Ozone vegetation damage effects on gross primary productivity in the United States
 Xu Yue and Nadine Unger
 School of Forestry and Environmental Studies, Yale University, 195 Prospect Street,

5 New Haven, CT 06511

6 Correspondence to: Xu Yue (<u>xu.yue@yale.edu</u>)

7

## 8 Abstract

9

10 We apply an off-line process-based vegetation model (the Yale Interactive Terrestrial Biosphere model) to assess the impacts of ozone  $(O_3)$  vegetation damage on gross 11 12 primary productivity (GPP) in the United States during the past decade (1998-2007). The 13 model's GPP simulation is evaluated at 40 sites of the North American Carbon Program 14 (NACP) synthesis. The ecosystem-scale model version reproduces interannual variability 15 and seasonality of GPP at most sites, especially in croplands. Inclusion of the O<sub>3</sub> damage 16 impact decreases biases of simulated GPP at most of the NACP sites. The simulation with the O<sub>3</sub> damage effect reproduces 64% of the observed variance in summer GPP and 17 42% on the annual average. Based on a regional gridded simulation over the U.S., 18 summertime average O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP is 6.1 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (9.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in the East of 19 95°W and 3.9 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in the West). O<sub>3</sub> damage decreases GPP by 4-8% on average 20 in the eastern U.S. and leads to significant decreases of 11-17% in east coast hotspots. 21 22 Sensitivity simulations show that a 25% decrease in surface O<sub>3</sub> concentration halves the 23 average GPP damage to only 2-4% suggesting the substantial co-benefits to ecosystem 24 health that may be achieved via O<sub>3</sub> air pollution control.

25

26 Keywords: Ozone, gross primary productivity, photosynthesis, stomatal conductance

27

- 29
- 30

#### 31 **1 Introduction**

32

33 The effects of tropospheric ozone  $(O_3)$  damage on U.S. forests have been studied for half 34 a century (Karnosky et al., 2007), but the impacts of O<sub>3</sub> on the North American carbon 35 balance are still relatively poorly understood (Felzer et al., 2004; Huntingford et al., 36 2011).  $O_3$  is a secondary pollutant produced in the troposphere during the photochemical 37 oxidation of carbon monoxide, methane, and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) by the 38 major tropospheric oxidant, the hydroxyl radical, in the presence of sunlight and nitrogen 39 oxides. Fossil-fuel, biofuel and biomass burning since the industrial and agricultural 40 revolutions have greatly increased the emissions of O<sub>3</sub> precursors and led to an 41 approximate doubling of  $O_3$  levels over the U.S. since the preindustrial. Deposition 42 through stomatal uptake is an important sink for O<sub>3</sub> but damages photosynthesis, reduces 43 plant growth and biomass accumulation, limits crop yields, and affects stomatal control 44 over plant transpiration of water vapor between the leaf surface and atmosphere 45 (Ainsworth et al., 2012; Hollaway et al., 2012).

46

47 Understanding the O<sub>3</sub> pollution influence on the North American forest sink is crucial to 48 any effort to mitigate climate change by stabilizing atmospheric carbon dioxide  $(CO_2)$ concentrations. Currently, North America is acting as a net source of CO2 to the 49 50 atmosphere (King et al., 2012). Sequestration of atmospheric CO<sub>2</sub> by forest ecosystems is 51 a major control on atmospheric  $CO_2$  abundance and its growth rate (Pan et al., 2011). 52 Terrestrial ecosystems of North America absorb the equivalent of about 35% of North 53 America's fossil fuel based CO<sub>2</sub> emissions, representing a source-to-sink ratio of nearly 54 3:1. Forest regrowth in the U.S. is responsible for 30-70% of this North American  $CO_2$ 55 sink, which varies significantly from year to year (Pacala et al., 2001; Goodale et al., 56 2002; Pan et al., 2011; King et al., 2012). Worse still, there is evidence that the summer 57 continental U.S. is more sensitive than other world regions to climate forcing (Levy et al., 58 2008).

60 Experimental studies that examine  $O_3$  impacts on plant productivity are typically 61 performed for individual vegetation types, on the scale of sites, and within a limited time

62 period (e.g. Wittig et al., 2007; Feng et al., 2008; Lombardozzi et al., 2013). For example, 63 based on measurements reported from over 100 studies, Wittig et al. (2007) estimated 64 that chronic O<sub>3</sub> exposure depressed photosynthesis by 11% and stomatal conductance by 13% for several tree species at the ambient  $O_3$  level of ~45 ppbv relative to that in  $O_3$ -65 66 free air. The  $O_3$  damage effect is strongest for crops. With datasets from ~50 peer-67 reviewed studies, Feng et al. (2008) estimated that elevated  $O_3$  levels significantly 68 decrease wheat photosynthetic rates by 20% and stomatal conductance by 22%. 69 Emerging research has found that the  $O_3$  vegetation damage effects may result in a loss of plant stomatal control, and a consequent decoupling of the stomatal response from 70 71 photosynthesis inhibition (Lombardozzi et al., 2012a, 2012b, 2013).

72

73 Previous work has found that in the U.S. region during 1989-1993, O<sub>3</sub> pollution reduced 74 net primary productivity (NPP) by 3-7% overall, and up to 13% in hotspots including the 75 southeast and in the Midwest agricultural lands (Felzer et al., 2004, 2005). The indirect 76  $CO_2$  radiative forcing due to the vegetation damage effects of anthropogenic  $O_3$  increases since the industrial revolution may be as large as  $+0.4 \text{ Wm}^{-2}$  (Sitch et al., 2007), which is 77 78 25% of the magnitude of the direct CO<sub>2</sub> radiative forcing over the same period, and of 79 similar magnitude to the direct O<sub>3</sub> radiative forcing. Through this perturbation of the 80 carbon cycle, O<sub>3</sub> pollution affects the climate system on considerably longer timescales 81 than its own atmospheric lifetime (Unger and Pan, 2012). Over the past decade since this 82 previous assessment surface O<sub>3</sub> levels in most of the U.S. have decreased (Lefohn et al., 83 2010) due to domestic emission reductions following the implementation of air quality 84 control legislation (Bloomer et al., 2010). However, increasing O<sub>3</sub> concentration is 85 observed over western U.S. (Jaffe and Ray, 2007). Such a trend may in part be related to 86 the inter-continental flow from Asia (Cooper et al., 2010) and the global increase in 87 methane (Rigby et al., 2008).

88

The major goal of this study is to assess O<sub>3</sub> damage effects on gross primary productivity (GPP) in the U.S. for the recent decade 1998-2007 using a data-constrained vegetation model. In this work, we describe the implementation of a semi-mechanistic O<sub>3</sub> damage function (Sitch et al., 2007) into the Yale Interactive Terrestrial Biosphere model (YIBs)

93 that includes enzyme-kinetic biophysics (Unger et al., 2013). In the first stage of the 94 study, we utilize eddy-derived GPP flux measurements at 40 sites across the U.S. and 95 Canada that have been collated for the North American Carbon Program (NACP) site-96 level interim synthesis (Huntzinger et al., 2012; Schaefer et al., 2012; Barr et al., 2013; 97 Ricciuto et al., 2013) to evaluate an off-line version of the vegetation model's site level 98 GPP simulation and to assess the impact of surface O<sub>3</sub> damage at those sites. In the 99 second stage of the study, the impacts of  $O_3$  damage on GPP throughout the entire U.S. 100 region are quantified using a regionally distributed configuration of the vegetation model.

- 101
- 102 2 Methodology and data
- 103

#### 104 **2.1 Vegetation biophysics**

105

106 Here, we apply an off-line version of the YIBs model that previously was implemented 107 into the NASA Goddard Institute for Space Studies global chemistry-climate model 108 (Unger et al., 2013). The off-line model can be run at the site-level or in distributed mode 109 for a designated region. The vegetation biophysics module computes the photosynthetic 110 uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> coupled with the transpiration of water vapor at the 1-hour physical 111 integration time step of the off-line model. The vegetation biophysics calculates C3 and 112 C4 photosynthesis using the well-established Michealis-Menten enzyme-kinetics leaf 113 model of photosynthesis (Farquhar et al., 1980; von Caemmerer and Farquhar, 1981) and 114 the stomatal conductance model of Ball and Berry (Collatz et al., 1991). The coupled 115 photosynthesis/stomatal conductance leaf model has been widely used to project 116 terrestrial biosphere responses to global change. The model is briefly summarized here 117 for transparency and completeness. The leaf model assumes that the rate of net CO<sub>2</sub> 118 assimilation  $(A_{net})$  in the leaves of C3 and C4 plants is limited by one of three processes: 119 (i) the capacity of the ribulose 1,5-bisphosphate (RuBP) carboxylase-oxygenase enzyme 120 (Rubisco) to consume RuBP  $(J_c)$ ; (ii) the capacity of the Calvin cycle and the thylakoid 121 reactions to regenerate RuBP supported by electron transport  $(J_e)$ ; (iii) the capacity of 122 starch and sucrose synthesis to consume triose phosphates and regenerate inorganic 123 phosphate for photo-phosphorylation in C3 and phosphoenolpyruvate (PEP) limitation in

124 C4 ( $J_s$ ).  $J_c$ ,  $J_e$ , and  $J_s$  are described as functions of the maximum carboxylation capacity 125 ( $V_{cmax}$ ) at the optimal temperature, 25°C, and the internal leaf CO<sub>2</sub> concentration ( $C_i$ ). The 126 gross rate of carbon assimilation from photosynthesis (A) is given by:

127 
$$A = \min(J_c, J_e, J_s) \tag{1}$$

128 Net carbon assimilation is given by:

130 where  $R_d$  is the rate of dark respiration:

131 
$$R_d = 0.015 \cdot V_{c_{\text{max}}}$$
 (3)

132 Leaf stomata control the uptake of CO<sub>2</sub> versus the loss of H<sub>2</sub>O. At equilibrium, the 133 stomatal conductance of water vapor through the leaf cuticle ( $g_s$  in mol [H<sub>2</sub>O] m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>) 134 depends on the net rate of carbon assimilation:

135 
$$g_s = m \frac{A_{net} \cdot RH}{c_s} + b = \frac{1}{r_s}$$
(4)

136 where *m* and *b* are the slope and intercept derived from empirical fitting to the Ball and Berry stomatal conductance equations, RH is relative humidity,  $c_s$  is the CO<sub>2</sub> 137 138 concentration at the leaf surface, and  $r_s$  is the stomatal resistance to water vapor. Appropriate photosynthesis parameters for the local vegetation type are taken from 139 140 (Friend and Kiang, 2005) and the Community Land Model (Oleson et al., 2010) with 141 updates from Bonan et al. (2011) (Table 1). In both the site-level and distributed models, 142 we apply these model PFT-specific photosynthesis parameters and do not tune or 143 calibrate to the local vegetation properties. The model calculates evapotranspiration as a 144 function of the stomatal conductance. However, we do not consider the feedback of the 145 changes in evapotranspiration to the boundary-layer meteorology because we use 146 prescribed meteorological variables from reanalyses in the simulations.

147

The canopy radiative transfer scheme assumes a closed canopy and layers the canopy for light stratification using an adaptive number of layers (typically 2-16) (Friend and Kiang, 2005). Each canopy layer distinguishes sunlit and shaded regions for which the direct and diffuse photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) is computed (Spitters et al., 1986). The coupled photosynthesis and stomatal conductance equations are solved analytically using a cubic function of  $A_{net}$ .  $C_i$  is calculated explicitly at the leaf level. Scaling of the leaf to 154 canopy level is through stratification of canopy light levels and leaf area profiles. The 155 photosynthetic uptake of  $CO_2$  is accumulated into a carbon reserve pool, from which 156 other processes may allocate uses.

