Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 23639–23690, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/23639/2013/ doi:10.5194/acpd-13-23639-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Study of the unknown HONO daytime source at an European suburban site during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter field campaigns

V. Michoud¹, A. Colomb², A. Borbon¹, K. Miet¹, M. Beekmann¹, M. Camredon¹, B. Aumont¹, S. Perrier^{1,3}, P. Zapf¹, G. Siour¹, W. Ait-Helal^{1,4,5}, C. Afif^{1,6}, A. Kukui^{7,8}, M. Furger⁹, J. C. Dupont¹⁰, M. Haeffelin¹⁰, and J. F. Doussin¹

 ¹LISA, CNRS, UMR7583, Université Paris Est Créteil (UPEC), Université Paris Diderot (UPD), Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Créteil, France
 ²LaMP, CNRS, UMR6016, Clermont Université, Université Blaise Pascal, Aubière, France
 ³ISA, CNRS, UMR5280, Université Lyon 1, ENS-Lyon, Villeurbanne, France
 ⁴Université Lille Nord de France, Lille, France
 ⁵Department of Chemistry and Environment, Ecole des Mines de Douai, Douai, France
 ⁶Centre d'Analyses et de Recherche, Faculty of sciences, Université Saint Joseph, Beirut, Lebanon
 ⁷LATMOS, UMR-CNRS 8190, Université de Versailles Saint Quentin, Université Pierre et Marie Curie, Guyancourt, France
 ⁸LPC2E, UMR-CNRS 6115, Orléans, France



⁹Laboratory of Atmospheric Chemistry, Paul Scherrer Institut, Villigen, Switzerland ¹⁰Institut Pierre Simon Laplace (IPSL), Palaiseau, France

Received: 12 July 2013 – Accepted: 1 August 2013 – Published: 10 September 2013 Correspondence to: F. Doussin (jean-francois.doussin@lisa.u-pec.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



Abstract

Nitrous acid measurements were carried out during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter field campaigns at SIRTA observatory in Paris surroundings. Highly variable HONO levels were observed during the campaigns, ranging from 10 ppt to 500 ppt in summer and from 10 ppt to 1.7 ppb in winter. Significant HONO mixing ratios have also been 5 measured during daytime hours, comprised between some tenth of ppt and 200 ppt for the summer campaign and between few ppt and 1 ppb for the winter campaign. Ancillary measurements, such as NO_x , O_3 , photolysis frequencies, meteorological parameters (pressure, temperature, relative humidity, wind speed and wind direction), black carbon concentration, total aerosol surface area, boundary layer height and soil mois-10 ture, were conducted during both campaigns. In addition, for the summer period, OH radical measurements were made with a CIMS (Chemical Ionisation Mass Spectrometer). This large dataset has been used to investigate the HONO budget in a suburban environment. To do so, calculations of HONO concentrations using PhotoStationary State (PSS) approach have been performed, for daytime hours. The comparison of 15

- these calculations with measured HONO concentrations revealed an underestimation of the calculations making evident a missing source term for both campaigns. This unknown HONO source exhibits a bell shaped like average diurnal profile with a maximum around noon of approximately 0.7 ppb h⁻¹ and 0.25 ppb h⁻¹, during summer and winter respectively. This source is the main HONO source during daytime hours for both
- campaigns. In both cases, this source shows a slight positive correlation with $J(NO_2)$ and the product between $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture. This original approach had, thus, indicated that this missing source is photolytic and might be heterogeneous occurring on ground surface and involving water content available at the ground.



1 Introduction

The hydroxyl radical (OH) is the main oxidant of the troposphere during daytime (Levy, 1972). It plays a crucial role in the chemical transformations occurring in the troposphere. A major source of OH radicals is the photolysis of nitrous acid in the near ultraviolet spectral region (Reaction R1). This source may even be the main OH source during early morning hours (Platt et al., 2002; Alicke et al., 2003). OH radicals formed through this reaction may initiate and accelerate the photochemistry. Nitrous acid is, therefore, an essential species for the chemistry of the troposphere.

 $HONO + hv \rightarrow OH + NO$

- In earlier studies, this reaction had been considered as a significant radical source just after sunrise only (Lammel and Cape, 1996; Alicke et al., 2003), but more recently it has been shown that it can also be important all over daytime hours (Ren et al., 2003, 2006; Dusanter et al., 2009; Michoud et al., 2012) as unexpectedly high HONO levels were observed by chemical techniques during daytime (Zhou et al., 2002; Ren et al., 2003; Kleffmann et al., 2005, 2006; Su et al., 2008; Elshorbany et al., 2009;
- Li et al., 2000, Reimann et al., 2000, 2000, 60 et al., 2000, Eisneibarry et al., 2003, Li et al., 2012; Wong et al., 2012). Additional observations using optical methods as DOAS (Differential Optical Absorption Spectroscopy) (Acker et al., 2006; Kleffmann, 2007) confirmed the fact that these high diurnal HONO concentrations were not due to interferences or artifacts of the chemical measurement techniques.
- Despite its importance, the HONO chemistry is not well established and its formation mechanism remains quite uncertain (Kleffmann, 2007). The high diurnal HONO concentrations recently observed in many environments cannot be explained by its known sources. This indicates that one or several unknown HONO sources exist.

HONO is emitted directly into the atmosphere through combustion processes, due to traffic or biomass burning. Indeed, numerous studies have observed direct emission of HONO from vehicles exhaust (Kirchstetter et al., 1996; Kurtenbach et al., 2001; Li et al., 2008) characterized by low HONO/NO_x ratio, typically less than 1 % (0.2 % to 0.8 % depending on the type of vehicles, emission control technologies, etc.). In



(R1)

addition, HONO is also directly emitted from biomass burning as observed by Park et al. (2004) and Veres et al. (2010). The latter study has observed an emission of HONO during laboratory biomass fires with a HONO/CO ratio between 1.2×10^{-3} and 4.6×10^{-3} mol mol⁻¹CO depending on the fuels used.

⁵ HONO can also be formed through gas-phase or heterogeneous reactions. The main homogeneous gas-phase reaction during daytime which leads to HONO formation is the reaction between OH and NO (Reaction R2) which needs a third body reactant (M).

 $NO + OH(+M) \rightarrow HONO(+M)$

- ¹⁰ Other gas-phase reactions forming HONO have been proposed such as the reaction between NO₂ and HO₂ (Stockwell and Calvert, 1983) or the reaction between excited nitrogen dioxide (NO₂^{*}) and a molecule of water (Li et al., 2008) which leads also to the formation of an OH radical. However, these reactions are expected to be of minor importance under real atmospheric conditions (Sörgel et al., 2011a; Wong et al., 2012).
- ¹⁵ The OH and HONO source from NO_2^* + H₂O has even been recently disqualified for atmospheric conditions by Amedro et al. (2011).

In addition to these gas-phase HONO formation pathways, many heterogeneous reactions on aerosols or at the ground have been proposed (Ammann et al., 1998; Kalberer et al., 1999; Zhou et al., 2001, 2002a, 2003; George et al., 2005; Stemmler

- et al., 2006; Su et al., 2011). It is now generally assumed that heterogeneous conversion of NO_2 on humid surfaces is the main HONO formation pathway in the nocturnal boundary layer (Kleffmann, 2007). However, the mechanism responsible for this HONO source is still under discussion and it seems that this conversion depends on the surface where it takes place (Kleffmann, 2007). One of the mechanisms proposed is the
- ²⁵ NO₂ dismutation on a wet surface (Reaction R3), which has been observed during laboratory studies (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003).

 $2NO_2 + H_2O \rightarrow HONO + HNO_3$



(R2)

(R3)

Besides its stoichiometry, this reaction is known to be first order for NO_2 (Jenkin et al., 1988) and to be dependent on relative humidity which is supposed to be representative of the quantity of water on the surfaces where the reaction can take place (Lammel and Cape, 1996; Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003).

A heterogeneous reduction of NO₂ on soot surfaces leading to HONO formation has also been observed (Amman et al., 1998) (Reaction R4). Kalberer et al. (1999) have also reported that this reaction depends on relative humidity.

 $NO_2 + red_{ads} \rightarrow HONO + O_{x ads}$

20

Nevertheless, some studies have demonstrated that this reaction cannot explain the

HONO levels observed in the atmosphere due to a too low yield (Kleffmann et al., 1999) or because reactive sites at the soot surfaces are likely to undergo saturation during soot ageing (Kalberer et al., 1999; Kleffmann et al., 1999). A modeling study taking into account the deactivation of the reactive sites during soot ageing has shown that Reaction (R4) cannot explain HONO formation in the atmosphere, unless a fast reactivation process of soot surface exists (Aumont et al., 1999).

Other heterogeneous HONO formation reactions have been proposed to explain correlations found between HONO and NO in the nocturnal atmosphere (Calvert et al., 1994; Saliba et al., 2000), such as the reactions between NO and NO_2 or between NO and HNO_3 on surfaces. Nevertheless, other studies have shown that these two reactions were not important under atmospheric conditions (Kleffmann et al., 1998, 2004; Kleffmann and Wiesen, 2005).

In order to explain high HONO levels during daytime hours, laboratory or field studies (Zhou et al., 2001, 2002) have proposed that the photolysis of nitric acid (HNO_3) adsorbed on the ground could be a missing source of HONO. It is well known that

²⁵ HNO₃ is a sticky molecule and that its deposition is its main sink (Finlayson-Pitts and Pitts, 2000). HNO₃ can, thus, accumulate on the ground in absence of precipitation. Once exposed to sunlight, this adsorbed HNO₃ can be photolysed leading to HONO formation in the presence of water as a by-product of a chemical mechanism (Reac-



(R4)

tion R5–R7) (Zhou et al., 2003). Adsorbed HONO formed through this mechanism can, then, desorb into the gas-phase.

$$HNO_{3(ads)} + h\nu \rightarrow HNO^*_{3(ads)}$$

$$HNO^*_{3(ads)} \rightarrow HNO_{2(ads)} + O(^3P)_{(ads)}$$

$$5 \text{HNO}_{3(\text{ads})}^* \rightarrow \text{NO}_{2(\text{ads})} + \text{OH}_{(\text{ads})}$$

$$2NO_{2(ads)} + H_2O_{(ads)} \rightarrow HONO_{(ads)} + HNO_{3(ads)}$$

It is, however, still unclear why the HNO_3 photolysis frequency proposed by Zhou et al. (2003) is two orders of magnitude larger than the HNO_3 photolysis frequencies in aqueous-phase or in gas-phase.

