Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 32703–32816, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/32703/2013/ doi:10.5194/acpd-13-32703-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Advances in understanding and parameterization of small-scale physical processes in the marine Arctic climate system: a review

T. Vihma^{1,2}, R. Pirazzini¹, I. A. Renfrew³, J. Sedlar^{4,5}, M. Tjernström^{4,5}, T. Nygård¹, I. Fer⁶, C. Lüpkes⁷, D. Notz⁸, J. Weiss⁹, D. Marsan¹⁰, B. Cheng¹, G. Birnbaum⁷, S. Gerland¹¹, D. Chechin¹², and J. C. Gascard¹³

¹Finnish Meteorological Institute, Helsinki, Finland

²The University Centre in Svalbard, Longyearbyen, Norway

³University of East Anglia, Norwich, UK

⁴Bert Bolin Center for Climate Research, Stockholm, Sweden

⁵Department of Meteorology, Stockholm University, Sweden

⁶University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway

⁷Alfred Wegener Institute for Polar and Marine Research, Bremerhaven, Germany

- ⁸Max Planck Institute for Meteorology, Hamburg, Germany
- ⁹LGGE, Université de Grenoble, CNRS, Grenoble, France

¹⁰ISTerre, Université de Savoie, CNRS, Le Bourget-du-Lac, France





¹¹Norwegian Polar Institute, Tromsø, Norway

¹²A. M. Obukhov Institute of Atmospheric Physics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Moscow, Russia

¹³Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Paris, France

Received: 13 November 2013 – Accepted: 20 November 2013 – Published: 13 December 2013

Correspondence to: T. Vihma (timo.vihma@fmi.fi)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Jienneeinn Da	ACPD 13, 32703–32816, 2013 Small-scale physical processes in the marine Arctic climate system T. Vihma et al.	
aner I Discussion		
Paner	Title Page	
-	Abstract	Introduction
	Conclusions	References
	Tables	Figures
	14	►I
Dr		
_	Back	Close
lieniee	Full Screen / Esc	
	Printer-friendly Version	
anor	Interactive Discussion	



Abstract

The Arctic climate system includes numerous highly interactive small-scale physical processes in the atmosphere, sea ice, and ocean. During and since the International Polar Year 2007–2008, significant advances have been made in understanding these processes. Here these advances are reviewed, synthesized and discussed. In atmospheric physics, the primary advances have been in cloud physics, radiative transfer, mesoscale cyclones, coastal and fjordic processes, as well as in boundary-layer processes and surface fluxes. In sea ice and its snow cover, advances have been made in understanding of the surface albedo and its relationships with snow properties, the

- ¹⁰ internal structure of sea ice, the heat and salt transfer in ice, the formation of superimposed ice and snow ice, and the small-scale dynamics of sea ice. In the ocean, significant advances have been related to exchange processes at the ice–ocean interface, diapycnal mixing, tidal currents and diurnal resonance. Despite this recent progress, some of these small-scale physical processes are still not sufficiently understood: these
- include wave-turbulence interactions in the atmosphere and ocean, the exchange of heat and salt at the ice-ocean interface, and the mechanical weakening of sea ice. Many other processes are reasonably well understood as stand-alone processes but challenge is to understand their interactions with, and impacts and feedbacks on, other processes. Uncertainty in the parameterization of small-scale processes continues to
- ²⁰ be among the largest challenges facing climate modeling, and nowhere is this more true than in the Arctic. Further improvements in parameterization require new yearround field campaigns on the Arctic sea ice, closely combined with satellite remote sensing studies and numerical model experiments.

1 Introduction

²⁵ Small-scale physical processes play an important role in the Arctic atmosphere–sea ice–ocean system, in particular at the interfaces and within boundary layers. Here, we





define small-scale processes as such processes that need to be parameterized in climate or meteorological/oceanographic forecast models, with their current resolutions typically of the order of 1 to 100 km. These processes include turbulent mixing in the atmosphere and ocean, cloud and aerosol physics, radiative transfer in the atmosphere,

- snow, ice, and ocean, exchange of momentum, heat, and matter at air-sea, air-snow, air-ice, snow-ice, and ice-water interfaces, small-scale mechanics in sea ice, sea ice growth and melt, formation of snow ice, super-imposed ice, and frazil ice, as well as topographic effects on the atmosphere and ocean in coastal and continental shelf regions.
- ¹⁰ Better understanding and modelling of the Arctic sea-ice decline requires comprehensive, synthetic knowledge of small-scale processes in the atmosphere, snow, ice, and ocean. Such knowledge and related modelling capabilities are also prerequisites for a better understanding of the Arctic amplification of climate warming (Serreze and Barry, 2011), for which several processes have been proposed. Among them,
- the snow/ice albedo feedback has received most attention (e.g. Flanner et al., 2011; Hudson, 2011); in addition to its direct effect, it enhances the Arctic amplification by strengthening the water-vapour and cloud radiative feedbacks (Graversen and Wang, 2009). Further, the small heat capacity of the shallow stably stratified boundary layer (Esau and Zilitinkevich, 2010) and increased fall-winter energy loss from the ocean
- (Overland et al., 2008; Screen and Simmonds, 2010a) tend to amplify the Arctic warming, as do the effects of aerosols. Black carbon aerosols have been suggested to reduce the surface albedo (e.g. Hadley and Kirchstetter, 2012) and to warm the atmosphere (e.g. Quinn et al., 2008), while other aerosols affect the optical properties of the clouds and precipitation processes (e.g. Fridlind et al., 2012; Solomon et al.,
- 25 2011). In addition to the above-mentioned small-scale processes, an increased advection of heat and moisture from lower latitudes contributes to the Arctic amplification (Graversen et al., 2008; Kapsch et al., 2013). The relative importance of the above-mentioned processes is not well known, with a recent study finding a dominating role of the water-vapor feedback (Mauritsen et al., 2013).





Small-scale processes are most active and important in a layer that starts from the base of the ocean pycnocline and extends up to the top of the boundary-layer capping inversion in the atmosphere, as schematically illustrated in Fig. 1. When sea ice is present, this layer extends down to 300 m into the ocean (Dmitrenko et al., 2008) and typically up to 100–1000 m in the atmosphere (Tjernström and Graversen, 2009), but

- typically up to 100–1000 m in the atmosphere (Tjernström and Graversen, 2009), but seasonal and regional variations are large. This layer includes large vertical gradients in temperature, salinity, air humidity, and wind/current speed; these gradients are generated by a complex interaction of large-scale circulation and small-scale processes. The large gradients are the driving force for turbulent and conductive exchange pro-
- ¹⁰ cesses in a vertical direction. Further, the layer bounded by the ocean pycnocline and air temperature inversion includes major variations in radiative transfer. Compared to a dry atmosphere, the ocean, sea ice, snow, and clouds have a much higher emissivity for longwave radiation. Clouds absorb and scatter solar shortwave radiation, and snow cover strongly reflects solar radiation, whereas sea ice has a lower albedo, and ¹⁵ the ocean absorbs significant amounts of solar radiation, but only through the ice-free
- areas and very thin ice (Perovich et al., 2007a, b).

Over the central Arctic Ocean, small-scale processes are somewhat more tractable than near the coasts and continental shelves. In the latter regions, processes have a more profound three-dimensional structure, including orographic influences on the sin flow. (Denfrom et al., 2000) and likewise influences of the better tenermatic and

air flow (Renfrew et al., 2008) and, likewise, influences of the bottom topography and river discharge on local stratification and circulation in fjords and coastal waters (Cottier et al., 2007). In all regions, small-scale processes (e.g., radiative transfer, cloud physics, and turbulent mixing) naturally include three-dimensional structures, but their net effect is mostly related to fluxes in the vertical; except for sea ice dynamics where
 many important small-scale processes act horizontally.

Processes on different scales are strongly interactive. On one hand, large-scale circulation and related lateral advection of heat and water vapour/freshwater in the atmosphere (Graversen et al., 2011; Sedlar and Devasthale, 2012; Kapsch et al., 2013) and ocean (Mauldin et al., 2010; Lique and Steele, 2012) strongly affect the bound-



ary conditions for small scale processes in the Arctic. On the other hand, small-scale processes modify the large-scale circulation via a number of interactive processes. For example, frictional convergence in the atmospheric boundary layer (ABL) affects the evolution of cyclones, and brine release from sea ice affects deep convection in the

- ⁵ ocean and, hence, the global thermohaline circulation. From the point of view of climate and operational modelling, the wide spatial and temporal range of important processes is a major restriction. The important scales range from micrometers (e.g. cloud physics) to thousands of kilometers (planetary waves). As models cannot resolve all scales of motion, many fundamentally important processes need to be parameterized
- ¹⁰ using simplified physics and empirical relationships to resolved grid-scale variables. Variability on the "mesoscale" (approximately 5–500 km in scale) is at the boundary of what is resolved and what must be parameterized in global numerical weather prediction and climate models. In the Arctic this includes polar mesoscale cyclones, fronts, and orographic flows while there are also a wide range of oceanographic processes at these scales.

In subgrid-scale parameterizations the small-scale processes are presented as functions of those variables that can be resolved by the model grid. Subgrid-scale parameterization is one of the issues in climate models that are most prone to uncertainties and errors. This is for several reasons: (a) processes are often so complicated that it

- is not possible to accurately describe them solely on the basis of resolved variables,
 (b) models have errors in the resolved variables, (c) the resolved variables represent a large volume (grid cell) but there are large variations in the sub-grid scale processes inside the grid cell, (d) the physics of small-scale processes is often not sufficiently well known, (e) parameterizations require experimental data to constrain closure assump-
- tions and the amount of such data may not be sufficient (in volume or in range), and (f) parameterizations are often tuned to make the overall performance of models better, even when this makes the description of the particular small-scale process worse (Steeneveld et al., 2010); the latter is a source of compensating errors and further





inhibits model development, since improvements in one particular process via tuning often results in degradation in the overall model performance.

Present-day climate and numerical weather prediction (NWP) models as well as atmospheric reanalyses include large errors in small-scale processes. For example, in

- ⁵ a validation of six regional climate models against year-round observations at the drifting ice station of the Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean (SHEBA), Tjernström et al. (2005) observed that the turbulent heat fluxes were mostly unreliable with insignificant correlations with observed fluxes and annual accumulated values an order of magnitude larger than observed. The downward shortwave and longwave radia-
- tion in the six models were systematically biased negative. Tjernström et al. (2008) showed that the radiation errors were strongly related to errors in cloud occurrence, heights, and properties (such as water and ice content and their vertical distribution). In an evaluation of the latest atmospheric reanalyses against independent tethersonde sounding data from the Central Arctic sea ice, Jakobson et al. (2012) showed that all
- five reanalyses included in the evaluation had large systematic errors. Even the best one (ERA-Interim of the ECMWF; Dee et al., 2011) suffered from a warm bias of up to 2°C in the lowermost 400 m layer and significant moist bias throughout the lowermost 900 m. The observed biases in temperature, humidity, and wind speed were in many cases comparable or even larger than the climatological trends during the lat-
- est decades. This represents a major challenge for investigations of Arctic warming, which are often based on atmospheric reanalyses. If the errors are solely systematic, then reanalyses may still yield useful information on trends, but for many variables and regions we lack the observations to determine if the errors are systematic or not.

Although the above-mentioned model evaluation studies have been made for the ²⁵ Arctic, little is known about the quality of operational weather forecasts in the central Arctic. Nordeng et al. (2007) reviewed the challenges in the field, and Jung and Leutbecher (2007) evaluated the ECMWF forecasting system, but quantitative comparisons between operational forecasts and observations taken at ice stations, research vessels and aircraft in the central Arctic seem not to be presented in recent scientific literature.





For the Arctic marginal seas and coastal areas, in contrast, some studies do exist; see Hines and Bromwich (2008), Lammert et al. (2010), Renfrew et al. (2009b), and about forecasting of Polar lows and other mesoscale cyclones, Sect. 2.3.

- The most essential sources of information available from the Arctic Ocean are insitu field observations, ice/ship-based or satellite remote sensing observations, operational analyses from NWP models, reanalyses, and results from model experiments dedicated for studies of small-scale processes. However, all these sources of information include uncertainties. Observations and data analyses focusing particularly on the Arctic are essential for an improved representation of processes within the Arctic, since
- the understanding obtained from lower latitudes may often not be valid for the Arctic. It should be noted that both atmospheric and ocean models apply parameterizations that are developed mostly on the basis of observations from low- and mid-latitudes. For example, stable stratification in the Arctic winter ABL is often long-lived, in contrast to the nocturnal stable ABL at lower latitudes; the latter is separated from the
- ¹⁵ free atmosphere by the residual layer (Zilitinkevich and Esau, 2005). This makes the Arctic ABL more liable to the effects of propagating internal gravity waves. Also, the common presence of mixed-phase clouds in the Arctic marks a drastic difference from lower latitudes, and necessitates further observations from the Arctic; observations of liquid water present in clouds at temperatures down to −34 °C during SHEBA came as
- a major surprise to the science community (Beesley et al., 2000; Intrieri et al., 2002). In the past, forecast centers running global climate or NWP models have not necessarily paid enough attention to problems in physical parameterizations in the Arctic, but the situation is improving with the Arctic coming more into focus, driven by the worldwide attention to the Arctic climate change and the increasing need for operational services
 in the Arctic.

During the International Polar Year 2007–2008 (IPY), a large effort was made in new field observations, data analyses and model experiments addressing small-scale processes in the Arctic atmosphere-sea ice-ocean system. One of the major efforts was the European project "Developing Arctic Modeling and Observing Capabilities for





Long-term Environmental Studies" (DAMOCLES), which included an extensive amount of in-situ observations in the Arctic, supported by remote sensing, data analyses, and model experiments. During DAMOCLES, the drifting ice station Tara was a platform for oceanographic, sea ice, and meteorological research (Gascard et al., 2008). In

- ⁵ addition, oceanographic and sea ice observations were carried out by several ships (R/V *Polarstern*, R/V *Lance*, I/B *Oden*, K/V *Svalbard*, R/V *Xuelong*, R/V *Håkon Mosby*), meteorological research was made at *Oden*, by the Polar 5 research aircraft, and at coastal sites, and drifting buoys, underwater gliders, and moorings collected extensive sets of oceanographic, sea ice, and meteorological observations.
- ¹⁰ Some aspects on small-scale processes in high latitudes have recently been reviewed. Notz (2012) focused on modelling sea ice, particularly on the remaining challenges, problems, and deficiencies in understanding. Bourassa et al. (2013) focused on radiative and turbulent surface fluxes and remote sensing observations. Heygster et al. (2012) addressed the advances during the DAMOCLES project in sea ice remote
- sensing, which is related to micro-scale processes in snow and ice. Rudels et al. (2013) reviewed the ocean circulation and water mass properties in the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean. In this review we focus on the advances in research on small-scale processes in the Arctic since the start of the IPY, addressing physical processes only, and defining small-scale processes as those that need to be parameterized in climate mod-
- els. Due to the above-mentioned recent papers, we will not address issues related to remote sensing of the ocean surface and sea ice. This review is organized in separate sections for small-scale processes in the atmosphere (Sect. 2), sea ice and snow (Sect. 3), and ocean (Sect. 4), with a cross-disciplinary synthesis and discussion in Sect. 5.





2 Atmosphere

2.1 Vertical structure and boundary-layer processes

2.1.1 Temperature and humidity inversions

The Arctic atmosphere is prominently characterized by the presence of temperature
and humidity inversions. In the vertical, inversion layers are considered to be small-scale features although their spatial and temporal coverage can be extensive. Before the IPY, in addition to coastal observations, the knowledge on temperature inversion statistics over the Arctic Ocean was mostly based on the radiosonde sounding data from coastal stations and the Russian drifting stations mostly from the sector of 120–240° E: surface-based inversions prevail during winter, extending to a height of typically 1200 m, with a typical temperature increase of 10–12 K (Kahl, 1990; Serreze et al., 1992). More recent ship and aircraft data show that in winter and early spring, especially during low temperatures, strong surface-based inversions exist also in the Atlantic sector of the Arctic Ocean (Lüpkes et al., 2012b). In summer, a slightly stable or near-neutral ABL prevails over sea ice with a capping inversion of variable depth. Tjernström et al. (2012) analyzed soundings from four summer expeditions in the central

- Arctic, including SHEBA, and found a very persistent picture of near-neutral boundarylayer conditions with depths ranging from ~ 200 to ~ 400 m. Tjernström and Graversen (2009) analyzed all the soundings from SHEBA and concluded that virtually all temper-
- ature inversions fall into either surface-based inversions or elevated inversions capping a near-neutral ABL, with no intermediary state. In winter, shifts between the two states are rapid, presumably depending on the presence of stratocumulus clouds, in which radiative processes and in-cloud turbulent dynamics together cause the shift of the inversion base from the surface to aloft (Tjernström and Graversen, 2009). There is also
- a pronounced annual cycle; in SHEBA data surface inversions were most common in winter and autumn, accounting for roughly 50% of the cases, while near-neutral stratification completely dominates in summer, when stable cases are almost non-existent.





32713

Since SHEBA, however, the occurrence of surface-based inversions in autumn has most probably decreased due to the sea ice decline.

Using the Atmospheric Infrared Sounder data, Devasthale et al. (2010) estimated that the area-averaged (70 to 90° N) clear-sky temperature inversion frequency is 70–

- ⁵ 90% for summer and approximately 90% for winter. Raddatz et al. (2011) found similar temperature inversion frequencies for a Canadian polynya region, whereas Tjernström and Graversen (2009) reported, based on the year-long SHEBA experiment, that the inversions are practically always present in the central Arctic. The spatial distribution of temperature inversions is inhomogeneous and strongly controlled by the surface type,
 the prevailing large-scale circulation conditions and by coastal topography (Pavelsky)
- et al., 2011; Wetzel and Brummer, 2011; Kilpeläinen et al., 2012).

The strongest temperature inversions are most often found in the lowermost kilometer, whereas the subsequent weaker inversions are nearly randomly distributed in the lowest 3 km (Tjernström and Graversen, 2009). The frequency, depth, and strength of

- temperature inversions have been found to correlate positively, both spatially and temporally, and correlate negatively with the surface temperature (Devasthale et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2011). However, the negative correlation between the inversion strength and surface temperature is noticeably weaker in summer presumably due to a different formation mechanism: the summer inversion formation is probably dominated by warm
- air advection from lower latitudes, while in winter the inversions are often generated due to radiation loss at the surface (Devasthale et al., 2010). Vihma et al. (2011) reported that temperature inversions on the coast of Svalbard are strongly affected by the synoptic-scale weather conditions such as 850 hPa geopotential, temperature and humidity.
- A particular feature in the Arctic atmosphere that rarely, if ever, occurs at lower latitudes is that specific humidity very often *increases* across the ABL capping inversion, even for cases where the relative humidity in fact drops in the vertical (Tjernström et al., 2004). Importantly, this causes the entrainment of free troposphere air into the ABL to be a source of moisture, rather than a sink which is the case practically everywhere





else on Earth. This contributes to the very moist conditions prevailing in the Arctic ABL. The frequency of specific humidity inversions has been found to be more than 80% throughout the year in the coastal Arctic, excluding the slightly lower summer frequencies on the Russian coast (Nygård et al., 2013). Vihma et al. (2011), for example,

- ⁵ found humidity inversions to be present in all their tethersonde profiles taken in spring on the coast of Svalbard. In summer, humidity inversions are slightly less frequent, but they are stronger than in winter due to higher summer temperatures (Devasthale et al., 2011; Nygård et al., 2013). Humidity inversion climatologies based on radio-sounding data (Nygård et al., 2013) and satellite observations (Devasthale et al., 2011) differ
- notably, especially in the seasonal cycle of inversion properties, due to differences in the vertical resolution and methodology. Humidity inversions are nearly always found at multiple levels (Devasthale et al., 2011; Vihma et al., 2011; Kilpeläinen et al., 2012; Nygård et al., 2013). Vihma et al. (2011) reported that compared to temperature inversions, humidity inversions on average had their base at a higher level and were thicker
- than temperature inversions. They concluded that this was mostly due to the role of the snow and sea ice surface as a sink for heat but commonly not for humidity (see also Persson et al., 2002). On the other hand, humidity inversions have been found to coincide with temperature inversions (Wetzel and Brummer, 2011; Sedlar et al., 2012; Tjernström et al., 2012), and a nonlinear relationship between humidity and temperature inversion strength is clear in all seasons except during summer (Devasthale et al., 2011).

Temperature and humidity inversions also have notable implications for the longwave radiation. Bintanja et al. (2011) demonstrated that atmospheric cooling efficiency decreases markedly with temperature inversion strength, which means that the surface is

²⁵ warmed by temperature inversions. Boé et al. (2009) obtained somewhat contradicting results for the surface temperature of the open ocean, but they too came to the conclusion that a strong temperature inversion tends to increase the near-surface surface air temperature via longwave radiation. Humidity inversions, in turn, can contribute up to 50 % of the total amount of condensed water vapour in a relatively dry atmosphere in





winter and spring, which can significantly influence the longwave radiative characteristics of the atmosphere (Devasthale et al., 2011), and they are presumably vital for the formation and maintenance of Arctic clouds. The interaction of humidity inversions and clouds is discussed in Sect. 2.2.1.

- Inversions are a robust metric to evaluate reproducibility of the thermodynamics in the numerical models (Devasthale et al., 2011). Currently, Arctic temperature and humidity inversions are not realistically captured with respect to strength, depth and base height by operational weather forecasting models (Lammert et al., 2010), climate models (Medeiros et al., 2011), high-resolution mesoscale models (Kilpeläinen et al., 2012),
- or even reanalyses (Lüpkes et al., 2010; Jakobson et al., 2012; Serreze et al., 2012). In particular, it is the nature of the Arctic atmosphere to contain multiple inversion layers and this is not reproduced in the models (Kilpeläinen et al., 2012). The errors in temperature inversion characteristics are related to deficits in the simulation of stable boundary layer (SBL) turbulence, clouds, radiative transfer, and surface energy bud get (Lammert et al., 2010; Kilpeläinen et al., 2012) but are also sensitive to vertical
- ¹⁵ get (Lammert et al., 2010; Kilpeläinen et al., 2012) but are also sensitive to vertica resolution in models.

2.1.2 Stable boundary layer

The inner part of the Arctic Ocean, where the ice concentration is high and the surface is relatively flat and homogeneous, is ideal for SBL studies. Research on the Arctic SBL

- is strongly motivated by the major problems that climate models and reanalyses have in stably stratified conditions. Further, the shallowness of the SBL, and consequently its small heat capacity, is probably one of the reasons for the Arctic amplification of climate change (Esau and Zilitinkevich, 2010; Esau et al., 2012). Further, Bintanja et al. (2011) have suggested that the Arctic wintertime temperature inversion reduces infrared cool-
- ing of the ABL, and therefore acts as a positive feedback to climate warming. The role of the inversion layer depends, however, on which altitude the climate warming peaks. If the warming is strongly related to sea ice decline and peaks at the sea surface (Screen)





and Simmonds, 2010b), the temperature inversion strength may decrease, generating a negative feedback.

A large part of the recent advance in understanding the structure of and processes in the SBL has continued to be based on analyses of data from the SHEBA experi-

- 5 ment. One of the main sources of uncertainty in modelling of the SBL and in estimating the turbulent fluxes on the basis of profile measurements has been the large scatter between experimental formulae that describe the stability-dependent relationship between vertical gradients and fluxes. Also, the experimental relationships have (prior to SHEBA) not been based on Arctic data. Grachev et al. (2007a) revisited the problam and derived new formulae for stable stratification on the basis of Austin data form
- ¹⁰ lem and derived new formulae for stable stratification on the basis of Arctic data from SHEBA. Using the same data, Grachev et al. (2007b) clarified the stability dependence of the turbulent Prandtl number, which describes the difference in turbulent transfer between momentum and sensible heat.

The problems of very stable boundary layers were addressed by Sorbjan and Grachev (2010) and Grachev et al. (2012). In the traditional Monin–Obukhov similarity theory, the stability parameter for flux–profile relationships is z/L, where the Obukhov length L depends on the turbulent fluxes. According to Grachev et al. (2012), for moderately and very stable conditions, a gradient-based scaling is, however, better, because in such conditions the vertical gradients are large and their errors are relatively

- ²⁰ small, whereas the very small turbulent fluxes and unwanted self-correlation give rise to ambiguous z/L. Grachev et al. (2012) also improved the methodology of the gradientbased formulation with respect to treatment of outlier values of the Richardson number. On the basis of SHEBA and mid-latitude data, Sorbjan and Grachev (2010) concluded that the necessary condition for the presence of steady-state turbulence is that the
- gradient Richardson number is smaller than 0.7. The local Monin–Obukhov similarity theory is, however, well applicable only for Richardson numbers smaller than 0.2–0.25. Mauritsen and Svensson (2007) analyzed a large set of stable ABL observations from different locations including SHEBA verifying the conclusion that closures should be based on gradients to avoid spurious self-correlation and also illustrated the regime





shift from "weakly stable" to "strongly stable" stratification for Richardson numbers in the range 0.2 to 1.0. Additionally, turbulent momentum transfer remained finite for large values of the gradient Richardson number, while the sensible heat flux tended to zero.

Related to the division between weakly stable and strongly stable ABL, Lüpkes et al. (2008a) found that during SHEBA the lowest near-surface temperatures did not occur under calm conditions, but required a wind speed of about 4 m s⁻¹ to mix the near surface air with the cold snow surface, a feature they reproduced in a column model.

A low-level jet (LLJ) is a distinctive feature of the SBL; it is often generated by inertial oscillations related to the establishment of stable stratification. However, a LLJ also

- ¹⁰ affects the SBL turbulence via top-down mixing due to the large wind shear below the jet core. ReVelle and Nilsson (2008) modified the description of frictional effects in the classical analytical LLJ model of Thorpe and Guymer (1977), and obtained a better match with LLJ observations from the Arctic Ocean. Van de Wiel et al. (2010) further improved the treatment of friction in a conceptual LLJ model, but their model has not
- yet been evaluated against Arctic observations. New observations of LLJs over the Arctic Ocean include the work of Jakobson et al. (2013) based on tethered soundings at Tara. In their data, baroclinicity related to transient cyclones was the most important forcing mechanism for LLJs. On average, the baroclinic jets were strong and warm, occurring at lower altitudes than other jets, related among others to inertial oscillations and gusts.

Considering ABL modelling, it is well known that the ABL schemes commonly applied in climate models and NWP yield excessive heat and momentum fluxes in the SBL (Cuxart et al., 2006; Tjernström et al., 2005) typically resulting in a warm bias near the surface (Atlaskin and Vihma, 2012). In the Arctic, Byrkjedal et al. (2007) demonstrated the importance of a high vertical resolution: not surprisingly, model experiments with 90 levels in the vertical yielded much better results than those with 31 levels, the latter being typical for climate models contributing to the IPCC AR4. The high-resolution simulations significantly reduced the warm bias and the excessive turbulent fluxes of





heat and momentum that were present in the coarse resolution results over the Arctic Ocean.

Sterk et al. (2013) applied a single column version of the Polar Weather Research and Forecasting (Polar WRF) model to study the role of snow-surface coupling, radiation, and turbulent mixing in an Arctic stable boundary layer. A novel aspect in their work was the use of so-called process diagrams to analyse the output of various model experiments. They indicate how the variations in parameter values related to ABL turbulence, radiation, and sub-surface conductive heat flux are related to differences in the model output. Also Sterk et al. (2013) simulated the lowest near-surface temperatures
in conditions of non-zero wind speed (see above).

In addition to Arctic research, studies at lower latitudes and in the Antarctic have yielded recent advances in understanding of the very stable boundary layer. One of the most important advances has been the better understanding and evidence that turbulence prevails in the atmosphere even under very stable stratification with $Ri \gg 1$.

- ¹⁵ This is related to the anisotropy of turbulence, which allows enhanced horizontal mixing, and to internal waves, which preserve vertical momentum mixing (Galperin et al., 2007). The energy of internal waves is associated with the turbulent potential energy (TPE), the importance of which has recently been better understood (Mauritsen, 2007; Zilitinkevich et al., 2013), in addition to well-known importance of the turbulent kinetic
- ²⁰ energy, TKE. If TPE is taken into account, it follows that there is no critical Ri, and turbulence can survive in the very stable boundary layer. It is, however, noteworthy that these findings have not yet been evaluated against Arctic observations.