157

#### 158 2.1.1 O<sub>3</sub> damage effect on photosynthesis

159

160  $O_3$  oxidizes cellular membranes and photosynthetic tissues when it enters leaves through 161 stomata, leading to reductions in photosynthesis and GPP.  $O_3$  damage inhibits stomatal 162 conductance, which is closely related to the photosynthetic rate, resulting in a reduction 163 in transpiration. A semi-mechanistic parameterization is employed to estimate the  $O_3$ 164 damage effects to both photosynthesis and stomatal conductance (Sitch et al., 2007). The 165 exposure to  $O_3$  leads to reductions in photosynthesis:

167 where F is the reduction fraction calculated as

$$168 F = 1 - a \cdot U_{>03T} (6)$$

where *a* is the O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity coefficient derived from observations. Two cases are examined: high and low O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity following Sitch et al. (2007).  $U_{>O3T}$  is the instantaneous leaf uptake of O<sub>3</sub> flux above a plant function type (PFT)-specific threshold of O3T (Table 1),

173 
$$U_{>O3T} = \max[(F_{O3} - O3T), 0]$$
 (7)

174 here  $F_{O3}$  is the O<sub>3</sub> flux entering the leaf through the stomata,

175 
$$F_{O3} = \frac{[O_3]}{r_b + \kappa \cdot r_s'}$$
 (8)

where  $[O_3]$  is the O<sub>3</sub> concentration at the top of the canopy,  $r_b$  is the boundary layer resistance. The stomatal resistance to O<sub>3</sub> is calculated based on stomatal resistance to water  $r_s$  with a ratio constant  $\kappa$ =1.67. From Equation (4), the decrease in  $A_{net}$  reduces the stomatal conductance  $g_s$  proportionally,

180 
$$r_{s}' = \frac{1}{g_{s}'} = \frac{1}{F \cdot g_{s}}$$
 (9)

181 The  $r_s$ ' and  $g_s$ ' are the O<sub>3</sub>-damaged stomatal resistance and conductance, respectively. When the plant is exposed to  $[O_3]$  (Equation 8), the excess  $O_3$  flux entering leaves 182 183 (Equation 7) causes F < 1 (Equation 6), decreasing  $A_{net}$  (Equation 5) while increasing the 184 stomatal resistance (Equation 9). The latter will act to reduce the  $O_3$  uptake flux 185 (Equation 8) to protect the plant. Thus, the scheme considers associated changes in both 186 photosynthetic rate and stomatal conductance. When photosynthesis is inhibited by  $O_{3}$ , the stomatal conductance decreases accordingly to resist more air passing through the 187 188 stomata, resulting in a decline of the oxidant fluxes inside leaves, as described through 189 equations (5)-(9). Consequently, this coupled scheme represents the equilibrium state 190 between the CO<sub>2</sub> demand for vegetation growth and the protection against O<sub>3</sub> damage by 191 plant. The parameters for the scheme, including the O<sub>3</sub> damage threshold and sensitivity 192 coefficients, were originally derived based on the calibration of the MOSES vegetation 193 model. Since the MOSES model employs the (almost) identical Farquhar-Ball-Berry 194 photosynthesis/stomatal conductance scheme as in the YIBs model, it is appropriate to 195 adopt the same parameters as those derived in Sitch et al. (2007) (Table 1). Evaluation of the YIBs simulated O3-induced GPP response with available field and laboratory 196 197 measurements across a range of PFTs in Section 3.4 indicates that our assumption is 198 reasonable.

199

#### 200 2.1.2 Vegetation structure

201

202 The YIBs vegetation model simulates eight PFTs, using either C3 or C4 photosynthesis 203 (Table 1). We apply two different sets of land cover and leaf area index (LAI) in the 204 simulations. The first set is the PFT-specified vegetation cover fraction and LAI retrieved 205 by the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS, Knyazikhin et al., 206 1998). The value on a specific day is linearly interpolated from the monthly means of the 207 nearest two months based on the distance of this day to the middle dates of those two 208 months. The second set uses LAI from the Global Modeling and Assimilation Office 209 (GMAO) Modern Era-Retrospective Analysis (MERRA) dataset. The MERRA LAI is 210 assimilated based on radiance data retrieved by over 20 satellites (Rienecker et al., 2011) 211 and is available on daily scale from 1980 onwards. Since the MERRA LAI dataset does not provide PFT-specific information, the actual site-level PFT is assumed for the site
level simulations. For the regional distributed simulations, the land cover is prescribed to
the gridded International Satellite Land-Surface Climatology Project (ISLSCP, Hall et al.,
2006).

216

#### 217 2.1.3 Meteorological forcing

218

For the site-level simulations, we use hourly *in situ* measurements of surface meteorological variables, including surface air temperature, specific humidity, wind speed, surface pressure, and  $CO_2$  concentrations. There are some missing values in the measurements due to occasional instrument failure. We gap-fill the site-based observations with that from the MERRA-land data (Reichle et al., 2011), which is interpolated to each site based on the site location.

225

For the distributed simulations, the off-line YIBs model uses hourly MERRA-land data climatic variables including: surface air temperature, specific humidity, wind speed, surface pressure, precipitation, direct PAR, and diffuse PAR, and soil temperature and soil moisture at 6 soil depths. The original data resolution of  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.667^{\circ}$  by latitude and longitude is degraded to  $1^{\circ} \times 1.333^{\circ}$  due to current disk space limitation.

231

## 232 **2.1.4 Surface [O<sub>3</sub>]**

233

234 Hourly and daily maximum 8-hour average surface [O<sub>3</sub>] representative of the present day 235 climate (~ 2005) are taken from previous simulations using NASA Model-E2 (Shindell et al., 2013). The global model has  $2^{\circ} \times 2.5^{\circ}$  latitude by longitude horizontal resolution with 236 237 40-vertical layers extending to 0.1 hPa. The gas-phase chemistry and aerosol modules are 238 fully integrated, so that these components interact with each other and with the physics of 239 the climate model (Bell et al., 2005; Shindell et al., 2006; Unger, 2011; Shindell et al., 240 2013). The model surface  $O_3$  is validated using measurements from 73 Clean Air Status 241 and Trends Network (CASTNET) sites operated by the Unitied States Environmental 242 Protection Agency (EPA) (http://epa.gov/castnet/javaweb/ozone.html) and ~1200

243 monitor sites managed by the EPA AIRDATA (<u>http://www.epa.gov/airdata/</u>). These sites 244 are operated on the county level scale. The CASTNET provides hourly [O<sub>3</sub>] at rural sites 245 from 1996-2005. The AIRDATA network provides daily maximum 8-hour average 246 (MDA8) [O<sub>3</sub>], covering both urban and rural regions. We use AIRDATA data for the 247 year 2005.

248

249 2.2 Simulations

250

- **251 2.2.1 Site-level runs**
- 252

253 We configure a site-level version of the YIBs model for the 40 eddy covariance flux 254 tower sites described in detail in the NACP synthesis (Fig. S1 and Appendix Table A, 255 Schaefer et al., 2012). Measurements are available for a wide range of time periods 256 across the different sites ranging from the minimum of 1 year at Fermi Lab (US-IB1) and 257 the maximum of 15 years at Harvard Forest (US-HA1). These sites cover a range of 258 different vegetation types including: evergreen needleleaf forest, deciduous broadleaf 259 forest, grasslands, croplands, closed shrublands, mixed forests, permanent wetlands, and 260 woody savannas. Table S1 summarizes how the NACP vegetation types are mapped onto 261 the 8 model PFTs. For the site-level simulations, we assume C4 photosynthetic pathway 262 for all cropland sites, which are mainly corn (Schaefer et al., 2012).

263

264 For each site, a group of six sensitivity simulations are performed (Table 2). We conduct 265 the first four runs using different combinations of meteorological and vegetation forcings, 266 to assess the sensitivity of the results to local versus renalaysis meterological forcing and 267 LAI (Table 2). Two, METmerra LAImodis and METmerra LAImerra, use hourly 268 meteorology from MERRA-land reanalyses alone. The other two, METsite LAImodis 269 and METsite LAImerra, use site-based meteorology with gap-filled MERRA reanalysis. 270 Simulations use two datasets of LAI: (1) METmerra LAImerra and METsite LAImerra 271 use LAI from the MERRA-land reanalyses, which provide non PFT-specific LAI that we 272 assign to the local PFT type at each site (Table A), while (2) METmerra LAImodis and 273 METsite LAImodis use PFT-specific LAI retrieved by the MODIS. We perform two

additional site-level simulations, which use the same forcings as that for METsite\_LAImerra but with the impact of O<sub>3</sub> uptake on photosynthesis. These two experiments, METsite\_LAImerra\_HO3 and METsite\_LAImerra\_LO3, use either high or low O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity as defined by the coefficient *a* in Table 1.

278

To quantify the performance of the vegetation model, we estimate the  $\chi^2$  for each site following the method described in Schaefer et al. (2012),

281 
$$\chi^2 = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \left(\frac{r_i}{\varepsilon_i}\right)^2$$
(10)

where

$$r_i = (GPP_{si} - GPP_{oi}) \tag{11}$$

is the difference between the pair of simulated and observed GPPs.  $\varepsilon_i$  are the observational uncertainties. *n* is the length of observations (e.g. the number of days for the daily variables). The lower the  $\chi^2$ , the smaller the model biases. If  $\chi^2 < 1$ , the simulation bias is on average smaller than the measurement uncertainty, indicating a good performance of the model. Here, we define a reasonable performance of  $\chi^2 < 4$ , when the residual is less than twice the measurement uncertainty. We also calculate the root mean square error (RMSE) as follows:

291 
$$RMSE = \sqrt{\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (GPP_{si} - GPP_{oi})^2}$$
 (12)

292

293 We validate the simulated O<sub>3</sub> damage effect with measurements from literature. Field and 294 laboratory experiments may have different [O<sub>3</sub>] compared to the ambient level we used 295 complicating the validation. As a result, we perform 14 additional sensitivity simulations 296 for each of NACP sites. All tests use meteorological and vegetation forcings the same as METsite LAImerra (Table 2), except for the different [O<sub>3</sub>] and O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity. These 297 298 experiments are divided into two groups, 7 in each, using either low or high O<sub>3</sub> 299 sensitivity. In each group, simulations are performed with constant  $[O_3]$  at 20, 40, 60, 80, 300 100, 120, 140 ppby, respectively. We do not include diurnal and seasonal variations of 301 [O<sub>3</sub>] in these sensitivity simulations as that in METsite LAImerra for two reasons. First, field measurements for the  $O_3$  vegetation damage are usually performed with fixed  $[O_3]$ during the growth season (e.g. Ishii et al., 2004; Zhang et al., 2012). Second, the diurnal cycles and seasonality of  $[O_3]$  are very different for different sites (Bloomer et al., 2010), making it difficult to apply a uniform temporal cycle for all the NACP sites. The reductions in GPP at these simulations are compared with results from field measurements at the corresponding  $[O_3]$  level.

