed above the two continents in the
23 summer, and absent everywhere else where the night-time is maximum. Not shown in this
24 figure, a daytime moistening characterises the layer near the Cold Point tropopause (centered
25 on 82 hPa) above oceanic areas.

26 Temperature anomalies are more variable in the UT, characterized by a summer daytime
27 cooling, followed by a winter daytime warming in both South America and maritime
28 continent, and the opposite in Africa and western Pacific. The continent-oceanic dichotomy,
29 absent in the UT, appears in the TTL. The temperature presents a year-long daytime warming
30 (of larger amplitude in summer) over South America and Africa. However, maritime
31 continent and western Pacific have both warming and cooling with very little amplitude. In
32 the LS, a daytime cooling (of larger amplitude in October-March) is shown in all areas. Only

1 in Africa, during JJA, the daytime is warming, most likely under the influence of the
2 underneath layer. Note that the anomaly in DJF (± 0.25 K) is very consistent with the results
3 published by Khaykin et al. (2013, Fig. 1).

4 IWC anomalies (not shown) are characterized by a year-long positive feature in daytime (and
5 negative in night-time) in continental areas (± 0.3 mg.m⁻³) at all levels, and the opposite in
6 western Pacific (± 0.15 mg.m⁻³). Only the maritime continent presents both features with a
7 positive night-time in December, January and April, but with a very small amplitude (mostly
8 less than ± 0.05 mg.m⁻³).

9 At 100 hPa, the night-time moistening above oceanic areas during the whole year, as well as
10 continental regions in the winter, is consistent with the negative D-N observed at the same
11 level for insignificantly convective cases (Fig. 8). This is attributed to a horizontal advection
12 from neighbouring areas. In the summer, however, the continental daytime moistening during
13 the convective season requires a hydration process. The only known mechanism compatible
14 for hydrating this layer is the convective overshooting of ice crystals, sublimating in the next
15 day until the next cycle of convection.

16 At 56 hPa, the daytime continental hydration cannot be attributed to the direct injection of ice
17 crystals, which caps, on average, at 82 hPa. The positive D-N, however, is consistent with the
18 temperature diurnal cycle as presented by Khaykin et al. (2013), and attributed to non-
19 migrating tides and convective updraft of adiabatically cooled air, of maximum amplitude in
20 the LS. H₂O potentially turns into ice with the afternoon temperature drop, and then
21 sublimates the next morning when the temperature rises. Note that it is possible that the
22 information captured by the AK peaking at 56 hPa comes from the 70-60 hPa region, where
23 colder temperature than that found at 56 hPa would favour this process. Remarkably, the
24 geographical extension of the brightness temperature diurnal cycle over the ocean westward
25 of South America and Africa revealed by Yang and Slingo (2001) and attributed to the
26 propagation of gravity waves, can explain the positive D-N observed in Fig. 2 over the same
27 places.

28 In the Asian and Central American monsoon regions, we noticed at 56 hPa a positive D-N
29 signal in JJA (Fig. 2), in absence of strong night-time moistening in the UT. This atypical
30 feature potentially results from the influence of the adjacent seas; namely, Gulf of Mexico and
31 Caribbean Sea for the Central America monsoon region, and South China Sea and Bay of
32 Bengal for the Asian monsoon region. Yang and Slingo (2000) showed that in these regions,

1 both brightness temperature and precipitation diurnal cycle are shifted by about 10-12 hours
2 from sea to land with a sharp transition. Since we average H₂O in a 10°x10° grid, both land
3 and ocean are combined in these areas, resulting in a composite land-ocean convection cycle,
4 which explains the absence of a strong signal in the UT. Unlike the maritime continent where
5 land and ocean are also combined, Asian and Central America monsoon regions present the
6 continental convection signature in the LS (e.g. positive D-N). Although the methodology
7 developed in our study is applicable to monsoon regions, it would require a dedicated analysis
8 beyond the scope of this study.

9 The seasonal changes in the H₂O D-N (i.e., summertime maximum amplitude, negative in the
10 UT, positive in the TTL and LS) closely follow the distribution of overshooting convection
11 seasonal cycle as measured from the Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) (Liu and
12 Zipser, 2005). The authors showed that in DJF (JJA), OPFs were essentially found between 0
13 and 20°S (0 and 20°N), while March-May and September-November are transition periods
14 during which the convective systems move from South to North and conversely, so that the
15 maximum of convection is found at the equator. Also, Iwasaki et al. (2010) confirmed that the
16 overshoot samples are not rare at the tropical belt scale, and induce a potential impact on the
17 stratospheric hydration. The number of events penetrating the 380-K potential temperature
18 level in the TTL, as measured by CALIPSO, is approximately $7 \cdot 10^6$ events per year in the
19 tropical belt (20°N-20°S). A hydration of about 100 tons of H₂O per event was calculated
20 using a combination of CloutSat and CALIOP data. Their results showed more cases during
21 the day than during the night, and more cases over land than over the ocean. No discussion is
22 made about the impact of the time of overpass, which may alter the statistics in some regions,
23 but the results are qualitatively in agreement and compatible with this study.

