



The impact of
megacities on
tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Modelling the impact of megacities on local, regional and global tropospheric ozone and the deposition of nitrogen species

Z. S. Stock¹, M. R. Russo^{1,2}, T. M. Butler³, A. T. Archibald^{1,2}, M. G. Lawrence³,
P. J. Telford^{1,2}, N. L. Abraham^{1,2}, and J. A. Pyle^{1,2}

¹Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK

²National Centre for Atmospheric Science, Department of Chemistry, University of Cambridge, CB2 1EW, UK

³Institute for Advanced Sustainability Studies, Potsdam, Germany

Received: 22 May 2013 – Accepted: 24 June 2013 – Published: 4 July 2013

Correspondence to: Z. S. Stock (zss21@cam.ac.uk)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Abstract

We examine the effects of ozone precursor emissions from megacities on present-day air quality using the global chemistry-climate model UM-UKCA. The sensitivity of megacity and regional ozone to local emissions, both from within the megacity and from surrounding regions, is important for determining air quality across many scales, which in turn is key for reducing human exposure to high levels of pollutants. We use two methods, perturbation and tagging, to quantify the impact of megacity emissions on global ozone. We also completely redistribute the anthropogenic emissions from megacities, to compare changes in local air quality going from centralised, densely populated megacities to decentralised, lower density urban areas. Focus is placed not only on how changes to megacity emissions affect regional and global NO_x and O_3 , but also on changes to NO_y deposition and to local chemical environments which are perturbed by the emission changes.

The perturbation and tagging methods show broadly similar megacity impacts on total ozone, with the perturbation method underestimating the contribution partially because it perturbs the background chemical environment. The total redistribution of megacity emissions locally shifts the chemical environment towards more NO_x -limited conditions in the megacities, which is more conducive to ozone production, and monthly mean surface ozone is found to increase up to 30% in megacities, depending on latitude and season. However, the displacement of emissions has little effect on the global annual ozone burden at the surface (0.12% change). Globally, megacity emissions are shown to increase total NO_y deposition by ~3%. The changes in O_3 , NO_x and NO_y deposition described here are useful for quantifying megacity impacts and for understanding the sensitivity of megacity regions to local emissions. The small global effects of the 100% redistribution carried out in this study suggest that the distribution of emissions on the local scale is unlikely to have large implications for chemistry-climate processes on the global scale.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



1 Introduction

Over the past few decades the rise in the world's urban population has led to an increase in the number of megacities, generally defined as cities with a population of greater than 10 million. Poor air quality is a major concern for megacities, since these areas of high population density are also intense areas of air pollutant emissions (Molina and Molina, 2004; Gurjar et al., 2008). As these cities continue to grow, future changes to emissions are a concern, not only for air quality on a local scale, but also on larger scales due to the potential influence the megacities could have on surrounding environments. Precursor emissions of tropospheric ozone, a pollutant of particular concern for adverse health effects (Molina and Molina, 2004; Gurjar et al., 2010), are increasing in many developing megacities across the world, including South East Asia (Streets and Waldhoff, 2000; van der A et al., 2008). In more developed megacities, such as those in Europe and the United States, recent decreases in emissions noted within the megacities (Wild et al., 2012; Parrish et al., 2011; Warneke et al., 2012) suggest external pollution sources may now have greater influence on their air quality (Parrish et al., 2011).

Megacity emissions of nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_x = \text{NO} + \text{NO}_2$) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs) are important precursors to ozone formation. Transport of these precursor species and ozone itself can be local, regional, and hemispheric (see e.g. HTAP (Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution) 2010) and other previous studies (e.g. Wild and Akimoto, 2001; Derwent et al., 2004; Fiore et al., 2009). Changes to urban emissions therefore have the potential to influence air quality on much larger scales, as also investigated in the recent European collaborative project MEGAPOLI (Baklanov et al., 2010), and in a number of recent modelling studies (Mayer et al., 2000; Lawrence et al., 2007; Butler and Lawrence, 2009; Fiore et al., 2009; Butler et al., 2012). Many of these studies use pure modelling approaches to assess the impact of emission changes both in perturbation and future scenarios. To capture the wide range of scales, global models are a key tool for bridging from the small, local scales to the large global scale.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Lawrence et al. (2007) used a three dimensional transport model to characterise the transport of inert pollution tracers away from megacities, finding large variations between the pollution potentials of different megacities. Following from this, later studies quantified the global impact of megacities on atmospheric composition and climate by using annihilation techniques (Butler and Lawrence, 2009; Folberth et al., 2012), in which a scenario where the megacity emissions are completely removed is compared with a control run in which the emissions are unaltered. Using a three dimensional global chemistry transport model, Butler and Lawrence (2009) applied this perturbation method to show that the global megacity impact on tropospheric ozone was disproportionately small compared with the amount of ozone precursor emissions from megacities.

Besides the perturbation method, tagging methodologies have been recently used in source attribution studies (e.g. Grewe, 2004; Pfister et al., 2008; Grewe et al., 2010; Emmons et al., 2012). Ozone, as a secondary pollutant, is not directly emitted and therefore cannot be directly tagged from an emission source. Previous studies have shown that source attribution can be achieved through tagging either of its precursors, VOCs (Butler et al., 2011) or NO_x (Pfister et al., 2006; Brown-Steiner and Hess, 2011; Grewe et al., 2012; Emmons et al., 2012). Grewe et al. (2010) use a tagging methodology to quantify errors in the more commonly used perturbation method, showing that these errors have the potential to be large (factor of 2).

As megacities evolve, their impact is likely to change depending on future emissions and climate. Four future RCP (Representative Concentration Pathway) emission scenarios, as considered by Butler et al. (2012), suggest that at the global scale the impact of megacities will remain small in comparison to their share of precursor emissions throughout the 21st century. Using a methodology in which megacity emissions were redistributed, Butler et al. (2012) investigated the sensitivity of megacity air quality to emissions from outside the megacity. Redistributing 25 % of megacity emissions showed that in future scenarios the megacity grid cells became less sensitive to local emissions and more sensitive to emissions from outside these grid cells.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Our overall aim here is to understand the impact of megacity emissions, particularly on tropospheric ozone. We use both modelling approaches, perturbation as well as tagging, to explore the impact of megacity emissions, using the UM-UKCA global chemistry-climate model. A chemical tagging scheme has been introduced into the UM-UKCA model to allow the NO_x emissions from megacities to be tracked, and hence ozone produced to be attributed to the megacity source. Tagging is useful not only in determining megacity contributions to the O_x budget, but also for showing the spread of influence of megacity emissions. This is the first instance where both tagging and perturbation approaches are used to assess megacity impacts.

Results using tagging are compared with the results from annihilation and redistribution scenarios. Comparing and contrasting the modelling approaches gives an appreciation of model uncertainty. For consistency, we use a similar 25 % redistribution technique to Butler et al. (2012). The UM-UKCA model has both interactive chemistry and a slightly higher resolution than the model used in the study of Butler et al. (2012). We also consider a more extreme, upper bound scenario in which 100 % of the anthropogenic emissions from megacities are redistributed and a scenario in which megacities are intensified by a 25 % increase in emissions. To understand the changes, we focus on the resulting changes to the chemical environments on megacity regional scales. At the current resolution of global models, determining the chemical regime on small megacity scales is limited by the relatively coarse model resolution, and uncertainty in the underlying emissions. For this reason we focus particularly on regional changes and the average change across megacities grouped by latitude.