308

## 309 2.2.2 Distributed run over U.S. region

310

A gridded version of the YIBs model at 1°×1.333° latitude by longitude horizontal 311 312 resolution for the U.S. region is driven with MERRA meteorological forcings for the 313 period 1998-2007. In the distributed model, vegetation cover types are from the ISLSCP 314 and LAI is form the MERRA-land reanalysis. We assign the MERRA LAI to the 315 corresponding PFT types defined by ISLSCP (Fig. S2). The 18 ISLSCP land types are 316 converted to 8 PFTs used in the model (Table S1). Some of the ISLSCP land types, such 317 as the deciduous needleleaf forest, are not represented in the YIBs model. However, the 318 coverage of these types is very small in the U.S. (Fig. S2) and will not influence the 319 regional simulation after the conversion to the model types. For the regional simulation, 320 we assume that the total crop area in each crop grid cell is split 50% C3 and 50% C4 to 321 account for the dominance of both soybean (C3) and corn (C4) crops in the central and 322 northern U.S. agricultural regime. We perform 2 simulation cases with high and low  $O_3$ 323 damage sensitivity. Finally, to understand how the  $O_3$  vegetation damage effect may 324 respond to possible future changes in [O<sub>3</sub>], we perform four additional sensitivity 325 experiments with  $\pm 25\%$  changes in [O<sub>3</sub>] for each O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity case.

- 326
- **327 3. Results**
- 328

## 329 **3.1 Evaluation of O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP at NACP sites**

330

331 We compare the monthly mean LAI from MERRA and MODIS at each NACP site (Fig.

332 1). For each site, the MERRA LAI is averaged for the period when GPP measurements

333 are available. The two datasets show similar annual cycles at several sites but are 334 inconsistent for 7 out of 20 evergreen sites (CA-Ca1, CA-Ca2, CA-Ca3, CA-NS1, US-335 Me2, US-Me3, and US-Me5) and 2 out of 5 shrubland sites (US-SO2 and US-Ton). In 336 addition, for grasslands and croplands, the datasets exhibit different seasonality, with 337 MERRA LAI near zero during the cold season in contrast to the MODIS LAI that is 338 positive all year round. It must be emphasized that the MERRA and MODIS LAI 339 represent the average state in the retrieval product grid cells and as such may not 340 represent the local LAI for the actual PFT species at each site. The local site LAI values 341 are not available for comparison. For example, for most of the evergreen NACP sites, the 342 MERRA and MODIS LAI indicate large seasonality.

343

344 The long-term monthly mean O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP from the simulation METsite LAImerra is 345 compared with observations at individual NACP sites (Fig. 2). Both the observation and 346 simulation are averaged over the measurement period at each site. The simulations 347 capture the magnitude and seasonality of GPP for most sites especially for deciduous 348 broadleaf and shrubland. The largest model overestimate (factor of 3-8) occurs at CA-SJ1 349 and CA-SJ2. For the grassland sites US-ARM (in Great Plains) and US-Var (in 350 California), the model maximum GPP occurs in summer (July), 2-3 months later than in 351 the measurements (April). This incorrect model seasonality is a result of the MERRA 352 LAI (compare Fig. 1) that does not begin to increase rapidly until after May and is not 353 consistent with the local LAI at the site. In reality, California grasslands exhibit rapid 354 growth in spring then mature and die after April or May (Chiariello, 1989). The 355 grasslands in the Great Plains may have up to six different phenological groups, including 356 some species active in spring (e.g. in US-ARM) while some others peak in summer (e.g. 357 in US-Shd) (Henebry, 2003). The correlation coefficient between simulated annual mean 358 GPP and observations at all 40 sites is 0.65. The correlation is higher (0.81) for summer (June-August, Fig. S3). The annual GPP averaged over all sites is 3.8 g C  $m^{-2}$  day<sup>-1</sup>, 27% 359 higher than the observational average  $(3.0 \text{ g C m}^{-2} \text{ day}^{-1})$ . 360

362 Among the 40 NACP sites, 22 have reasonable performance with  $\chi^2 < 4$  (Fig. 3a). The 363 simulation is the best ( $\chi^2 = 1.2$ ) at the evergreen needleleaf site US-Dk3 (Fig. 2). For the

364 top 10 sites with the lowest biases, 8 are based in evergreen needleleaf forests. These 365 sites usually have multiple years of measurements and provide good samples for testing 366 the consistency between simulations and observations. Simulations at 4 broadleaf forest, 4 cropland, and 3 shrubland sites have  $\chi^2 < 4$ , and the latter usually has low GPP whose 367 peak is not higher than 10 g C  $m^{-2}$  day<sup>-1</sup> (Fig. 2). Compared with the 24 land surface 368 models in Schaefer et al. (2012), the YIBs model shows significant improvement at the 369 crop PFT sites ( $\chi^2 < 4.1$  vs.  $\chi^2 > 6$ ). YIBs simulates GPP with  $\chi^2 < 4$  at 22 sites in total 370 compared to 16 sites for the ensemble simulations in Schaefer et al. (2012). YIBs GPP 371 simulation is weaker ( $\chi^2 > 4$ ) at 18 sites including 9 needleleaf forest, 2 broadleaf, and 2 372 373 shrubland PFTs. The common feature of the biases at these sites is the overestimation of 374 peak GPP during summer (e.g. CA-SJ1, CA-SJ2, CA-Mer, Fig. 2). It is possible that the 375 model does not represent the full realism of the biophysical processes accurately. 376 However, we assert that the most likely cause of the model overestimate is the uniform 377 application of model PFT-specific photosynthesis parameters that are not tuned to local 378 site level vegetation parameters and, for instance, do not take into account plant 379 speciation and age. Similar to the multi-model results in Schaefer et al. (2012), YIBs 380 performance is weakest at the 5 grassland sites. In this case, the bias is mainly due to the 381 delayed LAI seasonality in the MERRA satellite dataset (Figs. 1 and 2). In general, 382 application of the remotely sensed LAI is a source of error because the gridded satellite 383 data may not represent the local site changes in plant growth and phenology, especially 384 for vegetation types with low biomass. The limitation of the satellite LAI spatial 385 resolution implies that the model is unable to resolve GPP variability for sites in close 386 proximity. For example, sites CA-SJ1, CA-SJ2, and CA-SJ3 are located close to each 387 other. Simulations at these sites have similar magnitude in simulated GPP while 388 observations show distinct variability between the sites.

389

We compare  $R^2$ , RMSE, and  $\chi^2$  for the different sensitivity experiments in order to ascertain which combination of meteorological and LAI forcings best reproduces the measured GPP over North America (Table 3 and Fig. 4). CA-Let, CA-NS1, US-Var, CA-SJ1, and CA-SJ2 are excluded from the analysis because of the excessive bias at those sites (Fig. 3a). The average  $R^2$  increases while RMSE decreases when MERRA

395 reanalyses are substituted with site-based meteorology, or the MERRA LAI is used 396 instead of MODIS LAI (Table 3). The choice of LAI forcing has the most significant 397 impact on YIBs simulation performance consistent with previous work that demonstrated 398 the dominance of phenology over meteorology in controlling local terrestrial carbon 399 exchange (Desai et al., 2008; Puma et al., 2013). Using MODIS LAI, YIBs has a total  $\gamma^2$ of 9.2 that shows an average reduction of 4.7 (52%) with MERRA LAI (Table 3 and Fig. 400 401 4). Applying the site meteorology relative to MERRA meteorological forcings offers smaller improvements. For example, the total  $\chi^2$  value decreases by 5% in 402 METsite LAImodis compared with that in METmerra LAImodis and 15% in 403 404 METsite LAImerra relative to that in METmerra LAImerra (Table 3).

405

#### 406 **3.2 Evaluation of modeled surface [O<sub>3</sub>]**

407

408 We validate summertime surface O<sub>3</sub> simulated by the NASA Model-E2 chemistry-409 climate model with observations from the CASTNET and AIRDATA (Fig. 5). High O<sub>3</sub> 410 level appears in the eastern U.S. due to anthropogenic emissions and in the mountainous 411 western U.S. due to high elevation. The model generally captures this spatial pattern with 412 a correlation coefficient of 0.39 against observations over the selected 73 CASTNET 413 sites (Figs. 5a-b). The simulation overestimates the O<sub>3</sub> level by ~4 ppbv (12%) in the East 414 and ~1 ppbv (3%) in the West. The CASTNET sites are located in rural sites, which 415 usually have lower  $[O_3]$  than that in urban areas, except for some megacities where the 416 excessive  $NO_x$  emissions result in lower  $O_3$  level (Gregg et al., 2003). Therefore, we also 417 compare the simulated MDA8 [O<sub>3</sub>] with monitored at ~1200 AIRDATA sites, which 418 covers both urban and rural regions (Fig. 5c). In the eastern U.S., the model captures high 419 [O<sub>3</sub>] centers around Michigan, Indiana, and Ohio states and that along the northeast coast. 420 In the West, the simulation reproduces high [O<sub>3</sub>] over mountain regions and in California. 421 On average, the simulated MDA8  $[O_3]$  is lower by ~0.5 ppbv (1%) in the East and ~3.5 422 ppbv (7%) in the West. The correlation coefficient between simulations and observations 423 is as high as 0.51 (Fig. 5d).

424

#### 425 **3.3 O<sub>3</sub> damage effects at NACP sites**

427 We must apply the simulated  $O_3$  to quantify the  $O_3$  vegetation damage at the NACP sites 428 because the sites do not monitor local  $[O_3]$ . The summer average  $[O_3]$  is 30-50 ppbv at 24 429 U.S. sites (Fig. 6a). The O<sub>3</sub> damage effect is relatively stronger at sites with both high O<sub>3</sub>-430 free GPP and ambient [O<sub>3</sub>] (Fig. 6d). The most significant damages are predicted at US-431 MMS (DBF) and US-Dk3 (ENF) sites, where the GPP reductions are 5-14% depending 432 on the low or high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity (Fig. 6d). At these two sites, the high stomatal conductance (4.0 and 3.4 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 6b) and ambient  $[O_3]$  (both 43 ppby, Fig. 6a) result 433 in the largest  $O_3$  stomatal flux (both ~0.3 mmol m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 6c) among the 24 sites. The 434 435 lowest O<sub>3</sub> damage (1-2% GPP reduction) appears in the 3 shrub sites, US-Ton, US-SO2, 436 and US-Los, although mean  $[O_3]$  there is as high as 43 ppby. The main reason for the limited  $O_3$  damage is the low stomatal conductance (average 1.4 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 6b) related 437 to the small O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP (average 4.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> d<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 6d). Similarly, the O<sub>3</sub> damage for 438 439 C3 grass is as low as 1-2%, although the GPP of this plant is highly sensitive to  $O_3$  (Table 440 1). For needleleaf and broadleaf forest sites, the average site-level  $O_3$  damage effects are 441 estimated to be 2-5% and 3-9% respectively with differences between these ecosystem types predominantly driven by differences in sensitivity to  $O_3$ . The four C4 crop sites, 442 US-Ne1, US-IB1, US-Ne2, and US-Ne3, exhibit the highest O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP but show only 443 444 moderate O<sub>3</sub> damage effects (GPP reductions of 4-6%, Fig. 6d). This result is driven by 445 low ambient [O<sub>3</sub>] at the C4 crop sites (average 32 ppbv, Fig. 6a) in combination with the 446 reduced C4 stomatal conductance (higher water use efficiency) relative to C3 plants (average 3.2 mm s<sup>-1</sup>, Fig. 6b). Indeed, the C4 photosynthetic pathway has been observed 447 448 to offer protection against O<sub>3</sub> damage (Heagle et al., 1989; Rudorff et al., 1996).