2.1.3 Convection over leads, polynyas, and the open ocean

Convection takes place in the Arctic ABL mostly due to the coexistence of ice and
 open water causing strong gradients in the surface temperatures. The influence of open water on the atmosphere strongly depends on the season, being largest in winter and smallest in summer (Bromwich et al., 2009; Kay et al., 2011). Convection may appear over leads, polynyas, and over the open ocean during cold air outbreaks. Thus there





is a large variability in the involved spatial scales, and parametrizations of turbulence required. Convection over leads and polynyas (Fig. 2) has been studied since 1970s (e.g. Andreas et al., 1979). During recent decades, progress has been made mainly with respect to the parametrization of energy fluxes at the lead surface (Lüpkes et al.,

- ⁵ 2012b). For example, the older Andreas and Cash (1999) parametrization states that the transport of sensible heat is more efficient over small leads than over large leads, due to the combined effect of forced and free convection. Recently, based on the lead distribution as analysed from a SPOT satellite image, Marcg and Weiss (2012) found that this dependence can increase heat fluxes over a large region of the Arctic by up to
- 55% since the small leads are dominating. Also Overland et al. (2000) (observations) 10 and Lüpkes et al. (2008a) (1-D air-ice modelling) point to the strong potential impact of atmospheric convection over leads on the surface energy budget. Both found that the net heat flux over an ice-covered region in the inner Arctic was close to zero due to a balance of downward fluxes during slightly stable near-surface stratification and
- upward fluxes from leads. Nevertheless, although the effect of a single lead on the 15 temperature is small, the integral effect of leads can be very large: according to the model simulations by Lüpkes et al. (2008a), during polar night under clear skies, a 1 % decrease in sea ice concentration results in up to a 3.5 K increase of the near-surface air temperature, if the air-mass flows over the sea ice long enough (48 h). The Polar
- WRF experiments by Bromwich et al. (2009) revealed that in winter in a site with an 20 ice concentration of about 60%, the grid-averaged surface temperature increased by 14 K when the fractional sea ice cover was taken into account (instead of having 100% ice concentration). For Antarctic winter, Valkonen et al. (2008) obtained a maximum of 13 K sensitivity of the 2 m air temperature to the sea ice concentration data set applied

(all based on passive microwave observations). 25

Difficulties arise not only with respect to the treatment of surface fluxes over leads, but plumes generated over leads interact with the stable or near-neutral environment; only first attempts have been made to account for the nonlocal character of turbulent fluxes in the plume regions at higher ABL levels (Lüpkes et al., 2008b).





Strong convection is also generated over (coastal) polynyas, especially under conditions of off-ice winds from the shelf regions (see a review of previous work in Heinemann, 2008). Ebner et al. (2011) showed by a modelling study that convective plumes generated over the Laptev Sea polynya influence atmospheric turbulence even 500 km
downstream of the polynya. Hebbinghaus et al. (2006) found furthermore that cyclonic vortices can be generated or intensified over polynyas due to convective processes. Such processes over large polynyas may be relevant especially with respect to the drastic changes in sea ice cover observed in recent years. Accordingly, Blütghen et al. (2012) found by a large-scale modeling study that there is a strong increase of monthly averaged heat fluxes in regions with sea ice retreat during autumn 2007 as compared with previous years.

One of the modeling challenges in leads and polynyas is the formation of new ice (Sect. 4.1), which strongly affects the surface temperature, the release of latent and sensible heat, and further the evolution of the ABL (Tisler et al., 2008). Especially, the modelling of thin ice growth is difficult due to the required resolution, but also the re-

- ¹⁵ modelling of thin ice growth is difficult due to the required resolution, but also the relation between the transfer coefficients of momentum and heat/humidity requires still future work (Fiedler et al., 2010). Processes in the upper ABL need to be investigated in future also with the help of small-scale or Large Eddy Simulation (LES). For example, Esau (2007) found that the structure of turbulent regimes over leads can be extremely complicated under light winds as often found in Arctic regions. This finding
- forms a challenge for future improved parametrizations of energy transports.

The height reached by convective plumes strongly depends on the width of the lead/polynya, wind speed, surface-air temperature difference, and the background stratification against which the convection has to work (e.g. Liu et al., 2006). On the

²⁵ basis of airborne observations and high-resolution modelling, Lüpkes et al. (2008b, 2012b) concluded that convection over 1–2 km wide leads only reached altitudes of 50–150 m. On the basis of aircraft in-situ, drop sonde, and lidar observations, Lampert et al. (2012) observed that over areas with many leads the potential temperature decreased with height in the lowermost 50 m, then was near constant up to the height





of 100–200 m. If the leads were frozen and their fraction was small, however, a SBL extended up to a height of 200–300 m.

Compared to leads and polynyas, deeper convection in the Arctic atmosphere takes place in cold-air outbreaks over the open ocean. Despite the Arctic change Vavrus et al. (2006) found by a modeling study that the number of cold-air outbreaks (CAOs) will increase during the 21st century in several regions as, for example, over the Atlantic Ocean. On the basis of reanalysis data, Kolstad et al. (2009) concluded that seasonal and inter-annual variability of CAOs is mostly governed by the variability of the 700 hPa air temperature, T700, rather than by the sea surface temperature. Using a rough measure of CAO occurrence based e.g. on T700, Kolstad and Bracegirdle (2008) concluded

- ¹⁰ sure of CAO occurrence based e.g. on 1700, Kolstad and Bracegirdle (2008) concluded that climate models broadly capture the observed climatology of CAOs, but differences from observations occur in areas where models have excessive sea ice cover. As energy fluxes are very large in CAOs and extensive ocean regions are affected, only small differences in the CAO occurrence and properties may cause a large effect on
- the regional ocean-atmosphere heat flux. Furthermore, strong off-ice winds as being typical for CAOs have a large impact on the drift of sea ice in the marginal sea ice zones, which in turn affects the CAO development. Thus it is important to investigate also the small-scale physical processes in CAOs such as ABL turbulence in strong convective regimes as well as cloud physical processes. Lüpkes et al. (2012b) pronounce
- ²⁰ that the simplest possibility to successfully parameterize turbulent transport in a strong convective regime is to use closures allowing counter-gradient transport of heat.

Applying a mesoscale model with different grid sizes, Chechin et al. (2013) found for idealized cases that the strength of the ice breeze developing in CAOs over open water downstream of the marginal sea ice zone (MIZ) was strongly affected by the grid sizes:

²⁵ models with grid sizes larger than 20 km tend to underestimate the wind speed close to the ice edge. This finding confirms earlier results by Renfrew et al. (2009a, b) and Haine et al. (2009). Since the ice breeze occurring in a region of roughly 100 km width along the polar ice edges influences the energy fluxes, there might be a systematic underestimation of surface energy fluxes in large scale models.





One of the most striking small scale features during CAOs is the occurrence of roll convection, which has been extensively studied in the last decades (Liu et al., 2006). There are, however, still fundamental questions under discussion. Gryschka et al. (2008) found by an LES study that in case of strong surface heating and weak ⁵ wind shear, surface inhomogeneities in the MIZ are an important factor for the generation of convection rolls. This finding stresses also the importance of a close-to-reality treatment of the MIZ processes including the near surface-fluxes (see Sect. 2.1.4).

2.1.4 Surface roughness and momentum flux

The drift speed of Arctic sea ice has increased during recent decades (Rampal et al., 2009; Spreen et al., 2011). Depending on the time scale considered, this increasing trend is mostly due to ice becoming thinner and mechanically weaker (Sect. 3.8) or increasing wind speeds since the 1950s (Häkkinen et al., 2008). To reliably model the ice drift velocity field and ice export out of the Arctic, it is essential to accurately parameterize the transport of momentum from the atmosphere to the sea ice. Moreover, the

¹⁵ friction at the surface determines the atmospheric cross-isobaric mass flux, sometimes called Ekman transport, that is very important for the proper simulation of the lifetime of synoptic-scale weather systems.

The momentum flux depends on the wind velocity, thermal stratification in the ABL, and aerodynamic roughness of ice/snow surface, which can be expressed as a roughness length (*z*₀) or drag coefficient (C_{D10N} referring to that at 10 m height under neutral stratification). In addition to the skin friction over smooth ice/snow surface, the aerodynamic roughness of sea ice is affected by factors generating form drag: ridges, floe edges, and sastrugi (Andreas et al., 2010a, b; Andreas, 2011; Lüpkes et al., 2012a, 2013). This generates a challenge for operational modelling: the above-mentioned characteristics of sea ice surface vary rapidly in time and often over small spatial scales, but they are difficult to observe by remote sensing. Over broken sea ice cover, however,

the form drag is mostly caused by floe edges, whose occurrence is related to the sea ice concentration, which can be observed by remote sensing.





The aerodynamic roughness length of sea ice can be calculated on the basis of tower or aircraft observations. However, the results are not directly comparable, as tower observations are not necessarily representative for the wider surroundings, where the occurrence of ice ridges, floe edges, and sastrugi may differ from that in the foot-⁵ print area of the tower. New results for the Arctic sea ice, based on the old tower observations from SHEBA, include those by Andreas et al. (2010a, b). A significant advance has been the better understanding of the differences between the factors controlling z_0 in winter and summer. For winter conditions, Andreas et al. (2010a) propose a parametrization which results in $z_0 = 2.3 \times 10^{-4}$ m for a large range of friction velocities (corresponding to $C_{D10N} = 1.4 \times 10^{-3}$), and argue that a former stronger dependence on friction velocity found by Brunke et al. (2006) might have occurred due to a fictitious self-correlation. Andreas et al. (2010b) addressed the Arctic summer,

- when open water is present due to melt ponds and leads. They showed that numerous pre-IPY observations carried out during campaigns in the marginal ice zone could be
- ¹⁵ matched with the summer observations in SHEBA. A fit was proposed for C_{D10N} with a polynomial dependence on the sea ice concentration. This idea was extended by Lüpkes et al. (2012a) who showed that the fit could be rewritten in terms of a drag partitioning concept distinguishing between skin drag over sea ice and open water in melt ponds and leads and form drag caused by the edges of ponds and leads. They
- finally proposed a hierarchy of drag parameterizations whose complexity depends on the used background model (e.g., stand-alone atmosphere or coupled ocean-sea iceatmosphere model). Compared to pre-IPY results, the role of melt ponds is a new aspect. Lüpkes et al. (2013) showed on the basis of sea ice concentration and melt pond fraction data obtained by MODIS (Rösel et al., 2012) that the inclusion of the melt pond effect on roughness has a significant impact on the drag coefficients to be used
 - in climate models.

It should be noted that NWP and climate models often apply z_0 values over sea ice that are much larger than those suggested as mean values by field observations. For example, the operational NWP model of the ECMWF and the Polar version of the





Weather Research and Forecast model (Polar WRF) both apply z_0 of 1 mm over sea ice (ECMWF, 2012; Bromwich et al., 2009). The NWP model HIRLAM even uses z_0 of 30 mm. Further, to avoid decoupling, models often apply some threshold values, e.g. a lower limit for the friction velocity. In general, a high z_0 and other means to enhance turbulent mixing yield more Ekman pumping and a better evolution of synoptic-scale systems (Beare, 2007; Svensson and Holtslag, 2009). Few studies exist where the momentum flux in climate models is systematically evaluated. Tjernström et al. (2005) concluded that the momentum flux is systematically overestimated for five evaluated regional models. This overestimation leads to an enhanced mixing and is a root cause

¹⁰ for many other systematic problems in NWP and climate models.

Compared to aerodynamic roughness, fewer studies have addressed the effects of (a) roughness lengths for heat and moisture, and (b) stratification on the wind stress over Arctic sea ice. Considering differences between sea ice and open water, the effects of stratification and roughness usually tend to compensate for each other. Open

- water (leads, polynyas, and the open ocean) usually has a lower z_0 than sea ice but for most of the year the stratification over open water is unstable, which enhances the vertical transport of momentum. Demonstrating the dominating effect of stratification, a larger momentum flux over open water than sea ice has been observed (Brümmer and Thiemann, 2002) and obtained in modelling studies (Tisler et al., 2008; Kilpeläinen
- et al., 2011). In global scale, advance has also been made in studies of momentum flux over the open ocean (see Bourassa et al. (2013) for a review).

Considering drifting/blowing snow, most of the recent research advances originate from Antarctica and Greenland, but the issue is relevant also for the Arctic sea ice: via redistributing the snow thickness, drifting/blowing snow also affects the locations

of melt pond formation (Sect. 3.1). Andreas (2010a) showed that, under wind speeds strong enough for the occurrence of drifting snow, the z_0 of snow-covered sea ice is independent of the friction velocity, which is in contrast to many commonly applied parameterizations.



2.2 Clouds and radiation

2.2.1 Cloud physics

Clouds are ubiquitous in the Arctic. The cloud fraction has an annual cycle with a maximum in early autumn and minimum during late winter (e.g. Curry et al., 1996; Shupe

- ⁵ et al., 2011). This has been observed since the beginning of the satellite era (Liu et al., 2012), yet atmospheric models continue to struggle with even this most first-order cloud property. An ensemble average of state-of-the-art CMIP3 climate models generally agree with satellite observations of the Arctic cloud fraction annual cycle. Individually, however, models display a substantial inter-model spread, largest during winter
- and smallest in summer, which dramatically biases their ability to capture the correct annual cycle amplitude and some models even have an inverse annual cycle with less clouds in summer and more in winter (Karlsson and Svensson, 2011). Summer clouds posed problems also for the Community Atmospheric Model version 4 (CAM4) (Kay et al., 2011), and simulation of clouds was one of the main problems in testing of the
- ¹⁵ Polar WRF model against SHEBA data (Bromwich et al., 2009) and recently against the Arctic Summer Cloud Ocean Study (ASCOS) data (Wesslen et al., 2013) as part of the Arctic System Reanalysis effort.

Additionally, models have difficulties in representing the correct amount and vertical distribution of cloud hydrometeor phase partitioning over the polar regions under

a wide range of annual temperatures. These biases lead to direct consequences for the surface radiation budget, near-surface temperature and the lower ABL thermal stability and turbulent structure (Tjernström et al., 2008; Birch et al., 2009; Karlsson and Svensson, 2011; Kay et al., 2011; Cesana et al., 2012; Liu et al., 2012).

The difficulties in modelling clouds over the Arctic are related to the numerous interactive processes, schematically illustrated in Fig. 3. Even though cloud fraction is relatively high year-round, Shupe (2011) has clearly shown that seasonally-dependent, vertical cloud phase preferences exist. Liquid-only clouds rarely exist above 2 km a.g.l., occurring predominantly during the sunlit portions of the year. Unlike the rest of the





globe, Arctic mixed-phase stratocumulus (MPS) clouds tend to be the most common in the lower Arctic troposphere, except during winter and early spring when ice-only clouds dominate. An obvious connection between cloud phase and atmospheric temperature is present. However, cloud liquid water has been observed at temperatures below -34 °C (Intrieri et al., 2002). In fact, MPS are often the preferential cloud class when temperatures range between -15 to near 0 °C (Shupe, 2011; de Boer et al.,

2009).

10

Complicating the matter, the presence of liquid droplets and ice crystals together forms an unstable equilibrium due to the saturation vapor pressure differences of ice and liquid, the Wegner–Bergeron–Findeisen (WBF) process (c.f. Morrison et al., 2012). Despite this instability, liquid-topped clouds with ice and/or drizzle precipitating from this layer are the norm within the lower Arctic troposphere from spring through autumn

(Tjernström et al., 2004; de Boer et al., 2009; Shupe, 2011; Sedlar et al., 2011). Shupe et al. (2011) observed mean duration times of the order of 10 h for these cloud systems, but they may also occur as guasi-stationary systems persisting for days (Shupe et al.,

2008; Sedlar et al., 2011; Shupe, 2011).

The generally long lifetime of MPS suggests that relative humidity with respect to liquid (RH_{liq}) is kept high within and near the cloud layer. If RH_{liq} becomes sub-saturated in the presence of ice crystals, liquid droplets must evaporate following the WBF pro-

²⁰ cess, causing rapid depositional ice growth and cloud layer glaciation. Shupe (2011) has shown that in-cloud RH and temperature distributions at a number of Arctic stations are in fact surprisingly similar, lending evidence to a system that is conditioned for, and dependent upon, mixed-phase clouds.

Although subtroptical stratocumulus also often exhibit decoupling between the surface and the cloud layer occurring during daytime in a diurnal cycle, the Arctic ABL and sub-cloud thermodynamic structure often feature a more persistent decoupling between the surface and the cloud layers (Shupe et al., 2013) and the mechanisms are different. This decoupling appears to be most common during the cold, dark months, but occurs also during the transition and summer seasons (Kahl, 1990; Tjernström





et al., 2004, 2012; Sedlar et al., 2011, 2012; Solomon et al., 2011; Shupe et al., 2013). Thus the surface-based moisture source for Arctic MPS is often missing. Sedlar and Tjernström (2009) and Sedlar et al. (2012) identified a common, persistent Arctic MPS cloud regime over the Arctic where the cloud layer is decoupled from the surface, a liq-

- ⁵ uid cloud top extending above the stably stratified temperature inversion base, and ice crystals precipitating from the cloud. They hypothesize that the presence of specific humidity inversions, a common Arctic phenomenon (see Sect. 2.1.1), are vital to Arctic MPS survival. Surface turbulent heat and moisture fluxes are generally small over sea ice (Persson et al., 2002; Tjernström et al., 2005, 2012), and ice crystals falling from
- the cloud into the sub-saturated sub-cloud layer will further enhance decoupling due to ice crystal sublimation cooling (Harrington et al., 1999). Thus instead of moisture originating from the surface, the increased humidity within the inversion structure may be the moisture source which sustains the cloud system (Solomon et al., 2011; Sedlar et al., 2012).
- In general, stratiform clouds do not need large-scale updrafts, e.g. convection, to sustain themselves. Instead, these clouds rely on cloud-driven (in-cloud production of) vertical motion. Cloud top radiative cooling is typically very efficient as near-adiabatic liquid water content (LWC) profiles are common in the Arctic (Curry, 1986; Shupe et al., 2008). Turbulent kinetic energy is generated near cloud top (Shupe et al., 2012, 2013)
- ²⁰ due to parcel buoyancy differences initiated by radiative cloud-top cooling, causing top-down overturning circulations and vertically turbulent motions. Within these turbulent eddies, condensation and evaporation compete, often with condensation (evaporation) occurring in turbulent updrafts (downdrafts) near cloud top (Shupe et al., 2008). These mechanisms also occur within, and sustain, warm subtroptical stratocumulus.
- ²⁵ The key difference in the Arctic is the presence of liquid and ice simultaneously. Shupe et al. (2008) show that ice production is generally limited to cloud-generated updrafts that increase the supersaturation with respect to ice. When downdrafts were observed, ice production generally ceased and fewer ice crystals grew to large sizes and fell from the still-present, yet slightly more tenuous, liquid layer. Depending on the rela-





tive strength of in-cloud turbulence production and that driven by surface processes, the cloud-induced turbulent eddies may penetrate to the surface, or not; Tjernström (2007) suggested that most of the boundary-layer turbulence is in fact generated by the boundary-layer clouds, at least in summer. Cloud-generated mixing is found be-

- ⁵ neath cloud base, but the extent to which these turbulent motions reach the surface is often limited by a sub-cloud stable layer (Shupe et al., 2013; Sedlar and Shupe, 2013). Spectral analysis of in-cloud vertical velocities reveal only modest changes to the cloudgenerated temporal frequencies and horizontal wavelengths of vertical velocity when the cloud layer transitions between a surface-cloud coupled and decoupled state (Sed-
- ¹⁰ Iar and Shupe, 2013); the authors conclude that the surface-cloud coupling state is therefore a result of the cloud processes and not dependent on the turbulence generated near the surface. Analysis of winter soundings from SHEBA in Tjernström and Graversen (2009) additionally shows how the boundary layer structure changes are almost binary between a well-mixed state, similar to summer conditions when clouds ¹⁵ containing liquid water are present, and a distinct surface inversion structure when
 - clouds are either absent or optically thin.

The local net temperature tendency from latent heat release is generally smaller than radiative cooling from liquid cloud top (Harrington et al., 1999). Thus cloud droplets can persist (disregarding large-scale controls such as subsidence, frontal passages,

- etc.) as long as a moisture source is present. The presence of humidity inversions near cloud top provide such a source, and Solomon et al. (2011) describe how cloudgenerated vertical motions, and small but appreciable droplet condensation above the temperature inversion base, create the link between the cloud layer and the stable upper entrainment zone. This is a feature unique to the low-level Arctic thermodynamic
- structure, not observed in lower latitudes where large-scale subsidence generally prohibits humidity increases near cloud top. Furthermore, this situation is maintained by ice crystal formation and fallout (Shupe et al., 2008), effectively limiting the LWC near cloud top.



In addition to moisture, clouds need suspended aerosol particles with which to condense and freeze upon. These cloud condensation nuclei (CCN) and ice nuclei (IN) largely determine the cloud's microphysical structure. Over the Arctic, where local sources of pollution generally do not exist, transport in the region is considered the main contribution to the concentration and composition of CCN and IN (e.g. Shaw, 1975). In winter, when the ocean is ice covered, there is a substantial transport of aerosols and aerosol precursor gases into the Arctic (Barrie, 1986; Garrett and Zhao, 2006; Lubin and Vogelmann, 2006). In spring, photochemistry contributes to the formation of Arctic haze (i.e. Quinn et al., 2007). In summer, the meridional transport is weaker and the formation of low clouds and fog at the MIZ, as sub-Arctic marine air adjusts to the frozen or melting surface, forms an effective filter for the transport of aerosols in the lower troposphere. Thus in the summer boundary layer the aerosol concentrations are generally very low compared to further south, while transport of aerosols from lower latitudes may occur at higher elevations (Lance et al., 2011). While

the surface ocean is more exposed in summer, local production of aerosols may be important (Tjernström et al., 2013). Low aerosol concentrations and low temperatures both contribute to a preference for optically thin clouds and promote precipitation.

As expected, Arctic MPS droplet radii generally increase with height in the cloud following adiabatic conditions (e.g. Curry, 1986). Droplet effective radii often range between 4 to $15 \,\mu$ m. Typical LWC in mixed-phase clouds peaks between $0.1-0.2 \,qm^{-3}$

tween 4 to 15 µm. Typical LWC in mixed-phase clouds peaks between 0.1–0.2 gm (McFarquhar et al., 2007). Together with relatively thin geometric liquid layers in Arctic MPS (Shupe et al., 2008; Shupe, 2011), cloud liquid water paths (LWP) are often below 100 gm⁻² (de Boer et al., 2009; Sedlar et al., 2011; Shupe et al., 2011).

In-cloud ice water contents (IWC) are generally largest between cloud mid-level and base, decreasing upwards towards cloud top where they are initially formed (Shupe et al., 2008). Recent campaigns report a wide spectrum of ice crystal effective diameters, ranging from 20–60 μ m (McFarquhar et al., 2007; Shupe et al., 2008) and upwards of 100 μ m when falling through the subcloud layer (de Boer et al., 2009). The ratio of LWC to total water content is often larger than 0.8 (McFarquhar et al., 2007; Shupe





et al., 2008) indicating the resilience of cloud liquid despite near-constant drizzle and ice precipitation. In fact, de Boer et al. (2011) find evidence that liquid saturation occurs prior to ice crystal development even in a supersaturated environment with respect to ice. The authors suggest that ice nucleation mechanisms in Arctic MPS thus tend to be 5 controlled by processes that rely on the presence of liquid condensate.

Historically, models typically distinguish between cloud liquid and ice based only on temperature and thus fail to maintain liquid in very cold winter clouds (e.g. Beesley et al., 2000), but recently more advanced moist physics has made its way into state-of-the-art climate and weather forecast models (Meehl et al., 2013). However, while
¹⁰ being more physically based, it has been difficult to properly tune such schemes to work well in all seasons and under all conditions. Tjernström et al. (2008) showed that models with more advanced cloud physics schemes generally did not perform better than those with simple temperature-schemes. In an evaluation of ERA-Interim and two versions of the Arctic System reanalysis (ASR) against the ASCOS data, it was found
¹⁵ that ERA-Interim more faithfully retained the observed Arctic MPS in spite of its much simpler temperature dependent formulation, albeit not necessarily for the right reasons

2.2.2 Cloud-radiation interaction

(Wesslén et al., 2013).

The central Arctic imposes unique boundary conditions on both shortwave (solar) and
longwave (infrared) radiative transfer, controlled by the large seasonal variations in the incoming fluxes and a wide range of surface albedo conditions (Sect. 3.1.1). The presence of cloud cover impacts radiation reaching the surface in two competing ways. First, cloud hydrometeors absorb longwave radiation, increasing the emissivity relative to a clear-sky atmosphere. This results in a net warming effect at the surface,
especially over the Arctic where clear-sky effective emissivity is generally low, but simultaneously leads to cooling of the upper portion of the clouds. Conversely, clouds reflect incoming shortwave radiation to space resulting in a net surface cooling effect. Over the Arctic, the efficiency of shortwave cloud cooling is further limited by relatively





large solar zenith angles (SZAs) and surface albedos; the latter is often as high as that of the overlying cloud. In fact, it still remains uncertain whether the net radiative effect of clouds in summer is to cool the surface over the large-scale Arctic basin, even though observations from SHEBA suggest a net cloud cooling effect during June and July (Intrieri et al., 2002; Shupe and Intrieri, 2004). In an Arctic-wide sense, this net cloud effect is significantly connected to time of year, geographic location and surface

albedo, notwithstanding the cloud physical properties. The surface energy residuals, available for melting or freezing of the ice, are therefore strongly modified by the cloud radiative forcing. Surface energy budget analysis during

5

- strongly modified by the cloud radiative forcing. Surface energy budget analysis during
 the end of the 2008 melt season, towards the initiation of freeze up, during ASCOS demonstrated the delicate interplay of clouds, radiation, turbulence, and heat conduction in snow and ice (Sedlar et al., 2011). A week-long delay of the autumn freeze-up was realized through the manifestation of a positive longwave cloud radiative forcing of about 70 W m⁻², while the shortwave radiative cooling was limited to about -40 W m⁻²
- ¹⁵ by surface albedo and SZA constraints. Net surface energy residuals, however, were significantly reduced by redistribution of heat and moisture via near-surface turbulence and heat conduction in snow/ice. The increase of the surface albedo, that eventually put the energy balance beyond recovery, was not gradual but a result of heavy frost formation and melt pond freezing during a short colder period with new snowfall (Sedlar and be albedo). The surface albedo, the snowfall (Sedlar).
- et al., 2011; Sirevaag et al., 2011; Tjernström et al., 2012). The onset of freeze up was not realized until the low-level Arctic MPS became tenuous and cloud LWP decreased below 20 gm⁻² – essentially diminishing the cloud greenhouse effect.

Comparing various climate models, the monthly averaged spread in LWP and ice water path (IWP) in the Arctic can be as large as a factor of three (Karlsson and Svensson,

25 2011). Such variability inherently results in differences in cloud fraction as well as in the cloud-radiation interaction (Karlsson and Svensson, 2011). Tjernström et al. (2008) identified significant biases in several regional climate model (RCM) simulations of surface radiative fluxes during SHEBA. Both downwelling shortwave and longwave radiation were negatively biased, while the bias magnitudes varied depending on the





RCM. Tjernström et al. (2008) found a significant underestimation (overestimation) in cloud LWP above (below) 20 gm⁻². Conversely, nearly all RCMs underestimated the IWP and there were clear biases in the model simulations of liquid to total cloud water path. The authors speculated that the biases in downwelling longwave radiation
 ⁵ might be due to an absence of sufficient liquid water in winter and that the downwelling

- shortwave radiation bias was due to too opaque clouds, i.e. too high cloud albedo.
 However, even when the actual errors in LWP and IWP were cancelled in the analysis a bias remained. Thus, even if the distribution of ice and liquid were properly resolved, the modeled cloud-radiative interaction tends to be misrepresented, and this error will
 propagate to surface radiation balance errors for the ice and the ocean in coupled Earth
- ¹⁰ propagate to surface radiation balance errors for the ice and the ocean in coup System Models.