Ozone is a major pollutant with important health effects, so one aspect of our study is to consider impacts on ozone exposure. Ozone exceedance days, defined here as days where the maximum 8-hourly average ozone concentration exceeds 60 ppb (EU, 2008), are frequently considered in air quality studies to understand the impacts that ozone changes may have on population exposure (Anenberg et al., 2009, 2010; West et al., 2009b). Here, we specifically consider changes to the daily 8 h maximum ozone concentrations (DM8H) by calculating 8 h moving averages of ozone for each scenario.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

We additionally investigate the impact of megacities on terrestrial ecosystems by considering nitrogen deposition. The deposition of NO_y is both a source of nutrients to terrestrial ecosystems (Sanderson et al., 2008) and a source of carbon uptake (Holland et al., 1997), but too much nitrogen deposition can lead to negative impacts, such as eutrophication, damage to ecosystems through nitrogen saturation (Holland et al., 1997) and soil acidification (Bowman et al., 2008). Previous studies have shown changes to NO_x emissions to have a significant effect on nitrogen deposition (Lamarque et al., 2005; Dentener et al., 2006; Sanderson et al., 2008; Zhang et al., 2012). Using an average from five different models, Dentener et al. (2006) show that for a current air quality legislation (CLE) scenario between 2000 and 2030 the role of climate change in increasing NO_y deposition is relatively small (+0.6 %) compared to the role of emission increases (+11 %). Based on this, future increases in megacity emissions would be expected to have a large impact on NO_y deposition.

In Sect. 2, we detail the model used in this study, UM-UKCA, as well as the experimental design, and discuss the NO_x tagging scheme implemented. In Sect. 3, results are presented from four different emissions scenarios, two of which involve the redistribution of emissions from megacities and focus on the impact this has on the local chemical regime (Sect. 3.3). The other two scenarios investigate changes to the total emissions, one in the form of an annihilation scenario, the other an enhancement of megacity emissions. Similar analyses are performed for all scenarios, including analysis of total column ozone changes, ozone and NO_x surface changes, and changes to the chemical environments. In Sect. 3.4, we quantify ozone and PAN attributed to megacity emissions using the tagging method, and in Sect. 3.5, we compare the perturbation and tagging results. Different scales of impact are considered and we calculate changes to ozone exceedance values on local scales to consider the impact of megacity emission perturbations on human exposure to ozone, and investigate impacts on NO_y deposition (Sect. 4). Finally we summarize our conclusions in Sect. 5.

2 Methodology

2.1 The UM-UKCA model

Model integrations are performed using the UK Chemistry and Aerosols (UKCA) model (Telford et al., 2010; Archibald et al., 2011; O'Connor et al., 2013), coupled to an atmospheric only version of the UK Met Office Unified Model (UM) version 7.3 (Hewitt et al., 2011). The model is configured at a base horizontal resolution of 1.875° in longitude \times 1.25° in latitude and has 63 hybrid height levels in the vertical, with a model top at ~ 41 km. To produce the appropriate meteorological conditions for the year 2005 (chosen as the reference year for model runs within the MEGAPOLI project), a nudging technique is used (Telford et al., 2008), which constrains the model winds and temperature to re-analysis data from the European Centre for Medium-range Weather Forecasts (ECMWF) ERA-Interim dataset (Berrisford et al., 2009; Dee et al., 2011). All model integrations are performed for a period of one year plus a three year spin up (constrained to a perpetual 2005). Sea surface temperatures and sea ice cover for the year 2005 are prescribed from the AMIP data set (<http://www-pcmdi.llnl.gov/projects/amip>).

A tropospheric chemistry scheme, described in O'Connor et al. (2013), is used to represent chemical cycles of O_x , HO_x and NO_x as well as the oxidation of CO and other non-methane hydrocarbons as previously described in Zeng and Pyle (2003). The oxidation of isoprene is included by implementation of the condensed Mainz Isoprene Mechanism (MIM) as described in Pöschl et al. (2000), so that a total of 60 chemical tracers and 132 photochemical reactions are represented in the model. The parameterisations of Giannakopoulos et al. (1999) are used for both dry and wet deposition. Aerosol radiative effects are represented using the CLASSIC (Coupled Large-scale Aerosol Simulator for Studies in Climate) scheme, as described by Jones et al. (2001) and Bellouin et al. (2007), and the Fast-Jx photolysis scheme (Neu et al., 2007; Telford et al., 2013) is used for interactive calculations of photolysis rates. Upper boundary conditions for ozone and NO_y are fixed to climatological values above 70 hPa: ozone

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



is constrained using the Rosenlof climatology (Dall'Amico et al., 2010) and NO_y is overwritten from zonal mean values from the Cambridge 2-D model (Law and Pyle, 1993a,b). Concentrations of long-lived species such as CO_2 , CH_4 , N_2O and CFCs are fixed to constant values representative of the year 2005. Surface emissions for the chemical species are generated from the emission dataset by Lamarque et al. (2010), as developed for the IPCC fifth assessment report, and updated to 2005 using the RCP-8.5 scenario (Riahi et al., 2011). Isoprene emissions are taken from the POET database (Granier et al., 2005; Olivier et al., 2003) and biomass burning emissions are for the year 2005 taken from the Global Fire Emissions Database (GFED) version 3 (van der Werf et al., 2010). Other biogenic emissions, including emissions of CO , Me_2CO and NO , are as described in O'Connor et al. (2013). Long-term climatologies and the Intergovernmental Panel for Climate Change (IPCC) A2 scenario projections are used to provide soot and sulphur emissions from biomass burning for input to the model aerosol scheme.

The current model configuration was evaluated by comparing modelled ozone with ozone concentrations from a number of datasets including the Logan (1999) sonde data, the Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) data (Thompson et al., 2003a,b), the European Monitoring and Evaluation Programme (EMEP) network (<http://www.emep.int/>) and the US Clean Air Status and Trends Network (CASTNet; <http://www.epa.gov/castnet/>). This analysis showed that the seasonality of ozone is captured well and the model produces present-day ozone comparable to observations and to other global models (cf. Stevenson et al., 2006), although ozone is slightly over predicted in the Northern Hemisphere. An evaluation of the tropospheric chemistry scheme can be found in Telford et al. (2013). For the present study, the effects of these slight ozone biases are minimized by the experimental design, which focuses on comparing the impact of emission perturbations between model runs all containing the same underlying biases.

2.2 Megacity mask

In order to perform perturbation experiments of the emissions from megacities we need to reliably identify model grid cells in which the megacities are located. Previously different approaches have been used, both for identifying urban gridcells (Mayer et al., 2000) and for creating a megacity mask at $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ resolution (Butler et al., 2008). In this study we use a combination of the above-mentioned methods, coupled to the RCP-8.5 emissions dataset at a higher resolution of $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ to produce a more realistic megacity mask for a total of 36 megacities. This new approach, summarised below, was also used to identify megacity grid cells in a recent study by Butler et al. (2012).

Initial megacity coordinates are taken from the Collins World Atlas (Harpercollins Reference, 2008), with any grid cell surrounding these coordinates included if NO_x emissions show values above a chosen threshold of $10 \text{ kg(N) day}^{-1} \text{ km}^{-2}$. As in Butler et al. (2008), the urban agglomerations of the Po Valley and Rhine-Ruhr are included, as these regions act as similar pollution hotspots on a megacity scale. These were identified based on their definitions within the EU MEGAPOLI project (Butler et al., 2012).

2.3 Emission scenarios

A megacity mask, described in Sect. 2.2, was used to produce a range of megacity emission scenarios for inclusion in the model. In all the experiments, we vary only the anthropogenic component of the emissions from megacities, and the changes in emissions are applied equally across all sectors. A total of five simulations are performed; a “base” run, using emissions from the standard dataset; an “annihilation” run, where anthropogenic emissions from megacities are totally removed, two “redistribution” runs with 25 % and 100 % of anthropogenic emissions redistributed from megacity grid cells and finally an “increased” emissions scenario in which megacity anthropogenic emissions are increased by 25 %. A list of the simulations and a brief description can be

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



found in Table 1. All emission scenarios are constructed at the $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ resolution, and subsequently interpolated to the UM-UKCA model resolution of $1.875^\circ \times 1.25^\circ$.

