Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 13, 11689–11744, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/13/11689/2013/ doi:10.5194/acpd-13-11689-2013 © Author(s) 2013. CC Attribution 3.0 License.



This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

# Dry deposition of nitrogen compounds (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>), sulfur dioxide and ozone in West and Central African ecosystems using the inferential method

M. Adon<sup>1,2</sup>, C. Galy-Lacaux<sup>1</sup>, V. Yoboue<sup>2</sup>, C. Delon<sup>1</sup>, F. Solmon<sup>1,3</sup>, and A. T. Kaptue Tchuente<sup>4</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Laboratoire d'Aérologie, CNRS/UPS, UMR5560, Toulouse, France

<sup>2</sup>Laboratoire de Physique de l'Atmosphère, Université Félix Houphouët-Boigny de Cocody, Abidjan, Côte d'Ivoire

<sup>3</sup>International Centre for Theoretical Physics, Trieste, Italy

<sup>4</sup>Geographic Information Science Center of Excellence, South Dakota State University, Brookings, USA

Received: 12 March 2013 - Accepted: 16 April 2013 - Published: 2 May 2013

Correspondence to: M. Adon (adonatma@yahoo.fr) and C. Galy-lacaux (lacc@aero.obs-mip.fr)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.



### Abstract

This work is part of the IDAF program (IGAC-DEBITS-AFRICA) and is based on the long term monitoring of gas concentrations (1998–2007) established on seven remote sites representative of major African ecosystems. Dry deposition fluxes were estimated
<sup>5</sup> by the inferential method using on one hand surface measurements of gas concentrations (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>) and on the other hand simulated dry deposition velocities (V<sub>d</sub>). V<sub>d</sub> were calculated using the big-leaf model of Zhang et al. (2003b). In the model of deposition, surface and meteorological conditions specific to IDAF sites have been adapted in order to simulate V<sub>d</sub> representative of major African ecosystems.
<sup>10</sup> The monthly, seasonal and annual mean variations of gaseous dry deposition fluxes (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub>) are analyzed.

Along the latitudinal transect of ecosystems, the annual mean dry deposition fluxes of nitrogen compounds range from  $0.4 \pm 0.0$  to  $0.8 \pm 0.2$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub>, from  $0.7 \pm 0.1$  to  $1.0 \pm 0.3$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for HNO<sub>3</sub>, and from  $2.3 \pm 0.8$  to  $10.5 \pm 5.0$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>

- <sup>15</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> over the study period (1998–2007). The total nitrogen dry deposition flux (NO<sub>2</sub> + HNO<sub>3</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub>) is more important in forests (11.2–11.8 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) than in wet and dry savannas (3.4–5.3 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). NH<sub>3</sub> dominated nitrogen dry deposition, representing 67–80% of the total. The annual mean dry deposition fluxes of ozone range between 11.3 ± 4.7 and 17.5 ± 3.0 kgha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in dry savannas, 17.5 ± 3.0 and 19.2 ± 2.9 kgha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in wet savannas, and 10.6 ± 2.0 and 13.2 ± 3.6 kgha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in
- <sup>20</sup> 19.2  $\pm$  2.9 kg/la yr in wet savannas, and 10.0  $\pm$  2.0 and 13.2  $\pm$  3.6 kg/la yr in forests. Lowest O<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes in forests are correlated to low measured O<sub>3</sub> concentrations, lower of a factor of 2–3, compared to others ecosystems. Along the ecosystem transect, annual mean of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes present low values and a small variability (0.5 to 1 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). No specific trend in the interannual variability of these gaseous dry deposition fluxes is observed over the study period.
- <sup>25</sup> of these gaseous dry deposition fluxes is observed over the study period.