These results point at the importance of a proper handling of the aerosol/cloud/radiation feedback in resolving the proper radiation balance at the surface. This was clearly illustrated in Mauritsen et al. (2011) exploring the cloud

- radiative forcing at the surface in short- and longwave radiation as a function of cloud condensation nuclei (CCN). This study was triggered by observations during ASCOS of periods when CCN were depleted to the level that clouds apparently did not form even at 100% relative humidity; in fact there were cloud droplets present but so few that the clouds became optically thin enough to be undetectable by the eye: "tenuous
- ²⁰ clouds". Two regimes were found with an approximate division at CCN concentrations near 10 cm⁻³. When CCN was lower than this threshold, clouds would be "gray" in the infrared, and an increase in CCN would lead to an increase in downwelling radiation that far outweighed the simultaneous decrease in downwelling shortwave radiation; this gives rise to a warming effect at the surface. Conversely, when CCN
- ²⁵ concentrations were higher, further increases in CCN concentrations instead leads to reduced downwelling shortwave radiation causing a cooling effect at the surface, while clouds are already black in the infrared resulting in little or no change in the longwave. Perusing CCN observations from four expeditions to the summer Arctic, Mauritsen et al. (2011) speculate the tenuous clouds regime may be as common as 30% of the





32733

Petersen et al. (2009). They find barrier-effect enhancements of up to 20 ms^{-1} and peak wind speeds of up to $40 \,\mathrm{ms}^{-1}$. The structure of the barrier winds was strongly dependent on the synoptic-scale situation, often consisting of a cold barrier jet un-

Coastal regions and in particular coastal mountain ranges can have a pronounced im-5 pact on the mesoscale and boundary-layer meteorology of the adjacent coastal waters. This impact arises from the combined effects of orography and spatial differences between the surface temperatures of snow/ice-covered land, sea ice, and the open ocean (Fig. 4). Considering orographic effects, when the wind is flowing towards a barrier it must either rise over it or is distorted by it, i.e. it turns to flow along the coast as a barrier 10 wind or related feature such as westerly and easterly tip jets (the latter being a type of left-side jet). On the downstream side of a barrier there is often some sort of orographicforcing mechanism leading to mesoscale features such as gap winds, katabatic winds, and foehn winds. The surface temperature differences affect the thermodynamics of the

2.3 Partly resolved processes

15

20

25

2.3.1 Coastal and fjordic features

Papel **Small-scale physical** processes in the marine Arctic climate Discussion Pape system T. Vihma et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Discussion Paper Conclusions References ABL and further the wind field, sometimes also generating mesoscale circulations. All Figures of these mesoscale phenomena are only partially resolved in current climate models Tables and global NWP models; although NWP models can adequately simulate these features if appropriate parameterizations are used and the grid size is sufficiently small. Complex small-scale processes over Arctic coastal regions, including fjords, have received increasing attention, especially in Greenland and Svalbard. During the IPY, the Greenland Flow Distortion Experiment (GFDex) (Renfrew et al., 2008) and the Norwe-Back Close **Discussion** Pape gian IPY-Thorpex Experiment (Kristjansson et al., 2011) both examined such coastal Full Screen / Esc phenomena through aircraft observations and numerical simulations. The first comprehensive observations of barrier winds off southeastern Greenland are documented in **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD

13, 32703-32816, 2013

Discussion

time in summer; also see Tjernström et al. (2013). Radiation also interacts with the boundary layer dynamics through the clouds; see Sect. 2.4 for a discussion on this.

dercutting a warmer maritime air mass and generally with a significant ageostrophic component of the flow. A climatology of these barrier winds shows that they occur typically once a week, but with a large interannual variability determined primarily by the broader-scale situation (Harden et al., 2011). Off SE Greenland there are two distinct

areas of occurrence (Harden et al., 2011). Idealized numerical simulations (Harden and Renfrew, 2012) and reanalyses work (Moore, 2012) have shown that these two areas are related to two areas of steep topography, separated by a major fjord. In SE Greenland barrier winds are known to play a key role in generating a fjordic ocean circulation leading to submarine melting and thus the rapid retreat of ice shelves that is
 now being seen there (Straneo et al., 2010).

The first in situ observations of a tip jet off Cape Farewell, Greenland, documented near-surface winds of over 35 ms^{-1} and peak jet winds of almost 50 ms^{-1} (Renfrew et al., 2009a); while a dynamical analysis of these events showed their characteristic curve around the "tip" was associated with a collapse in the cross-jet pressure gradient as the barrier decreases in height (Outten et al., 2009, 2010). Tip jets are also found off Svalbard (e.g. Reeve and Kolstad, 2011), and over the Bering Sea (Moore and Pickart,

2012); while gap flows were observed by an instrumented aircraft in the Svalbard region during the Norwegian IPY-Thorpex experiment (Barstad and Adakudlu, 2011).

15

There are generally very high winds associated with all of these coastal jet features,

so consequently there are elevated momentum fluxes and often elevated heat and moisture fluxes, depending on the source of the air, i.e. the air-sea temperature difference. Petersen and Renfrew (2009) provide observations from six GFDex flights into tip jets and barrier winds using the eddy covariance method and find fluxes up to 1.9 N m⁻² (momentum) 300 W m⁻² (sensible heat) and 300 W m⁻² (latent heat). These are amongst the highest fluxes ever measured and certainly significant enough to lead to enhanced ocean mixing, water mass changes and potentially circulation changes in

enhanced ocean mixing, water mass changes and potentially circulation changes in the ocean (e.g. Våge et al., 2008; Haine et al., 2009; Sproson et al., 2010). Although large air-sea heat fluxes are not always the case; associated with Greenland's easterly tip jets the heat fluxes tend to be more moderate and are not associated with the deep





open ocean convection events that tend to occur in the SE Labrador Sea (Sproson et al., 2008).

The spatial variability of atmospheric variables within a fjord may be very large (Fig. 5). For Svalbard fjords, Kilpeläinen et al. (2011) reported that variability can reach
levels comparable to the synoptic-scale temporal variability. The contribution of the surface type to the spatial variability of turbulent heat fluxes increases with increasing air-sea temperature difference and typically dominates over topographic effects. On the other hand, the effect of topography dominates over surface type for the spatial variability of wind speed and momentum flux (Kilpeläinen et al., 2011). Mäkiranta
et al. (2011) found that the roughness length for momentum was an order of magnitude larger for cross-fjord wind directions compared to along-fjord directions in an ice-covered fjord (Wahlenbergfjorden) in Svalbard.

Realistic parameterization of turbulent fluxes is a challenge in a fjord as the Monin– Obukhov similarity theory has limitations in this environment. The combination of to-

- pographic effects and wave influence often causes significant crosswind momentum transfer, and sometimes also upward momentum transfer, which invalidate conventional stability and scaling parameters (Kilpeläinen and Sjöblom, 2010; Kral et al., 2013). Monin–Obukhov similarity theory has, however, been found to be applicable during moderate or high wind speeds when the wind direction is along the fjord axis
- (Kilpeläinen and Sjöblom, 2010; Mäkiranta et al., 2011; Kral et al., 2013), which resembles results from valleys. The non-dimensional wind gradients in Arctic fjords have been found to be smaller than predicted by the traditional empirical similarity functions, indicating a higher momentum flux than expected from the vertical wind shear in the surface layer (Kilpeläinen and Sjöblom, 2010; Mäkiranta et al., 2011; Kral et al., 2013). The
- non-dimensional temperature gradients, in turn, have generally higher values than suggested by the traditional empirical similarity functions in unstable conditions, indicating less efficient sensible heat transport over fjords (Kilpeläinen and Sjöblom, 2010; Kral et al., 2013). In stable conditions, however, more efficient mixing of sensible heat than predicted has been reported in a fjord environment by Mäkiranta et al. (2011). They



suggested that in stable conditions the wind shear above the boundary layer provides a non-local source for the turbulence which enhances the mixing over the fjord. Their interpretation was supported by tethersonde observations of Vihma et al. (2011): LLJs were often lifted above the cold-air pool on an ice-covered fjord (Kongsfjorden). The
⁵ presence of sea ice cover was found as a very important factor determining whether a katabatic flow can reach the fjord surface or be elevated above the stable boundary layer (Vihma et al., 2011). Effects of sea ice cover on spatial variations in the ABL over a Svalbard fjord were also detected by Láska et al. (2012).

2.3.2 Meso-scale cyclones

- Polar mesoscale cyclones are vortices north of the main polar frontal zone, with the most intense ones (near-surface wind speeds more than 15 m s⁻¹) being classed as polar lows. They are typically short-lived (12–48 h in duration) and generally occur over the subpolar seas. They fall broadly into two classes: those that are fundamentally convective, i.e. forced by large air–sea heat fluxes, and those that are fundamentally
- ¹⁵ baroclinic, i.e. instabilities of a horizontal temperature gradient, often associated with Arctic fronts (Fig. 6). In reality most polar mesoscale cyclones have a mixture of these forcing mechanisms at different stages of their life cycle. Polar mesoscale cyclones tend to occur over the sub-polar seas, e.g. the Greenland, Norwegian, Iceland, Barents, Irminger, Labrador, and Bering Seas, the Sea of Japan and the Gulf of Alaska in the
- Northern Hemisphere; in some locations, such as the Irminger Sea, some are no doubt lee cyclones. Further background can be found in e.g. Renfrew (2003) and Rasmussen and Turner (2003).

In recent years there has been an upsurge of interest in polar lows. The IPY was a focal point for a number of field campaigns which observed polar lows, including GFDex

(e.g. Renfrew et al., 2008) and the Norwegian IPY-Thorpex campaign (Kristjánsson et al., 2011). In the latter arguably the most comprehensive set of observations of a polar low to date were obtained for a case over the northern Norwegian Sea, enabling studies of the structure, dynamics, lifecycle, simulation accuracy and predictability of





this event (e.g. Linders and Saetra, 2010; Føre et al., 2011; Føre and Nordeng, 2012; McInnes et al., 2011; Wagner et al., 2011; Irvine et al., 2011; Aspelien et al., 2011; Kristiansen et al., 2011). Operational weather forecasting systems have now reached the state where polar lows should be able to be predicted routinely. Numerical weather

- ⁵ prediction grid sizes have been adequate for some time, but observing and data assimilation systems have not always been able to consistently provide suitable initial conditions, for example in Irvine et al. (2011) there was strong sensitivity to the initial conditions. Regional high-resolution ensemble prediction systems (EPS) provide a realistic prospect of robust predictions at the mesoscale, tackling initial condition sensitivies for
- example. These regional EPS systems are still being developed and optimizing their setup for polar lows is a great current challenge (Aspelien et al., 2011; Kristiansen et al., 2011). For example, Kristiansen et al. (2011) find a crucial dependence on EPS domain size and location, as well as on certain parameterization settings.

Polar mesoscale cyclones are not explicitly resolved by the current generation of ¹⁵ global climate models. Due to their high impact, predictions of any changes in frequency or location of occurrence are important. A couple of recent studies address this: Kolstad and Bracegirdle (2008) use marine cold-air outbreaks as a proxy for polar low activity; while Zahn and von Storch (2010) use dynamical downscaling to simulate polar mesoscale cyclones. In both studies a migration northwards is found, following ²⁰ the retreating sea-ice pack, and consequently there is a decrease in the frequency of

the retreating sea-ice pack, and consequently there is a decrease in the frequency of polar lows through the 21st Century.

Polar lows are highly coupled phenomena. Large fluxes of heat, moisture and momentum from the relatively warm ocean are usually crucial for their development. Hence they also provide a strong forcing for the ocean (Fig. 6), e.g. deepening the

²⁵ mixed-layer so bringing warmer waters to the surface (Saetra et al., 2008) and changing water mass properties and consequently the ocean circulation (Condron et al., 2008; Condron and Renfrew, 2013). In a set of high resolution ocean modelling experiments with and without polar lows, Condron and Renfrew (2013) find adding polar lows significantly increases the depth of deep convection, spins up the Greenland Sea





gyre, and increases the momentum and heat transported north in the North Atlantic's subpolar gyre as well as the frequency of dense water flowing south out of the Nordic Seas. The impact of polar lows on the coupled climate system is still uncertain: their occurrence is subject to changes in both the atmosphere and ocean, and any changes will potentially feedback on both the atmosphere and ocean.

3 Sea ice and snow

5

3.1 Radiative processes and properties

3.1.1 Melt onset

Based on the SHEBA data from the Beaufort Sea, Persson (2012) analysed the links between the spring onset of snow melt and free-tropospheric synoptic variables, clouds, precipitation, and in-ice temperatures. He found that the melt onset is primarily determined by large increases in downwelling longwave radiation and modest decreases in the snow surface albedo. These changes in the radiative fluxes are related to synoptic events and seasonal warming of the free troposphere. The work of Pers-

- son (2012) benefited from detailed observations, but only addresses a single spring in a limited region. On the other hand, Maksimovich and Vihma (2012) utilized the ERA-Interim reanalysis to study the factors controlling the inter-annual differences in the circumpolar Arctic. They found that the anomaly of the surface net heat flux 1–7 days prior to the snow melt onset explains up to 65 % of the inter-annual variance in the melt
- onset in the central Arctic. Among the terms of the net heat flux, the downward long-wave radiation most strongly controlled the variability of snow melt onset. Statistically, solar radiation by itself is not an important factor, but together with other fluxes improves the explained variance of melt onset. In accordance with the above-mentioned results, the early melt onset in 2007 was preceded by an exceptionally warm spring (Vihma et al., 2008) with a large advection of warm, cloudy marine air masses from the


Pacific sector (Graversen et al., 2011). After the melt onset, the evolution of the snow surface albedo and the transmissivity of the snow-ice system is crucial for the surface energy budget.

3.1.2 Snow and ice albedo; observations and parameterizations

⁵ A schematic illustration on snow and ice thermodynamic processes and interactions, with focus on the role of surface albedo, is provided in Fig. 7.

The detailed and complete datasets of snow/ice and atmospheric quantities that were collected during SHEBA have still been used during and after IPY to thoroughly evaluate and compare many snow and ice albedo schemes (Liu et al., 2007; Wyser et al., 2008; Pedersen et al., 2009). Several Arctic field campaigns carried out after

- ¹⁰ et al., 2008, Pedersen et al., 2009). Several Arctic field campaigns camed out after SHEBA (including the Tara campaign of DAMOCLES) were crucial to monitor and deepen the understanding of the processes controlling the snow and ice albedo in a rapidly changing environment. Altogether, these observations have shown that the seasonal evolution of the Arctic sea ice albedo follows the surface metamorphism and
- change of phases, from dry snow to melting snow, pond formation, pond drainage, pond evolution, and fall freeze-up (Perovich et al., 2009; Nicolaus et al., 2010a; Perovich and Polashenski, 2012). Seasonal ice has a lower albedo than multiyear ice, because (a) it has a thinner and therefore faster melting snow layer, (b) the ice itself is thinner, containing a much lower fraction of scattering bubbles, and (c) melt ponds
- are more extensive due to less ice deformation and a smaller freeboard (Perovich and Polashenski, 2012). The area-averaged surface albedo results from a complex combination of the albedos of open water, melt ponds, snow-free sea ice, and snow-covered sea ice (Perovich et al., 2009).

As snow/ice albedo is the key factor affecting the surface energy budget over the Polar areas, a large number of recent modeling studies have addressed the improvement of the snow and ice albedo representation, also with the goal of simulating the various climate feedback mechanisms affected by changes in snow/ice albedo. The climate models applied in the IPCC AR4 systematically overestimated the sea ice albedo in



summer, by as much as 0.05 (Wang et al., 2006), and failed to incorporate the recently observed rapid reduction of Arctic sea ice into their predicted ranges of variability. Small changes in the ice albedo scheme may lead to significant changes in the simulation of summer sea ice extent (e.g. Dorn et al., 2007, 2009). This called for a reconsideration of the physical basis of the apprice albedo models, which might explain in part why the

⁵ of the physical basis of the sea ice albedo models, which might explain in part why the rapid reduction of Arctic sea ice is better captured by the models used for the latest assessment report AR5 (Stroeve et al., 2012; Massonet et al., 2012).

An accurate albedo calculation requires a radiative transfer model in the atmosphere and in the snow/ice layer, coupled with a snow/ice model that represent the snow/ice crystals with their optical properties and the snow/ice layering (Peltoniemi,

- snow/ice crystals with their optical properties and the snow/ice layering (Peltoniemi, 2007; Kaempfer et al., 2007). The size and shape of the crystals determine their optical properties, thus the crystal metamorphism is the principal driver of the albedo evolution. However, in climate and NWP models albedo is usually expressed as a function of the bulk snow/ice/atmospheric properties that more or less directly affect the
- snow metamorphism (surface temperature, snow age) or are affected by it (snow and ice thickness, snow density), the form of the equation and the values of the included coefficients resulting from the best fit with observations or with detailed radiative transfer calculations (Gardner and Sharp, 2010). The degree of complexity varies a lot among these models, NWP models traditionally have much less detailed surface schemes
- than climate models. Prognostic snow and ice albedo parameterizations, which include a time-dependent albedo decay, gave the best results when their performance was compared with simpler temperature-dependent parameterizations (Essery et al., 2013; Wyser et al., 2008). Among the prognostic schemes, one of the most sophisticated is the model introduced already by Dickinson et al. (1993), which accounts for
- the albedo dependence on spectral bands and direction of the illumination. It has been implemented in many climate models (Bitz et al., 2012; Goosse et al., 2009), and it has also been coupled to an explicit treatment of melt pond albedo (Pedersen et al., 2009).

Variations in the areal melt pond coverage are a major driver of albedo changes on melting Arctic sea ice. Considering observations of melt ponds, the drift of *Tara* in





DAMOCLES offered a valuable opportunity to observe the temporal change of multiyear sea ice at very high latitudes. Sankelo et al. (2010) quantified the areal melt pond coverage at about 88° N, which was higher than expected on the basis of previous observations, with maximum pond coverage of 32–42 % in mid-August. Rösel et al. (2012)

- ⁵ presented the first satellite derived Arctic-wide, multi-annual melt pond data set. The study for the time period from 2000 to 2011 was based on Moderate Resolution Image Spectroradiometer (MODIS) data. Since there is an ongoing shift in the Arctic sea ice cover from multiyear ice to seasonal ice (Perovich and Polashenski, 2012), melt pond studies for first-year ice become more and more important. Recent sophisticated
- field studies of melt ponds on seasonal sea ice were conducted on land-fast ice in the Chukchi Sea during the summer melt seasons of 2008, 2009, and 2010 (Polashenski et al., 2012). Ice surface topography and melt water balance are found to both play key roles in melt pond evolution.
- Substantial efforts have already been made to formulate physically based models of ¹⁵ melt pond formation and evolution to predict melt pond coverage (Scott and Feltham, 2010; Skyllingstad et al., 2009; Flocco and Feltham, 2007) and to incorporate explicit melt pond parameterizations/models into albedo calculations of global and regional sea ice and climate models (Holland et al., 2012; Flocco et al., 2010; Hunke and Lipscomb, 2010; Pedersen et al., 2009; Køltzow, 2007). The explicit consideration of melt pond
- effects has a huge impact on the simulated Arctic sea ice cover as shown, e.g., by Flocco et al. (2012) who incorporated their pond model into the Los Alamos CICE sea ice model. Simulations for the period 1990 to 2007 are in good agreement with satellitebased ice concentration. In comparison to simulations without ponds, the September ice volume is nearly 40 % lower.
- In the melt water accounting conceptualization, a melt pond can be represented as a volume of water determined by the balance of inflows and outflows, distributed in the lowest points of local topography (Polashenski et al., 2012). The general approach of the GCM pond parameterizations by Holland et al. (2012), Hunke and Lipscomb (2010), and Pedersen et al. (2009) is based on this concept. ECHAM5 (Pedersen et al., 2009)





and the CCSM CICE 4.0 (Holland et al., 2012; Hunke and Lipscomb, 2010) use functional relationships to relate pond depth to pond area fraction. CICE 4.0 uses a linear function, and the ECHAM5 version applied by Pedersen et al. (2009) used a more complex function. The linear function is based on SHEBA data. However, Polashenski

- ⁵ et al. (2012) show that the relationship between melt pond depth and area fraction is not unique. Polashenski et al. (2012) suggest that a better solution to compute both quantities would be to relate components of the melt water balance to ice properties already being calculated in the GCM's, and to collect data representing the topography of various ice types to better parameterize the areal distribution of melt water. The results of their field studies identify links between the temperal evolution of pand agver
- results of their field studies identify links between the temporal evolution of pond coverage and ice temperature, salinity, and thickness. Hence, measurement results provide new opportunities to realistically parameterize ponds within sea ice models.

The simulation of surface albedo is also related to the representation of the thermal insulation of the snowpack, which is coupled to the modeling of snow mass and den-

- sity. Compared to observations, more consistent results are obtained from those snow schemes that include a prognostic representation of snow density, and take some account of the storage and refreezing of liquid water within the snow (Essery et al., 2013; Dutra et al., 2012). Presently, snow albedo schemes are more advanced over land than over sea ice. The reason is related to the complexity of the sea ice surface types,
- especially during melting conditions. The sea ice model LIM2, recently implemented into the ECMWF forecasting system (Molteni et al., 2011), has a sea ice albedo parameterization which includes several snow and ice categories, depends on snow and ice thickness and cloudiness, does not retain any melt water and implicitly accounts for a constant melt pond fraction when the surface is melting. However, in the ongoing
- development there is the implementation of a more comprehensive snow model that includes a variable vertical resolution based on the density stratification, the representation of melt ponds and superimposed ice formation. Also in the case of CCSM, the land snow scheme (CLM4, Lawrence et al., 2011) has a more advanced snow thermodynamic treatment than the latest version of the sea ice scheme (CICE4.0, Hunke and



Lipscomb, 2010), which has fixed snow and ice density and thermal conductivity. This oversimplification was partly responsible for positive biases in snow thickness over the Arctic, and excessive late autumn and early winter snow density, with feedbacks on the albedo (Blazey et al., 2013).

- The widely applied NWP and research model WRF used an oversimplified snow albedo parameterization (a constant value of 0.8), which lead to large errors in the summer shortwave radiative fluxes (Porter et al., 2011). To simulate the Arctic atmospheric conditions during the SHEBA experiment, a simple idealized albedo model based on the SHEBA observations (Perovich et al., 2007a) and a satellite dataset was used in Polar WRF (Bromwich et al., 2009). This albedo model was then applied to the entire
- Arctic Ocean to simulate the one year period from December 2006 to November 2007 (Wilson et al., 2011). Simulated annual mean temperatures had, however, a cold bias of -1 to -2°C (Wilson et al., 2011).
- In some occasions some of the most sophisticated prognostic albedo parameterizations in GCM and NWP models have been defined as "physically based" to distinguish them from even simpler albedo schemes (Essery et al., 2013), but in fact, they do not allow the coupling between penetration of solar radiation into the snow and ice layer, the micro-scale characteristics of the ice crystals and the surface albedo. The gap between the snow albedo formulated in detailed radiative transfer and snow mod-
- els and the albedo parameterizations applied in GCM and NWP models has recently been narrowed by the development of a prognostic parameterization of the snow grain metamorphism, which link the snowpack microphysics to the albedo evolution (Flanner and Zender, 2006). In this SNow and ICe Aerosol Radiation (SNICAR) model albedo is calculated from the inherent scattering-absorption properties of snow crystals and
- included absorbers. SNICAR has recently been implemented in sea ice models with detailed radiative transfer schemes and high vertical resolution (for instance the CCSM CICE4.0, Holland et al., 2012), contributing to a significant improvement in the simulation of the Arctic albedo and sea ice concentration (Gent et al., 2011).





Many of the recently developed snow and ice albedo parameterizations have not yet been thoroughly evaluated against field observations. High quality, complete datasets of radiation and snow and ice properties are extremely rare and still their acquisition requires a large effort. Because of uncertainties in the forcing data and oversimplifications in representing many physical processes, increasing the complexity of the schemes may lead to severe simulation errors, and existing biases in the driving parameters will propagate to the processes that depend on them. Thus, even the simplest parameterizations can give equally good or bad results as the most complex ones (Essery et al., 2013; Brun et al., 2008). Recent advances in the remote sensing retrieval techniques of surface albedo over the Arctic allowed the collection of a 28 yr time series of albedo estimations in all sky conditions (Riihelä et al., 2012), offering a valuable reference dataset to analyze spatial and temporal albedo variability.

Pirazzini and Räisänen (2008) addressed the solar shortwave radiation in the boundary zone of the open sea and snow/ice cover. Under overcast skies with multiple reflec-

tions between the cloud base and the snow/ice surface, the local value of downwelling solar radiation also depends on the albedo of the neighbouring surface type. Pirazzini and Räisänen (2008) derived a simple parameterization for the broadband effective albedo, defined as the albedo of a homogeneous surface that would result in the same downwelling irradiance as locally observed in the presence of a heterogeneous surface.

3.1.3 Aerosol deposition on snow and ice

Aerosol deposition on snow and ice is an issue that has attracted very much recent research. As black carbon (BC) effectively absorbs visible radiation, it causes acceleration in the growth of snow grains, and therefore an overall decrease in albedo.
²⁵ In particular, Hansen et al. (2005) suggested that the effect of BC on snow albedo contributes substantially to rapid warming and sea ice loss in the Arctic, but recent measurements (Forsström et al., 2009; Doherty et al., 2010) have shown substantially lower levels of BC than was observed in the 1980s (Clarke and Noon, 1985). In view



of these findings, parameterizations of BC and soot concentration in snow have been recently developed (Flanner and Zender, 2006; Yasunari et al., 2011; Aoki et al., 2011). Evaluations of these parameterizations have revealed their capability in better reproducing the observed snow albedo and snow depth (Yasunari et al., 2011; Hadley and Kirchstetter, 2012). Moreover, it has been found that the BC/snow radiative forcing in the Arctic is at maximum coincidentally at the time of snowmelt onset (Flanner et al., 2007), triggering strong snow-albedo feedback in local springtime. For this reason, although the magnitude of the climate response from light-absorbing particles on snow is

much smaller than the impact of doubling CO₂, the sensitivity of the atmosphere to the
 BC/snow forcing (i.e, the temperature change per unit of forcing) is three times larger than the sensitivity to the CO₂ forcing (Goldenson et al., 2012; Flanner et al., 2007).

The Flanner et al.'s estimation of global annual mean BC/snow surface radiative forcings (0.054 and 0.049 Wm⁻² during strong (1998) and weak (2001) boreal fire years) was in line with the IPCC AR4 estimation (IPCC, 2007) and was later confirmed by other studies (Wang et al. 2011) Coldensen et al. 2012). Over large grass of the Are

- other studies (Wang et al., 2011; Goldenson et al., 2012). Over large areas of the Arctic Ocean and sub-Arctic seas, the autumn and winter near-surface warming resulting from this radiative forcing is 1–2°C (Goldenson et al., 2012). Through 20th century equilibrium climate experiments, Koch et al. (2009) obtained a 0.5°C mean Arctic surface warming due to the BC-snow albedo effect. In equilibrium climate experiments,
- the effect of present-day aerosol deposition on sea ice thickness was estimated to be a thinning of about 30 cm (averaged over the year) compared to a scenario without aerosol deposition (Goldenson et al., 2012; Holland et al., 2012). Nevertheless, since the BC content in Arctic snow has decreased since the 1980s, it is improbable that the present aerosol load has contributed to the recently observed rapid decline of Arctic
- sea ice. Koch et al. (2011) attributed about 30–50 % of the Arctic warming and ice melt that occurred in early 20th century to the BC-albedo effect, but determined that later in the century the reduction in Arctic BC contributed to Arctic cooling and increased snow/ice cover, so that on average, over the 20th century, only about 20 % of Arctic warming and ice melting was attributable to the BC-albedo effect.





Through idealized experiments, Flanner (2013) concluded that the current simulated distribution of Arctic atmospheric BC slightly cools the surface with a sensitivity of $-0.21 \pm 0.32 \text{ K} (\text{Wm}^{-2})^{-1}$ supporting an earlier study (Shindell and Faluvegi, 2009), while the atmospheric and cryosphere-deposited BC originating from the Arctic (mostly

- Siberian forest fires) warms the Arctic with a sensitivity of +0.5±0.4 K (Wm⁻²)⁻¹. Flanner et al. (2009) argued that, in springtime, the radiative effect of the reduction of surface-incident solar energy (dimming) caused by atmospheric aerosols containing BC and organic matter has been smaller than the effect of the reduction of snow albedo caused by deposition of such aerosols (darkening), resulting in a warming. However, this is probably true only for the first half of the last century, as in the more recent
- decades the dimming effect (causing atmospheric cooling) has likely dominated over the darkening (Koch et al., 2011).

3.1.4 Surface Albedo Feedback (SAF)

The albedo feedback mechanism is reputed to be an important contributor to the loss of multiyear ice over the last few decades. By synthesizing a variety of remote sensing and field measurements, both Flanner et al. (2011) and Hudson (2011) concluded that the change in the radiative impact of the Arctic sea-ice at the top of the atmosphere (TOA) in the period 1979–2008 has been a reduced cooling of about 0.1 W m⁻². Combining this finding with the observed Northern Hemisphere (NH) warming, the NH sea ice albedo feedback results to be between 0.17 and 0.54 W m⁻² K⁻¹ (or between 0.33 and 1.07 W m⁻² K⁻¹ if also the effect of land-based snow is included (Flanner et al., 2011). These values are substantially larger than comparable estimates obtained from 18 climate models of the CMIP3 dataset (Flanner et al., 2011).

Considering future climate projections of Arctic sea-ice, Hudson (2011) estimated that in an ice-free summer scenario the radiative forcing caused by the albedo reduction would be about 0.3 W m⁻², similar to the present-day anthropogenic forcing caused by tropospheric ozone pollution or by halocarbon emissions (Forster et al., 2007). Several studies have concluded that the Arctic climate system does not have an irreversible



tipping point behavior associated with the surface albedo feedback (Stranne and Björk, 2011; Armour et al., 2011; Tietsche et al., 2011). However, Müller-Stoffels and Wackerbauer (2012) showed that the shape of the albedo parameterization near the melting temperature differentiates between reversible continuous sea ice decrease under at-5 mospheric forcing and a hysteresis behavior.

One of the major problems in understanding the sea-ice albedo feedback is its close interaction with cloud changes (Fig. 7). Sedlar et al. (2011) observed that sea ice albedo is a strong modulator of the cloud shortwave radiative forcing (which decreases with increasing surface albedo) and of the near-surface temperature. Graversen and Wang (2000) estimated that mean of the near-surface temperature of the surface albedo.

- 10 Wang (2009) estimated that most of the polar amplification of the surface-air temperature is not directly imputable to the surface albedo feedback (SAF) itself, but rather to the SAF strengthening of the water-vapor and cloud feedbacks, which have a greenhouse effect that is larger in the Arctic than at lower latitudes. On the other hand, the presence of clouds over sea-ice reduces the radiative forcing due to changes in sea ice
- ¹⁵ concentration and albedo. Indeed, Hudson (2011) showed that the present-day cloud cover manages to mask approximately half of the clear-sky sea-ice albedo feedback, while Mauritsen et al. (2013) found a dominating role of the water-vapor feedback. Generally, a reduction in sea-ice extent is expected to cause an increase in cloud cover, but this relationship seems quite weak in summer (Eastman and Warren, 2010; Kay and Gettelman, 2009), when the sea-ice albedo feedback is most important.