Recently, Su et al. (2011) have illustrated that nitrites, formed through biological processes in the soil, can be a source of HONO through Reaction (R8).

 $NO_{2(aq)}^{-} + H_{(aq)}^{+} \rightarrow HONO_{(aq)}$

10

15

Aqueous HONO formed by this process can then desorb into the gas-phase. Obviously, this reaction depends on many parameters such as soil pH, nitrite concentration in soil, soil water content and soil temperature but is light independent.

Other heterogeneous and light-dependent sources of HONO have been observed such as the reduction of NO_2 into HONO on organic surfaces such as humic acids (Stemmler et al., 2006) or aromatic compounds (George et al., 2005).

Finally, in addition to direct emission, homogeneous gas-phase formation and heterogeneous reactions, a study has highlighted the fact that ortho-nitrophenols photolysis may be responsible for HONO formation (Bejan et al., 2006). Indeed, in urban conditions, the authors have estimated a production of HONO at noon through these reactions of 100 ppt h⁻¹. They also proposed the photolysis of methyl-substituted nitro aromatics as additional HONO sources.



(R5)

(R6a)

(R6b)

(R7)

(R8)

Therefore, it appears that the nature of the missing source remains unclear. Some studies have investigated the daytime HONO vertical profiles in order to solve this issue (Veitel et al., 2002; Kleffmann et al., 2003; Häseler et al., 2009; Zhang et al., 2009; Sörgel et al., 2011b; Villena et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2012). Indeed, if the missing 5 source is occurring on ground (heterogeneous reaction on ground and/or soil emission), one could expect the existence of a strong vertical gradient in HONO concentrations. On the contrary, if it is a source occurring all along the atmospheric column (gas phase reaction and/or heterogeneous reaction on aerosol surface), one could expect the absence of a vertical gradient in HONO concentrations. However no clear tendency was found regarding these studies, since a vertical gradient is sometimes 10 observed (Veitel et al., 2002; Zhang et al., 2009; Villena et al., 2011; Wong et al., 2012) and sometimes not (Kleffmann et al., 2003; Häseler et al., 2009; Sörgel et al., 2011b). While high uncertainties persist concerning HONO formation processes, the losses of HONO are well understood. The main sink of HONO during the day is its photolysis (Reaction R1). This process drives the HONO level during the day leading to low 15 HONO concentrations and then an accumulation during the night when it stops. The

reaction between OH and HONO (Reaction R9) is another sink of HONO occurring mainly during the day. However, this sink is much less efficient than HONO photolysis (see the Supplement S1).

²⁰ OH + HONO
$$\rightarrow$$
 H₂O + NO₂

Other gas-phase reactions, i.e. dismutation (HONO+HONO) and reaction between HONO and HNO₃ are negligible since they are too slow under atmospheric conditions (Kleffmann, 2007). Finally, the main HONO sink during the night is its dry deposition whose velocity ranges from 0.077 to 3 cm s^{-1} (Stutz et al., 2002) over grass surfaces.

A previous study, conducted at a suburban site in the surrounding of Paris, has shown that HONO photolysis represents the main radical source with an averaged raw contribution of approximately 35 % to the total radical initiation during the summer campaign (Michoud et al., 2012). This high contribution of HONO photolysis to radical initiation has motivated a more advanced study of HONO chemistry in this environment both in



(R9)

summer and winter seasons. This study will provide some new insights into the identification of the known and possibly missing HONO sources in Paris surrounding. The present work is based on the comparison between observed and calculated HONO concentrations using Photo Stationary State calculations (PSS). The unknown HONO source is calculated using the difference between observed and calculated HONO con-

source is calculated using the difference between observed and calculated HONO concentrations based on known reactions. This missing source is then compared to various parameters to identify plausible formation processes.

2 The MEGAPOLI experiment

lands.

All the measurements used and presented in this study have been collected in the framework of the MEGAPOLI (Megacities: Emissions, urban, regional and Global Atmospheric POLlution and climate effects, and Integrated tools for assessment and mitigation) summer and winter campaigns. These campaigns took place at several ground sites during the whole month of July 2009 and between 15 January and 15 February 2010, respectively (Freutel et al., 2013; Zhang et al., 2013). In addition, several mobile vans (Crippa et al., 2013) and a research aircraft were also deployed. In this study, we focus on the data collected at the SIRTA ("Site Instrumental de Recherche par Télédétection Atmosphérique") observatory (Haeffelin et al., 2005; Pietras et al., 2007) which is a French platform dedicated to cloud and aerosol research at Palaiseau in the

"Ecole Polytechnique" area (48.718° N, 2.207° E), 14 km south-west of Paris (France)
in a semi-urban environment (Freutel et al., 2013). Thus, this site is downwind of Paris under anticyclonic conditions with north and north-easterly winds and receives clean oceanic air masses from west of France the rest of the time (Freutel et al., 2013). The site is surrounded by fields to the west and north-west, by suburban towns in other directions and by highways and secondary roads in all directions. Furthermore the direct vicinity of the site is composed of quite homogeneous surfaces with mainly grass



ACPD

13, 23639–23690, 2013

Study of the

unknown HONO

Discussion Paper



An overall description of gaseous compounds and parameters measured during this campaign has already been given in Michoud et al. (2012) for the summer campaign. Here we briefly describe essential measurements used in this study and differences between the two campaigns.

5 2.1 HONO measurements

10

Nitrous acid (HONO) was measured by an instrument (NitroMAC) based on a wet chemical derivatization technique. This instrument is based on the principle displayed by Huang et al. (2002) and has been described in detail in Afif et al. (2013) including also an intercomparison with a LOPAP instrument in the latter study. Here we briefly present the measurement technique and essential details about the setup and performance of the system during the MEGAPOLI campaigns.

The air sampled through a sampling line is pulled by a vacuum pump controlled by a mass flow controller at $2 L \min^{-1}$ flow into two 20-turn coils in a series. The temperature of these coils is thermostated by Peltier effect, avoiding changes in trapping efficiency.

- HONO is collected, with a trapping efficiency of 99.99 % (Afif, 2008), thanks to a phosphate buffer solution at pH 7 circulating into the two stripping coils at a flow rate of 0.18 mL min⁻¹. The totality of the HONO is sampled into the first coil, and thus, only chemical species possibly causing interferences are sampled into the second coil. The interfering species sampled this way are supposed to be trapped in equal quantity in
- ²⁰ the first and the second coil because of their low trapping efficiencies. After the sampling, the solutions pass through a debubbler, to eliminate the gas carried in excess. The solutions are then derivatized using an aqueous sulphanilamide/N-(1-naphthyl)ethylenediamine solution (SA/NED: 4×10^{-3} M/ 4×10^{-4} M and HCl at 48.10^{-3} M to form the azo-dye). The derivatization reaction takes place mostly in a 7 min loop which is thermostated at 55 °C to accelerate the derivatization kinetics. Eventually, the detec-
- tion is performed by absorption at 540 nm, after HPLC separation.

The HPLC system consists of a JASCO PU2089 pump, a 10-port electrically activated auto-injection Valco valve with two 300 μL sample loops, a C18 guard cartridge



(Alltech, $3 \mu m$, $4.6 \times 7.5 mm$), and a JASCO UV2070 UV-visible absorption detector. The mobile phase is composed of 25% acetonitrile in water with 15mM HCl and the flow rate is 0.7 mLmin^{-1} . The samples are loaded alternatively into the sample loop and injected into the HPLC system. The analysis time is 5 min for each coil. In order to obtain responses for both channels at the same time, a 5 min waiting loop was installed for the second channel upstream of the injection valve. The system is automated

by using a PC-based HPLC data system. The response obtained for the second channel is then subtracted from that of the first channel to eliminate interferences. The time resolution is therefore 10 min. The one-sigma relative error for HONO concentration estimated is around 6%. Finally, this instrument was calibrated before and after each campaign using nitrite liquid solutions at different concentrations.

2.2 Other measurements

All measurements are listed in Table 1. Meteorological parameters such as relative humidity (RH), temperature, pressure, wind speed and wind direction have been measured using a meteorological station (Young®) installed at the top of a 9 m mast. The boundary layer height was derived from vertical profiles of aerosol backscattered light measured during both campaigns using a near-zenith pointing Leosphere ALS450 Lidar as described in Haeffelin et al. (2012). The photolysis frequencies have been measured using two filter radiometers, for *J*(NO₂) and *J*(O¹D) measurements, and a spectroradiometer (LI-1800) for *J*(HONO) measurements. Details about the setup, the performances and the estimated uncertainty have been presented elsewhere for the MEGAPOLI summer campaign (Michoud et al., 2012) and are also valid for the winter campaign as no modification was added for this last campaign.

The OH radicals have been measured, during the summer campaign only, using chemical ionisation mass spectrometry (CIMS) (Eisele and Tanner, 1991; Tanner et al., 1997; Berresheim et al., 2000). A detailed description of the instrument was presented elsewhere (Kukui et al., 2008) as well as the essential details about the setup and



performance of the system during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign (Michoud et al., 2012).