# 1 Introduction

Deposition of chemical species onto the earth's surface plays an essential role in controlling the concentration of gases and aerosols in the troposphere. The study of deposition thus allows for tracing the temporal and spatial evolution of atmospheric chem-

- <sup>5</sup> istry and is a pertinent indicator for evaluating natural and anthropogenic influences. The deposition of atmospheric nitrogen (N) species constitutes a major nutrient input to the biosphere. On a long-term scale, the increase of N inputs into terrestrial or aquatic ecosystems leads to important environmental consequences such as a loss of biodiversity, eutrophication, acidification of semi-natural ecosystems, leaching of nitrate into
- <sup>10</sup> groundwater, and increased carbon storage (Vitousek et al., 1997; Rodhe et al., 2002; Bobbink et al., 1998; Bouwman et al., 2002b; Liu et al., 2013). N deposition on the terrestrial surface thus impacts both atmospheric chemistry and ecosystem dynamics. Ammonia (NH<sub>3</sub>), nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>) and nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>) are the most important contributors to N dry deposition (Trebs et al., 2006). Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) is one of the
- <sup>15</sup> important species considering the acid deposition issues, and it is also the precursor of sulfate. Dry deposition estimation of SO<sub>2</sub> is essential to assess ecological impact research, crop growing and air quality research (Tsai et al., 2010). Tropospheric ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) is known to harm human health, damage vegetation and lead to deterioration of materials. The dry deposition of O<sub>3</sub> is one of the most important sinks in the boundary <sup>20</sup> layer ozone budget (Rummel et al., 2007).

Monitoring networks have been established around the world to measure wet and dry deposition. The international program DEBITS (Deposition of Biogeochemically Important Trace Species) was initiated in 1990 as part of IGAC/IGBP (International Global Atmospheric Chemistry/International Geosphere-Biosphere Programme) "core <sup>25</sup> project" in order to study wet and dry atmospheric deposition in tropical regions (Lacaux et al., 2003). The DEBITS network collects data from 25 stations that are distributed within the tropical belt in Africa, Asia and South America, and results are presented



in the new IGAC structure or DEBITS II (Pienaar et al., 2005; Bates et al., 2006;

http://debits.sedoo.fr). For tropical Africa, the IDAF (IGAC/DEBITS/AFRICA) project started in 1994 and was implemented in partnership with INSU (Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers, in France) and the CNRS (Centre National de la Recherche Scientifique, in France) as part of the Environmental Research Observatory (ORE, in
 <sup>5</sup> France) networks.

- The main objectives of IDAF are to measure wet and dry deposition fluxes, to identify the relative contribution of natural and anthropogenic sources, and the factors regulating these fluxes. IDAF activity is based on high quality measurements of atmospheric chemical data (gaseous, precipitation and aerosol chemical compositions) on the basis of a multi-year monitoring (http://idaf.sedoo.fr). Within the framework of IDAF, several studies of precipitation chemical composition representative of great African accesses
- studies of precipitation chemical composition representative of great African ecosystems have been recently published (Galy-Lacaux and Modi, 1998; Galy-Lacaux et al., 2001, 2009; Al-Ourabi and Lacaux, 2002; Lacaux et al., 1993, 2003; Sigha et al., 2003; Yoboue et al., 2005; Mphepya et al., 2004, 2006; Laouali et al., 2012).

10

- To complement these studies, it is appropriate to study and quantify dry deposition fluxes. Direct methods (eddy correlation, chamber method) and indirect methods (inferential method, gradient method) are available to determine dry deposition fluxes (Seinfeld and Pandis, 2006). The DEBITS committee in charge of deposition studies in IGAC has decided to use indirect dry deposition fluxes determination in tropical sites,
- <sup>20</sup> because of difficulties to operate sophisticated direct methods of flux measurements in remotes sites (Wolff et al., 2010; Sutton et al., 2007). In this study, dry deposition fluxes are estimated using the inferential method, that is a combination between gaseous concentration measurements and modeling of deposition velocities according to the resistance analogy (Wesely, 1989; Zhang et al., 2003b; and references therein). The
- <sup>25</sup> authors are however aware that the inferential method is not the best one to evaluate NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> fluxes. Bidirectional exchange of NH<sub>3</sub> and NO<sub>2</sub> have been frequently observed over different canopies (Dorsey et al., 2004; Trebs et al., 2006; Walker et al., 2006; Wichink Kruit et al., 2007). There have been some effort in the development of bidirectional exchange models (e.g., Sutton et al., 1998; Flechard et al., 1999; Trebs



et al., 2006; Massad et al., 2010; Zhang et al., 2010; Wichink Kruit et al., 2012; Bash et al., 2013; Hamaoui-Laguel et al., 2013); however, none of these models can be directly applied over the different canopies of African ecosystems owing to the lack of necessary input parameters.

