Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 28511–28560, 2013
www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/28511/2013/
doi:10.5194/acpd-13-28511-2013

Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics Discussions

On the temperature dependence of organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides, ozone production, and the impact of emission controls in San Joaquin Valley California

S. E. Pusede[1], D. R. Gentner[2], P. J. Wooldridge[1], E. C. Browne[1,a], A. W. Rollins[1,b], K.-E. Min[3,b], A. R. Russell[1,c], J. Thomas[4], L. Zhang[4], W. H. Brune[4], S. B. Henry[5], J. P. DiGangi[5,d], F. N. Keutsch[5], S. A. Harrold[6], J. A. Thornton[6], M. R. Beaver[7,e], J. M. St. Clair[7], P. O. Wennberg[7], J. Sanders[8], X. Ren[8,f], T. C. VandenBoer[9,g], M. Z. Markovic[9,b], A. Guha[10], R. Weber[10], A. H. Goldstein[2,10], and R. C. Cohen[1,3]

[1]Department of Chemistry, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA
[2]Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA
[3]Department of Earth and Planetary Sciences, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA
[4]Department of Meteorology, Pennsylvania State University, University Park, Pennsylvania, USA
[5]Department of Chemistry, University of Wisconsin Madison, Madison, Wisconsin, USA

[6]Department of Atmospheric Sciences, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington, USA
[7]Divisions of Engineering and Applied Science and Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California, USA
[8]Rosenstiel School of Marine and Atmospheric Science, University of Miami, Miami, Florida, USA
[9]Department of Chemistry, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada
[10]Department of Environmental Science, Policy, and Management, University of California Berkeley, Berkeley, California, USA
[a]now at: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, Massachusetts, USA
[b]now at: Earth System Research Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration and Cooperative Institute for Research in Environmental Sciences, University of Colorado, Boulder, USA
[c]now at: Sonoma Technology, Petaluma, California, USA
[d]now at: Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Princeton University, Princeton, New Jersey, USA
[e]now at: National Exposure Research Laboratory, Environmental Protection Agency, Research Triangle Park, North Carolina, USA
[f]now at: Air Resources Laboratory, National Oceanic and Atmospheric Administration, College Park, Maryland, USA
[g]now at: Department of Chemistry and Department of Earth Science, Memorial University of Newfoundland, St. John's, Newfoundland, Canada

Received: 7 October 2013 – Accepted: 17 October 2013 – Published: 1 November 2013

Correspondence to: S. E. Pusede (spusesde@berkeley.edu)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Abstract

The San Joaquin Valley (SJV) experiences some of the worst ozone air quality in the US, frequently exceeding the California 8 h standard of 70.4 ppb. To improve our understanding of trends in the number of ozone violations in the SJV, we analyze observed relationships between organic reactivity, nitrogen oxides (NO_x), and daily maximum temperature in the southern SJV using measurements made as part of California at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change in 2010 (CalNex-SJV). We find the daytime speciated organic reactivity with respect to OH during CalNex-SJV has a temperature-independent portion with molecules typically associated with motor vehicles being the major component. At high temperatures, characteristic of days with high ozone, the largest portion of the total organic reactivity increases exponentially with temperature and is dominated by small, oxygenated organics and molecules that are unidentified. We use this simple temperature classification to consider changes in organic emissions over the last and next decade. With the CalNex-SJV observations as constraints, we examine the sensitivity of ozone production (PO_3) to future NO_x and organic reactivity controls. We find that PO_3 is NO_x-limited at all temperatures on weekends and on weekdays when daily maximum temperatures are greater than 29 °C. As a consequence, NO_x reductions are the most effective control option for reducing the frequency of future ozone violations in the southern SJV.

1 Introduction

California's San Joaquin Valley suffers extremely poor air quality and has so for decades; violations of health-based ozone standards remain frequent despite statewide ozone control measures (Cox et al., 2009). Understanding trends in frequency of these violations requires knowledge of trends in the chemical drivers of ozone production (PO_3) – nitrogen oxides ($NO_x \equiv NO + NO_2$), the reactivity of volatile organic compounds with OH (VOCR), and the production rate of HO_x ($HO_x \equiv OH +$

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

$HO_2 + RO_2$) radicals – along with trends in the sensitivity of local and regional PO_3 to these parameters. The sequence of ozone forming reactions is generally known; however, determining the response of PO_3 in any location to NO_x and organic emissions reductions is challenging, as PO_3 is a nonlinear function of each precursor. Meteorological effects impart variability in the number of O_3 violations within a given O_3 season but do not, in the average, impact the inter-annual trends.

In Pusede and Cohen (2012), we examined relationships between nitrogen oxides, the frequency of O_3 exceedances, and temperature, which we used as a proxy for VOCR, over the period 1996 to 2010, inferring from the observed nonlinear nitrogen oxide dependence that chemical production rather than meteorology dominated the statistics of violations in the San Joaquin Valley (SJV). We showed that in the northern SJV (Stockton plume) and central SJV (Fresno plume) PO_3 has largely been sensitive to VOCR and that organic emissions reductions led to decreases in the frequency of O_3 violations. At high temperatures, PO_3 in these plumes is presently NO_x sensitive. In the southern SJV (Bakersfield plume) at moderate temperatures PO_3 has been sensitive to VOCR for most of the record, with organic emissions controls resulting in fewer annual O_3 violations; simultaneous NO_x reductions shifted chemistry to peak PO_3 with respect to NO_x in 2007–2010. At high temperatures, southern SJV PO_3 has been at peak production for most of the last fifteen years, becoming NO_x sensitive in 2007–2010; at these temperatures, VOCR reductions have made little impact. This temperature dependence in the observed effects of VOCR controls thus suggested two distinct categories of organic reactivity in the Bakersfield plume: one source that had decreased over the last decade and was more important at moderate temperatures and a second source, dominating at high temperatures, that had not substantially changed over the same time period.

During the California at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change experiment (CalNex-SJV), 18 May–29 June 2010, we measured nitrogen oxides, OH reactivity, HO_x source molecules, and a wide suite of individual volatile organic compounds (VOCs) at the San Joaquin Valley supersite allowing new insight into the production

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

rate of ozone in the region. Here, we use these observations to test the response of PO_3 to variations in temperature, VOCR, and NO_x. We begin our analysis by describing the temperature dependence of the daytime organic reactivity and categorizing one component of the VOCR that is independent of temperature and a second that increases exponentially with temperature. This simple temperature-response framework allows us to consider how organic emission controls have impacted the total organic reactivity over the last decade and how they will do so over the next ten years. We use the CalNex-SJV observations to constrain a model of instantaneous PO_3 and then to guide our thinking about the frequency of O_3 violations in the complete 2010 O_3 season. We test various emissions reductions scenarios and show both the magnitude and sign of the effects of controls on emissions are temperature dependent.

2 Chemical production of O_3

2.1 PO_3 reaction sequence

PO_3 is initiated by the oxidation of gas-phase organic molecules. During the daytime, this usually involves reaction with the hydroxyl radical (OH) leading to formation of an alkyl radical (R), which immediately reacts with O_2 to form a peroxy radical (RO_2). In the typical chain-propagating branch of this sequence, RO_2 reacts with NO to form an alkoxy radical (RO) and NO_2. In the presence of O_2, the RO leads to formation of HO_2 and an aldehyde or ketone. The HO_2 in turn reacts with NO to form a second NO_2 molecule and regenerate OH. Hence Reactions (R1)–(R5) are catalytic, being HO_x neutral while converting NO to NO_2.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

$$RH + OH \rightarrow R + H_2O \quad \text{(R1)}$$
$$R + O_2 + M \rightarrow RO_2 + M \quad \text{(R2)}$$
$$RO_2 + NO \rightarrow RO + NO_2 \quad \text{(R3)}$$
$$RO + O_2 \rightarrow R'O + HO_2 \quad \text{(R4)}$$
$$HO_2 + NO \rightarrow OH + NO_2 \quad \text{(R5)}$$
$$\text{Net: } RH + 2O_2 + 2NO \rightarrow R'O + H_2O + 2NO_2$$

The importance of any individual VOC in the HO_x cycle depends on its reaction rate with OH (k_{OH}). The rate at which a single organic species reacts with OH is defined as $VOCR_i \equiv k_{OH+VOC_i}[VOC_i]$ with units of s^{-1}; for the entire VOC mixture, we define the total organic reactivity (VOCR). Throughout this paper we use $\sum_i VOCR_i$ to refer to the reactivity summed from individually measured compounds; we use VOCR both generally and to refer to the VOCR obtained from direct measurements of the total OH reactivity. In the continental boundary layer, representative daytime VOCR values are: 7–12 s^{-1} in suburban Nashville, Tennessee (Kovacs et al., 2003); 10–30 s^{-1} in the polluted megacity Beijing (Lou et al., 2010); and 1–13 s^{-1} at a rural forest site in Michigan and covarying with daytime temperatures of 10–27 °C (Di Carlo et al., 2004).

The photolysis of NO_2 gives NO (NO_x is conserved on timescales of the interconversion between NO and NO_2) and a ground-state oxygen atom, which rapidly combines with O_2 to form O_3 (Reactions R6 and R7).

$$NO_2 + h\nu \rightarrow NO + O(^3P) \quad \text{(R6)}$$
$$O(^3P) + O_2 + M \rightarrow O_3 + M \quad \text{(R7)}$$

For a typical organic molecule, used as a basis for Reactions (R1)–(R7), the net reaction yields two O_3 from one OH reaction. Variations in this mechanism include compounds that produce one (e.g., carbon monoxide), three (e.g., acetaldehyde), or more O_3 molecules.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

While PO_3 radical chemistry is propagated by reactions involving HO_x and NO_x, it is terminated by reactions between the same species (Reactions R8–R11 and R3'). Herein arises the non-linear dependence of PO_3 on NO_x and VOCR.

$$OH + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O + O_2 \quad \text{(R8)}$$

$$HO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow H_2O_2 + O_2 \quad \text{(R9)}$$

$$RO_2 + HO_2 \rightarrow ROOH + O_2 \quad \text{(R10)}$$

$$RO_2 + RO_2 \rightarrow ROOR + O_2 \quad \text{(R11)}$$

$$OH + NO_2 + M \rightarrow HNO_3 + M \quad \text{(R12)}$$

$$RO_2 + NO + M \rightarrow RONO_2 + M \quad \text{(R3')}$$

Reaction (R3') is the minor channel of Reaction (R3) and, depending on the identity of the VOC, its branching, $k_{R3'}/(k_{R3} + k_{R3'})$, is 0–35 %. Ambient air organic mixtures are observed to have average Reaction (R3') branching ratios in the range of 2–8 % (Perring et al., 2013).

At low NO_x, increasing NO drives Reactions (R3) and (R5), and therefore the oxidation of VOCs and PO_3. Chemistry in this regime is NO_x-limited and increases in VOCR have little effect on PO_3. At higher NO_x levels, NO_2 begins to outcompete organics for OH (Reaction R12) and the PO_3 rate slows. Chemistry here is VOC-limited (also known as NO_x-suppressed or NO_x-saturated). At a given VOCR, PO_3 is maximized at the transition point between the NO_x- and VOC-limited regimes. At peak PO_3, the production of alkyl nitrates by Reaction (R3') and peroxy nitrates also reach a peak. The formation of these nitrates is important to the absolute PO_3 but has little effect on PO_3's functional form (Farmer et al., 2011; Perring et al., 2013). When chemistry is VOC-limited, the impact of increasing the VOCR is twofold: (a) it increases the magnitude of PO_3 and (b) it shifts the position of peak PO_3 to higher NO_x. Thus, the NO_x concentration at which PO_3 transitions from NO_x-limited to VOC-limited is VOCR dependent – a dependence that has important implications in the design of organic and NO_x emission control strategies.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

The production of new HO_x molecules (PHO_x) results primarily from the photolysis of O_3 (Reactions R13 and R14), formaldehyde (H_2CO) (Reaction R16), nitrous acid (HONO)(Reaction R18), to a smaller extent hydrogen peroxide (H_2O_2) (Reaction R19), and chemical reactions between O_3 and alkenes.

$$O_3 + h\nu \rightarrow O(^1D) + O_2 \quad \text{(R13)}$$

$$O(^1D) + H_2O \rightarrow 2OH \quad \text{(R14)}$$

$$O(^1D) + N_2, O_2 \rightarrow O(^3P) + N_2, O_2 \quad \text{(R15)}$$

$$H_2CO + h\nu + 2O_2 \rightarrow 2HO_2 + CO \quad \text{(R16)}$$

$$H_2CO + h\nu \rightarrow H_2 + CO \quad \text{(R17)}$$

$$HONO + h\nu \rightarrow OH + NO \quad \text{(R18)}$$

$$H_2O_2 + h\nu \rightarrow 2OH \quad \text{(R19)}$$

At VOC-limited and peak PO_3, an increase in PHO_x enhances PO_3 nearly one to one. At NO_x-limited PO_3, PO_3 scales approximately as $2k_{HO_2+NO}\left(\frac{PHO_x}{k_{HO_2+HO_2}}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ [NO]. Decreasing PHO_x also shifts peak PO_3 to lower NO_x but to a smaller extent than the equivalent shift from decreasing VOCR. For example, at $10\,s^{-1}$ VOCR and $1\,ppt\,s^{-1}$ PHO_x, a 50 % reduction in VOCR shifts peak PO_3 to ∼ 30 % lower NO_x while the same PHO_x reduction has half the effect. The photolysis of nitrile chloride ($ClNO_2$) also initiates radical chemistry in the early morning (e.g., Osthoff et al., 2008; Thornton et al., 2010; Mielke et al., 2011). $ClNO_2$ is not included in our model (described below) as we focus on daytime chemistry from 10 a.m.–2 p.m. when $ClNO_2$ is no longer present in relevant amounts.