NO was measured by a commercial analyser model AC31M (Environnement S.A.) using ozone chemiluminescence. This analyser was weekly calibrated using a 200 ppb

- NO/Air mixture cylinder during both campaigns. NO₂ was measured by a commercial analyser NOxTOy (Metair) using luminol chemiluminescence as described in details by Hasel et al. (2005). Multipoint calibrations (0–40 ppb) of both analysers were carried out before and after each campaign using gas cylinders containing 200 ppb of NO or NO₂/Air mixture and a dilution system. O₃ was measured with an UV absorption analyser model 49C (Thermo Environmental). This instrument was calibrated before
- the summer campaign using a quantified ozone source (ANSYCO). Finally, Aerosol surface was derived from data measured with an Aerodynamic Particle Sizer (APS spectrometer, Model 3321, TSI).

3 Photo Stationary State (PSS) approach

- ¹⁵ At first, a PSS approach was used to determine whether the known chemistry of HONO (sources and sinks) could explain the HONO concentrations observed. In this method, one considers that sources and sinks of reactive species balance each other. This statement is appropriate for species with short lifetime, typically radical species, but can be problematic for species such HONO with lifetime during the day of about 20 min.
- Nevertheless, this approach has been widely used (Kleffmann, 2007 and references therein) and allows studying the HONO budget keeping in mind its limits.

During daytime hours, nitrous acid is expected to be at a photo stationary state due to its formation by the reaction between OH and NO (Reaction R2) and its sinks by its photolysis (Reaction R1) and its reaction with OH (Reaction R9). To these sinks, one can add the dry deposition of HONO, which is the main loss process of HONO at night. However, this process is supposed to have a minor contribution during daytime in comparison to HONO photolysis. Considering these sources and sinks, a theoretical



 $HONO_{PSS}$ concentration can be calculated using Eq. (1):

 $(\text{HONO})_{\text{PSS1}} = \frac{k_{\text{OH+NO}}(\text{OH})(\text{NO})}{k_{\text{OH+HONO}}(\text{OH}) + J(\text{HONO}) + \frac{v_{\text{HONO}}}{h}}$

20

(OH) and (NO) represent the measured OH and NO concentrations, J(HONO) represents the measured HONO photolysis frequencies. K_{OH+NO} and $k_{OH+HONO}$ represent the rate constants of reactions between OH and NO and between OH and HONO respectively. These rate constants are pressure and temperature dependant and are calculated using formulas given by Atkinson et al. (2004) and measured temperature and pressure values. v_{HONO} represents the deposition velocity of HONO, which was set for the calculation to 0.5 cm s⁻¹, which corresponds to the highest value given by Stutz et al. (2002). Finally, h represents the measured boundary layer height.

In addition to this well-known HONO source (i.e. homogeneous formation), we adapted the PSS approach by adding other less established processes, such as direct emission and heterogeneous HONO formation from conversion of NO₂ on ground surface and aerosol surfaces. The addition of these processes to HONO concentration calculations takes into account suspected processes leading to HONO formation.

Thus, we obtained the following equation for the calculation of HONO concentrations:

$$(\text{HONO})_{\text{PSS2}} = \frac{k_{\text{OH+NO}}(\text{OH})(\text{NO}) + 0.03(\text{NO}_2)\frac{v_{\text{NO}_2}}{h} + \frac{v_a c S}{4}(\text{NO}_2) + \frac{0.008 * E_{\text{NO}_x}}{h}}{k_{\text{OH+HONO}}(\text{OH}) + J_{\text{HONO}} + \frac{v_{\text{HONO}}}{h}}$$
(2)

The HONO direct emission is parameterized in Eq. (2) using the emission ratio $HONO/NO_x$ of 0.8% given by Kurtenbach et al. (2001), NO_x emission factor profile (E_{NO_x}) given by the emission inventory from TNO for the MEGAPOLI project (Denier Van der Gon et al., 2009) and measured boundary layer height (*h*). In this parameterization we make the hypothesis that there is no vertical gradient for HONO concentrations which is not always verified (see discussion on the gradient in the Introduction section). Nevertheless, this parameterization allows a rough estimation of the emission



(1)

process. The emission factor profile (E_{NO_X}) taken here, is the result of the interpolation of the adjacent cells emission profiles (spatial resolution of the cells: 7 km) weighted regarding the distance of the measurement site with the centre of the adjacent cells. The heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on the ground surface is parameterized in equation (2) using measured NO₂ concentrations, a conversion efficiency of NO₂ into HONO of 0.03 given by Stutz et al. (2002) for grass land surface, the NO₂ deposition velocity ($v_{NO_2} = 0.1 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ (Seinfeld and Pandis, 1998)) and the measured boundary layer height (*h*). The use of a single value for the NO₂ deposition velocity represents a source of uncertainties, since this parameter is supposed to vary during the day. Nevertheless,

¹⁰ this parameterization aims at estimates this known but not well characterized process at least in the right order of magnitude. Finally, the heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces is parameterized in Eq. (2) using an equation given by Kleffmann et al. (1998), where v_a represents the uptake coefficient of NO₂ on aerosol surfaces $(v_a = 10^{-6})$, *c* represents the NO₂ mean speed, *S* represents the total aerosol surface area calculated using Aerosol Particle Sizer (APS) data (size range: 0.49–20.53 µm) and (NO₂) represents the measured NO₂ concentrations.

Obviously, it was possible to apply this calculation only when all parameters involved in the calculation were available simultaneously. Despite this limitation, calculations could be carried out and compared to observations for eight and fifteen days of the summer and winter campaigns respectively.

20

While OH was directly measured in summer, this was not the case for winter. OH concentrations used to perform the calculations in winter were obtained using a box model. Given the good performance obtained by the model set up by Michoud et al. (2012) for the summer campaign, the same model was used here. It is a 0D box model with chem-

ical reactions given by the Master Chemical Mechanism (Jenkin et al., 1997; Saunders et al., 2003; Jenkin et al., 2003; Bloss et al., 2005) and constrained with all measured parameters and species concentrations. The modelling procedure used consisted in a spin up approach as described in Michoud et al. 2012 (method 1). The simulated OH concentrations for the winter campaign are shown in the Supplement S3.



4 Results and discussion

15

25

4.1 Overview of measurement results

4.1.1 The MEGAPOLI summer campaign

The measured concentrations of inorganic species (NO_x, O₃ and HONO) as well as measured meteorological parameters ($J(NO_2)$), wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity and soil moisture (measured at 5 cm below ground surface) between 9–26 July 2009 are shown in Fig. 1. O₃, NO_x and HONO levels during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign as well as meteorological conditions have been discussed elsewhere (Michoud et al., 2012). The mean diurnal profile of NO_x, O₃, HONO and $J(NO_2)$ aver-10 aged every 10 min over the campaign period are shown in Fig. 2.

During the summer campaign, the measurement site mainly received air masses coming from westerly and south-westerly sectors associated with high wind speed (approximately 90% of the whole time period), with isolated events of air masses coming from the east and south-east sectors (Ait-Helal et al., to be submitted). These conditions explain quite low pollutant levels observed during this campaign more representative of a regional background, Paris being located at the north east of SIRTA observatory.

Ozone shows a typical bell shaped profile with an average maximum of 38 ppb around 17:00 local time (LT). NO and NO₂ show also typical profiles with two maxima during morning and afternoon traffic rush hours. The morning maxima are 4 and 8 ppb for NO and NO₂, respectively, at around 07:00-08:00 LT.

HONO mixing ratios were variable over the full campaign period and reached up to 500 ppt on some days. HONO diurnal profile shows a maximum around 9:00 (LT) of about 210 ppt, since HONO accumulate during night time. Later during the day, these mixing ratios decrease to about 100 ppt due to fast photolysis of HONO.



4.1.2 The MEGAPOLI winter campaign

The measured concentrations of inorganic species (NO_x, O₃ and HONO) as well as measured meteorological parameters ($J(NO_2)$), wind speed, wind direction, relative humidity and soil moisture (measured at 5 cm below ground surface) between the 15 January and 6 February 2010 are shown in Fig. 6 for the MEGAPOLI winter cam-

⁵ 15 January and 6 February 2010 are shown in Fig. 6 for the MEGAPOLI winter campaign. The averaged diurnal profiles of NO_x , O_3 , HONO and $J(NO_2)$ for the MEGAPOLI winter campaign are shown in Fig. 4.

During the MEGAPOLI winter campaign, colder conditions were encountered with temperatures between -6 and 10 °C (1.8 °C in average). Some snow events have also been encountered during the campaign, between the 10 and 14 February. However, HONO chemistry during these particular events will be discussed in another article, and this paper is focused only on the first part of the campaign free of snow events. As during the summer campaign, the site received mainly air masses from westerly and north-westerly sectors bringing rather unpolluted air. However, some events with

- ¹⁵ air masses coming from easterly and north-easterly sectors, advecting more continental and polluted air masses which passed above the Paris area before reaching the measurement site, were encountered (approximately one third of the campaign duration). During these events, high NO_x mixing ratios were observed, up to 100 ppb, while O₃ concentration decreased. Measured $J(NO_2)$ values were approximately three times
- 20 lower than during the summer campaign. Observed HONO mixing ratios were higher than during the summer campaign, reaching up to 1.7 ppb for some days. These differences between the summer and winter can be explained by the lower photolysis frequency in winter, photolysis being the major HONO sink.

Ozone presents no clear diurnal profile with mixing ratios measured at noon close to mixing ratios observed at night (around 20–25 ppb). NO presents a diurnal profile with a maximum in the morning around 13 ppb while NO₂ presents a diurnal profile with two maxima, one in the morning and the other in the evening, of approximately 10–14 ppb.





Averaged HONO mixing ratios remain almost constant (around 400–500 ppt) all over the day.

4.2 Comparison between PSS and measurements of HONO concentrations

4.2.1 The MEGAPOLI summer campaign

- ⁵ To study the chemistry of HONO in the suburban environment of Palaiseau, measured HONO concentrations have been compared to calculated HONO concentrations using PSS calculations (Eqs. 1 and 2). These comparisons are shown in Fig. 5 for the whole campaign and in Fig. 6 for diurnal profiles.
- The comparison shows a relatively good agreement between measurements and calculations for some days, such as 13, 19 and 21 July. Nevertheless, calculated HONO concentrations underestimate measured concentrations for the other days of the campaign. This is the case, in particular, on the 9, 10, 11, 25 and 26 July. These underestimations are observed when high HONO mixing ratios (> 100 ppt) are observed (see Fig. 5). Note that we noticed that heterogeneous HONO sources on aerosol and ground surfaces and direct emission of HONO led only to a slight increase of calculated HONO concentrations. This slight increase cannot, by far, reconcile calculations and
 - measurements and indicates that these processes have a low impact on the HONO budget.