24 **5 Conclusions**

25 TRO-pico's objectives are to evaluate to what extent the overshooting convection and
26 involved processes contribute to the stratospheric water vapour entry. Light and medium size
27 balloons were launched as part of two field campaigns (2012 and 2013) held during the
28 convective period in Bauru, Sao Paulo state, Brazil. Flights carrying Pico-SDLA (Durry et al.,
29 2008) and Flash-B (Yushkov et al., 1998) hygrometers were launched early morning and late
30 evening while radiosondes were launched up to 4 times a day during the most convective
31 period. The measurements, still under analysis, are matched with space-borne and model data.
32 Then, to evaluate the local results obtained in Bauru with respect to larger scale, comparisons

1 with climatologies will be necessary. Although seasonal and annual variation of H₂O has been
2 extensively studied, few studies were devoted to the geographical and temporal variability of
3 its diurnal cycle in the TTL. With this study, we aim to deliver a comprehensible landmark for
4 TRO-pico as well as future research debating the impact on H₂O of the continental tropical
5 convection.

6 Following the Liu and Zipser (2009) study of the water vapour diurnal cycle in the upper
7 troposphere from the MLS measurements, we used the same data, but a new version on a
8 twice longer period (version 3 instead of 2 and 8 years instead of 4), as well as temperature
9 and IWC products, to investigate the origin of the changes in H₂O mixing ratio from the UT
10 to the LS and with a focus on the possible contribution of tropical land convection on the
11 tropical tropopause layer and lower stratosphere budget. In agreement with Liu and Zipser
12 findings, MLS data are showing a night-time maximum moistening (~20%) of the UT above
13 continental areas in the southern tropics during the austral summer in DJF and, although of a
14 lesser extent (~10%), above the maritime continent. A similar signal is observed in the
15 northern tropics in JJA, but of lesser amplitude (5-10%). The TTL and LS present a daytime
16 maximum moistening (up to 5-6%) over south tropical lands in the summer, out of phase with
17 the UT signal, which requires a hydration process of those layers. The convective origin of
18 the TTL and LS hydration is confirmed by the humidity and temperature daytime and night-
19 time seasonal variations over the various land tropical regions. The TTL daytime moistening
20 by sublimation of up-drafted ice crystals up to 82 hPa, and the LS daytime moistening
21 associated to the temperature cycle induced by convection, are characteristics of summertime
22 south tropical land. Similar patterns, but of lesser intensity, are found in north tropical land,
23 suggesting that convective overshoots are less frequent or less vigorous in the northern
24 tropics. In comparison, oceanic locations present a daytime maximum water vapour at the
25 tropopause level consistent with the cirrus daily cycle of radiative heating origin.

26 In summary, the MLS water vapour, cloud ice water content, and temperature observations
27 demonstrate a clear contribution to the TTL moistening by ice crystals overshooting up-drafts
28 over land tropical regions and the much greater efficiency of the process in the southern
29 tropics. Deep convection was also found to be responsible for a diurnal variability in
30 temperature that in turn drives the variability of lowermost stratospheric H₂O.

1 **Acknowledgements**

2 The work was supported by the French Agence Nationale de la Recherche (ANR) TRO-pico
3 project (<http://www.univ-reims.fr/TRO-pico/>). The data used in this effort were acquired as part
4 of the activities of NASA's Science Mission Directorate, and are archived and distributed by the
5 Goddard Earth Sciences (GES) Data and Information Services Center (DISC). We are grateful to
6 the referees for their comments and suggestions that helped us to substantially improve the
7 quality of our study.

8 **References**

9 Alcala, C. M. and Dessler, A. E.: Observations of deep convection in the tropics using the
10 Tropical Rainfall Measuring Mission (TRMM) precipitation radar, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4792,
11 doi:10.1029/2002JD002457, 2002.

12 Ackerman, A. S., Toon, O. B., Stevens, D. E., Heymsfield, A. J., Ramanathan, V., & Welton, E.
13 J.: Reduction of tropical cloudiness by soot. *Science*, 288(5468), 1042-1047, 2000.

14 Barnes, J. E., T. Kaplan, H. Vömel, and W. G. Read, NASA/Aura/Microwave Limb Sounder
15 water vapor validation at Mauna Loa Observatory by Raman lidar, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113,
16 D15S03, doi:10.1029/2007JD008842, 2008.