449

Inclusion of  $O_3$  damage effect improves the site-level simulations (Figs. 3b-c). For 36 out of the 40 sites, the  $\chi^2$  of simulated GPP decreases when considering vegetation responses to  $O_3$ , and the improvement is better when higher  $O_3$  sensitivity is applied. At these sites, for example, CA-TP4, US-Dk3, US-MMS, and US-PFa, the reduced GPP at peak seasons is closer to measurements (Fig. S4), leading to smaller biases for simulations. On average, the  $\chi^2$  decreases by 3-8% at these sites, depending on the  $O_3$  sensitivity in the simulation (Fig. 4). Finally, the simulated annual GPP averaged over all NACP sites 457 changes from 3.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> to 3.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> with the high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity 458 simulation case, closer to the observations of 3.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. The bias-correction from 459 O<sub>3</sub> damage is much smaller relative to the effect of phenology (Fig. 4). Moreover, the O<sub>3</sub>-460 induced damage does not improve the GPP correlation between observations and 461 simulations, which remains similar at ~0.8 (for 40 sites) with and without O<sub>3</sub> effects (Fig. 462 S3).

463

# 464 **3.4 Evaluation of simulated O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage against field and laboratory data**465

466 We compare the simulated  $O_3$  damage effect with field and laboratory measurements 467 from the published literature (Fig. 7). In total, 14 additional sensitivity experiments are 468 performed with different levels of  $[O_3]$  at each NACP site (see section 2.2.1). GPP 469 reductions increase accordingly with the increasing  $[O_3]$  (Fig. 7). For a given  $[O_3]$ , the  $O_3$ 470 damage effect is strongest for C4 crops (despite the lower  $g_s:A_{net}$  ratio) but weakest for 471 shrubland. YIBs simulates reasonable O<sub>3</sub> damage to GPP for all model PFTs compared to 472 the meta-analyses of Wittig et al. (2007) and Lombardozzi et al. (2013). Field studies in 473 shrubland are limited. Zhang et al. (2012) investigated the responses of four shrub species 474 to  $[O_3]=70$  ppbv and found large reductions in net photosynthesis of 50-60%. The average O<sub>3</sub>-free  $A_{net}$  of those shrub species was 8-16 g [C] m<sup>-2</sup> s<sup>-1</sup>, much higher than even 475 the gross photosynthesis (A) of 6 g [C]  $\text{m}^{-2} \text{s}^{-1}$  at the shrub NACP sites, likely because the 476 477 latter are located in dry and/or cold areas (Fig. S1). The YIBs simulated O<sub>3</sub> vegetation 478 damage effects for C4 plants are in good agreement with field measurements from Taylor 479 et al. (2002) and Grantz et al. (2012). In the case of C3 grass and C3 crop, the model 480 simulates consistent GPP reduction percentages with observations from Feng et al. (2008) 481 for wheat, Foot et al. (1996) for colluna vulgaris, and Mulchi et al. (1992) for soybean. 482 However, these  $O_3$  damage results are all >50% less than for available measurements in 483 rice crops (Ishii et al., 2004; Ainsworth et al., 2008), suggesting that rice may have much 484 higher O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity than other C3 plants. In the U.S. rice plantation area is much smaller 485 than that of soybean and corn. Therefore, we adopt the  $O_3$  sensitivity parameters for 486 C3/C4 plants shown in Table 1 for the distributed regional simulations.

#### 488 **3.5 O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage effect on GPP in U.S. region**

489

490 High values of simulated summertime GPP (including O<sub>3</sub> damage effect) appear east of 491 95°W in the U.S. (Fig. 8a), because the land surface there is covered by crops and forests. 492 A high center of GPP (> 10 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>) appears over cropland in the north central U.S. In the western U.S., the coverage of grass and shrub and the low water availability (low 493 494 precipitation and soil moisture) over semi-arid regions lead to low carbon assimilation 495 rate. The regional gridded simulated GPP reproduces the JJA growing season average 496 NACP site-level fluxes with a correlation coefficient of 0.62 for 32 sites below 50°N 497 (Fig. 8a). The correlation is lower than the 0.84 estimated for the site-level simulation 498 METsite LAImerra at the same sites and the same season. Since the meteorological 499 forcings and LAI are similar, the difference in land cover, ISLSCP versus site definitions 500 (Fig. S1 and Fig. S2), accounts for the discrepancy between regional and site-level 501 simulations.

502

503 On average, the simulated summer GPP (including the high O<sub>3</sub> damage effect) is 9.5 g C  $m^{-2}$  day<sup>-1</sup> in the eastern U.S. and 3.9 g C  $m^{-2}$  day<sup>-1</sup> in the western U.S., giving a mean 504 value of 6.1 g C  $m^{-2}$  day<sup>-1</sup> for the U.S. region. The total carbon uptake is estimated to be 505 506  $4.43 \pm 0.18$  Pg C during the summer growing season, accounting for 57-60% of the 507 annual average value of  $7.59 \pm 0.25$  Pg C over the 1998-2007 period. Our estimate of 508 annual carbon uptake is consistent with previous published estimates. For example, Xiao 509 et al. (2010) upscaled site-level GPP flux to continental scale with a regression tree 510 approach based on both NACP fluxes and remote-sensing variables. They estimated that 511 the total GPP in U.S. ranges from 6.91 to 7.33 Pg C per year during 2000-2006. Using the 512 same observations but with a process-based biogeochemical model, Chen et al. (2011) 513 estimated a range of 7.02-7.78 Pg C per year for 2000-2005, which is even closer to our 514 estimate.

516 We calculate both  $O_3$  stomatal flux (Fig. 8b) and the resultant damage on GPP (Fig. 9) in 517 the U.S. region for the 1998-2007 period. High  $O_3$  stomatal flux is predicted in the 518 eastern U.S. due to co-location of the high GPP (medium to high stomatal conductance)

519 and the substantial ambient  $[O_3]$ . On average, the summertime  $O_3$  plant uptake is 117 umol  $m^{-2}$  dav<sup>-1</sup>, with 207 umol  $m^{-2}$  dav<sup>-1</sup> in the eastern U.S. and 59 umol  $m^{-2}$  dav<sup>-1</sup> in the 520 521 western U.S. Following the O<sub>3</sub> stomatal flux, the largest mean GPP reductions are 522 predicted for the eastern U.S. growing season, in the range 4-8% depending on the O<sub>3</sub> 523 sensitivity applied in the simulations (Fig. 9). Locally, reduction fraction reaches as high 524 as 11-17% in areas with high [O<sub>3</sub>] pollution, such as Michigan, Indiana, Ohio, and states 525 along the northeast coast. Despite high surface [O<sub>3</sub>] over mountainous elevated areas in 526 the West (Fig. 5), impacts on GPP are limited due to the low stomatal conductance and low photosynthetic rate there. The Pacific northwestern forests are an exception, with a 527 528 moderate GPP reduction of 1-7%. On average, the total summer GPP is reduced by 2-5% 529 due to O<sub>3</sub> damage effects in the U.S. Similar reduction fractions are predicted for the 530 annual GPP.

531

532 U.S. surface  $[O_3]$  exhibits a decreasing trend over the past 2 decades, especially in the 533 eastern U.S., due to precursor emission controls (Lefohn et al., 2010). However, the 534 community continues to debate how surface [O<sub>3</sub>] will respond to future emissions and climate change. On the one hand, surface  $[O_3]$  may decline by the mid 21<sup>st</sup> century due to 535 536 large reductions in regional anthropogenic precursor emissions (Wu et al., 2008). On the 537 other hand, climate change effects alone may increase local surface  $[O_3]$  due to the 538 warmer, drier, and more stable environment (Leibensperger et al., 2008; Wu et al., 2008). 539 Due to the uncertainty in future surface  $[O_3]$  projections, our strategy here is to perform 540 four additional sensitivity experiments with  $\pm 25\%$  changes in [O<sub>3</sub>] for each O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity case. Increases of 25% in [O<sub>3</sub>] may reduce GPP in the eastern U.S. by 6-11%, with a 541 542 maximum local reduction of 25% for the high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity case (Fig. 10d). The damage 543 magnitude with low O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity (Fig. 10b) mimics the present-day estimate with high 544 O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity (Fig. 9b). In contrast, the O<sub>3</sub> damage to the eastern U.S. GPP is as low as 2-4% in response to 25% decreases in [O<sub>3</sub>] (Figs. 10a, c), suggesting a substantial co-545 546 benefit to ecosystem-health of O<sub>3</sub> precursor emissions control.

547

#### 548 **4. Discussion and Conclusions**

550 We have performed an updated assessment of O<sub>3</sub> vegetation damage effects on GPP in 551 the U.S. for the 1998-2007 period using the YIBs vegetation model. The semi-552 mechanistic parameterization of O<sub>3</sub> inhibition on photosynthesis proposed by Sitch et al. 553 (2007) has been implemented into this process-based vegetation model. The simulated  $O_3$ 554 damage effects are consistent with laboratory and field measurements reported in 555 previously published studies. We evaluated the simulated O<sub>3</sub>-free and O<sub>3</sub>-damaged GPP 556 with in situ measurements from 40 NACP sites. The O<sub>3</sub>-free and O<sub>3</sub>-damaged GPP 557 simulations capture the seasonality and interannual variability of GPP at most sites. The 558 model GPP biases are lowest at forest and cropland sites but highest at grassland sites. 559 Model GPP is highly sensitive to choice of LAI forcing. Simulations that apply MERRA LAI generally perform better (show lower biases) than those with MODIS LAI. In 560 561 response to the simulated ambient [O<sub>3</sub>] of 30-50 ppbv, simulated GPP decreases by 1-562 14% at the NACP sites, depending on the O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity and PFT types. Maximum 563 reductions of 5-14% occur in two forest sites, where both  $O_3$ -free GPP and ambient  $[O_3]$ 564 are relatively high. Inclusion of the O<sub>3</sub> damage offers only a small improvement to the simulated annual average GPP at NACP sites (from 3.8 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> to 3.6 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> 565 <sup>1</sup>) such that the model still overestimates the observational average of 3.0 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup>. 566 567 The model GPP overestimate is most likely related to the use of generic PFT-specific 568 photosynthesis parameters and the satellite prescribed LAI that may not represent the 569 local site LAI. In this work, we assumed a coupled response between photosynthesis and 570 stomatal conductance. Emerging research has found that the  $O_3$  vegetation damage 571 effects can actually result in a loss of plant stomatal control, and a consequent decoupling 572 of the stomatal response from photosynthesis inhibition (Lombardozzi et al., 2012a, b). 573 Treatment of this decoupled response in the YIBs model would lead to a higher level of 574 O<sub>3</sub> flux entering leaves, thus causing stronger damage. Interestingly, this mechanism 575 would therefore provide a way to improve the simulated GPP overestimates. That said, 576 other studies have suggested that the  $O_3$  damage effect is limited by carbon-nitrogen 577 interactions (Ollinger et al., 2002; Kvalevag and Myhre, 2013).