The annihilation technique was previously used in Butler and Lawrence (2009) and Butler et al. (2012), and is used here for comparison, although it is an oversimplification and can cause sharp artificial gradients on local scales. To consider regional and local scales, we employ 25 % and 100 % redistribution scenarios, reducing the anthropogenic emissions in megacity grid cells by 25 %/100 % and spreading these emissions across the country in which the megacity is located. Unlike in the annihilation scenario, emission totals remain constant for each country and globally. Finally, the increased emissions scenario considers intensification of the megacity emissions, investigating the impact of more concentrated megacity emissions on ozone in the surrounding regions. The anthropogenic emissions in the megacity grid cells are increased by 25 %. This leads to an increase in the global total ozone precursor emissions, with an increase in the share that megacities contribute to the total. Differences between emissions in each of the scenarios are shown in Fig. 1.

2.4 Tagging methodology

The method we use to tag the NO_x emissions from megacities is a $\text{NO}_x\text{-O}_3$ tagging mechanism based on the approach of Emmons et al. (2012). The tagging creates a copy of the NO_x emissions from the standard chemistry, and follows the nitrogen compounds through a separate but identical chemical mechanism. Crucially, the tagging does not affect the standard chemistry. Tagged ozone is produced through the photolysis and oxidation of tagged NO_2 . The chemical destruction and loss of tagged ozone through deposition occurs at the same rate as non-tagged ozone is destroyed.

In UM-UKCA, the tagging scheme tracks all odd nitrogen and odd oxygen species. Tagged species react with the compounds in the standard chemistry in exactly the same way as non-tagged species but do not influence the standard chemistry. Globally, we find the summation of tagged ozone from all the separate NO_x sources is equal to 98.4 % of the total ozone. The remaining 1.6 % includes any ozone production not

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



involving NO_x that is unaccounted for in a NO_x tagging scheme. This provides confidence that the tagging method is implemented appropriately into UM-UKCA for use in megacity studies.

2.5 Chemical regime diagnostics

As an additional diagnostic tool, we also consider the sensitivity of ozone production regimes to megacity emission perturbations. The ratio of volatile organic compounds (VOCs) to nitrogen oxides (NO_x) determines the photochemistry of a region, such that highly polluted urban areas lie in a different chemical environment to that of rural regions. Megacities, as large emitters of NO, are typically characterised as lying in VOC-limited chemical regimes. The non-linearity of the ozone chemistry means that initial concentrations of NO are not directly proportional to the ozone formed, hence small perturbations in emissions can change the chemical environment and the ozone produced (Jenkin and Clemitshaw, 2000; Sillman, 1995, 1999). By analysing the ratio of NO_x to VOCs, we can assess the chemical regime and so, for example, contrast latitudinal groups of megacities. Changes to emissions will affect the chemical conversion regimes and hence can provide insight into the likely effects of emission reduction policies.

To diagnose the typical chemical environments of megacity regions before and after the redistribution of emissions, we use the ratio of hydrogen peroxide formation to nitric acid formation as a chemical diagnostic (Sillman, 1995). A high ratio of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ indicates a NO_x -limited environment, whereas a low ratio indicates the regime to be more VOC-limited. In some regions an ozone removal chemical regime dominates due to the titration of O_3 by high NO concentrations. We therefore also consider the flux through the titration reaction $\text{O}_3 + \text{NO} \rightarrow \text{NO}_2 + \text{O}_2$.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3 Modelling the effect of megacity emissions

3.1 Global and regional effects

In this section we analyse surface changes in NO_x and ozone for the different emission scenarios. Percentage changes are calculated as $(\frac{\text{scenario} - \text{base}}{\text{base}} \times 100)$. In the annihilation scenario the complete removal of megacity emissions, which accounts for $\sim 4.3\%$ of the total NO_x , leads to a small global tropospheric column ozone decrease of 0.27% , which compares to the small 0.84% change found by Butler et al. (2012). Some differences are expected due to the model differences both in the online chemistry and in lightning, soil, and isoprene emissions. However, the size of the effect supports the conclusion that megacities have a small impact on tropospheric ozone compared to their share of precursor emissions. The effect of megacity emissions on the annual global burden of NO and NO_2 is found to be 2.24% and 2.79% for the whole troposphere and larger at the surface (9.36% and 4.47% respectively). This shows NO_x to be strongly affected by megacity emissions, with the increases at the surface greater than the contribution of megacities to the total NO_x emissions. The effect of megacity emissions on the annual global burden of OH is found to be small, -0.14% over the whole troposphere and less than -0.1% in the surface layer.

We now focus on the results of the 100% redistribution run. This redistribution scenario is more meaningful than the megacity annihilation, as total emissions are conserved, but still provides an upper bound case where changes are large enough to be distinguished from model noise (note that the 25% redistribution scenario shows very similar but weaker changes compared to the 100% redistribution scenario and is therefore not discussed explicitly in this section). Percentage changes of surface NO_x and ozone under the 100% redistribution scenario are shown in Fig. 2 for summer and winter averages. Globally, the total annual difference in ozone between the control run and the 100% redistribution run is 0.12% , although larger regional differences are seen as illustrated by changes over the European domain. The small change in total annual ozone supports the findings of both previous annihilation studies on regional

and global scales (Butler and Lawrence, 2009; Butler et al., 2012) and the annihilation run carried out in this work.

Figure 2 shows that redistributing megacities' emissions has a larger impact during winter months, particularly in NO_x . This is caused by the change in relative sources of NO_x and photochemically generated HO_x (Jacob et al., 1995; Wu et al., 2009). During winter, the longer lifetime of NO_x allows for longer range atmospheric transport. Focusing on the European region, the complete redistribution of megacity emissions reduces NO_x in the megacities and increases its concentrations in surrounding areas. The largest effects are within the host countries, although horizontal and vertical advection ensure changes are not confined to country boundaries. Interestingly, although the total NO_x emitted remains constant, the effect of shifting emissions away from the cities leads to a small increase in background ozone over Europe (+0.58 %). In particular, ozone is found to increase in all seasons in the megacities of London, Paris and the Ruhr Valley. This can be explained partly by a local decrease in NO with subsequent reduction in the amount of ozone directly destroyed by titration, a mechanism responsible for O_3 depletion in polluted regions. Additionally, the background chemical regime in the megacities is also affected by the emission changes and in this case it is perturbed towards a more NO_x -limited environment. Due to the non-linearity in the system, a more NO_x -limited environment leads to more efficient ozone production with the reduction in emissions (Sillman, 1999; Kleinman et al., 1994). Fluxes through reactions outlined in Sect. 2.5 were used to analyse the changes in ozone due to the redistribution scenarios. The results for the 100 % redistribution scenario in winter are presented in Fig. 3. Figure 3 shows that European megacities experience increased ozone, reduced titration and a shift from a strongly VOC-limited to a weakly VOC-limited chemical regime as a result of redistributing emissions away from the megacity grid cells.

With a 25 % increase in megacity emissions the global tropospheric ozone increases by < 0.13 %. Therefore the effect of increasing megacity emissions also leads to a disproportionately small impact on tropospheric ozone compared to their share of precur-

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



5 sor emissions, in agreement with the annihilation scenario and with the work of Butler and Lawrence (2009). Downwind of megacities there is increased ozone production as a result of the additional emissions. However, we point out that the relatively coarse model resolution of $1.875^\circ \times 1.25^\circ$ might reduce the impact of increasing emissions in megacity grid cells since the increased emissions are spread over a larger area than would be the case using a higher resolution grid. The use of a higher resolution chemistry-climate model would therefore be beneficial in determining more local effects.

