Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 31635–31671, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/31635/2013/ doi:10.5194/acpd-13-31635-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Impact of data quality and surface-to-column representativeness on the PM_{2.5}/satellite AOD relationship for the Continental United States

T. D. Toth¹, J. Zhang¹, J. R. Campbell², E. J. Hyer², J. S. Reid², Y. Shi¹, and D. L. Westphal²

¹Dept. of Atmospheric Sciences, University of North Dakota, Grand Forks, ND, USA ²Aerosol and Radiation Sciences Section, Marine Meteorology Division, Naval Research Laboratory, Monterey, CA, USA

Received: 15 October 2013 - Accepted: 6 November 2013 - Published: 3 December 2013

Correspondence to: J. Zhang (jzhang@atmos.und.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Satellite-derived aerosol optical depth (AOD) observations have been used to estimate particulate matter less than 2.5 µm (PM_{2.5}). However, such a relationship could be affected by the representativeness of satellite-derived AOD to surface aerosol particle
 ⁵ mass concentration and satellite AOD data quality. Using purely measurement-based methods, we have explored the impacts of data quality and representativeness on the AOD inferred PM_{2.5}/AOD relationship for the Continental United States (CONUS). This is done through temporally and spatially collocated datasets of PM_{2.5} and AOD retrievals from Aqua/Terra Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), Multi-angle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR), and Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP). These analyses show that improving data quality of satellite

- AOD, such as done with data assimilation-grade retrievals, increases their correlation with PM_{2.5.} However, overall correlation is relatively low across the CONUS. Also, integrated extinction observed within the 500 m above groud level (a.g.l.), as measured
- ¹⁵ by CALIOP, is not well representative of the total column AOD. Surface aerosol in the Eastern CONUS is better correlated than in the Western CONUS. The best correlation values are found for estimated dry mass CALIOP extinction at 200–300 ma.g.l. and PM_{2.5}, but additional work is needed to address the ability of using actively sensed AOD as a proxy for PM_{2.5} concentrations.

20 1 Introduction

25

Particulate matter (PM), especially suspended particles and solution droplets with diameters smaller than $2.5 \,\mu\text{m}$ (PM_{2.5}), contributes greatly to regional air pollution and can pose a threat to human health (e.g., Schwartz and Neas, 2000; Pope et al., 2002). Traditionally, the United States (US) Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) has monitored surface-based PM_{2.5} concentrations using either a gravimetric-based method at ground stations with 24 h filter samplers or hourly Tapered Element Oscillat-





ing Microbalance (TEOM) and beta gauge samplers (Federal Register, 1997). A number of studies have attempted estimates of surface-based $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations using satellite-retrieved aerosol optical depth (AOD) data (e.g., Hutchison, 2003; Wang and Christopher, 2003; Engel-Cox et al., 2004; Kumar et al., 2007; Liu et al., 2007; Hoff and

- ⁵ Christopher, 2009). The advantages of estimating surface-based PM_{2.5} concentrations using satellite-derived AOD data are obvious, as satellites, including both polar orbiting and geostationary satellites, typically provide a much larger spatial coverage than what can be inferred from ground stations over a broad surface footprint. However, data is limited to daylight cloud free conditions with once per day collection by polar orbiters
- ¹⁰ (Diner et al., 1998; Remer et al., 2005) or multiple images in morning or afternoon from geostationary satellites (Zhang et al., 2001; Prados et al., 2007).

Previous research efforts have focused on algorithm development for solving PM proxies based on AOD. For example, Chu et al. (2003) compare PM_{10} concentrations with surface AOD measurements from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) in

- ¹⁵ northern Italy and highlight the potential of using Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS; Remer et al., 2005) AOD as an estimate for PM₁₀ concentration. Several studies have focused on correlating satellite AOD observations and PM_{2.5} concentrations (e.g., Wang and Christopher, 2003; Liu et al., 2004), and advances have been made improving correlation between the two by considering other
- meteorological and environmental parameters, such as the surface mixed-layer height (Engel-Cox et al., 2006; Gupta et al., 2006) and relative humidity (Shinozuka et al., 2007; Van Donkelaar et al., 2010). Simulated vertical structure from chemical transport models (e.g., Van Donkelaar et al., 2006, 2010) has also been used to help improve the PM_{2.5}/satellite AOD relationship.
- There are important issues, however, that need be considered when applying satellite-based observations in general, much less as a proxy for PM_{2.5} estimates. First, uncertainties exist in satellite-retrieved AOD values due to issues such as cloud contamination, inaccurate optical models used in the retrieval process and heterogeneous surface boundary conditions (e.g., Zhang and Reid, 2006; Shi et al., 2011a;



Toth et al., 2013). Even today, convergence has not yet been reached for retrieved AOD values found among the most widely used satellite aerosol products, such as the Dark Target/DeepBlue MODIS and Multi-angle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR; Diner et al., 1998; Kahn et al., 2010) aerosol products (e.g., Shi et al., 2011b). Any estimate of PM_{2.5} derived from satellite AOD data cannot be more accurate than the AOD data themselves. Thus, relationships between AOD and PM_{2.5} are likely to be highly sensor product specific. Second, AOD derived from passive sensors is a column-integrated value, and PM_{2.5} concentration is a surface measurement. Under conditions where aerosol particles are concentrated primarily within the surface/boundary layer, AOD is presumably a likelier proxy for PM_{2.5} concentration. Conversely, in conditions where aerosol plumes are transported above the boundary layer, AOD will likely prove a weaker one. Finally, AOD is a column-integrated sum of total particle extinction, whereas PM_{2.5} is measured with respect to dried particle ingested for analysis by

corresponding instruments. Thus, hygroscopicity and mass extinction efficacy corrections are further required to accurately characterize any relationship present between the two parameters.

While some studies have attempted to use chemical transport models and groundbased lidars to investigate a relationship between aerosol particle structure, columnintegrated AOD and surface-based $PM_{2.5}$ (Liu et al., 2004; Van Donkelaar et al., 2006;

- ²⁰ Boyouk et al., 2010; Hyer and Chew, 2010), a measurement-based analysis using the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP; Winker et al., 2007; Hunt et al., 2009) would allow for such a study over relatively-broad spatial and temporal scales, for which more tenable proxies between AOD and PM_{2.5} may be realized and thus applied on more representative scales. Range-resolved information collected with
- ²⁵ CALIOP provides the critical perspective for relating the depth and vertical extent of aerosol particle presence to both surface-based PM_{2.5} measurements and passive retrievals of column-integrated AOD.

In this study, we examine the $PM_{2.5}$ /AOD relationship for the Continental United States (CONUS) from the unique joint perspectives of data quality and aerosol surface-





to-column representativeness. Through the use of MODIS, MISR, and CALIOP observations, the following research questions are considered:

- 1. How does the quality of passive satellite AOD retrievals impact the PM_{2 5}/AOD relationship?
- 2. Based on CALIOP data, how representative are surface-based measurements to 5 aerosol particle presence within the full column?
 - 3. Can near surface observations from CALIOP be used as a better proxy for $PM_{2.5}$ concentration?