The SAF is also linked to a positive feedback related to the change in the phase of precipitation. The observed decline in summer snowfall and increase in rain over the Arctic Ocean and Canadian Archipelago has resulted in a substantial decrease in the surface albedo (Screen and Simmonds, 2012).

Further, melt ponds enhance the SAF because of enhanced melt pond coverage in a warmer climate, while aerosol deposition on ice (when kept constant) reduces the SAF, because of enhanced melt-out of aerosols in a warmer climate (Holland et al., 2012). Thus, the impact of particulate impurities on snow and sea ice is expected to decrease in a doubling- CO_2 scenario (Holland et al., 2012; Goldenson et al., 2012). Fi-



nally, the SAF can be enhanced by mechanical processes: a thinner, less concentrated sea ice cover is weaker (Gimbert et al., 2012b), which results in increasing fracturing and lead opening. These have an indirect effect on albedo, as splitting up of the ice field increases lateral melt and, hence, decreases the area-averaged albedo.

5 3.1.5 Transmittance of sea ice and snow

Knowledge about the transmittance of sea ice for solar radiation is crucial when assessing the surface energy balance, and within that the contribution of atmospheric vs. oceanic forcing to ice melt, and the radiation available for the ecosystem in and below the sea ice. Transmittance of the sea ice system depends on snow and ice properties, and on possible content of algae in the ice (e.g. Mundy et al., 2007). Spectral radiometer surveys during recent years have yielded substantial advances in resolving characteristics of transmittance of sea ice in time and space. Light et al. (2008) summarized SHEBA transmittance measurements under different ice types at differ-

- ent stages of the seasonal evolution of sea ice. Autonomous setups (Nicolaus et al.,
 2010b; Wang et al., 2013) have been installed on drifting ice floes, measuring transmittance continuously over periods covering the entire transition from freezing to melt and back to freezing conditions (Nicolaus et al., 2010a; Wang et al. 2013). With this, the nature, timing and length of the period of increased transmittance during summer, related to snow metamorphism, snow melt and ice properties, could be quantified.
- ²⁰ Such measurements are limited regarding information in space. Despite the fact that the ice floe with the autonomous setup is drifting, and thus covers a larger geographical area, the ice flow remains the same floe. New studies worked on investigating the spatial variability of sea ice, and herein especially of first-year ice, the ice type that increases in relative portion over the Arctic as a whole at the cost of multiyear sea
- ice. Frey et al. (2011) studied an ice floe with a number of individual measurements under locations with different surface characteristics, and quantified the role of melt ponds for the radiation balance below the ice. By combining surface measurements from a sledge based system (Hudson et al., 2012) with measurements carried out by





divers beneath the ice, the complete radiation balance of the first-year sea ice system could be quantified, for a given case and stage (Hudson et al., 2013). Similar observations were also done over land-fast ice near Barrow, Alaska, but with the under-ice radiation measured from a sledge that slides along the underside of the fast ice, pulled with a rope (Nicolaus et al., 2013).

On larger scales, models can help to estimate the amount of light penetrating the ice and its heating effect (e.g. Itoh et al., 2011). This requires, however, a good vertical resolution. Climate and NWP models have traditionally used a single snowpack layer, but a high vertical resolution in snow and ice models has been revealed to be important to correctly simulate light scattering coefficients (Light et al., 2008), surface albedo (Aoki et al., 2011), the onset of ice melt (Cheng et al., 2008b), sub-surface grain metamorphism and melt (Dadic et al., 2008; Cheng et al., 2008a, b), the vertical profile of thermal conductivity (Dadic et al., 2008), and deep snowpack conditions (Dutra et al., 2012). The increase in vertical resolution has yielded a fundamental improve-

- ¹⁵ ment in the treatment of the penetration of shortwave radiation in snow and sea ice (Briegleb and Light, 2007; Light et al., 2008). By accounting for the ice layering, Light et al. (2008) concluded that much less radiation is absorbed in the uppermost highlyscattering layer, and more light is predicted to penetrate deep into the ice and into the ocean than was previously accounted for. This modeling progress is parallel to the
- increased effort in simultaneous measurements of snow/ice spectral albedo and transmittance (Nicolaus et al., 2010a, b; Perovich, 2007; Ehn et al., 2008a, 2011), which have also revealed the impact of some biological processes on sea ice transmittance in the Arctic central (Nicolaus et al., 2010b) and on land-fast ice (Ehn et al., 2008a, b; 2011).





3.2 Sea ice structure and non-radiative processes

3.2.1 Internal structure of sea ice: salinity and gravity drainage

Processes that govern the internal structure of sea ice clearly fall under the definition of small-scale. The internal structure of sea ice consists of a mixture of solid freshwater ice, liquid salty brine and gas inclusions, whose interaction on the millimetre scale crucially affects the large-scale behaviour of sea ice. This interaction defines the evolution of the solid fraction within sea ice, which in turn defines virtually all large-scale properties of sea ice; these include the heat capacity, heat conductivity, mechanical strength, and susceptibility to percolation of surface melt water to name but a few. In
addition, the small-scale processes governing the interior structure of the ice define how efficient brine can drain from the ice, which in turn contributes to shaping the large-scale circulation of the world ocean.

Most of our recent progress in modelling the small scale structure of sea ice has come from application of the so-called mushy-layer theory (e.g., Feltham et al., 2006).

- ¹⁵ This theory describes any multi-component, multi-phase reactive porous medium of which sea ice is but one example. This theory has in particular allowed us to better understand the temporal evolution of sea-ice salinity (Notz and Worster, 2009). This understanding is crucial because the salt content and temperature of sea ice define, together with the amount of entrapped gas, the solid fraction of the ice as the most fun-
- ²⁰ damental parameter to describe the state of a specific sea-ice sample. We now know that, initially, all salt that is contained within sea water is also contained in newly formed sea ice. Much of this salt then rapidly drains out by convective overturning, which in the interior of the ice replaces dense, salty brine with less salty sea water (so-called gravity drainage). This leads to a rapid reduction of the salinity of sea ice and in turn increases
- the solid fraction of the ice. Additional loss of salt then occurs in summer through the slushing of fresh surface melt water that percolates through the ice. Measurements from warm first-year sea ice exposed to an increased oceanic flux show substantial desalination (Widell et al., 2006). Based on this understanding, models are starting





to simulate in a physically consistent way the evolution of the bulk salinity of sea ice from its initial formation to its complete melt. Such models range from specialised models of gravity drainage (Wells et al., 2011; Rees Jones and Worster, 2013a, b) to more applied models that present simplified parameterisations of this major desalination pro-

⁵ cess for the use in large scale models (Turner et al., 2013; Griewank and Notz, 2013). Based on these models, a more realistic representation of the interaction between the small-scale structure of sea ice and the ocean and the atmosphere has now become possible.

For more details on this topic, we refer to a recent dedicated review article (Hunke et al., 2011).

3.2.2 Formation of superimposed ice and snow ice

15

Snow-ice and superimposed ice are generated by refreezing of snow-slush. The slush layer is created by either ocean flooding or snow melting. In the case of ocean flooding, the product of refreezing is called snow ice, whereas in the case of snow melt and percolation of the melt water down to the snow-ice interface, the refreezing generates superimposed ice. Already long before the IPY, the generation of snow-ice has been taken into account in sea ice models (e.g. CICE, LIM) with a simplification of the Archimedes' principle, with more detailed modelling for seasonal sea ice presented e.g. by Cheng et al. (2006).

The contribution of snow ice and superimposed ice to the total ice mass in the Arctic has, however, not received much attention so far. This is partly due to the fact that snow ice has been rarely formed in the Arctic, since the ratio of snow thickness to ice thickness has usually been low. Superimposed ice has been observed to occur in Arctic sea ice (e.g., Nicolaus et al., 2003; Wang et al., 2013), but it is usually rapidly deteriorated in the following melting season. Pre-IPY work in modelling of snow ice and superimposed ice has been been observed to occur and superimposed in the following melting season. Pre-IPY work in modelling of snow ice and superimposed ice has been observed to occur and superimposed ice has been been observed.

superimposed ice has mainly focused on sub-Arctic seas (Baltic Sea, Sea of Okhotsk) and to some extent on the Chukchi Sea (Cheng et al., 2008b). In Semmler et al. (2012)





32752

the modeled ice thickness on an Arctic lake showed a large improvement when snowice and superimposed ice were taken into account.

The source term for snow-ice and superimposed ice is the total precipitation available on ice. Accurate information on precipitation is critical for modelling, particularly in early

- ⁵ winter. Detection of snow thickness in the Arctic is challenging because it is subject to large spatial and temporal variations, due to wind drift, etc. The effects of wind also make the in situ precipitation measurements liable to errors, which can be as large as 200% (Aleksandrov et al., 2005). Further, in situ measurements are rare, making NWP models the primary source of atmospheric forcing for snow and ice modeling.
- ¹⁰ Cheng et al. (2013) introduced a simple snow parameterization scheme connected to the precipitation from an NWP model to account for the snow accumulation in the early winter season.

The snowfall declines in the Arctic summer, which has mainly been due to the change of precipitation from snowfall to rain with very little change in total precipitation (Screen and Simmonds, 2012). However, considering the total annual precipitation, climate

models project an increase (e.g., Overland et al., 2011). This together with the thinning of sea ice will likely result in a more extensive occurrence of snow ice and superimposed ice in the Arctic, with their larger contributions to the total ice mass (their contributions are already large e.g. in the Baltic Sea and, for snow ice, in the Antarctic).

20 3.2.3 Heat conduction

15

The mass balance of sea ice and its snow cover largely depend on the heat conduction through snow and ice. The conductive heat flux contributes to the surface energy budget, and the melt/growth at the ice bottom is controlled by the difference between the conductive heat flux and the ice-water heat flux. Heat conduction is vitally important also for consolidation of raft ice (Bailey et al., 2010). The thermal conductivity of snow is usually parameterized as a function of snow density, and that of sea ice as a function of ice temperature and salinity (Maykut and Untersteiner, 1971). Pringle et al. (2007) presented a new parameterization for sea ice on the basis of amended





data analysis; the heat conductivity was higher than that based on Maykut and Untersteiner (1971): by 5–10% for multi-year ice and by 5–15% for first-year ice. For snow, a micro-tomographic study by Calonne et al. (2011) indicated that the effective thermal conductivity increases with decreasing temperature, mostly following the temperature

⁵ dependency of the thermal conductivity of ice. Accordingly, a temperature and density dependent heat conductivity of snow should be used in models (Lecomte et al., 2011).

The temperature dependence of snow and ice heat conductivity is a bulk effect, as indeed conductivity depends on the micro-structural and mechanical properties of the snow and ice texture, which change when subjected to temperature gradients. This was

evident during temperature gradient – snow metamorphism experiments at constant density: the heat conductivity increased as much as twice its initial value in response to changes in structure and texture (Scheebeli and Sokratov, 2004), showing strong anisotropic behavior (Shertzer and Adams, 2011). Moreover, Dominé et al. (2011) observed that thermal conductivity of snow can be expressed as a function of snow density and shear strength alone.

In Arctic conditions, the spatial inhomogeneity of snow distribution has a major impact on the regional heat conductivity, especially when the snow depth is less than 0.4 m. When the snowpack is thin on average, bare ice is likely present because of the effect of wind in redistributing the snow thickness. Hence, the effective snow heat conductivity would be a mixture of heat conductivity of snow and ice (Semmler et al., 2012).

3.3 Small-scale dynamics of sea ice

3.3.1 Sea ice deformation

20

The much-faster-than-expected drift of the Tara in 2006–2007 along the Transpolar ²⁵ Current was among the first signs of ongoing profound changes in Arctic sea ice mechanics and kinematics (Gascard et al., 2008). A systematic analysis covering 30 yr of buoys' drift data revealed a significant increase of both sea ice drift speeds and defor-



mation rates over this period within the Arctic basin (Rampal et al., 2009), with obvious consequences in terms of sea ice export, negative mass balance, and decline (Rampal et al., 2011). This accelerated kinematics does not simply result from sea ice shrinking and thinning, but is also the consequence of a recent mechanical weakening of the Arc-

tic sea ice cover in both winter and summer (Gimbert et al., 2012b). This mechanical weakening is likely related to an intensification of sea ice fracturing and fragmentation. This calls for a better understanding of these processes from local to regional scales. Indeed, through lead opening, sea ice fracturing partly control energy fluxes between the ocean and the atmosphere (see Sect. 2.1.3), and to an extent momentum fluxes
 through a modification of surface roughness and drag coefficients (Sect. 2.1.4).

Mechanical waves travel within the Arctic sea-ice cover, generated by ocean surface waves as well as sea ice fracturing, ridge build-up, and floe collisions (Fig. 8). While in-situ stress measurements (Weiss et al., 2007) and aerial/satellite observations are essential to explore sea ice mechanics, a high frequency monitoring of sea ice frac-

- ¹⁵ turing and faulting, i.e. at the timescale of crack propagation, was not available until recently, except for short-duration (week-long) experiments that only investigated highfrequency noise (e.g. Dudko et al., 1998). During the DAMOCLES field campaign in spring 2007, a seismic network made of 5 broad-band (100 Hz-60 s) three-component seismometers was installed on sea ice around Tara with a typical distance of 0.7 km
- ²⁰ between stations (Marsan et al., 2011). The network yielded high-quality data from 24 April to 17 June. The broadband signals were dominated by ice swell, with a peak period around 20–30 s on both vertical and horizontal channels and a displacement amplitude of ~ 0.5 mm. Marsan et al. (2012) exploited the dispersion of this ubiquitous signal, i.e. the fact that the higher the frequency the faster the wave propagation, and
- ²⁵ its dependence on the ice thickness, in order to invert the average thickness of Tara's floe, and found a value of 2.5 ± 0.2 m, in agreement with EM and drill-hole profiles conducted on the same floe (Haas et al., 2011). This validated the use of a classical concept (the dependence of wave propagation on ice thickness) to passively monitor sea ice thickness on a regular basis over horizontal scales from 10⁰ to 10² km.





Superimposed on the ice swell, Low-Frequency Bursts (LFB) propagating at much higher speed were observed intermittently on the horizontal channels only, with a typical duration of a few minutes and, compared to ice swell, a longer characteristic period (> 30 s) and a much (up to 50 times) larger ground velocity (Marsan et al.,

- ⁵ 2011). A significant correlation between the rate of burst occurrences and the regional scale (~ 400 km) sea ice deformation computed from DAMOCLES buoys around the Tara suggests that these tremors are the signature of remote, episodic deformation events involving shear faulting along regional-scale leads. In the meantime, no GPS-detectable deformation occurred within the network.
- ¹⁰ These original seismic observations open the way towards a more systematic recording and analysis of waves in ice over larger space and time scales, in order to (i) monitor average ice thickness and its evolution at the regional scale, and (ii) to complement satellite measurements of sea ice deformation by providing a much more detailed temporal sampling and therefore a better characterization of sea ice fracturing processes.
- ¹⁵ This should help to constrain the parameterization of sea ice strength in sea ice models. Indeed, sea ice strength is still poorly constrained, either at the local or at the global arctic scale, and an analysis of the response of sea ice to the Coriolis forcing is a way to estimate it.

3.3.2 Relationships of inertial oscillations and sea ice rheology

As mentioned in the previous section, the weaker the sea-ice cover, the easier its fracturing and fragmentation. Consequently, when sea ice becomes more mobile, it is characterized by larger speeds and deformation rates. To measure such possible mechanical weakening at the global scale is difficult. This has been performed recently from the analysis of the response of sea ice to the well-defined Coriolis force, i.e. of inertial oscillations (Gimbert et al., 2012a, b).

Interestingly, the first analyses of the effect of the Coriolis force on geophysical fluid dynamics were prompted by the observations of Nansen during the Fram's journey along the transpolar drift, 113 yr before the Tara's drift. As the Coriolis force acts per-





pendicularly to the velocity, it induces a deviation of the trajectory of the ocean or ice parcel to the right compared to the wind direction in the Northern Hemisphere, a phenomenon noted by Nansen. In case of ice-covered waters, this deviation can generate inertial oscillations with amplitude that depend on the ice state (thickness, concentra-

- tion) as well as on ice rheology. For an ice cover consisting of a loose assembly of floes, such as south of Fram Strait (Lammert et al., 2009), we expect ice internal stresses to vanish, ice floes to move nearly in free drift, and therefore inertial oscillations to be strong. In contrast, in a compact ice cover, strong internal stresses immediately damp the oscillations, which become undetectable (Gimbert et al., 2012a). Therefore, the
- ¹⁰ measurement of the average amplitude of these oscillations from ice drifter data can be used to estimate the amount of mechanical dissipation within the ice cover as well as its degree of cohesiveness and mechanical strength. Averaging must be done both in space, to mitigate sparse sampling, and in time, especially as inertial oscillations are particularly large after (episodic) strong winds.
- ¹⁵ Such quantitative analysis was performed by (Gimbert et al., 2012a) from the IABP buoy trajectories dataset covering 30 yr (1979–2008). It was found that (i) the amplitude of the inertial oscillations follows an annual cycle in agreement with the corresponding annual cycles of sea ice concentration, thickness, and kinematics, i.e. stronger oscillations in summer, (ii) oscillations are stronger in peripheral zones of the Arctic (the
- Beaufort Sea, eastern Arctic, and south of the Fram Strait) corresponding nowadays to first-year sea ice or to a loose ice pack, and (iii) their average amplitude has significantly increased. While the first two observations suggest that the use of inertial oscillations is relevant as a proxy for cohesion, and therefore for mechanical strength, the last points to a mechanical weakening over the latest 30 yr at the global scale.
- To discriminate the effects of the ice state (thickness, concentration) from those related to the sea ice mechanical behavior per se, (Gimbert et al., 2012b) built an analytical ocean boundary layer – sea ice coupled dynamical model and applied it to Arctic sea ice motion in the frequency domain around the inertial period. This model was able to explain the observations and trends obtained by Gimbert et al. (2012a) (see above).





In particular, it was demonstrated that the strengthening of inertial oscillations in recent years was partly the result of a genuine mechanical weakening of the ice cover, with a winter ice cover that nowadays mimics the mechanical behavior of summer sea ice 20 to 30 yr ago. From the same model, a significant thinning of the Arctic ocean bound-⁵ ary layer was also obtained, consistent with an enhanced stratification of the upper

halocline triggered by sea ice melt or increasing river runoffs.

4 Ocean

4.1 Ice-ocean interface; exchange of momentum, heat, and salt

The exchanges of momentum, heat and salt between sea ice and the underlying ocean are small-scale processes that must be parameterised in large-scale models. That these exchange processes depend on truly small-scale properties of the interface becomes particularly apparent for the exchange of heat and salt during sea-ice melting. Here, early measurements showed that the melt rate of sea ice that drifts in comparably warm water is far less than would be expected from the turbulent exchange of heat and salt (McPhee et al., 1987). These small melt rates can be explained by the fact that during sea-ice melting, a thin layer of melt water with a very low salinity forms underneath the ice, which leads to a locally very stable stratification. Therefore, the farfield ocean cannot interact turbulently with the interface, but all transport is governed by diffusion across the thin sublayer underneath the retreating ice (Notz et al., 2003).

Because usually the water temperature is still below 0 °C, the phase transition of the ice at the ice–ocean interface is not governed by a physical melt process, but rather by a dissolution process. Therefore, the double-diffusive transport of heat and salt across the thin sublayer ultimately determines the ablation rate at the bottom of the ice. These processes can be parameterised for large-scale models based on a three-equation approach (Notz et al., 2003; McPhee, 2008), where three equations are solved that return the interfacial temperature, salinity and ablation rate. A crucial parameter for





these equations is the ratio R of the exchange coefficients for heat and salt transfer across this interface. Here, recent measurements point towards a value of about 35 (Sirevaag, 2009; McPhee, 2008). The physical mechanisms that determine this value are, however, currently not well understood.

- ⁵ During freezing, the salty brine that is released from the ice prevents the formation of a stable stratification. Hence, as long as the ice is growing, the exchange of heat and salt is exclusively governed by turbulent exchange, and double-diffusive effects can be neglected (McPhee, 2008). The main unknown then becomes the determination of the friction velocity, which in turn reduces primarily to a determination of the hydrodynamic
- ¹⁰ roughness length z_{0B} at the ice–water interface. Only relatively few measurements of z_{0B} at the bottom of sea ice exist, and it remains a major challenge to parameterise z_{0B} as a function of ice type in large-scale models. To our knowledge, most models pre-scribe a constant value and do not vary z_{0B} depending on the ice-thickness distribution within a particular grid cell. This is despite the fact that z_{0B} ranges from 1 mm for undeformed sea ice (McPhee et al., 1999) to several centimeters for heavily deformed
 - ice (Shaw et al., 2009) and ice in the MIZ.

The roughness length, stratification, and the velocity of ice relative to the ocean together determine the exchange of momentum between the ocean and the sea ice. Lu et al. (2011) found that for example in MIZ, most of the momentum transfer may oc-

- ²⁰ cur through the form drag along the floe edge. Hence, it is essential that the effects of form drag are accounted for, either via a larger value of z_{0B} or separately. This requires information or assumptions on the geometry of individual ice floes. The increasing availability of remotely sensed distribution of ice floes can, in the years to come, aid the inclusion of such distribution into large scale models and allow for the parameteriza-
- tion of the related small-scale processes. Lüpkes et al. (2012a) proposed a hierarchy of parametrizations for atmospheric momentum transport, which contains further parameters and can be applied to atmosphere and ocean models coupled with sea ice models. In global ocean modelling experiments, Stössel et al. (2008) addressed the effect of grid-averaging of the surface momentum flux over a mixture of sea ice and





open water. When the surface heterogeneity was accounted for, the momentum flux was increased by areas of lower ice concentration. This further enhanced the ice drift, leading to changes in the ice thickness distribution, a reduction in coastal ice concentration, and an increase of heat loss from the ocean.

5 4.2 Brine formation in the Arctic Ocean

The salinity of sea ice rarely exceeds 15 psu, whereas the average salinity of polar surface water is about 30 psu. Accordingly, half of the salt contained in sea water is retained in sea ice and the other half is drained out (Sect. 3.2.1). The brines are quite salty and extremely cold and that makes them very dense. Consequently they tend to precipitate and convect through the surface mixed layer down to a certain depth depending on the vertical stratification and the water depth. In Storfjorden, Svalbard, a major brine factory (Harpaintner et al., 2001), brines have two major effects depending on where they are formed. One effect is to increase salinity of the upper 100 m in Storfjorden in the deepest part of the fjord and the second effect is to form a benthic

- ¹⁵ layer originating from the shallowest parts of the fjord and overflowing at sill depth into the Barents Sea (Storfjordrenna). The first effect results from dilution into the underlying water masses provided that the water is deep enough to dilute the brines entirely before they reach the bottom of the fjord. The second effect results from the fact that brines precipitate to the bottom of the fjord without being diluted with ambient water
- ²⁰ because of shallow bottom depth. These two effects associated with brine formation can be found everywhere in the Arctic Ocean. The first effect contributes to the formation of the cold halocline. The second effect contributes partly to the ventilation of the deep Arctic Ocean. Paleoclimatologists (Dokken and Jansen, 1999) argued that this type of ventilation was predominant in the Arctic Ocean during ice age in contrast
- with warm period where ocean deep convection is the dominant ventilation factor for deep waters. Both processes are active in the present climate but it is not clear if one process dominates the other.





Brine rejection occurs all over the Arctic Ocean but it is much more active in open water areas (polynyas) than in pack ice. In autumn and winter over polynyas, the sensible heat flux is usually the dominant part of the surface energy budget, with smaller contributions from the latent heat flux and net radiation (Lüpkes et al., 2012b). Conse-

⁵ quently, the upward sensible heat flux is the main forcing term for frazil ice formation and brine release in polynyas. Due to large Arctic sea-ice retreat in summer, young sea ice expands very fast in the Arctic Ocean and multiyear sea-ice floes vanish. This tendency enhances quite significantly frazil ice formation and brine release in the Arctic Ocean. This contributes to the maintenance of the cold halocline in the Arctic Ocean as described by Bourgain and Gascard (2011).

4.3 Diapycnal mixing in the Arctic Ocean

15

Subsurface layers with above zero temperatures in the Arctic Ocean, originating from the Atlantic and the Pacific Ocean, form a considerable heat reservoir. The inflow of warm Atlantic Water (AW) through the Fram Strait alone would be enough to melt 1 m ice per year, if brought to the surface (Turner, 2010). Diapycnal mixing in the ocean is

- the main mechanism by which this interior oceanic heat can be fluxed to the surface, contributing to melting from the ice bottom. Mixing in the stratified interior ocean is related to internal wave energy, which tends to be low under the Arctic Ocean ice cover (Levine et al., 1985). Microstructure measurements conducted during the IPY show
- that the Arctic Ocean is a quiescent environment with background mixing rates close to molecular levels (Rainville and Winsor, 2008; Fer, 2009). Efficient vertical mixing and upward oceanic heat fluxes occur, however, along the continental rise and over topographic features where the warm boundary current is guided (Sirevaag and Fer, 2009; Fer et al., 2010). An illustration of the main forcing mechanisms and physical processes leading to diapycnal mixing are summarized in Fig. 9.

In the central basins of the Arctic Ocean, the typical hydrography of the upper ocean is characterized by a 10–30 m thick mixed layer below the ice–ocean interface with temperature near the freezing point, overlaying a cold isothermal layer where salinity





increases with depth (the cold halocline layer, CHL), followed by the deeper pycnocline where both temperature and salinity increases to the relatively warm and saline core of AW. The core of AW gradually deepens as the water circulates along the margins and into the deep basins of the Arctic (Dmitrenko et al., 2008); in the Amundsen Basin, close to the North Pole, the core of the AW-derived water resides at around 300 m depth. Direct microstructure measurements in the Amundsen Basin, conducted during IPY show that the vertical mixing of heat is suppressed by the strong density stratification in CHL (Fer, 2009). In the central Canada Basin, subsurface temperature maxima due to intrusions of Pacific Summer Water are located at about 50 m, i.e.,
closer to the ice. Utilizing the microstructure measurements made during the drift of the SHEBA ice camp, Shaw et al. (2009) reported that the strong stratification lim-

- ited the thickness of mixing zone at the mixed layer base. Observations made from ice-tethered profilers (ITPs) deployed during the IPY echo these findings (Toole et al., 2010). In addition, efficient lateral mixed layer re-stratification also impedes mixed layer
- deepening (Toole et al., 2010). Previous and subsequent estimates of vertical diffusivity and heat transport therefore suggest that the warm subsurface layers in the central basins cannot contribute to significant ice melt. Above the subsurface temperature and salinity maxima of AW, the stratification is favorable for double-diffusive convection (Sect. 4.4), which leads to diffusive fluxes up to an order of magnitude more efficient
 than the molecular diffusion (Sirevaag and Fer, 2012). Given the quiescent interior and the large-scale lateral extent of diffusive staircases, the heat flux from double-diffusive
- convection can be significant for the average heat loss of the AW layer in the deep basins.

The competition between the role of diffusive mixing and the advection of the AW in the boundary current is decisive on the seasonality of the AW signal. The advective time scale for circum-Arctic transport of AW from the Santa Anna Trough to the southern Canada Basin, inferred from transient tracer data, is 7.5 yr (Mauldin et al., 2010). The mixing rate between Barents Sea Branch Water in the boundary current and the interior of the Arctic is slow (5–10 yr) allowing the advected interannually varying tracer signals





to dominate over diffusion. At the Lomonosov Ridge where the boundary current bifurcates, however, the mixing rates are elevated, leading to gradual disappearance of the seasonal AW signal. The modelling results (Lique and Steele, 2012) support this; the seasonal AW signal survives over order 1000 km distance in the Nansen Basin along the continental slope whereas it is absent in the Canada and Makarov Basins.

5

The oceanic heat is found to affect the sea ice growth and melt primarily in the MIZ (Polyakov et al., 2010; Steele et al., 2010). Heat accumulated in the upper ocean will largely be lost to the atmosphere, delaying the onset of the freezing season and sea ice growth, as well as affecting the heat and moisture fluxes. Numerical model results

- of Steele et al. (2010) show that approximately 80% of upper ocean warming in the Pacific Sector arises from surface heat flux whereas the remaining originates from ocean lateral heat flux convergence. Melting as a result of upper warming induced by atmospheric fluxes, comprising of melting on the ice surface and also lateral and basal melting from local warming of the ocean surface, is responsible for about 60% of
- ¹⁵ summertime melting; dynamical ocean processes, such as heat flux convergence and vertical mixing, account for the rest of the melting, with an increasing role of the vertical diffusion (hence bottom melt) in late summer. In the Atlantic sector, positive temperature anomalies in the AW layer during 2007 coincided with a significant shoaling of this layer in the Central Arctic (Polyakov et al., 2010) and an estimated increase in the
- oceanic heat flux to the ocean surface, despite a coincident increase in stratification in the Makarov and Eurasian basins (Bourgain and Gascard, 2012). Observations from the drifting ice station ASCOS show a transition toward a more seasonal ice cover with a more pronounced freezing and melting cycle (Sirevaag et al., 2011). The heat and fresh water content in the mixed layer and upper cold halocline were significantly more
- and the winter mixed layer salinity was significantly larger than those observed in the early 1990's. The ocean mixed layer was found to be heated from the top and heat was redistributed downwards by turbulent mixing.