579 Regional distributed simulations for the U.S. yield a summertime GPP (with high 580 sensitivity  $O_3$  damage) of 6.1 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> (9.5 g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in the eastern U.S. and 3.9

g C m<sup>-2</sup> day<sup>-1</sup> in the western U.S.). The total carbon uptake was estimated to be 4.43  $\pm$ 581 582 0.18 Pg C for the summer, accounting for 57-60% of the annual value of  $7.59 \pm 0.25$  Pg 583 C over the 1998-2007 period. Carbon assimilation rate is suppressed by 4-8% on average 584 in the summertime eastern U.S. with maximum local damage of 11-17% in states close to 585 the Great Lakes and along the eastern coast. When  $[O_3]$  is decreased by 25%,  $O_3$  damage 586 to GPP is only 2-4% in the eastern U.S., indicating substantial improvements to 587 vegetation health and carbon assimilation rate. Previously, Felzer et al. (2004) found 588 annual average O<sub>3</sub>-induced NPP reductions of 3-7% over the U.S. for 1989-1993 and 589 simulated the largest reductions in states close to the Great Lakes and along the East 590 Coast, where the high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity of crops makes the dominant contribution. Our study 591 examined  $O_3$  damage effects a decade later than Felzer et al. (2004) but gives consistent 592 results. Qualitatively, this consistency between decades may be explained by the 593 offsetting influences of (i) surface O<sub>3</sub> reductions due to air quality control legislation and 594 (ii) GPP increases due to CO<sub>2</sub>-fertilization and rising temperatures. Felzer et al. (2004) 595 estimated a maximum local NPP reduction of 34%, which is double the maximum of 596 17% in our analyses. Furthermore, Felzer et al. (2004) found widespread reductions of >6% in the Midwest where there is almost no O<sub>3</sub> impact in this study (Fig. 9). Differences 597 598 between the studies are mostly likely driven by the use of different vegetation cover and 599 LAI datasets, and the use of a semi-mechanistic flux-based uptake in this study versus the 600 concentration-based uptake method elsewhere.

601

602 The current work has used an off-line approach. Yet, the O<sub>3</sub>-vegetation-meteorology 603 system is strongly coupled. For instance, plant productivity itself controls the emission of 604 isoprene, a major O<sub>3</sub> precursor. The O<sub>3</sub>-induced modification to stomatal conductance 605 may inhibit evapotranspiration, leading to changes in canopy temperature, precipitation, 606 soil moisture, and other surface hydrology and meteorology (Bernacchi et al., 2007; 607 vanLoocke et al., 2012). In future work, we will study  $O_3$  vegetation damage effects 608 using YIBs embedded within a fully coupled global chemistry-climate model framework 609 in order to account for these feedbacks including altered canopy energy fluxes and 610 partitioning between latent and sensible heat that drive regional climate and hydrology. In 611 addition, future work will exploit recent extensive meta-data analyses (Lombardozzi et al., 2013; Wittig et al., 2007) to refine the ozone damage parameterization in YIBsincluding the decoupled modification of photosynthesis and stomatal conductance.

614

## 615 Acknowledgements

The authors are grateful to B. Felzer and an anonymous reviewer for assistance in
evaluating this paper. Data for this analysis was provided by the North American Carbon
Program Site Synthesis. Funding for this research was provided by Yale University. This
project was supported in part by the facilities and staff of the Yale University Faculty of
Arts and Sciences High Performance Computing Center.

#### 622 References

- 623 Ainsworth, E. A.: Rice production in a changing climate: a meta-analysis of responses to
- 624 elevated carbon dioxide and elevated ozone concentration, Global Change Biol., 14,

625 1642-1650, doi:10.1111/J.1365-2486.2008.01594.X, 2008.

- 626 Ainsworth, E. A., Yendrek, C. R., Sitch, S., Collins, W. J., and Emberson, L. D.: The
- 627 Effects of Tropospheric Ozone on Net Primary Productivity and Implications for
- 628 Climate Change, Annu. Rev. Plant Biol., 63, 637-661, doi:10.1146/Annurev-Arplant629 042110-103829, 2012.
- 630 Barr, A., Ricciuto, D., Schaefer, K., Richardson, A., Agarwal, D., Thornton, P., Davis,
- 631 K., Jackson, B., Cook, R., Hollinger, D., Ingen, C. v., Amiro, B., Andrews, A., Arain,
- 632 M., Baldocchi, D., Black, T., Bolstad, P., Curtis, P., Desai, A., Dragoni, D., Flanagan,
- 633 L., Gu, L., Katul, G., Law, B., Lafleur, P., Margolis, H., Matamala, R., Meyers, T.,
- 634 McCaughey, H., Monson, R., Munger, J., Oechel, W., Oren, R., Roulet, N., Torn, M.,
- and Verma, S.: NACP Site: Tower Meteorology, Flux Observations with Uncertainty,
- and Ancillary Data, Oak Ridge National Laboratory Distributed Active ArchiveCenter, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, 2013.
- Bell, N., Koch, D., and Shindell, D. T.: Impacts of chemistry-aerosol coupling on
  tropospheric ozone and sulfate simulations in a general circulation model, J. Geophys.
  Res., 110, D14305, doi:10.1029/2004jd005538, 2005.
- Bernacchi, C. J., Kimball, B. A., Quarles, D. R., Long, S. P., and Ort, D. R.: Decreases in
  stomatal conductance of soybean under open-air elevation of [CO(2)] are closely
  coupled with decreases in ecosystem evapotranspiration, Plant Physiol., 143, 134-144,
  doi:10.1104/Pp.106.089557, 2007.
- Bloomer, B. J., Vinnikov, K. Y., and Dickerson, R. R.: Changes in seasonal and diurnal
  cycles of ozone and temperature in the eastern US, Atmos. Environ., 44, 2543-2551,
- 647 doi:10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2010.04.031, 2010.
- 648 Bonan, G. B., Lawrence, P. J., Oleson, K. W., Levis, S., Jung, M., Reichstein, M.,
- 649 Lawrence, D. M., and Swenson, S. C.: Improving canopy processes in the Community
- 650 Land Model version 4 (CLM4) using global flux fields empirically inferred from
- 651 FLUXNET data, J. Geophys. Res., 116, G02014, doi:10.1029/2010jg001593, 2011.

- Chen, M., Zhuang, Q., Cook, D. R., Coulter, R., Pekour, M., Scott, R. L., Munger, J. W.,
  and Bible, K.: Quantification of terrestrial ecosystem carbon dynamics in the
  conterminous United States combining a process-based biogeochemical model and
  MODIS and AmeriFlux data, Biogeosciences, 8, 2665-2688, doi:10.5194/Bg-8-26652011, 2011.
- Chiariello, N. R.: Phenology of California grasslands, in: Grassland structure and
  function: California annual grassland, edited by: Huenneke, L. F., and Mooney, H. A.,
  Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 47-58, 1989.
- Collatz, G. J., Ball, J. T., Grivet, C., and Berry, J. A.: Physiological and EnvironmentalRegulation of Stomatal Conductance, Photosynthesis and Transpiration a Model That
  Includes a Laminar Boundary-Layer, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 54, 107-136,
  doi:10.1016/0168-1923(91)90002-8, 1991.
- 664 Cooper, O. R., Parrish, D. D., Stohl, A., Trainer, M., Nedelec, P., Thouret, V., Cammas,
- 665 J. P., Oltmans, S. J., Johnson, B. J., Tarasick, D., Leblanc, T., McDermid, I. S., Jaffe,
- D., Gao, R., Stith, J., Ryerson, T., Aikin, K., Campos, T., Weinheimer, A., and Avery,
- M. A.: Increasing springtime ozone mixing ratios in the free troposphere over western
  North America, Nature, 463, 344-348, doi:10.1038/Nature08708, 2010.
- 669 Desai, A. R., Noormets, A., Bolstad, P. V., Chen, J. Q., Cook, B. D., Davis, K. J.,
- 670 Euskirchen, E. S., Gough, C. M., Martin, J. G., Ricciuto, D. M., Schmid, H. P., Tang,
- 671 J. W., and Wang, W. G.: Influence of vegetation and seasonal forcing on carbon
- dioxide fluxes across the Upper Midwest, USA: Implications for regional scaling, Agr.
- 673 Forest Meteorol., 148, 288-308, doi:10.1016/J.Agrformet.2007.08.001, 2008.
- Farquhar, G. D., Caemmerer, S. V., and Berry, J. A.: A Biochemical-Model of
  Photosynthetic Co2 Assimilation in Leaves of C-3 Species, Planta, 149, 78-90,
  doi:10.1007/Bf00386231, 1980.
- Felzer, B., Kicklighter, D., Melillo, J., Wang, C., Zhuang, Q., and Prinn, R.: Effects of
  ozone on net primary production and carbon sequestration in the conterminous United
- 679 States using a biogeochemistry model, Tellus B, 56, 230-248, doi:10.1111/J.1600-
- 680 0889.2004.00097.X, 2004.
- 681 Felzer, B., Reilly, J., Melillo, J., Kicklighter, D., Sarofim, M., Wang, C., Prinn, R., and
- 682 Zhuang, Q.: Future effects of ozone on carbon sequestration and climate change policy

- 683 using a global biogeochemical model, Climatic Change, 73, 345-373,
  684 doi:10.1007/S10584-005-6776-4, 2005.
- Feng, Z. Z., Kobayashi, K., and Ainsworth, E. A.: Impact of elevated ozone
  concentration on growth, physiology, and yield of wheat (Triticum aestivum L.): a
  meta-analysis, Global Change Biol., 14, 2696-2708, doi:10.1111/J.13652486.2008.01673.X, 2008.
- Foot, J. P., Caporn, S. J. M., Lee, J. A., and Ashenden, T. W.: The effect of long-term
  ozone fumigation on the growth, physiology and frost sensitivity of Calluna vulgaris,
- 691 New Phytol., 133, 503-511, doi:10.1111/J.1469-8137.1996.Tb01918.X, 1996.
- Friend, A. D., and Kiang, N. Y.: Land surface model development for the GISS GCM:
  Effects of improved canopy physiology on simulated climate, J Climate, 18, 28832902, doi:10.1175/Jcli3425.1, 2005.
- 695 Goodale, C. L., Apps, M. J., Birdsey, R. A., Field, C. B., Heath, L. S., Houghton, R. A.,
- Jenkins, J. C., Kohlmaier, G. H., Kurz, W., Liu, S. R., Nabuurs, G. J., Nilsson, S., and
  Shvidenko, A. Z.: Forest carbon sinks in the Northern Hemisphere, Ecol. Appl., 12,
  891-899, Doi 10.2307/3060997, 2002.
- Grantz, D. A., Vu, H. B., Tew, T. L., and Veremis, J. C.: Sensitivity of Gas Exchange
  Parameters to Ozone in Diverse C-4 Sugarcane Hybrids, Crop Sci., 52, 1270-1280,
  doi:10.2135/Cropsci2011.08.0413, 2012.
- Gregg, J. W., Jones, C. G., and Dawson, T. E.: Urbanization effects on tree growth in the
  vicinity of New York City, Nature, 424, 183-187, doi:10.1038/Nature01728, 2003.
- Hall, F. G., de Colstoun, E. B., Collatz, G. J., Landis, D., Dirmeyer, P., Betts, A.,
- 705 Huffman, G. J., Bounoua, L., and Meeson, B.: ISLSCP Initiative II global data sets:
- 706 Surface boundary conditions and atmospheric forcings for land-atmosphere studies, J.
- 707 Geophys. Res., 111, D22s01, doi:10.1029/2006jd007366, 2006.
- Heagle, A. S., Kress, L. W., Temple, P. J., Kohut, R. J., Miller, J. E., and Heggestad, H.
- E.: Factors influencing ozone dose-vield response relationships in open-top chamber
- 710 studies, in: Assessment of crop loss from air pollutants, edited by: Heck, W. W.,
- 711 Taylor, O. C., and Tingey, D. T., Elsevier Applied Science, New York, 141-179, 1989.