The paper has been designed to discuss each component sequentially, thus building off the previous step. In Sect. 2 of this paper, we describe the various satellite and 10 surface-based datasets used. In Sect. 3, the PM_{2.5}/AOD relationship is first examined at an hourly timescale, followed by a daily analysis in which we explore the impact of AOD quality on this relationship. In Sect. 4, we investigate the representativeness of satellite-derived surface aerosol concentration to that of the entire column, and how

well surface AOD correlates with total column AOD. Lastly in Sect. 5, we provide results 15 comparing surface-based PM_{2.5} and CALIOP aerosol extinction near the lower bounds of the satellite profile to investigate the potential use of CALIOP data for air quality applications.

Datasets 2

MODIS, MISR, and CALIOP Data 2.1 20

Aboard both the NASA Agua and Terra satellites, MODIS is a spectroradiometer with 36 channels (0.41 to 15 μ m), seven of which (0.47 to 2.13 μ m) are applied operationally for the retrieval of aerosol particle optical properties. The Dark Target Level 2 products created from these retrievals are reported at a spatial resolution of $10 \times 10 \text{ km}^2$, with



over-land uncertainties of 0.05±0.15 · AOD (Remer et al., 2005). This study utilizes the Corrected_Optical_Depth_Land (0.550 µm) parameter of Dark Target Level 2 Collection 5.1 retrievals from Aqua (MYD04_L2) and Terra (MOD04) MODIS (2008–2009, operational), with quality assurance (QA) limiting the analysis to only those retrievals
 with Quality_Assurance_Land parameter flags of "very good". Although the DeepBlue (DB) MODIS aerosol products also provide aerosol retrievals over land, the Collection 5.1 Aqua DB MODIS aerosol products are not available for the study period and are thus not included in the study.

MISR, aboard the Terra satellite, is a unique spectroradiometer, able to collect observations at nine different viewing angles, providing a means for studying aerosol particle size and shape (Diner et al., 1998). MISR features four spectral bands, located at 0.446, 0.558, 0.672, and 0.867 μm. Different from the Dark Target MODIS aerosol products, the MISR aerosol product also includes AOD retrievals over bright surfaces such as desert regions. Kahn et al. (2005) suggested that 70 % of MISR AOD data are
within 0.05 (or 20 % × AOD) of sun-photometer measured AOD values. This study utilizes the same two years (2008–2009) of AOD derived from Version 22 MISR retrievals (0.558 μm), flagged through QA screening as "successful".

CALIOP is a multi-wavelength (0.532 and 1.064 $\mu m)$ polarization lidar flown aboard the Cloud-Aerosol Lidar and Infrared Pathfinder Satellite Observations (CALIPSO) plat-

- form within the NASA "A-Train" constellation (e.g., Stephens et al., 2002). To gain an understanding of aerosol particle distribution over the US for 2008–2009, this study utilizes the Version 3.01 CALIOP Level 2 5 km Aerosol Profile (L2_05kmAProf) (Winker et al., 2007, 2012) product. The Version 3.01 Level 2 Vertical Feature Mask (L2_VFM) product is also used to restrict the analysis to those 5 km AOD and total extinction (at
- 0.532 μm) profile retrievals that are cloud-free, in a manner consistent with that of Toth et al. (2013).



2.2 Quality-assured MODIS and MISR Subsets

Existing uncertainties in passive satellite AOD retrievals, such as those for MODIS and MISR, are optimally suppressed before being considered and applied for data assimilation (DA) activities involving operational aerosol forecast models (e.g., Zhang

et al., 2008). Through rigid QA, reduced AOD uncertainties have been characterized and DA-quality AOD datasets have been created for both over land (Hyer et al., 2011) and over ocean MODIS DT products (Shi et al., 2011a), as well as the MISR aerosol products (Shi et al., 2011b, 2012). In this study, we use DA-quality MODIS and MISR AOD products as control datasets for comparison with operational MODIS and MISR 10 products.

Available at 6 hourly 1° × 1° resolution, DA-quality AOD data are converted to daily averages and then compared with daily PM_{2.5} concentrations. For comparison purposes with the PM_{2.5} data available (described further below), we have constructed daily-averaged "Level 3" AOD data using operational MODIS and MISR aerosol prod-¹⁵ ucts after applying first-order QA as described in Sect. 2.1. DA-quality MODIS aerosol products are available from the Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GO-DAE) server (http://www.usgodae.org/). However, no quality-assured hourly DA-quality aerosol products are currently available, and no comparisons were therefore made between the DA-quality products and hourly PM_{2.5} measurements.

20 2.3 Surface PM_{2.5}

The US EPA has collected observations of surface-based PM since the passage of the Clean Air Act in 1970 (http://www.epa.gov/air/caa/). In 1997, the EPA began specifically monitoring $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations (Federal Register, 2006). The Federal Reference Method (FRM), a filter-based method, is used to measure concentration over a continuous 24 h period. The filter is weighed before and after the sample collection

²⁵ a continuous 24 h period. The filter is weighed before and after the sample collection interval and $PM_{2.5}$ mass concentration ($\mu g m^{-3}$) is calculated by dividing the total mass of $PM_{2.5}$ particles by the volume of air sampled (Federal Register, 1997). Some EPA





sites also report hourly (continuous) $PM_{2.5}$ measurements. For this study, two years (2008–2009) of daily and hourly $PM_{2.5}$ Local Conditions (EPA Parameter Code 88101) data were used and obtained from the EPA Air Quality System (AQS).

3 How does the quality of passive satellite AOD retrievals impact their linear correlation with surface-based $PM_{2.5}$?

As a first step, linear correlations between passive satellite AOD retrievals and PM_{2.5} observations in the United States are derived. We investigate the impact of data quality to the AOD/PM_{2.5} relationship through a daily analysis using both daily-averaged operational and DA-Quality AOD datasets, as well as daily PM_{2.5} data. No hourly DAquality AOD retrievals are currently available, and therefore the impact of data quality to the AOD/PM_{2.5} correlations are not specifically characterized on this temporal scale. Still, an hourly analysis is first considered, using only operational AOD data and hourly PM_{2.5} data, for comparison purposes and for establishing a relevant context for the relationship between AOD and PM_{2.5}.

¹⁵ Figure 1 depicts those PM_{2.5} monitoring sites for the 2008–2009 period that reported hourly (Fig. 1a) and daily-averaged (Fig. 1b) PM_{2.5} observations. A total of 102 sites reported hourly data, while 991 sites collected daily data (see figure caption for color scheme). Note that some sites feature multiple instruments observing PM_{2.5} concentration; one routine/primary, regular measurement and a secondary measurement that
 ²⁰ is only available sporadically. Both types of PM_{2.5} data are included for this analysis.