3.2 Local effects

10 In order to assess the impact of the redistribution scenarios at the megacity grid cell scale, monthly mean ozone concentrations are compared for all cities, with results gathered into groups according to latitude and shown in Fig. 4. Each panel shows ozone percentage changes between the base run and the four scenarios. Solid lines represent the mean of all megacities in each latitude band, with the error bars reflecting $\pm 1\sigma$. In the Northern Hemisphere, in the 100% redistribution run ozone increases in megacity grid cells by up to 30% (Fig. 4a). The annihilation and 100% redistribution scenario have similar effects on ozone within the megacity grid cells. The tropical and Southern Hemisphere megacities see smaller changes in ozone, caused by differences in the background chemical environments, which are typically cleaner and less VOC-limited. In the 25% increase scenario we find a decrease in megacities ozone over all latitude groups, particularly in winter. The addition of NO emissions increases the titration of ozone within megacity grid cells and hence leads to decreases in ozone concentrations. The marked seasonal cycle in ozone for the Northern and Southern Hemisphere megacities implies that the effect of emission changes are highest in the winter months, while in the Tropics, the effect of reducing or increasing megacities emissions has a small effect on ozone concentrations throughout the year.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



3.3 Chemical regime changes

Figure 5a–c show the annual average $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ formation ratio for each megacity, for the 25 % and 100 % redistribution runs and the 25 % increase scenario. Fluxes are calculated as a mean over the lowest 6 model levels, i.e. up to a height of 320 m. The figure highlights the grouping of megacities into different latitude bands (indicated by the colour of the arrow), with arrows pointing from the base run to the scenario run to show the change in ratio observed with the perturbation to the emissions. In all latitude groups, the redistribution of megacity emissions leads to a shift in chemical environment, usually from a strong VOC-limited environment towards a more weakly VOC-limited environment. Tropical megacities are typically located in “cleaner” surrounding environments and pollutants are subject to more rapid vertical transport (Butler and Lawrence, 2009). Hence tropical megacities tend to have higher $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ formation ratios.

The redistribution of anthropogenic emissions leads to a reduction in NO_x available to form nitric acid, and hence the dominant loss process of HO_x radicals moves toward the formation of hydroperoxides. Although both NO_x and VOC concentrations change with redistribution, the shorter lifetime of NO_x compared to VOCs leads to localised NO_x effects and more widely distributed VOC effects. Therefore the local regime tends towards more NO_x -limited conditions under a redistribution scenario. The largest changes in fluxes are seen for the tropical megacities, with a large reduction in the formation of nitric acid. This is likely to be due to the high natural VOCs available in these regions and lack of NO_x in the surrounding environment. The 25 % redistribution scenario (Fig. 5b) shows smaller but similar changes to the formation ratio as the 100 % redistribution scenario (Fig. 5a). On the other hand, the 25 % increase scenario shows a reversal of the changes with an increase in the flux to nitric acid. Changes in this case are small, which can be explained by the small perturbation and the fact that the megacities are already VOC-limited.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The chemical regime is seasonally dependent and regions can vary from more VOC-limited conditions in winter to more NO_x -limited conditions in summer (Jacob et al., 1995). Figure 5d shows winter and summer averages for the grouped megacities. Note Hong Kong is not included in this analysis, as in the redistribution scenarios the city and country boundaries are almost identical. In general, megacities in the summer months have a higher $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ formation ratio, showing a tendency to be less VOC-limited than in the winter months. Changes in the $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ formation ratio after redistribution are largest during the winter months for the extratropical megacities. These changes in ozone production regime are found to correlate with the large winter ozone changes, as megacities enter an ozone production regime after redistribution and ozone concentrations increase.

Perturbing the background chemical regime has implications for emission control strategies, with the reduction of NO not necessarily having the expected air quality benefits. A reduction in NO may lead to an increase in the ozone exposure in megacities, as discussed in Sect. 4.1.

3.4 Tagging megacity emissions

The impact of megacity emissions on ozone concentrations is also studied using the tagging approach outlined in Sect. 2.4. Figure 6 shows the global annual average ratio of tagged ozone from megacity emissions to all non-tagged ozone at the surface, with a focus over European regions. Globally, the tagging of NO_x emissions attributes 0.71 % of total ozone to megacity emissions. The distribution of tagged ozone (left panel) shows the continental scale effect of megacity emissions. This spread is larger in winter months due to the longer atmospheric lifetime of NO_x allowing for greater transport. Over continental Europe, on average $\sim 5\%$ of the background ozone is attributed to megacity emissions (Fig. 6). Near megacities this typically increases to 20–40 %, although there are larger uncertainties at this scale due to the tagging scheme not accounting for all chemical species (see Sect. 2.4).

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



In comparing the global annual O_x budget for near the surface (< 320 m) for the full chemistry scheme, and for the tagged O_x from megacities, we find the chemical production and loss of O_x attributed to megacity emissions is around $\sim 1.5\%$ of the total. Overall, the ratio of chemical production to loss is approximately the same for O_x due to megacity emissions and for the full non-tagged O_x budget.

The transport of ozone precursor species is important for the downwind production of ozone and hence for the effects of emissions on continental scales. Peroxyacetyl nitrate (PAN) is known for its important role in the long range transport of ozone (HTAP, 2010; Parrish et al., 2012). In addition, it is also a toxic component of photochemical smog. Figure 7 shows the tagged PAN produced as a result of megacity emissions. Its lifetime depends on the ambient temperature with its stability in the cold upper troposphere allowing it to be transported over long distances. Once it descends and undergoes thermal decomposition, the NO_x produced can then form ozone, often in NO_x -limited regions where the ozone production efficiency is high (Wild et al., 1996). Around 0.78 % of global PAN is found to be a result of megacity emissions and over Europe the average contribution of megacities to background PAN is $\sim 2\%$, with a maximum over the Po Valley of $\sim 54\%$. The contribution of megacity emissions to PAN is particularly high in the Northern Hemisphere.

3.5 Tagging vs. perturbation

In Sect. 3.1, the impact of megacities was quantified using an annihilation method, in which 0.27 % of total tropospheric ozone was attributed to megacity emissions. In contrast, the tagging approach described in Sect. 3.4 attributes 0.71 % of tropospheric ozone to megacity emissions. Both methods find a contribution of less than 1 %, and so demonstrate a disproportionately low impact of megacities on global total ozone. Nevertheless there is an important quantitative difference in the results from the two methods.

At relatively “clean” air oceanic locations, both methods similarly show megacities to have very little influence on ozone concentrations. Over polluted continental regions,

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

such as Europe, North America and Asia, the tagging method in each region is found to attribute a greater amount of ozone to megacity emissions. Figure 8 shows a comparison of the tagging and perturbation results as a monthly time series over Europe. The perturbation results are shown for the annihilation scenario (base-annihilation) and for the 25 % increase scenario ((25 % increase \times 4) – base). The scaling of the 25 % increase scenario allows for direct comparison with the 100 % annihilation scenario and the tagging method. Many previous emission perturbation studies used smaller perturbations (10–20 %), to reduce the effects of chemical non-linearity (Wild and Akimoto, 2001; West et al., 2009a; Wild et al., 2012; Fiore et al., 2012). However, over the larger European domain here, the difference between the scaled 25 % and 100 % perturbation results is small.

In Fig. 8, the tagging method attributes a greater amount of ozone to megacities over Europe. Around 1 ppb of surface ozone over the European domain (-15 – 30° E, 30 – 60° N) is attributed to megacities through this method. In Sect. 3.3, the perturbation approach was shown to alter the background chemical environment, which in turn affects the rate of ozone production and loss and contributes to the differences we see here. Over the European domain, the rates of ozone production and loss are reduced in the perturbation run compared to the base run. Overall the lower ozone production rate leads to a net reduction in ozone over Europe when the effects of megacities are represented using the perturbation method. Additionally, the perturbation method leads to large changes in ozone production and loss rates in megacities compared to the base (up to 80 %), while the tagging method leads to much smaller changes (< 10 %). This is in agreement with Emmons et al. (2012), who find larger changes in ozone production and loss rates in a perturbation method compared to a tagging method.

There are uncertainties associated with using a NO_x tagging scheme for megacity studies; any production of ozone via peroxy radicals without NO_x catalysts which is not accounted for in a NO_x tagging scheme may become significant at the local megacity scale. Therefore, although the tagging method used here is useful in global source

were shown in Fig. 5 to be typically in a more NO_x -limited chemical regime, therefore leading to a reduction in ozone exceedance days once emissions were redistributed.