2.2 Analytical model of PO_3

We compute PO_3 with an analytical model similar to that described by Murphy et al. (2007) and Farmer et al. (2011). We summarize our specific formulation here.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

This calculation assumes HO_x is in steady state (Eq. 1).

$$P\mathrm{HO_x} = k_{\mathrm{HO_2+HO_2}}[\mathrm{HO_2}]^2 + 2k_{\mathrm{HO_2+RO_2}}[\mathrm{HO_2}][\mathrm{RO_2}] + 2k_{\mathrm{RO_2+RO_2}}[\mathrm{RO_2}]^2 + k_{\mathrm{NO_2+OH}}[\mathrm{OH}][\mathrm{NO_2}] + \alpha k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}}[\mathrm{NO}][\mathrm{RO_2}] \quad (1)$$

During daytime Eq. (1) is an accurate approximation, as radical propagation (Reactions R1–R5) dominates termination (Reactions R8–R12 and R3'). RO_2 production approximately equals that of HO_2 and, also by the steady–state relation, RO_2 loss. This simplifying framework gives Eq. (2) for both RO_2 and HO_2 with α as the alkyl nitrate branching ratio.

$$[\mathrm{RO_2}] \sim [\mathrm{HO_2}] = \frac{\mathrm{VOCR}[\mathrm{OH}]}{(1-\alpha)\,k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}}[\mathrm{NO}]} \quad (2)$$

Peroxy nitrates are treated to be in thermal equilibrium with NO_2 + acyl peroxy radicals and therefore do not contribute to net radical formation.

Equations (1) and (2) are combined to solve for OH (Eq. 3), with the coefficients a, b, and c given by Eqs. (4)–(6), respectively.

$$[\mathrm{OH}] = \frac{-b + \sqrt{b^2 - 4ac}}{2a} \quad (3)$$

$$a = (2k_{\mathrm{HO_2+HO_2}} + 2k_{\mathrm{HO_2+RO_2}} + 2k_{\mathrm{RO_2+RO_2}}) \left(\frac{\mathrm{VOCR}}{(1-\alpha)k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}}[\mathrm{NO}]}\right)^2 \quad (4)$$

$$b = k_{\mathrm{NO_2+OH}}[\mathrm{NO_2}] + \frac{\alpha k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}}[\mathrm{NO_2}]\mathrm{VOCR}}{(1-\alpha)k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}}} \quad (5)$$

$$c = -P\mathrm{HO_x} \quad (6)$$

Solving for OH and then HO_2 gives $PO_3 = (k_{\mathrm{NO+HO_2}} + k_{\mathrm{NO+RO_2}})[\mathrm{NO}][\mathrm{HO_2}]$ when $\alpha \ll 1$.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

The observational inputs to the calculation are NO and NO_2, the total VOCR, PHO_x, α, and temperature. In our analysis, VOCR, PHO_x, and the NO to NO_x ratio are fit as exponential functions of temperature and we use these fits to constrain the model; VOCR, PHO_x, and α are discussed below.

Total VOCR is equal to the measured OH reactivity minus the OH reactivities of NO, NO_2, HONO, HNO_3, ammonia (NH_3), and sulfur dioxide (SO_2).

PHO_x is the summed HO_x production rates of O_3, H_2CO, HONO, and H_2O_2 photolysis and O_3 reactions with alkenes. Noontime HONO concentrations during CalNex-SJV were between 30–250 ppt (Ren et al., 2011; VandenBoer et al., 2013) making HONO an important radical source throughout the day. H_2O_2 photolysis (1 %) and O_3 + alkene reactions (7 %) are less important to the daytime total. Photolysis frequencies are computed with the TUV calculator (http://cprm.acd.ucar.edu/Models/TUV/Interactive_TUV) (Madronich, 1987) at 1 h resolution for a clear sky day (7 June 2010) and used to scale the 1 min measured photosynthetically active radiation to capture changes with cloud cover. The O_3 optical depth used is 300 Dobson units, measured by OMI on 7 June 2010 (http://www.temis.nl/protocols/O3total.html) (Veefkind et al., 2006). The measurement altitude of the CalNex-SJV site is 0.14 km (a.s.l.). The surface albedo, 0.8, was determined using the MODIS 16 day 500 m product in band 3 (459–479 nm) for 18 June 2010. The product was reprojected at a fine resolution (0.001 ° ~ 10 m) for the CalNex-SJV site-specific surface reflectivity. The photolysis rates were reduced by 10 % so that calculated $PHO_x \sim LHO_x$. PHO_x is averaged over the 10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT window.

An effective α value is inferred from observations of O_x($O_x \equiv O_3 + NO_2$) and total alkyl nitrates (ΣANs) as two divided by the slope of their correlation (Perring et al., 2013). Deriving α this way treats O_x and $\sum$AN concentrations as proxies for their respective production rates and assumes that, on average, two O_3 are produced per unit VOCR. During CalNex-SJV the daily average α is 2–3 % (2σ) and we use 2.5 % as a constant in our calculation. The NO_x value at peak PO_3 is sensitive to α, where a lower α value

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

gives $\partial PO_3/\partial NO_x$ equal to zero at higher NO_x. Variability in α of ±0.5 % is not large enough to affect our results.

3 Observations

3.1 CalNex-SJV measurements

California at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change (CalNex) was a multi-site, multi-platform field intensive that took place in the spring of 2010 across California (Ryerson et al., 2013). The San Joaquin Valley supersite (CalNex-SJV) was located at the University of California Extension-Kern County (35.346° N, 118.965° W). Daytime (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) winds to the site were consistently from the northwest and at on average 2–3 m s^{-1} ($\sim 1\sigma$). The CalNex-SJV site was 6 km southeast of downtown Bakersfield and typically less than an hour downwind.

Continuous in-situ observations of over 10 VOCs, H_2CO, peroxy acyl nitrate (PAN), peroxypropionyl nitrate (PPN), C_1–C_3 organic acids, glyoxal, carbon monoxide (CO), methane (CH_4), OH reactivity, NO, NO_2, HNO_3, NH_3, SO_2, $\sum$ANs, O_3, H_2O_2, and meteorological parameters (e.g., temperature, relative humidity, and photosynthetically active radiation) were collected from 18 May to 29 June 2010 atop an 18 m walk-up tower. HONO was measured at a lower tower level 15.3 m above ground. Table A1 lists the measurement uncertainty, instrumental technique, and associated reference(s) for each species used in this analysis. All observations are at 1 min time resolution with the following exceptions: VOCs (1 h), NH_3 and SO_2 (1 h), OH reactivity (10 min), and HONO (2 min) (http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/groups/csd7/measurements/2010calnex/). Acetaldehyde, the first-generation isoprene oxidation product methyl vinyl ketone (MVK), ethane, and benzene were not measured. Their concentrations were calculated via relationships with observed species (see Appendix A1 for details).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Throughout this analysis we use daily average concentrations (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT), as our focus is the day-to-day rather than time-of-day variability. Daily averages of hourly VOC data are 10:00–14:30 LT in order to include 5 data points. We use the daily maximum 1 h temperature at the CalNex-SJV site (the maximum temperature over the entire day not just the 10 a.m.–2 p.m. window) unless otherwise noted. The daily maximum temperature is well correlated with the daily average temperature: slope = 0.91(±0.03), *y*-intercept = 4.72(±0.70) °C, and R^2 = 0.99. Skies were mostly cloudless during CalNex-SJV with the measured daily average photosynthetically active radiation (PAR) independent of temperature at daily maximum temperatures greater than 22 °C.

3.2 O_3 season routine monitoring observations

We include monitoring network measurements of the daily 1 h maximum temperature, hourly O_3, and the 8 h maximum average O_3 product. These data are collected and maintained by the California Air Resources Board and the San Joaquin Valley Air Pollution Control District (http://www.arb.ca.gov/adam/index.html). The temperature data are from the Bakersfield Meadows Airport (35.331° N, 119.001° W). The O_3 data are the average of the Bakersfield-5558 California Avenue (35.357° N, 119.063° W) and Edison (35.346° N, 118.852° W) sites. We discuss the O_3 data as the daily average (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) and in terms of the frequency of exceeding the California 8 h maximum average standard of 70.4 ppb.

Throughout this manuscript we define low, moderate, and high temperature regimes as follows: *low* – daily maximum temperatures of 17–27 °C (days between 1 May–31 October), *moderate* – daily maximum temperatures of 28–33 °C, and *high* – daily maximum temperatures of 34–45 °C. In 2010 there were 38 low temperature days, 54 moderate temperature days, and 80 high temperature days. These temperature cutoffs were selected in order to be consistent with Pusede and Cohen (2012). We also refer to the 2010 average low, moderate, and high temperatures, 24.7 °C, 30.8 °C, and 36.4 °C, which are averages of the 2010 1 h daily maximum temperature record and not of the 1 h daily maximum temperatures observed during the cooler, springtime CalNex-

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

SJV study period. All figures in the manuscript are plotted along the x axis of daily maximum temperature spanning 15–40 °C. There were three days in 2010 when the daily maximum temperature exceeded 40 °C.

4 Results and discussion

4.1 Organic reactivity and temperature

Organic and NO_x emissions arise from multiple sources. Some sources are largely independent of temperature, for example emissions from motor vehicle exhaust and baseload power plants; others are known to increase exponentially with temperature such as biogenic emissions and the evaporation of gasoline. We examine observed daytime relationships between reactivity and temperature of more than 120 individual organic compounds and find that reactivities can be broadly categorized as either temperature independent or temperature dependent (Fig. 1). We emphasize the observed temperature dependence is driven primarily by temperature's effect on VOC concentrations (presumably emissions) rather than by acceleration of the OH reaction rates. $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ are grouped by chemical functionality when possible and we prioritize simplicity (the fewest number of groupings) over precision. As a consequence we have binned species with very different sources.[1]

[1]For example, ethanol and methanol both have hydroxyl functional groups; however, their emissions sources are distinct. Ethanol sources include the evaporative emissions from decaying organic matter on farms (e.g., Alanis et al., 2010; Howard et al., 2010a, b; Malkina et al., 2011) and vehicle combustion (e.g., de Gouw et al., 2012); methanol sources include emissions from dairy wastes and also from living plants in forests and fields (e.g., Karl et al., 2001; Schade and Goldstein, 2001; Galbally and Kirstine, 2002; Fares et al., 2012). We observe that the alcohols' temperature dependence are also different, the exponential temperature dependence of methanol being more pronounced than that of ethanol (solid and dashed lines, respectively, in Fig. A1b). A second example is in known-biogenic grouping of isoprene, various monoterpenes,

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

In Fig. 1 we show that the daily average $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ of certain anthropogenic VOCs (AVOCs) and CO is independent of temperature; the reactivities of C_1–C_2 aldehydes, C_1–C_3 alcohols, known-biogenic VOCs, CH_4, a subset of alkanes and C_1–C_3 organic acids increase exponentially with increasing temperature. The data points, summarized in Fig. 1, are given in Fig. A1. We find that at low and moderate temperatures, temperature-independent AVOCs and CO represent the largest portion of $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$. At high temperatures, the small oxygenates, H_2CO, acetaldehyde, and C_1–C_3 alcohols, dominate. The known-biogenic source is only a minor contributor to VOCR in the southern SJV even at the highest temperatures (10 % of $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and 5 % of VOCR at 36.4 °C).

In Fig. 2 $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and VOCR are organized according to temperature dependence: temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (blue), known temperature-dependent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (yellow), and unknown temperature-dependent reactivity (green). The temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ has a mean value of $2.1\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$; it is equal to the sum of temperature-independent AVOCs, CO, $0.2\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ H_2CO, and $0.15\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ temperature-dependent alkane $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$. Light-duty vehicles are known to emit these molecules, the largest portion of this vehicular source (70–90 %) being temperature-independent tailpipe emissions (Pierson et al., 1999; Rubin et al., 2006; Gentner et al., 2009). An aside: the temperature-dependent alkane $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ category has been further divided into temperature-dependent and temperature-independent components, henceforth associating the $\sim y$-intercept value of $0.15\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$ (Fig. 1 orange line) with vehicle emissions (i.e. temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$) and the remaining reactivity, having a distinctly temperature-driven source, with evaporative emissions from gasoline vehicles and fugitive emissions from petroleum operations (Gentner et al., 2013a).

oxidation products of these emissions, et alia (Table A2). Isoprene and monoterpenes are emitted from different plant species, are transported to the CalNex-SJV site from different distances, and exhibit unique light, humidity, and (very high) temperature dependence (Guenther et al., 1993).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

The temperature-dependent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and temperature-dependent VOCR, the latter defined here as the measured OH reactivity minus the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and OH reactivities of NO, NO_2, HONO, HNO_3, NH_3, and SO_2, are also shown. A comparison of these data indicates a portion of the reactivity is unaccounted for by VOC observations. This unknown reactivity is temperature dependent, increasing from $\sim$ zero at low temperatures to $\sim 5\,s^{-1}$ at high temperatures. Our estimate for $\mathrm{VOCR}_{\mathrm{acetaldehyde}}$ is uncertain (Appendix A1). We include $\mathrm{VOCR}_{\mathrm{acetaldehyde}}$ in Figs. 1 and 2, as we are interested in the magnitude of the unknown as opposed to the unmeasured VOCR.