17 Brewer, A. W., Evidence for a world circulation provided by the measurements of helium and
18 water vapor distribution in the stratosphere, *Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc.*, 75, 351–363, 1949.

19 Cairo, F., Pommereau, J. P., Law, K. S., Schlager, H., Garnier, A., Fierli, F., Ern, M., Streibel,
20 M., Arabas, S., Borrmann, S., Berthelie, J. J., Blom, C., Christensen, T., D'Amato, F., Di
21 Donfrancesco, G., Deshler, T., Diedhiou, A., Durr, G., Engels, O., Goutail, F., Harris, N. R.
22 P., Kerstel, E. R. T., Khaykin, S., Konopka, P., Kylling, A., Larsen, N., Lebel, T., Liu, X.,
23 MacKenzie, A. R., Nielsen, J., Oulanowski, A., Parker, D. J., Pelon, J., Polcher, J., Pyle, J.A.,
24 Ravegnani, F., Riviere, E.D., Robinson, A. D., Rockmann, T., Schiller, C., Simoes, F., Stefanutti,
25 L., Stroh, F., Some, L., Siegmund, P., Sitnikov, N., Vernier, J. P., Volk, C. M., Voigt, C., von
26 Hobe, M., Viciani, S., and Yushkov, V.: An introduction to the SCOUT-AMMA stratospheric
27 aircraft, balloons and sondes campaign in West Africa, August 2006: rationale and roadmap,
28 *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 2237–2256, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2237-2010, 2010.

29 Chaboureaud, J.-P., Cammas, J.-P., Duron, J., Mascart, P. J., Sitnikov, N. M., and Voessing, H.-J.:
30 A numerical study of tropical cross-tropopause transport by convective overshoots, *Atmos. Chem.*
31 *Phys.*, 7, 1731–1740, doi:10.5194/acp-7-1731-2007, 2007.

1 Chemel, C., Russo, M. R., Pyle, J. A., Sokhi, R. S., and Schiller, C.: Quantifying the imprint of a
2 severe hector thunderstorm during ACTIVE/SCOUT-O3 onto the water content in the upper
3 troposphere/lower stratosphere, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 137, 2493–2514,
4 doi:10.1175/2008MWR2666.1, 2009.

5 Corti, T., Luo, B. P., Fu, Q., Vömel, H., & Peter, T., The impact of cirrus clouds on tropical
6 troposphere-to-stratosphere transport. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*, 6(9), 2539-2547,
7 2006.

8 Corti, T., Luo, B. P., de Reus, M., Brunner, D., Cairo, F., Mahoney, M. J., Martucci, G.,
9 Matthey, R., Mitev, V., dos Santos, F. H., Schiller, C., Shur, G., Sitnikov, N. M., Spelten, N.,
10 Vossing, H. J., Borrmann, S., and Peter, T.: Unprecedented evidence for deep convection
11 hydrating the tropical stratosphere, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L10810,
12 doi:10.1029/2008GL033641, 2008.

13 Danielsen, Edwin F., A dehydration mechanism for the stratosphere, *Geophysical Research*
14 *Letters*, 9, 6, 1944-8007, 10.1029/GL009i006p00605, 1982.

15 Danielsen, E. F.: In situ evidence of rapid, vertical, irreversible transport of lower tropospheric
16 air into the lower stratosphere by convective cloud turrets and by large scale up welling in
17 tropical cyclones, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, 8665–8681, 1993.

18 Delisi, Donald P., Timothy J. Dunkerton: Seasonal variation of the semiannual oscillation. *J.*
19 *Atmos. Sci.*, 45, 2772–2787, doi:10.1175/1520-0469(1988)045<2772:SVOTSO>2.0.CO;2, 1988.

20 Dessler, A. E., The effect of deep, tropical convection on the tropical tropopause layer, *J.*
21 *Geophys. Res.*, 107(D3), 4033, doi:10.1029/2001JD000511, 2002.

22 Durry, G., Amarouche, N., Joly, L., Liu, X., Parvitte, B., & Zéninari, V.: Laser diode
23 spectroscopy of H₂O at 2.63 μm for atmospheric applications. *Applied Physics B*, 90(3-4), 573-
24 580, 2008.

25 Folkins, I., M. Loewenstein, J. Podolske, S. J. Oltmans, and M. Proffitt, A barrier to vertical
26 mixing at 14 km in the tropics: Evidence from ozoneondes and aircraft measurements, *J.*
27 *Geophys. Res.*, 104(D18), 22,095–22,102, 1999.

28 Fueglistaler, S., H. Wernli, and T. Peter, Tropical troposphere-to-stratosphere transport inferred
29 from trajectory calculations, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109, D03108, doi:10.1029/2003JD004069, 2004.