We caution against using a global model at this relatively coarse resolution to infer the exact number of days in individual cities, in particular because of the uncertainty associated with the underlying emissions. To compare with observations, higher resolution would be beneficial. However, the link between ozone changes in Fig. 4 and ozone exceedance days allows a generalisation to be made based on latitudinal groups of megacities. After redistribution of megacity emissions, Northern Hemisphere megacities generally exceed the ozone guidelines on a greater number of days, and tropical megacities on a fewer number of days. Changes in individual cities will depend strongly on the underlying local and regional emissions (Butler et al., 2008), and on the ambient chemical environment.

4.2 Megacity impacts on NO_y deposition

Beyond the effects of megacity emissions on air quality, the perturbation scenarios indicate that megacity emissions also have implications for the deposition of species, including nitrogen oxides ($\text{NO}_y = \text{NO}_x + \text{HONO} + \text{HNO}_3 + \text{NO}_3 + \text{HO}_2\text{NO}_2 + 2\text{N}_2\text{O}_5 + \text{PAN}$). Figure 9 shows as an annual average the difference in NO_y deposition in Ggyr^{-1} for each perturbation scenario compared to the base run. The annihilation scenario (Fig. 9a) shows the effect of removing megacities is to reduce NO_y deposition, not only locally in megacity areas but also regionally, especially over Europe, East Asia and parts of North America. Globally, 1.75 Tgyr^{-1} less NO_y is deposited with the removal of megacity emissions ($\sim 3\%$). In the 100% redistribution scenario, NO_y deposition is reduced in megacities and the surrounding areas. On the country scale there are regional increases in NO_y deposition of up to 18%, however as there is no change in the total global NO_x emissions, globally the total NO_y deposited changes by $< 1\%$. In the 25% redistribution scenario, the smaller perturbation means the signal is hard to distinguish

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



above background variations. In the scenario where the emissions of megacities are increased by 25 %, NO_y deposition is increased by 1 % globally. Increases are particularly large in the Northern Hemisphere, with Eastern Asia experiencing the greatest increases.

On smaller scales, both regionally and locally, the large changes in NO_y deposition would be expected to have consequences for local terrestrial ecosystems. In the megacities themselves, the anthropogenic emissions affect NO_y deposition. For example, in the cities of London and Paris, the complete removal of megacity emissions decreases NO_y deposition by $\sim 10\%$ and $\sim 19\%$ respectively. The annihilation of megacity emissions leads to the greatest changes in NO_y deposition in Chinese megacities, with NO_y deposition in Shanghai decreasing by up to $\sim 14 \text{ Ggy}^{-1}$ ($\sim 36\%$). When emissions are redistributed, as in the 100 % redistribution scenario, NO_y deposition increases over more rural regions. For example, there are increases over natural vegetation and forests in Pacific Northwest America, and parts of Indonesia. This could have implications for carbon storage in these regions (Holland et al., 1997).

5 Conclusions

The impact of megacity emissions has been investigated by both perturbation and tagging methods using the UM-UKCA global chemistry-climate model. In a comparison of the methods we show results to be broadly similar, with megacities in both cases contributing $< 1\%$ to total ozone, which in this study is disproportionately small compared to their contribution to global NO_x emissions ($\sim 4.3\%$). The methods do differ quantitatively, with tagging attributing 0.71 % and perturbation/annihilation attributing 0.27 % of global total ozone to megacity emissions. The method of annihilation perturbs the background chemical environment and hence underestimates the ozone attributed to megacity emissions. Over Europe, the perturbation method attribution of ozone to megacities is a factor of 2–3 less than the tagging method, with the greatest differ-

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

metoffice.gov.uk/media/pdf/8/f/HCTN_73.pdf (last access: May 2013), Met Office Hadley Centre, 2007. 17681

Berrisford, P., Dee, D., Fielding, K., Fuentes, M., Kallberg, P., Kobayashi, S., and Uppala, S.: The ERA-Interim Archive, ERA Report Series, 1. Technical Report, Tech. Rep. 16 pp., European Centre for Medium-Range Weather Forecasts, Shinfield Park, Reading, 2009. 17681

Bowman, W., Cleveland, C., Halada, L., Hresko, J., and Baron, J.: Negative impact of nitrogen deposition on soil buffering capacity, *Nat. Geosci.*, 1, 767–770, 2008. 17680

Brown-Steiner, B. and Hess, P.: Asian influence on surface ozone in the United States: a comparison of chemistry, seasonality, and transport mechanisms, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 116, D17309, doi:10.1029/2011jd015846, 2011. 17678

Butler, T. M. and Lawrence, M. G.: The influence of megacities on global atmospheric chemistry: a modelling study, *Environ. Chem.*, 6, 219–225, 2009. 17677, 17678, 17684, 17687, 17688, 17689

Butler, T. M., Lawrence, M. G., Gurjar, B. R., Van Aardenne, J., Schultz, M., and Lelieveld, J.: The representation of emissions from megacities in global emission inventories, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 703–719, 2008. 17683, 17694

Butler, T. M., Lawrence, M. G., Taraborrelli, D., and Lelieveld, J.: Multi-day ozone production potential of volatile organic compounds calculated with a tagging approach, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 4082–4090, 2011. 17678

Butler, T. M., Stock, Z. S., Russo, M. R., Denier van der Gon, H. A. C., and Lawrence, M. G.: Megacity ozone air quality under four alternative future scenarios, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4413–4428, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4413-2012, 2012. 17677, 17678, 17679, 17683, 17684, 17686, 17687

Dall'Amico, M., Gray, L. J., Rosenlof, K. H., Scaife, A. A., Shine, K. P., and Stott, P. A.: Stratospheric temperature trends: impact of ozone variability and the QBO, *Clim. Dynam.*, 34, 381–398, 2010. 17682

Dee, D. P., Uppala, S. M., Simmons, A. J., Berrisford, P., Poli, P., Kobayashi, S., Andrae, U., Balmaseda, M. A., Balsamo, G., Bauer, P., Bechtold, P., Beljaars, A. C. M., van de Berg, L., Bidlot, J., Bormann, N., Delsol, C., Dragani, R., Fuentes, M., Geer, A. J., Haimberger, L., Healy, S. B., Hersbach, H., Hólm, E. V., Isaksen, I., Kållberg, P., Köhler, M., Matricardi, M., McNally, A. P., Monge-Sanz, B. M., Morcrette, J.-J., Park, B.-K., Peubey, C., de Rosnay, P., Tavolato, C., Thépaut, J.-N., and Vitart, F.: The ERA-Interim reanalysis: configuration and

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

performance of the data assimilation system, Q. J. Roy. Meteor. Soc., 137, 553–597, 2011. 17681

Dentener, F., Stevenson, D., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T., Schultz, M., Amann, M., Atherton, C., Bell, N., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Bouwman, L., Butler, T., Cofala, J., Collins, B., Drevet, J., Doherty, R., Eickhout, B., Eskes, H., Fiore, A., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D., Horowitz, L., Isaksen, I. S. A., Josse, B., Lawrence, M., Krol, M., Lamarque, J. F., Montanaro, V., Muller, J. F., Peuch, V. H., Pitari, G., Pyle, J., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J., Sanderson, M., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D., Strahan, S., Szopa, S., Sudo, K., Van Dingenen, R., Wild, O., and Zeng, G.: The global atmospheric environment for the next generation, Environ. Sci. Technol., 40, 3586–3594, 2006. 17680

Derwent, R. G., Stevenson, D. S., Collins, W. J., and Johnson, C. E.: Intercontinental transport and the origins of the ozone observed at surface sites in Europe, Atmos. Environ., 38, 1891–1901, 2004. 17677

Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., and Pfister, G. G.: Tagged ozone mechanism for MOZART-4, CAM-chem and other chemical transport models, Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 1531–1542, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-1531-2012, 2012. 17678, 17684, 17692