Identifying what molecules/sources are responsible for the unknown organic activity is not the aim of this work but we are able to establish the temperature response of the unknown source(s). We note that it has been previously speculated that a missing source of VOCR is important when O_3 is high in the SJV (Steiner et al., 2008; Hu et al., 2012; Pusede and Cohen, 2012). Organic sources with this temperature dependence include molecules with emissions rates controlled by their vapor pressures, biogenic VOCs from forests (e.g., Guenther et al., 1993) and cultivated fields, as well as the oxidation products of these emissions (e.g., Huisman et al., 2011; Mao et al., 2012). In the southern SJV, known organic sources likely to be temperature dependent are diverse and are arranged in an overlapping patchwork surrounding the CalNex-SJV site. These sources include: emissions from dairy wastes (e.g., Shaw et al., 2007; Gentner et al., 2013a) and animal feeds (Alanis et al., 2010; Howard et al., 2010a, b; Malkina et al., 2011); biogenic emissions from crops (e.g., Ormeño et al., 2010; Fares et al., 2011, 2012; Gentner et al., 2013b) and forests in the adjacent Sierra Nevada foothills (e.g., Schade and Goldstein, 2001; LaFranchi et al., 2011; Park et al., 2013); and the evaporative emissions of oil and gas extraction activities, as suggested by our observation of temperature-dependent light alkane mixing ratios.

In Pusede and Cohen (2012) – using temperature as a proxy for VOCR – we showed that the frequency of 8 h O_3 violations in Bakersfield and the southern SJV region at moderate temperatures fell substantially from 1999–2010 because of VOCR reduc-

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

tions; the same was not true at high temperatures and we concluded that there were two reasons for this.[2] (1) At moderate temperatures O_3 chemistry was VOC-limited for most of the record and, as such, the exceedance frequency was sensitive to changes in VOCR. At high temperatures, O_3 chemistry was near peak PO_3, and recently (2007–2010) NO_x-limited on weekends, and thus less sensitive to VOCR decreases. (2) There were two distinct categories of organic reactivity in the southern SJV – one source that had decreased over the last decade and was more important at moderate temperatures and a second source that dominated at high temperatures and had not substantially changed.

In light of the CalNex-SJV $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and VOCR observations and well-documented efforts to control motor vehicle emissions (e.g., Kirchstetter et al., 1999a, 1999b; Parrish et al., 2002; Harley et al., 2006; Parrish, 2006; Bishop and Stedman, 2008) we speculate that it is the temperature-independent reactivity that has decreased over the last decade. At the same time, we know of no deliberate attempt to control the molecules dominating the reactivity at high temperatures – small aldehydes, alcohols, and the unknown VOCR. It is therefore likely that the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ of 2.1 s^{-1} is the endpoint of long-term efforts at organic emissions reductions.

Routine summertime VOC canister sampling in the southern SJV (Appendix B) suggests a decrease of $\sim 6\,\%\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ in temperature-independent emissions. In what follows, we use this $-6\,\%\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ rate in the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and assume no change in temperature-dependent emissions to illustrate the effects of VOC controls from 2000 to 2010 on the total VOCR (Fig. 3). We show that over the last decade the impact of reductions on the total VOCR (black) have been greatest at low temperatures (5–35 %) and smallest at high temperatures (20–15 %). We show the predicted percent change in total VOCR in the next decade, assuming the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ continues to decreases at the same rate (periwinkle). Again, the impacts are

[2]Pusede and Cohen (2012) did not include an analysis at low temperatures because exceedances at these temperatures were infrequent or did not occur.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

largest at low temperatures (55–25 %) and smallest at high temperatures (15–10 %). An interesting feature of Fig. 3 is that the temperature dependence becomes more prominent in the future as the temperature-independent component declines.

4.2 Combined NO_x and temperature dependence

NO_x decreases by ∼ 60 % from weekdays (5.3 ppb) to weekends (2.3 ppb) and is observed to be temperature independent (Fig. 4a). In Fig. 4b and we combine relationships between temperature, total VOCR, and NO_x (as $NO_xR \equiv NO_x$ reactivity with OH). On weekends, VOCR/NO_xR is more than double that on weekdays. On both weekdays and weekends the observed VOCR/NO_xR increases with temperature by more than a factor of ten across the temperature range of 15–40 °C.

Figure 4b suggests that the combined NO_x and VOCR relationships with temperature impart a dependence on temperature of the sensitivity of PO_3 to its precursor emissions. To investigate this, we calculate PO_3 as described in Sect. 2.2 using the temperature relationships of VOCR, PHO_x, and NO/NO_x observed during CalNex-SJV (Fig. 5a–c). PHO_x increases with increasing temperature. This temperature dependence is driven by the HO_x source molecules O_3 (34 % of PHO_x), HONO (32 % of PHO_x), and H_2CO (26 % of PHO_x) each increasing with increasing temperature so that the relative contributions are similar across the 15–40 °C range. NO/NO_x decreases with increasing temperature, this ratio being set by the O_3 concentration (Fig. 5d). For context, we also show the daily average (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) O_3 during CalNex-SJV (magenta) and over the entire 2010 O_3 season (1 May–31 October) (gray). Fitting the 2010 O_3 (gray) vs. daily maximum temperature to a line (not shown) yields a weekday slope that is 28 % steeper on weekdays than on weekends.

Large changes in NO_x, like those occurring weekday to weekend, impact OH concentrations; this change in OH has a feedback on VOCR and NO_x. Higher OH reduces total VOCR, as oxidized organic molecules are usually less reactive than their parent VOC. OH has the same functional form as PO_3 and, analogously, is least responsive to changes in NO_x near peak PO_3. Higher average weekday O_3 (gray) at

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

high temperatures indicates chemistry is NO_x-limited (a point examined in detail in Sect. 4.3). Equal weekday-to-weekend percent decreases in OH occur alongside decreases in PO_3 and an equivalent reduction in the OH-reaction removal rate of organic emissions is implied; however, enhanced weekend VOCR at high temperatures is not observed (Fig. 5a). A compensating increase in organic emissions on weekends is unlikely, as high-temperature reactivity is dominated by molecules with emissions rates controlled by temperature and not by human activity (Sect. 4.1). Temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ is also invariant with day of week (not shown). We are not able definitively explain the absence of a day-of-week dependence of VOCR but suggest it is a combined effect of (a) the specific VOCR mixture in the southern SJV, (b) the limited statistics, and (c) the variability with temperature.

4.3 Ozone production rates and the impacts of emissions controls

Ozone production rates calculated using Eqs. (1)–(6) are useful for interpreting the observed frequency of O_3 violations and the sensitivity of PO_3 chemistry to emissions changes. In Fig. 6 we map PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) as a function of NO_x and temperature, with the latter as a surrogate for VOCR (the fit from Fig. 5a). The average weekday (solid line) and weekend (dashed line) NO_x mixing ratios are shown. In Table 1 we report PO_3 at average low (purple), moderate (blue), and high (red) temperatures. Note the exponential form of the temperature dependence of the transition point between NO_x-limited and VOC-limited regimes. At low temperatures (the 2010 average), PO_3 is VOC-limited and increases weekday to weekend. At moderate temperatures, weekday PO_3 is VOC-limited (1 ppb NO_x right of peak PO_3) but decreases by 17 % on weekends. At high temperatures, PO_3 is at the peak with respect to NO_x on weekdays and decreases by 42 % on weekends, when PO_3 is NO_x-limited.

We compare the results of our CalNex-SJV parameterized PO_3 calculation to the complete Bakersfield 2010 O_3 record (Table 1). At low temperatures, there were no exceedances of the 8 h O_3 standard on either weekdays or weekends. At moder-

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

ate temperatures there were 0.22 ± 0.09 exceedances day^{-1} on weekdays and 0.25 ± 0.11 exceedances day^{-1} on weekends. This is a 14 % increase in the frequency of O_3 exceedances weekday to weekend. At high temperatures there were 0.76 ± 0.07 exceedances day^{-1} on weekdays and 0.43 ± 0.10 exceedances day^{-1} on weekends, a 43 % reduction in the frequency of violations in response the changes in emissions, particularly the 60 % NO_x reduction on weekends. Uncertainties in exceedance frequency are treated as counting errors as $0.5(N)^{1/2}/N$, with N being the total numbers of days in that day-of-week and temperature bin. We see that at high temperatures, the weekday-to-weekend change in exceedance probability (43 %) is comparable to the 42 % decrease in calculated PO_3.

To make sense of the comparison at moderate temperatures and between temperature regimes requires consideration of an additional variable – that the O_3 concentration is an integrated function of PO_3 across the span of an urban plume. In Fig. 6, placing NO_x on the y axis illustrates this: directly upwind of the CalNex site was the Bakersfield city center, where NO_x emissions are higher and, according to Fig. 6, PO_3 is higher as well. The CalNex-SJV site was located at the plume edge (OMI satellite imagery) where gradients are steep and the upwind impact large. At high temperatures, because weekday chemistry is near peak PO_3 and because peak PO_3 spans the widest range in NO_x at the highest VOCR, upwind and local NO_x control over production are similar, as such, PO_3 and the exceedance frequency are in close agreement. At moderate temperatures, we compute that a 60 % decrease in NO_x is enough to transition the calculation of instantaneous PO_3 to NO_x-limited chemistry at the CalNex-SJV site but the exceedance data suggest this not true upwind or for the integrated PO_3. Likewise, violations are approximately 40 % more frequent at the equivalent PO_3 at high temperatures than at moderate temperatures.

We use our constrained PO_3 calculation to test the effects of possible controls on emissions (Fig. 7). We consider the following three strategies: –50 % NO_x (panels a and d), –50 % temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (panels b and e), and –50 % NO_x and –50 % temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (panels c and f). If temperature-

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

independent reactivity continues to decrease at a rate of 6 % yr^{-1} then the 50 % emissions decreases are expected by ~ 2021. Likewise, NO_x decreases in Bakersfield have been observed (from space) at approximately the same rate (Russell et al., 2012). NO_x controls on heavy-duty diesel trucks that are in the implementation phase (McDonald et al., 2012) will reduce NO_x emissions without concomitant VOC decreases and bring about the –50 % NO_x scenario relatively quickly. Figure 7 shows absolute PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) and percentage changes calculated during CalNex (black) on weekdays (solid line) and weekends (dashed line) for the three scenarios. We list these results at the 2010 average daily maximum temperatures in Table 1 and find our results in each case to be strongly temperature dependent.

On weekdays, a 50 % reduction in NO_x increases PO_3 at temperatures lower than 29 °C (PO_3 is VOCR-limited) and decreases PO_3 at temperatures above 29 °C (PO_3 is NO_x-limited). On weekends, a 50 % reduction in NO_x decreases PO_3 at all temperatures (> 16 °C) with the largest impacts at high temperatures.

A 50 % decrease in the temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ has the largest impact at low temperatures (Fig. 7b and 7e). This is both because VOCR/NO_xR is lowest here (Fig. 4b) and because the temperature-independent VOCR dominates at low temperatures (Fig. 3). Only at low temperatures are controls on temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ more effective than equivalent NO_x decreases at reducing PO_3.

In the third scenario of simultaneous 50 % NO_x and 50 % temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ reductions (Fig. 7c and 7f), we find that on weekdays PO_3 increases 0–9 % at 2–27 °C and otherwise decreases at all temperatures. On weekends, PO_3 decreases by at least 28 % at all temperatures. The difference between simultaneous NO_x and temperature-independent $\Sigma_i VOCR_i$ decreases and NO_x reductions alone is minimal at moderate and high temperatures: < 2 % more effective.

In summary, NO_x controls will be immediately effective at decreasing PO_3 under the conditions when violations of the O_3 standard occur – at moderate and high temperatures. Organic emissions reductions will be of limited benefit to reducing PO_3 except at low temperatures, when there were zero O_3 violations in 2010; this benefit

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

will be further attenuated alongside co-occurring NO_x decreases. A 50 % reduction in the temperature-dependent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ on top of the 50 % reduction in temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ (not shown) reduces high-temperature (36.4 °C) PO_3 by an additional 6 % on weekdays and 1 % on weekends. A 50 % reduction in the total VOCR, including reactivity from unknown molecules, decreases PO_3 at high temperatures by 25 % and 6 % on weekdays and weekends, respectively. However, VOCR reductions of equal effect to equivalent NO_x controls require development of new approaches, as existing controls do not target the molecules dominating the reactivity at high temperatures and existing measurement networks do not observe them. Planning and executing reductions in this VOCR will likely require years of effort – a time period during which NO_x controls on diesel trucks will be fully implemented and other strategies for NO_x reduction could be developed with knowledge of how to monitor their success.

One consequence of the local photochemistry moving to a NO_x-limited regime is that PO_3's temperature dependence will diminish, as temperature-driven increases in VOCR will not increase PO_3 (compare Fig. 7a's black solid and brown dashed lines). In the future we expect less variability in PO_3 and by extension less variability in the frequency of O_3 exceedances with temperature.

