1 Supplementary Materials

2 Atmospheric API-CIMS

3 The atmospheric API-CIMS is a downsized version of the instrument used and described by 4 Marandino et al. (2007). A schematic of the main components is given in Figure S1. The ion 5 source consists of a heated and temperature-controlled glass-lined stainless steel tube containing a radioactive ⁶³Ni foil, as described in Saltzman et al. (2009). Lens voltages for 6 7 ion optics were supplied by a Gamma custom multichannel DC power supply and the 8 quadrupole supply was an Extrel QPS500 DC/RF power supply and mass command board. 9 Ions were detected using an ion multiplier and discriminator/preamplifier. The primary difference between this instrument and the earlier CIMS instrument is the replacement of a 10 turbo-pumped vacuum stage (1000 L s⁻¹; 5×10^{-3} Torr) in the vacuum system with a turbo 11 charger/rough pumped (50 L s⁻¹; 1 Torr) collision chamber. The collision chamber is an 12 13 Extrel API collision chamber with modified entrance configuration. A custom Labview[™] 14 program and multichannel A/D interface (NI USB-6343, X Series DAQ) were used to 15 provide the mass command signal and to acquire the ion counts. The same interface was 16 used to acquire the saw tooth synchronizing signal and to output the ion counts as analog 17 signals for logging by the multichannel data logger described above. 18 The gas standard was supplied from a cylinder (11.79 ppm) at a mass flow-controlled flow

18 The gas standard was supplied from a cylinder (11.79 ppm) at a mass flow-controlled flow 19 rate of 3-6 ml min⁻¹, resulting in a d3-DMS level of 440 – 885 ppt in the air stream. The gas 20 standard was delivered using 1/32" ID Teflon tubing and a low volume 3-way solenoid valve 21 located at the base of the foremast. Gas flow rates were controlled and logged via a custom 22 PC-controlled 8-channel mass flow controller circuit board.



24 Figure S1: mesoCIMS instrument schematic

25 DMS gas standards

26 Isotopically-labeled DMS gas standards in the range of 1-10 ppm were prepared by injecting 27 liquid d3-DMS (Cambridge Isotope Laboratory) into dry, evacuated 6 L high pressure 28 aluminum cylinders. The cylinders were pressurized to 1000 psi with N₂. Three cylinders 29 were used to calibrate the API-CIMS instruments on the Knorr_11 cruise. These were 30 calibrated against a temperature-controlled, gravimetrically calibrated permeation tube (Vici 31 Metronics) in the laboratory before and after the cruise and intercompared during the cruise. 32 The gas standard used for atmospheric DMS measurements and the aqueous DMS standard 33 used for seawater measurements were regularly intercompared during the cruise. This was 34 done by stopping the flow of aqueous d3-DMS standard and introducing a gas standard into 35 the air stream from the seawater equilibrator for a period of 5 minutes every 2 hours. 36 Seawater DMS concentrations were calculated using the gas standard assuming that air and 37 seawater were fully equilibrated in the equilibrator. We compared the seawater DMS 38 concentrations from the gas standards (DMS_{gas}) to the adjacent measurements using the liquid 39 standard (DMS_{liq}). The mean ratio of these measurements (DMS_{gas} / DMS_{liq}) was 1.07±0.18 40 $(1\sigma, n=52)$. The variance in the ratio includes a contribution from temporal variability in 41 ambient DMS, as the two measurements were offset by several minutes.

42 DMS Flux Quality Control

- 43 DMS flux intervals that met any of the following criteria were excluded:
- 44 $F_{sum} \ge 0.45$ at $f_{norm} = 0.027 (0.02 \text{ Hz at } U_{10n} = 10 \text{ m s}^{-1})$
- 45 $F_{sum} \le 0$ at $f_{norm} = 0.014 (0.01 \text{ Hz at } U_{10n} = 10 \text{ m s}^{-1})$
- 46 $F_{sum} \ge 1.05$ at $f_{norm} = 0.73$ (1 Hz at $U_{10n} = 10 \text{ m s}^{-1}$).

47 Flow distortion

- 48 Field measurements and computational fluid dynamics simulations demonstrate flow
- 49 distortion and vertical displacement of flow fields over the bow of research vessels (Yelland
- 50 et al., 2002). The magnitude of this effect varies as a function of relative wind direction and
- 51 can have a significant impact on the measurement of momentum flux or drag coefficients. To
- 52 minimize this effect, a variety of wind sector limits have been used in previous shipboard

53 eddy covariance gas exchange studies: $\pm 120^{\circ}$ (Blomquist et al., 2006); $\pm 50^{\circ}$ (Huebert et al.,

54 2010); and $\pm 60^{\circ}$ (Marandino et al., 2007; Yang et al., 2011).