EU: Directive 2008/50/EC of the European Parliament and of the Council of 21 May 2008 on ambient air quality and cleaner air for Europe, Tech. Rep. OJ L 152, available at: <http://ec.europa.eu/environment/air/quality/legislation/> (last access: May 2013), European Parliament, Council, 2008. 17679, 17693

Fiore, A., Dentener, F., Wild, O., Cuvelier, C., Schultz, M., Hess, P., Textor, C., Schulz, M., Doherty, R., Horowitz, L., MacKenzie, I., Sanderson, M., Shindell, D., Stevenson, D., Szopa, S., Van Dingenen, R., Zeng, G., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D., Bey, I., Carmichael, G., Collins, W., Duncan, B., Faluvegi, G., Folberth, G., Gauss, M., Gong, S., Hauglustaine, D., Holloway, T., Isaksen, I., Jacob, D., Jonson, J., Kaminski, J., Keating, T., Lupu, A., Marmer, E., Montanaro, V., Park, R., Pitari, G., Pringle, K., Pyle, J., Schroeder, S., Vivanco, M., Wind, P., Wojcik, G., Wu, S., and Zuber, A.: Multimodel estimates of intercontinental source-receptor relationships for ozone pollution, J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos., 114, D04301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010816, 2009. 17677

Fiore, A. M., Naik, V., Spracklen, D. V., Steiner, A., Unger, N., Prather, M., Bergmann, D., Cameron-Smith, P. J., Cionni, I., Collins, W. J., Dalsoren, S., Eyring, V., Folberth, G. A., Ginoux, P., Horowitz, L. W., Josse, B., Lamarque, J. F., MacKenzie, I. A., Nagashima, T., O'Connor, F. M., Righi, M., Rumbold, S. T., Shindell, D. T., Skeie, R. B., Sudo, K., Szopa, S.,

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Takemura, T., and Zeng, G.: Global air quality and climate, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 41, 6663–6683, 2012. 17692

Folberth, G. A., Rumbold, S. T., Collins, W. J., and Butler, T. M.: Global radiative forcing and megacities, *Urban Climate*, 1, 4–19, doi:10.1016/j.uclim.2012.08.001, 2012. 17678

5 Giannakopoulos, C., Chipperfield, T., Law, K., and Pyle, J.: Validation and intercomparison of wet and dry deposition schemes using Pb-210 in a global three-dimensional off-line chemical transport model, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 23761–23784, 1999. 17681

Granier, C., Guenther, A., Lamarque, J., Mieville, A., Muller, J., Olivier, J., Orlando, J., Peters, J., Petron, G., Tyndall, G., and Wallens, S.: POET, a database of surface emissions of ozone precursors, available at: <http://www.pole-ether.fr/eccad> (last access: May 2013), ECCAD-Ether Database, 2005. 17682

10 Grewe, V.: Technical Note: A diagnostic for ozone contributions of various NO_x emissions in multi-decadal chemistry-climate model simulations, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 4, 729–736, doi:10.5194/acp-4-729-2004, 2004. 17678

15 Grewe, V., Tsati, E., and Hoor, P.: On the attribution of contributions of atmospheric trace gases to emissions in atmospheric model applications, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 3, 487–499, doi:10.5194/gmd-3-487-2010, 2010. 17678

Grewe, V., Dahlmann, K., Matthes, S., and Steinbrecht, W.: Attributing ozone to NO_x emissions: implications for climate mitigation measures, *Atmos. Environ.*, 59, 102–107, 2012. 17678

20 Gurjar, B. R., Butler, T. M., Lawrence, M. G., and Lelieveld, J.: Evaluation of emissions and air quality in megacities, *Atmos. Environ.*, 42, 1593–1606, 2008. 17677

Gurjar, B. R., Jain, A., Sharma, A., Agarwal, A., Gupta, P., Nagpure, A., and Lelieveld, J.: Human health risks in megacities due to air pollution, *Atmos. Environ.*, 44, 4606–4613, 2010. 17677
Harpercollins Reference, 2008: Collins World Atlas, ISBN:9780007269655, 2008. 17683

25 Hewitt, H. T., Copley, D., Culverwell, I. D., Harris, C. M., Hill, R. S. R., Keen, A. B., McLaren, A. J., and Hunke, E. C.: Design and implementation of the infrastructure of HadGEM3: the next-generation Met Office climate modelling system, *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 4, 223–253, doi:10.5194/gmd-4-223-2011, 2011. 17681

30 Holland, E., Braswell, B., Lamarque, J., Townsend, A., Sulzman, J., Muller, J., Dentener, F., Brasseur, G., Levy, H., Penner, J., and Roelofs, G.: Variations in the predicted spatial distribution of atmospheric nitrogen deposition and their impact on carbon uptake by terrestrial ecosystems, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 102, 15849–15866, 1997. 17680, 17695

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



HTAP: Hemispheric Transport of Air Pollution 2010, Part A: Ozone and Particulate Matter, Air Pollution Studies No. 17, Tech. rep., edited by: Dentener, F., Keating, T., and Akimoto, H., United Nations, New York and Geneva, 2010. 17691

Jacob, D. J., Horowitz, L. W., Munger, J. W., Heikes, B. G., Dickerson, R. R., Artz, R. S., and Keene, W. C.: Seasonal transition from NO_x- to hydrocarbon-limited conditions for ozone production over the eastern United States in September, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 100, 9315–9324, doi:10.1029/94JD03125, 1995. 17687, 17690

Jenkin, M. and Clemitshaw, K.: Ozone and other secondary photochemical pollutants: chemical processes governing their formation in the planetary boundary layer, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 2499–2527, 2000. 17685

Jones, A., Roberts, D. L., Woodage, M. J., and Johnson, C. E.: Indirect sulphate aerosol forcing in a climate model with an interactive sulphur cycle, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 20293–20310, 2001. 17681

Kleinman, L., Lee, Y.-N., Springston, S. R., Nunnermacker, L., Zhou, X., Brown, R., Hallock, K., Klotz, P., Leahy, D., Lee, J. H., and Newman, L.: Ozone formation at a rural site in the south-eastern United States, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 99, 3469–3482, 1994. 17687

Lamarque, J. F., Kiehl, J. T., Brasseur, G. P., Butler, T., Cameron-Smith, P., Collins, W. D., Collins, W. J., Granier, C., Hauglustaine, D., Hess, P. G., Holland, E. A., Horowitz, L., Lawrence, M. G., McKenna, D., Merilees, P., Prather, M. J., Rasch, P. J., Rotman, D., Shindell, D., and Thornton, P.: Assessing future nitrogen deposition and carbon cycle feedback using a multimodel approach: analysis of nitrogen deposition, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 110, D19303, doi:10.1029/2005JD005825, 2005. 17680

Lamarque, J.-F., Bond, T. C., Eyring, V., Granier, C., Heil, A., Klimont, Z., Lee, D., Liousse, C., Mieville, A., Owen, B., Schultz, M. G., Shindell, D., Smith, S. J., Stehfest, E., Van Aardenne, J., Cooper, O. R., Kainuma, M., Mahowald, N., McConnell, J. R., Naik, V., Riahi, K., and van Vuuren, D. P.: Historical (1850–2000) gridded anthropogenic and biomass burning emissions of reactive gases and aerosols: methodology and application, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 7017–7039, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7017-2010, 2010. 17682

Law, K. and Pyle, J.: Modeling trace gas budgets in the troposphere. 1. Ozone and odd nitrogen, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 98, 18377–18400, 1993a. 17682

Law, K. and Pyle, J.: Modeling trace gas budgets in the troposphere. 2. CH₄ and CO, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 98, 18401–18412, 1993b. 17682