5 Summary

Using CalNex-SJV observations of organic molecules, OH reactivity, O_3, and nitrogen oxides we describe relationships between temperature, $\sum_i VOCR_i$, VOCR, NO_x, and PO_3. We find the $\sum_i VOCR_i$ in the southern San Joaquin Valley (SJV) has a temperature-independent component with a reactivity of 2.1 s^{-1} and a temperature-dependent component that increases exponentially from 0 s^{-1} at < 25 °C to ~ 5 s^{-1} at high temperatures. The temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ is composed of organic molecules associated with motor vehicle emissions. The known molecules contributing to the temperature-dependent VOCR are dominated by small aldehydes and alcohols; however, unidentified molecules are the largest source of reactivity at high tempera-

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

tures. The OH reactivity of NO_x is temperature independent and decreases by ~ 60 % from weekdays to weekends.

We compute PO_3 using an analytical model constrained by the CalNex-SJV measurements. In response to the observed ~ 60 % NO_x decreases (at constant VOCR) occurring on weekends, we calculate that PO_3 increases at low temperatures, decreases by 17 % at moderate temperatures, and decreases by 42 % at high temperatures (Fig. 6). We show consistency between weekday-to-weekend percent changes in the frequency of exceedances of the California 8 h O_3 standard (70.4 ppb) and the constrained model predictions suggesting the results of this short-term field experiment, viewed in the framework of temperature, give insight into the entire O_3 season in the southern SJV.

Using this same PO_3 model we estimate the effects of possible emissions control scenarios and show the impacts are variable with temperature in both sign and magnitude. We conclude that NO_x reductions will be immediately and incrementally productive at reducing PO_3 on weekends at moderate temperatures and on both weekdays and weekends at high temperatures, which is when exceedances are most frequent. Reductions of the temperature-independent organic reactivity will be effective at low temperatures only; however, there were no violations of the California 8 h O_3 standard in 2010 at these temperatures. The impact of reductions of temperature-independent VOC emissions on O_3 violations at moderate and high temperatures will be further diminished with co-occurring NO_x decreases.

Appendix A

CalNex-SJV measurements and $\sum_i$ VOCR$_i$

Table A1 lists each observation/class of observed compounds, the measurement uncertainty, analytical technique, and associated reference included in our analysis.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

In Fig. A1 we show the daily averaged (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ vs. daily maximum temperature for C_1–C_2 small aldehydes (panel a), C_1–C_3 alcohols (panel b), known-biogenic VOCs (panel c), CH_4 (panel d), temperature-dependent alkanes (generally those with $< C_{10}$) (panel e), C_1–C_3 organic acids (panel f), the sum of all alkanes, alkenes, aromatics, and carbonyls observed to be independent of temperature (panel g), and CO (panel h). In panels a–f gray lines are the empirical exponential fits of reactivity as a function of the daily maximum temperature. In panels g–h the gray lines are the average reactivity. Linear fits to these data give slopes that are not meaningfully different than zero. The C_1–C_2 aldehydes are H_2CO and acetaldehyde (acetaldehyde's concentration was estimated, not measured; see below). The C_1–C_3 alcohols are methanol, ethanol, and isopropanol. Key molecules in the known-biogenic grouping are isoprene, methacrolein, MVK, glyoxal, limonene, and α- and β-pinene. C_1–C_3 organic acids are formic acid, acetic acid, and propionic acid. All alkanes (panels e and h), alkenes, aromatics, and carbonyls, along with all other species included in Fig. 1 and Fig. A1 are listed in Table A2. Table A2 includes each compound's mean reactivity at low, moderate, and high daily maximum temperatures. If a temperature dependent OH rate expression is available we use the time varying temperature over the same time interval, not the daily maximum temperature. If no rate constant is available one is assigned by analogy to a compound with similar molecular structure, indicated with a double asterisk and an explanatory note. In order to sum the reactivities of many species, null daily average concentrations were filled using the temperature-dependent fit or average value as dictated by the observed temperature relationship. Methyl ethyl ketone is included in the temperature-dependent alkane $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$, as it exhibits clear temperature dependence; this was done so as to avoid introducing another temperature-dependent grouping. In a handful of instances, individual daily average VOCR_i outliers were removed prior to computing the temperature-dependent fit or mean.

The mixing ratio of acetaldehyde is estimated assuming (a) acyl peroxy nitrate products and aldehyde sources are co-located, i.e. that PPN:propanal is equal to

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

PAN:acetaldehyde, and (b) that acetaldehyde is the only PAN source molecule; methacrolein, biacetyl, MVK, and methyl glyoxal are also known PAN sources. Biacetyl, MVK, and methyl glyoxal were not measured during CalNex-SJV, so to test this second assumption, we approximated their concentrations via ratios to methacrolein, as observed during the Biosphere Effects on ARosol and Photochemistry Experiment (BEARPEX) (Lafranchi et al., 2009), which took place 15 June–31 July 2007 at a site located ∼ 3 h downwind from an isoprene source region. Using the calculated acetaldehyde (Fig. A1 panel a), approximated biacetyl, MVK, and methyl glyoxal, and the measured methacrolein we find acetaldehyde to be ∼ 80 % of the PAN source (compared to < 40 % at 30 °C at the BEARPEX site). The dominance of acetaldehyde to PAN formation is not unexpected in the southern SVJ given the low $VOCR_{isoprene}$ and $VOCR_{macrolein}$. Alternatively, acetaldehyde can be calculated assuming steady state relationships with PAN, HO_2, RO_2, NO, and NO_2. We find this approach yields an estimated $VOCR_{acealdehyde}$ that is ∼ 3 times greater than the one we use in this analysis but with the same temperature dependence as we report. The larger acetaldehyde value would reduce the inferred missing VOCR by ∼ 20 % but would not affect any other conclusion in this analysis.

MVK is estimated as 3 times the methacrolein concentration. This is the same ratio of MVK to methacrolein observed during BEARPEX-2007 (LaFranchi et al., 2009). We do not include an estimate for second-generation isoprene oxidation products. Due to the low levels of isoprene and methacrolein we expect second-generation oxidation product concentrations to also be small.

Ethane and benzene were estimated via observed relationships with propane and toluene, respectively, using the PAMS data record (Appendix B).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Appendix B

EPA PAMS dataset

The US Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) Photochemical Assessment Monitoring Stations (PAMS) network (http://www.epa.gov/ttnamti1/pamsmain.html) monitors a variety of organic molecules heavily weighted toward anthropogenic, unfunctionalized hydrocarbons emitted from light-duty vehicles. VOC samples are collected ~ 4 times day^{-1} during the summer at 3 stations in the southern SJV: Shafter (35.504° N, 119.272° W), Golden Street Avenue (35.386° N, 119.015° W), and Arvin Bear Mountain Boulevard (35.208° N, 118.784° W). We use the median of all data in a given year collected within the time interval of 1 a.m.–5 p.m. LT, as a result we may include, 1, or 2 data points from 1, 2, or 3 sites day^{-1}. We chose toluene to represent the trend in the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$, as (a) it's daily average reactivity during CalNex-SJV was temperature-independent and (b) it was well sampled by the PAMS network 2001–2009. We compute the rate of decrease of $\mathrm{VOCR}_{\mathrm{toluene}}$ to be $\sim 6\,\%\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ over this time period.

We use the PAMS 2010 benzene:toluene and ethane:propane (10 a.m.–5 p.m. LT) to scale the CalNex-SJV observations of toluene and propane for $\mathrm{VOCR}_{\mathrm{benzene}}$ and $\mathrm{VOCR}_{\mathrm{ethane}}$.

Appendix C

Impacts on PO_3 from future changes in $P\mathrm{HO_x}$ and $\mathrm{NO/NO_x}$

In Fig. 7 we tested three primary (direct) emissions control scenarios. Future changes in $P\mathrm{HO_x}$ and $\mathrm{NO/NO_x}$ will also impact PO_3, which we did not include in our three scenarios. Feedback effects result in changes to these parameters but have little effect on our conclusions. In Fig. C1 we separately test the temperature effects of VOCR, $P\mathrm{HO_x}$,

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

and NO/NO_x on PO_3. In each panel, PO_3 is computed both with all parameters varying with temperature (at weekday NO_x) (black) and with constant high-temperature average (34.6 °C) values (the rate coefficients do vary with temperature) (gray). We test the temperature-dependent variables separately: VOCR (panel a), PHO_x (panel b), and NO/NO_x (panel c). First, decreases in O_3 decrease PHO_x and increase NO/NO_x. For example, allowing O_3 to be 50 % the HO_x source, a 20 % reduction in O_3 effects an approximately 10 % decrease in PHO_x. This same decrease in O_3 increases NO/NO_x by 25 % (at 80 ppb O_3, 5 ppb NO_2, 300 K, and $j_{NO_2} = 0.009\,s^{-1}$). The two effects are near equal in magnitude and opposite in sign. Second, VOC emissions reductions work to decrease PHO_x, as H_2CO is, at some point, an oxidation product of nearly every organic molecule. H_2CO is ∼ 25 % of PHO_x (during CalNex-SJV). If H_2CO decreases over the next decade according to Fig. 3, then a 15 % decrease (the upper bound) in H_2CO at high temperatures decreases PHO_x by less than 5 %, also at high temperatures, an effect too small to alter our conclusions.

Acknowledgements. This work was funded by the California Air Resources Board (contract CARB 08-316) and by the National Aeronautics and Space Administration (grant NNX10AR36G). We are grateful to John Karlik, Rick Ramirez, and the entire University of California Kern County Extension for logistical support during the CalNex-SJV project. We thank David Parrish for useful comments on our manuscript. We acknowledge the California Air Resources Board and the San Joaquin Valley Unified Air Pollution Control District for the temperature and O_3 data. The findings and discussions described in this paper are those of the authors and do not necessarily represent the views of our sponsors.

References

Alanis, P., Ashkan, S., Krauter, C., Campbell, S., and Hasson, A. S.: Emissions of volatile fatty acids from feed at dairy facilities, Atmos. Environ., 44, 5084–5092, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2010.09.017, 2010.

Atkinson, R.: Gas-phase tropospheric chemistry of organic compounds, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, Monograph 2, 1–216, 1994.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Atkinson, R. and Arey, J.: Atmospheric degradation of volatile organic compounds, Chem. Rev., 103, 4605–4638, doi:10.1021/cr0206420, 2003.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Hampson, R. F., Kerr, J. A., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry supplement-IV – IUPAC subcommittee on gas kinetic data evaluation for atmospheric chemistry, J. Phys. Chem. Ref. Data, 21, 1125–1568, 1992.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F. Jr., Kerr, J. A., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J., in: Summary of evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry, edited by: Carver, G. D. and Cox, R. A., IUPAC Subcommittee on Gas Kinetic Evaluation for Atmospheric Chemistry, University of Cambridge, Cambridge, 1–56, 2001.

Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., Troe, J., and IUPAC Subcommittee: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume II – gas phase reactions of organic species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 3625–4055, doi:10.5194/acp-6-3625-2006, 2006.

Bishop, G. A. and Stedman, D. H.: A decade of on-road emissions measurements, Environ. Sci. Technol., 42, 1651–1656, doi:10.1021/es702413b, 2008.

Calvert, J. G., Atkinson, R., Becker, K. H., Kamens, R. M., Seinfeld, J. H., Wallington, T. J., and Yarwood, G.: The mechanism of atmospheric oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons, Oxford University Press, New York, NY, 95, 2002.

Cox, P., Delao, A., Komorniczak, A., and Weller, R.: The California Almanac of Emissions and Air Quality, California Air Resources Board, Sacramento, CA, 2009.

Crounse, J. D., McKinney, K. A., Kwan, A. J., and Wennberg, P. O.: Measurement of gas-phase hydroperoxides by chemical ionization mass spectrometry, Anal. Chem., 78, 6726–6732, doi:10.1021/ac0604235, 2006.

Day, D. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Dillon, M. B., Thornton, J. A., and Cohen, R. C.: A thermal dissociation laser-induced fluorescence instrument for in situ detection of NO_2, peroxy nitrates, alkyl nitrates, and HNO_3, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4046, doi:10.1029/2001JD000779, 2002.

de Gouw, J. A., Gilman, J. B., Borbon, A., Warneke, C., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D. Holloway, J. S., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Parrish, D. D., Gentner, D. R., Goldstein, A. H., and Harley, R. A.: Increasing atmospheric burden of ethanol in the United States, Geophys. Res. Lett., 39, L15803, doi:10.1029/2012GL052109, 2012.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Di Carlo, P., Brune, W. H., Martinez, M., Harder, H., Lesher, R., Ren, X. R., Thornberry, T., Carroll, M. A., Young, V., Shepson, P. B., Riemer, D., Apel, E., and Campbell, C.: Missing OH reactivity in a forest: evidence for unknown reactive biogenic VOCs, Science, 304, 722–725, doi:10.1126/science.1094392, 2004.

DiGangi, J. P., Boyle, E. S., Karl, T., Harley, P., Turnipseed, A., Kim, S., Cantrell, C., Maudlin III, R. L., Zheng, W., Flocke, F., Hall, S. R., Ullmann, K., Nakashima, Y., Paul, J. B., Wolfe, G. M., Desai, A. R., Kajii, Y., Guenther, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: First direct measurements of formaldehyde flux via eddy covariance: implications for missing in-canopy formaldehyde sources, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10565–10578, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10565-2011, 2011.