3.1 Hourly analysis

5

25

For 2008–2009, the operational Level-2 AOD datasets are spatially and temporally collocated with available $PM_{2.5}$ observations. After these AOD data are filtered through basic QA screening (Sect. 2.1), each hourly $PM_{2.5}$ observation is matched with those Level-2 AOD retrievals meeting the QA criteria and found within 40 km and 1 h of the



 $PM_{2.5}$ observation. All remaining AOD values are then averaged for a single comparison with the $PM_{2.5}$ observation. We chose 40 km as the averaging range for the satellite data after assuming a mean wind speed of 10 ms^{-1} influencing aerosol plumes transport (approximately $40 \text{ km} \text{ h}^{-1}$). AOD autocorrelation at or exceeding 0.8 has been reported for a distance of 40 km (on average) (Anderson et al., 2003; Zhang et al., 2011), making this a reasonable constraint.

Table 1 summarizes the results of the hourly collocation of 40 km h^{-1} average MODIS/MISR AOD with corresponding ground-based PM_{2.5} measurements over the two year study, including linear correlation coefficients and data counts for the contigu-

- ous US divided into its four respective time zones: Eastern (UTC-5), Central (UTC-6), Mountain (UTC-7), and Pacific (UTC-8). Relatively low correlations are found for the US, as a whole. However, a regional dependence of the relationship between the two parameters is also apparent. The Eastern US region exhibits higher correlation than does the Pacific US by a factor of nearly two (0.2 vs. 0.4). This is consistent with sev-
- eral studies that have shown similar regional effects. For example, Hu (2009) reports average PM_{2.5}/AOD correlations of 0.67 (Eastern US) and 0.22 (Western US), with Engel-Cox et al. (2004) and Paciorek et al. (2008) reporting similar correlations of 0.6–0.8 (Eastern US) and 0.2–0.4 (Western US). It has been suggested that this regional variability in the PM_{2.5}/AOD relationship is due to differences in topography, surface albedo, and boundary layer depth between the Eastern and Western US (Engel-Cox
- et al., 2006).

5

In Fig. 2, regional differences of PM_{2.5}/AOD correlation are also evident from scatterplots for the Eastern (Fig. 2a) and Pacific (Fig. 2b) time zones, with greater linearity observed in the Eastern US compared to the west. Also, PM_{2.5} concentration averages were computed for each 0.1 bin of AOD, and shown with respect to both Terra MODIS and MISR. Note that although we have listed both Aqua and Terra MODIS in Table 1, we show only the Terra MODIS/MISR analysis in Fig. 2 because of their common satellite-observing platform. In general, a better correlation is found for the bin averages, which is consistent with that reported by Gupta et al. (2006).



Seasonally, each of the hourly PM_{2.5}/AOD correlations coefficients shown in Table 1 are recomputed for December through May (Table 1; DJFMAM) and June through November (Table 1; JJASON). There are fewer data points for DJFMAM than JJASON (~68% decrease), enhanced by the absence of December 2007 in the dataset. Overall, however, lower correlations are found during this season compared with the annual mean. The opposite is thus true for JJASON. Although not shown here, further analysis reveals that higher correlations of JJASON may be due to a significant number of cases of relatively high PM_{2.5} (greater than 35 µgm⁻³) and high satellite AOD (greater than 0.3) that occur during this season, relative to DJFMAM, which may positively influence the regression compared with JJASON.

3.2 Daily analysis

15

We next investigate how the relationship between AOD and $PM_{2.5}$ is affected by the perceived data quality of the operational satellite AOD datasets, using only basic QA, vs. the DA-quality Level 3 AOD data. As discussed above, these latter data are subject to more advanced screening, with filtering, correction, and spatial aggregation applied. Each available daily ground-based $PM_{2.5}$ observation is matched with both the operational and DA-quality AOD retrievals found within 1° latitude/longitude and the day of the $PM_{2.5}$ observation. Results of the daily 1° × 1° operational and DA-quality MODIS/MISR AOD analyses are shown for the CONUS and each respective time zone in Table 2.

 Distinct increases are found for PM_{2.5}/AOD correlation using the DA-quality satellite AOD products vs. the operational satellite AOD datasets (Table 2). For example, PM_{2.5}/AOD correlations for the CONUS increase by about 0.12 (Aqua MODIS), 0.16 (Terra MODIS), and 0.14 (MISR) from each respective operational to DA-quality dataset. Note that data counts for each DA-quality AOD analysis decrease relative to
 each corresponding operational AOD analysis, indicative of fewer available collocations

from the Level 3 AOD datasets from increased data rejection. We believe that such a pronounced pattern reflects the influence of AOD retrieval quality from the passive satellites on their relationship with surface-based PM_{2.5} measurements.





Also shown in Table 2, the Eastern sample exhibits greater linearity (i.e., correlation) overall compared with the Western one. Figure 3 further illustrates the regional variation in $PM_{2.5}/DA$ AOD correlation, through corresponding scatterplots for the Eastern (Fig. 3a) and Pacific (Fig. 3b) time zones. As in Fig. 2, we only show the Terra MODIS/MISR analysis because of their common platform. Also, averages of $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations are shown for each 0.1 bin of DA TERRA and MISR AOD.

The seasonality of the $PM_{2.5}$ /AOD relationship for the daily analysis is investigated in Table 2. As encountered above for Table 1, there are fewer data points for DJFMAM than JJASON (~32% decrease). Likewise, lower $PM_{2.5}$ /AOD correlations are found during DJFMAM, and higher correlations are found from JJASON, as compared to the mean annual results presented in Table 2. Again, this pattern may be due to a larger number of high $PM_{2.5}$ (greater than 35 µg m⁻³) and high satellite AOD (greater than 0.3) values that are found from JJASON, as compared to DJFMAM. However, a longer study

period is likely needed to more appropriately understand the seasonal dependence of

15 the PM_{2.5}/AOD relationship.

5

10

Figure 4 consists of two maps depicting daily PM_{2.5} sites used in this analysis, color-coded with respect to PM_{2.5}/AOD correlation coefficient. Figure 4a reflects the PM_{2.5}/daily operational Terra MODIS AOD relationship, with generally higher correlations in the Eastern US than the Pacific US. Figure 4b illustrates a clear increase in PM_{2.5}/AOD correlation for the daily DA Terra MODIS AOD analysis, with again still higher correlations for the Eastern US compared to those results found in the west. Similar regional and operational-to-DA AOD patterns in the PM_{2.5}/AOD relationship are shown in Fig. 5 for the operational MISR AOD (Fig. 5a) and DA MISR AOD (Fig. 5b) daily analyses.





4 How representative is the surface layer aerosol particle presence to the atmospheric column?

We have demonstrated that the quality of the AOD datasets investigated impacts any linear correlation apparent with ground-based $PM_{2.5}$ measurements. Next we explore

- the representativeness of aerosol particle presence near the surface to that of the atmospheric column. We use the CALIOP L2_05kmAProf product, featuring a vertical resolution of 60 m for altitudes below 20.2 km above mean sea level (a.m.s.l.). Using the corresponding mean surface elevation reported with each profile, values of extinction coefficient and AOD (0.532 μm) are re-gridded linearly at 100 m resolution vertically
 from the surface (above ground level, or a.g.l.) to 8.2 km after a robust QA screening procedure takes place. The details of this QA process are documented in past studies
- (Kittaka et al., 2011; Campbell et al., 2012a; Winker et al., 2012; Toth et al., 2013). Only cloud-free profiles are considered.