This suggests the existence of an unaccounted additional HONO source, required to reconcile calculated and observed HONO concentrations. This statement seems robust even if the parameterizations used to take into account other processes than the homogeneous formation contain uncertainties (see Sect. 3).

4.2.2 The MEGAPOLI winter campaign

The comparison between measured and calculated HONO concentrations is shown in Fig. 7 for the whole campaign and in Fig. 8 for diurnal profiles. As for the summer campaign, the calculated HONO concentrations underestimate the measurements in general, although a good agreement is found on some days, such as the 25^{th} of January and the 02^{nd} , 03^{rd} , 04^{th} of February. Agreement between calculations and measurements is generally found when measured HONO mixing ratios are low (some tens of ppt), but a good agreement is found also when higher HONO mixing ratios are observed (500-600ppt), on the 25^{th} of January for instance.

This general underestimation of the calculations compared to the measurements is also illustrated by the comparison between the diurnal profiles. Furthermore, the profiles of both calculated HONO concentrations ($(HONO)_{PSS1}$ and $(HONO)_{PSS2}$) are pretty similar suggesting that HONO direct emissions and considered HONO between

pretty similar suggesting that HONO direct emissions and considered HONO heterogeneous sources only slightly contribute to HONO formation. In the same way as for the MEGAPOLI summer campaign, this general underestimation of the calculations also highlights the fact that the considered HONO formation processes are not sufficient to explain the observed daytime HONO levels during this campaign.

15 4.3 Daytime missing HONO source

5

The underestimations of calculated HONO concentrations found for both campaigns indicate that either HONO sources are underestimated or losses are overestimated. However, HONO losses are well established and are largely dominated by its photolysis during daytime. The underestimation of HONO concentrations is, therefore expected to come from one or several sources that are missing in the calculation.

It is thus necessary to include a HONO source term $(S_{unknown})$ in Eq. (2) to account for these missing sources:

$$(\text{HONO}) = \frac{k_{\text{OH+NO}}(\text{OH})(\text{NO}) + 0.03(\text{NO}_2)\frac{v_{\text{NO}_2}}{h} + \frac{v_a c S}{4}(\text{NO}_2) + \frac{0.008 * \text{E}_{\text{NO}_x}}{h} + \text{S}_{\text{unknown}}}{k_{\text{OH+HONO}}(\text{OH}) + J_{\text{HONO}} + \frac{v_{\text{HONO}}}{h}}$$



(3)

This additional source term (S $_{unknown}$ (Eq. 3) can thus be calculated using the following equation:

$$S_{\text{unknown}} = ((\text{HONO})_{\text{measured}} - (\text{HONO})_{\text{PSS2}}) \cdot (k_{\text{HONO+OH}}(\text{OH}) + J_{\text{HONO}} + \frac{v_{\text{HONO}}}{h})$$
(4)

(HONO)_{PSS2} in Eq. (4) represents HONO concentrations calculated using Eq. (2). This
calculation has been established to estimate the additional HONO source term needed to reconcile observed and calculated HONO levels during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter campaigns. Considering the uncertainties on the nature of the missing HONO source and in particular if it is a source occurring all along the atmospheric column (e.g. gas phase processes, reactions on aerosol surface...) or limited to ground (reactions on the ground, emission from soils...), we expressed the missing HONO formation as a production rate S (in ppb h⁻¹) or as a flux *F* (in molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹, calculated for ground pressure). This flux is obtained by multiplying the production rate S by the measured boundary layer height.

4.3.1 The MEGAPOLI summer campaign

The evolution of the missing HONO source and the missing HONO flux during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign are shown in Fig. 9. The maxima at noon of this source range from 0.3 to 1.4 ppb h⁻¹ and from 0.2 × 10¹² to 1.3 × 10¹² molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ for the missing flux. The diurnal profile of this missing HONO source is shown in Fig. 13. This unknown source presents a bell shaped profile with an averaged maximum around noon of approximately 0.7 ppb h⁻¹. This is comparable to another study performed in a suburban environment near Jülich (Germany) during the ECHO campaign, where Kleffmann et al. (2005) found a missing HONO source with a maximum of approximately 0.5 ppb h⁻¹. It is also comparable to the additional HONO source found by Li et al. (2012) in the Pearl river delta at a site located 60 km north of Guangzhou, with an averaged daytime unknown HONO source of 0.77 ppb h⁻¹. However, this source seems to be much higher than the one found by Zhou et al. (2002) in a rural environment of



New York State (USA) with a maximum of approximately 0.22 ppb h⁻¹; and much lower than the one found by Elshorbany et al. (2009) in the urban city centre of Santiago (Chile) with a maximum of approximately 1.7 ppb h⁻¹. A comparison of these different additional HONO daytime sources is shown in Table 2. The additional HONO source seems, therefore, to be dependent on the encountered environmental conditions.

The calculated missing HONO source represents the main source of HONO during daytime hours and accounts for approximately 50 to 60% of the HONO production at noon, slightly higher than the production from the homogeneous reaction of OH with NO (see Fig. S1.1).

- In order to identify the processes responsible for the unknown HONO source, correlations of this additional HONO source with various parameters were investigated. The selection of parameters was driven by processes already identified in the literature as HONO source:
 - The homogeneous reaction between HO₂ and NO₂ (Stockwell and Calvert, 1983).
- NO₂ dismutation on wet surfaces (Reaction R3) (Finlayson-Pitts et al., 2003).
 - Heterogeneous reduction of NO₂ on soot surfaces (Reaction R4) (Amman et al., 1998).
 - Heterogeneous reactions between NO and NO₂ or between NO and HNO₃ (Calvert et al., 1994; Saliba et al., 2000).
- Heterogeneous reduction of NO₂ on organic surfaces, such as humic acids (Stemmler et al., 2006) or aromatics (George et al., 2005).

The measured parameters or products of measured parameters confronted with the calculated unknown HONO source are: (NO_2) , (NO), $(NO_2)^2$ and $(NO) \cdot (NO_2)$. In addition, black carbon aerosol concentrations (BC) and BC $\cdot (NO_2)$ have also been compared with the calculated missing HONO source. The correlation plots obtained are



presented in the Supplement (Fig. S2.1). These analyses do not show any clear relationship between the calculated unknown HONO source and the different parameters chosen. This seems to exclude the processes mentioned earlier as the major HONO additional source during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign at the SIRTA observatory. However, these processes can occur but probably with a low contribution. Moreover, processes such as reduction of NO₂ on soot and on organic surfaces or dismutation of NO₂ on wet surfaces are already taken into account in the calculation of PSS HONO concentrations (see Eq. 2).

5

- Correlations between the calculated unknown HONO source and measured $J(NO_2)$ and the product between measured $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture (measured 5 cm below ground) are presented in Fig. 11. On the contrary of graphs presented in the Supplement (see Fig. S2.1), the graphs in Fig. 11 present a clear relationship between the calculated unknown HONO source and NO₂ photolysis frequencies and between this source and the product of $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture. Indeed, the missing source seems growing with increasing $J(NO_2)$ and increase of the product of $J(NO_2)$ and
- ¹⁵ seems growing with increasing $J(NO_2)$ and increase of the product of $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture, although the correlation coefficients of both graphs are pretty low (0.14 and 0.19 respectively). Moreover, the correlation is slightly improved when we multiply soil moisture to $J(NO_2)$ instead of considering just $J(NO_2)$ alone. These correlations tend to indicate that the unknown HONO source observed during the MEGAPOLI sum-
- ²⁰ mer campaign might be photolytic and heterogeneous occurring on the ground rather than on aerosols. On the contrary, no clear relationship is found for the correlation plot between the missing source and the term $J(NO_2) \cdot (NO_2)$ (not shown). This lack of correlation between this two terms strengthen the filling that gaseous NO₂ does not take a part in the missing HONO formation processes observed during this campaign, in ²⁵ contradiction with suggestion of Sörgel et al. (2011a).

However, it seems that the poor correlation coefficient obtained for correlations shown in Fig. 11 requires an additional parameter to improve correlations with the unknown HONO source. Furthermore, when plotted as a function of the day of the campaign (colour coded points in Fig. 11), it seems that a better correlation exists for



each day but with a high variability in the slope found for each day. The slopes and the correlation coefficients of the correlation plots between the unknown HONO source and $J(NO_2)$ for each day of the campaign are listed in Table 3. Good correlations are found some days (for example the 09th and the 10th of July) with high correlation coeffi-

cients. Nevertheless some other days no correlation is found. It is the case particularly the days when a pretty good agreement is found between calculated and measured HONO concentrations (for example the 11 and 21 July).

The high variability in the slope found for each day indicates that the missing parameter should present a day to day variability but with lower variability during daytime hours of each day. Among the processes proposed in the literature which could meet these criteria, various potential HONO sources can be considered:

10

15

20

- The photolysis of nitric acid deposited on ground surfaces (Reactions R5–R7). Indeed, this reaction occurs heterogeneously on the ground and is photolytic. Furthermore, this reaction involves a water molecule and is thus dependent of the water content of the soil (Zhou et al., 2001, 2002). Moreover, this reaction is dependent of the reservoir of nitric acid or nitrates material available at the soil/atmosphere interface which is expected to present a day to day variability.
- HONO formation from nitrites contained in the soil and formed through biological processes (Reaction R8) (Su et al., 2011). This reaction depends on many parameters such as nitrite concentrations in soil, soil water content, soil temperature, soil pH... (Su et al., 2011), but is not photolytic. However, the calculated unknown HONO source is found not to correlate to soil temperature in our study (not shown).