Dillon, T. J., Holscher, D., Sivakumaran, V., Horowitz, A., and Crowley, J. N.: Kinetics of the reactions of HO with methanol (210–351 K) and with ethanol (216–368 K), Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 7, 349–355, doi:10.1039/b413961e, 2005.

Fares, S., Gentner, D. R., Park, J.-H., Ormeño, E., Karlik, J., and Goldstein, A. H.: Biogenic emissions from Citrus species in California, Atmos. Environ., 45, 4557–4568, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.05.066, 2011.

Fares, S., Park, J.-H., Gentner, D. R., Weber, R., Ormeño, E., Karlik, J., and Goldstein, A. H.: Seasonal cycles of biogenic volatile organic compound fluxes and concentrations in a California citrus orchard, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 9865–9880, doi:10.5194/acp-12-9865-2012, 2012.

Farmer, D. K., Perring, A. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Blake, D. R., Baker, A., Meinardi, S., Huey, L. G., Tanner, D., Vargas, O., and Cohen, R. C.: Impact of organic nitrates on urban ozone production, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 4085–4094, doi:10.5194/acp-11-4085-2011, 2011.

Galbally, I. E. and Kirstine, W.: The production of methanol by flowering plants and the global cycle of methanol, J. Atmos. Chem., 43, 195–229, doi:10.1023/A:1020684815474, 2002.

Gentner, D. R., Harley, R. A., Miller, A. M., and Goldstein, A. H.: Diurnal and seasonal variability of gasoline-related volatile organic compound emissions in Riverside, California, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 4247–4252, doi:10.1021/es9006228, 2009.

Gentner, D. R., Isaacman, G., Worton, D. R., Chan, A. W. H., Dallmann, T. R., Davis, L., Liu, S., Day, D. A., Russell, L. M., Wilson, K. R., Weber, R., Guha, A., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Elucidating secondary organic aerosol from diesel and gasoline vehicles through detailed characterization of organic carbon emissions, P. Natl. Acad. Sci., 45, 18318–18323, doi:10.1073/pnas.1212272109, 2012.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Gentner, D. R., Ford, T. B., Guha, A., Boulanger, K., Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., de Gouw, J. A., Warneke, C., Gilman, J. B., Ryerson, T. B., Peischl, J., Meinardi, S., Blake, D. R., Atlas, E., Lonneman, W. A., Kleindienst, T. E., Beaver, M. R., St. Clair, J. M., Wennberg, P. O., Vandenboer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Murphy, J. G., Harley, R. A., and Goldstein, A. H.: Emissions of organic carbon and methane from petroleum and dairy operations in California's San Joaquin Valley, in preparation, 2013a.

Gentner, D. R., Ormeño, E., Fares, S., Ford, T. B., Weber, R., Park, J.-H., Brioude, J., Angevine, W. M., Karlik, J. F., and Goldstein, A. H.: Emissions of biogenic gas-phase organic carbon from agricultural crops and their potential implications of air quality, in preparation, 2013b.

Guenther, A. B., Zimmerman, P. R., Harley, P. C., Monson, R. K., and Fall, R.: Isoprene and monoterpene emission rate variability: model evaluations and sensitivity analyses, J. Geophys. Res., 98, 12609–12617, doi:10.1029/93JD00527, 1993.

Harley, R. A., Hooper, D. S., Kean, A. J., Kirchstetter, T. W., Hesson, J. M., Balberan, N. T., Stevenson, E. D., and Kendall, G. R.: Effects of reformulated gasoline and motor vehicle fleet turnover on emissions and ambient concentrations of benzene, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 5084–5088, doi:10.1021/es0604820, 2006.

Hottle, J. R., Huisman, A. J., Digangi, J. P., Kammrath, A., Galloway, M. M., Coens, K. L., and Keutsch, F. N.: A laser induced fluorescence-based instrument for in-situ measurements of atmospheric formaldehyde, Environ. Sci. Technol., 43, 790–795, doi:10.1021/es801621f, 2009.

Howard, C. J., Kumar, A., Malkina, I., Mitloehner, F., Green, P. G., Flocchini, R. G., and Kleeman, M. J.: Reactive organic gas emissions from livestock feed contribute significantly to ozone production in Central California, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 2309–2314, doi:10.1021/es902864u, 2010a.

Howard, C. J., Kumar, A., Mitloehner, F., Stackhouse, K., Green, P. G., Flocchini, R. G., and Kleeman, M. J.: Direct measurements of the ozone formation potential from livestock and poultry waste emissions, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 2292–2298, doi:10.1021/es901916b, 2010b.

Hu, J. L., Howard, C. J., Mitloehner, F., Green, P. G., and Kleeman, M. J.: Mobile source and livestock feed contributions to regional ozone formation in Central California, Environ. Sci. Technol., 46, 2781–2789, doi:10.1021/es203369p, 2012.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Huisman, A. J., Hottle, J. R., Coens, K. L., DiGangi, J. P., Galloway, M. M., Kammrath, A., and Keutsch, F. N.: Laser-induced phosphorescence for the in situ detection of glyoxal at part per trillion mixing ratios, Anal. Chem., 80, 5884–5891, doi:10.1021/ac800407b, 2008.

Huisman, A. J., Hottle, J. R., Galloway, M. M., DiGangi, J. P., Coens, K. L., Choi, W., Faloona, I. C., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., de Gouw, J., Bouvier-Brown, N. C., Goldstein, A. H., LaFranchi, B. W., Cohen, R. C., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., Docherty, K. S., Farmer, D. K., Cubison, M. J., Jimenez, J. L., Mao, J., Brune, W. H., and Keutsch, F. N.: Photochemical modeling of glyoxal at a rural site: observations and analysis from BEARPEX 2007, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 8883–8897, doi:10.5194/acp-11-8883-2011, 2011.

Karl, T., Guenther, A., Lindinger, C., Jordan, A., Fall, R., and Lindinger, W.: Eddy covariance measurements of oxygenated volatile organic compound fluxes from crop harvesting using a redesigned proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometer, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 24157–24167, doi:10.1029/2000JD000112, 2001.

Kirchstetter, T. W., Singer, B. C., Harley, R. A., Kendall, G. R., and Hesson, J. M.: Impact of California reformulated gasoline on motor vehicle emissions. 2. Volatile organic compound speciation and reactivity, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 329–336, doi:10.1021/es980374g, 1999a.

Kirchstetter, T. W., Singer, B. C., Harley, R. A., Kendall, G. R., and Traverse, M.: Impact of California reformulated gasoline on motor vehicle emissions, 1. Mass emission rates, Environ. Sci. Technol., 33, 318–328, doi:10.1021/es9803714, 1999b.

Kovacs, T. A. and Brune, W. H.: Total OH loss rate measurement, J. Atmos. Chem., 39, 105–122, doi:10.1023/A:1010614113786, 2001.

Kovacs, T. A., Brune, W. H., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Simpas, J. B., Frost, G. J., Williams, E., Jobson, T., Stroud, C., Young, V., Fried, A., and Wert, B.: Direct measurements of urban OH reactivity during Nashville SOS in summer 1999, J. Environ. Monitor., 5, 68–74, doi:10.1039/b204339d, 2003.

LaFranchi, B. W., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., Harrold, S. A., Browne, E. C., Min, K. E., Wooldridge, P. J., Gilman, J. B., Kuster, W. C., Goldan, P. D., de Gouw, J. A., McKay, M., Goldstein, A. H., Ren, X., Mao, J., and Cohen, R. C.: Closing the peroxy acetyl nitrate budget: observations of acyl peroxy nitrates (PAN, PPN, and MPAN) during BEARPEX 2007, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 7623–7641, doi:10.5194/acp-9-7623-2009, 2009.

LaFranchi, B. W., Goldstein, A. H., and Cohen, R. C.: Observations of the temperature dependent response of ozone to NO_x reductions in the Sacramento, CA urban plume, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 6945–6960, doi:10.5194/acp-11-6945-2011, 2011.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Lou, S., Holland, F., Rohrer, F., Lu, K., Bohn, B., Brauers, T., Chang, C.C., Fuchs, H., Häseler, R., Kita, K., Kondo, Y., Li, X., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Wahner, A., Zhang, Y., Wang, W., and Hofzumahaus, A.: Atmospheric OH reactivities in the Pearl River Delta – China in summer 2006: measurement and model results, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 11243–11260, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11243-2010, 2010.

Madronich, S.: Photodissociation in the atmosphere. 1. Actinic flux and the effects of ground reflections and clouds, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 92, 9740–9752, doi:10.1029/JD092iD08p09740, 1987.

Malkina, I. L., Kumar, A., Green, P. G., and Mitloehner, F. M.: Identification and quantitation of volatile organic compounds emitted from dairy silages and other feedstuffs, J. Environ. Qual., 40, 28–36, doi:10.2134/jeq2010.0302, 2011.

Mao, J., Ren, X., Zhang, L., Van Duin, D. M., Cohen, R. C., Park, J.-H., Goldstein, A. H., Paulot, F., Beaver, M. R., Crounse, J. D., Wennberg, P. O., DiGangi, J. P., Henry, S. B., Keutsch, F. N., Park, C., Schade, G. W., Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., and Brune, W. H.: Insights into hydroxyl measurements and atmospheric oxidation in a California forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8009–8020, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8009-2012, 2012.

Markovic, M. Z., VandenBoer, T. C., and Murphy, J. G.: Characterization and optimization of an online system for the simultaneous measurement of atmospheric water-soluble constituents in the gas and particle phases, J. Environ. Monit., 14, 1872–1884, doi:10.1039/c2em00004k, 2012.

McDonald, B. C., Dallmann, T. R., Martin, E. W., and Harley, R. A.: Long-term trends in nitrogen oxide emissions from motor vehicles at national, state, and air basin scales, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 117, D00V18, doi:10.1029/2012JD018304, 2012.

Mielke, L. H., Furgeson, A., and Osthoff, H. D.: Observation of $ClNO_2$ in a mid-continental urban environment, Environ. Sci. Technol., 45, 8889–8896, doi:10.1021/es201955u, 2011.

Min, K.-E., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., LaFranchi, B. W., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Eddy covariance fluxes and vertical concentration gradient measurements of NO and NO_2 over a ponderosa pine ecosystem: observational evidence for within canopy removal of NO_x, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 12437–12484, doi:10.5194/acpd-13-12437-2013, 2013.

Murphy, J. G., Day, D. A., Cleary, P. A., Wooldridge, P. J., Millet, D. B., Goldstein, A. H., and Cohen, R. C.: The weekend effect within and downwind of Sacramento – Part 1: Observations of ozone, nitrogen oxides, and VOC reactivity, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5327–5339, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5327-2007, 2007.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Ormeño, E., Gentner, D. R., Fares, S., Karlik, J., Park, J. H., and Goldstein, A. H.: Sesquiterpenoid emissions from agricultural crops: correlations to monoterpenoid emissions and leaf terpene content, Environ. Sci. Technol., 44, 3758–3764, doi:10.1021/es903674m, 2010.

Osthoff, H. D., Roberts, J. M., Ravishankara, A. R., Williams, E. J., Lerner, B. M., Sommariva, R., Bates, T. S., Coffman, D., Quinn, P. K., Dibb, J. E., Stark, H., Burkholder, J. B., Talukdar, R. K., Meagher, J., Fehsenfeld, F. C., and Brown, S. S.: High levels of nitryl chloride in the polluted subtropical marine boundary layer, Nat. Geosci., 1, 324–328, doi:10.1038/ngeo177, 2008.

Park, J.-H., Goldstein, A. H., Timkovsky, J., Fares, S., Weber, R., Karlik, J., and Holzinger, R.: Eddy covariance emission and deposition flux measurements using proton transfer reaction – time of flight – mass spectrometry (PTR-TOF-MS): comparison with PTR-MS measured vertical gradients and fluxes, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 1439–1456, doi:10.5194/acp-13-1439-2013, 2013.

Parrish, D. D.: Critical evaluation of US on-road vehicle emission inventories, Atmos. Environ., 40, 2288–2300, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2005.11.033, 2006.

Parrish, D. D., Trainer, M., Hereid, D., Williams, E. J., Olszyna, K. J., Harley, R. A., Meagher, J. F., and Fehsenfeld, F. C.: Decadal change in carbon monoxide to nitrogen oxide ratio in US vehicular emissions, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 107, 4140, doi:10.1029/2001JC000720, 2002.

Perring, A. E., Pusede, S. E., and Cohen, R. C.: An observational perspective on the atmospheric impacts of alkyl and multifunctional nitrates on ozone and secondary organic aerosol, Chem. Rev., 113, 5848–5870, doi:10.1021/cr300520x, 2013.

Pierson, W. R., Schorran, D. E., Fujita, E. M., Sagebiel, J. C., Lawson, D. R., and Tanner, R. L.: Assessment of nontailpipe hydrocarbon emissions from motor vehicles, J. Air Waste Manage. Assoc., 49, 498–519, 1999.

Pusede, S. E. and Cohen, R. C.: On the observed response of ozone to NO_x and VOC reactivity reductions in San Joaquin Valley California 1995–present, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 8323–8339, doi:10.5194/acp-12-8323-2012, 2012.