- Henebry, G. M.: Grasslands of the North American Great Plains, in: Phenology: an
  integrative environmental science, edited by: Schwartz, M. D., Kluwer Academic
  Publishers, Dordrecht, 157-174, 2003.
- Hollaway, M. J., Arnold, S. R., Challinor, A. J., and Emberson, L. D.: Intercontinental
  trans-boundary contributions to ozone-induced crop yield losses in the Northern
  Hemisphere, Biogeosciences, 9, 271-292, doi:10.5194/Bg-9-271-2012, 2012.
- Huntingford, C., Cox, P. M., Mercado, L. M., Sitch, S., Bellouin, N., Boucher, O., and
  Gedney, N.: Highly contrasting effects of different climate forcing agents on terrestrial
- 720
   ecosystem
   services,
   Philos.
   T.
   R.
   Soc.
   A,
   369,
   2026-2037,

   721
   doi:10.1098/Rsta.2010.0314, 2011.
- 722 Huntzinger, D. N., Post, W. M., Wei, Y., Michalak, A. M., West, T. O., Jacobson, A. R.,
- 723 Baker, I. T., Chen, J. M., Davis, K. J., Hayes, D. J., Hoffman, F. M., Jain, A. K., Liu,
- S., McGuire, A. D., Neilson, R. P., Potter, C., Poulter, B., Price, D., Raczka, B. M.,
- Tian, H. Q., Thornton, P., Tomelleri, E., Viovy, N., Xiao, J., Yuan, W., Zeng, N.,
  Zhao, M., and Cook, R.: North American Carbon Program (NACP) regional interim
  synthesis: Terrestrial biospheric model intercomparison, Ecol. Model, 232, 144-157,
  doi: 10.1016/J.Ecolmodel.2012.02.004, 2012.
- Ishii, S., Marshall, F. M., and Bell, J. N. B.: Physiological and morphological responses
  of locally grown Malaysian rice cultivars (Oryza sativa L.) to different ozone
  concentrations, Water Air Soil Poll., 155, 205-221,
  doi:10.1023/B:Wate.0000026528.86641.5b, 2004.
- Jaffe, D., and Ray, J.: Increase in surface ozone at rural sites in the western US, Atmos.
  Environ., 41, 5452-5463, doi:10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2007.02.34, 2007.
- Karnosky, D. F., Skelly, J. M., Percy, K. E., and Chappelka, A. H.: Perspectives
  regarding 50 years of research on effects of tropospheric ozone air pollution on US
- 737 forests, Environ. Pollut., 147, 489-506, doi:10.1016/J.Envpol.2006.08.043, 2007.
- 738 Kvalevag, M. M., and Myhre, G.: The effect of carbon-nitrogen coupling on the reduced
- land carbon sink caused by tropospheric ozone, Geophys Res. Lett., 40, 3227-3231,
  doi:10.1002/Grl.50572, 2013.

- King, A. W., Hayes, D. J., Huntzinger, D. N., West, T. O., and Post, W. M.: North
  American carbon dioxide sources and sinks: magnitude, attribution, and uncertainty,
  Front. Ecol. Environ., 10, 512-519, doi: 10.1890/120066, 2012.
- Knyazikhin, Y., Martonchik, J. V., Myneni, R. B., Diner, D. J., and Running, S. W.:
  Synergistic algorithm for estimating vegetation canopy leaf area index and fraction of
  absorbed photosynthetically active radiation from MODIS and MISR data, J. Geophys.
- 747 Res., 103, 32257-32275, doi:10.1029/98jd02462, 1998.
- Lefohn, A. S., Shadwick, D., and Oltmans, S. J.: Characterizing changes in surface ozone
  levels in metropolitan and rural areas in the United States for 1980-2008 and 1994-
- 750 2008, Atmos. Environ., 44, 5199-5210, doi:10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2010.08.049, 2010.
- Leibensperger, E. M., Mickley, L. J., and Jacob, D. J.: Sensitivity of US air quality to
  mid-latitude cyclone frequency and implications of 1980-2006 climate change, Atmos.
  Chem. Phys., 8, 7075-7086, 2008.
- Levy, H., Schwarzkopf, M. D., Horowitz, L., Ramaswamy, V., and Findell, K. L.: Strong
  sensitivity of late 21st century climate to projected changes in short-lived air
  pollutants, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D06102, doi:10.1029/2007jd009176, 2008.
- Lombardozzi, D., Levis, S., Bonan, G., and Sparks, J. P.: Predicting photosynthesis and
  transpiration responses to ozone: decoupling modeled photosynthesis and stomatal
  conductance, Biogeosciences, 9, 3113-3130, doi:10.5194/bg-9-3113-2012, 2012a.
- Lombardozzi, D., Sparks, J. P., Bonan, G., and Levis, S.: Ozone exposure causes a
  decoupling of conductance and photosynthesis: implications for the Ball-Berry
  stomatal conductance model, Oecologia, 169, 651-659, doi:10.1007/S00442-0112242-3, 2012b.
- Lombardozzi, D., Sparks, J. P., and Bonan, G.: Integrating O3 influences on terrestrial
  processes: photosynthetic and stomatal response data available for regional and global
  modeling, Biogeosciences, 10, 6815-6831, 10.5194/bg-10-6815-2013, 2013.
- 767 Mulchi, C. L., Slaughter, L., Saleem, M., Lee, E. H., Pausch, R., and Rowland, R.:
- 768 Growth and Physiological-Characteristics of Soybean in Open-Top Chambers in
- Response to Ozone and Increased Atmospheric Co2, Agr Ecosyst Environ, 38, 107-
- 770 118, doi:10.1016/0167-8809(92)90172-8, 1992.

- 771 Oleson, K. W., Lawrence, D. M., Bonan, G. B., Flanne, M. G., Kluzek, E., Lawrence, P.
- J., Levis, S., Swenson, S. C., and Thornton, P. E.: Technical Description of version 4.0
- of the Community Land Model (CLM), National Center for Atmospheric Research,
  Boulder, CONCAR/TN-478+STR, 2010.
- Ollinger, S. V., Aber, J. D., Reich, P. B., and Freuder, R. J.: Interactive effects of
  nitrogen deposition, tropospheric ozone, elevated CO2 and land use history on the
  carbon dynamics of northern hardwood forests, Global Change Biol., 8, 545-562,
  doi:10.1046/J.1365-2486.2002.00482.X, 2002.
- Pacala, S. W., Hurtt, G. C., Baker, D., Peylin, P., Houghton, R. A., Birdsey, R. A., Heath,
- 780 L., Sundquist, E. T., Stallard, R. F., Ciais, P., Moorcroft, P., Caspersen, J. P.,
- 781 Shevliakova, E., Moore, B., Kohlmaier, G., Holland, E., Gloor, M., Harmon, M. E.,
- Fan, S. M., Sarmiento, J. L., Goodale, C. L., Schimel, D., and Field, C. B.: Consistent
- land- and atmosphere-based US carbon sink estimates, Science, 292, 2316-2320,
  doi:10.1126/Science.1057320, 2001.
- Pan, Y. D., Birdsey, R. A., Fang, J. Y., Houghton, R., Kauppi, P. E., Kurz, W. A.,
  Phillips, O. L., Shvidenko, A., Lewis, S. L., Canadell, J. G., Ciais, P., Jackson, R. B.,
  Pacala, S. W., McGuire, A. D., Piao, S. L., Rautiainen, A., Sitch, S., and Hayes, D.: A
  Large and Persistent Carbon Sink in the World's Forests, Science, 333, 988-993,
  doi:10.1126/Science.1201609, 2011.
- Puma, M. J., Koster, R. D., and Cook, B. I.: Phenological versus meteorological controls
  on land-atmosphere water and carbon fluxes, J. Geophys. Res., 118, 14-29,
  doi:10.1029/2012jg002088, 2013.
- Reichle, R. H., Koster, R. D., De Lannoy, G. J. M., Forman, B. A., Liu, Q., Mahanama,
  S. P. P., and Toure, A.: Assessment and Enhancement of MERRA Land Surface
- 795 Hydrology Estimates, J Climate, 24, 6322-6338, doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-10-05033.1, 2011.
- 796 Ricciuto, D., Schaefer, K., Thornton, P., Davis, K., Cook, R., Liu, S., Anderson, R.,
- Arain, M., Baker, I., Chen, J., Dietze, M., Grant, R., Izaurralde, C., Jain, A., King, A.,
- 798 Kucharik, C., Liu, S., Lokupitiya, E., Luo, Y., Peng, C., Poulter, B., Price, D., Riley,
- W., Sahoo, A., Tian, H., Tonitto, C., and Verbeeck, H.: NACP Site: Terrestrial
- 800 Biosphere Model and Aggregated Flux Data in Standard Format, Oak Ridge National
- 801 Laboratory Distributed Active Archive Center, Oak Ridge, Tennessee, USA, 2013.

- 802 Rienecker, M. M., Suarez, M. J., Gelaro, R., Todling, R., Bacmeister, J., Liu, E.,
- 803 Bosilovich, M. G., Schubert, S. D., Takacs, L., Kim, G. K., Bloom, S., Chen, J. Y.,
- 804 Collins, D., Conaty, A., Da Silva, A., Gu, W., Joiner, J., Koster, R. D., Lucchesi, R.,
- 805 Molod, A., Owens, T., Pawson, S., Pegion, P., Redder, C. R., Reichle, R., Robertson,
- 806 F. R., Ruddick, A. G., Sienkiewicz, M., and Woollen, J.: MERRA: NASA's Modern-
- Era Retrospective Analysis for Research and Applications, J Climate, 24, 3624-3648,
- 808 doi:10.1175/Jcli-D-11-00015.1, 2011.
- 809 Rigby, M., Prinn, R. G., Fraser, P. J., Simmonds, P. G., Langenfelds, R. L., Huang, J.,
- 810 Cunnold, D. M., Steele, L. P., Krummel, P. B., Weiss, R. F., O'Doherty, S., Salameh,
- P. K., Wang, H. J., Harth, C. M., Muhle, J., and Porter, L. W.: Renewed growth of atmospheric methane, Geophys. Res. Lett., 35, L22805, doi:10.1029/2008gl036037,
- 813 2008.
- Rudorff, B. F. T., Mulchi, C. L., Lee, E. H., Rowland, R., and Pausch, R.: Effects of
  enhanced O-3 and CO2 enrichment on plant characteristics in wheat and corn,
  Environ. Pollut., 94, 53-60, doi:10.1016/S0269-7491(96)00050-4, 1996.
- 817 Schaefer, K., Schwalm, C. R., Williams, C., Arain, M. A., Barr, A., Chen, J. M., Davis,
- 818 K. J., Dimitrov, D., Hilton, T. W., Hollinger, D. Y., Humphreys, E., Poulter, B.,
- 819 Raczka, B. M., Richardson, A. D., Sahoo, A., Thornton, P., Vargas, R., Verbeeck, H.,
- 820 Anderson, R., Baker, I., Black, T. A., Bolstad, P., Chen, J. Q., Curtis, P. S., Desai, A.
- 821 R., Dietze, M., Dragoni, D., Gough, C., Grant, R. F., Gu, L. H., Jain, A., Kucharik, C.,
- 822 Law, B., Liu, S. G., Lokipitiya, E., Margolis, H. A., Matamala, R., McCaughey, J. H.,
- 823 Monson, R., Munger, J. W., Oechel, W., Peng, C. H., Price, D. T., Ricciuto, D., Riley,
- 824 W. J., Roulet, N., Tian, H. Q., Tonitto, C., Torn, M., Weng, E. S., and Zhou, X. L.: A
- model-data comparison of gross primary productivity: Results from the North
  American Carbon Program site synthesis, J. Geophys. Res., 117, G03010,
- 827 doi:10.1029/2012jg001960, 2012.
- 828 Shindell, D. T., Faluvegi, G., Unger, N., Aguilar, E., Schmidt, G. A., Koch, D. M., Bauer,
- 829 S. E., and Miller, R. L.: Simulations of preindustrial, present-day, and 2100 conditions
- 830 in the NASA GISS composition and climate model G-PUCCINI, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,
- 831 6, 4427-4459, 2006.