55 On Knorr 11, flow distortion was indicated by the presence of an apparent positive mean 56 vertical wind measured by the sonic anemometers after correction for ship motion and sensor 57 orientation but prior to coordinate rotation (Figure S2a). Flow distortion was also indicated 58 by systematic variations in horizontal wind speed measured at various heights on the 59 foremast, reflecting vertical displacement of the winds. Horizontal wind speed differences of up to 4 m sec⁻¹ were found between our sensors and the ship's 2D sonic and cup 60 anemometers mounted 2 meters higher on the foremast (Figure S2b). The highest sensor 61 62 should experience the least flow distortion, so the ship's 2D sonic winds were used to calculate U_{10} . Transfer coefficients for momentum (C_{D10}) and sensible heat (C_{H10}) were 63 computed using fluxes from our sonic anemometers and U_{10} from the ship's 2D sonic winds. 64 Transfer coefficients computed in this way show good agreement with those calculated using 65

66 the COARE model (Figure 5a).



67

Figure S2: Evidence of flow distortion on the Knorr_11 foremast. Left panel: Mean vertical wind speed before coordinate rotation vs. relative horizontal wind speed, with symbol color indicating apparent absolute wind direction relative to the bow. Right panel: difference in horizontal wind speed. CSAT3 sonic (13.6 m height) minus ship's 2D sonic (15.5 m height), with symbol color indicating apparent absolute wind direction relative to the bow.

73

74 The influence of relative wind direction on momentum flux and gas flux was examined

75 during a portion of the cruise where the relative wind direction varied while wind speed

 (U_{10n}) and DMS_{sw} remained fairly constant (DOY 184.5-187, $U_{10n} = 9.7 \pm 1.4 \text{ m s}^{-1}$; $DMS_{sw} =$ 76 77 4.0±1.9 nM). Frequency distributions of Dalton number ($D_{660} = k_{660}/U_{10n}$) and the drag coefficient $(C_{D10} = w'u'/U_{10n}^2)$ for relative wind sectors $\pm 0.30^\circ$, $\pm 30.60^\circ$, and $\pm 60.90^\circ$ are 78 shown for this period in Figure S3. The data show no statistically significant bias between 79 80 the relative wind sectors for D_{660} or C_{D10} (unequal variance t-test; $\alpha < 0.01$). These results suggest that flow distortion on the R/V Knorr bow mast is a relatively small source of 81 82 variance in D_{660} and C_{D10} at least during this period of fairly constant conditions. In this 83 paper, data from $\pm 0.90^{\circ}$ are presented.



Figure S3: Frequency distribution of D_{660} (left, cm s hr⁻¹ m⁻¹) and C_{D10} (right) from Knorr_11 DOY 184.5-187. Data is shown for three relative wind direction sectors: $\pm 0-30^{\circ}$, $\pm 30-60^{\circ}$, and $\pm 60-90^{\circ}$.

88

84

References

- 89
- 90

Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Huebert, B. J., Kieber, D. J., and Westby, G. R.: DMS sea-air transfer
velocity: Direct measurements by eddy covariance and parameterization based on the NOAA/COARE gas
transfer model, Geophys. Res. Lett., 33, art. no.-L07601, 10.1029/2006gl025735, 2006.

- Huebert, B. J., Blomquist, B. W., Yang, M. X., Archer, S. D., Nightingale, P. D., Yelland, M. J., Stephens, J.,
 Pascal, R. W., and Moat, B. I.: Linearity of DMS transfer coefficient with both friction velocity and wind speed
 in the moderate wind speed range, Geophys. Res. Lett., 37, 10.1029/2009gl041203, 2010.
- Marandino, C. A., De Bruyn, W. J., Miller, S. D., and Saltzman, E. S.: Eddy correlation measurements of the
 air/sea flux of dimethylsulfide over the North Pacific Ocean, Journal of Geophysical Research-Atmospheres,
 112, D03301, 2007.
- 100 Saltzman, E. S., De Bruyn, W. J., Lawler, M. J., Marandino, C. A., and McCormick, C. A.: A chemical 101 ionization mass spectrometer for continuous underway shipboard analysis of dimethylsulfide in near-surface
- 102 seawater, Ocean Science, 5, 537-546, 2009.
- Yang, M., Blomquist, B. W., Fairall, C. W., Archer, S. D., and Huebert, B. J.: Air-sea exchange of dimethylsulfide in the Southern Ocean: Measurements from SO GasEx compared to temperate and tropical regions, J. Geophys. Res.-Oceans, 116, 10.1029/2010jc006526, 2011.
- 106 Yelland, M. J., Moat, B. I., Pascal, R. W., and Berry, D. I.: CFD model estimates of the airflow distortion over
- 107 research ships and the impact on momentum flux measurements, Journal of Atmospheric and Oceanic
- 108 Technology, 19, 1477-1499, Doi 10.1175/1520-0426(2002)019<1477:Cmeota>2.0.Co;2, 2002.
- 109
- 110