30 Fueglistaler, S., A. E. Dessler, T. J. Dunkerton, I. Folkins, Q. Fu, and P. W. Mote, Tropical
31 tropopause layer, *Rev. Geophys.*, 47, RG1004, doi:10.1029/2008RG000267, 2009.

1 Gettelman, A., Salby, M. L., and Sassi, F.: The distribution and influence of convection in the
2 tropical tropopause region, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 107, 4080, doi:10.1029/2001JD001048, 2002.

3 Gettelman, A., Collins, W. D., Fetzner, E. J., Eldering, A., Irion, F. W., Duffy, P. B., and Bala, G.:
4 Climatology of upper-tropospheric relative humidity from the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder and
5 implications for climate. *Journal of climate*, 19(23), 2006.

6 Grosvenor, D. P., Choulaton, T. W., Coe, H., and Held, G.: A study of the effect of
7 overshooting deep convection on the water content of the TTL and lower stratosphere from
8 Cloud Re- solving Model simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 4977–5002, doi:10.5194/acp-7-
9 4977-2007, 2007.

10 Hartmann, D. L., Holton, J. R., & Fu, Q., The heat balance of the tropical tropopause, cirrus, and
11 stratospheric dehydration. *Geophysical research letters*, 28(10), 1969-1972, 2001.

12 Hassim, M. E. E. and Lane, T. P.: A model study on the influence of overshooting convection on
13 TTL water vapour, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 9833-9849, doi:10.5194/acp-10-9833-2010, 2010.

14 Holton, J. R., Haynes, P. H., McIntyre, M. E., Douglass, A. R., Rood, R. B., and Pfister, L.:
15 Stratosphere-Troposphere Exchange, *Rev. Geophys.*, 33, 403–439, 1995.

16 Holton, J. R. and Gettelman, A.: Horizontal transport and the dehydration of the stratosphere,
17 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 28, 2799–2802, 2001.

18 Hurst, D. F., S. J. Oltmans, H. Vömel, K. H. Rosenlof, S. M. Davis, E. A. Ray, E. G. Hall, and A.
19 F. Jordan , Stratospheric water vapor trends over Boulder, Colorado: Analysis of the 30 year
20 Boulder record, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 116, D02306, doi:10.1029/2010JD015065, 2011.

21 James, R., M. Bonazzola, B. Legras, K. Surbled, and S. Fueglistaler, Water vapor transport and
22 dehydration above convective outflow during Asian monsoon, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L20810,
23 doi:10.1029/2008GL035441, 2008.

24 Iwasaki, S., T. Shibata, J. Nakamoto, H. Okamoto, H. Ishimoto, and H. Kubota, Characteristics
25 of deep convection measured by using the A-train constellation, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 115, D06207,
26 doi:10.1029/2009JD013000, 2010.

27 Jensen, E. J., Ackerman, A. S., and Smith J. A.: Can overshooting convection dehydrate the
28 tropical tropopause layer?, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D11209, doi:10.1029/2006JD007943, 2007.

29 Johnson, R. H. and Kriete, D. C.: Thermodynamic and circulation characteristics of winter
30 monsoon tropical mesoscale convection, *Mon. Weather Rev.*, 10, 1898–1911, 1982.

1 Khaykin, S., Pommereau, J.-P., Korshunov, L., Yushkov, V., Nielsen, J., Larsen, N.,
2 Christensen, T., Garnier, A., Lukyanov, A., and Williams, E.: Hydration of the lower
3 stratosphere by ice crystal geysers over land convective systems, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 2275–
4 2287, 2009.

5 Khaykin, S. M.; Pommereau, J.-P.; Hauchecorne, A: Impact of land convection on temperature
6 diurnal variation in the tropical lower stratosphere inferred from COSMIC GPS radio
7 occultations, *ATM. CHE. AND PHYSICS*, Vol.:13, Iss.:13, Pages: 6391-6402, DOI:
8 10.5194/acp-13-6391-2013, 2013.

9 Kim, W.M.; Yeh, S.W.; Kim, J.H.; Kug, J.S. and Kwon, M.H.: The unique 2009–2010 El Niño
10 event: A fast phase transition of warm pool El Niño to La Niña, *GEOPHYSICAL RESEARCH*
11 *LETTERS*, VOL. 38, L15809, doi:10.1029/2011GL048521, 2011.

12 Knollenberg, R.G.,K. Kelly; J.C. Wilson, Measurements of high number of ice crystals in the
13 tops of tropical cumulonimbus, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 98, D5, 8639-8664, 1993.

14 Koren, I., Kaufman, Y. J., Remer, L. A., & Martins, J. V.: Measurement of the effect of Amazon
15 smoke on inhibition of cloud formation. *Science*, 303(5662), 1342-1345, 2004.