- 832 Shindell, D. T., Pechony, O., Voulgarakis, A., Faluvegi, G., Nazarenko, L., Lamarque, J.
- 833 F., Bowman, K., Milly, G., Kovari, B., Ruedy, R., and Schmidt, G. A.: Interactive 834 ozone and methane chemistry in GISS-E2 historical and future climate simulations,
- 835 Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 2653-2689, doi:10.5194/Acp-13-2653-2013, 2013.
- 836 Sitch, S., Cox, P. M., Collins, W. J., and Huntingford, C.: Indirect radiative forcing of
- 837 climate change through ozone effects on the land-carbon sink, Nature, 448, 791-794,
- 838 doi:10.1038/Nature06059, 2007.
- 839 Spitters, C. J. T., Toussaint, H. A. J. M., and Goudriaan, J.: Separating the Diffuse and 840 Direct Component of Global Radiation and Its Implications for Modeling Canopy 841 Photosynthesis .1. Components of Incoming Radiation, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 38, 842 217-229, doi:10.1016/0168-1923(86)90060-2, 1986.
- 843 Taylor, M. D., Sinn, J. P., Davis, D. D., and Pell, E. J.: The impact of ozone on a salt 844 marsh cordgrass (Spartina alterniflora), Environ. Pollut., 120, 701-705, 2002.
- 845 Unger, N.: Global climate impact of civil aviation for standard and desulfurized jet fuel, 846 Geophys. Res. Lett., 38, L20803, doi:10.1029/2011gl049289, 2011.
- 847 Unger, N., and Pan, J. L.: New Directions: Enduring ozone, Atmos. Environ., 55, 456-848 458, doi:10.1016/J.Atmosenv.2012.03.036, 2012.
- 849 Unger, N., Harper, K., Zheng, Y., Kiang, N. Y., Aleinov, I., Arneth, A., Schurgers, G.,
- 850 Amelynck, C., Goldstein, A., Guenther, A., Heinesch, B., Hewitt, C. N., Karl, T.,
- 851 Laffineur, Q., Langford, B., McKinney, K. A., Misztal, P., Potosnak, M., Rinne, J.,
- 852 Pressley, S., Schoon, N., and Serça, D.: Photosynthesis-dependent isoprene emission
- 853 from leaf to planet in a global carbon-chemistry-climate model, Atmos. Chem. Phys.,

854 13, 10243-10269, doi:10.5194/acp-13-10243-2013, 2013.

- 855 von Caemmerer, S., and Farquhar, G. D.: Some Relationships between the Biochemistry 856 of Photosynthesis and the Gas-Exchange of Leaves, Planta, 153, 376-387, 1981.
- 857 VanLoocke, A., Betzelberger, A. M., Ainsworth, E. A., and Bernacchi, C. J.: Rising
- 858

ozone concentrations decrease soybean evapotranspiration and water use efficiency

- 859 whilst increasing canopy temperature, New Phytol., 195, 164-171, doi:10.1111/J.1469-
- 860 8137.2012.04152.X, 2012.
- 861 Wittig, V. E., Ainsworth, E. A., and Long, S. P.: To what extent do current and projected 862 increases in surface ozone affect photosynthesis and stomatal conductance of trees? A

- meta-analytic review of the last 3 decades of experiments, Plant Cell Environ., 30,
  1150-1162, doi:10.1111/J.1365-3040.2007.01717.X, 2007.
- 865 Wu, S. L., Mickley, L. J., Leibensperger, E. M., Jacob, D. J., Rind, D., and Streets, D. G.:
- Effects of 2000-2050 global change on ozone air quality in the United States, J.
  Geophys. Res., 113, D06302, doi:10.1029/2007jd008917, 2008.
- Xiao, J. F., Zhuang, Q. L., Law, B. E., Chen, J. Q., Baldocchi, D. D., Cook, D. R., Oren,
- 869 R., Richardson, A. D., Wharton, S., Ma, S. Y., Martin, T. A., Verma, S. B., Suyker, A.
- 870 E., Scott, R. L., Monson, R. K., Litvak, M., Hollinger, D. Y., Sun, G., Davis, K. J.,
- 871 Bolstad, P. V., Burns, S. P., Curtis, P. S., Drake, B. G., Falk, M., Fischer, M. L.,
- 872 Foster, D. R., Gu, L. H., Hadley, J. L., Katul, G. G., Roser, Y., McNulty, S., Meyers,
- 873 T. P., Munger, J. W., Noormets, A., Oechel, W. C., Paw, K. T., Schmid, H. P., Starr,
- G., Torn, M. S., and Wofsy, S. C.: A continuous measure of gross primary production
- 875 for the conterminous United States derived from MODIS and AmeriFlux data, Remote
- 876 Sens. Environ., 114, 576-591, doi:10.1016/J.Rse.2009.10.013, 2010.
- Zhang, L., Su, B. Y., Xu, H., and Li, Y. G.: Growth and photosynthetic responses of four
  landscape shrub species to elevated ozone, Photosynthetica, 50, 67-76,
  doi:10.1007/S11099-012-0004-Z, 2012.
- 880
- 881

882
883
884
885 Table 1. Parameters for vegetation model and O<sub>3</sub> damage scheme
886

PFT <sup>a</sup>	TDA	GRAC3	GRAC4	SHR	DBF	ENF	TRF	CH	RO
Carboxylation	C3	C3	C4	C3	C3	C3	C3	C3 <sup>b</sup>	C4 <sup>b</sup>
$V_{max 25}$ (µmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	33	43	24	25	30	43	75	40	40
т	9	11	5	9	9	9	9	11	5
b (mmol m <sup>-2</sup> s <sup>-1</sup> )	2	8	2	2	2	2	2	8	2
O3T (nmol m-2 s-1)	1.6	5	5	1.6	1.6	1.6	1.6	5	5
a (high) (mmol <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.1	1.4	0.735	0.1	0.15	0.075	0.15	1.4	0.735
a (low) (mmol <sup>-1</sup> m <sup>-2</sup> )	0.03	0.25	0.13	0.03	0.04	0.02	0.04	0.25	0.13

<sup>a</sup> Plant function types (PFTs) are tundra (TDA), C3 grassland (GRAC3), C4
savanna/grassland (GRAC4), shrubland (SHR), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF),
evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), tropical rainforest (TRF), and cropland (CRO).

<sup>b</sup> For site-level simulations, we consider CRO as C4 plant. For regional simulation, we consider half CRO as C3 plants (soybean) and the rest C4 plant (corn).

## 897

898

899

900 **Table 2.** Description of the site-level simulations.

901

Meteorology Vegetation (LAI) Incl. O<sub>3</sub> <sup>c</sup> Simulations <sup>a</sup> MODIS ID Site MERRA MERRA METmerra LAImodis 1 Yes Yes METsite LAImodis<sup>b</sup> 2 Yes Yes Yes METmerra LAImerra 3 Yes Yes METsite LAImerra<sup>b</sup> 4 Yes Yes Yes METsite LAImerra LO3<sup>b</sup> Low<sup>d</sup> 5 Yes Yes Yes High <sup>d</sup> METsite LAImerra HO3<sup>b</sup> 6 Yes Yes Yes

902

<sup>a</sup> The name of each simulation is composed of at least two words. The prefix indicates the
 source of meteorological forcings. The suffix or the second word indicates the sources of
 vegetation forcings.

<sup>b</sup> For simulations with prefix 'SITE' use site-based meteorological forcings, which are
 gap-filled with MERRA-land reanalyses.

908 <sup>c</sup> Ambient  $[O_3]$  is applied at each site.

909 <sup>d</sup> Low and high indicate the sensitivity of GPP to  $[O_3]$  defined by the coefficient *a* in Table 1.

911

912

## 

## **Table 3.** Statistics <sup>a</sup> for site-level simulations. 917

		$R^2$			RMSE			$\chi^2$		
ID	Simulations	min	max	mean	min	max	mean	min	max	total
1	METmerra_LAImodis	0.14	0.85	0.63	1.2	6.4	3.0	1.4	37.6	9.4
2	METsite_LAImodis	0.16	0.85	0.67	1.1	5.8	2.9	1.1	39.6	8.9
3	METmerra_LAImerra	0	0.88	0.66	1.3	4.2	2.4	1.2	16.6	4.8
4	METsite_LAImerra	0	0.87	0.68	1.0	4.2	2.3	1.2	13.4	4.1
5	METsite_LAImerra_LO3	0	0.88	0.69	1.0	4.1	2.3	1.1	13.0	3.9
6	METsite_LAImerra_HO3	0	0.88	0.69	1.0	4.1	2.2	1.0	12.3	3.7

<sup>a</sup> Statistics include minimum and maximum values of R<sup>2</sup>, RMSE, and  $\chi^2$  for 35 NCAP sites with  $\chi^2 < 16$  (Fig. 3a). We also calculate the mean values of R<sup>2</sup> and RMSE for these sites. We calculate the total  $\chi^2$  (shown as red bars in Fig. 4) using all the available observations over all sites.

## 925 Appendix

Site	PFT <sup>b</sup>	Description	Longitude	Latitude	Period	
CA-Ca1	ENF	Campbell River	125.3°W	49.9°N	1998-2006	
CA-Ca2	ENF	Campbell River	125.3°W	49.9°N	2001-2006	
CA-Ca3	ENF	Campbell River	124.9°W	49.5°N	2002-2006	
CA-Gro	MF	Groundhog River	82.2°W	48.2°N	2004-2006	
CA-Let	GRA	Lethbridge Grassland	112.9°W	49.7°N	2001-2007	
CA-Mer	WET	Eastern Peatland	75.5°W	45.4°N	1999-2006	
CA-NS1	ENF	UCI Chronosequence	124.9°W	49.5°N	2001-2005	
CA-Oas	DBF	BERMS	106.2°W	53.6°N	1997-2006	
CA-Obs	ENF	BERMS	105.1°W	54.0°N	2000-2006	
CA-Ojp	ENF	BERMS	104.7°W	53.9°N	2000-2006	
CA-Qfo	ENF	Quebec	74.3°W	49.7°N	2004-2006	
CA-SJ1	ENF	BERMS	104.7°W	53.9°N	2002-2005	
CA-SJ2	ENF	BERMS	104.6°W	53.9°N	2004-2006	
CA-SJ3	ENF	BERMS	104.6°W	53.9°N	2005-2006	
CA-TP4	ENF	Turkey Point	80.4°W	42.7°N	2003-2007	
CA-WP1	WET	Western Peatland	112.5°W	55.0°N	2004-2007	
US-ARM	GRA <sup>c</sup>	Southern Great Plains	97.5°W	36.6°N	2003-2007	
US-Dk3	ENF	Duke Forest	79.1°W	36.0°N	1998-2005	
US-Ha1	DBF	Harvard Forest	72.2°W	42.5°N	1992-2006	
US-Ho1	ENF	Howland Forest	68.7°W	45.2°N	1996-2004	
US-IB1	CRO	Fermi Lab	88.2°W	41.9°N	2006	
US-IB2	GRA	Fermi	88.2°W	41.8°N	2005-2006	
US-Los	WET	Lost Creek	90.0°W	46.1°N	2001-2006	
US-MMS	DBF	Morgan Monroe State Forest	86.4°W	39.3°N	1999-2006	
US-MOz	DBF	Missouri Ozark	92.2°W	38.7°N	2005-2007	
US-Me2	ENF	Metolius	121.6°W	44.5°N	2002-2007	
US-Me3	ENF	Metolius	121.6°W	44.3°N	2004-2005	
US-Me5	ENF	Metolius	121.6°W	44.4°N	2000-2002	
US-NR1	ENF	Niwot Ridge	105.5°W	40.0°N	1999-2007	
US-Ne1	CRO	Mead	96.5°W	41.2°N	2002-2005	
US-Ne2	CRO	Mead	96.5°W	41.2°N	2003-2005	
US-Ne3	CRO	Mead	96.4°W	41.2°N	2002-2005	
US-Pfa	MF	Park Falls	90.3°W	45.9°N	1997-2004	
US-SO2	CSH	Sky Oaks	116.6°W	33.4°N	1999-2006	
US-Shd	GRA	Shidler	96.7°W	36.9°N	1998-1999	
US-Syv	MF	Sylvania Wilderness Area	89.3°W	46.2°N	2002-2006	
US-Ton	WSA	Tonzi Ranch	121.0°W	38.4°N	2002-2007	
US-UMB	DBF	UMBS	84.7°W	45.6°N	1999-2006	
US-Var	GRA	Varia Ranch	121.0°W	38.4°N	2001-2007	
US-WCr	DBF	Willow Creek	90.1°W	45.8°N	1999-2006	

926 **Table A.** Descriptions of NACP sites in Canada <sup>a</sup>

927

<sup>a</sup> Site information is adopted from Schaefer et al. (2012), except that the operational time
 span listed here is only for the period when measurements of GPP are available.

