Comments to the Author:

Comments to the Author:

Thank you for addressing the major point and I hope you'll agree that while not changing the conclusions, this makes them more sound. The only remaining thing I would point out is that the reviewer report also identified a number of technical points (repeated below) that have not been addressed in this version. If these could be rectified, the paper should be ready for final publication.

p. 13, l. 17-18: "... than to aerosol mass concentration." Please include the word "mass" here.

Done

p. 15, l. 22: "hygroscopicity value" instead of "solubility value"

Done

p. 16, *l.* 7-9: Please explain which kind of interpolation was performed here, a linear interpolation or a specific function?

the explanation appears in the text (p. 16, l. 7-9). Now we can read

The modelled results indicate an overestimation of N_{CCN} , with increasing overestimation factor with supersaturation. A *linear regression is applied for each group of measurements, and indicates that the* slope of the fitted line increases from 1.52 to 1.89 when going from 0.23% to 1.13% of supersaturation (Table 3a),

Figures 1, 3, and 4: Please number each panel of the figures.

Done.

Figures 2c, 4d, 8: in the y-axis, could you please replace the comma by a decimal point.

Done