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Lawrence, M. G., Butler, T. M., Steinkamp, J., Gurjar, B. R., and Lelieveld, J.: Regional pollution potentials of megacities and other major population centers, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 7, 3969–3987, doi:10.5194/acp-7-3969-2007, 2007. 17677
- Logan, J. A.: An analysis of ozonesonde data for the troposphere: recommendations for testing 3-D models and development of a gridded climatology for tropospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 104, 16115–16149, 1999. 17682
- Mayer, M., Wang, C., Webster, M., and Prinn, R. G.: Linking local air pollution to global chemistry and climate, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 105, 22869–22896, 2000. 17677, 17683
- Molina, M. J. and Molina, L. T.: Megacities and atmospheric pollution, *J. Air Waste Manage.*, 54, 644–680, 2004. 17677
- Neu, J. L., Prather, M. J., and Penner, J. E.: Global atmospheric chemistry: integrating over fractional cloud cover, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 112, D11306, doi:10.1029/2006JD008007, 2007. 17681
- O'Connor, F. M., Johnson, C. E., Morgenstern, O., Abraham, N. L., Braesicke, P., Dalvi, M., Folberth, G. A., Sanderson, M. G., Telford, P. J., Young, P. J., Zeng, G., Collins, W. J., and Pyle, J. A.: Evaluation of the new UKCA climate-composition model – Part 2: The Troposphere, *Geosci. Model Dev. Discuss.*, 6, 1743–1857, doi:10.5194/gmdd-6-1743-2013, 2013. 17681, 17682
- Olivier, J., Peters, J., Granier, C., Petron, G., Muller, J., and Wallens, S.: Present and Future Surface Emissions of Atmospheric Compounds, POET Report 2, EU project EVK2-1999-00011, 2003. 17682
- Parrish, D. D., Singh, H. B., Molina, L., and Madronich, S.: Air quality progress in North American megacities: a review, *Atmos. Environ.*, 45, 7015–7025, 2011. 17677
- Parrish, D. D., Law, K. S., Staehelin, J., Derwent, R., Cooper, O. R., Tanimoto, H., Volz-Thomas, A., Gilge, S., Scheel, H.-E., Steinbacher, M., and Chan, E.: Long-term changes in lower tropospheric baseline ozone concentrations at northern mid-latitudes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 11485–11504, doi:10.5194/acp-12-11485-2012, 2012. 17691
- Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Honrath, R., Lamarque, J.-F., Val Martin, M., Owen, R. C., Avery, M. A., Browell, E. V., Holloway, J. S., Nedelec, P., Purvis, R., Ryer-son, T. B., Sachse, G. W., and Schlager, H.: Ozone production from the 2004 North American boreal fires, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 111, D24S07, doi:10.1029/2006JD007695, 2006. 17678

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



- Pfister, G. G., Emmons, L. K., Hess, P. G., Lamarque, J.-F., Orlando, J. J., Walters, S., Guenther, A., Palmer, P. I., and Lawrence, P. J.: Contribution of isoprene to chemical budgets: a model tracer study with the NCAR CTM MOZART-4, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D05308, doi:10.1029/2007JD008948, 2008. 17678
- 5 Pöschl, U., von Kuhlmann, R., Poisson, N., and Crutzen, P.: Development and intercomparison of condensed isoprene oxidation mechanisms for global atmospheric modeling, *J. Atmos. Chem.*, 37, 29–52, 2000. 17681
- Riahi, K., Rao, S., Krey, V., Cho, C., Chirkov, V., Fischer, G., Kindermann, G., Nakicenovic, N., and Rafaj, P.: RCP 8.5 – a scenario of comparatively high greenhouse gas emissions, *Climatic Change*, 109, 33–57, doi:10.1007/s10584-011-0149-y, 2011. 17682
- 10 Sanderson, M., Dentener, F., Fiore, A., Cuvelier, C., Keating, T., Zuber, A., Atherton, C., Bergmann, D., Diehl, T., Doherty, R., Duncan, B., Hess, P., Horowitz, L., Jacob, D., Johnson, J., Kaminski, J., Lupu, A., MacKenzie, I., Mancini, E., Marmer, E., Park, R., Pitari, G., Prather, M., Pringle, K., Schroeder, S., Schultz, M., Shindell, D., Szopa, S., Wild, O., and Wind, P.: A multi-model study of the hemispheric transport and deposition of oxidised nitrogen, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 35, L17815, doi:10.1029/2008GL035389, 2008. 17680
- Sillman, S.: The use of NO_y , H_2O_2 , and HNO_3 as indicators for ozone- NO_x -hydrocarbon sensitivity in urban locations, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 100, 14175–14188, 1995. 17685
- Sillman, S.: The relation between ozone, NO_x and hydrocarbons in urban and polluted rural environments, *Atmos. Environ.*, 33, 1821–1845, 1999. 17685, 17687
- 20 Stevenson, D. S., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Ellingsen, K., van Noije, T. P. C., Wild, O., Zeng, G., Amann, M., Atherton, C. S., Bell, N., Bergmann, D. J., Bey, I., Butler, T., Co-fala, J., Collins, W. J., Derwent, R. G., Doherty, R. M., Drevet, J., Eskes, H. J., Fiore, A. M., Gauss, M., Hauglustaine, D. A., Horowitz, L. W., Isaksen, I. S. A., Krol, M. C., Lamarque, J. F., Lawrence, M. G., Montanaro, V., Muller, J. F., Pitari, G., Prather, M. J., Pyle, J. A., Rast, S., Rodriguez, J. M., Sanderson, M. G., Savage, N. H., Shindell, D. T., Strahan, S. E., Sudo, K., and Szopa, S.: Multimodel ensemble simulations of present-day and near-future tropospheric ozone, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 111, D08301, doi:10.1029/2005JD006338, 2006. 17682
- Streets, D. and Waldhoff, S.: Present and future emissions of air pollutants in China: SO_2 , NO_x , and CO, *Atmos. Environ.*, 34, 363–374, 2000. 17677
- 30 Telford, P. J., Braesicke, P., Morgenstern, O., and Pyle, J. A.: Technical Note: Description and assessment of a nudged version of the new dynamics Unified Model, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 8, 1701–1712, doi:10.5194/acp-8-1701-2008, 2008. 17681

**The impact of
megacities on
tropospheric ozone**

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Telford, P. J., Lathière, J., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Braesicke, P., Johnson, C. E., Morgenstern, O., O'Connor, F. M., Pike, R. C., Wild, O., Young, P. J., Beerling, D. J., Hewitt, C. N., and Pyle, J.: Effects of climate-induced changes in isoprene emissions after the eruption of Mount Pinatubo, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 7117–7125, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7117-2010, 2010. 17681

Telford, P. J., Abraham, N. L., Archibald, A. T., Braesicke, P., Dalvi, M., Morgenstern, O., O'Connor, F. M., Richards, N. A. D., and Pyle, J. A.: Implementation of the Fast-JX Photolysis scheme (v6.4) into the UKCA component of the MetUM chemistry-climate model (v7.3), *Geosci. Model Dev.*, 6, 161–177, doi:10.5194/gmd-6-161-2013, 2013. 17681, 17682

Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., McPeters, R. D., Oltmans, S. J., Schmidlin, F. J., Logan, J. A., Fujiwara, M., Kirchhoff, V., Posny, F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Hoegger, B., Kawakami, S., Ogawa, T., Johnson, B. J., Vomel, H., and Labow, G.: Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998–2000 tropical ozone climatology – 1. Comparison with Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) and ground-based measurements, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8238, doi:10.1029/2001JD000967, 2003a. 17682

Thompson, A. M., Witte, J. C., Oltmans, S. J., Schmidlin, F. J., Logan, J. A., Fujiwara, M., Kirchhoff, V., Posny, F., Coetzee, G. J. R., Hoegger, B., Kawakami, S. J., Ogawa, T., Fortuin, J. P. F., and Kelder, H. M.: Southern Hemisphere Additional Ozonesondes (SHADOZ) 1998–2000 tropical ozone climatology – 2. Tropospheric variability and the zonal wave-one, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 108, 8241, doi:10.1029/2002JD002241, 2003b. 17682

van der A, R., Eskes, H., Boersma, K., van Noije, T., Van Roozendael, M., De Smedt, I., Peters, D., and Meijer, E.: Trends, seasonal variability and dominant NO(x) source derived from a ten year record of NO(2) measured from space, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 113, D04302, doi:10.1029/2007JD009021, 2008. 17677

van der Werf, G. R., Randerson, J. T., Giglio, L., Collatz, G. J., Mu, M., Kasibhatla, P. S., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Jin, Y., and van Leeuwen, T. T.: Global fire emissions and the contribution of deforestation, savanna, forest, agricultural, and peat fires (1997–2009), *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 11707–11735, doi:10.5194/acp-10-11707-2010, 2010. 17682