- In a recent paper, Adon et al. (2010) have presented the long term monitoring of ambient gaseous concentrations within the IDAF program. Our study presented an original database of ten years of measurements (1998–2007) of five important atmospheric gases (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub>) obtained within the IDAF African network at seven sites in West and Central Africa.
- In the present paper, realistic dry deposition velocities according to the sites and the species involved are calculated in order to estimate dry deposition fluxes. The big-leaf model of Zhang et al. (2003b) is used to simulate dry deposition velocities representative of major African ecosystems. The results will be compared to previous estimates developed in Delon et al. (2010, 2012). Then, we present an estimate on a long term basis (10 yr) of dry deposition fluxes of gases (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and SO ) at the scale of major African ecosystems. The monthly scasonal and annual
- SO<sub>2</sub>) at the scale of major African ecosystems. The monthly, seasonal and annual mean variations of gaseous dry deposition fluxes are analyzed.

### 2 Sites description and methodology

### 2.1 Presentation of measurement sites

Figure 1 presents the location of the 7 IDAF measurement stations displayed on the map of African biomes adapted from the land cover product of Mayaux et al. (2004). The IDAF sites of West and Central Africa are located to represent a transect of ecosystems, i.e., dry savannas (Agoufou, Banizoumbou, Katibougou) - wet savannas (Djougou, Lamto) - equatorial forests (Zoetele, Bomassa). The geographical, ecological and climatic characteristics of the study sites are presented in Table 1. Dry savannas are characterized by a long dry season from October to May and a short wet season



from June to September. The mean wet season extends from April to October in wet savannas and from March to November in forests; other months are the dry season. A detailed description of IDAF monitoring stations can be found in Adon et al. (2010). Monitoring of gases at Banizoumbou, Katibougou, Lamto, Zoetele and Bomassa be-

<sup>5</sup> gan in 1998. NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and HNO<sub>3</sub> have been monitored since 1998, while measurement of O<sub>3</sub> started in 2001 and that of SO<sub>2</sub> in 2002. As part of the Long term Observation Period of the AMMA (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis) program, the Djougou and Agoufou sites started operating in 2005. All measurements are still continuing at all the IDAF sites.

### 10 2.2 Dry deposition estimate

The inferential method, i.e., an atmospheric species dry deposition flux being estimated as a product of its air concentration by modelled dry deposition velocity, was employed in this study to estimate the dry deposition fluxes of different gaseous species. The inferential technique has been widely used in other studies for different types of ecosystems (Shen et al., 2009; Pineda and Venegas, 2009; Jin et al., 2006; Zhang et al., 2005, 2009; Delon et al., 2010, 2012; and Pan et al., 2012). This approach is more suited when routine monitoring data are available but the values of the derived fluxes

# 2.2.1 Atmospheric concentration measurements

<sup>20</sup> Atmospheric concentrations of NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub>, and SO<sub>2</sub> are measured by passive samplers on a monthly basis as part of the IDAF network. Passive sampling observations at the West and Central African sites have been performed over a ten year period from 1998 to 2007. The sampling procedure and chemical analysis of samples, as well as the validation method according to international standards, have been widely

are clearly dependent on the validity of the dry deposition velocity calculation.

<sup>25</sup> detailed in Adon et al. (2010). To give an indication of the precision of this sampling technique, the covariance of all duplicate samples over the studied period were found



to be 20 %, 9.8 %, 14.3 %, 16.6 % and 10 % for  $HNO_3$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $SO_2$  and  $O_3$  respectively. Furthermore, Adon et al. (2010) present the evolution of  $NO_2$ ,  $HNO_3$ ,  $NH_3$ ,  $O_3$ , and  $SO_2$  concentrations along the period 1998–2007 for each station. Table 2 presents a synthesis of the mean annual gas concentrations for the IDAF sites of West and 5 Central Africa (Adon et al., 2010).

### 2.2.2 Modeling of the dry deposition velocity for each IDAF site

Dry deposition velocities ( $V_d$ ) were calculated using the big-leaf dry deposition model of Zhang et al. (2003b). The main parameterizations of the resistances in the model are briefly presented in Appendix A. The main input parameters of this updated deposition model are physiological (parameters of land use categories), biophysical (LAI) and meteorological data.