Microstructure measurements made during IPY in the central Arctic Ocean show enhanced turbulence dissipation rates following a storm, associated with near-inertial





band energy flux divergence in the upper ocean (Fer, 2013). While the diapycnal mixing in the interior Arctic Ocean is quiescent, primarily due to weak internal wave field, recent studies have shown a correlation between the absence of sea ice and increased near-inertial shear and internal wave content (Rainville and Woodgate, 2009; Rainville

- s et al., 2011). Retreating ice cover is thus suggested to lead to an increase in background mixing levels; the MIZ, in particular, can be a hot-spot of mixing with consequences for the ice extent. Recent studies show enhanced heat fluxes and turbulent mixing in the MIZ north of Svalbard (Fer and Sundfjord, 2007; Fer et al., 2010). In the wind-forced stratified Laptav Sea continental shelf, episodic intermittent diapycnal mix-
- ing was observed when baroclininc tides and inertial currents gave rise to a rotating shear vector in the pycnocline that is amplified on semidiurnal time scales (Lenn et al., 2011). The effect of decreasing ice cover on the internal wave energetics, however, is not well established. Comparisons of internal wave energy between modern and historical data, reanalyzed in identical fashion, reveal no trend evident over the 30 yr period
- ¹⁵ in spite of drastic diminution of the sea ice (Guthrie et al., 2013). The possible increase in internal wave forcing due to reduced sea ice cover may be offset by increased stratification by melt water, which amplifies the dissipation of internal wave energy in the under-ice boundary layer.

The tidal mixing over topography controls the northward extension of temperate AW and thus sea ice cover variability (Holloway and Proshutinsky, 2007), and enhances dense water formation (Postlethwaite et al., 2011). Recent numerical model results show that there is significant internal tidal wave generation in the Arctic Ocean, with baroclinic tidal energy dissipation structures similar to but two-three orders of magnitude less than that observed on mid-Atlantic and Hawaiian ridges (Kagan et al., 2011).

²⁵ The average coefficient of diapycnal diffusion is found to be less than the canonical value of the vertical eddy diffusivity in the deep ocean prescribed in models of global ocean circulation, but significant enough to influence the Arctic Ocean climate.





4.4 Double diffusive convection in the Arctic Ocean

The Arctic Ocean is very quiescent (Sect. 4.3). The level of turbulent kinetic energy is very low, and this is a very favourable environment for double diffusion processes to occur. Double diffusion in the ocean is due to different molecular diffusivities of temperature and salinity (Kelley et al., 2003). In fact there are two processes characterizing double diffusion in the ocean. Salt fingers occur when warm and salty water lies over a cold and fresh water. In contrast a cold and fresh water laying above a warm and salty water as it occurs in the Arctic Ocean, is the preconditioning for the diffusive convection process also called double diffusion. Steps like micro structures in the vertical distribution of temperature, salinity and density are a manifestation of double diffusion. Mixed layers alternate with sharp interfaces both in temperature, salinity and density.

Measurements during IPY revealed the ubiquitous nature of double diffusive steps in the Canada Basin characterized by a surprisingly large spatial coherency of the steps over several hundreds of kilometers (Timmermans et al., 2008). The mixed lay-

ers interleaving with the sharp interfaces were described as small features of limited vertical extension (few meters) and related limited vertical heat fluxes (0.05 Wm⁻² to 0.3 Wm⁻²). Detailed microstructure measurements in the central Arctic show a persistent thermohaline staircase above the AW temperature maximum with an inferred average vertical heat flux of 0.6 Wm⁻² (Sirevaag and Fer, 2012). The lateral coherency seen in the Canada Basin was, however, absent in the Amundsen Basin (Sirevaag and Fer, 2012).

The main parameter characterizing double diffusion is the density ratio. This is the ratio between $\beta \delta S/\delta z$ and $\alpha \delta \theta/\delta z$, where β is the haline contraction coefficient, α is the thermal expansion coefficient of sea water, and $\delta S/\delta z$ and $\delta \theta/\delta z$ are the vertical salinity and temperature gradients, respectively. The deepest part of the Arctic halocline was defined by Bourgain and Gascard (2011) as the depth where the density ratio is equal to 20. At greater depth within the main thermocline density ratios are typically between 1 and 10. The most favorable conditions for double diffusion to occur





correspond to density ratios approaching 1. In such conditions unstable temperature gradient develop through interfaces, leading to more active convection in the mixed layers (e.g. Kelley et al., 2003).

A structure of small steps in temperature and salinity profiles is also characteristic of double diffusion, as observed during IPY (Timmermans et al., 2008; Sirevaag and Fer, 2012). In the continental slope of the Laptev Sea, Polyakov et al. (2012) observed profiles with larger steps, which were remarkably persistent in time despite internal waves, eddies and strong AW pulses increasing significantly the level of kinetic turbulent energy. This large-step structure might be a result of a degenerative form of a double diffusion process, and it might not be correct to calculate the vertical heat fluxes associated with those large steps applying the double diffusion theory of Kelley et al. (2003). These large steps have not been observed in the past. Does that indicate some sort of evolution is actually happening in the Arctic? Is that evolution related to sea-ice retreat

increasing the level of turbulent kinetic energy in the interior of the Ocean or is it related

to an increase in Atlantic water flux of heat and salt in the Arctic Ocean, or both?

5 Discussion

5.1 Main advances and remaining challenges in individual research fields

Considering the reviewed research on ABL processes and the vertical structure of the lower troposphere, much of the advance has been based on field experiments. For the

- SBL, the SHEBA observations have still been the starting point for a major part of recent advances. This demonstrates the high quality and uniqueness of the data set but, due to the major changes in the lower boundary conditions for the ABL during the last 15 yr (decrease in sea ice concentration and thickness), simultaneously urgently calls for new year-round drifting stations with sophisticated ABL observations. Considering approximate the recent advance has been based on the second advance has been based advance has been badvance has based advance has based advance has been based adv
- ²⁵ convective ABL over leads and polynyas, part of the recent advance has been based on utilization of improved remote sensing products on the ice concentration (e.g. Marcq





and Weiss, 2012) and on coupled atmosphere-sea ice-ocean modeling (e.g. Ebner et al., 2011). Challenges remain in the high sensitivity of winter air temperatures to sea ice concentration (Lüpkes et al., 2008a; Tetzlaff et al., 2013), in the representation of new, thin ice in atmospheric models (Tisler et al., 2008) and in the interaction of convec-

- tive plumes with the capping stable or near-neutral environment (Lüpkes et al., 2008b). In the dynamics of cold-air outbreaks over the open ocean, the new results linking the occurrence of roll convection with surface inhomogeneities in upwind sea ice (Gryschka et al., 2008) are an interesting advance, although the links are still under discussion. This work also demonstrates the need for close collaboration of atmospheric and sea
- ice scientists. Considering the occurrence and properties of temperature and humidity inversions, recent advance has been partly due to availability of new remote sensing data (Devasthale et al., 2010, 2011) but also simply due to increased interest on the issue (Nygård et al., 2013). Improved estimates on large-scale moisture advection and surface evaporation (Boisvert et al., 2012, 2013) are a prerequisite to better understand
 the processes controlling the vertical profile of air humidity.

Much of the advance in understanding and modelling Arctic clouds has been based on recent field data, above all in the circum-Arctic coastal observatories (Shupe et al., 2011) and during the I/B Oden expeditions in summers 2001 and 2008. The main advances have been related to the amounts of and partitioning between cloud liquid water

- and ice, radii of cloud droplets and ice crystals, decoupling between the surface and cloud layers, moisture sources from below and above the clouds, and production of turbulence in clouds. Challenges remain in improving our understanding of Arctic cloud physics (including the coupling of clouds, aerosols, radiative transfer, ABL turbulence, and cloud-generated turbulence) and even more in representing it in climate and NWP
- ²⁵ models. Limited horizontal and vertical resolution as well as a general lack of binned microphysical parameterizations mean that models will continue to rely on moist physics parameterizations based on more well-understood, lower latitude systems – which are likely not representative of Arctic conditions (e.g. Prenni et al., 2007). In the field of radiative transfer in the atmosphere, advance has taken place with respect to a better





understanding of the interaction of radiation with cloud properties, condensation nuclei, surface albedo, near-surface turbulence, and heat conduction in snow and ice (Sedlar et al., 2011; Mauritsen et al., 2011). Comparisons against SHEBA data showed, however, that negative biases prevail in both shortwave and longwave downward radiation in several regional climate models (Tjernström et al., 2008). A better handling of the

⁵ In several regional climate models (Tjernström et al., 2008). A better handling of the aerosol/cloud/radiation feedback is a prerequisite to improve model results for radiation balance at the surface.

Considering fjordic and coastal processes, the advance has been supported by new aircraft observations, tethersonde sounding campaigns, and model experiments. Re-

- cent studies include the first comprehensive observations on barrier winds off southeastern Greenland (Pedersen et al., 2009) and the first in situ observations of a tip jet off Cape Farewell (Renfrew et al., 2009a), and investigations of the governing dynamics of these flows. The presence of sea ice in Svalbard fjords has been found important for the dynamics of katabatic winds. It is now well demonstrated that various coastal
- and fjordic features can be accurately simulated with a sufficient model resolution of the order of kilometre, but it will take long before climate models can reach such a resolution.

The IPY was a focal point for extensive campaigns during which polar lows were observed. Operational weather forecasting systems have now reached the state where polar lows should be able to be predicted routinely. The recent development is above all related to better observing and data assimilation systems. Challenges remain, however, in the optimization of regional high-resolution ensemble prediction systems for Polar lows (Kristiansen et al., 2011).

Recent studies have demonstrated the importance of downward longwave radiation for the spring onset of snow melt on the Arctic sea ice (Persson, 2012; Maksimovich and Vihma, 2012). After the onset, the amount of melt is primarily controlled by the absorbed shortwave radiation. The albedo of snow evolves following the surface metamorphism and change of phases, from dry snow to melting snow, pond formation, pond drainage, pond evolution, and fall freeze-up (Perovich et al., 2009; Nicolaus





et al., 2010a; Perovich and Polashenski, 2012). Numerous studies during and after IPY have addressed the snow and sea ice albedo (more than 70 papers cited here), the actual research topics including the spectral differences, spatial variations between various surface types, and effects of impurities such as black carbon. Further develop-

- ⁵ ment of albedo parameterizations in climate and NWP models has been guided by the development of microscale models of the snow metamorphism (Flanner and Zender, 2006), which allow the coupling between penetration of solar radiation into the snow and ice layer, the micro-scale characteristics of the ice crystals and the surface albedo. A proper validation of these parameterizations is, however, still missing. The develop-
- ¹⁰ ment of new observation techniques for radiation (Nicolaus et al., 2010b; Hudson et al., 2012) and snow and ice properties (Arnaud et al., 2011; Gallet at al., 2009) has the potential to facilitate the future collection of high quality and complete datasets. There is also need for more realistic melt pond parameterizations, which, in addition to albedo, account for the latent heat, which has impact on the timing of fall freeze up. Further, more conhisting and an the inherent.
- ¹⁵ more sophisticated snow aging parameterizations are needed, based on the inherent snow optical properties and accounting for the content of absorbing impurities. Moreover, models need to better take into account the effects of liquid melt water on snow metamorphism and optical properties.

New results on sea ice structure have been largely based on application of the ²⁰ mushy-layer theory (Notz and Worster, 2009). This theory has proven particularly useful for better understanding the temporal evolution of sea ice salinity, in which the gravity drainage of salty brine and its replacement by less saline ocean water is essential. Process models work well for this desalination, and simplified parameterizations have been developed to describe it in large-scale models. Challenges remain in particular

²⁵ in realistically representing the fate of the draining brine in the oceanic boundary layer, and in realistically modeling the evolution of sea-ice salinity during periods of melt water flushing in summer. Regarding the basic issue of heat conduction in snow and ice, the need to take into account the effects of temperature and density on the snow heat conductivity is now better understood (Lecomte et al., 2011). Further, due to the spa-





tial inhomogeneity of the snow cover, the need to use an effective heat conductivity of snow is well demonstrated (Semmler et al., 2012). Future perspectives with thinner sea ice and increasing precipitation suggest an increasing contribution of snow ice and superimposed ice in the Arctic sea ice mass balance. Modelling of these granular ice types has received attention, but snow/ice models suffer from considerable inaccuracy

in precipitation forcing (Cheng et al., 2008b, 2013).

5

Considering small-scale dynamics of sea ice, the first estimates on mechanical weakening of sea ice in pan-Arctic scale were made via analysis of the response of sea ice to the Coriolis force. On the basis of buoy data and model experiments, Gimbert

- et al. (2012a, b) demonstrated that the strengthening of inertial oscillations in recent years was partly a result of a genuine mechanical weakening of the ice cover, with a winter ice cover that nowadays mimics the mechanical behavior of summer sea ice 20 to 30 yr ago. The mechanical weakening of the ice has contributed to the accelerated drift. Seismometers installed on sea ice have allowed high-frequency monitoring
- of sea ice fracturing and faulting. The propagation speed of seismic waves has been found to depend on the ice thickness, allowing a novel method to estimate the latter in a regional scale (Marsan et al., 2012). Seismic observations also allow complementing satellite measurements by providing a much more detailed temporal sampling and therefore a better characterization of sea ice fracturing processes. Consequently,
- the next challenge is to extend the explorative DAMOCLES sea ice seismic survey to longer durations (at least a winter season) and to a broader scale range, from the km scale to the regional (100 km) scale. In addition, an analysis of seismic noise induced by ocean-wave energy and recorded by land-based seismic stations installed at the periphery of the Arctic basin might be a way to monitor a proxy of the ice strength on a perennial basis (Tsai and McNamara, 2011).

The sea ice cover of the Arctic Ocean strongly reduces mixing of the underlying water masses. Hence, mixing processes that do not play a large role elsewhere are often important in the Arctic. New results have demonstrated that above the subsurface temperature and salinity maxima of the Atlantic Water, the stratification is favourable for





double-diffusive convection, which leads to vertical fluxes up to an order of magnitude larger than the molecular diffusion (Sirevaag and Fer, 2012). Challenges in understanding and modeling diapycnal mixing include the presence of large spatial variations: mixing is much more efficient along the continental rise and over topographic features,

⁵ and the interplay between horizontal advection and diffusive mixing depends a lot on the location. Challenges also remain in quantitative understanding on the role of the ocean heat that reaches the surface: how large a portion escapes to the atmosphere and how much is used to melt the sea ice?

Deep ocean convection is an important process in the Arctic Ocean with surprisingly little research done during recent years. In particular, the role of submesoscale coherent vortexes (SCVs) in deep ocean convection and thermohaline circulation deserves more attention (Gascard et al., 2002). These SCVs are anticyclonic eddies extending from near the surface down to 3 km depth within a 5 km core diameter. They are strongly involved in open-ocean deep convection by transferring homogenoeus water

- from the shallow mixed layer (mixed patch) down through the deep main pycnocline. These SCVs are quite distinctive from convective plumes, not only because their volumes are two to three orders of magnitude larger than those of the plumes, but also because their lifetime is two to three orders of magnitude longer than that of ephemeral plumes. More attention is also needed to continental shelf waves trapped above the
- ²⁰ continental shelf break region all around the Arctic Ocean where resonance occurs during spring tides. This mechanism has a great potential to trigger sea-ice break up during springtime in MIZ and consequently to enhance sea-ice melting and retreat. Accordingly, both processes (deep ocean convection affecting ocean circulation and diurnal resonance affecting sea-ice melting) should be considered in large-scale mod-²⁵ elling of the Arctic Ocean by developing appropriate parameterizations.

5.2 Cross-disciplinary aspects

Small-scale processes in the Arctic atmosphere, snow, sea ice, and the ocean cover a broad range of research areas. In some fields addressed here, such as turbulence





in the atmosphere and ocean, the recent advances build on work that was started several decades ago, whereas some other issues, such as propagation of seismic signals in sea ice, represent very recently opened research fields. Here we have reported advances in development of parameterizations for the surface albedo, melt ponds, tur-

- ⁵ bulent surface fluxes, desalination of sea ice, snow thermal conductivity, and the ablation rate at the ice bottom. In cloud physics, radiative transfer in the atmosphere, sea ice small-scale dynamics, and most small-scale processes in the ocean, the recent advance in physical understanding has not yet yielded remarkable improvements in parameterizations. Ideally, the advance in physical understanding and parameteriza-
- tion should progress hand in hand: large model errors may suggest that something is wrong or insufficient in the physical understanding, which generates a need for more process studies, which improve the physical understanding and further result in improved parameterizations. In practice, however, the improvement of large-scale models often takes place after some delay. The reasons for this are manifold, including (a)
- the limited computational power, (b) the need to prioritize among the large number of issues that need improvements in models, (c) too little communication between basic researchers and large-scale modellers, and (d) too little communication between disciplines. The development of parameterizations is further complicated by the lack of understanding on how much complexity is cost-effective.
- ²⁰ Understanding the role of small-scale processes in the Arctic climate system is complicated by the numerous feedback effects. Several recent studies have stressed the close connections between the surface albedo feedback and the water vapour, aerosolcloud-radiation, snow thickness, and phase-of-precipitation feedbacks. Other essential small-scale feedbacks in the Arctic system include those related to the temperature
- inversion and longwave radiation, but feedbacks also occur in partly-resolved scales, e.g. related to the occurrence of Polar lows or ocean eddies. An accurate representation of feedbacks continues to be one of the major challenges in modeling of the Arctic and global climate change. For example, the nature of sea ice loss – whether it will be reversible or not – is sensitive to the parameterization of feedbacks (Eisen-





man and Wettlaufer, 2009; Müller-Stoffels and Wackerbauer, 2012). The present level of uncertainty is characterized by the fact that recent improvements in the ECMWF land snow scheme have resulted in doubling of the snow-albedo feedback (Dutra et al., 2012). The net effect of all the feedbacks taking place in the Arctic is difficult to assess because they operate in different spatial and temporal scales (Callaghan et al., 2012).

Advances and challenges in research of the atmospheric and oceanic boundary layers have analogies. The interaction of waves and turbulence is an acute research topic both for ABL and OBL. New evidence has been obtained that turbulence prevails in the atmosphere even under very stable stratification, which is related to the anisotronew of turbulence and to internal waves, which preserve vertical memory mixing

- ¹⁰ phy of turbulence and to internal waves, which preserve vertical momentum mixing (Galperin et al., 2007). In the Arctic Ocean, the weak internal wave field is a primary reason for the quiescent diapycnal mixing. Reduction of the sea ice cover is, however, expected to increase the background mixing levels (Rainville et al., 2011). Although the measurements in the MIZ are in support of this hypothesis (Fer et al., 2010), the
- ¹⁵ effect of decreasing ice cover on the internal wave energetics is not well established (Guthrie et al., 2013). During ice growth, the main uncertainty in modeling the turbulent exchange of heat and salt at ice–water interface originates from the roughness length z_{0B} . The observational values include a large scatter, and a major question is how to parameterize the role of form drag due to flow edges and keels. In the atmosphere,
- ²⁰ the new parameterizations for z_0 have dealt with the same issue: the role of ridges, flow edges, melt pond edges, and sastrugi in generation of form drag (Andreas et al., 2010a, b; Andreas, 2011; Lüpkes et al., 2012a, 2013). The z_0 values applied in largescale model, however, sometimes strongly differ from the results of field experiments, because z_0 is used as a tuning parameter. In ocean models, the angle between the ice-ocean stress and ice drift vectors is often used similarly (Uotila et al., 2013).

It is noteworthy that better understanding and modeling of small-scale processes in the Arctic is essential not only for the Arctic climate system but also for the midlatitudes. Sea ice decline in the Arctic has modified the synoptic and large-scale circulation at a circumpolar scale (Vihma, 2013), and this modification has taken place via





ABL processes, including interaction of convection and baroclinic effects (Petoukhov and Semenov, 2010), destruction of the low-level temperature inversion (Deser et al., 2010), deepening of the ABL (Francis et al., 2009), and destabilization of the lower troposphere (Jaiser et al., 2012). Bearing in mind the large errors in reanalyses and climate models in the ABL (see the Introduction), these findings call for more research on the Arctic ABL.

One of the many challenges in studies of small-scale processes in the Arctic is to understand how representative point observations are. Even in the central Arctic, far from direct influence of land and sea-floor orography, the boundary conditions for small-scale processes are affected by the large-scale flow in the ocean and atmosphere and related advection of heat, moisture, and salt. Hence, it is difficult to estimate how representative our observationally based knowledge on small-scale processes truly is, bearing in

mind that a large portion of the best data sets have been gathered from rather limited regions, such as the Beaufort/Chukchi Sea (SHEBA) and the Atlantic sector of the Arctic. The spatial variations are, however, less crucial for the understanding of processes

than for the climatology of, among others, radiative and turbulent fluxes. Problems in the latter are reviewed in Bourassa et al. (2013).

6 Outlook

10

A key difference between partially resolved processes (such as Polar lows and ocean
 mesoscale eddies) and processes that are only parameterized is that further increases in grid resolution will eventually enable good representation of the former in NWP and climate models. In the mean-time (next decade or two), however, parameterizations of processes on both scales remain necessary. Hence, on both scales, we have to accept the fact that uncertainty and errors will remain in parameterizations. Future challenges
 include to quantitatively understand how much these errors are related to (a) the fact

that many recent findings on small-scale physics have not yet been (fully) implemented in model parameterizations, (b) our lack of understanding of the processes, and (c)





our incapability in parameterizing them using grid-resolved variables. Further, accepting the fact that parameterizations will always have errors, more work is needed to develop and apply methods such as stochastic physics in ensemble prediction systems, as already done in some climate (Palmer and Williams, 2010) and NWP models (Krasnopolsky et al., 2013) but, according to our knowledge, not in reanalyses.

5

The concrete path towards better understanding and parameterization of small-scale physical processes in the Arctic is multifaceted. First, further advance can be made via more systematic and cross-disciplinary analyses of existing observations, such as SHEBA, supported by model experiments devoted to improvement of parameter-

- izations, applying both large-scale and process models (including LES). Large-scale operational and climate models are essential to evaluate how well the interaction of individual processes of different temporal and spatial scales is reproduced, preserving process relationships as diagnosed from observations. Attention should also be paid on the optimal utilization of recent remote satellite sensing products, such as the
- SMOS (Soil Moisture and Ocean Salinity) data on thin ice thickness, new generation Radio Occultation instruments and sounders for atmospheric remote sensing, as well as the potential of MODIS, Calipso, Cloudsat, and EarthCARE data on (mixed-phase) clouds. The WMO Polar Prediction Program (PPP) is expected to have a major role in coordination of the data analyses and modeling activities. PPP will include an intensive phase: the Year of Polar Prediction (YOPP) in 2017–2018.

Second, after 15 yr since the end of SHEBA, we need more year-round field observations, including both in situ and ship/ice/aircraft-based remote sensing observations. It is essential that the observations are made in extensive, multi-disciplinary campaigns, so that the interaction of different variables and processes can be observed. Expec-

tations for new process-level observations on the Arctic atmosphere-sea-ice-ocean system are laid on the MOSAiC (Multidisciplinary drifting Observatory for Studies of Arctic Climate, described at www.mosaicobservatory.org/) year-round field campaign planned for the time frame of 2017–2019. MOSAiC will overlap with YOPP, which will provide excellent possibilities for coordination of observations and model experiments.




It is essential to develop new observation methods focusing on the "New Arctic", characterized by, among others, larger areas of open water and thin ice, longer periods of snow and ice melt, and more rain instead of snow fall. Increasingly important processes to be studied include the autumn freeze-up, snow on sea ice, wave-ice interaction, and storm effects. Observations over thin ice will generate challenges for instrument deployment. Hence, further development of remote sensing methods is essential to obtain a good spatial and temporal coverage, and the role of unmanned aerial vehicles (e.g. Inoue et al., 2008), dropsondes, controlled meteorological balloons (Voss et al., 2013), and autonomous underwater vehicles (e.g. Doble et al., 2009) is expected to increase. Underwater gliders are recently shown to be a suitable platform for ocean microstructure measurements (Fer et al., 2014). Coordinated planning of new observations is needed to maximize the utilization and mutual support of in-situ and remote

sensing data. Observational requirements need to be well defined and to be communicated to space agencies for future mission design. In some fields, such as snow and ice physics, field experiments could also be more systematically supported by laboratory experiments.

Acknowledgements. The DAMOCLES project (grant 18509) was funded by the 6th Framework Programme of the European Commission. The work has been additionally supported by the Academy of Finland (contract 259537), by the Deutsche Forschungsgemeinschaft (LU818/1– 1, LU818/3-1), by the German Federal Ministry of Education and Science (project MiKlip, FKZ: 01LP1126A), the Research Council of Norway for IF (contract 178641/S30), and the UK's Natural Environment Research Council (NE/I028297/1).

References

20

Aleksandrov, Y. I., Bryazgin, N. N., Førland, E. J., Radionov, V. F., and Svyashchennikov, P. N.:

 Seasonal, interannual and longterm variability of precipitation and snow depth in the region of the Barents and Kara seas, Polar Res., 24, 69–85, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2005.tb00141.x, 2005.





- Andreas, E. L.: A relationship between the aerodynamic and physical roughness of winter sea ice, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1581–1588, doi:10.1002/qj.842, 2011.
- Andreas, E. and Cash, B.: Convective heat transfer over wintertime leads and polynyas, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 25721–25734, 1999.
- Andreas, E. L., Paulson, C. A., Williams, R. M., Lindsay, R. W., and Businger, J. A.: The turbulent heat flux from Arctic leads, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 17, 57–91, 1979.
 - Andreas, E. L., Persson, P. O. G., Grachev, A. A., Jordan, R. E., Horst, T. W., Guest, P. S., and Fairall, C. W.: Parameterizing turbulent exchange over sea ice in winter, J. Hydrometeorol., 11, 87–104, doi:10.1175/2009JHM1102.1, 2010a.
- Andreas, E. L., Horst, T. W., Grachev, A. A., Persson, P. O. G., Fairall, C. W., Guest, P. S., and Jordan, R. E.: Parametrizing turbulent exchange over summer sea ice and the marginal ice zone, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 138, 927–943, 2010b.
- Aoki, T., Kuchiki, K., Niwano, M., Kodama, Y., Hosaka, M., and Tanaka, T.: Physically based snow albedo model for calculating broadband albedos and the solar heating profile in snowpack for general circulation models, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D11114, doi:10.1029/2010jd015507, 2011.
 - Armour, K. C., Eisenman, I., Blanchard-Wrigglesworth, E., McCusker, K. E., and Bitz, C. M.: The reversibility of sea ice loss in a state-of-the-art climate model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L16705, doi:10.1029/2011gl048739, 2011.
- Arnaud, L., Picard, G., Champollion, N., Domine, F., Gallet, J. C., Lefebvre, E., Fily, M., and Barnola, J. M.: Measurement of vertical profiles of snow specific surface area with a 1 cm resolution using infrared reflectance: instrument description and validation, J. Glaciol., 57, 17–29, 2011.

Aspelien, T., Iversen, T., Bremnes, J. B., and Frogner, I.-L.: Short-range probabilistic forecasts

- from the Norwegian limited-area EPS: long-term validation and a polar low study, Tellus A, 63, 564–584, 2011.
 - Atlaskin, E. and Vihma, T.: Evaluation of NWP results for wintertime nocturnal boundarylayer temperatures over Europe and Finland, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 138, 1440–1451, doi:10.1002/qj.1885, 2012.
- ³⁰ Bailey, E., Feltham, D. L., and Sammonds, P. R.: A model for the consolidation of rafted sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C04015, doi:10.1029/2008JC005103, 2010.
 - Barrie, L. A.: Arctic air pollution: an overview of current knowledge, Atmos. Environ., 20, 643–663, 1986.





- Barstad, I. and Adakudlu, M.: Observation and modelling of gap flow and wake formation on Svalbard, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1731–1738, 2011.
- Beesley, J. A., Bretherton, C. S., Jakob, C., Andreas, E.L, Intrieri, J. M., and Uttal, T. A.: A comparison of cloud and boundary layer variables in the ECMWF forecast model with observa-
- tions at Surface Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean (SHEBA) ice camp, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 12337–12349, 2000.
 - Beare, R. J.: Boundary layer mechanisms in extratropical cyclones, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133, 503–515, 2007.
 - Bintanja, R., Graversen, R. G., and Hazeleger, W.: Arctic winter warming amplified by the
- thermal inversion and consequent low infrared cooling to space, Nature, 4, 758–761, doi:10.1038/ngeo1285, 2011.
 - Birch, C. E., Brooks, I. M., Tjernström, M., Milton, S. F., Earnshaw, P., Söderberg, S., and Persson, P. O. G.: The performance of a global and mesoscale model over the central Arctic Ocean during late summer, J. Geophys. Res., 114, 1–19, D13104, doi:10.1029/2008JD010790. 2009.
 - Bitz, C. M., Ridley, J., Holland, M., and Cattle, H.: Global climate models and 20th and 21st century arctic climate change, in: Arctic Climate Change, edited by: Lemke, P. and Jacobi, H.-W., Atmospheric and Oceanographic Sciences Library, Springer, the Netherlands, 405–436, 2012.