16 Lambert, A., W. G. Read, N. J. Livesey, M. L. Santee, G. L. Manney, L. Froidevaux, D. L. Wu,
17 M. J. Schwartz, H. C. Pumphrey, C. Jimenez, G. E. Nedoluha, R. E. Cofield, D. T. Cuddy, W. H.
18 Daffer, B. J. Drouin, R. A. Fuller, R. F. Jarnot, B. W. Knosp, H. M. Pickett, V. S. Perun, W. V.
19 Snyder, P. C. Stek, R. P. Thurstans, P. A. Wagner, J. W. Waters, K. W. Jucks, G. C. Toon, R. A.
20 Stachnik, P. F. Bernath, C. D. Boone, K. A. Walker, J. Urban, D. Murtagh, J. W. Elkins, and E.
21 Atlas, Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder middle atmosphere water vapor and
22 nitrous oxide measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 112, D24S36, doi:10.1029/2007JD008724, 2007.

23 Lee, T., and M. J. McPhaden, Increasing intensity of El Niño in the central-equatorial Pacific,
24 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 37, L14603, doi:10.1029/2010GL044007, 2010.

25 Liang C, Eldering A, Gettelman A, Tian B, Wong S, Fetzer E, Liou K: Record of tropical
26 interannual variability of temperature and water vapor from a combined AIRS-MLS data set. *J*
27 *Geophys Res* 116:D06,103. doi:10.1029/2010JD014841, 2011.

28 Liu, C. and Zipser, E. J.: Global distribution of convection pene- trating the tropical tropopause,
29 *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D23104, doi:10.1029/2005JD006063, 2005.

1 Liu C, Zipser EJ: Implications of the day versus night differences of water vapor, carbon
2 monoxide, and thin cloud observations near the tropical tropopause. *J Geophys Res* 114:D09303.
3 doi:10.1029/2008JD011524, 2009.

4 Liu, X. M., Riviere, E. D., Marecal, V., Durry, G., Hamdouni, A., Arteta, J., and Khaykin, S.:
5 Stratospheric water vapour budget and convection overshooting the tropopause: modelling study
6 from SCOUT-AMMA, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 8267–8286, doi:10.5194/acp-10-8267-2010,
7 2010.

8 Livesey, N.J., and W. V. Snyder "EOS MLS retrieval processes algorithm theoretical basis." JPL
9 Doc, D-16159/CL #04-2043 (2004). mls.jpl.nasa.gov/data/eos_algorithm_atbd.pdf

10 Livesey, N. J., EOS MLS Version 3.3 Level 2 data quality and description document, version 3.3
11 x-1.0, JPL D-33509, Jet Propul. Lab., Pasadena, Calif., 2011.

12 Mote, P. W., K. H. Rosenlof, J. R. Holton, R. S. Harwood, and J. W. Waters An atmospheric
13 tape recorder: The imprint of tropical tropopause temperatures on stratospheric water vapor, *J.*
14 *Geophys. Res.*, 101, 8651–8666, 1996.

15 Nazaryan, H., M. P. McCormick, and W. P. Menzel, Global characterization of cirrus clouds
16 using CALIPSO data, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D16211, doi:10.1029/2007JD009481, 2008.

17 Newell, R. E., and S. Gould-Stewart, A stratospheric fountain, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 38, 2789-2795,
18 1981.

19 Oltmans, S. J., Vömel, H., Hofmann, D. J., Rosenlof, K. H., & Kley, D.: The increase in
20 stratospheric water vapor from balloonborne, frostpoint hygrometer measurements at
21 Washington, DC, and Boulder, Colorado. *Geophysical Research Letters*, 27(21), 3453-3456,
22 2000.

23 Pommereau, J. P., & Held, G.: Is there a stratospheric fountain?. *Atmospheric Chemistry and*
24 *Physics Discussions*, 7(3), 8933-8950, 2007.

25 Pommereau, J. P., Garnier, A., Held, G., Gomes, A. M., Goutail, F., Durry, G., ... & Freitas, S.:
26 An overview of the HIBISCUS campaign. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions*,
27 7(1), 2389-2475, 2011.

28 Potter, B. E., & Holton, J. R.: The role of monsoon convection in the dehydration of the lower
29 tropical stratosphere. *Journal of the atmospheric sciences*, 52(8), 1034-1050, 1995.

1 Randel, W. J., and M. Park, Deep convective influence on the Asian summer monsoon
2 anticyclone and associated tracer variability observed with Atmospheric Infrared Sounder
3 (AIRS), *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D12314, doi:10.1029/2005JD006490, 2006.

4 Read, W. G., D. L. Wu, J. W. Waters, and H. C. Pumphrey: Dehydration in the tropical
5 tropopause layer: Implications from the UARS Microwave Limb Sounder, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 109,
6 D06110, doi:10.1029/2003JD004056, 2004.