In general, parameters of inferential models have been largely derived from European and North American studies and may not necessary be adequate for African (or tropical) climate, vegetation and soil conditions (Fowler et al., 2009). Based on ecolog-

- <sup>15</sup> ical and climatic characteristic of African ecosystems, we tried to adapt the parameters of land-use categories (LUC) described in Zhang et al. (2003b) to IDAF specific sites for the calculation of canopy resistances, i.e., cuticle resistance ( $R_{cut}$ ), stomatal resistance ( $R_{st}$ ) and in-canopy aerodynamique resistance ( $R_{ac}$ ) in the big-leaf model (Table 3). Note that the types of LUC described in Zhang et al. (2003b) have one vegetation
- <sup>20</sup> layer while the savannas (shrub, tree or woody) have two vegetation layers. As a first approximation, the shrub and tree savannas were assigned to grassland LUC (long grass), which is the dominant vegetation type in these areas. In the model of Zhang et al. (2003b), values for all parameters required for calculating  $R_{\rm st}$  are taken from literature including Brook et al. (1999) which describes a type of savanna vegetation.
- <sup>25</sup> Thus, for the tree and shrub savannas (Lamto, Djougou and Katibougou), we included the savanna LUC parameters of Brook et al. (1999) to calculate  $R_{\rm st}$  in the deposition model. These parameters are  $r_{\rm smin}$  (minimal stomatal resistance),  $b_{\rm rs}$  (empirical light response coefficient),  $b_{\rm vpd}$  (water-vapour-pressure-deficit constant),  $\Psi$  (leaf water



potential),  $T_{min}$ ,  $T_{max}$  and  $T_{opt}$  (minimum and maximum temperatures at which stomatal closure occurs and optimum temperature for maximum stomatal opening).

For the soil resistance ( $R_g$ ), Zhang et al. (2003b) suggested some reference values based on previous studies and on a review of published measurements in the literature.

- <sup>5</sup> A  $R_g$  value of 200 sm<sup>-1</sup> is given for O<sub>3</sub> for all vegetated surfaces and 500 sm<sup>-1</sup> for nonvegetated surfaces (like desert). For SO<sub>2</sub>,  $R_g$  values depend on the soil wetness (rain, dew or not) and the values of the soil resistance over land surfaces where no dew or rain ( $R_{gd}$ ) has occurred can be found in Zhang et al. (2003b). In our study, we used the values of soil resistance suggested by Zhang et al. (2003b) for IDAF sites excepted for the Sahelian domain. Considering the semi-arid climate of Sahel, near the Sahara
- (desert) and the steppe vegetation, we assumed a value of  $400 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  for  $R_g$  (O<sub>3</sub>) and  $R_{gd}$  (SO<sub>2</sub>) for the sites of Agoufou and Banizoumbou and a value of  $300 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  for the site of Katibougou. For the wet savannas (Djougou, Lamto), we admitted a value of  $200 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  for  $R_g$  (O<sub>3</sub>) and  $R_{gd}$  (SO<sub>2</sub>) and for the forested sites (Zoetele and Bomassa),
- a value of  $200 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  for  $R_g$  (O<sub>3</sub>) and  $100 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  for  $R_{gd}$  (SO<sub>2</sub>) has been set. Values of 50 and  $100 \text{ sm}^{-1}$  are assigned to the soil resistances when rain or dew has occurred respectively for all sites.

Roughness length ( $Z_0$ ) is needed for calculating friction velocity, which subsequently affects aerodynamic, quasi-laminar and non-stomatal resistances (Zhang et al.,

- <sup>20</sup> 2003b). Rather than taking approximate values from the literature for the IDAF savanna sites, we have used  $Z_0$  values already simulated on these specific sites in the frame of a previous study made with the SVAT (Soil Vegetation Atmosphere) model ISBA (Interactions between Sol, Biosphere and Atmosphere, Noilhan and Mahfouf (1996), Noilhan et al., 1989) and explicited in Delon et al. (2010). The mean  $Z_0$  values simulated are
- <sup>25</sup> 0.02 m for Agoufou, 0.06 m for Banizoumbou, between 0.13 and 0.16 m for both Katibougou and Djougou, and between 0.14 and 0.2 m for Lamto. For forested ecosystems (Zoetele and Bomassa), we used a  $Z_0$  value equal to 2.5 m for tropical forest taken from Zhang et al. (2003b).