Shown in Fig. 6 are $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ averages (relative to the number of cloud free 5 km

- ¹⁵ CALIOP profiles in each 1° × 1° regional bin) of 0.532 μm aerosol extinction coefficient for the 0.0 to 0.5 km layer (Fig. 6a), 0.5–1.5 km (Fig. 6b), 1.5–2.5 km (Fig. 6c) and 2.5– 3.5 km a.g.l. (Fig. 6d), respectively. In general, extinction values observed in the lower atmospheric layers (Fig. 6a and b) are larger than those observed in the elevated atmospheric layers (Fig. 6c and d). However, higher mean values are found nearer the
- ²⁰ surface in the eastern region (particularly the southeastern US; Fig. 6a and b), while higher values are found at elevated heights in the west (Fig. 6c and d). These data indicate that, on average, aerosol particle distributions tend to be more concentrated near the surface in the east and more diffuse vertically in the west.

Corresponding with Fig. 6a, Fig. 7 is a plot of the average percentage of surface layer-integrated extinction (altitudes lower than 500 ma.g.l.) to total column AOD. We use the average of the lower 500 ma.g.l. to represent the surface layer so as to minimize ground flash contamination in the CALIOP data when observations are near the ground (e.g., Campbell et al., 2012b). Values are generally below 40% across the





CONUS, with higher values more concentrated in the eastern part of the country. The distribution is noisy, however, and thus to better interpret these data, we present a five-year assessment (2006–2011) of CALIOP data (Fig. 8). Common patterns emerge, though more distinctly, as higher percentages are again found over the east vs. the

- ⁵ west. In general, however, AOD below 500 ma.g.l. accounts for only 30 % or less of the total column AOD across the US. This indicates that it is necessary to have a priori knowledge of the ratio between near-surface integrated extinction to column-integrated AOD in order to better characterize the likely representativeness of applying satellite AOD as a proxy for surface PM_{2.5} concentration.
- ¹⁰ Note that although integrated extinction over the lowest 500 m a.g.l. may not be representative of the total column AOD, it is possible that the correlation between the two could be high, and thus useful for satellite $AOD/PM_{2.5}$ studies. Although not shown here, we also compute the 1° × 1° average correlation between integrated extinction from the lowest 500 m a.g.l. and the total column AOD. Globally over land, an average
- ¹⁵ correlation of 0.61 is found. For the United States, a similar value of 0.62 is calculated, with values of 0.61 for the Eastern time zone and 0.57 for the Pacific. Importantly, the lack of significant regional variability in these relationships indicates that although the Eastern and Pacific time zones may exhibit different AOD surface contribution percentages, integrated surface extinction correlates relatively consistently with total column
- ²⁰ AOD. Still, given a perfect possible correlation of 1 between integrated surface level extinction and $PM_{2.5}$ concentration, the correlation value of ~ 0.6 between the former with column-integrated AOD might represent the best case scenario, on a regional average, that one could derive presently for the satellite AOD to $PM_{2.5}$ concentration relationship.
- To evaluate the influence of aerosol particle presence at elevated levels, in Fig. 9a we show the fraction of CALIOP-retrieved column-integrated AOD found above an arbitrary standard height of 2 km a.g.l., thus segregating mostly boundary layer particle presence vs. those propagating within the free troposphere. It is evident that regional variations in the fraction of AOD above 2 km exist, as the western half of the US ex-



hibits at least double the amount of particle extinction above 2 km than does the eastern US. However, note that many areas in California, where a relatively dense array of Pacific US PM_{2.5} sites are located, exhibit relatively low contributions comparable to that of the east (usually below 30 %). Consistent with the findings shown in Fig. 9a,
⁵ regional variations in the frequency of occurrence of AOD above 2 km a.g.l. are also observed (Fig. 9b), with generally higher frequencies in the west as compared to the east. While the average frequency of occurrence of AOD above 2 km a.g.l. for the US is ~40% (Fig. 9b), a value of ~20% (not shown) is computed for the frequency of occurrence of when at least 50% of aerosol (as measured by CALIOP AOD) within an atmospheric column is found above 2 km a.g.l. This indicates a significant number of elevated aerosol plumes occurred over the US during the 2008–2009 period, and thus will not be recognized by surface-based PM_{2.5} measurements.

5 Can near surface observations from CALIOP be used as a better proxy for $PM_{2.5}$ concentration?

- ¹⁵ Taking advantage of an active-profiling aerosol particle sensor like CALIOP, we investigate the relationship between hourly PM_{2.5} concentration and CALIOP 0.532 µm extinction coefficient values near the surface. The temporal/spatial collocation and 40 km AOD averaging process here is the same as described in Sect. 3. Recall that PM_{2.5} is a dry particle mass measurement. However, satellite-retrieved AOD values include the effects of access particle growth as a function of values.
- the effects of aerosol particle growth as a function of vapor pressure. To compute the CALIOP extinction and PM_{2.5} relationship, a sensitivity study was performed for which the hygroscopic growth of aerosol particles was accounted for. We approximate that aerosol particles over the US are sulfate aerosols, and apply the sulfate aerosol hygroscopic growth factor (Hanel, 1976; Hegg et al., 1993; Anderson et al., 1994) to compute dry aerosol extinction and AOD using Goddard Modeling and Assimilation Of-
- fice (GMAO) relative humidity values included as metadata in the NASA-disseminated CALIOP files. No correction is made to extinction coefficient values when relative hu-





midity is less than 30 % or above 95 %. Further, we investigate the sensitivity of the CALIOP value chosen to compare with by varying the height of the retrieval used between 0 and 500 m a.g.l. in 100 m segments.

Results, including the level of CALIOP extinction used, are summarized in Table 3.
⁵ For both the Eastern and Pacific US time zones, altering the level of the reported CALIOP extinction from 200 to 500 m a.g.l. has little effect on correlation. Relatively low correlation is observed using the CALIOP extinction values at the 0–100 m level, however, suggesting the likely impacts of ground contamination of the backscatter signal. When hygroscopic growth of aerosol particles is considered, modest improvements are found for the Eastern US but not the climatologically drier Pacific region.

We next investigate the relationship between CALIOP extinction near the surface and PM_{2.5} concentrations when collocated Aqua MODIS operational retrievals are available. This PM_{2.5}/CALIOP/Aqua MODIS dataset was constructed for both hourly and daily analyses during the 2008–2009 period. For the hourly study, both CALIOP and ¹⁵ operational Aqua MODIS observations are again averaged within 40 km and the 1 h of the PM_{2.5} measurements. For the daily comparison, observations from CALIOP are averaged within 100 km along-track (approximately 1°), and those from operational Aqua MODIS are averaged within 1° latitude/longitude, and the day of each PM_{2.5} measurement.