7 Read, W. G., Lambert, A., Bacmeister, J., Cofield, R. E., Christensen, L. E., Cuddy, D. T., ... &
8 Wu, D. L.: Aura Microwave Limb Sounder upper tropospheric and lower stratospheric H₂O and
9 relative humidity with respect to ice validation. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, 112(D24),
10 D24S35, 2007.

11 Ricaud, P., Barret, B., Attie, J.-L., Motte, E., Le Flochmoen, E., Teysse, H., Peuch, V.-H.,
12 Livesey, N., Lambert, A., and Pommereau, J.-P.: Impact of land convection on troposphere-
13 stratosphere exchange in the tropics, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 5639–5657, doi:10.5194/acp-7-
14 5639-2007, 2007.

15 Ricaud, P., Pommereau, J.-P., Attie, J.-L., Le Flochmoen, E., El Amraoui, L., Teysse, H.,
16 Peuch, V.-H., Feng, W., and Chipperfield, M. P.: Equatorial transport as diagnosed from nitrous
17 oxide variability, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 8173–8188, doi:10.5194/acp-9-8173-2009, 2009.

18 Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., ... & Andreae,
19 M. O.: Flood or drought: how do aerosols affect precipitation?. *science*, 321(5894), 1309-1313,
20 2008.

21 Rosenlof, K. H., Oltmans, S. J., Kley, D., Russell, J. M., Chiou, E. W., Chu, W. P., ... &
22 McCormick, M. P.: Stratospheric water vapor increases over the past half-century. *Geophysical*
23 *research letters*, 28(7), 1195-1198, 2001.

24 Russell III, J. M., Gordley, L. L., Park, J. H., et al.: The Halogen Occultation Experiment, *J.*
25 *Geophys. Res.*, 98, 10 777–10 797, 1993.

26 Sassen, K., Z. Wang, and D. Liu, Global distribution of cirrus clouds from CloudSat/Cloud-
27 Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) measurements, *J.*
28 *Geophys. Res.*, 113, D00A12, doi:10.1029/2008JD009972, 2008.

29 Schiller, C., Grooß, J. U., Konopka, P., Plöger, F., Silva dos Santos, F. H., & Spelten, N.:
30 Hydration and dehydration at the tropical tropopause. *Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics*,
31 9(24), 9647-9660, 2009.

1 Schwartz, M. J., Lambert, A., Manney, G. L., Read, W. G., Livesey, N. J., Froidevaux, L., ... &
2 Wu, D. L.: Validation of the Aura Microwave Limb Sounder temperature and geopotential
3 height measurements. *Journal of Geophysical Research: Atmospheres* (1984–2012), 113(D15),
4 2008.

5 Sherwood, S. C. and Dessler, A. E.: On the control of stratospheric humidity, *Geophys. Res.*
6 *Lett.*, 27, 2513–2516, 2000.

7 Sherwood, S. C., & Dessler, A. E.: A model for transport across the tropical tropopause. *Journal*
8 *of the Atmospheric Sciences*, 58(7), 765-779, 2001.

9 Sherwood, S. C. and Dessler, A. E.: Convective mixing near the tropical tropopause: Insights
10 from seasonal variations, *J. Atmos. Sci.*, 60, 2674–2685, 2003.

11 Solomon, S., Rosenlof, K. H., Portmann, R. W., Daniel, J. S., Davis, S. M., Sanford, T. J., &
12 Plattner, G. K., Contributions of stratospheric water vapor to decadal changes in the rate of
13 global warming. *Science*, 327(5970), 1219-1223, 2010.

14 Su, H., & Jiang, J. H.: Tropical Clouds and Circulation Changes during the 2006/07 and 2009/10
15 El Niños. *Journal of Climate*, 26(2), 399-413, 2013.

16 Vernier J.P., Pommereau J.P., Thomason L., Pelon J., Garnier A., Deshler T., Jumelet J., Nielsen
17 J. K.: Overshooting of clean tropospheric air in the lower stratosphere as seen by the CALIPSO
18 lidar, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9683–9696, doi: 10.5194/acp-11-9683-2011, 2011.

19 Weinstock, E. M., E. J. Hints, A. E. Dessler, and J. G. Anderson: Measurements of water vapor
20 in the tropical lower stratosphere during the CEPEX campaign: Results and interpretation,
21 *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 22, 3231–3234, 1995.

22 WMO: Scientific assessment of Ozone Depletion: 2006. Global Ozone Research and Monitoring
23 project, Report No. 50, 572 pp., Geneva, 2007.