Ren, X., Sanders, J. E., Rajendran, A., Weber, R. J., Goldstein, A. H., Pusede, S. E., Browne, E. C., Min, K.-E., and Cohen, R. C.: A relaxed eddy accumulation system for measuring vertical fluxes of nitrous acid, Atmos. Meas. Tech., 4, 2093–2103, doi:10.5194/amt-4-2093-2011, 2011.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Russell, A. R., Valin, L. C., and Cohen, R. C.: Trends in OMI NO_2 observations over the United States: effects of emission control technology and the economic recession, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 12197–12209, doi:10.5194/acp-12-12197-2012, 2012.

Rubin, J. I., Kean, A. J., Harley, R. A., Millet, D. B., and Goldstein, A. H.: Temperature dependence of volatile organic compound evaporative emissions from motor vehicles, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 111, D03305, doi:10.1029/2005JD006458, 2006.

Ryerson, T. B., Andrews, A. E., Angevine, W. M., Bates, T. S., Brock, C. A., Cairns, B., Cohen, R. C., Cooper, O. R., de Gouw, J. A., Fehsenfeld, F. C., Ferrare, R. A., Fischer, M. L., Flagan, R. C., Goldstein, A. H., Hair, J. W., Hardesty, R. M., Hostetler, C. A., Jimenez, J. L., Langford, A. O., McCauley, E., McKeen, S. A., Molina, L. T., Nenes, A., Oltmans, S. J., Parrish, D. D., Pederson, J. R., Pierce, R. B., Prather, K., Quinn, P. K., Seinfeld, J. H., Senff, C. J., Sorooshian, A., Stutz, J., Surratt, J. D., Trainer, M., Volkamer, R., Williams, E. J., and Wofsy, S. C.: The 2010 California Research at the Nexus of Air Quality and Climate Change (CalNex) field study, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 118, 5830–5866, doi:10.1002/jgrd.50331, 2013.

Sander, S. P., Friedl, R. R., Golden, D. M., Kurylo, M. J., Huie, R. E., Orkin, V. L., Moortgat, G. K., Ravishankara, A. R., Kolb, C. E., Molina, M. J., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Chemical kinetics and photochemical data for use in atmospheric studies, Evaluation No. 14, JPL Publication 02-25, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, Pasadena, available at: http://jpldataeval.jpl.nasa.gov/pdf/JPL_02-25_rev02.pdf (last access: 1 October 2011), 2003.

Schade, G. W. and Goldstein, A. H.: Fluxes of oxygenated volatile organic compounds from a ponderosa pine plantation, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 106, 3111–3123, doi:10.1029/2000JD900592, 2001.

Shaw, S. L., Mitloehner, F. M., Jackson, W., Depeters, E. J., Fadel, J. G., Robinson, P. H., Holzinger, R., and Goldstein, A. H.: Volatile organic compound emissions from dairy cows and their waste as measured by proton-transfer-reaction mass spectrometry, Environ. Sci. Technol., 41, 1310–1316, doi:10.1021/es061475e, 2007.

Sprengnether, M. M., Demerjian, K. L., Dransfield, T. J., Clarke, J. S., Anderson, J. G., and Donahue, N. M.: Rate constants of nine C6–C9 alkanes with OH from 230 to 379 K: chemical tracers for OH, J. Phys. Chem. A, 113, 5030–5038, doi:10.1021/jp810412m, 2009.

Steiner, A. L., Cohen, R. C., Harley, R. A., Tonse, S., Millet, D. B., Schade, G. W., and Goldstein, A. H.: VOC reactivity in central California: comparing an air quality model to

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

ground-based measurements, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 351–368, doi:10.5194/acp-8-351-2008, 2008.

Thornton, J. A., Wooldridge, P. J., and Cohen, R. C.: Atmospheric NO_2: In situ laser-induced fluorescence detection at parts per trillion mixing ratios, Anal. Chem., 72, 528–539, doi:10.1021/ac9908905, 2000.

Thornton, J. A., Kercher, J. P., Riedel, T. P., Wagner, N. L., Cozic, J., Holloway, J. S., Dube, W. P., Wolfe, G. M., Quinn, P. K., Middlebrook, A. M., Alexander, B., and Brown, S. S.: A large atomic chlorine source inferred from mid-continental reactive nitrogen chemistry, Nature, 464, 271–274, doi:10.1038/nature08905, 2010.

VandenBoer, T. C., Markovic, M. Z., Sanders, J. E., Ren, X., Pusede, S. E., Rollins, A. W., Browne, E. C., Cohen, R. C., Weber, R. J., Goldstein, A. H., Brune, W. H., and Murphy, J. G.: Evidence for a nitrous acid (HONO) reservoir at the ground surface in Bakersfield, CA during CalNex 2010, J. Geophys. Res., submitted, 2013.

Veefkind, J. P., de Haan, J. F., Brinksma, E. J., Kroon, M., and Levelt, P. F.: Total ozone from the Ozone Monitoring Instrument (OMI) using the DOAS technique, IEEE Trans. Geo. Rem. Sens., 44, 5, 1239–1244, doi:10.1109/TGRS.2006.871204, 2006.

Wolfe, G. M., Thornton, J. A., Yatavelli, R. L. N., McKay, M., Goldstein, A. H., LaFranchi, B., Min, K.-E., and Cohen, R. C.: Eddy covariance fluxes of acyl peroxy nitrates (PAN, PPN and MPAN) above a Ponderosa pine forest, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 615–634, doi:10.5194/acp-9-615-2009, 2009.

Yamada, T., Taylor, P. H., Goumri, A., and Marshall, P.: The reaction of OH with acetone and acetone-d(6) from 298 to 832 K: Rate coefficients and mechanism, J. Chem. Phys., 119, 10600–10606, doi:10.1063/1.1619950, 2003.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table 1. PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) computed with an analytical model parameterized with CalNex-SJV observations. Row 1: PO_3 calculated at the 2010 average low (24.7 °C), moderate (30.8 °C), and high (36.4 °C) temperatures. Row 2: Number of violations of the 8 h California O_3 standard in Bakersfield in 2010 day^{-1} by temperature and by weekday/weekend. Uncertainties are computed as counting errors as $0.5(N)^{1/2}/N$, where N is the total numbers of days in that temperature and day-of-week regime. Rows 3–5: PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) calculated with 50 % lower NO_x, with 50 % lower temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$, and with both 50 % lower NO_x and 50 % lower temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$. Also shown are the percent differences (%) between the 2010 CalNex constrained PO_3 and that computed with the emissions reduction. PO_3 is rounded to the nearest ppb h^{-1}. The relevant uncertainty is not in PO_3 itself but in the derivative of PO_3 with respect to NO_x.

	Low (at 24.7 °C)		Moderate (at 30.8 °C)		High (at 36.4 °C)	
	Weekdays	Weekends	Weekdays	Weekends	Weekdays	Weekends
CalNex-SJV						
PO_3 (ppb h^{-1})	10	12	18	15	26	15
Bakersfield 2010						
Violations day^{-1}	0 (±0.10)	0 (±0.17)	0.22 (±0.09)	0.25 (±0.11)	0.76 (±0.07)	0.43 (±0.10)
–50 % NO_x						
PO_3 (ppb h^{-1})	12	8	16	9	17	8
Impact (%)	26	–31	–12	–42	–33	–48
–50 % temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$						
PO_3 (ppb h^{-1})	7	11	16	14	25	15
Impact (%)	–26	–12	–10	–3	–4	–1
–50 % NO_x and –50 % temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$						
PO_3 (ppb h^{-1})	11	8	15	8	17	8
Impact (%)	7	–35	–15	–43	–33	–46

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A1. Species, measurement accuracy, analytical technique, and reference for each CalNex-SJV observation included in our analysis. Many species are measured with higher precision than accuracy. See original references for details. All CalNex data are accessible to the public at http://www.esrl.noaa.gov/csd/groups/csd7/measurements/2010calnex/.

Species	Accuracy (± %)	Analytical technique	Reference
VOCs	5	gas chromatography-mass spectrometer	Gentner et al. (2012)
CH_2O	30	laser induced fluorescence (LIF)	Hottle et al. (2009); DiGangi et al. (2011)
PAN and PPN	21	chemical ionization mass spectrometry (CIMS)	Wolfe et al. (2009)
C_1–C_3 acids	50	CIMS	Crounse et al. (2006)
glyoxal	20	laser induced phosphorescence	Huisman et al. (2008)
CO	0.5	infrared (IR) absorption	
CH_4	1	IR absorption	
OH reactivity	32	OH decay by LIF	Kovacs et al. (2003)
NO_2	5	LIF	Thornton et al. (2000)
NO	7	chemiluminescence	Min et al. (2013)
NH_3, SO_2	20, 15	ambient ion monitor-ion chromatography	Markovic et al. (2012)
HONO	15	long path absorption photometry	Ren et al. (2011)
HNO_3	50	CIMS	Crounse et al. (2006)
ΣANs	15	thermal dissociation-LIF	Day et al. (2002)
O_3	1 ppb	UV absorbance	Dasibi 1008PC O_3 monitor
H_2O_2	50	CIMS	Crounse et al. (2006)

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A2. Mean $VOCR_i$ of all species included in $\sum_i VOCR_i$ with 1σ, indicating the observed variability, over low (17–27 °C), moderate (28–33 °C), and high (34–45 °C) daily maximum temperatures. Species are arranged by Fig. 1 grouping and listed greatest to smallest high-temperature s^{-1}. The VOC_i + OH rate constants or temperature-dependent rate expressions are also listed with associated references. The concentrations of the starred species, acetaldehyde, MVK, ethane, and benzene, were estimated (Appendix A). Double starred k_{OH} values were estimated by analogy to compounds with similar molecular structure and these include explanatory notes.

Species	Mean (±1σ) VOCR (s^{-1}) by temperature			k or $k(T)$	Reference
	Low	Moderate	High	(cm^3 $molecule^{-1}$ s^{-1})	
C_1–C_2 aldehydes					
H_2CO	$4.4\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-1}$	$6.5\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-1}$	$7.9\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-1}$	1.20×10^{-14}Texp(287/T)	(a)
acetaldehyde*	$2.3\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-1}$	$4.1\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-1}$	$6.2\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-1}$	4.4×10^{-12}exp(365/T)	(a)
C_1–C_3 alcohols					
methanol	$1.6\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-1}$	$3.6\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-1}$	$5.5\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-1}$	$3.82\times10^{-19}T^{2.4}$exp(300/T)	(b)
ethanol	$3.4\ (\pm1.4)\times10^{-1}$	$4.6\ (\pm1.4)\times10^{-1}$	$4.6\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-1}$	$6.72\times10^{-18}T^{2}$exp(510/T)	(b)
isopropanol	$8.9\ (\pm3.4)\times10^{-3}$	$9.7\ (\pm3.3)\times10^{-3}$	$1.2\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-2}$	$4.03\times10^{-18}T^{2}$exp(792/T)	(a)
Known-biogenic VOCs					
isoprene	$9.0\ (\pm3.2)\times10^{-2}$	$1.6\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-1}$	$2.4\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-1}$	2.7×10^{-11}exp(390/T)	(a)
methacrolein	$6.2\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-2}$	$8.0\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-2}$	$1.2\ (\pm2.6)\times10^{-1}$	8.0×10^{-12}exp(380/T)	(a)
d-limonene	$2.9\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-2}$	$3.8\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}$	$5.7\ (\pm1.3)\times10^{-2}$	4.28×10^{-11}exp(401/T)	(a)
MVK*	$3.4\ (\pm1.7)\times10^{-2}$	$3.7\ (\pm1.7)\times10^{-2}$	$3.8\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-2}$	2.6×10^{-12}exp(610/T)	(a)
glyoxal	$1.6\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$	$2.6\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$	$2.9\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-2}$	1.10×10^{-11}	(a)
α-pinene	$2.0\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-2}$	$2.1\ (\pm1.1)\times10^{-2}$	$2.4\ (\pm1.1)\times10^{-2}$	12.1×10^{-12}exp(436/T)	(a)
d3-carene	$1.6\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-2}$	$2.1\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-2}$	$2.2\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-2}$	8.8×10^{-11}	(a)
sabinene	$5.3\ (\pm3.8)\times10^{-3}$	$7.3\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-3}$	$1.0\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-2}$	1.17×10^{-10}	(a)
acetone	$1.5\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-3}$	$3.4\ (\pm1.1)\times10^{-3}$	$5.8\ (\pm3.7)\times10^{-3}$	$4.0\times10^{-24}T^{4}$exp(453/T)	(c)
camphene	$2.8\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-3}$	$3.4\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-3}$	$3.9\ (\pm1.1)\times10^{-3}$	5.3×10^{-11}	(a)
β-pinene	$2.2\ (\pm1.3)\times10^{-3}$	$2.8\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-3}$	$3.4\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-3}$	15.5×10^{-12}exp(467/T)	(a)
nopinone	$6.9\ (\pm2.3)\times10^{-4}$	$6.3\ (\pm4.0)\times10^{-4}$	$6.0\ (\pm2.4)\times10^{-4}$	1.5×10^{-11}	(a)
CH_4					
CH_4	$2.8\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-1}$	$8.9\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-1}$	$3.6\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-1}$	$1.85\times10^{-20}T^{2.85}$exp(–987/T)	(a)
Temperature-dependent alkanes					
isopentane	$3.7\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}$	$6.3\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-2}$	$7.2\ (\pm2.2)\times10^{-2}$	3.6×10^{-12}	(a)
propane	$5.0\ (\pm2.3)\times10^{-2}$	$5.0\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-2}$	$5.9\ (\pm2.1)\times10^{-2}$	$1.65\times10^{-17}T^{2}$exp(–87/T)	(a)
n-butane	$3.3\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-2}$	$2.9\ (\pm1.6)\times10^{-2}$	$3.6\ (\pm1.5)\times10^{-2}$	$1.81\times10^{-17}T^{2}$exp(114/T)	(a)
n-pentane	$2.0\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-2}$	$3.0\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}$	$3.3\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-2}$	$2.52\times10^{-17}T^{2}$exp(158/T)	(a)

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A2. Continued.