- Figure 10 shows hourly analysis results for dry mass-adjusted CALIOP extinction at 200–300 m a.g.l. (Fig. 10a) and operational Aqua MODIS AOD (Fig. 10b). The 200–300 m layer was used because the lowest 200 m a.g.l. of retrieved extinction is considered subject to ground contamination (e.g., Schuster et al., 2012; Omar et al., 2013). Reasonably high correlations of ~ 0.8 are found for CALIOP/PM_{2.5} for both the Eastern
- and Pacific time zones. A difference exists between these two regions for Aqua MODIS, however. The Eastern US exhibits similar correlation compared with that found above from CALIOP, but drops off to about ~0.5 for the Pacific US. Clearly, CALIOP and Aqua MODIS retrievals behave similarly for the Eastern US, but CALIOP performance is much better than Aqua MODIS over the Pacific.





Figures 11a and b depict the same analyses as in Fig. 10, but now for the daily analysis of PM_{2.5}/CALIOP/Aqua MODIS. Correlations are reduced for each time zone, compared with the hourly results. As was shown in Fig. 10, CALIOP and Aqua MODIS exhibit similar correlations with daily PM_{2.5} for the Eastern US, but daily PM_{2.5}/CALIOP 5 correlations are better than daily PM_{2.5}/Aqua MODIS correlations for the Pacific US.

CALIOP near-surface extinction/hourly $PM_{2.5}$ relationships represent the most consistent correlations solved in this study. However, more research is necessary to advance our understanding of the relationship between actively-profiled aerosol optical properties and $PM_{2.5}$. This is particularly important since studies have reported significant uncertainties in CALIOP AOD and extinction data (e.g., Schuster et al., 2012; Omar et al., 2013), especially for values lower than 200 ma.g.l., which are clearly critical to resolving the most optimal CALIOP extinction/ $PM_{2.5}$ relationship. Note, how-

ever, that aside from ground contamination issues described above, Campbell et al. (2012a, b) argue for an additional QA step of removing CALIOP profiles from bulk av erages where no aerosol extinction is retrieved below 200 m to limit the effects of signal pulse attenuation. This effect may be further contributing to lower skill at these heights. Further, additional analysis can be further explored where the top height of the surface-detached mixed aerosol layer is known. This constraint was not considered here, and

is outside the general scope of our investigation.

20 6 Conclusions

10

25

Surface measurements of particulate matter with diameters less than $2.5 \,\mu m \,(PM_{2.5})$ are a frequent tool used to evaluate air quality in urban areas. Past studies have investigated the ability of using aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrievals from passive satellite sensors as proxies for $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations. Extending from past efforts, this study explores the impact of passive satellite AOD data quality and satellite-derived surface-to-column aerosol representativeness on the $PM_{2.5}$ /AOD relationship for a two-year pe-





riod (2008-2009). With a focus on the United States, passive AOD operational Level-

- 2 retrievals from Aqua/Terra Collection 5.1 Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) and Version 22 Multi-angle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) are temporally and spatially collocated for an hourly comparison with PM_{2.5} measurements. Next, operational and data assimilation (DA) quality Aqua/Terra MODIS and MISR AOD
- ⁵ datasets are analyzed against PM_{2.5} on a daily temporal scale to reveal the effects that AOD data quality can exhibit with respect to PM_{2.5}/AOD correlations. The representativeness of surface aerosol particle concentration to that of the entire column, as well as the correlation between surface AOD and total column AOD, are investigated using observations from Cloud-Aerosol Lidar with Orthogonal Polarization (CALIOP). CALIOP
- $_{10}\,$ is then used to examine the relationship between near surface aerosol extinction and $\text{PM}_{2.5}.$

The conclusions of this study are summarized as follows:

- 1. Application of aggressive QA procedures to passive satellite AOD retrievals increases their correlation with $PM_{2.5}$ for all of the CONUS.
- 15 2. Correlations remain low even with aggressive QA.
 - Near-surface extinction (below 500 m a.g.l.), as measured by CALIOP, is not well representative of total column-integrated extinction (i.e., AOD). Regionally, nearsurface aerosols are more representative of total column AOD in the Eastern US than in the Western US.
- 4. Correlations between near-surface CALIOP 0.532 µm extinction and hourly PM_{2.5} observations are better than can be achieved with passive AOD retrievals. However, with fewer than 100 pairs of collocated PM_{2.5} and CALIOP extinction data points used, such a finding is tenuous. Additional studies are needed to further explore the possibility of accurately estimating PM_{2.5} concentrations from surface extinction derived from active sensors.

In this paper, we have demonstrated that estimation of $\rm PM_{2.5}$ concentrations from satellite retrieved AOD is limited by both the quality of satellite AOD retrievals as well as the





representativeness of column-integrated AOD to near surface AOD. The use of near surface extinction measurements from active sensors, such as CALIOP, may provide a better PM_{2.5} relationship for operational estimates. However, ground contamination for near-surface CALIOP measurements and the effects of humidity on aerosol optical properties need further investigation. Still, satellite derived aerosol properties are of much value to PM_{2.5} studies, especially with the synergistic use of passive and active aerosol-sensitive observations, and through assimilating these quality-assured data into air-quality focused numerical models for future PM_{2.5} monitoring and forecasts.

Acknowledgements. This research was funded through the support of the Office of Naval Re search Code 322 and the NASA Interdisciplinary Science Program. Author JRC acknowledges the support of NASA Interagency Agreement NNG13HH10I on behalf of the Micropulse Lidar Network and NASA Radiation Sciences Program. Author YS acknowledges the support of the NASA Earth and Space Science Fellowship (NESSF) Program. CALIPSO and MISR data were obtained from the NASA Langley Research Center Atmospheric Science Data Center. MODIS
 data were obtained from NASA Goddard Space Flight Center. The DA-quality MODIS data

were obtained from the Global Ocean Data Assimilation Experiment (GODAE) server.

References

- Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., White, W. H., and McMurry, P. H.: Comment on "Light scattering and cloud condensation nucleus activity of sulfate aerosol measured over the
- ²⁰ Northeast Atlantic Ocean" by D. A. Hegg et al., J. Geophys. Res., 99, 25947–25949, doi:10.1029/94JD02608, 1994.
 - Anderson, T. L., Charlson, R. J., Winker, D. M., Ogren, J. A., and Holmén, K.: Mesoscale variations of tropospheric aerosols, J. Atmos. Sci., 60, 119–136, 2003.
 - Boyouk, N., Léon, J. F., Delbarre, H., Podvin, T., and Deroo, C.: Impact of the mixing boundary
- layer on the relationship between PM_{2.5} and aerosol optical thickness, Atmos. Environ., 44, 271–277, 2010.
 - Campbell, J. R., Tackett, J. L., Reid, J. S., Zhang, J., Curtis, C. A., Hyer, E. J., Sessions, W. R., Westphal, D. L., Prospero, J. M., Welton, E. J., Omar, A. H., Vaughan, M. A., and Winker, D. M.: Evaluating nighttime CALIOP 0.532 µm aerosol optical depth and extinc-



tion coefficient retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 5, 2143–2160, doi:10.5194/amt-5-2143-2012, 2012a.