- Blazey, B. A., Holland, M. M., and Hunke, E. C.: Arctic Ocean sea ice snow depth evaluation and bias sensitivity in CCSM, The Cryosphere Discuss., 7, 1495–1532, doi:10.5194/tcd-7-1495-2013, 2013.
 - Blüthgen, J., Gerdes, R., and Werner, M.: Atmospheric response to the extreme Arctic sea ice conditions in 2007, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L02707, doi:10.1029/2011GL050486, 2012.
- Boé, J., Hall, A., and Qu, X.: Current GCMs' unrealistic negative feedback in the Arctic, J. Climate, 22, 4682–4695, doi:10.1175/2009jcli2885.1, 2009.
 - Boisvert, L. N., Markus, T., Parkinson, C. L., and Vihma, T.: Moisture fluxes derived from EOS aqua satellite data for the North Water Polynya over 2003–2009, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D06119, doi:10.1029/2011JD016949, 2012.
- Boisvert, L., Markus, T., and Vihma, T.: Moisture flux changes and trends for the entire Arctic in 2003–2011 derived from EOS Aqua data, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 5829–5843, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20414, 2013.





- Bourassa, M. A., Gille, S., Bitz, C., Carlson, D., Cerovecki, I., Cronin, M., Drennan, W., Fairall, C., Hoffman, R., Magnusdottir, G., Pinker, R., Renfrew, I., Serreze, M., Speer, K., Talley, L., and Wick, G.: High-latitude ocean and sea ice surface fluxes: challenges for climatic research, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 94, 403–423, doi:10.1175/BAMS-D-11-00244.1, 2013.
- ⁵ Bourgain, P. and Gascard, J. C.: The Arctic Ocean halocline and its interannual variability from 1997 to 2008, Deep-Sea Res. I, 58, 745–756, 2011.
 - Bourgain, P. and Gascard, J. C.: The Atlantic and summer Pacific waters variability in the Arctic Ocean from 1997 to 2008, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L05603, doi:10.1029/2012gl051045, 2012.
- ¹⁰ Briegleb, B. P. and Light, B.: A Delta-Eddington Multiple Scattering Parameterization for Solar Radiation in the Sea Ice Component of the Community Climate System Model, NCAR Technical Note NCAR/TN-472+STR, NCAR/TN-472+STR, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA, 2007.

Bromvich, D. H., Hines, K. M., and Bai, L.-S.: Development and testing of Polar Weather

- Research and Forecasting Model: 2. Arctic Ocan, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D08122, doi:10.1029/2008JD010300, 2009.
 - Brun, E., Yang, Z.-L., Essery, R., and Cohen, J.: Snow-cover parameterization and modeling, in snow and climate, in: Snow and Climate, edited by: Armstrong, R. L. and Brun, E., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 222 pp., 2008.
- Brunke, M. A., Zhou, M., Zeng, X., and Andreas, E. L.: An intercomparison of bulk aerodynamic algorithms used over sea ice with data from the Surface Heat Budget for the Arctic Ocean (SHEBA) experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 111, C09001, doi:10.1029/2005JC002907, 2006. Brümmer, B. and Thiemann, S.: Arctic wintertime on-ice air flow, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 104, 53–72, 2002.
- Byrkjedal, Ø., Esau, I. N., and Kvamstø, N. G.: Sensitivity of simulated wintertime Arctic atmosphere to vertical resolution in the ARPEGE/IFS model, Clim. Dynam., 30, 687–701, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0316-z, 2007.
 - Callaghan, T. V., Johansson, M. J., Key, J., Prowse, T., Ananicheva, M., and Klepikov, A.: Feedbacks and interactions: from the Arctic cryosphere to the climate system, Ambio, 40, 75–86, doi:10.1007/c12280.011.0215.8.2012
- ³⁰ doi:10.1007/s13280-011-0215-8, 2012.
 - Calonne, N., Flin, F., Morin, S., Lesaffre, B., Rolland du Roscoat, S., and Geindreau, C.: Numerical and experimental investigations of the effective thermal conductivity of snow, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L23501, doi:10.1029/2011GL049234, 2011.





- Cesana, G, Kay, J. E., Chepfer, H., English, J. M., and de Boer, G.: Ubiquitous low-level liquidcontaining Arctic clouds: new observations and climate model constraints from CALIPSO-GOCCP, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L20804, 1–6, doi:10.1029/2012GL053385, 2012.
- Chechin, D. G., Lüpkes, C., Repina, I. A., and Gryanik, V. M.: Idealized dry quasi-2-D mesoscale simulations of cold-air outbreaks over the marginal sea-ice zone with fine and coarse reso
 - lution, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 8787–8813, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50679, 2013.

30

- Cheng, B., Vihma, T., Pirazzini, R., and Granskog, M.: Modeling of superimposed ice formation during spring snow-melt period in the Baltic Sea, Ann. Glaciol., 44, 139–146, 2006.
- Cheng, B., Vihma, T., Zhang, Z., Li, Z., and Wu, H.: Snow and sea ice thermodynamics in the Arctic: model validation and sensitivity study against SHEBA data, Chinese J. Polar Sci., 19,
 - 108–122, 2008a.
 - Cheng, B., Zhang, Z., Vihma, T., Johansson, M., Bian, L., Li, Z., and Wu, H.: Model experiments on snow and ice thermodynamics in the Arctic Ocean with CHINARE2003 data, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C09020, doi:10.1029/2007JC004654, 2008b.
- ¹⁵ Cheng, B., Mäkynen, M., Similä, M., Rontu, L., and Vihma, T.: Modelling snow and ice thickness in the coastal Kara Sea, Russian Arctic, Ann. Glaciol., 54, 105–113, doi:10.3189/2013AoG62A180, 2013.
 - Clarke, A. D. and Noone, K. J.: Soot in the arctic snowpack: a cause for perturbations in radiative transfer, Atmos. Environ., 19, 2045–2053, 1985.
- ²⁰ Condron, A. and Renfrew, I. A.: The impact of polar mesoscale storms on northeast Atlantic Ocean circulation, Nature Geosci., 6, 34–37, doi:10.1038/ngeo1661, 2013.
 - Condron, A., Bigg, G. R., and Renfrew, I. A.: Modelling the impact of polar mesoscale cyclones on ocean circulation, J. Geophysical Res., 113, C10005, doi:10.1029/2007JC004599, 2008.
 - Cottier, F., Nilsen, F., Inall, M. E., Gerland, S., Tverberg, V., and Svendsen, H.: Wintertime
- warming of an Arctic shelf in response to large-scale atmospheric circulation, Geophys. Res.
 Lett., 34, L10607, doi:10.1029/2007GL029948, 2007.
 - Curry, J. A.: Interactions among turbulence, radiation and microphysics in Arctic stratus clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 43, 90–106, 1986.
 - Curry, J. A., Rossow, W. B., Randall, D., and Schramm, J. L.: Overview of Arctic cloud and radiation characteristics, J. Climate, 9, 1731–1764, 1996.
 - Cuxart, J., Holtslag, A. A. M., Beare, R., Beljaars, A., Cheng, A., Conangla, L., Ek, M., Freedman, F., Hamdi, R., Kerstein, A., Kitagawa, H., Lenderik, G., Lewellen. D., Mailhot, J., Mauritsen, T., Perov, V., Schayes, G., Steeneveld, G.-J., Svensson, G., Taylor, P., Wunsch, S.,





Weng, W., and Xu, K.-M.: Single-column intercomparison for a stably stratified atmospheric boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 118, 273–303, 2006.

- Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L.,
- ⁵ Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, L., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J. J., Park, B. K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J. N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553–597, 2011.
- Devasthale, A., Willén, U., Karlsson, K.-G., and Jones, C. G.: Quantifying the clear-sky temperature inversion frequency and strength over the Arctic Ocean during summer and winter seasons from AIRS profiles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 5565–5572, doi:10.5194/acp-10-5565-2010, 2010.

Devasthale, A., Sedlar, J., and Tjernström, M.: Characteristics of water-vapour inversions ob-

- served over the Arctic by Atmospheric Infrared Sounder (AIRS) and radiosondes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 9813–9823, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9813-2011, 2011.
 - de Boer, G., Eloranta, E., and Shupe, M. D.: Arctic mixed-phase stratiform cloud properties from multiple years of surface-based measurements at two high-latitude locations, J. Atmos. Sci., 66, 2874–2887, doi:10.1175/2009JAS3029.1, 2009.
- de Boer, G., Morrison, H., Shupe, M. D., and Hildner, R.: Evidence of liquid dependent ice nucleation in high-latitude stratiform clouds from surface remote sensors, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L01803, 1–5, doi:10.1029/2010GL046016, 2011.
 - Dadic, R., Schneebeli, M., Lehning, M., Hutterli, M. A., and Ohmura, A.: Impact of the microstructure of snow on its temperature: a model validation with measurements from Summit,
- Greenland, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14303, doi:10.1029/2007jd009562, 2008.
 Deser, C., Tomas, R., Alexander, M., and Lawrence, D.: The seasonal atmospheric response to projected Arctic sea ice loss in the late twenty-first century, J. Climate, 23, 333–351, 2010.
 - Dickinson, R. E., Henderson-Sellers, A., and Kennedy, P. J.: Biosphere-Atmosphere Transfer Scheme (BATS) Version le as Coupled to the NCAR Community Climate Model, NCAR
- ³⁰ Technical Note NCAR/TN-387+STR, NCAR/TN-387+STR, National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, CO, USA, 1993.
 - Dmitrenko, I.A., Polyakov, I. V., Kirillov, S. A., Timokhov, L. A., Frolov, I. E., Sokolov, V. T., Simmons, H. L., Ivanov, V. V., and Walsh, D.: Toward a warmer Arctic Ocean: spreading of





the early 21st century Atlantic water warm anomaly along the Eurasian Basin margins, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C05023, doi:10.1029/2007jc004158, 2008.

- Doble, M. J., Forrest, A. L., Wadhams, P., and Laval, B. E.: Through-ice AUV deployment: operational and technical experience from two seasons of Arctic fieldwork, Cold Reg. Sci.
- Technol., 56, 90–97, doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2008.11.006, 2009.
 Doherty, S. J., Warren, S. G., Grenfell, T. C., Clarke, A. D., and Brandt, R. E.: Light-absorbing impurities in Arctic snow, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11647–11680, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11647-

2010, 2010. Dokken, T. and Jansen, E.: Rapid changes in the mechanism of ocean convection during the last glacial period, Nature, 401, 458–461, 1999.

Domine, F., Bock, J., Morin, S., and Giraud, G.: Linking the effective thermal conductivity of snow to its shear strength and density, J. Geophys. Res., 116, F04027, doi:10.1029/2011JF002000, 2011.

Dorn, W., Dethloff, K., Rinke, A., Frickenhaus, S., Gerdes, R., Karcher, M., and Kauker, F.: Sen-

- sitivities and uncertainties in a coupled regional atmosphere-ocean-ice model with respect to the simulation of Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D10118, doi:10.1029/2006jd007814, 2007.
 - Dorn, W., Dethloff, K., and Rinke, A.: Improved simulation of feedbacks between atmosphere and sea ice over the Arctic Ocean in a coupled regional climate model, Ocean Model., 29,

103–114, doi:10.1016/j.ocemod.2009.03.010, 2009.

10

20

Dudko, Y. V., Schmidt, H., von der Heydt, K., and Scheer, E. K.: Edge wave observation using remote seismoacoustic sensing of ice events in the Arctic, J. Geophys. Res., 103, 21775–21781, 1998.

Dutra, E., Viterbo, P., Miranda, P. M. A., and Balsamo, G.: Complexity of snow schemes in

- a climate model and its impact on surface energy and hydrology, J. Hydrometeorol., 13, 521–538, doi:10.1175/jhm-d-11-072.1, 2012.
 - Eastman, R. and Warren, S. G.: Interannual variations of arctic cloud types in relation to sea ice, J. Climate, 23, 4216–4232, doi:10.1175/2010jcli3492.1, 2010.

Ebner, L., Schröder, D., and Heinemann, G.: Impact of Laptev Sea flaw polynyas on the atmospheric boundary layer and ice production using idealized mesoscale simulations, Polar Res., 30, 7210, doi:10.3402/polar.v30i0.7210, 2011.

ECMWF: IFS documentation CY38r1, available at: http://www.ecmwf.int/research/ifsdocs/ CY38r1/ (last access: 12 November 2013), 2012.





Ehn, J. K., Mundy, C. J., and Barber, D. G.: Bio-optical and structural properties inferred from irradiance measurements within the bottommost layers in an Arctic landfast sea ice cover, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C03S03, doi:10.1029/2007jc004194, 2008a.

Ehn, J. K., Papakyriakou, T. N., and Barber, D. G.: Inference of optical properties from radiation profiles within melting landfast sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C09024, doi:10.1029/2007jc004656, 2008b.

- Ehn, J. K., Mundy, C. J., Barber, D. G., Hop, H., Rossnagel, A., and Stewart, J.: Impact of horizontal spreading on light propagation in melt pond covered seasonal sea ice in the Canadian Arctic, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C00G02, doi:10.1029/2010jc006908, 2011.
- ¹⁰ Eisenman, I. and Wettlaufer, J. S.: Nonlinear threshold behavior during the loss of Arctic sea ice, P. Natl. Acad. Sci. USA, 106, 28–32, doi:10.1073/pnas.0806887106, 2009.
 - Esau, I. N.: Amplification of turbulent exchange over wide Arctic leads: large-eddy simulation study, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D08109, doi:10.1029/2006JD007225, 2007.

Esau, I. and Zilitinkevich, S.: On the role of the planetary boundary layer depth in climate system, Adv. Sci. Res., 4, 63–69, 2010.

15

25

Esau, I., Davy, R., and Outten, S.: Complementary explanation of temperature response in the lower atmosphere, Environ. Res. Lett., 11, 044026, doi:10.1088/1748-9326/7/4/044026, 2012.

Essery, R., Morin, S., Lejeune, Y., and B Ménard, C.: A comparison of 1701 snow

²⁰ models using observations from an alpine site, Adv. Water Res., 55, 131–148, doi:10.1016/j.advwatres.2012.07.013, 2013.

Feltham, D. L., Untersteiner, N., Wettlaufer, J. S., and Worster, M. G.: Sea ice is a mushy layer, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L14501, doi:10.1029/2006GL026290, 2006.

Fer, I.: Weak vertical diffusion allows maintenance of cold halocline in the central Arctic, Atmos. Ocean. Sci. Lib., 2, 148–152, 2009.

Fer, I.: Near-inertial mixing in the central Arctic Ocean, J. Phys. Oceanogr., accepted subject to revision, 2013.

Fer, I. and Sundfjord, A.: Observations of upper ocean boundary layer dynamics in the marginal ice zone, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04012, doi:10.1029/2005jc003428, 2007.

³⁰ Fer, I., Skogseth, R., and Geyer, F.: Internal waves and mixing in the Marginal Ice Zone near the Yermak Plateau, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 1613–1630, 2010.





Fer, I., Peterson, A. K., and Ullgren, J. E.: Microstructure measurements from an underwater glider in the turbulent Faroe Bank Channel overflow, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., accepted subject to revision, 2014.

Fiedler, E. K., Lachlan-Cope, T. A., Renfrew, I. A., and King, J. C.: Convective heat

- transfer over thin ice covered coastal polynyas, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C10051, doi:10.1029/2009JC005797, 2010.
 - Flanner, M. G.: Arctic climate sensitivity to local black carbon, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1840– 1851, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50176, 2013.
 - Flanner, M. G. and Zender, C. S.: Linking snowpack microphysics and albedo evolution, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D12208, doi:10.1029/2005jd006834, 2006.
- Flanner, M. G., Zender, C. S., Randerson, J. T., and Rasch, P. J.: Present-day climate forcing and response from black carbon in snow, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D11202, doi:10.1029/2006jd008003, 2007.
- Flanner, M. G., Zender, C. S., Hess, P. G., Mahowald, N. M., Painter, T. H., Ramanathan, V.,
- and Rasch, P. J.: Springtime warming and reduced snow cover from carbonaceous particles, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2481–2497, doi:10.5194/acp-9-2481-2009, 2009.
 - Flanner, M. G., Shell, K. M., Barlage, M., Perovich, D. K., and Tschudi, M. A.: Radiative forcing and albedo feedback from the Northern Hemisphere 1979 2008. Nature cryosphere between and Geosci., 4. available at: http://www.nature.com/ngeo/journal/v4/n3/abs/ngeo1062.html#supplementary-information
 - (last access: 10 November 2013), 151–155, 2011.

10

20

Flocco, D. and Feltham, D. L.: A continuum model of melt pond evolution on Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C08016, doi:10.1029/2006JC003836, 2007.

Flocco, D., Felthman, D. L., and Turner, A. K.: Incorporation of a physically based melt pond

- scheme into the sea ice component of a climate model, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C08012, doi:10.1029/2009JC005568, 2010.
 - Flocco, D., Schröder, D., Feltham, D. L., and Hunke, E. C.: Impact of melt ponds on Arctic sea ice simulations from 1990 to 2007, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C09032, doi:10.1029/2012JC008195, 2012.
- ³⁰ Føre, I. and Nordeng, T. E.: A polar low observed over the Norwegian Sea on 3–4 March 2008: high-resolution numerical experiments, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 138, 1983–1998, 2012.





- Føre, I, Kristjánsson, J. E., Saetra, Ø, Breivik, Ø, Røsting, B., and Shapiro, M.: The full life cycle of a polar low over the Norwegian Sea observed by three research aircraft flights, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1659–1673, 2011.
- Forsström, S., Ström, J., Pedersen, C. A., Isaksson, E., and Gerland, S.: Elemental carbon distribution in Svalbard snow, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D19112, doi:10.1029/2008JD011480, 2009.
 - Forster, P., Ramaswamy, V., Artaxo, P., Berntsen, T., Betts, R., Fahey, D. W., Haywood, J., Lean, J., Lowe, D. C., Myhre, G., Nganga, J., Prinn, R., Raga, G., Schulz, M., and Van Dorland, R.: Changes in atmospheric constituents and in radiative forcing, in: Climate Change
- 2007: The Physical Science Basis, Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA, 129–234, 2007.

Francis, J. A., Chan, W., Leathers, D. J., Miller, J. R., and Veron, D. E.: Winter Northern Hemi-

- sphere weather patterns remember summer Arctic sea-ice extent, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L07503, doi:10.1029/2009GL037274, 2009.
 - Frey, K. E., Perovich, D. K., and Light, B.: The spatial distribution of solar radiation under a melting Arctic sea ice cover, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L22501, doi:10.1029/2011GL049421, 2011.
- Fridlind, A. M., Van Diederhoven, B., Ackerman, A. S., Avramov, A., Mrowiec, A., Morrison, H., Zuidema, P., and Shupe, M. D.: A FIRE-ACE/SHEBA case study of mixed-phase arctic boundary layer clouds: entrainment rate limitations on rapid primary ice nucleation processes, J. Atmos. Sci., 69, 365–389, doi:10.1175/JAS-D-11-052.1, 2012.

Gallet, J.-C., Domine, F., Zender, C. S., and Picard, G.: Measurement of the specific surface

- ²⁵ area of snow using infrared reflectance in an integrating sphere at 1310 and 1550 nm, The Cryosphere, 3, 167–182, doi:10.5194/tc-3-167-2009, 2009.
 - Galperin, B., Sukoriansky, S., and Anderson, P. S.: On the critical Richardson number in stably stratified turbulence, Atmosph. Sci. Lett., 8, 65–69, doi:10.1002/asl.153, 2007.

Gardner, A. S. and Sharp, M. J.: A review of snow and ice albedo and the development of

- ³⁰ a new physically based broadband albedo parameterization, J. Geophys. Res., 115, F01009, doi:10.1029/2009jf001444, 2010.
 - Garrett, T. J. and Zhao, C.: Increased Arctic cloud longwave emissivity associated with pollution from mid-latitudes, Nature, 440, 787–789, doi:10.1038/nature04636, 2006.





Gascard, J.-C., Watson, A. J., Messias, M.-J., Olsson, K. A., Johannessen, T., and Simonsen, K.: Long-lived vortices as a mode of deep ventilation in the Greenland Sea, Nature, 416, 525–527, 2002.

Gascard, J. C., Festy, J., le Gogg, H., Weber, M., Bruemmer, B., Offermann, M., Doble, M., Wad-

hams, P., Forsberg, R., Hanson, S., Skourup, H., Gerland, S., Nicolaus, M., Metaxin, J. P., Grangeon, J., Haapala, J., Rinne, E., Haas, C., Heygster, G., Jakobson, E., Palo, T., Wilkinson, J., Kaleschke, L., Claffey, K., Elder, B., and Bottenheim, J.: Exploring Arctic transpolar drift during dramatic sea ice retreat, EOS Trans., 89, 21–28, 2008.

Gent, P. R., Danabasoglu, G., Donner, L. J., Holland, M. M., Hunke, E. C., Jayne, S. R.,

- Lawrence, D. M., Neale, R. B., Rasch, P. J., Vertenstein, M., Worley, P. H., Yang, Z.-L., and Zhang, M.: The Community Climate System Model Version 4, J. Climate, 24, 4973–4991, doi:10.1175/2011jcli4083.1, 2011.
 - Gimbert, F., Marsan, D., Weiss, J., Jourdain, N. C., and Barnier, B.: Sea ice inertial oscillations in the Arctic Basin, The Cryosphere, 6, 1187–1201, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1187-2012, 2012a.
- Gimbert, F., Jourdain, N. C., Marsan, D., Weiss, J., and Barnier, B.: Recent mechanical weakening of the Arctic sea ice cover as revealed from larger inertial oscillations, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C00J12, doi:10.1029/2011JC007633, 2012b.
 - Goldenson, N., Doherty, S. J., Bitz, C. M., Holland, M. M., Light, B., and Conley, A. J.: Arctic climate response to forcing from light-absorbing particles in snow and sea ice in CESM, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7903–7920, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7903-2012, 2012.
- Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7903–7920, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7903-2012, 2012.
 Goosse, H., Arzel, O., Bitz, C. M., de Montety, A., and Vancoppenolle, M.: Increased variability of the Arctic summer ice extent in a warmer climate, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L23702, doi:10.1029/2009gl040546, 2009.

Grachev, A. A., Andreas, E. L., Fairall, C. W., Guest, P. S., and Persson, P. O. G.: SHEBA flux-

- ²⁵ profile relationships in the stable atmospheric boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 124, 315–333, 2007a.
 - Grachev, A. A., Andreas, E. L., Fairall, C. W., Guest, P. S., and Persson, P. O. G.: On the turbulent Prandtl number in the stable atmospheric boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 125, 329–341, 2007b.
- ³⁰ Grachev, A. A., Andreas, E. L., Fairall, C. W., Guest, P. S., and Persson, P. O. G.: Outlier problem in evaluating similarity functions in the stable atmospheric boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 144, 137–155, 2012.





- Graversen, R. G. and Wang, M.: Polar amplification in a coupled climate model with locked albedo, Clim. Dynam., 33, 629–643, doi:10.1007/s00382-009-0535-6, 2009.
- Graversen, R. G., Mauritsen, T., Tjernström, M., Källen, E., and Svensson, G.: Vertical structure of recent Arctic warming, Nature, 451, 53–56, doi:10.1038/nature06502, 2008.
- ⁵ Graversen, R. G., Mauritsen, T., Drijfhout, S., Tjernström, M., and Mårtensson, S.: Warm winds from the Pacific caused extensive Arctic sea-ice melt in summer 2007, Clim. Dynam., 26, 2103–2112, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0809-z, 2011.
 - Griewank, P. J. and Notz, D.: Insights into brine dynamics and sea ice desalination from a 1-D model study of gravity drainage, J. Geophys. Res. Oceans, 118, 3370–3386, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20247, 2013.
- Gryschka, M., Drüe, C., Etling, D., Raasch, S.: On the influence of sea-ice inhomogeneities onto roll convection in cold-air outbreaks, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L23804, doi:10.1029/2008GL035845, 2008.

10

20

Guthrie, J., Morison, J., and Fer, I.: Revisiting internal waves and mixing in the Arctic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 3966–3977, doi:10.1002/jgrc.20294, 2013.

- Harpaintner, J., Gascard, J.-C., and Haugan, P.: Ice production and brine formation in Storfjorden, Svalbard, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 14001–14013, 2001.
 - Haas, C., Le Goff, H., Audrain, S., Perovich, D., and Haapala, J.: Comparison of seasonal seaice thickness change in the Transpolar Drift observed by local ice mass-balance observations and floe-scale EM surveys, Ann. Glaciol., 52, 97–102, 2011.
- Hadley, O. L. and Kirchstetter, T. W.: Black-carbon reduction of snow albedo, Nature Climate Change, 2, 437–440, doi:10.1038/nclimate1433, 2012.
- Hansen, J., Sato, M., Ruedy, R., Nazarenko, L., Lacis, A., Schmidt, G. A., Russell, G., Aleinov, I., Bauer, M., Bauer, S., Bell, N., Cairns, B., Canuto, V., Chandler, M., Cheng, Y., Del Genio, A.,
- Faluvegi, G., Fleming, E., Friend, A., Hall, T., Jackman, C., Kelley, M., Kiang, N., Koch, D., Lean, J., Lerner, J., Lo, K., Menon, S., Miller, R., Minnis, P., Novakov, T., Oinas, V., Perlwitz, J., Perlwitz, J., Rind, D., Romanou, A., Shindell, D., Stone, P., Sun, S., Tausnev, N., Thresher, D., Wielicki, B., Wong, T., Yao, M., and Zhang, S.: Efficacy of climate forcings, J. Geophys. Res., 110, D18104, doi:10.1029/2005jd005776, 2005.
- Haine, T. W. N., Zhang, S., Moore, G. W. K., and Renfrew, I. A.: On the impact of high-resolution, high frequency meteorological forcing on Denmark-Strait ocean circulation, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 2067–2085, 2009.





- Hakkinen, S., Proshutinsky, A., and Ashik, I.: Sea ice drift in the Arctic since the 1950s, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L19704, doi:10.1029/2008GL034791, 2008.
- Harden, B. E. and Renfrew, I. A.: On the spatial distribution of high winds off southeast Greenland, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L14806, doi:10.1029/2012GL052245, 2012.
- ⁵ Harden, B. E., Renfrew, I. A., and Petersen, G. N.: A climatology of wintertime barrier winds off southeast Greenland, J. Climate, 24, 4701–4717, 2011.
 - Harrington, J. Y., Reisin, T., Cotton, W. R., and Kreidenweis, S. M.: Cloud resolving simulations of Arctic stratus, Part II: transition-season clouds, Atmos. Res., 51, 45–75, 1999.
 - Hebbinghaus, H., Schlünzen, H., and Dierer, S.: Sensitivity studies on vortex development over a polynyas, Theor. Appl. Climatol., 88, 1–16, doi:10.1007/s00704-006-0233-9, 2006.
- Heinemann, G.: The polar regions: a natural laboratory for boundary layer meteorology a review, Meteorol. Z., 17, 589–601, 2008.

Hines, K. M. and Bromwich, D. H.: Development and testing of polar WRF, Part I. greenland ice sheet meteorology, Mon. Weather Rev., 136, 1971–1989, doi:10.1175/2007MWR2112.1, 2008.

15 2

10

25

- Heygster, G., Alexandrov, V., Dybkjær, G., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Girard-Ardhuin, F., Katsev, I. L., Kokhanovsky, A., Lavergne, T., Malinka, A. V., Melsheimer, C., Toudal Pedersen, L., Prikhach, A. S., Saldo, R., Tonboe, R., Wiebe, H., and Zege, E. P.: Remote sensing of sea ice: advances during the DAMOCLES project, The Cryosphere, 6, 1411–1434, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1411-2012, 2012.
 - Holland, M. M., Bailey, D. A., Briegleb, B. P., Light, B., and Hunke, E.: Improved sea ice shortwave radiation physics in CCSM4: the impact of melt ponds and aerosols on Arctic Sea Ice, J. Climate, 25, 1413–1430, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-11-00078.1, 2012.
 - Holloway, G. and Proshutinsky, A.: Role of tides in Arctic ocean/ice climate, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04S06, doi:10.1029/2006JC003643, 2007.
 - Hudson, S. R.: Estimating the global radiative impact of the sea ice-albedo feedback in the Arctic, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D16102, doi:10.1029/2011jd015804, 2011.
 - Hudson, S. R., Granskog, M. A., Karlsen, T. I., and Fossan, K.: An integrated platform for observing the radiation budget of sea ice at different spatial scales, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol.,
- ³⁰ 82, 14–20, doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2012.05.002, 2012.
 - Hudson, S. R., Granskog, M. A., Sundfjord, A., Randelhoff, A., Renner, A. H. H., and Divine, D. V.: Energy budget of first-year Arctic sea ice in advanced stages of melt, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 2679–2683, doi:10.1002/grl.50517, 2013.