930 <sup>b</sup> PFT names are: evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF),

931 grasslands (GRA), croplands (CRO), closed shrublands (CSH), mixed forests (MF),

932 permanent wetlands (WET), and woody savannas (WSA).

- <sup>c</sup> The land type at US-ARM is cropland in Schaefer et al. (2012). However, the site is covered by cattle pasture and wheat fields (<u>https://www.arm.gov/sites/sgp</u>), which are
- more like C3 grassland.

#### 939 Figure Captions

Fig. 1. Comparison of monthly mean leaf area index (LAI, m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) from the Modern EraRetrospective Analysis (MERRA) reanalysis (red solid lines) and the Moderate
Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (blue dashed lines) at each NACP site.
The name and location (longitude, latitude) are shown in the title. The land types include
evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), shrublands (SHR),
grasslands (GRA), and croplands (CRO).

946

**Fig. 2.** Comparison of long-term monthly mean GPP ( $g [C] m^{-2} day^{-1}$ ) from observations 947 (blue points with error bars indicating one standard deviation) and the O<sub>3</sub>-free simulations 948 949 (black lines with shadings indicating one standard deviation) averaged over measurement 950 period at each site. The simulation, METsite LAImerra, is driven with meteorological 951 forcings from MERRA and site measurements. The LAI is from MERRA. The name and 952 location (longitude, latitude) are shown in the title. The land types include evergreen 953 needleleaf forest (ENF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), shrublands (SHR), grasslands 954 (GRA), and croplands (CRO).

955

**Fig. 3.** The calculated (a)  $\chi^2$  of GPP and changes in  $\chi^2$  after the inclusion of O<sub>3</sub> damage impact with (b) low and (c) high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity at each site. The sites are sorted according to the values of  $\chi^2$  in (a). The land cover definitions are: GRA, Grasslands; CRO, Croplands; ENF, Evergreen Needleleaf Forest; DBF, Deciduous Broadleaf Forest; SHR, Shrubland. See section 2.2.1 for the definition of  $\chi^2$ .

961

**Fig. 4.** The calculated average  $\chi^2$  of GPP over NACP sites for 6 different simulations as listed in Table 2. The blue bars are results for all 40 NACP sites. The red bars are results excluding sites CA-Let, CA-NS1, US-Var, CA-SJ1, and CA-SJ2, where the simulated site-level  $\chi^2$  is larger than 16 as shown in Fig. 3a.

966

Fig. 5. Validation of simulated summertime surface (a, b) diurnal mean and (c, d) daily
maximum 8-hour average O<sub>3</sub> with *in situ* measurements from (a, b) the EPA Clean Air

969 Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) and (c, d) the AIRDATA. For (b) and (d), the

blue points indicate sites East of 95°W and the red ones for West of 95°W. The
correlation coefficients between simulations and observations are shown in (b) and (d).
Please refer to Fig. S5 for separate results from GCM and observations.

973

Fig. 6. Simulated summertime (a) surface [O<sub>3</sub>], (b) stomatal conductance, (c) ozone
stomatal flux, and (d) damages to GPP at different O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity for 24 U.S. sites. The
sites are sorted according to the simulated O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP in (d). For each site, the result is
averaged over the period when the site provides GPP measurements. The land cover
definitions are: GRA, Grasslands; CRO, Croplands; ENF, Evergreen Needleleaf Forest;
DBF, Deciduous Broadleaf Forest; SHR, Shrubland.

980

981 Fig. 7. Changes in GPP for all and individual PFTs in the presence of different levels of 982 [O<sub>3</sub>] as simulated by the vegetation model. Simulations are performed at 40 NACP sites 983 with a fixed  $[O_3]$  for either low or high  $O_3$  sensitivity. The short blue lines show the damages ranging from low to high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity, with the blue points indicating the 984 985 average reductions. The simulation results are averaged for all the sites or for the sites 986 with the same PFT. The number of sites used for average is shown in the title bracket of 987 each subplot. The solid squares with lines show the results (mean plus uncertainty) based 988 on measurements reported by multiple literatures. These measurements are collected by 989 Lombardozzi et al. (2013) for all PFTs, Wittig et al. (2007) for evergreen needleleaf 990 forest (ENF) and deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF). For C4 grass or crop (CRO C4), we 991 have collected data from Taylor et al. (2002) for spartina alterniflora and Grantz et al. 992 (2012) for sugarcane hybrids. For C3 grass or crop (GRA C3), we have collected data 993 from Feng et al. (2008) for wheat, Foot et al. (1996) for colluna vulgaris, Mulchi et al. 994 (1992) for soybean, and Ishii et al. (2004) and Ainsworth (2008) for rice. The values for 995 rice are denoted in green and others in red. The author initials are indicated for the 996 corresponding studies.

997

Fig. 8. Simulated summertime (a) O<sub>3</sub>-exposed GPP and (b) O<sub>3</sub> stomatal flux over the U.S.
The simulated GPP is overlaid with *in situ* measurements from NACP. The simulations

1000	are performed with land cover from ISLSCP and meteorological forcings from MERRA
1001	reanalyses. Please refer to Fig. S6 for separate results from simulations and observations.
1002	
1003	Fig. 9. Simulated reduction fraction in summer GPP in the U.S. due to (a) low and (b)
1004	high O <sub>3</sub> sensitivity for 1998-2007.
1005	
1006	Fig. 10. Simulated changes in summer GPP due to (a, c) 25% reduction or (b, d) 25%
1007	increase in [O <sub>3</sub> ] for (a, b) low or (c, d) high O <sub>3</sub> sensitivity.
1008 1009	



**Fig. 1.** Comparison of monthly mean leaf area index (LAI, m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup>) from the Modern Era-Retrospective Analysis (MERRA) reanalysis (red solid lines) and the Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS) (blue dashed lines) at each NACP site. The name and location (longitude, latitude) are shown in the title. The land types include evergreen needleleaf forest (ENF), deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF), shrublands (SHR), grasslands (GRA), and croplands (CRO).







**Fig. 3.** The calculated (a)  $\chi^2$  of GPP and changes in  $\chi^2$  after the inclusion of O<sub>3</sub> damage impact with (b) low and (c) high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity at each site. The sites are sorted according to the values of  $\chi^2$  in (a). The land cover definitions are: GRA, Grasslands; CRO, Croplands; ENF, Evergreen Needleleaf Forest; DBF, Deciduous Broadleaf Forest; SHR, Shrubland. See section 2.2.1 for the definition of  $\chi^2$ .



1113 **Fig. 4.** The calculated average  $\chi^2$  of GPP over NACP sites for 6 different simulations as 1114 listed in Table 2. The blue bars are results for all 40 NACP sites. The red bars are results 1115 excluding sites CA-Let, CA-NS1, US-Var, CA-SJ1, and CA-SJ2, where the simulated 1116 site-level  $\chi^2$  is larger than 16 as shown in Fig. 3a.



1144 **Fig. 5.** Validation of simulated summertime surface (a, b) diurnal mean and (c, d) daily 1145 maximum 8-hour average  $O_3$  with *in situ* measurements from (a, b) the EPA Clean Air 1146 Status and Trends Network (CASTNET) and (c, d) the AIRDATA. For (b) and (d), the 1147 blue points indicate sites East of 95°W and the red ones for West of 95°W. The 1148 correlation coefficients between simulations and observations are shown in (b) and (d). 1149 Please refer to Fig. S5 for separate results from GCM and observations.

- 1150
- 1151
- 1152



Fig. 6. Simulated summertime (a) surface  $[O_3]$ , (b) stomatal conductance, (c) ozone stomatal flux, and (d) damages to GPP at different O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity for 24 U.S. sites. The sites are sorted according to the simulated O<sub>3</sub>-free GPP in (d). For each site, the result is averaged over the period when the site provides GPP measurements. The land cover definitions are: GRA, Grasslands; CRO, Croplands; ENF, Evergreen Needleleaf Forest; DBF, Deciduous Broadleaf Forest; SHR, Shrubland.



1169 Fig. 7. Changes in GPP for all and individual PFTs in the presence of different levels of  $[O_3]$  as simulated by the vegetation model. Simulations are performed at 40 NACP sites 1170 1171 with a fixed  $[O_3]$  for either low or high  $O_3$  sensitivity. The short blue lines show the 1172 damages ranging from low to high O<sub>3</sub> sensitivity, with the blue points indicating the 1173 average reductions. The simulation results are averaged for all the sites or for the sites 1174 with the same PFT. The number of sites used for average is shown in the title bracket of 1175 each subplot. The solid squares with lines show the results (mean plus uncertainty) based 1176 on measurements reported by multiple literatures. These measurements are collected by 1177 Lombardozzi et al. (2013) for all PFTs, Wittig et al. (2007) for evergreen needleleaf 1178 forest (ENF) and deciduous broadleaf forest (DBF). For C4 grass or crop (CRO C4), we 1179 have collected data from Taylor et al. (2002) for spartina alterniflora and Grantz et al. (2012) for sugarcane hybrids. For C3 grass or crop (GRA C3), we have collected data 1180 1181 from Feng et al. (2008) for wheat, Foot et al. (1996) for colluna vulgaris, Mulchi et al. 1182 (1992) for soybean, and Ishii et al. (2004) and Ainsworth (2008) for rice. The values for 1183 rice are denoted in green and others in red. The author initials are indicated for the 1184 corresponding studies.



Fig. 8. Simulated summertime (a) O<sub>3</sub>-exposed GPP and (b) O<sub>3</sub> stomatal flux over the U.S. The simulated GPP is overlaid with *in situ* measurements from NACP. The simulations are performed with land cover from ISLSCP and meteorological forcings from MERRA reanalyses. Please refer to Fig. S6 for separate results from simulations and observations.



- 1244 high  $O_3$  sensitivity for 1998-2007.



- 1260 increase in  $[O_3]$  for (a, b) low or (c, d) high  $O_3$  sensitivity.