Li et al. (2012) also proposed these two mechanisms to explain the additional HONO production observed during a field experiment conducted in the Pearl River delta. In addition of these sources, one cannot exclude the photolysis of ortho-nitrophenols. Furthermore, Bejan et al. (2006) reported a production of HONO, at noon, through this process of approximately 100 ppt h⁻¹ which can by far not explain the whole unknown



source observed in our study. Therefore, if this process cannot be disqualified during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign at SIRTA observatory, it cannot be the only additional process occurring during daytime hours.

The absence of measurements of atmospheric HNO₃ concentrations and/or concentrations of HNO₃ adsorbed on ground surfaces, nitrite concentrations in soil and atmospheric ortho-nitrophenol concentrations, does unfortunately not allow us to conclude on the contribution of these three processes to the HONO formation in the conditions of the MEGAPOLI summer campaign at SIRTA observatory.

4.3.2 The MEGAPOLI winter campaign

- The evolution of the missing HONO source and the missing HONO flux during the MEGAPOLI winter campaign are shown in Fig. 12. During this campaign, the maxima at noon of this source range from 0.05 to 1.3 ppb h⁻¹ and from 1.0 × 10¹⁰ to 1.0 × 10¹² molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹ for the missing flux. The diurnal profile of the missing HONO source for this campaign is shown in Fig. 13. This unknown HONO source presents a bell shaped profile, similar to the one of the MEGAPOLI summer campaign. However, the level of this additional HONO source is approximately three times lower than the one of the summer campaign. Indeed, the averaged maximum for this unknown HONO daytime source is about 0.25 ppb h⁻¹ while it was of about 0.7 ppb h⁻¹ during the summer campaign, indicating that this source to explain this missing HONO formation. However, this source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the assumption of a photolytic source to explain this missing HONO formation. However, this source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the assumption of a photolytic source to explain the summer campaign. However, the source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the assumption of a photolytic source to explain this missing HONO formation. However, this source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011a) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011b) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011b) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011b) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calculated by Sörgel steel (2011b) is for the summer campaign is source was higher than the one calc
 - et al. (2011a) in a forested area in winter, with an average daytime level of 0.1 ppb h^{-1} (see Table 2).

During this campaign, the unknown source represents also the main HONO source ²⁵ during daytime hours, approximately 50–60 % of the averaged total HONO source at noon, slightly more than the homogeneous production from the OH + NO reaction (see Fig. S1.2).



The same correlations plots, as for the summer source, have been built, again to identify possibly responsible processes for this source (see section 4.3.1) and are presented in the Supplement S2 (Fig S2.2). As it was the case for the MEGAPOLI summer campaign, these scatter plots do not show any clear relationship between the calculated HONO source and these parameters, excluding again processes mentioned in Sect. 4.3.1 as major additional HONO source during the MEGAPOLI winter campaign. Correlation plots between the calculated HONO unknown source and measured $J(NO_2)$ and the product between measured $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture are presented in Fig. 14. Fair correlations, even better than for summer, are found between the additional HONO source and the two parameters with correlation coefficients of 0.39 for both correlations. Furthermore, on the whole, good correlation coefficients are found for each single day (see Table 3), except for the days when calculated HONO concentrations are in agreement with the measurements. However, no improvement is observed when we consider the product between $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture instead of $J(NO_2)$ alone.

- Nevertheless, this could be explained by low variability in the soil moisture data (see Fig. 4). Furthermore, one could expect multiple water layers over the surface in winter, diminishing HONO production efficiency (Saliba et al., 2001). However, this does not necessarily mean that the processes responsible for the unknown source observed during this campaign do not occur at ground.
- In any case, the source observed during this campaign seems to be at least a photolytic source as during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign. This could explain the lower level of this source (approximately three times lower in average) since photolysis frequencies are far lower during the winter campaign than during the summer campaign (approximately three times lower also). However, this could not explain the difference
- observed in the slope between the summer and the winter. Indeed, a factor of two approximately exists between the slopes of the correlations between the summer and the winter. This difference could be explained by a parameter not taken into account in the correlations and involved in the missing HONO source which would present a seasonal variation.



Therefore, the same processes than that proposed to explain the additional summer HONO source might be responsible for the winter unknown HONO source, i.e. photolysis of nitric acid deposited on ground surface, HONO formation from nitrites contained in the soil and photolysis of ortho-nitrophenols, although we cannot conclude on the exact contribution of these three processes to the additional HONO formation during this campaign.

5 Conclusions

5

HONO concentrations have been measured during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter campaigns at SIRTA observatory, in the Paris suburban area, using an instrument developed at the laboratory (NitroMAC). HONO levels measured during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign were variables reaching up to 500 ppt during morning hours and mixing ratios comprised between a few tens of ppt and 200 ppt during daytime. Thus, measured HONO mixing ratios were pretty high during this campaign, in average (~100 ppt), during daytime hours. Furthermore, HONO photolysis represents the main
radical source during this campaign (Michoud et al., 2012). During the MEGAPOLI winter campaign, higher HONO levels were encountered with averaged daytime values around 400–500 ppt and a maximum values reaching up to 1.7 ppb on some days.

A comparison has been conducted between daytime HONO concentrations measured and calculated ones using a PSS approximation. This comparison led, most of

- $_{\rm 20}$ the time, to an underestimation of calculations compared to measurements, except for a few days for both campaigns. Therefore, homogeneous formation as well as emission and NO_2 conversion on aerosol and ground fail, most of the time, to reproduce HONO daytime concentrations observed during the campaigns. This indicates the existence of one or several additional processes responsible for HONO formation during daytime
- hours for both campaigns. A missing daytime HONO source has, thus, been calculated from the difference between measured and calculated HONO concentrations for both campaigns.



This diurnal variation of the unknown HONO source presents a bell shaped profile with a maximum around noon of approximately $0.7 \text{ ppb} \text{ h}^{-1}$ and $0.25 \text{ ppb} \text{ h}^{-1}$ for the summer and the winter campaign respectively.

- This missing source has then been confronted to various parameters, which allows ⁵ us to exclude some processes proposed in the literature to explain this source. Nevertheless, this source is correlated with $J(NO_2)$ and with the product between $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture in both cases. This could therefore indicate that this missing source would be photolytic and maybe heterogeneous occurring on ground surface and depending on parameters that is changing from day to day. Some processes proposed in the literature, have been identified as potential candidates to explain this additional
- ¹⁰ In the interature, have been identified as potential candidates to explain this additional HONO source observed during the MEGAPOLI summer and winter campaigns. This is the case of the photolysis of nitric acid or nitrates adsorbed on ground surface, of soil nitrites conversion into HONO and of the photolysis of ortho-nitrophenols. Nevertheless, in the absence of measurements of adsorbed nitrates and/or nitric acid concen-
- ¹⁵ trations, soil nitrite concentrations and/or gaseous ortho-nitrophenols concentrations, it is difficult to conclude on the exact contribution of these processes to the additional HONO formation during the MEGAPOLI campaigns. Thus, other unknown sources for HONO formation cannot be excluded, as long as they are correlated with photolysis rates and possibly soil moisture. Finally, the validation of the processes identified as potential conditioned HONO acurac in this study requires power.
- ²⁰ potential candidates to explain the additional HONO source in this study requires new field experiments dedicated to HONO budget during which soil chemical and physical properties would be measured in the same times as atmospheric chemical and physical properties.

Supplementary material related to this article is available online at: http://www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/23639/2013/ acpd-13-23639-2013-supplement.pdf.



Acknowledgements. The research leading to these results has received funding from the European Union's Seventh Framework Programme FP/2007-2011 under grant agreement no. 212520.

The authors also acknowledge the ANR through the MEGAPOLI PARIS and INSU/LEFE

through the MEGAPOLI France project for their financial support. Moreover, the research leading to these results has been carried out in the framework of a thesis supported by CNRS grant.

The authors also want to thank Eurochamp-2 (EU-FP7 grant agreement no. 228335) for supporting intercalibration exercises, in particular through the FIONA experiment dedicated to intercomparison of HONO measurements.

Birger Bohn, Forschungszentrum Jülich, Germany, for the calibration of the $J(NO_2)$ filter radiometer. Acknowledgements are extended to TNO for providing NO_x emission inventory in the framework of MEGAPOLI project.

Finally, the authors also thank Jean Sciare and J. B. Nicolas for the BC data.

15

20

10



The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

References

- Acker, K., Moller, D., Wieprecht, W., Meixner, F. X., Bohn, B., Gilge, S., Plass-Dulmer, C., and Berresheim, H.: Strong daytime production of OH from HNO₂ at a rural mountain site, Geo-
- phys. Res. Lett., 33, L02809, doi:10.1029/2005GL024643, 2006.
- Afif, C.: L'acide nitreux atmosphérique : Implications dans la chimie en milieu urbain, Université Paris XII – Val de Marne, Université Saint-Joseph de Beyrouth, Créteil, Beyrouth, 2008.
- Afif, C., Jambert, C., Colomb, A., Eyglunent, G., Borbon, A., Daële, V., Doussin, J. F., and Perros, P. E.: NitroMAC: an instrument for the measurement of HONO, Intercomparison with
- LOPAP, to be submitted in Water Air Soil Poll., 2013. 25



Ait-Helal, W., Borbon, A., Sauvage, S., De Gouw, J. A., Colomb, A., Beekmann, M., Afif, C., Durand-Jolibois, R., Fronval, I., Grand, N., Leonardis, T., Michoud, V., Miet, K., Perrier, S., Siour, G., Zapf, P., Doussin, J. F., Lopez, M., Gros, V., Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Crippa, M., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., and Locoge, N.: I/VOC in sub-urban Paris: variability, origin and importance in SOA formation, to be submitted, Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 2013.