*LAI* is an important parameter for calculating canopy resistances. For the IDAF sites, LAI was obtained from MODIS (MODerate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer) satellite data for the period 2000–2007. To that end, we used the 1 km MODIS LAI values which are processed over an 8 day period (Yang et al., 2006). The MODIS LAI product has already been validated with field measurements on many sites over the western African region (Fensholt et al., 2004; Samain et al., 2008). The mean seasonal variations of the monthly LAI during 2000–2007 for IDAF sites are shown in Fig. 2. The mean monthly LAI range from 0.2 to  $1 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  for Sahelian sites (Agoufou and Banizoumbou), from 0.5 to  $2 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  for both Katibougou and Djougou sites and from 2 to  $4 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$  for the Guinean savanna site of Lamto. For the forested site represented by Bomassa, the monthly LAI fluctuates around the average value of  $5 \text{ m}^2 \text{ m}^{-2}$ . A strong seasonality is observed in the savannas and high values of the standard deviation of monthly LAI value occur on dense canopies of forest and wet savanna of Lamto. A sensitivity test showed a low variability of monthly  $V_d$  when the value of LAI increases by 0.5

or 1 according to the sites (Adon, 2011). The MODIS LAI of IDAF specific sites are well comparable to the ones of the corresponding land covers of the ECOCLIMAP database (Kaptue et al., 2010). For our simulation of dry deposition velocity, we used the monthly LAI averaged over 8 yr (2000–2007) for savanna sites and a constant value of 5 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> for tropical forest sites. We note that Zhang et al. (2003b) and Brook et al. (1999) have used a constant LAI of 6 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> and 4.5 m<sup>2</sup> m<sup>-2</sup> respectively to simulate dry deposition velocity for tropical forests.

*Meteorological data* required for the simulation are wind speed and temperature at a reference height in the surface layer, surface temperature, solar irradiance at the surface, precipitation, surface pressure, relative humidity and cloud cover fraction. Ex-

<sup>25</sup> cepted cloud cover fraction, meteorological conditions are provided by the forcing developed in the frame of ALMIP (AMMA Land Surface Model Intercomparison Project) from satellite data, described in Boone et al. (2009). The spatial resolution of this forcing is  $0.5^{\circ} \times 0.5^{\circ}$  with a 3 h temporal resolution. For the simulation of dry deposition velocity, we used a database of 6 yr from 2002 to 2007. Validations of surface temperature



and moisture have been made within the ALMIP project (Boone et al., 2009; De Rosnay et al., 2009). However, the forcing in ALMIP is available at 10 m, whereas the concentrations of gases are measured at around 2 m for the savanna sites and 3 m for the forested ecosystems within IDAF network. As a first approximation, a logarithmic

- decrease of the wind forcing from 10 m to 2 m, depending on the rugosity of the site, has been applied, to calculate deposition velocities at 2 m for the savanna sites, as described in Delon et al., (2010, 2012). This approach to reduce the wind from 10 m to the height of 2 m is based on the constant flux assumption (Baldocchi, 1988; Zhang et al., 2009). However for the forested ecosystems, the constant flux assumption cannot be applied below the canopy due to extra sink terms; hence another approximation
  - is done and described below in Sect. 2.2.3.

Surface wetness controls non-stomatal resistances for soluble trace gases. The bigleaf model of Zhang et al. (2003b) predicts surface wetness semi-mechanistically and distinguishes dew from rain, based on precipitation data for rain and on night-time cloud