- Campbell, J. R., Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Zhang, J., Tackett, J. L., Chew, B. N., Welton, E. J., Shimizu, A., Sugimoto, N., Aoki, K., and Winker, D. M.: Characterizing the verti-
- cal profile of aerosol particle extinction and linear depolarization over southeast Asia and the maritime continent: the 2007–2009 view from CALIOP, Atmos. Res., 122, 520–543, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2012.05.007, 2012b.
 - Chu, D. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Zibordi, G., Chern, J. D., Mao, J., Li, C., and Holben, B. N.: Global monitoring of air pollution over land from the Earth Observing System-Terra
- ¹⁰ Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), J. Geophys. Res., 108, 4661, doi:10.1029/2002JD003179, 2003.
 - Diner, D. J., Beckert, J. C., Reilly, T. H., Bruegge, C. J., Conel, J. E., Kahn, R. A., Martonchik, J. V., Ackerman, T. P., Davies, R., Gerstl, S. A. W., Gordon, H. R., Muller, J.-P., Myneni, R. B., Sellers, P. J., Pinty, B., and Verstraete, M. M.: Multi-angle Imaging SpectroRadiometer (MISR)
- ¹⁵ instrument description and experiment overview, IEEE T. Geosci. Remote, 36, 1072–1087, 1998.
 - Engel-Cox, J. A., Holloman, C. H., Coutant, B. W., and Hoff, R. M.: Qualitative and quantitative evaluation of MODIS satellite sensor data for regional and urban scale air quality, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2495–2509, 2004.
- ²⁰ Engel-Cox, J. A., Hoff, R. M., Rogers, R., Dimmick, F., Rush, A. C., Szykman, J., Al-Saadi, J., Chu, D. A., and Zell, E. R.: Integrating lidar and satellite optical depth with ambient monitoring for 3-dimensional particulate characterization, Atmos. Environ., 40, 8056–8067, 2006.
 - Federal Register: National ambient air quality standards for particulate matter. Final Rule Federal Register/vol. 62, no. 138/Friday, 18 July 1997/Final Rule, 40 CFR Part 50, 1997.
- Federal Register: National ambient air quality standards for particulate matter. Proposed Rule Federal Register/vol. 71, no. 10/Tuesday, January 17, 2006/Proposed Rules, 40 CFR Part 50, 2006.

30

- Gupta, P., Christopher, S. A., Wang, J., Gehrig, R., Lee, Y. C., and Kumar, N.: Satellite remote sensing of particulate matter and air quality over global cities, Atmos. Environ., 40, 5880–5892, 2006.
- Hanel, G.: The properties of atmospheric aerosol particles as functions of relative humidity at thermodynamic equilibrium with surrounding moist air, Adv. Geophys., 19, 73–188, 1976.





Back Close Full Screen / Esc Interactive Discussion

Hegg, D. A., Ferek, R. J., and Hobbs, P. V.: Light scattering and cloud condensation nucleus activity of sulfate aerosol measured over the northeast Atlantic Ocean, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 14887–14894, doi:10.1029/93JD01615, 1993.

Hoff, R. M. and Christopher, S. A.: Remote sensing of particulate pollution from space: have we reached the promised land?, J. Air Waste Manage., 59, 645-675, 2009.

5

10

25

30

- Hu, Z.: Spatial analysis of MODIS aerosol optical depth, PM_{2.5}, and chronic coronary heart disease, Int. J. Health Geogr., 8, 27, doi:10.1186/1476-072X-8-27, 2009.
- Hunt, W. H., Winker, D. M., Vaughan, M. A., Powell, K. A., Lucker, P. L., and Weimer, C.: CALIPSO lidar description and performance assessment, J. Atmos. Ocean. Tech., 26, 1214-1228, doi:10.1175/2009JTECHA1223.1, 2009.
- Hutchison, K. D.: Applications of MODIS satellite data and products for monitoring air quality in the state of Texas, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2403-2412, 2003.
- Hyer, E. J. and Chew, B. N.: Aerosol transport model evaluation of an extreme smoke episode in Southeast Asia, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1422-1427, 2010.
- ¹⁵ Hyer, E. J., Reid, J. S., and Zhang, J.: An over-land aerosol optical depth data set for data assimilation by filtering, correction, and aggregation of MODIS Collection 5 optical depth retrievals, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 379–408, doi:10.5194/amt-4-379-2011, 2011.
 - Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Martonchik, J. V., Diner, D. J., Crean, K. A., and Holben, B. N.: Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR) global aerosol optical depth validation based
- on 2 years of coincident Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET) observations, J. Geophys. 20 Res., 110, D10S04, doi:10.1029/2004JD004706, 2005.
 - Kahn, R. A., Gaitley, B. J., Garay, M. J., Diner, D. J., Eck, T., Smirnov, A., and Holben, B. N.: Multiangle Imaging SpectroRadiometer global aerosol product assessment by comparison with Aerosol Robotic Network, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D23209, doi:10.1029/2010JD014601, 2010.
 - Kittaka, C., Winker, D. M., Vaughan, M. A., Omar, A., and Remer, L. A.: Intercomparison of column aerosol optical depths from CALIPSO and MODIS-Aqua, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 131-141, doi:10.5194/amt-4-131-2011, 2011.

Kumar, N., Chu, A., and Foster, A.: An empirical relationship between PM_{2.5} and aerosol optical depth in Delhi Metropolitan, Atmos. Environ., 41, 4492-4503, 2007.

Liu, Y., Park, R. J., Jacob, D. J., Li, Q., Kilaru, V., and Sarnat, J. A.: Mapping annual mean ground-level PM2.5 concentrations using Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer aerosol



Discu

Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Pape

optical thickness over the contiguous United States, J. Geophys. Res., 109, D22206, doi:10.1029/2004JD005025, 2004.

Liu, Y., Franklin, M., Kahn, R., and Koutrakis, P.: Using aerosol optical thickness to predict ground-level PM_{2.5} concentrations in the St Louis area: a comparison between MISR and MODIS, Remote Sens. Environ., 107, 33–44, 2007.