24 Wright, J. S., R. Fu, S. Fueglistaler, Y. S. Liu, and Y. Zhang, The influence of summertime
25 convection over Southeast Asia on water vapor in the tropical stratosphere, *J. Geophys. Res.*,
26 116, D12302, doi:10.1029/2010JD015416, 2011.

27 Wu, D. L., J. H. Jiang, and C. P. Davis: EOS MLS cloud ice measurements and cloudy-sky
28 radiative transfer model, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44, 1156–1165, 2006.

29 Wu, D. L., J. H. Jiang, W. G. Read, R. T. Austin, C. P. Davis, A. Lambert, G. L. Stephens, D. G.
30 Vane, and J. W. Waters: Validation of the Aura MLS cloud ice water content measurements, *J.*
31 *Geophys. Res.*, 113, D15S10, doi:10.1029/ 2007JD008931, 2008.

1 Yang, G. Y., and Slingo, J.: The diurnal cycle in the tropics. *Monthly Weather Review*, 129(4),
2 784-801, 2001.

3 Yushkov, V., Merkulov, S. and Astakhov V.: Optical balloon hygrometer for upper stratosphere
4 and stratosphere water vapour measurements, in *Optical remote sensing of the atmosphere and*
5 *clouds* edited by J.Wang, B.Wu, T.Ogawa, Z- h.Guans, Proc. SPIE, 3501, 439-445, 1998.

6

7

1 **Captions**

2 Table 1. Relative number (%) of days in south tropical America for which the $|D-N|$ is greater
3 than 10% with respect to all the days when both an average daytime and night-time were
4 available (1639 days) between 2005 and 2012.

5
6 Figure 1. Schematic representation adapted from Liu and Zipser (2005) of the Overshooting
7 Precipitation Features (OPF) diurnal cycle in the UT above continental areas (black solid line)
8 and above oceanic areas (grey solid line) with the expected H₂O mixing ratio diurnal cycle above
9 continental areas (red solid line) and above oceanic areas (blue solid line). Green dotted lines
10 show the MLS sampling local time.

11
12 Figure 2. (Left, from top to bottom) Mean relative difference between the daytime (13:30 LT)
13 and night-time (01:30 LT) MLS H₂O measurements for December, January and February for 8
14 years (2005-2012) in the 25°N-25°S latitude band at 56, 100 and 177 hPa. The 192-K (black
15 solid line) and 195-K (black dashed line) temperature contours are represented at 100 hPa.
16 (Right) Same as left but for June, July and August. The eight black boxes at 56 hPa represent the
17 eight areas of study, namely North and South tropical America, Africa, maritime continent and
18 western Pacific.

19
20 Figure 3. MLS H₂O averaging kernels from 250 to 30 hPa. Dashed lines represent the 177, 100,
21 and 56 hPa levels. The red, green and blue lines represent the averaging kernels peaking at 177,
22 100 and 56 hPa, respectively.

23
24 Figure 4. Same as Fig. 2 but for the MLS H₂O a priori in 2012.

25
26 Figure 5. Same as Fig. 2 but for IWC at 177 and 100 hPa. The solid black and dashed black lines
27 represent the IWC occurrences over the 2005-2012 period (50% and 15%, respectively).

28
29 Figure 6.a. (Left, from top to bottom) MLS 2005 to 2012 monthly-averaged filtered H₂O, relative
30 filtered D-N and relative filtered anomaly time series from 220 to 30 hPa in South tropical

1 America. The white (top) and black (middle and bottom) dashed (dotted) lines show the filtered
2 temperature 195-K (190-K) contour. Note the use of a different colour scale from 121 to 30 hPa
3 compared to 220-121 hPa for the top and middle figures. (Right) Same as left but for South
4 tropical Africa.

5
6 Figure 6b. Same as Fig. 6a but for South tropical maritime continent (left) and South tropical
7 western Pacific (right).

8
9 Figure 7a. Same as Fig. 6a but for North tropical America (left) and North tropical Africa (right).

10
11 Figure 7b. Same as Fig. 6a but for North tropical maritime continent (left) and North tropical
12 western Pacific (right).

13
14 Figure 8. Relative filtered H₂O D-N over south tropical South America (left) and south tropical
15 Africa (right) considering significantly convective cases ($|D-N|$ at 177 hPa greater than 20%)
16 (Top) and insignificantly convective cases ($|D-N|$ at 177 hPa less than 5%) (Bottom).

17
18 Figure 9. MLS 2-month running average, from 2005 to 2012, H₂O (red line), temperature (green
19 line) and IWC (blue line) from January to December at 56 hPa (top), 100 hPa (middle) and 177
20 hPa (bottom) in South tropical America (top left) and South tropical Africa (top right), South
21 tropical maritime continent (bottom left) and South tropical western Pacific (bottom right).