- Hunke, E. C. and Lipscomb, W. H.: CICE: the Los Alamos Sea Ice Model Documentation and Software User's Manual Version 4.1, Los Alamos National Laboratory, Los Alamos, NM, 76, 2010.
- Hunke, E. C., Notz, D., Turner, A. K., and Vancoppenolle, M.: The multiphase physics of sea ice:
- a review for model developers, The Cryosphere, 5, 989–1009, doi:10.5194/tc-5-989-2011, 2011.
 - Inoue, J., Curry, J. A., and Maslanik, J. A.: Application of Aerosondes to meltpond observations over Arctic sea ice. J. Atmos. Ocean. Technol., 25, 327–334, doi:10.1175/2007JTECHA955.1, 2008.
- Intrieri, J. M., Shupe, M. D., Uttal, T., and McCarty, B. J.: An annual cycle of Arctic cloud characteristics observed by radar and lidar at SHEBA, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8030, 1–15, doi:10.1029/2000JC000423, 2002.
 - IPCC: Climate Change 2007: The Physical Science Basis. Contribution of Working Group I to the Fourth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change, edited
- by: Solomon, S., Qin, D., Manning, M., Chen, Z., Marquis, M., Averyt, K. B., Tignor, M., and Miller, H. L., Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, and New York, USA, 2007.
 - Irvine, E. A., Gray, S. L., Methven, J.: Targeted observations of a polar low in the Norwegian Sea, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 1688–1699, 2011.

Itoh, M., Inoue, J., Shimada, K., Zimmermann, S., Kikuchi, T., Hutchings, J., McLaughlin, F., and

- ²⁰ Carmack, E.: Acceleration of sea-ice melting due to transmission of solar radiation through ponded ice area in the Arctic Ocean: results of in situ observations from icebreakers in 2006 and 2007, Ann. Glaciol., 52, 249–260, 2011.
 - Jaiser, R., Dethloff, K., Handorf, D., Rinke, A., and Cohen, J.: Impact of sea ice cover changes on the Northern Hemisphere atmospheric winter circulation, Tellus A, 64, 11595, doi:10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.11595. 2012.

25

Jakobson, E., Vihma, T., Palo, T., Jakobson, L., Keernik, H., and Jaagus, J.: Validation of atmospheric reanalyzes over the central Arctic Ocean, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L10802, doi:10.1029/2012GL051591, 2012.

Jakobson, L., Vihma, T., Jakobson, E., Palo, T., Männik, A., and Jaagus, J.: Low-level jet char-

- acteristics over the Arctic Ocean in spring and summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 11089– 11099, doi:10.5194/acp-13-11089-2013, 2013.
 - Jung, T. and Leutbecher, M.: Performance of the ECMWF forecasting system in the Arctic during winter, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 133, 1327–1340, 2007.





ACPD 13, 32703–32816, 2013 **Small-scale physical** processes in the marine Arctic climate system T. Vihma et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures** Tables Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Paper

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Pape



Kagan, B. A., Sofina, E. V., and Timofeev, A. A.: Modeling of the M₂ surface and internal tides and their seasonal variability in the Arctic Ocean: dynamics, energetics and tidally induced diapycnal diffusion, J. Mar. Res., 69, 245–276, 2011.

Kaempfer, T. U., Hopkins, M. A., and Perovich, D. K.: A three-dimensional microstructure-

based photon-tracking model of radiative transfer in snow, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24113, doi:10.1029/2006JD008239, 2007.

Kahl, J. D.: Characteristics of the low-level temperature inversion along the Alaskan Arctic coast, Int. J. Climatol., 10, 537–548, 1990.

Kapsch, M.-L., Graversen, R. G., and Tjernström, M.: Springtime atmospheric energy trans-

- ¹⁰ port and the control of Arctic summer sea-ice extent, Nature Clim. Change, 3, 744–748, doi:10.1038/NCLIMATE1884, 2013.
 - Karlsson, J. and Svensson, G.: The simulation of Arctic clouds and their influence on the winter surface temperature in present-day climate in the CMIP3 multi-model dataset, Clim. Dynam., 36, 623–635, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0758-6, 2011.
- ¹⁵ Kay, J. E. and Gettelman, A.: Cloud influence on and response to seasonal Arctic sea ice loss, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D18204, doi:10.1029/2009jd011773, 2009.
 - Kay, J. E., Raeder, K., Gettelman, A., and Anderson, J: The boundary layer response to recent Arctic sea ice loss and implications for high-latitude climate feedbacks, J. Climate, 24, 428– 447, doi:10.1175/2010JCLI3651.1, 2011.
- ²⁰ Kelley, D. E., Fernando, H. J. S., Gargett, A. E., Tanny, J., and Ozsoy, E.: The diffusive regime of double diffusive convection, Prog. Oceanogr., 56, 461–481, 2003.

Kilpeläinen, T.: The Atmospheric Boundary Layer over Arctic Fjords, Ph.D. Thesis, University of Bergen, Bergen, Norway, 37 pp., 2011.

Kilpeläinen, T. and Sjöblom, A.: Momentum and sensible heat exchange in an ice-free Arctic fjord, Bound.-Lay. Meterol., 134, 109–130, doi:10.1007/s10546-009-9435-x, 2010.

Kilpeläinen, T., Vihma, T., and Olafsson, H.: Modelling of spatial variability and topographic effects over Arctic fjords in Svalbard, Tellus A, 63, 223–237, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00481.x, 2011.

25

Kilpeläinen, T., Vihma, T., Manninen, M., Sjöblom, A., Jakobson, E., Palo, T., and Maturilli, M.:

- Modelling the vertical structure of the atmospheric boundary layer over Arctic fjords in Svalbard, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 138, 1867–1883, doi:10.1002/qj.1914, 2012.
 - Koch, D., Menon, S., Del Genio, A., Ruedy, R., Alienov, I., and Schmidt, G. A.: Distinguishing aerosol impacts on climate over the past century, J. Climate, 22, 2659–2677, 2009.

- Koch, D., Bauer, S., Del Genio, A., Faluvegi, G., McConnell, J. R., Menon, S., Miller, R. L., Rind, D., Ruedy, R., Schmidt, G. A., and Shindell, D.: Coupled aerosol-chemistry-climate twentiethcentury transient model investigation: trends in short-lived species and climate responses, J. Climate, 24, 2693–2714, 2011.
- Kolstad, E. W. and Bracegirdle, T. J.: Marine cold-air outbreaks in the future: an assessment of IPCC AR4 model results for the Northern Hemisphere, Clim. Dynam., 30, 871–885, 2008.
 Kolstad, E. W., Bracegirdle, T. J., Seierstad, I. A.: Marine cold-air outbreaks in the North Atlantic: temporal distribution and associations with large-scale atmospheric circulation, Clim. Dynam., 33, 187–197, 2009.
- ¹⁰ Køltzow, M.: The effect of a new snow and sea ice albedo scheme on regional climate model simulations, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D07110, doi:10.1029/2006jd007693, 2007.
 - Kral, S. T., Sjöblom, A., and Nygård, T.: Observations of summer turbulent surface fluxes in a high arctic fjord, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., doi:10.1002/qj.2167, 2013.
 - Krasnopolsky, V. M., Fox-Rabinovitz, M. S., and Belochitski, A. A.: Using ensemble of neural networks to learn stochastic convection parameterizations for climate and numerical weather
- prediction models from data simulated by a cloud resolving model, Adv. Artif. Neural Syst., 485913, doi:10.1155/2013/485913, 2013.

- Kristiansen, J., Sørland, S. L., Iversen, T., Bjørge, D., and Køltzow, M. Ø.: High-resolution ensemble prediction of a polar low development, Tellus A, 63, 585–604, 2011.
- Kristjansson, J. E., Barstad, I., Aspelien, T., Føre, I., Godøy, Ø. A., Hov, Ø., Irvine, E., Iversen, T., Kolstad, E. W., Nordeng, T. E., McInnes, H., Randriamampianina, R., Reuder, J., Sætra, Ø., Shapiro, M. A., Spengler, T., Ólafsson, H.: The Norwegian IPY-THORPEX, polar lows and arctic fronts during the 2008 Andøya Campaign, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 92, 1443–1466, doi:10.1175/2011BAMS2901.1, 2011.
- Lammert, A., Brummer, B., and Kaleschke, L.: Observation of cyclone-induced inertial seaice oscillation in Fram Strait, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L10503, doi:10.1029/2009GL037197, 2009.
 - Lammert, A., Brümmer, B., Haller, M., Müller, G., and Schyberg, H.: Comparison of three weather prediction models with buoy and aircraft measurements under cyclone conditions
- in Fram Strait, Tellus A, 62, 361–376, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2010.00460.x, 2010.
 Lampert, A., Maturilli, M., Ritter, C., Hoffmann, A., Stock, M., Herber, A., Birnbaum, G., Neuber, R., Dethloff, K., Orgis, T., Stone, R., Brauner, R., Kässbohrer, J., Haas, C., Makshtas, A.,





Sokolov., V., and Liu, P.: The spring-time boundary layer in the central Arctic observed during PAMARCMiP 2009, Atmosphere, 3, 320–351, doi:10.3390/atmos3030320, 2012.

- Lance, S., Shupe, M. D., Feingold, G., Brock, C. A., Cozic, J., Holloway, J. S., Moore, R. H., Nenes, A., Schwarz, J. P., Spackman, J. R., Froyd, K. D., Murphy, D. M., Brioude, J.,
- ⁵ Cooper, O. R., Stohl, A., and Burkhart, J. F.: Cloud condensation nuclei as a modulator of ice processes in Arctic mixed-phase clouds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8003–8015, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8003-2011, 2011.
 - Láska, K., Witoszová, D., Prošek, P.: Weather patterns of the coastal zone of Petuniabukta, central Spitsbergen in the period 2008–2010, Polish Polar Res., 33, 297–318, doi:10.2478/v10183-012-0025-0, 2012.

10

- Lawrence, D., Oleson, K. W., Flanner, M. G., Thorton, P. E., Swenson, S. C., Lawrence, P. J., Zeng, X., Yang, Z.-L., Levis, S., Skaguchi, K., Bonan, G. B., and Slater, A. G.: Parameterization improvements and functional and structural advances in Version 4 of the Community Land Model, J. Adv. Model. Earth Syst., 3, M03001, doi:10.1029/2011ms000045, 2011.
- Lecomte, O., Fichefet, T., Vancoppenolle, M., and Nicolaus, M.: A new snow thermodynamic scheme for large-scale sea-ice models, Ann. Glaciol., 52, 337–346, 2011.
 - Lenn, Y.-D., Rippeth, T. P., Old, C. P., Bacon, S., Polyakov, I., Ivanov, V., and Hölemann, J.: Intermittent intense turbulent mixing under Ice in the Laptev Sea continental shelf, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 41, 531–547, doi:10.1175/2010JPO4425.1, 2011.
- Levine, M. D., Paulson, C. A., and Morison, J. H.: Internal waves in the Arctic Ocean: comparison with lower-latitude observations, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 15, 800–809, 1985.
 - Light, B., Grenfell, T. C., and Perovich, D. K.: Transmission and absorption of solar radiation by Arctic sea ice during the melt season, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C03023, doi:10.1029/2006jc003977, 2008.
- Linders, T. and Saetra, O.: Can CAPE maintain polar lows?, J. Atmos. Sci., 67, 2559–2571, 2010.
 - Lique, C. and Steele, M.: Where can we find a seasonal cycle of the Atlantic water temperature within the Arctic Basin?, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C03026, doi:10.1029/2011jc007612, 2012.
 Liu, A., Moore, G., Tsuboki, K., and Renfrew, I.: The effect of the sea-ice zone on the development of the sea-ice zone on the development.
- ³⁰ opment of boundary-layer roll clouds during cold air outbreaks, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 118, 557–581, doi:10.1007/s10546-005-6434-4, 2006.





- Liu, J., Zhang, Z., Inoue, J., and Horton, R. M.: Evaluation of snow/ice albedo parameterizations and their impacts on sea ice simulations, Int. J. Climatol., 27, 81–91, doi:10.1002/joc.1373, 2007.
- Liu, Y., Key, J. R., Ackerman, S. A., Mace, G. C., and Zhang, Q.: Arctic cloud macrophys-
- ical characteristics from CloudSat and CALIPSO, Remote Sens. Environ., 124, 159–173, doi:10.1016/j.rse.2012.05.006, 2012.
 - Lu, P., Li, Z., Cheng, B., and Leppäranta, M.: A parameterization of the ice-ocean drag coefficient, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C07019, doi:10.1029/2010JC006878, 2011.
 - Lubin, D. and Vogelmann, A. M.: A climatologically significant aerosol longwave indirect effect in the Arctic, Nature, 439, 453–456, doi:10.1038/nature04449, 2006.
- Lüpkes, C., Vihma, T., Birnbaum, G., and Wacker, U.: Influence of leads in sea ice on the temperature of the atmospheric boundary layer during polar night, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L03805, doi:10.1029/2007GL032461, 2008a.

Lüpkes, C., Gryanik, V. M., Witha, B., Gryschka, M., Raasch, S., and Gollnik, T.: Modeling con-

- vection over arctic leads with LES and a non-eddy-resolving microscale model, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C09028, doi:10.1029/2007JC004099, 2008b.
 - Lüpkes, C., Vihma, T., Jakobson, E., König-Langlo, G., and Tetzlaff, A.: Meteorological observations from ship cruises during summer to the central Arctic: a comparison with reanalysis data, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L09810, doi:10.1029/2010GL042724, 2010.
- Lüpkes, C., Gryanik, V. M., Hartmann, J., and Andreas, E. L.: A parametrization, based on sea ice morphology, of the neutral atmospheric drag coefficients for weather prediction and climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D13112, doi:10.1029/2012JD017630, 2012a.
 - Lüpkes, C., Vihma, T., Birnbaum, G., Dierer, S., Garbrecht, T., Gryanik, V., Gryschka, M., Hartmann, J., Heinemann, G., Kaleschke, L., Raasch, S., Savijärvi, H., Schlünzen, K., and
- Wacker, U.: Mesoscale modelling of the Arctic atmospheric boundary layer and its interaction with sea ice, Chapter 7 in: ARCTIC Climate Change – The ACSYS Decade and Beyond, edited by: Lemke, P. and Jacobi, H.-W., Springer, Atmospheric and Oceanographic Sciences Library, 43, 279–324, doi:10.1007/978-94-007-2027-5, 2012b.
- Lüpkes, C., Gryanik, V. M., Rösel, A., Birnbaum, G., and L. Kaleschke, L.: Effect of sea ice morphology during Arctic summer on atmospheric drag coefficients used in climate models, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 446–451, doi:10.1002/grl.50081, 2013.





T. Vihma et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Figures Tables** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

ACPD

13, 32703–32816, 2013

Small-scale physical

processes in the

marine Arctic climate

system

Discussion

Paper

Discussion Pape

Discussion Pape

Discussion Paper

Mäkiranta, E., Vihma, T., Sjöblom, A., and Tastula, E.-M.: Observations and modelling of the atmospheric boundary layer over sea ice in a Svalbard fjord, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 140, 105–123, doi:10.1007/s10546-011-9609-1, 2011.

Maksimovich, E. and Vihma, T.: The effect of surface heat fluxes on interannual variability in

the spring onset of snow melt in the central Arctic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C07012, doi:10.1029/2011JC007220, 2012.

Marcq, S. and Weiss, J.: Influence of sea ice lead-width distribution on turbulent heat transfer between the ocean and the atmosphere, The Cryosphere, 6, 143–156, doi:10.5194/tc-6-143-2012, 2012.

- Marsan, D., Weiss, J., Metaxian, J. P., Grangeon, J., Roux, P. F., and Haapala, J.: Low-frequency bursts of horizontally polarized waves in the Arctic sea-ice cover, J. Glaciol., 57, 231–237, 2011.
 - Marsan, D., Weiss, J., Larose, E., and Metaxian, J. P.: Sea-ice thickness measurement based on the dispersion of ice swell, J. Acoustic. Soc. America, 131, 80–91, 2012.
- ¹⁵ Massonnet, F., Fichefet, T., Goosse, H., Bitz, C. M., Philippon-Berthier, G., Holland, M. M., and Barriat, P.-Y.: Constraining projections of summer Arctic sea ice, The Cryosphere, 6, 1383– 1394, doi:10.5194/tc-6-1383-2012, 2012.
 - Mauldin, A., Schlosser, P., Newton, R., Smethie Jr., W. M., Bayer, R., Rhein, M., and Jones, E. P.: The velocity and mixing time scale of the Arctic Ocean Boundary Current es-
- timated with transient tracers, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C08002, doi:10.1029/2009jc005965, 2010.
 - Mauritsen, T. and Svensson, G.: Observations of stably stratified shear-driven atmospheric turbulence at low and high Richardson numbers, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 645–655, 2007.

Mauritsen, T., Svensson, G., Zilitinkevich, S., Esau, I., Enger, L., and Grisogono, B.: A total tur-

- ²⁵ bulent energy closure model for neutrally and stably stratified atmospheric boundary layers, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 4113–4126, 2007.
 - Mauritsen, T., Sedlar, J., Tjernström, M., Leck, C., Martin, M., Shupe, M., Sjogren, S., Sierau, B., Persson, P. O. G., Brooks, I. M., and Swietlicki, E.: An Arctic CCN-limited cloud-aerosol regime, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 165–173, doi:10.5194/acp-11-165-2011, 2011.
- Mauritsen, T., Graversen, R. G., Klocke, D., Langen, P. L., Stevens, B., and Tomassini, L.: Climate feedback efficiency and synergy, Clim. Dynam., 41, 2539–2554, doi:10.1007/s00382-013-1808-7, 2013.

Discussion Pape Back Close **Discussion** Pape Full Screen / Esc

Abstract

Conclusions

Tables

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

- Maykut, G. A. and Untersteiner, N.: Some results from a time-dependent, thermodynamic model of sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 76, 1550-1575, 1971.
- McFarquhar, G. M., Zhang, G., Poellot, M. R., Kok, G. L., McCoy, R., Tooman, T., Fridlind, A., and Heymsfield, A. J.: Ice properties of single-layer stratocumulus during the Mixed-
- Phase Arctic Cloud Experiment: 1. observations, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D24201, 1–19, 5 doi:10.1029/2007JD008633, 2007.

McInnes, H., Kristiansen, J., Kristjánsson, J. E., Schyberg, H.: The role of horizontal resolution for polar low simulations, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 137, 1674-1687, 2011.

McPhee, M.: Air-Ice-Ocean Interaction: Turbulent Ocean Boundary Layer Exchange Processes, Springer Verlag, New York, USA, 2008.

10

20

McPhee, M. G., Maykut, G. A., and Morison, J. H.: Dynamics and thermodynamics of the ice/upper ocean system in the marginal ice zone of the Greenland Sea, J. Geophys. Res., 92.7017-7031.1987.

McPhee, M. G., Kottmeier, C., and Morrison, J. H.: Ocean heat ux in the central Weddell Sea in winter, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 29, 1166–1179, 1999.

15 Meehl, G., Washington, W., Arblaster, J., Hu, A., Teng, H., Kay, J., Gettelman, A., Lawrence, D., Sanderson, B., and Strand, W.: Climate change projections in CESM1(CAM5) compared to CCSM4, J. Climate, 26, 6287-6308. doi:10.1175/JCLI-D-12-00572.1, 2013.

Medeiros, B., Deser, C., Tomas, R. A., and Kay, J. E.: Arctic inversion strength in climate models, J. Climate, 24, 4733-4740, doi:10.1175/2011jcli3968.1, 2011.

- Molteni, F., Stockdale, T., Balmaseda, M., Balsamo, G., Buizza, R., Ferranti, L., Magnusson, L., Mogensen, K., Palmer, T., and Vitart, F.: The New ECMWF Seasonal Forecast System (System 4), European Centre for Medium Range Weather Forecasts, Reading, England, 2011. Moore, G. W. K.: A new look at Greenland flow distortion and its impact on barrier flow, tip jets
- and coastal oceanography, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L22806, doi:10.1029/2012GL054017, 25 2012.
 - Moore, G. W. K. and Pickart, R. S.: Northern Bering Sea tip jets, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L08807. doi:10.1029/2012GL051537. 2012.

Morrison, H., de Boer, G., Feingold, G., Harrington, J., Shupe, M. D., and Sulia, K.: Resilience

- of persistent Arctic mixed-phase clouds, Nature Geosci., 5, 11-17, doi:10.1038/NGE01332, 30 2012.
 - Müller-Stoffels, M. and Wackerbauer, R.: Albedo parametrization and reversibility of sea ice decay, Nonlin. Proc. Geophys., 19, 81–94, doi:10.5194/npg-19-81-2012, 2012.

13, 32703–32816, 2013 Small-scale physical processes in the marine Arctic climate system T. Vihma et al. **Title Page**

Introduction

References

Figures

Pa

Discussion

Paper

ACPD

Mundy, C. J., Ehn, J. K., Barber, D. G., and Michel, C.: Influence of snow cover and algae on the spectral dependence of transmitted irradiance through Arctic landfast first-year sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C03007, doi:10.1029/2006JC003683, 2007.

Nicolaus, M., Haas, C., and Bareiss, J.: Observations of superimposed ice formation at meltonset on fast ice on Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, Phys. Chem. Earth, 28, 1241–1248, 2003.

onset on fast ice on Kongsfjorden, Svalbard, Phys. Chem. Earth, 28, 1241–1248, 2003.
 Nicolaus, M., Gerland, S., Hudson, S. R., Hanson, S., Haapala, J., and Perovich, D. K.: Seasonality of spectral albedo and transmittance as observed in the Arctic Transpolar Drift in 2007, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C11011, doi:10.1029/2009JC006074, 2010a.

Nicolaus, M., Hudson, S. R., Gerland, S., and Munderloh, K.: A modern concept for au-

- tonomous and continuous measurements of spectral albedo and transmittance of sea ice, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol. 62, 14–28, 2010b.
 - Nicolaus, M., Petrich, C., Hudson, S. R., and Granskog, M. A.: Variability of light transmission through Arctic land-fast sea ice during spring, The Cryosphere, 7, 977–986, doi:10.5194/tc-7-977-2013, 2013.
- ¹⁵ Nordeng, T. E., Brunet, G., and Caughey, J.: Improvement of Weather Forecasts in Polar Regions, WMO Bulletin, 56, Geneva, Switzerland, 2007.
 - Notz, D.: Challenges in simulating sea ice in Earth System Models, WIREs Clim. Change, 3, 509–526, doi:10.1002/wcc.189, 2012.

Notz, D. and Worster, M. G.: Desalination processes of sea ice revisited, J. Geophys. Res.,

²⁰ 114, C05006, doi:10.1029/2008JC004885, 2009.

Notz, D., McPhee, M. G., Worster, M. G., Maykut, G., Schlünzen, K. H., and Eicken, H.: Impact of underwater-ice evolution on Arctic summer sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 3223, doi:10.1029/2001JC001173, 2003.

Nygård, T., Valkonen, T., and Vihma, T.: Characteristics of Arctic low-tropospheric humidity

- ²⁵ inversions based on radio soundings, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 22575–22605, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-22575-2013, 2013.
 - Outten, S. D., Renfrew, I. A., and Petersen, G. N.: An easterly tip jet off Cape Farewell, Greenland, Part II: simulations and dynamics, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 1934–1949, 2009.

Outten, S. D., Renfrew, I. A., and Petersen, G. N.: Erratum to "An easterly tip jet off Cape

- ³⁰ Farewell, Greenland, II: simulations and dynamics", Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 136, 1099– 1101, 2010.
 - Overland, J. E., McNutt, S. L., Groves, J., Salo, S., Andreas, E. L., and Persson, P. O. G.: Regional sensible and radiative heat flux estimates for the winter Arctic during the Surface





Heat Budget of the Arctic Ocean (SHEBA) experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 14093–14102, 2000.

- Overland, J. E., Wang, M., and Salo, S.: The recent Arctic warm period, Tellus A, 60, 589–597, doi:10.1111/j.1600-0870.2008.00327.x, 2008.
- ⁵ Overland, J. E., Wang, M., Walsh, J. E., Christensen, J. H., Kattsov, V. M., and Champan, W. L.: Chapter 3: climate model projections for the Arctic, in: Snow, Water, Ice and Permafrost in the Arctic (SWIPA), Oslo: Arctic Monitoring and Assessment Programme (AMAP), Oslo, Norway, 2011.

Palmer, T. and Williams, P. (Eds): Stochastic Physics and Climate Modelling, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, 480 pp., 2010.

10

Pavelsky, T. M., Boe, J., Hall, A., and Fetzer, E. J.: Atmospheric inversion strength over polar oceans in winter regulated by sea ice, Clim. Dynam., 36, 945–955, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0756-8, 2011.

Pedersen, C. A., Roeckner, E., Lüthje, M., and Winther, J.-G.: A new sea ice albedo scheme

- including melt ponds for ECHAM5 general circulation model, J. Geophys. Res., 114, D08101, doi:10.1029/2008JD010440, 2009.
 - Peltoniemi, J. I.: Spectropolarised ray-tracing simulations in densely packed particulate medium, J. Quant. Spectrosc. Radiat. Transf., 108, 180–196, doi:10.1016/j.jqsrt.2007.05.009, 2007.
- Perovich, D. K.: Light reflection and transmission by a temperate snow cover, J. Glaciol., 53, 201–210, 2007.

Perovich, D. K. and Polashenski, C.: Albedo evolution of seasonal Arctic sea ice, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L08501, doi:10.1029/2012gl051432, 2012.

Perovich, D. K., Nghiem, S. V., Markus, T., and Schweiger, A.: Seasonal evolution and inter-

- ²⁵ annual variability of the local solar energy absorbed by the Arctic sea ice–ocean system, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C03005, doi:10.1029/2006jc003558, 2007a.
 - Perovich, D. K., Light, B., Eicken, H., Jones, K. F., Runciman, K., and Nghiem, S. V.: Increasing solar heating of the Arctic Ocean and adjacent seas, 1979–2005: attribution and role in the ice-albedo feedback, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L19505, doi:10.1029/2007GL031480, 2007b.
- Perovich, D. K., Grenfell, T. C., Light, B., Elder, B. C., Harbeck, J., Polashenski, C., Tucker, W. B., and Stelmach, C.: Transpolar observations of the morphological properties of Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 114, C00A04, doi:10.1029/2008jc004892, 2009.





- Persson, P. O. G.: Onset and end of the summer melt season over sea ice: thermal structure and surface energy perspective from SHEBA, Clim. Dynam., 39, 1349–1371, 2012.
- Persson, P. O. G., Fairall, C. W., Andreas, E. L., Guest, P. G., and Perovich, D. K.: Measurements near the Atmospheric Surface Flux Group tower at SHEBA: near-surface conditions
- and surface energy budget, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8045, doi:10.1029/2000JC000705, 2002.
 Petersen, G. N. and Renfrew, I. A.: Aircraft-based observations of air–sea fluxes over Denmark Strait and the Irminger Sea during high wind speed conditions, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 2030–2045, doi:10.1002/qj.355, 2009.

Petersen, G. N., Renfrew, I. A., and Moore, G. W. K.: An overview of barrier winds off southeastern Greenland during GFDex, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 1950–1967, 2009.

Pirazzini, R. and Räisänen, P.: A method to account for surface albedo heterogeneity in singlecolumn radiative transfer calculations under overcast conditions, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D20108, doi:10.1029/2008jd009815, 2008.

10

15

Polashenski, C., Perovich, D., and Courville, Z.: The mechanisms of sea ice melt pond formation and evolution, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C01001, doi:10.1029/2011JC007231, 2012.

- Polyakov, I. V., Timokhov, L. A., Alexeev, V. A., Bacon, S., Dmitrenko, I. A., Fortier, L., Frolov, I. E., Gascard, J. C., Hansen, E., Ivanov, V. V., Laxon, S., Mauritzen, C., Perovich, D., Shimada, K., Simmons, H. L., Sokolov, V. T., Steele, M., and Toole, J.: Arctic Ocean warming contributes to reduced polar ice cap, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 2743–2756, doi:10.1175/2010JPO4339.1, 2010.
 - Polyakov, I. V., Pnyushkov, A., Rember, R., Ivanov, V. V., Lenn, Y.-D., Padman, L., and Carmack, E. C.: Mooring-based observations of double-diffusive staircases over the Laptev Sea slope, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 42, 95–109, doi:10.1175/2011JPO4606.1, 2012.

Porter, D. F., Cassano, J. J., and Serreze, M. C.: Analysis of the Arctic atmospheric energy

- ²⁵ budget in WRF: a comparison with reanalyses and satellite observations, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D22108, doi:10.1029/2011jd016622, 2011.
 - Postlethwaite, C. F., Morales Maqueda, M. A., le Fouest, V., Tattersall, G. R., Holt, J., and Willmott, A. J.: The effect of tides on dense water formation in Arctic shelf seas, Ocean Sci., 7, 203–217, doi:10.5194/os-7-203-2011, 2011.
- ³⁰ Prenni, A. J., Harrington, J. Y., Tjernström, M., DeMott, P. J., Avramov, A., Long, C. N., Kreidenweis, S. M., Olsson, P. Q., and Verlinde, J.: Can ice-nucleating aerosols affect Arctic seasonal climate, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 88, 541–550, doi:10.1175/BAMS-88-4-541, 2007.