5

20

- Omar, A. H., Winker, D. M., Tackett, J. L., Giles, D. M., Kar, J., Liu, Z., Vaughan, M. A., Powell, K. A., and Trepte, C. R.: CALIOP and AERONET aerosol optical depth comparisons: one size fits none, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 4748–4766, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50330, 2013.
- Paciorek, C., Liu, Y., Moreno-Macias, H., and Kondragunta, S.: Spatio-temporal associations
 between GOES aerosol optical depth retrievals and ground-level PM_{2.5}, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 5800–5806, 2008.
 - Pope III, C. A., Burnett, R. T., Thun, M. J., Calle, E. E., Krewski, D., Ito, K., and Thurston, G. D.: Lung cancer, cardiopulmonary mortality, and long-term exposure to fine particulate air pollution, J. Am. Med. Assoc., 287, 1132–1141, 2002.
- Prados, A. I., Kondragunta, S., Ciren, P., and Knapp, K. R.: GOES Aerosol/Smoke Product (GASP) over North America: comparisons to AERONET and MODIS observations, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D15201, doi:10.1029/2006JD007968, 2007.
 - Remer, L. A., Kaufman, Y. J., Tanre, D., Mattoo, S., Chu, D. A., Martins, J. V., Li, R.-R., Ichoku, C., Levy, R. C., Kleidman, R. G., Eck, T. F., Vermote, E., and Holben, B. N.: The MODIS aerosol algorithm, products, and validation, J. Atmos. Sci., 62, 947–973, 2005.
- Schuster, G. L., Vaughan, M., MacDonnell, D., Su, W., Winker, D., Dubovik, O., Lapyonok, T., and Trepte, C.: Comparison of CALIPSO aerosol optical depth retrievals to AERONET measurements, and a climatology for the lidar ratio of dust, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 7431–7452, doi:10.5194/acp-12-7431-2012, 2012.
- ²⁵ Schwartz, J. and Neas, L. M.: Fine particles are more strongly associated than coarse particles with acute respiratory health effects in school children, Epidemiology, 11, 6–10, 2000.
 - Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Holben, B., Hyer, E. J., and Curtis, C.: An analysis of the collection 5 MODIS over-ocean aerosol optical depth product for its implication in aerosol assimilation, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 557–565, doi:10.5194/acp-11-557-2011, 2011a.
- Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Liu, B., and Deshmukh, R.: Multi-sensor Analysis on Data-Assimilation-Quality MISR Aerosol Products, Abstract A53C-0358 presented at 2011 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 5–9 December, 2011b.





- Shi Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Liu, B., and Deshmukh, R.: Critical evaluation of cloud contamination in MISR aerosol product using collocated MODIS aerosol and cloud products, Abstract A13J-0310, presented at 2012 Fall Meeting, AGU, San Francisco, Calif., 3–7 December, 2012.
- ⁵ Shinozuka, Y., Clarke, A. D., Howell, S. G., Kapustin, V. N., McNaughton, C. S., Zhou, J., and Anderson, B. E.: Aircraft profiles of aerosol microphysics and optical properties over North America: aerosol optical depth and its association with PM_{2.5} and water uptake, J. Geophys. Res., 112, D12S20, doi:10.1029/2006JD007918, 2007.

Stephens, G. L., Vane, D. G., Boain, R. J., Mace, G. G., Sassen, K., Wang, Z., Illingworth,

A. J., O'Connor, E. J., Rossow, W. B., Durden, S. L., Miller, S. D., Austin, R. T., Benedetti, A., and Mitrescu, C.: The CloudSat mission and the A-Train: a new dimension of spacebased observations of clouds and precipitation, B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 83, 1771–1790, doi:10.1175/BAMS-83-12-1771, 2002.

Toth, T. D., Zhang, J., Campbell, J. R., Reid, J. S., Shi, Y., Johnson, R. S., Smirnov, A.,

¹⁵ Vaughan, M. A., and Winker, D. M.: Investigating enhanced Aqua MODIS aerosol optical depth retrievals over the mid-to-high latitude Southern Oceans through intercomparison with co-located CALIOP, MAN, and AERONET data sets, J. Geophys. Res. Atmos., 118, 4700– 4714, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50311, 2013.

van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., and Park, R. J.: Estimating ground-level PM_{2.5} using aerosol

²⁰ optical depth determined from satellite remote sensing, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D21201, doi:10.1029/2005JD006996, 2006.

van Donkelaar, A., Martin, R. V., Brauer, M., Kahn, R., Levy, R., Verduzco, C., and Villeneuve, P. J.: Global estimates of ambient fine particulate matter concentrations from satellite-based aerosol optical depth: development and application, Environ. Health Persp., 118, 847–855, 2010.

Wang, J. and Christopher, S. A.: Intercomparison between satellite derived aerosol optical thickness and PM_{2.5} mass: implications for air quality studies, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2095, doi:10.1029/2003/GL018174, 2003.

25

30

Winker, D. M., Hunt, W. H., and McGill, M. J.: Initial performance assessment of CALIOP, Geophys. Res. Lett., 34, L19803, doi:10.1029/2007GL030135, 2007.

Winker, D. M., Tackett, J. L., Getzewich, B. J., Liu, Z., Vaughan, M. A., and Rogers, R. R.: The global 3-D distribution of tropospheric aerosols as characterized by CALIOP, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 3345–3361, doi:10.5194/acp-13-3345-2013, 2013.





Zhang, J. and Reid, J. S.: MODIS aerosol product analysis for data assimilation: assessment of over-ocean level 2aerosol optical thickness retrievals, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D22207, doi:10.1029/2005JD006898, 2006.

Zhang, J., Christopher, S. A., and Holben, B. N.: Intercomparison of smoke aerosol optical

- thickness derived from GOES 8 imager and ground-based Sun photometers, J. Geophys. Res., 106, D7, doi:10.1029/2000JD900540, 2001.
 - Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Baker, N. L., and Hyer, E. J.: A system for operational aerosol optical depth data assimilation over global oceans, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D10208, doi:10.1029/2007JD009065, 2008.
- ¹⁰ Zhang, J., Campbell, J. R., Reid, J. S., Westphal, D. L., Baker, N. L., Campbell, W. F., and Hyer, E. J.: Evaluating the impact of assimilating CALIOP-derived aerosol extinction profiles on a global mass transport model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L14801, doi:10.1029/2011GL047737, 2011.





Table 1. Correlation coefficients and data counts of the 40 km average operational Aqua/Terra MODIS and MISR AOD/hourly $PM_{2.5}$ collocation analyses for the Eastern, Central, Mountain, and Pacific time zones and Continental United States total for the entire two-year (2008–2009) study period, December through May 2008–2009 (DJFMAM), and June through November 2008–2009 (JJASON).