22
23 Figure 10. Monthly daytime H₂O (red solid line), night-time H₂O (red dotted line), daytime
24 temperature (green solid line) and night-time temperature (green dotted line) anomalies,
25 calculated for each month as the difference between the monthly average daytime (night-time)
26 and the monthly average, for the 2005-2012 period, at 177, 100 and 56 hPa in South tropical
27 America (top left) and South tropical Africa (top right), South tropical maritime continent
28 (bottom left) and South tropical western Pacific (bottom right).

1 **Tables**

2 Table 1. Relative number (%) of days in south tropical America for which the |D-N| is greater
3 than 10% with respect to all the days when both an average daytime and night-time were
4 available (1639 days) between 2005 and 2012.

5

Pressure (hPa)	Season			
	DJF	MAM	JJA	SON
56	10.2	10.8	5.2	10.3
100	51.7	53.2	20.3	38.4
177	81.7	82.7	69.9	80.5

6


7

8

9


10

1 **Figures**



2


3 Figure 1. Schematic representation adapted from Liu and Zipser (2005) of the Overshooting
4 Precipitation Features (OPF) diurnal cycle in the UT above continental areas (black solid line)
5 and above oceanic areas (grey solid line) with the expected H₂O mixing ratio diurnal cycle above
6 continental areas (red solid line) and above oceanic areas (blue solid line). Green dotted lines
7 show the MLS sampling local time.



1

2 Figure 2. (Left, from top to bottom) Mean relative difference between the daytime (13:30 LT)
 3 and night-time (01:30 LT) MLS H₂O measurements for December, January and February for 8
 4 years (2005-2012) in the 25°N-25°S latitude band at 56, 100 and 177 hPa. The 192-K (black
 5 solid line) and 195-K (black dashed line) temperature contours are represented at 100 hPa.
 6 (Right) Same as left but for June, July and August. The eight black boxes at 56 hPa represent the
 7 eight areas of study, namely North and South tropical America, Africa, maritime continent and
 8 western Pacific.


9



1


2 Figure 3. MLS H₂O averaging kernels from 250 to 30 hPa. Dashed lines represent the 177, 100,
 3 and 56 hPa levels. The red, green and blue lines represent the averaging kernels peaking at 177,
 4 100 and 56 hPa, respectively.

5




1
2
3
4

Figure 4. Same as Fig. 2 but for the MLS H₂O a priori in 2012.



1


2 Figure 5. Same as Fig. 2 but for IWC at 177 and 100 hPa. The solid black and dashed black lines
 3 represent the IWC occurrences over the 2005-2012 period (50% and 15%, respectively).



1


2 Figure 6a. (Left, from top to bottom) MLS 2005 to 2012 monthly-averaged filtered H₂O, relative
 3 filtered D-N and relative filtered anomaly time series from 220 to 30 hPa in South tropical
 4 America. The white (top) and black (middle and bottom) dashed (dotted) lines show the filtered
 5 temperature 195-K (190-K) contour. Note the use of a different colour scale from 121 to 30 hPa
 6 compared to 220-121 hPa for the top and middle figures. (Right) Same as left but for South
 7 tropical Africa.

8




1
2
3
4

Figure 6b. Same as Fig. 6a but for South tropical maritime continent (left) and South tropical western Pacific (right).



1

2 Figure 7a. Same as Fig. 6a but for North tropical America (left) and North tropical Africa (right).




1

2

Figure 7b. Same as Fig. 6a but for North tropical maritime continent (left) and North tropical western Pacific (right).

3


4



1

2 Figure 8. Relative filtered H₂O D-N over south tropical South America (left) and south tropical
 3 Africa (right) considering significantly convective cases ($|D-N|$ at 177 hPa greater than 20%)
 4 (Top) and insignificantly convective cases ($|D-N|$ at 177 hPa less than 5%) (Bottom).


5



1

2 Figure 9. MLS 2-month running average, from 2005 to 2012, H₂O (red line), temperature (green
 3 line) and IWC (blue line) from January to December at 56 hPa (top), 100 hPa (middle) and 177
 4 hPa (bottom) in South tropical America (top left) and South tropical Africa (top right), South
 5 tropical maritime continent (bottom left) and South tropical western Pacific (bottom right).

6



1

2 Figure 10. Monthly daytime H₂O (red solid line), night-time H₂O (red dotted line), daytime
 3 temperature (green solid line) and night-time temperature (green dotted line) anomalies,
 4 calculated for each month as the difference between the monthly average daytime (night-time)
 5 and the monthly average, for the 2005-2012 period, at 177, 100 and 56 hPa in South tropical
 6 America (top left) and South tropical Africa (top right), South tropical maritime continent
 7 (bottom left) and South tropical western Pacific (bottom right).

8

9

10