Pringle, D. J., Eicken, H., Trodahl, H. J., and Backstrom, L. G. E.: Thermal conductivity of landfast Antarctic and Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 112, C04017, doi:10.1029/2006JC003641, 2007.

Quinn, P. K., Shaw, G., Andrews, E., Dutton, E. G., Ruoho-Airola, T., and Gong, S.: Arctic haze: current trends and knowledge gaps, Tellus B, 59, 99–114, 2007.

5

15

30

- Quinn, P. K., Bates, T. S., Baum, E., Doubleday, N., Fiore, A. M., Flanner, M., Fridlind, A., Garrett, T. J., Koch, D., Menon, S., Shindell, D., Stohl, A., and Warren, S. G.: Short-lived pollutants in the Arctic: their climate impact and possible mitigation strategies, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 1723–1735, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1723-2008, 2008.
- Raddatz, R. L., Asplin, M. G., Candlish, L., and Barber, D. G.: General characteristics of the atmospheric boundary layer over a flaw lead Polynya region in winter and spring, Bound.-Lay. Meterol., 138, 321–335, doi:10.1007/s10546-010-9557-1, 2011.

Rainville, L. and Winsor, P.: Mixing across the Arctic Ocean: Microstructure observations during the Beringia 2005 Expedition, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L08606, doi:10.1029/2008GL033532, 2008.

- Rainville, L. and Woodgate, R. A.: Observations of internal wave generation in the seasonally ice-free Arctic, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L23604, doi:10.1029/2009GL041291, 2009.
- Rainville, L., Lee, C. M., and Woodgate, R. A.: Impact of wind-driven mixing in the Arctic Ocean, Oceanography, 24, 136–145, 2011.
- Rampal, P., Weiss, J., and Marsan, D.: Positive trend in the mean speed and deformation rate of Arctic sea ice: 1979–2007, J. Geophys. Res., 114, C05013, doi:10.1029/2008JC005066, 2009.
 - Rampal, P., Weiss, J., Dubois, C., and Campin, J. M.: IPCC climate models do not capture Arctic sea ice drift acceleration: consequences in terms of projected sea ice thinning and decline, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C00D07, doi:10.1029/2011JC007110, 2011.
- decline, J. Geophys. Res., 116, C00D07, doi:10.1029/2011JC007110, 2011.
 Rasmussen, E. A. and Turner, J.: Polar Lows: Mesoscale Weather Systems in the Polar Regions, XI, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK, New York, 612 pp., 2003.
 - Renfrew, I. A.: Polar lows, in: The Encyclopedia of the Atmospheric Sciences, Article 317, Vol. 3, edited by: Holton, J. R., Pyle, J. and Curry, J. A., Academic Press, New York, 1761–1768, 2003.
 - Renfrew, I. A., Moore, G. W. K., Kristjansson, J. E., Olafsson, H., Gray, S. L., Petersen, G. N., Bovis, K., Brown, P. R. A., Føre, I, Haine, T, Hay, C, Irvine, E. A., Lawrence, A., Ohigashi, T.,



Outten, S., Pickart, R. S., Shapiro, M., Sproson, D., Swinbank, R., Woolley, A., Zhang, S.: The Greenland flow distortion experiment, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 89, 1307–1324, 2008.

- Renfrew, I. A., Outten, S. D., and Moore, G. W. K.: An easterly tip jet off Cape Farewell, Greenland. Part I: aircraft observations, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 1919–1933, 2009a.
- ⁵ Renfrew, I. A., Petersen, G. N., Sproson, D. A. J., Moore, G. W. K., Adiwidjaja, H., Zhang, S., and North, R.: A comparison of aircraft-based surface-layer observations over Denmark Strait and the Irminger Sea with meteorological analyses and QuikSCAT winds, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 2046–2066, doi:10.1002/qj.444, 2009b.
- Rees Jones, D. W. and Worster, M. G.: Fluxes through steady chimneys in a mushy layer during binary alloy solidification, J. Fluid Mech., 714, 127–151, 2013a.
 - Rees Jones, D. W. and Worster, M. G.: A simple dynamical model for gravity drainage of brine from growing sea ice, Geophys. Res. Lett., 40, 307–311, doi:10.1029/2012GL054301, 2013b.

Reeve, M. A. and Kolstad, E. W.: The Spitsbergen South Cape tip jet, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 107, 1720, 1740, 0011

¹⁵ **137, 1739–1748, 2011**.

20

Revelle, D. O. and Nilsson, E. D.: Summertime low-level jets over the high-latitude Arctic Ocean, J. Appl. Meteorol. Clim., 47, 1770–1784, doi:10.1175/2007JAMC1637.1, 2008.

Riihelä, A., Manninen, T., Laine, V., Andersson, K., and Kaspar, F.: CLARA-SAL: a global 28 yr timeseries of Earth's black-sky surface albedo, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3743–3762, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3743-2013, 2013.

Rösel, A., Kaleschke, L., and Birnbaum, G.: Melt ponds on Arctic sea ice determined from MODIS satellite data using an artificial neural network, The Cryosphere, 6, 431–446, doi:10.5194/tc-6-431-2012, 2012.

Rudels, B., Schauer, U., Björk, G., Korhonen, M., Pisarev, S., Rabe, B., and Wisotzki, A.: Obser-

- vations of water masses and circulation with focus on the Eurasian Basin of the Arctic Ocean from the 1990s to the late 2000s, Ocean Sci., 9, 147–169, doi:10.5194/os-9-147-2013, 2013.
 - Saetra, O., Linders, T., and Deberbard, J. B.: Can polar lows lead to a warming of the ocean surface?, Tellus A, 60, 141–153, 2008.

Sankelo, P., Haapala, J., Heiler, I., and Rinne, E.: Melt pond formation and temporal evolution at

- the drifting station Tara during summer 2007, Polar Res., 29, 311–321, doi:10.1111/j.1751-8369.2010.00161.x, 2010.
 - Schneebeli, M. and Sokratov, S. A.: Tomography of temperature gradient metamorphism of snow and associated changes in heat conductivity, Hydrol. Proc., 18, 3655–3665, 2004.





Screen, J. A. and Simmonds, I.: Increasing fall-winter energy loss from the Arctic Ocean and its role in Arctic temperature amplification, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, L16707, doi:10.1029/2010GL044136, 2010a.

Screen, J. A. and Simmonds, I.: The central role of diminishing sea ice in recent Arctic temperature amplification, Nature, 464, 1334–1337, 2010b.

5

10

25

30

- Screen, J. A. and Simmonds, I.: Declining summer snowfall in the Arctic: causes, impacts and feedbacks, Clim. Dynam., 38, 2243–2256, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1105-2, 2012.
- Scott, F. and Feltham, D. L.: A model of the three-dimensional evolution of Arctic melt ponds on first-year and multiyear sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C12064, doi:10.1029/2010JC006156, 2010.
- Sedlar, J. and Devasthale, A.: Clear-sky thermodynamic and radiative anomalies over a sea ice sensitive region of the Arctic, J. Geophy. Res., 117, D19111, 1–11, doi:10.1029/2012JD017754, 2012.

Sedlar, J. and Shupe, M. D.: Characteristics of vertical motion observed in Arctic mixed-

¹⁵ phase stratocumulus, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 31079–31125, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-31079-2013, 2013.

Sedlar, J. and Tjernström, M.: Stratiform cloud-inversion characterization during the arctic melt season, Bound.-Lay. Meterol., 132, 455–474, doi:10.1007/s10546-009-9407-1, 2009.

Sedlar, J., Tjernström, M., Mauritsen, T., Shupe, M., Brooks, I., Persson, P. O., Birch, C.,

- Leck, C., Sirevaag, A., and Nicolaus, M.: A transitioning Arctic surface energy budget: the impacts of solar zenith angle, surface albedo and cloud radiative forcing, Clim. Dynam., 37, 1643–1660, doi:10.1007/s00382-010-0937-5, 2011.
 - Sedlar, J., Shupe, M. D., and Tjernström, M.: On the relationship between thermodynamic structure and cloud top, and its climate significance in the Arctic, J. Climate, 25, 111011052831002, doi:10.1175/jcli-d-11-00186.1, 2012.
 - Semmler, T., Cheng, B., Yang, Y., and Rontu, L.: Snow and ice on Bear Lake (Alaska) sensitivity experiments with two lake ice models, Tellus A, 64, 17339, doi:10.3402/tellusa.v64i0.17339, 2012.

Serreze, M. C. and Barry, R. G.: Processes and impacts of Arctic amplification: a research synthesis, Glob. Planet. Change, 77, 85–96, doi:10.1016/j.gloplacha.2011.03.004, 2011.

Serreze, M. C., Kahl, J. D. W., and Schnell, R. C.: Low-level temperature inversions of the Eurasian Arctic 5 and comparisons with Soviet drifting stations, J. Climate, 8, 719–731, 1992.





- Serreze, M. C., Barrett, A. P., and Stroeve, J.: Recent changes in tropospheric water vapor over the Arctic as assessed from radiosondes and atmospheric reanalyses, J. Geophys. Res., 117, D10104, doi:10.1029/2011jd017421, 2012.
- Shaw, G. E.: Vertical distribution of tropospheric aerosols at Barrow, Alaska, Tellus, 27, 39–50, 1975.

10

25

Shaw, W. J., Stanton, T. P., McPhee, M. G., Morison, J. H., and Martinson, D. G.: Role of the upper ocean in the energy budget of arctic sea ice during SHEBA, J. Geophys. Res., 114, C06012, doi:10.1029/2008JC004991, 2009.

Shertzer, R. H. and Adams, E. E.: Anisotropic thermal conductivity model for dry snow, Cold Reg. Sci. Technol., 69, 122–128, doi:10.1016/j.coldregions.2011.09.005, 2011.

Shindell, D. and Faluvegi, G.: Climate response to regional radiative forcing during the twentieth century, Nature Geosci., 2, 294–300, doi:10.1038/ngeo473, 2009.

Shupe, M. D.: Clouds at Arctic observatories, Part II: thermodynamic phase characteristics, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 50, 645–661, doi:10.1175/2010JAMC2468.1, 2011.

- Shupe, M. D. and Intrieri, J. M.: Cloud radiative forcing of the Arctic surface: the influence of cloud properties, surface albedo, and solar zenith angle, J. Climate, 17, 616–628, 2004.
 Shupe, M. D., Kollias, P., Persson, P. O. G., and McFarquhar, G. M.: Vertical motions in Arctic mixed-phase stratiform clouds, J. Atmos. Sci., 65, 1304–1322, doi:10.1175/2007JAS2479.1, 2008.
- Shupe, M. D., Walden, V. P., Eloranta, E., Uttal, T., Campbell, J. R., Starkweather, S. M., and Shiobara, M.: Clouds at Arctic atmospheric observatories, Part I: occurrence and macrophysical properties, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 50, 626–644, doi:10.1175/2010JAMC2467.1, 2011. Shupe, M. D., Brooks, I. M., and Canut, G.: Evaluation of turbulent dissipation rate retrievals
 - from Doppler Cloud Radar, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 1375–1385, doi:10.5194/amt-5-1375-2012, 2012.
 - Shupe, M. D., Persson, P. O. G., Brooks, I. M., Tjernström, M., Sedlar, J., Mauritsen, T., Sjo-gren, S., and Leck, C.: Cloud and boundary layer interactions over the Arctic sea ice in late summer, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 9379–9399, doi:10.5194/acp-13-9379-2013, 2013.
 Sirevaag, A.: Turbulent exchange coefficients for the ice/ocean interface in case of rapid melt-
- ing, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L04606, doi:10.1029/2008GL036587, 2009. Sirevaag, A. and Fer, I.: Early spring oceanic heat fluxes and mixing observed from drift stations north of Svalbard, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 39, 3049–3069, 2009.





- Sirevaag, A. and Fer, I.: Vertical heat transfer in the Arctic Ocean: the role of double-diffusive mixing, J. Geophys. Res., 117, C07010, doi:10.1029/2012jc007910, 2012.
- Sirevaag, A., de la Rosa, S., Fer, I., Nicolaus, M., Tjernström, M., and McPhee, M. G.: Mixing, heat fluxes and heat content evolution of the Arctic Ocean mixed layer, Ocean Sci., 7, 335–
- ⁵ 349, doi:10.5194/os-7-335-2011, 2011.

15

- Skyllingstad, E. D., Paulson, C. A., and Perovich, D. K.: Simulation of melt pond evolution on level ice, J. Geophys. Res., 114, C12019, doi:10.1029/2009JC005363, 2009.
- Solomon, A., Shupe, M. D., Persson, P. O. G., and Morrison, H.: Moisture and dynamical interactions maintaining decoupled Arctic mixed-phase stratocumulus in the presence of a hu-
- ¹⁰ midity inversion, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10127–10148, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10127-2011, 2011.
 - Sorbjan, Z. and Grachev, A. A.: An evaluation of the flux–gradient relationship in the stable boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 135, 385–405, 2010.
 - Spreen, G., Kwok, R., and Menemenlis, D.: Trends in Arctic sea ice drift and role of wind forcing: 1992–2009, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L19501, doi:10.1029/2011GL048970, 2011.
 - Sproson, D. A. J., Renfrew, I. A., and Heywood, K. J.: Atmospheric conditions associated with oceanic convection in the south-east Labrador Sea, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L06601, doi:10.1029/2007GL032971, 2008.

Sproson, D. A. J., Renfrew, I. A., and Heywood, K. J.: A Parameterization of Greenland's

- tip jets suitable for ocean or coupled climate models, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C08022, doi:10.1029/2009JC006002, 2010.
 - Steele, M., Zhang, J., and Ermold, W.: Mechanisms of summertime upper Arctic Ocean warming and the effect on sea ice melt, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C11004, doi:10.1029/2009jc005849, 2010.
- Steeneveld, G. J., Wokke, M. J. J., Groot Zwaaftink, C. D., Pijlman, S., Heusinkveld, B. G., Jacobs, A. F. G., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Observations of the radiation divergence in the surface layer and its implication for its parametrization in numerical weather prediction models, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D06107, doi:10.1029/2009JD013074, 2010.

Sterk, H. A. M., Steeneveld, G. J., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: The role of snow-surface coupling,

- radiation, and turbulent mixing in modeling a stable boundary layer over Arctic sea ice, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 1199–1217, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50158, 2013.
 - Stössel, A., Cheon, W.-G., and Vihma, T: Interactive momentum flux forcing over sea ice in a global ocean GCM, J. Geophys. Res, 113, C05010, doi:10.1029/2007JC004173, 2008.





Straneo, F., Hamilton, G. S., Sutherland, D. A., Stearns, L. A., Davidson, F., Hammill, M. O., Stenson, G. B., Rosing-Asvid, A.: Rapid circulation of warm subtropical waters in a major glacial fjord in East Greenland, Nature Geosci., 3, 182–186, 2010.

Stranne, C. and Björk, G.: On the Arctic Ocean ice thickness response to changes in the external forcing, Clim. Dynam., 39, 3007–3018, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1275-y, 2011.

 nal forcing, Clim. Dynam., 39, 3007–3018, doi:10.1007/s00382-011-1275-y, 2011.
 Stroeve, J. C., Kattsov, V., Barrett, A., Serreze, M., Pavlova, T., Holland, M., and Meier, W. N.: Trends in Arctic sea ice extent from CMIP5, CMIP3 and observations, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L16502, doi:10.1029/2012GL052676, 2012.

Svensson, G. and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Analysis of model results for the turning of the wind and

- the related momentum fluxes and depth of the stable boundary layer, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 132, 261–277, doi:10.1007/s10546-009-9395-1, 2009.
 - Tetzlaff, A., Kaleschke, L., Lüpkes, C., Ament, F., and Vihma, T.: The impact of heterogeneous surface temperatures on the 2 m air temperature over the Arctic Ocean under clear skies in spring, The Cryosphere, 7, 153–166, doi:10.5194/tc-7-153-2013, 2013.
- ¹⁵ Thorpe, A. J. and Guymer, T. H.: The nocturnal jet, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 103, 633–653, 1977.
 - Tietsche, S., Notz, D., Jungclaus, J. H., and Marotzke, J.: Recovery mechanisms of Arctic summer sea ice, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L02707, doi:10.1029/2010gl045698, 2011.

Timmermans, M. L., Toole, J., Krishfiled, R., and Winsor, P: Ice-tethered profiler observations of the double-diffusive staircase-like in the Canada thermocline, J. Geophys. Res., 113, C00A02, doi:10.1029/2008JC004829, 2008.

Tisler, P., Vihma, T., Müller, G., and Brümmer, B.: Modelling of warm-air advection over Arctic sea ice, Tellus A, 60, 775–788, 2008.

Tjernström, M.: Is there a diurnal cycle in the summer cloud-capped arctic boundary layer?, J. Atmos. Sci., 64, 3970–3986, doi:10.1175/2007jas2257.1, 2007.

25

Tjernström, M. and Graversen, R. G.: The vertical structure of the lower Arctic troposphere analysed from observations and the ERA-40 reanalysis, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 135, 431–443, doi:10.1002/qj.380, 2009.

Tjernström, M., Leck, C., Persson, P. O. G., Jensen, M. L., Oncley, S. P., and Targino, A.:

³⁰ The summertime Arctic atmosphere: meteorological measurements during the Arctic Ocean Experiment 2001, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 85, 1305–1321, doi:10.1175/BAMS-85-9-1305, 2004.





- Tjernström, M., Zagar, M., Svensson, G., Cassano, J. J., Pfeifer, S., Rinke, A., Wyser, K., Dethloff, K., Jones, C., Semmler, T., and Shaw, M.: Modelling the Arctic boundary layer: an evaluation of six ARCMIP regional-scale models using data from the SHEBA project, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 117, 337-381, 2005.
- 5 Tjernström, M., Sedlar, J., and Shupe, M. D.: How well do regional climate models reproduce radiation and clouds in the Arctic?, an evaluation of ARCMIP simulations, J. Appl. Meteorol. Climatol., 47, 2405–2422, 2008.
 - Tjernström, M., Birch, C. E., Brooks, I. M., Shupe, M. D., Persson, P. O. G., Sedlar, J., Mauritsen, T., Leck, C., Paatero, J., Szczodrak, M., and Wheeler, C. R.: Meteorological conditions
- in the central Arctic summer during the Arctic Summer Cloud Ocean Study (ASCOS), Atmos. 10 Chem. Phys., 12, 6863-6889, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6863-2012, 2012.
 - Tjernström, M., Leck, C., Birch, C. E., Brooks, B. J., Brooks, I. M., Bäcklin, L., Chang, R. Y.-W., Granath, E., Graus, M., Hansel, A., Heintzenberg, J., Held, A., Hind, A., de la Rosa, S., Johnston, P., Knulst, J., de Leeuw, G., Di Liberto, L., Martin, M., Matrai, P. A., Mauritsen, T.,
- Müller, M., Norris, S. J., Orellana, M. V., Orsini, D. A., Paatero, J., Persson, P. O. G., Gao, 15 Q., Rauschenberg, C., Ristovski, Z., Sedlar, J., Shupe, M. D., Sierau, B., Sirevaag, A., Sjogren, S., Stetzer, O., Swietlicki, E., Szczodrak, M., Vaattovaara, P., Wahlberg, N., Westberg, M., and Wheeler, C. R.: The Arctic Summer Cloud-Ocean Study (ASCOS): overview and experimental design, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 13541-13652, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-13541-2013, 2013.
- 20
 - Toole, J. M., Timmermans, M. L., Perovich, D. K., Krishfield, R. A., Proshutinsky, A., and Richter-Menge, J. A.: Influences of the ocean surface mixed layer and thermohaline stratification on Arctic Sea ice in the central Canada Basin, J. Geophys. Res., 115, C10018, doi:10.1029/2009jc005660, 2010.
- Tsai, V. C. and McNamara, D. E.: Quantifying the influence of sea ice on ocean micro-25 seism using observations from the Bering Sea, Alaska, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L22502, doi:10.1029/2011GL049791.2011.

Turner, A. K., Hunke, E. C., and Bitz, C. M.: Two modes of sea-ice gravity drainage: a parameterization for large-scale modeling, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 2279-2294, 2013.

Turner, J. S.: The melting of ice in the Arctic Ocean: the influence of double-diffusive transport 30 of heat from below, J. Phys. Oceanogr., 40, 249–256, doi:10.1175/2009jpo4279.1, 2010.





- Uotila, P., Holland, P. R., Vihma, T., Marsland, S. J., and Kimura, N.: Is realistic Antarctic sea Discussion ice extent in climate models the result of excessive ice drift?, Ocean Model., accepted for Våge, K., Pickart, R. S., Moore, G. W. K., and Ribergaard, M. H.: Winter mixed layer devel-Paper
- opment in the central Irminger Sea: the effect of strong, intermittent wind events, J. Phys. 5 Oceanogr., 38, 541-565, 2008.

revision, 2013.

- Valkonen, T., Vihma, T., and Doble, M.: Mesoscale modelling of the atmospheric boundary layer over the Antarctic sea ice: a late autumn case study, Mon. Weather Rev., 136, 1457-1474, 2008.
- Van de Wiel, B. J. H., Moene, A. F., Steeneveld, G. J., Baas, P., Bosveld, F. C., Holtslag, A. A. M.: 10 A conceptual view on inertial oscillations and nocturnal low-level jets, J. Atmos. Sci., 67. 2679-2689. doi:10.1175/2010JAS3289.1. 2010.
 - Vavrus, S., Walsh, J. E., Chapman, W. L., and Portis, D.: Behavior of extreme cold air outbreaks under greenhouse warming, Int. J. Climatol., 26, 1133-1147, 2006.
- Vihma, T.: Effects of Arctic sea ice decline on weather and climate, Surv. Geophys., accepted 15 to revision, 2013.
 - Vihma, T., Jaagus, J., Jakobson, E., and Palo, T.: Meteorological conditions in the Arctic Ocean in spring and summer 2007 as recorded on the drifting ice station Tara, Gephys. Res. Lett., 35, L18706, doi:10.1029/2008GL034681, 2008.
- Vihma, T., Kilpeläinen, T., Manninen, M., Sjöblom, A., Jakobson, E., Palo, T., Jaagus, J., and 20 Maturilli, M.: Characteristics of temperature and humidity inversions and low-level jets over Svalbard fjords in spring, Adv. Meteorol., 2011, 486807, doi:10.1155/2011/486807, 2011.
 - Voss, P. B., Hole, L. R., Helbling, E. F., Roberts, T. J.: Continuous in-situ soundings in the Arctic boundary layer: a new atmospheric measurement technique using controlled meteorological
- balloons, J. Intell. Robot Syst., 70, 609–617, doi:10.1007/s10846-012-9758-6, 2013. 25 Wagner, J. S., Gohm, A., Dörnbrack, A., and Schäfler, A.: The mesoscale structure of a polar low: airborne lidar measurements and simulations, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 1516–1531,
 - 2011. Wang, C., Granskog, M. A., Gerland, S., Hudson, S. R., Perovich, D. K., Nicolaus, M.,
- Karlsen, T. I., Fossan, K., and Bratrein, M.: Autonomous observations of solar energy parti-30 tioning in first-year sea ice in the Arctic Basin, J. Geophys. Res., submitted, 2013.
 - Wang, Q., Jacob, D. J., Fisher, J. A., Mao, J., Leibensperger, E. M., Carouge, C. C., Le Sager, P., Kondo, Y., Jimenez, J. L., Cubison, M. J., and Doherty, S. J.: Sources of carbonaceous





aerosols and deposited black carbon in the Arctic in winter-spring: implications for radiative forcing, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 12453–12473, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12453-2011, 2011.

- Wang, S., Trishchenko, A. P., Khlopenkov, K. V., and Davidson, A.: Comparison of International Panel on Climate Change Fourth Assessment Report climate model simulations of sur-
- ⁵ face albedo with satellite products over northern latitudes, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D21108, doi:10.1029/2005jd006728, 2006.

Weiss, J., Schulson, E. M., and Stern, H. L.: Sea ice rheology from in-situ, satellite and laboratory observations: Fracture and friction, Earth Planet. Sci. Lett., 255, 1–8, 2007.

Wells, A. J., Wettlaufer, J. S., and Orszag, S. A.: Brine uxes from growing sea ice, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L04501, doi:10.1029/2010GL046288, 2011.

10

Wesslén, C., Tjernström, M., Bromwich, D. H., de Boer, G., Ekman, A. M. L., Bai, L.-S., and Wang, S.-H.: The Arctic summer atmosphere: an evaluation of reanalyses using ASCOS data, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 16495–16547, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-16495-2013, 2013.

¹⁵ Wetzel, C. and Brummer, B.: An Arctic inversion climatology based on the European Centre Reanalysis ERA-40, Meteorol. Z., 20, 589–600, doi:10.1127/0941-2948/2011/0295, 2011.

Widell, K., Fer, I., and Haugan, P. M.: Salt release from warming sea ice, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, L12501, doi:10.1029/2006GL026262, 2006.

Wilson, A. B., Bromwich, D. H., and Hines, K. M.: Evaluation of polar WRF forecasts on the

- Arctic system reanalysis domain: surface and upper air analysis, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D11112, doi:10.1029/2010jd015013, 2011.
 - Wyser, K., Jones, C. G., Du, P., Girard, E., Willén, U., Cassano, J., Christensen, J. H., Curry, J. A., Dethloff, K., Haugen, J. E., Jacob, D., Køltzow, M., Laprise, R., Lynch, A., Pfeifer, S., Rinke, A., Serreze, M., Shaw, M. J., Tjernström, M., and Zagar, M.: An evalu-
- ation of Arctic cloud and radiation processes during the SHEBA year: simulation results from eight Arctic regional climate models, Clim. Dynam., 30, 203–223, doi:10.1007/s00382-007-0286-1, 2008.

Yasunari, T. J., Koster, R. D., Lau, K. M., Aoki, T., Sud, Y. C., Yamazaki, T., Motoyoshi, H., and Kodama, Y.: Influence of dust and black carbon on the snow albedo in the NASA Goddard

³⁰ Earth Observing System version 5 land surface model, J. Geophys. Res., 116, D02210, doi:10.1029/2010jd014861, 2011.

Zahn, M. and von Storch, H.: Decreased frequency of North Atlantic polar lows associated with future climate warming, Nature, 467, 309–312, 2010.





Zhang, Y., Seidel, D. J., Golaz, J. C., Deser, C., and Tomas, R. A.: Climatological characteristics of Arctic and Antarctic Surface-Based Inversions, J. Climate, 24, 5167–5186, doi:10.1175/2011JCLI4004.1, 2011.

Zilitinkevich, S. S. and Esau, I. N.: Resistance and heat-transfer laws for stable and neutral

- planetary boundary layers: old theory advanced and re-evaluated, Q. J. R. Meteorol. Soc., 131, 1863–1892, 2005.
 - Zilitinkevich, S. S., Elperin, T., Kleeorin, N., Rogachevskii, I., and Esau, I.: A hierarchy of Energyand Flux-Budget (EFB) turbulence closure models for stably-stratified geophysical flows, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 146, 341–373, doi:10.1007/s10546-012-9768-8, 2013.







Discussion Paper **ACPD** 13, 32703-32816, 2013 **Small-scale physical** processes in the marine Arctic climate **Discussion** Paper system T. Vihma et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion Paper** References Conclusions Tables Figures < Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

CC II

Fig. 1. Simplified schematic vertical profiles of temperature, air humidity, and ocean salinity in the marine Arctic climate system. In reality the shape of the profiles varies in time and space; more detailed illustration of small-scale processes is given in Figs. 2–9.



Fig. 2. Convection over leads and polynyas: (a) sea smoke originating from leads in the Fram Strait on 7 March 2013 (photo: C. Lüpkes), (b) schematic presentation of ABL processes over a lead/polynya. H and LE are the turbulent fluxes of sensible and latent heat, respectively.










Fig. 4. Schematic illustration of processes and interactions related to coastal meteorology and oceanography.





Fig. 5. Examples demonstrating large spatial variations in air temperature and wind (a and b) and sensible heat flux (c and d) over a complex fjord (Isfjorden in Svalbard), as simulated applying a high-resolution atmospheric model. Redrawn from Kilpeläinen (2011).



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Fig. 6. Interaction processes related to Polar lows.





Fig. 7. Schematic overview of some of the processes that influence and that are influenced by the growth and melt of sea ice. Only the most important pathways of interaction are shown. SW is shortwave radiation, LW is longwave radiation, Lat is latent heat flux, Sen is sensible heat flux, Cond is conductive heat flux in the ice, Heat is oceanic heat flux, Salt is oceanic salt flux, Tbot is bottom temperature and Tsurf is surface temperature.







Fig. 8. Processes generating mechanical waves travelling within sea ice.





Fig. 9. Main forcing (green), oceanic heat input (red), physical processes (blue), and their relations (arrows) in the Arctic Ocean. CHL is the Cold Halocline Layer; AW is the Atlantic Water; and NS T_{max} is the near-surface temperature maximum.