Species	Mean (±1σ) VOCR (s^{-1}) by temperature Low	Moderate	High	k or $k(T)$ (cm^3 $molecule^{-1}$ s^{-1})	Reference
2-methylpentane and 2,3-dimethylbutane	1.5 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-2}$	2.3 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-2}$	2.5 (±0.8) $\times 10^{-2}$	5.2×10^{-12}	(a)[1]
methylcyclopentane	1.2 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-2}$	1.6 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-2}$	1.7 (±0.5) $\times 10^{-2}$	7.66×10^{-12}	(d)
n-hexane	7.7 (±2.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.0 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-2}$	1.1 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-2}$	2.54×10^{-14}Texp(–112/T)	(a)
3-methylpentane	6.7 (±1.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	9.8 (±3.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.1 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-2}$	5.2×10^{-12}	(a)
n-heptane	5.5 (±2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	8.5 (±3.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.9 (±3.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	$1.95 \times 10^{-17}T^2$exp(406/T)	(a)
cyclopentane	4.9 (±1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.9 (±2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.8 (±2.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	$2.73 \times 10^{-17}T^2$exp(214/T)	(a)
2,3,4-trimethylpentane	6.1 (±2.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.2 (±2.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.4 (±2.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.6×10^{-12}	(a)
ethane*	5.0 (±2.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	5.0 (±1.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	5.9 (±2.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	$1.49 \times 10^{-17}T^2$exp(–499/T)	(a)
isooctane	4.2 (±2.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.9 (±1.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.7 (±1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	$2.34 \times 10^{-17}T^2$exp(140/T)	(a)
2,3-dimethylpentane	4.6 (±2.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.6 (±1.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.4 (±1.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.77×10^{-12}	(a)[2]
2,4- and 2,2-dimethylpentane	1.5 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.3 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.4 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.77×10^{-12}	(a)[2]
2,2-dimethylbutane	1.4 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.2 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.4 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	3.37×10^{-11}exp(–809/T)	(a)
3-methylheptane	1.5 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.8 (±0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.9 (±0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,4-dimethylhexane	1.3 (±0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.5 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.4 (±0.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,2,5-trimethylhexane	1.1 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.2 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.1 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
3,3-dimethylpentane	6.3 (±3.0) $\times 10^{-4}$	9.2 (±3.5) $\times 10^{-4}$	9.0 (±2.9) $\times 10^{-4}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,2,3-trimethylpentane	4.7 (±3.0) $\times 10^{-4}$	6.9 (±3.5) $\times 10^{-4}$	7.4 (±3.4) $\times 10^{-4}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,2-dimethylhexane	1.4 (±0.2) $\times 10^{-4}$	1.6 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-4}$	1.8 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,2,5-trimethylheptane	1.2 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-4}$	1.2 (±0.3) $\times 10^{-4}$	1.2 (±0.4) $\times 10^{-4}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
C_1–C_3 acids					
acetic acid	1.2 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-2}$	1.9 (±1.0) $\times 10^{-2}$	2.7 (±1.1) $\times 10^{-2}$	8.0×10^{-13}	(e)
formic acid	4.1 (±2.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.5 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-2}$	2.6 (±1.4) $\times 10^{-2}$	4.5×10^{-13}	(f)
propionic acid	4.3 (±1.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.3 (±2.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.3 (±2.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.2×10^{-12}	(f)
Temperature-independent AVOCs					
Temperature-independent alkanes					
cyclohexane	8.3 (±4.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	8.5 (±6.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	8.6 (±5.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	$3.26 \times 10^{-17}T^2$exp(262/T)	(a)
methylcyclohexane	8.7 (±4.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.3 (±2.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.8 (±2.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	9.64×10^{-12}	(a)
3-methylhexane	6.6 (±3.4) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.1 (±1.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	5.9 (±1.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.3×10^{-12}	(d)
methyl ethyl ketone	2.9 (±1.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	3.7 (±1.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.9 (±3.3) $\times 10^{-3}$	1.32×10^{-12}exp(–25/T)	(f)
2-methylhexane	5.5 (±3.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	5.7 (±1.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.6 (±1.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.69×10^{-12}	(d)
trans-1,3-dimethylcyclopentane	4.5 (±3.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	5.0 (±3.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.4 (±2.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.66×10^{-12}	(**)[4]
cis-1,3-dimethylcyclopentane	4.6 (±2.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.3 (±1.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	4.0 (±1.5) $\times 10^{-3}$	7.66×10^{-12}	(**)[4]
2,3,3-trimethylpentane and 2,3-dimethylhexane	2.6 (±1.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.8 (±0.9) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.3 (±1.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]
n-undecane	2.7 (±1.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.4 (±1.0) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.2 (±1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	12.3×10^{-12}	(a)
n-decane	3.2 (±2.1) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.3 (±0.7) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.0 (±0.8) $\times 10^{-3}$	11.0×10^{-12}	(a)
2-methylheptane	2.3 (±1.2) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.1 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	2.0 (±0.6) $\times 10^{-3}$	6.0×10^{-12}	(**)[3]

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A2. Continued.

Species	Mean (±1σ) VOCR (s^{-1}) by temperature Low	Moderate	High	k or $k(T)$ (cm^3 $molecule^{-1}$ s^{-1})	Reference
ethylcyclopentane	2.5 (±1.1) ×10^{-3}	2.0 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	1.9 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	7.66 × 10^{-12}	(**)[4]
n-dodecane	1.6 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	1.6 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	13.2 × 10^{-12}	(a)
cis,*trans*,*cis*-1,2,4 -trimethylcyclopentane	1.8 (±1.5) ×10^{-3}	1.7 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	8.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[5]
cis-1,3- and 1,1-dimethylcyclohexane	2.1 (±1.3) ×10^{-3}	1.6 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
n-nonane	2.5 (±1.1) ×10^{-3}	1.8 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	2.53 × $10^{-17}T^2$exp(436/T)	(a)
ethylcyclohexane	2.0 (±1.2) ×10^{-3}	1.7 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.4 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
trans-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane	2.2 (±1.5) ×10^{-3}	1.7 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.4 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
n-tetradecane	1.6 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.3 (±0.4) ×10^{-3}	1.79 × 10^{-11}	(a)
n-tridecane	1.8 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.7 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.2 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	15.1 × 10^{-12}	(a)
2,5-dimethylhexane	1.4 (±0.8) ×10^{-3}	1.3 (±0.4) ×10^{-3}	1.2 (±0.4) ×10^{-3}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
trans-1,3-dimethylcyclohexane	1.2 (±0.7) ×10^{-3}	9.5 (±2.9) ×10^{-4}	8.4 (±2.9) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
2,6-dimethylheptane	1.2 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	1.0 (±0.4) ×10^{-3}	8.3 (±4.4) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
1,1,3-trimethylcyclohexane	1.2 (±0.9) ×10^{-3}	9.3 (±3.9) ×10^{-4}	7.8 (±2.8) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
4-methylheptane	1.6 (±1.3) ×10^{-3}	9.4 (±6.6) ×10^{-4}	7.4 (±2.6) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
cis-1,2-dimethylcyclohexane	7.0 (±3.3) ×10^{-4}	5.6 (±1.5) ×10^{-4}	5.7 (±1.9) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
3-methyloctane and 4-ethylheptane	6.9 (±3.0) ×10^{-4}	6.2 (±2.0) ×10^{-4}	5.5 (±2.1) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2- and 4-methyloctane	6.6 (±3.2) ×10^{-4}	5.3 (±1.6) ×10^{-4}	5.2 (±1.9) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
n-propylcyclopentane	5.3 (±2.4) ×10^{-4}	5.2 (±1.7) ×10^{-4}	4.7 (±1.0) ×10^{-4}	8.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[5]
1,1,4-trimethylcyclohexane	5.1 (±5.5) ×10^{-4}	4.4 (±1.5) ×10^{-4}	4.4 (±2.9) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
cis,*trans*,*cis*-1,2,4-trimethylcyclohexane	5.9 (±4.2) ×10^{-4}	4.1 (±1.0) ×10^{-4}	3.7 (±1.9) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
3,5-dimetylheptane	4.8 (±2.2) ×10^{-4}	4.1 (±1.3) ×10^{-4}	3.6 (±1.1) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
cis,*trans*,*trans*-1,2,4- and *cis*,*cis*,*trans* -1,3,5-trimethylhexane	2.0 (±1.3) ×10^{-4}	1.8 (±1.1) ×10^{-4}	3.1 (±3.1) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
cis,*cis*,*cis*-1,3,5-trimethylcyclohexane	3.9 (±2.7) ×10^{-4}	3.3 (±1.1) ×10^{-4}	2.9 (±1.7) ×10^{-4}	1.0 × 10^{-11}	(**)[6]
isopropylcyclopentane	2.7 (±1.3) ×10^{-4}	2.4 (±0.6) ×10^{-4}	2.4 (±1.3) ×10^{-4}	8.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[5]
2,3-dimethylheptane	1.7 (±0.6) ×10^{-4}	1.6 (±0.5) ×10^{-4}	1.5 (±0.4) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
2,2,4-trimethylheptane	1.5 (±0.6) ×10^{-4}	1.6 (±0.4) ×10^{-4}	1.5 (±0.3) ×10^{-4}	6.0 × 10^{-12}	(**)[3]
Alkenes					
propene	9.0 (±4.7) ×10^{-2}	6.5 (±2.4) ×10^{-2}	5.5 (±1.5) ×10^{-2}	4.85 × 10^{-12}exp(504/T)	(a)
cis-2-pentene	2.5 (±1.1) ×10^{-2}	3.0 (±0.9) ×10^{-2}	3.8 (±1.9) ×10^{-2}	6.50 × 10^{-11}	(a)
1-butene and isobutene	4.7 (±2.0) ×10^{-2}	3.6 (±1.4) ×10^{-2}	3.1 (±1.1) ×10^{-2}	6.55 × 10^{-12}exp(467/T)	(**)[7]
cis-2-butene	1.5 (±0.1) ×10^{-2}	1.3 (±0.6) ×10^{-2}	1.6 (±0.3) ×10^{-2}	1.1 × 10^{-11}exp(487/T)	(a)
1-hexene	1.8 (±0.7) ×10^{-2}	1.2 (±0.4) ×10^{-2}	1.4 (±0.3) ×10^{-2}	3.70 × 10^{-11}	(a)
1-pentene	2.4 (±1.4) ×10^{-2}	1.1 (±0.3) ×10^{-2}	1.4 (±0.2) ×10^{-2}	3.14 × 10^{-11}	(a)
cyclopentene	1.3 (±0.7) ×10^{-2}	1.2 (±0.4) ×10^{-2}	1.2 (±0.5) ×10^{-2}	6.70 × 10^{-11}	(a)
trans-2-butene	1.2 (±0.4) ×10^{-2}	1.1 (±0.3) ×10^{-2}	1.0 (±0.3) ×10^{-2}	1.01 × 10^{-11}exp(550/T)	(a)
2-methyl-2- and *cis*-3-methyl-2-pentene	3.0 (±1.5) ×10^{-3}	2.5 (±0.6) ×10^{-3}	3.2 (±0.8) ×10^{-3}	8.90 × 10^{-11}	(**)[8]
1-methylcyclohexene	2.0 (±0.1) ×10^{-3}	1.7 (±0.5) ×10^{-3}	1.5 (±0.4) ×10^{-3}	8.90 × 10^{-11}	(**)[8]

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A2. Continued.