Dataset		Operational Aqua MODIS		Operationa	al Terra MODIS	Operational MISR		
		R value	Data Count	R value	Data count	R value	Data count	
Eastern	All	0.57	2081	0.47	2748	0.42	614	
	DJFMAM	0.49	477	0.39	566	0.11	154	
	JJASON	0.57	1551	0.50	2001	0.50	408	
Central	All	0.27	1765	0.22	2005	0.22	447	
	DJFMAM	0.11	335	0.14	346	0.16	112	
	JJASON	0.38	1330	0.28	1511	0.26	304	
Mountain	All	0.19	1369	0.12	1632	0.10	391	
	DJFMAM	-0.08	215	0.09	250	0.16	95	
	JJASON	0.30	1136	0.17	1354	0.20	277	
Pacific	All	0.15	3832	0.22	3873	0.11	903	
	DJFMAM	0.08	1064	0.21	1047	0.15	269	
	JJASON	0.26	2560	0.21	2564	0.29	539	
Contiguous US	All	0.19	9047	0.22	10 258	0.15	2355	
-	DJFMAM	0.03	2091	0.12	2209	0.07	630	
	JJASON	0.34	6577	0.25	7430	0.27	1528	



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Table 2. Correlation coefficients and data counts of the daily $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ average operational DA Aqua/Terra MODIS and MISR AOD/daily PM_{2.5} collocation analyses for the Eastern, Central, Mountain, and Pacific time zones and Continental United States total for the entire two-year (2008–2009) study period, December through May 2008–2009 (DJFMAM), and June through November 2008–2009 (JJASON).

Dataset		Aqua MODIS			Terra MODIS			MISR					
			Operational		DA		Operational		DA Ope		erational		DA
		R value	Data Count	R value	Data Count	R value	Data Count	R value	Data Count	R value	Data Count	R value	Data Count
Eastern	All	0.40	76 194	0.50	29 682	0.38	80810	0.51	38 725	0.32	15 526	0.50	10 949
	DJFMAM	0.23	30 6 1 5	0.31	12 180	0.23	32 492	0.35	15 166	0.20	6819	0.37	4829
	JJASON	0.45	43 837	0.56	17 123	0.44	45839	0.55	22 723	0.37	8194	0.55	5750
Central	All	0.39	39 942	0.47	18 584	0.36	40824	0.51	21 084	0.30	8396	0.46	6256
	DJFMAM	0.27	15892	0.31	7507	0.22	15853	0.29	8130	0.23	3536	0.35	2549
	JJASON	0.45	23217	0.55	10 708	0.44	23979	0.57	12 506	0.33	4649	0.53	3551
Mountain	All	0.09	14 160	0.21	5007	0.07	15597	0.13	6313	0.04	3455	0.06	2489
	DJFMAM	0.06	4788	0.00	1180	0.04	5258	-0.04	1463	-0.01	1385	-0.05	782
	JJASON	0.13	9178	0.30	3775	0.13	10078	0.29	4793	0.12	1974	0.16	1659
Pacific	All	0.13	21 871	0.33	11 446	0.12	22 4 0 5	0.33	11 470	0.16	4639	0.27	3625
	DJFMAM	0.00	9110	0.08	4218	-0.03	9308	0.08	4265	0.06	2047	0.16	1509
	JJASON	0.24	12310	0.44	7107	0.24	12470	0.43	7011	0.27	2431	0.37	2025
Contiguous US	All	0.31	152 167	0.43	64719	0.29	159636	0.45	77 592	0.26	32 0 1 6	0.40	23 3 19
	DJFMAM	0.15	60 405	0.21	25 085	0.12	62911	0.22	29 024	0.15	13787	0.26	9669
	JJASON	0.40	88 542	0.52	38713	0.39	92366	0.52	47 033	0.34	17 248	0.48	12985





Discussion Pa	AC 13, 31635–	PD 31671, 2013							
per Discussion	Impact of a ai surface-t represent T. D. To	Impact of data quality and surface-to-column representativeness T. D. Toth et al.							
Paper	Title	Page							
—	Abstract	Introduction							
Disc	Conclusions	References							
ussion	Tables	Figures							
Pap		▶1							
θŗ									
_	Back	Close							
)iscussio	Full Scr	Full Screen / Esc							
on P	Printer-frie	Printer-friendly Version							
aper	Interactive	Interactive Discussion							



Spheric layers.
CALIOP Extinction Layer Uncorrected CALIOP Extinction Dry Mass CALIOP Extinction

Table 3. Two-year (2008–2009) correlation coefficients of hourly $PM_{2.5}$ observations and 40 km average CALIOP extinction (both uncorrected and dry mass) at various 100 m a.g.l. atmo-

	Eastern	Pacific	Eastern	Pacific
0–100 m	0.35	0.72	0.33	0.71
100–200 m	0.62	0.73	0.66	0.72
200–300 m	0.57	0.72	0.69	0.74
300–400 m	0.54	0.61	0.63	0.59
400–500 m	0.69	0.58	0.70	0.56









Fig. 2. Two-year (2008–2009) scatterplots of operational Terra MODIS (in light blue) and MISR (in red) AOD, averaged within 40 km of each respective $PM_{2.5}$ -monitoring site, vs. hourly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations for the **(a)** Eastern and **(b)** Pacific US time zones. Also plotted are averages of $PM_{2.5}$ for each 0.1 AOD bin, represented with triangles (in dark blue) for Terra MODIS and squares (in orange) for MISR. Error bars (±1 standard deviation) for the bin averages are also shown.



























Fig. 6. Two-year (2008–2009) 1° × 1° average CALIOP 0.532 µm extinction, relative to the number of cloud free 5 km CALIOP profiles in each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ bin, for atmospheric layers a.g.l. of (a) 0-500 m, (b) 500-1500 m, (c) 1500-2500 m, and (d) 2500-3500 m.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper





Fig. 7. Two-year (2008–2009) $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ average contribution percentage of 0 to 500 m a.g.l. integrated CALIOP extinction to total column AOD (at 0.532 µm), relative to the number of cloud free CALIOP profiles in each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ bin, for the Continental United States.



Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper



Fig. 8. From 2006–2011, fraction of CALIOP integrated 0.532 μm extinction below 500 ma.g.l. for the Continental United States.







Fig. 9. Two-year (2008–2009) $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ average **(a)** contribution percentage of above 2 kma.g.l. CALIOP AOD to total column AOD (at 0.532 µm) and **(b)** frequency of occurrence of AOD above 2 kma.g.l., both relative to the number of cloud-free CALIOP profiles in each $1^{\circ} \times 1^{\circ}$ bin, for the Continental United States.





Fig. 10. For the Eastern (in blue) and Pacific (in red) US Time zones, two-year (2008–2009) scatterplots of hourly $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations vs. **(a)** cloud-free 5 km CALIOP dry mass 0.532 µm extinction at the 200–300 ma.g.l. layer, and **(b)** operational Aqua MODIS AOD, both averaged within 40 km and the hour of each respective $PM_{2.5}$ measurement.





Discussion Paper **ACPD** 13, 31635-31671, 2013 Impact of data quality and surface-to-column Discussion Paper representativeness T. D. Toth et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction **Discussion** Paper Conclusions References Figures **Tables** Back Close **Discussion** Paper Full Screen / Esc **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Fig. 11. For the Eastern (blue) and Pacific (red) US Time zones, two-year (2008–2009) scatterplots of daily $PM_{2.5}$ concentrations vs. **(a)** cloud-free 5 km CALIOP dry mass 0.532 µm extinction at the 200–300 ma.g.l. layer (averaged within 100 km), and **(b)** operational Aqua MODIS AOD (averaged within 1°) and the day of each respective $PM_{2.5}$ measurement.