- <sup>15</sup> cover and friction velocity for dew formation (Janssen and Romer, 1991; Brook et al., 1999). In this study, as the cloud cover information was missing, we performed sensitivity tests for the presence of dew by using the constant relative humidity (RH) threshold method for estimating dew condensation (Sentelhas et al., 2008). Various RH thresholds have been used as proxies to determine canopy wetness; Tsai et al. (2010) used
- <sup>20</sup> a constant value of RH = 90 % as the threshold for wetness presence over evergreen broadleaf forest in Taiwan, while for grassland Wichink Kruit et al. (2008, 2010) suggest a smaller threshold at 71 %. Flechard et al. (2011) chose canopy wetness on the basis of a wet-dry threshold of 81 % when key meteorological variables were missing. Thus, we have performed sensitivity tests using a threshold of 90 % for forest, 81 % for wet
- <sup>25</sup> savanna and 71 % for dry savanna to test the presence of dew. Results showed that the variation of monthly  $V_d$  is negligible when it is assumed that dew has occurred; for example the RMSE (Root Mean Squared Error) of  $V_d$  (SO<sub>2</sub>) is 2%, 1%, 4% for dry savanna, wet savanna and forest, respectively (Adon, 2011). Therefore in this study, we do not take into account the assumption of the occurrence of dew. In addition,  $V_d$



simulations under dew or dry conditions in Zhang et al. (2003b) showed that  $V_d$  under dew conditions are not necessarily larger than under dry conditions.

Previous studies of nitrogen budget in wet and dry savanna ecosystems have proposed an estimate of N compounds deposition velocities (Delon et al., 2010, 2012). In

- those studies, V<sub>d</sub> were calculated according to Wesely (1989) resistance analogy in the Soil Vegetation Atmosphere Transfer (SVAT) big leaf model ISBA, with modifications of the cuticle and ground resistances adapted from Zhang et al. (2003b). The results were obtained at the regional scale at 0.5° resolution, for the period 2002–2007, and focused on the reactive nitrogen compounds budget (with estimates of emission and the cuticle and the resistance of emission and the result of the result of the reactive nitrogen compounds budget (with estimates of emission and the result of th
- <sup>10</sup> deposition (dry + wet) fluxes). The present study is focused on dry deposition only, and integrates all the parameterizations developed by Zhang et al. (2003b), which gives a different but consistent and coherent estimate of  $V_d$  at the local scale, and for more species (same N compounds + O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>). Indeed, this study where we investigate each specific vegetal cover representative of each IDAF measurement sites leads to
- <sup>15</sup> a more precise understanding of deposition processes at the local scale. Differences between the two approaches are mainly due to the different models resolution, and to the degree of details involved in the estimation of input parameters such as the ones detailed in the above paragraph. The previous studies of Delon et al. (2010, 2012) give a point of comparison for N compounds  $V_d$  calculated in the present work, as very few studies are available for African ecosystems.

We present in this paper the calculation, over the period 2002–2007, of monthly means (from 3-hourly values) deposition velocities for  $O_3$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $HNO_3$  and  $NH_3$  for the IDAF sites.

### 2.2.3 Corrections for within-canopy concentration data for forests

<sup>25</sup> The inferential method requires atmospheric concentrations and turbulence intensity above the canopy to predict rates of dry deposition over the forest. In the IDAF network, gas concentrations are measured at about 3 m in forests. Besides, there are very few published within-canopy vertical gas concentration profiles (HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) in



the literature for forest (Flechard et al., 2011). Thus, we have carried out a pilot experiment by measuring simultaneously gas concentrations at 10 m and 3 m (or 2 m) from ground in the forested ecosystem of Zoetele (and in the wet savanna of Lamto and dry savanna of Banizoumbou) over the period of September 2010 to December 2011.

- <sup>5</sup> For the forested ecosystem, NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> monthly concentrations measured simultaneously at 10 m and 3 m show no significant trend and are of the same order of magnitude. Over this period, mean annual concentrations, at 3 m and 10 m respectively, are 0.81 ppb and 0.80 ppb for NO<sub>2</sub>, 0.2 ppb and 0.3 ppb for HNO<sub>3</sub>, and 1.8 ppb and 1.6 ppb for SO<sub>2</sub>. However for NH<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>3</sub>, monthly concentrations measured at
- <sup>10</sup> 10 m are higher and the mean ratio was 1.5 for NH<sub>3</sub> and 1.3 for O<sub>3</sub> (mean annual concentration are 3.6 ppb and 6.1 ppb for NH<sub>3</sub>, and 5.4 ppb and 7.0 ppb for O<sub>3</sub> at 3 m and 10 m respectively). This observation is consistent with the approximation made in Flechard et al. (2011) showing that NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured in clearings and below canopy are consistently smaller than above treetops; they thus applied a constant
- <sup>15</sup> correction factor of 1.3 for NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured below trees. We note that in the dry savanna of Banizoumbou, NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured at 2 m (annual mean 8.1 ppb) are higher than at 10 m (6.3 ppb), indicative of NH<sub>3</sub> sources in the ground and in the leaf litter in grasslands (Nemitz et al., 2009). For O<sub>3</sub>, our observations are consistent with ozone vertical profile concentrations that decrease towards the ground, as observed by Krzyzanowski (2004) by measuring ozone concentrations at different
- as observed by Krzyzanowski (2004) by measuring ozone concentrations at different heights in a forest canopy.