Warneke, C., de Gouw, J. A., Holloway, J. S., Peischl, J., Ryerson, T. B., Atlas, E., Blake, D., Trainer, M., and Parrish, D. D.: Multiyear trends in volatile organic compounds in Los Angeles, California: five decades of decreasing emissions, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 117, D00V17, doi:10.1029/2012JD017899, 2012. 17677

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



West, J. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Fiore, A. M.: Effect of regional precursor emission controls on long-range ozone transport – Part 1: Short-term changes in ozone air quality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 6077–6093, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6077-2009, 2009a. 17692

West, J. J., Naik, V., Horowitz, L. W., and Fiore, A. M.: Effect of regional precursor emission controls on long-range ozone transport – Part 2: Steady-state changes in ozone air quality and impacts on human mortality, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 9, 6095–6107, doi:10.5194/acp-9-6095-2009, 2009b. 17679, 17693

Wild, O. and Akimoto, H.: Intercontinental transport of ozone and its precursors in a three-dimensional global CTM, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 106, 27729–27744, 2001. 17677, 17692

Wild, O., Law, K. S., McKenna, D. S., Bandy, B. J., Penkett, S. A., and Pyle, J. A.: Photochemical trajectory modeling studies of the North Atlantic region during August 1993, *J. Geophys. Res.-Atmos.*, 101, 29269–29288, 1996. 17691

Wild, O., Fiore, A. M., Shindell, D. T., Doherty, R. M., Collins, W. J., Dentener, F. J., Schultz, M. G., Gong, S., MacKenzie, I. A., Zeng, G., Hess, P., Duncan, B. N., Bergmann, D. J., Szopa, S., Jonson, J. E., Keating, T. J., and Zuber, A.: Modelling future changes in surface ozone: a parameterized approach, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 2037–2054, doi:10.5194/acp-12-2037-2012, 2012. 17677, 17692

Wu, S., Duncan, B., Jacob, D., Fiore, A., and Wild, O.: Chemical nonlinearities in relating intercontinental ozone pollution to anthropogenic emissions, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 36, L05806, doi:10.1029/2008GL036607, 2009. 17687

Zeng, G. and Pyle, J.: Changes in tropospheric ozone between 2000 and 2100 modeled in a chemistry-climate model, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 30, 1392, doi:10.1029/2002GL016708, 2003. 17681

Zhang, L., Jacob, D. J., Knipping, E. M., Kumar, N., Munger, J. W., Carouge, C. C., van Donkelaar, A., Wang, Y. X., and Chen, D.: Nitrogen deposition to the United States: distribution, sources, and processes, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 12, 4539–4554, doi:10.5194/acp-12-4539-2012, 2012. 17680

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



Table 1. A summary of simulations performed in this study. Each simulation is run for one year.

Scenario	Description	Total global emissions altered
Base	Control run with the original emissions dataset.	no
100 % redistribution	All anthropogenic emissions are removed from megacity grid cells and redistributed into the country to which the megacity belongs.	no
25 % redistribution	25% of anthropogenic emissions are removed from megacity grid cells and redistributed into the country to which the megacity belongs.	no
Annihilation	All anthropogenic emissions are completely removed from megacity grid cells.	yes
25 % increase	Anthropogenic emissions are increased by 25 % in all megacity grid cells.	yes

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures



Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion




Fig. 1. NO emissions are shown in Gg yr^{-1} for each of the megacities in the study under different emission scenarios. Note the scale is logarithmic to show the full range of emission perturbations. The totals are calculated on a $0.5^\circ \times 0.5^\circ$ grid.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 2. Global surface differences showing the effect of redistributing 100% of megacity anthropogenic emissions compared to a control run. Seasonal mean differences are shown for JJA (left column) and DJF (right column) for NO_x (top row) and ozone (bottom row). Differences are as percentage change ($\frac{\text{redistribution} - \text{base}}{\text{base}} \times 100$).

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 3. Summary for Europe of the 100 % redistribution run compared to the base run for the month of December. Figures show **(a)** ozone surface changes, **(b)** surface chemical regime changes through the ratio of $\text{H}_2\text{O}_2 : \text{HNO}_3$ production, where large positive change indicates the regime shifting towards more NO_x -limited conditions and large negative change indicates the regime shifting towards more VOC-limited conditions, and **(c)** surface changes in the removal of O_3 through the reaction flux $\text{O}_3 + \text{NO}$. All differences are as percentage change $\left(\frac{\text{redistribution} - \text{base}}{\text{base}} \times 100 \right)$.

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

◀

▶

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 4. Percentage change in megacity surface ozone for emission perturbation scenarios. Megacities are grouped and averaged according to latitudinal bands; Northern Hemisphere megacities are considered those that are located $> 25^\circ$ N, and Southern Hemisphere megacities $> 25^\circ$ S. Lines are coloured according to scenario (see key bottom right). Error bars indicate one standard deviation from the mean.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 5. (a–c) Annual average H_2O_2 : HNO_3 formation up to 320 m for individual megacity grid cells with the base ratio in red, **(a)** 100 % redistribution in blue, **(b)** 25 % redistribution scenario in purple and **(c)** 25 % increase scenario in grey. Arrows link megacity grid cell changes from the base run to the scenario run. The colour of the arrow relates to latitude, orange arrows indicate Northern Hemisphere megacities, black arrows indicate Southern Hemisphere megacities and green arrows indicate megacities in the tropics. In Fig. **(d)** seasonal averages are shown for all megacity grid cells within the latitude band. Solid arrows represent summer changes, and dashed arrows represent winter changes. Lines are labelled as Northern Hemisphere megacities in summer (NHS) and winter (NHW), Southern Hemisphere megacities in summer (SHS) and winter (SHW) and tropical megacities in summer (TropS) and winter (TropW). Note the tropical megacity averages are plotted for boreal winter and summer.

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 6. Annual average ratio of tagged ozone from megacity emissions to total ozone. Surface ratio is shown both globally (left) and zoomed in over Europe (right).

Title Page

Abstract

Introduction

Conclusions

References

Tables

Figures

⏪

⏩

◀

▶

Back

Close

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion



The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 7. Annual average ratio of tagged PAN from megacity emissions to total PAN. Surface ratio is shown both globally (left) and zoomed in over Europe (right).

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[⏪](#)[⏩](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 8. European surface ozone attributed to megacity emissions as a monthly average for the tagging and perturbation techniques. An annihilation scenario and a 25 % increase scenario are compared, where the 25 % increase scenario is scaled to 100 % for comparison purposes.

[Title Page](#)[Abstract](#)[Introduction](#)[Conclusions](#)[References](#)[Tables](#)[Figures](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[◀](#)[▶](#)[Back](#)[Close](#)[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)

The impact of megacities on tropospheric ozone

Z. Stock et al.




Fig. 9. Annual average changes in NO_y deposition Gg yr for each of the scenarios (perturbation-base). Perturbation scenarios are **(a)** Annihilation run (label:NoMC)-base **(b)** 100 % redistribution run (label: 100 %r)-base **(c)** 25 % redistribution run (label:25 %r)-base **(a)** and **(d)** 25 % increase run (label:25 %i)-base.

[Title Page](#)
[Abstract](#)
[Introduction](#)
[Conclusions](#)
[References](#)
[Tables](#)
[Figures](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[◀](#)
[▶](#)
[Back](#)
[Close](#)
[Full Screen / Esc](#)
[Printer-friendly Version](#)
[Interactive Discussion](#)