5

15

25

- Alicke, B., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Konrad, S., Patz, H. W., Schafer, J., Stutz, J., Volz-Thomas, A., and Platt, U.: OH formation by HONO photolysis during the BERLIOZ experiment, J. Geophys. Res., 108, 8247, doi:10.1029/2001jd000579, 2003.
- Amedro, D., Parker, A. E., Schoemaecker, C., and Fittschen, C.: Direct observation of OH radicals after 565 nm multi-photon excitation of NO2 in the presence of H2O, Chem. Phys. Lett., 513, 12–16, doi:10.1016/j.cplett.2011.07.062, 2011.
 - Ammann, M., Kalberer, M., Jost, D. T., Tobler, L., Rossler, E., Piguet, D., Gaggeler, H. W., and Baltensperger, U.: Heterogeneous production of nitrous acid on soot in polluted air masses, Nature. 395, 157–160. doi:10.1038/25965. 1998.
- Atkinson, R., Baulch, D. L., Cox, R. A., Crowley, J. N., Hampson, R. F., Hynes, R. G., Jenkin, M. E., Rossi, M. J., and Troe, J.: Evaluated kinetic and photochemical data for atmospheric chemistry: Volume I gas phase reactions of O_x, HO_x, NO_x and SO_x species, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 4, 1461-1738, doi:10.5194/acp-4-1461-2004, 2004.
- Aumont, B., Madronich, S., Ammann, M., Kalberer, M., Baltensperger, U., Hauglustaine, D., and Brocheton, F.: On the NO₂ plus soot reaction in the atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 1729–1736, doi:10.1029/1998jd100023, 1999.
 - Bejan, I., Abd El Aal, Y., Barnes, I., Benter, T., Bohn, B., Wiesen, P., and Kleffmann, J.: The photolysis of ortho-nitrophenols: a new gas phase source of HONO, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 8, 2028–2035, doi:10.1039/b516590c, 2006.
 - Berresheim, H., Elste, T., Plass-Dulmer, C., Eisele, F. L., and Tanner, D. J.: Chemical ionization mass spectrometer for long-term measurements of atmospheric OH and H₂SO₄, Int. J. Mass Spectrom., 202, 91-109, 2000.

Bloss, C., Wagner, V., Jenkin, M. E., Volkamer, R., Bloss, W. J., Lee, J. D., Heard, D. E., Wirtz,

³⁰ K., Martin-Reviejo, M., Rea, G., Wenger, J. C., and Pilling, M. J.: Development of a detailed chemical mechanism (MCMv3.1) for the atmospheric oxidation of aromatic hydrocarbons, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 641–664, doi:10.5194/acp-5-641-2005, 2005.



- 23667
- Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Drewnick, F., von der Weiden-Reinmüller, S.-L., Crippa, M., Prévôt, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Sarda-Estève, R., Burkhart, J. F., Eckhardt, S., Stohl, A., Gros, V., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J. F.,

cal composition and source apportionment of the organic fraction in the metropolitan area of Paris, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 961–981, doi:10.5194/acp-13-961-2013, 2013. Denier van der Gon, H., Visschedijk, A., van der Brugh, H., Droge, R. and Kuenen, J.: A base 10 year (2005) megapoli uropean gridded emission inventory (1st version), MEGAPOLI Scientific Report 09-02. Deliverable 1.2. 2009. Dusanter, S., Vimal, D., Stevens, P. S., Volkamer, R., Molina, L. T., Baker, A., Meinardi, S., Blake, D., Sheehy, P., Merten, A., Zhang, R., Zheng, J., Fortner, E. C., Junkermann, W., Dubey, M.,

Calvert, J. G., Yarwood, G., and Dunker, A. M.: An evaluation of the mechanism of nitrous-acid formation in the urban atmosphere, res. Chem. Intermediat., 20, 463–502,

Crippa, M., DeCarlo, P. F., Slowik, J. G., Mohr, C., Heringa, M. F., Chirico, R., Poulain, L.,

Freutel, F., Sciare, J., Cozic, J., Di Marco, C. F., Elsasser, M., Nicolas, J. B., Marchand, N.,

Abidi, E., Wiedensohler, A., Drewnick, F., Schneider, J., Borrmann, S., Nemitz, E., Zimmer-

mann, R., Jaffrezo, J.-L., Prévôt, A. S. H., and Baltensperger, U.: Wintertime aerosol chemi-

10.1163/156856794x00423, 1994.

- Rahn, T., Eichinger, B., Lewandowski, P., Prueger, J., and Holder, H.; Measurements of OH 15 and HO2 concentrations during the MCMA-2006 field campaign - Part 2: Model comparison and radical budget, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 6655-6675, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6655-2009, 2009.
 - Eisele, F. L. and Tanner, D. J.: Ion-assisted tropospheric oh measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 96, 9295-9308, doi:10.1029/91jd00198, 1991.
 - Elshorbany, Y. F., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Lissi, E., Rubio, M., Villena, G., Gramsch, E., Rickard, A. R., Pilling, M. J., and Kleffmann, J.: Oxidation capacity of the city air of Santiago, Chile, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 2257-2273, 2009, http://www.atmos-chem-phys.net/9/2257/2009/.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Pitts, J. N.: Chemistry of the upper and lower atmosphere, edited by: 25 PRESS, A., San Diego, 2000.
- Finlayson-Pitts, B. J., Wingen, L. M., Sumner, A. L., Syomin, D., and Ramazan, K. A.: The heterogeneous hydrolysis of NO2 in laboratory systems and in outdoor and indoor atmospheres: An integrated mechanism, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 5, 223-242, doi:10.1039/b208564j, 2003. 30



5

Borbon, A., Haeffelin, M., Morille, Y., Beekmann, M., and Borrmann, S.: Aerosol particle measurements at three stationary sites in the megacity of Paris during summer 2009: meteorology and air mass origin dominate aerosol particle composition and size distribution, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 933–959, doi:10.5194/acp-13-933-2013, 2013.

George, C., Strekowski, R. S., Kleffmann, J., Stemmler, K., and Ammann, M.: Photoenhanced uptake of gaseous NO₂ on solid-organic compounds: a photochemical source of HONO?, Faraday Discuss., 130, 195–210, doi:10.1039/b417888m, 2005.

Haeffelin, M., Barthes, L., Bock, O., Boitel, C., Bony, S., Bouniol, D., Chepfer, H., Chiriaco, M., Cuesta, J., Delanoe, J., Drobinski, P., Dufresne, J. L., Flamant, C., Grall, M., Hodzic, A.,

Hourdin, F., Lapouge, R., Lemaitre, Y., Mathieu, A., Morille, Y., Naud, C., Noel, V., O'Hirok, W., Pelon, J., Pietras, C., Protat, A., Romand, B., Scialom, G., and Vautard, R.: SIRTA, a groundbased atmospheric observatory for cloud and aerosolresearch, Annales Geophysicae, 23, 253–275, doi:10.5194/angeo-23-253-2005, 2005.

Haeffelin, M., Angelini, F., Morille, Y., Martucci, G., Frey, S., Gobbi, G. P., Lolli, S., O'Dowd, C.

- D., Sauvage, L., Xueref-Remy, I., Wastine, B., and Feist, D. G.: Evaluation of Mixing-Height Retrievals from Automatic Profiling Lidars and Ceilometers in View of Future Integrated Networks in Europe, Bound.-Lay. Meteorol., 143, 49-75, doi:10.1007/s10546-011-9643-z, 2012.
 Hasel, M., Kottmeier, C., Corsmeier, U., and Wieser, A.: Airborne measurements of turbulent trace gas fluxes and analysis of eddy structure in the convective boundary layer over complex
- terrain, Atmos. Res., 74, 381–402, doi:10.1016/j.atmosres.2004.06.010, 2005.
 Haseler, R., Brauers, T., Holland, F., and Wahner, A.: Development and application of a new mobile LOPAP instrument for the measurement of HONO altitude profiles in the plane-tary boundary layer, Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss., 2, 2027–2054, doi:10.5194/amtd-2-2027-2009, 2009.
- ²⁵ Huang, G., Zhou, X. L., Deng, G. H., Qiao, H. C., and Civerolo, K.: Measurements of atmospheric nitrous acid and nitric acid, Atmos. Environ., 36, 2225–2235, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(02)00170-x, 2002.
 - Jenkin, M. E., Cox, R. A., and Williams, D. J.: Laboratory studies of the kinetics of formation of nitrous-acid from the thermal-reaction of nitrogen-dioxide and water-vapor, Atmos. Environ.,
- ³⁰ 22, 487–498, doi:10.1016/0004-6981(88)90194-1, 1988.
 - Jenkin, M. E., Saunders, S. M., Wagner, V., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part B): tropospheric degradation of aromatic



volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 181–193, doi:10.5194/acp-3-181-2003, 2003.

- Kalberer, M., Ammann, M., Arens, F., Gaggeler, H. W., and Baltensperger, U.: Heterogeneous formation of nitrous acid (HONO) on soot aerosol particles, J. Geophys. Res., 104, 13825– 13832, doi:10.1029/1999id900141, 1999.
- Kirchstetter, T. W., Harley, R. A., and Littlejohn, D.: Measurement of nitrous acid in motor vehicle exhaust, Environ. Sci. Technol., 30, 2843–2849, doi10.1021/es960135y, 1996.
- Kleffmann, J., Becker, K. H., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous NO2 conversion processes on acid surfaces: Possible atmospheric implications, Atmos. Environ., 32, 2721–2729, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(98)00065-x, 1998.
- Kleffmann, J., Becker, K. H., Lackhoff, M., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ on carbonaceous surfaces, Phys. Chem. Chem. Phys., 1, 5443–5450, 1999.
- Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., Lorzer, J., Wiesen, P., Kalthoff, N., Vogel, B., and Vogel, H.: Measured and simulated vertical profiles of nitrous acid – Part I: Field measurements, Atmos.
- 15 Environ., 37, 2949–2955, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(03)00242-5, 2003.