Species	Mean (±1σ) VOCR (s^{-1}) by temperature			k or $k(T)$	Reference
	Low	Moderate	High	(cm^3 molecule^{-1} s^{-1})	
m- and *p*-xylene	$3.5\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-2}$	$3.0\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-2}$	$2.5\ (\pm1.1)\times10^{-2}$	1.87×10^{-11}	(a)[9]
toluene	$2.9\ (\pm1.3)\times10^{-2}$	$2.6\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-2}$	$2.2\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-2}$	$1.18\times10^{-12}\exp(338/T)$	(a)
phenol	$4.2\ (\pm5.7)\times10^{-2}$	$1.7\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-2}$	$1.3\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-2}$	2.70×10^{-11}	(g)
1,2,4-trimethylbenzene	$1.6\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-2}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-2}$	$1.2\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-2}$	3.25×10^{-11}	(a)
1,3,5-trimethylbenzene	$9.4\ (\pm6.3)\times10^{-3}$	$8.4\ (\pm3.5)\times10^{-3}$	$7.3\ (\pm5.1)\times10^{-3}$	5.67×10^{-11}	(a)
o-xylene	$9.2\ (\pm5.1)\times10^{-3}$	$8.5\ (\pm3.1)\times10^{-3}$	$7.1\ (\pm3.0)\times10^{-3}$	1.36×10^{-11}	(a)
m- and *p*-ethyltoluene	$7.5\ (\pm4.5)\times10^{-3}$	$5.8\ (\pm2.2)\times10^{-3}$	$5.0\ (\pm2.5)\times10^{-3}$	1.87×10^{-11}	(a)[10]
ethylbenzene	$4.6\ (\pm2.9)\times10^{-3}$	$4.1\ (\pm1.4)\times10^{-3}$	$3.4\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-3}$	7.0×10^{-12}	(a)
1,2,3-trimethylbenzene	$4.8\ (\pm2.3)\times10^{-3}$	$3.8\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-3}$	$3.3\ (\pm1.4)\times10^{-3}$	3.27×10^{-11}	(a)
benzene*	$2.9\ (\pm1.3)\times10^{-3}$	$2.6\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-3}$	$2.2\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-3}$	$2.33\times10^{-12}\exp(-193/T)$	(a)
o-ethyltoluene	$1.7\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-3}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-3}$	$1.2\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-3}$	1.19×10^{-11}	(a)
Aromatics					
p-diethylbenzene	$7.0\ (\pm2.4)\times10^{-4}$	$6.9\ (\pm2.3)\times10^{-4}$	$6.3\ (\pm3.0)\times10^{-4}$	8.11×10^{-12}	(h)
n-propylbenzene	$7.5\ (\pm3.1)\times10^{-4}$	$7.2\ (\pm2.3)\times10^{-4}$	$6.2\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-4}$	5.8×10^{-12}	(a)
1-methyl-3-*n*-propyl benzene	$8.4\ (\pm2.8)\times10^{-4}$	$8.4\ (\pm3.8)\times10^{-4}$	$6.2\ (\pm2.7)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
m-diethylbenzene	$5.6\ (\pm2.5)\times10^{-4}$	$6.4\ (\pm2.2)\times10^{-4}$	$6.2\ (\pm2.2)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
p-cymene	$5.5\ (\pm2.8)\times10^{-4}$	$5.6\ (\pm1.5)\times10^{-4}$	$5.7\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,3-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	$3.4\ (\pm1.6)\times10^{-4}$	$2.5\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-4}$	$1.9\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,4-dimethyl-2-ethyl benzene	$3.4\ (\pm1.8)\times10^{-4}$	$2.2\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-4}$	$1.9\ (\pm0.8)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
isobutylbenzene	$1.6\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-4}$	$1.7\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,2-dimethyl-4-ethylbenzene	$2.3\ (\pm1.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.9\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-4}$	$1.6\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,2,3,5-tetramethylbenzene	$1.7\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-4}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-4}$	$1.6\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
m-cymene	$3.2\ (\pm2.0)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
n-butylbenzene	$2.6\ (\pm2.6)\times10^{-4}$	$1.7\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1-methyl-2-*n*-propyl benzene	$2.2\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-4}$	$1.8\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-4}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,2-dimethyl-3-ethylbenzene	$2.6\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-4}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,2,4,5-tetramethylbenzene	$1.8\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
1,2,3,4-tetramethylbenzene	$1.5\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-4}$	$1.3\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.3)\times10^{-4}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013

Table A2. Continued.

Species	Mean (±1σ) VOCR (s^{-1}) by temperature			k or $k(T)$	Reference
	Low	Moderate	High	(cm^3 $molecule^{-1}$ s^{-1})	
Aldehydes and ketones					
nonanal	$2.6\ (\pm1.5)\times10^{-1}$	$1.7\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-1}$	$1.5\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-1}$	3.0×10^{-11}	(**)[12]
heptanal	$1.7\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-1}$	$1.1\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-1}$	$7.9\ (\pm3.0)\times10^{-2}$	3.0×10^{-11}	(a)
hexanal	$1.1\ (\pm3.3)\times10^{-1}$	$7.2\ (\pm2.6)\times10^{-2}$	$6.6\ (\pm1.5)\times10^{-2}$	3.0×10^{-11}	(a)
pentanal	$4.3\ (\pm1.6)\times10^{-2}$	$3.9\ (\pm1.7)\times10^{-2}$	$3.7\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-2}$	$9.9\times10^{-11}\exp(310/T)$	(a)
butanal	$2.6\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-2}$	$2.7\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-2}$	$3.2\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}$	$6.0\times10^{-12}\exp(410/T)$	(a)
propanal	$2.6\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-2}$	$3.4\ (\pm2.2)\times10^{-2}$	$2.3\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-2}$	$5.1\times10^{-12}\exp(405/T)$	(a)
methyl isobutyl ketone	$2.2\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-3}$	$2.4\ (\pm1.5)\times10^{-3}$	$2.5\ (\pm0.7)\times10^{-3}$	1.3×10^{-11}	(a)
acetophenone	$1.7\ (\pm0.9)\times10^{-3}$	$1.4\ (\pm0.6)\times10^{-3}$	$1.3\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-3}$	1.0×10^{-11}	(**)[11]
methyl *n*-butyl ketone	$1.1\ (\pm0.5)\times10^{-3}$	$1.3\ (\pm0.4)\times10^{-3}$	$1.2\ (\pm0.2)\times10^{-3}$	9.1×10^{-12}	(a)
CO					
CO	$8.9\ (\pm1.0)\times10^{-1}$	$8.9\ (\pm1.2)\times10^{-1}$	$8.5\ (\pm1.4)\times10^{-1}$	2.4×10^{-13}	(i)[13]

[a]Atkinson and Arey (2003), [b]Dillion et al. (2004), [c]Yamada et al. (2003), [d]Sprengnether et al. (2009), [e]Atkinson et al. (1992), [f]Atkinson et al. (2001), [g]Calvert et al. (2002), [h]Atkinson et al. (1994), [i]Sander et al. (2003)

[1] Rate expression is for 2-methylpentane + OH. [2] Rate expression is for 2,4-dimethylpentane + OH. [3] Value is generic for ~ C_7 + OH at 298 K. [4] Rate constant is for ethylcyclopentane + OH at 298. [5] Value is generic for ~ C_8 + OH at 298 K. [6] Value is generic for branched ~ C_7 cycloalkane + OH at 298 K. [7] Rate expression is for 1-butene + OH. [8] Rate constant is for cyclohexene + OH at 298 K. [9] Rate constant is an average of *m*- and *p*-xylene + OH at 298 K.[10] Rate constant is an average of *m*- and *p*-ethyltoluene + OH at 298 K. [11] Value is generic for an aromatic + OH at 298 K. [12]Rate constant is for heptanal + OH at 298 K. [13]Listed value is at 298 K. In our analysis we use the CO + OH formulation given in Sander et al. (2003).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 1. Empirical fits of the daily average (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (s^{-1}) vs. daily maximum temperature (°C) (data points for each curve are shown in Fig. A1). Colors are as follows: C_1–C_2 aldehydes (red), C_1–C_3 alcohols (pink), known-biogenic VOCs (green), CH4 (purple), temperature-dependent alkanes (orange), C_1–C_3 organic acids (cyan), temperature-independent AVOCs (blue), and CO (gray). For a listing of the mean VOCR_i of each molecule see Table A2.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 2. Daily average (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) organic reactivity (s^{-1}) vs. the daily maximum temperature (°C) with fits: temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (blue), temperature-dependent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (yellow), and temperature-dependent VOCR (green). The temperature-dependent VOCR is equal to the measured OH reactivity minus the temperature-independent $\Sigma_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ and minus the OH reactivities of NO_2, NO, HONO, HNO_3, NH_3, and SO_2. The mean temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ is $2.1 \pm 0.3_1$ (1σ) (light blue line). The unknown reactivity is thus temperature-dependent and is the difference between the yellow and green curves. The error bars are the sum of the squared y residuals divided by (the number of points less 2) and are $\pm 0.3_4$ (yellow) and ±1.5 (green).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 3. The effects of organic emissions reductions on the total VOCR vs. daily maximum temperature (°C). We assume here that the temperature-independent $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ ($2.1\,\mathrm{s}^{-1}$) has decreased and will continue to decrease at rate of $6\,\%\,\mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ and that the temperature-dependent reactivity has been and will continue to be unchanged. The percent difference (higher) in the total VOCR in 2000, $(\mathrm{VOCR}_{2000} - \mathrm{VOCR}_{2010})/\mathrm{VOCR}_{2000}$, is in black. The percent change (lower) in the total VOCR in 2020, $(\mathrm{VOCR}_{2020} - \mathrm{VOCR}_{2010})/\mathrm{VOCR}_{2010}$, is in periwinkle. The turquoise line is the year 2010 at 0 %.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 4. (a): daily average (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) NO_xR (s^{-1}) vs. the daily maximum temperature (°C) separated by weekdays (closed circles) and weekends (open diamonds). Weekdays are Tuesdays–Fridays. Weekends are Saturdays, Sundays, and Memorial Day (31 May 2010). Mondays and Saturdays are considered transition days, as they are influenced by carryover from the previous day. We omit Mondays for this reason but retain Saturdays to improve weekend statistics. The solid line is the weekday average value of 1.5 s^{-1} and the dashed line is the weekend average value of 0.6 s^{-1}. **(b)**: total VOCR per unit NO_xR for weekdays (closed circles) and weekends (open diamond) vs. the daily maximum temperature (°C). The curves are exponential fits to the weekday (solid gray line) and weekend (dashed gray line) data.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 5. (a) Total VOCR (s^{-1}) (measured OH reactivity minus the OH reactivity contributions of NO_2, NO, HONO, HNO_3, NH_3, and SO_2). **(b)** PHO_x (ppt s^{-1}). **(c)** NO/NO_x. **(d)** O_3 (ppb) during CalNex-SJV (magenta) and in Bakersfield during the entire 2010 O_3 season (gray). All data are daily averages (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) vs. daily maximum temperature (°C), are shown for weekdays (closed circles) and weekends (open diamonds), and include their exponential fits. The 2010 Bakersfield O_3 data are fit separately for weekdays (solid black line) and weekends (solid gray line).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 6. PO_3 at 4, 8, 12, 16, 20, and 24 ppb h^{-1} as a function of NO_x mixing ratio vs. the daily maximum temperature (°C). Temperature here is a surrogate for VOCR. PO_3 is calculated with an analytical model constrained with the CalNex-SJV observations (see text for details). NO_x (ppb) is shown for weekdays (solid brown line) and weekends (dashed brown line). The equivalent NO_xR are 1.5 and 0.6 s^{-1}, respectively. The symbols are the NO_x mixing ratio at the 2010-average low (purple), moderate (blue), and high (red) temperatures on weekdays (closed circles) and weekends (open diamonds) for reference. The arrow along the right hand side represents the prevailing daytime (10 a.m.–2 p.m. LT) wind direction with respect to NO_x to the CalNex-SJV site.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. 7. (a–c): PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) on weekdays (solid line) and weekends (dashed line) vs. daily maximum temperature (°C) calculated with CalNex-SJV observations (black) and in **(a)** with a 50 % NO_x reduction (brown), in **(b)** with a 50 % reduction in the temperature-independent $\sum_i VOCR_i$ (green), and in **(c)** with both reductions applied (purple). **(d–f)**: the percent change between PO_3 on weekdays (solid line) and weekends (dashed line) during CalNex-SJV and that computed after emission reduction vs. daily maximum temperature (°C).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. A1. Daily average $\sum_i \mathrm{VOCR}_i$ (s^{-1}) vs. the daily maximum temperature (°C) for: **(a)** H_2CO (closed circles with black outline) and calculated acetaldehyde (open circles), **(b)** methanol (closed circles with black outline) and ethanol (open circles), **(c)** known-biogenic VOCs, **(d)** CH_4, **(e)** temperature-dependent alkanes, **(f)** formic acid (closed circles with black outline) and acetic acid (open circles), **(g)** all temperature-independent AVOCs, and **(h)** CO. Gray solid and dashed lines are empirically derived exponential fits for **(a–f)** . The solid lines in **(a)**, **(b)**, **(f)** are fits to the C_1 molecules; the dashed lines are fits to the C_2 molecules. In **(g)** and **(h)**, gray lines are means. Acetaldehyde, MVK, ethane, and benzene are estimated (see Appendix A for details), otherwise $\mathrm{VOCR}_i$are derived from measured concentrations. In **(a)**, the red dashed line shows the fit to the acetaldehyde computed from steady–state relationships, as discussed in Appendix A.

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013



Fig. C1. PO_3 (ppb h^{-1}) at weekday NO_x vs. daily maximum temperature (°C). The solid black curves are the same as in Fig. 7a–c. The gray curves are PO_3 at the high temperature average (36.4 °C) VOCR, PHO_x, and NO/NO_x values with rate constants that are allowed to be temperature dependent. **(a)** PO_3 with temperature-dependent VOCR (NO/NO_x and PHO_x are constant). **(b)** PO_3 with temperature-dependent PHO_x (VOCR and NO/NO_x are constant). **(c)** PO_3 with temperature-dependent NO/NO_x (VOCR and PHO_x are constant).

ACPD
13, 28511–28560, 2013