5

10

Kleffmann, J., Benter, T., and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous reaction of nitric acid with nitric oxide on glass surfaces under simulated atmospheric conditions, J. Phys. Chem. A, 108, 5793– 5799, doi:10.1021/jp040184u, 2004.

Kleffmann, J., Gavriloaiei, T., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Koppmann, R., Rupp, L., Schlosser,

- E., Siese, M., and Wahner, A.: Daytime formation of nitrous acid: A major source of OH radicals in a forest, Geophys. Res. Lett., 32, L05818, doi:10.1029/2005gl022524, 2005.
 - Kleffmann, J. and Wiesen, P.: Heterogeneous conversion of NO₂ and NO on HNO₃ treated soot surfaces: atmospheric implications, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 5, 77–83, doi:10.5194/acp-5-77-2005, 2005.
- ²⁵ Kleffmann, J., Lorzer, J. C., Wiesen, P., Kern, C., Trick, S., Volkamer, R., Rodenas, M., and Wirtz, K.: Intercomparison of the DOAS and LOPAP techniques for the detection of nitrous acid (HONO), Atmos. Environ., 40, 3640–3652, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.03.027, 2006. Kleffmann, J.: Daytime sources of nitrous acid (HONO) in the atmospheric boundary layer, Chem. Phys. Chem., 8, 1137–1144, 2007.
- ³⁰ Kukui, A., Ancellet, G., and Le Bras, G.: Chemical ionisation mass spectrometer for measurements of OH and Peroxy radical concentrations in moderately polluted atmospheres, J. Atmos. Chem., 61, 133–154, 2008.



- Kurtenbach, R., Becker, K. H., Gomes, J. A. G., Kleffmann, J., Lorzer, J. C., Spittler, M., Wiesen, P., Ackermann, R., Geyer, A., and Platt, U.: Investigations of emissions and heterogeneous formation of HONO in a road traffic tunnel, Atmos. Environ., 35, 3385–3394, doi:10.1016/s1352-2310(01)00138-8, 2001.
- 5 Lammel, G. and Cape, J. N.: Nitrous acid and nitrite in the atmosphere, Chem. Soc. Rev., 25, 361–369, doi:10.1039/cs9962500361, 1996.

Levy, H.: Photochemistry of the lower troposphere, Planet. Space Sci., 20, 919–935, 1972.

- Li, S. P., Matthews, J., and Sinha, A.: Atmospheric hydroxyl radical production from electronically excited NO2 and H2O, Science, 319, 1657–1660, doi:10.1126/science.1151443, 2008.
- Li, X., Brauers, T., Haseler, R., Bohn, B., Fuchs, H., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Lou, S., Lu, K. D., Rohrer, F., Hu, M., Zeng, L. M., Zhang, Y. H., Garland, R. M., Su, H., Nowak, A., Wiedensohler, A., Takegawa, N., Shao, M., and Wahner, A.: Exploring the atmospheric chemistry of nitrous acid (HONO) at a rural site in Southern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 1497–1513, doi:10.5194/acp-12-1497-2012, 2012.
- ¹⁵ Michoud, V., Kukui, A., Camredon, M., Colomb, A., Borbon, A., Miet, K., Aumont, B., Beekmann, M., Durand-Jolibois, R., Perrier, S., Zapf, P., Siour, G., Ait-Helal, W., Locoge, N., Sauvage, S., Afif, C., Gros, V., Furger, M., Ancellet, G., and Doussin, J. F.: Radical budget analysis in a suburban European site during the MEGAPOLI summer field campaign, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 11951–11974, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11951-2012, 2012.
- Park, S. S., Hong, S. B., Jung, Y. G., and Lee, J. H.: Measurements of PM10 aerosol and gas-phase nitrous acid during fall season in a semi-urban atmosphere, Atmos. Environ., 38, 293–304, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2003.09.041, 2004.
 - Pietras, C., Boitel, C., Dupont, J. C., Haeffelin, M., Lapouge, F., Morille, Y., Noel, V., and Romand, B.: SIRTA, a multi-sensor platform for clouds and aerosols characterization in the at-
- ²⁵ mosphere: infrastructure, objective and prospective, in: Lidar Technologies, Techniques, and Measurements for Atmospheric Remote Sensing Iii, Proceedings of the Society of Photo-Optical Instrumentation Engineers (SPIE), A7501–A7501, 2007.
 - Platt, U., Alicke, B., Dubois, R., Geyer, A., Hofzumahaus, A., Holland, F., Martinez, M., Mihelcic, D., Klupfel, T., Lohrmann, B., Patz, W., Perner, D., Rohrer, F., Schafer, J., and Stutz,
- J.: Free radicals and fast photochemistry during BERLIOZ, J. Atmos. Chem., 42, 359–394, doi:10.1023/a:1015707531660, 2002.



Ren, X. R., Harder, H., Martinez, M., Lesher, R. L., Oliger, A., Simpas, J. B., Brune, W. H., Schwab, J. J., Demerjian, K. L., He, Y., Zhou, X. L., and Gao, H. G.: OH and HO2 chemistry in the urban atmosphere of New York City, Atmos. Environ., 37, 3639–3651, 2003.

Ren, X. R., Brune, W. H., Oliger, A., Metcalf, A. R., Simpas, J. B., Shirley, T., Schwab, J. J.,

Bai, C. H., Roychowdhury, U., Li, Y. Q., Cai, C. X., Demerjian, K. L., He, Y., Zhou, X. L., Gao, H. L., and Hou, J.: OH, HO2, and OH reactivity during the PMTACS-NY Whiteface Mountain 2002 campaign: Observations and model comparison, J. Geophys. Res., 111, D10S03, 10.1029/2005JD006126, 2006.

Saliba, N. A., Mochida, M., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Laboratory studies of sources of HONO in polluted urban atmospheres, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3229–3232,

of HONO in polluted urban atmospheres, Geophys. Res. Lett., 27, 3229–3232, doi:10.1029/2000gl011724, 2000.

Saliba, N. A., Yang, H., and Finlayson-Pitts, B. J.: Reaction of gaseous nitric oxide with nitric acid on silica surfaces in the presence of water at room temperature, J. Phys. Chem. A, 105, 10339–10346, doi:10.1021/jp012330r, 2001.

¹⁵ Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: World Wide Web site of a Master Chemical Mechanism (MCM) for use in tropospheric chemistry models, Atmos. Environ., 31, 1249–1249, 1997.

Saunders, S. M., Jenkin, M. E., Derwent, R. G., and Pilling, M. J.: Protocol for the development of the Master Chemical Mechanism, MCM v3 (Part A): tropospheric degradation of non-

- aromatic volatile organic compounds, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 161–180, doi:10.5194/acp-3-161-2003, 2003.
 - Seinfeld, J. H., Pandis, S. N.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics, Wiley-Interscience, New York, USA, 1998.

Sorgel, M., Regelin, E., Bozem, H., Diesch, J. M., Drewnick, F., Fischer, H., Harder, H.,

- Held, A., Hosaynali-Beygi, Z., Martinez, M., and Zetzsch, C.: Quantification of the unknown HONO daytime source and its relation to NO2, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 10433–10447, doi:10.5194/acp-11-10433-2011, 2011a.
 - Sorgel, M., Trebs, I., Serafimovich, A., Moravek, A., Held, A., and Zetzsch, C.: Simultaneous HONO measurements in and above a forest canopy: influence of turbulent exchange on
- ³⁰ mixing ratio differences, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 841–855, doi:10.5194/acp-11-841-2011, 2011b.



- **ACPD** 13, 23639–23690, 2013 Paper Study of the unknown HONO daytime source **Discussion** Paper V. Michoud et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References Discussion Paper **Tables Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc Discussion Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion
- Stemmler, K., Ammann, M., Donders, C., Kleffmann, J., and George, C.: Photosensitized reduction of nitrogen dioxide on humic acid as a source of nitrous acid, Nature, 440, 195–198, doi:10.1038/nature04603, 2006.

Stockwell, W. R., and Calvert, J. G.: The mechanism of no3 and hono formation in the nighttime chemistry of the urban atmosphere, J. Geophys. Res., 88, 6673–6682,

doi:10.1029/JC088IC11P06673, 1983. Stutz, J., Alicke, B., and Neftel, A.: Nitrous acid formation in the urban atmosphere: Gradient

measurements of NO2 and HONO over grass in Milan, Italy, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8192, doi:10.1029/2001JD000390, 2002.

¹⁰ Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Shao, M., Gao, D. F., Yu, Z. Y., Zeng, L. M., Slanina, J., Zhang, Y. H., and Wiedensohler, A.: Nitrous acid (HONO) and its daytime sources at a rural site during the 2004 PRIDE-PRD experiment in China, J. Geophys. Res., 113, D14312, doi:10.1029/2007JD009060, 2008.

Su, H., Cheng, Y. F., Oswald, R., Behrendt, T., Trebs, I., Meixner, F. X., Andreae, M. O., Cheng,

- P., Zhang, Y., and Pöschl, U.: Soil Nitrite as a Source of Atmospheric HONO and OH Radicals, Science, 333, 1616–1618, doi:10.1126/science.1207687, 2011.
 - Tanner, D. J., Jefferson, A., and Eisele, F. L.: Selected ion chemical ionization mass spectrometric measurement of OH, J. Geophys. Res., 102, 6415–6425, 1997.

Veitel, H., Kromer, B., Mossner, M., and Platt, U.: New techniques for measurements of atmospheric vertical trace gas profiles using DOAS, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 17–26, 2002.

- Veres, P., Roberts, J. M., Burling, I. R., Warneke, C., de Gouw, J., and Yokelson, R. J.: Measurements of gas-phase inorganic and organic acids from biomass fires by negative-ion proton-transfer chemical-ionization mass spectrometry, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D23302, doi:10.1029/2010JD014033, 2010.
- Villena, G., Kleffmann, J., Kurtenbach, R., Wiesen, P., Lissi, E., Rubio, M. A., Croxatto, G., and Rappengluck, B.: Vertical gradients of HONO, NO_x and O₃ in Santiago de Chile, Atmos. Environ., 45, 3867–3873, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2011.01.073, 2011.

Wong, K. W., Tsai, C., Lefer, B., Haman, C., Grossberg, N., Brune, W. H., Ren, X., Luke, W., and Stutz, J.: Daytime HONO vertical gradients during SHARP 2009 in Houston, TX, Atmos.

³⁰ Chem. Phys., 12, 635–652, doi:10.5194/acp-12-635-2012, 2012.

20

Zhang, N., Zhou, X. L., Shepson, P. B., Gao, H. L., Alaghmand, M., and Stirm, B.: Aircraft measurement of HONO vertical profiles over a forested region, Geophys. Res. Lett., 36, L15820, doi:10.1029/2009gl038999, 2009.

- Zhang, Q. J., Beekmann, M., Drewnick, F., Freutel, F., Schneider, J., Crippa, M., Prevot, A. S. H., Baltensperger, U., Poulain, L., Wiedensohler, A., Sciare, J., Gros, V., Borbon, A., Colomb, A., Michoud, V., Doussin, J.-F., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., Haeffelin, M., Dupont, J.-C., Siour, G., Petetin, H., Bessagnet, B., Pandis, S. N., Hodzic, A., Sanchez, O., Honoré, C., and
- Perrussel, O.: Formation of organic aerosol in the Paris region during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign: evaluation of the volatility-basis-set approach within the CHIMERE model, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 13, 5767–5790, doi:10.5194/acp-13-5767-2013, 2013.

Zhou, X. L., Beine, H. J., Honrath, R. E., Fuentes, J. D., Simpson, W., Shepson, P. B., and Bottenheim, J. W.: Snowpack photochemical production of HONO: a major source

- of OH in the Arctic boundary layer in springtime, Geophys. Res. Lett., 28, 4087–4090, doi:10.1029/2001gl013531, 2001.
 - Zhou, X. L., Civerolo, K., Dai, H. P., Huang, G., Schwab, J., and Demerjian, K.: Summertime nitrous acid chemistry in the atmospheric boundary layer at a rural site in New York State, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 4590, doi:10.1029/2001jd001539, 2002.
- ¹⁵ Zhou, X. L., Gao, H. L., He, Y., Huang, G., Bertman, S. B., Civerolo, K., and Schwab, J.: Nitric acid photolysis on surfaces in low-Nox environments: Significant atmospheric implications, Geophys. Res. Lett., 30, 2217, doi:10.1029/2003gl018620, 2003.

	AC 13, 23639–2	PD 23690, 2013
	Study unknow daytime V. Micho	of the n HONO e source oud et al.
2		
2	Title	Page
5	Abstract	Introduction
-	Conclusions	References
	Tables	Figures
5	14	►I
5		•
-	Back	Close
	Full Scre	en / Esc
<u>.</u>	Printer-frier	dly Version
	Interactive	Discussion
s	œ	O

Discussion ACPD 13, 23639–23690, 2013 Paper Study of the

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Study of the unknown HONO daytime source

V. Michoud et al.

Title	Page
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
	N
	•
Back	Close
Full Scre	en / Esc
Distantia	
Printer-frier	idly version
Interactive	Discussion

CC II

(t)

 Table 1. Measurements and techniques deployed during the MEGAPOLI campaigns at SIRTA observatory.

Species	Time resolution (min)/2 σ uncertainties (%)/detection limit	Instrumentation	Institution
ОН	5/35/3.10 ⁵ cm ⁻³	CIMS SAMU-only sum- mer	LATMOS
NO	1/5/0.5 ppb	Ozone chemilumines- cence	LISA
NO ₂	1/5/0.5 ppb	Luminol chemilumines- cence	LISA
O ₃	1/5/1 ppb	UV absorption	LISA
HŎNO	10/12/5–10 ppt	Wet chemical derivatiza- tion (SA/NED)/HPLC de- tection (NitroMAC)	LISA
Photolysis frequencies $(J(NO_2), J(HONO))$	1–10/20–25/10 ⁻⁷ –5.10 ⁻⁵ s ⁻¹	Filterradiometre, spec- troradiometre (LI-1800)	LISA
RH, temperature, pres- sure, wind speed, wind direction	1/nc/nc	Wind sensor and mul- tiplate radiation shield (Young)	LISA
Boundary layer height	60/nc/nc	Lidar	LMD
Soil moisture at 5 cm be- low ground surface	1/nc/nc	Soil moisture probe	LMD
Aerosol surface	1/nc/nc	Aerodynamic Particle Sizer	PSI

AC 13, 23639–2	PD 23690, 2013
Study unknow daytime	of the n HONO source
V. Micho	oud et al.
Titlo	Paga
Abstract	Introduction
Conclusions	References
Tables	Figures
I.◀	►I
Back	Close
Full Scre	een / Esc
Printer-frier Interactive	ndly Version
œ	•

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Table 2. Comparison of the level of the unknown HONO Source from various field campaigns conducted in various environments and different seasons.

Averaged daytime additional HONO source (ppb h ⁻¹)/Range of daytime additional HONO source (ppb h ⁻¹)	Environment	Season
0.7 ppb h ⁻¹ /0.3–1.4 ppb h ⁻¹	Suburban (Paris region)	Summer
0.5 ppb h ⁻¹ /nc	Suburban (near Jülich)	Summer
0.77 ppb h ⁻¹ /nc	Rural polluted (Pearl River Delta)	Summer
0.22 ppb h ⁻¹ /nc	Rural (New York state)	Summer
0.43 ppb h ⁻¹ /0.3–0.6 ppb h ⁻¹	Rural mountain site	Summer
1.7 ppb h ⁻¹ /nc	Urban (Santiago urban area)	Summer
nc/0.7–1.3 ppb h ⁻¹	Urban (Houston urban area)	Spring
0.25 ppb h ⁻¹ /0.05–1.3 ppb h ⁻¹	Suburban (Paris regaion	Winter
ppb h ⁻¹ /–0.7–1.8 ppb h ⁻¹	Forested site (South spain)	Winter
A sa_ 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	veraged daytime additional HONO ource (ppb h^{-1})/Range of daytime dditional HONO source (ppb h^{-1}) .7 ppb $h^{-1}/0.3-1.4$ ppb h^{-1} .5 ppb h^{-1}/nc .77 ppb h^{-1}/nc .22 ppb h^{-1}/nc .43 ppb $h^{-1}/0.3-0.6$ ppb h^{-1} .7 ppb h^{-1}/nc c/0.7-1.3 ppb h^{-1} .25 ppb $h^{-1}/0.05-1.3$ ppb h^{-1} pb $h^{-1}/-0.7-1.8$ ppb h^{-1}	veraged daytime additional HONO Environment ource (ppb h ⁻¹)/Range of daytime for the state of the state

campaign	Day	slopes	correlation
			coefficients (R^2)
summer	09/07	91.1	0.94
	10/07	89.2	0.82
	11/07	55.2	-0.06
	13/07	18.1	0.32
	19/07	9.54	0.24
	21/07	19.4	0.04
	25/07	74.8	0.26
	26/07	64.0	0.10
winter	20/01	201.4	-0.05
	21/01	246.3	0.85
	22/01	115.7	0.58
	23/01	104.6	-1.09
	24/01	352.3	0.35
	25/01	58.1	-0.41
	28/01	283.0	0.90
	29/01	247.4	0.98
	31/01	205.6	0.97
	01/02	80.2	0.68
	02/02	11.4	-0.03
	03/02	17.5	0.53
	04/02	51.8	0.55
	05/02	68.9	0.63

Table 3. Slopes and correlation coefficients of the correlations between the calculated unknown HONO source and $J(NO_2)$ for each days of the summer and winter campaigns.





level, wind direction, wind speed, NO_x, O₃, HONO and J(NO₂) during the MEGAPOLI summer field campaign at the SIRTA observatory, 9-26 July 2009.

Interactive Discussion

Printer-friendly Version









Fig. 3. 10 min data of relative humidity, soil moisture measured at 5 cm below ground level, wind direction, wind speed, NO_x , O_3 , HONO and $J(NO_2)$ during the MEGAPOLI winter field campaign at the SIRTA observatory, 15 January-7 February 2010.



Interactive Discussion









Fig. 5. Observed HONO mixing ratios (black lines) compared with PSS calculations (red circles for Eq. (1) and blue circles for Eq. (2)) for the MEGAPOLI summer campaign. The calculations with the PSS approach are carried out only when all the parameters used in it are available.





Fig. 6. Mean diurnal profiles of observed HONO mixing ratios (black lines) and HONO mixing ratios calculated using PSS calculation (red line for Eq. (1) and blue line for Eq. (2)) for the MEGAPOLI summer campaign. Error bars correspond to standard deviation for day to day variability of measurements (local time).





Fig. 7. Observed HONO mixing ratios (black lines) compared with PSS calculations (red circles for Eq. (1) and blue circles for Eq. (2)) for the MEGAPOLI winter campaign.











Fig. 9. Evolution of the calculated unknown HONO source in ppb h^{-1} (Eq. 4) and the unknown HONO flux (molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹) during the MEGAPOLI summer campaign.





Fig. 10. Mean diurnal profile of the unknown HONO source calculated using Eq. (3), during MEGAPOLI summer campaign at SIRTA observatory (local time).











Fig. 12. Evolution of the calculated unknown HONO source in ppb h^{-1} (Eq. 4) and the unknown HONO flux (molecules cm⁻² s⁻¹) during the MEGAPOLI winter campaign.





Fig. 13. Mean diurnal profile of the unknown HONO source calculated using Eq. (3), during the MEGAPOLI winter campaign at SIRTA observatory (local time).







Fig. 14. Correlations between the unknown HONO source and measured $J(NO_2)$ and the product between measured $J(NO_2)$ and soil moisture measured at 5 cm below ground surface, during the MEGAPOLI winter campaign at SIRTA observatory. The dots of both correlations have been coloured as a function of the date.