In a first approximation, for forested sites of IDAF (Zoetele and Bomassa), we thus applied a constant correction factor of 1.5 for NH<sub>3</sub> and 1.3 for O<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured at 3 m in order to calculate the dry deposition flux at 10 m. For the other gases (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>) we have not applied any correction. In addition, the mean covariance of samplers exposed simultaneously at 3 m and 10 m were found to be 13%, 25.5% and 21% for NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, respectively, and these values are comparable of the mean reproducibility of IDAF passive samplers calculated from 1998 to 2007 (9.8%, 20% and 16.6% for NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, respectively). So, the small



difference in measured concentrations between the two heights (3 and 10 m) for NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> could be included in the uncertainty calculated for the passive sampler method.

### 2.3 Uncertainties in dry deposition fluxes estimates

- <sup>5</sup> Uncertainties in the estimated dry deposition fluxes result from combined uncertainties in measured gaseous concentrations and in modelled dry deposition velocities. In this section, rather than quantifying the total uncertainty of the inferential method in the study, we focus on addressing the uncertainties for each contribution of the dry deposition estimates. Uncertainties linked to the measurement of gas concentration using IDAF passive samplers have been given by the covariance of duplicates (repro-
- ducibility), between 10 and 20% according to the species (Adon et al., 2010). The main uncertainty of the dry deposition velocities is mainly related to the wind forcing. The difference between the wind in the forcing and the wind measured in situ is between 5 and 35% depending on the site. A mean rate of 20 to 30% according the site
- is applied for the wind speed uncertainty, leading to an uncertainty rate for the dry deposition velocity between 10 and 20% for all sites. Others uncertainties are related to the representation of the soil type in the model indirectly dependent of the roughness length and the soil resistances (Zhang et al., 2003b), and the choice of plant physical parameters.
- In this study, concentrations are measured with passive samplers and are monthly integrated. Dry deposition velocities are simulated 3-hourly and then monthly averaged. As we use monthly means for concentrations and deposition velocities, the covariance between the two may induce an additional uncertainty (the missing covariance term), especially for species having strong diurnal variations, in the range of ~ 20 % (Matt and Meyers, 1993; Zhang et al., 2005).

Bidirectional exchange of  $NH_3$  between atmosphere and vegetation is not included in the model of Zhang et al. (2003b). It could be another uncertainty but is assumed to be low for semi natural vegetation (Zhang et al., 2009, 2010).



Additional uncertainties are associated with different parameterizations of dry deposition used in deposition models. The physical, biological and chemical exchange mechanisms involved in deposition processes are too complex to be explicitly and completely modeled, so that parameterizations tend to be empirical in the models (Flechard et al., 2011; Schwede et al., 2011). Wesely and Hicks (2000) reported an uncertainty of about 30 % for all the dry deposition models.

Direct measurements of dry deposition fluxes are technically difficult to implement and expensive, so dry deposition velocities coupled with concentration measurements are often used. Few long-term monitoring networks measure dry deposition fluxes. However, the Canadian CAPMON, American NADP, European EMEP and Asia EANET networks use the inferential method as the IDAF network in Africa to estimate dry deposition fluxes.

### 3 Results and discussion

10

### 3.1 Dry deposition velocities

- <sup>15</sup> Monthly means (from 3-hourly values) of deposition velocities for  $O_3$ ,  $SO_2$ ,  $NO_2$ ,  $HNO_3$ and  $NH_3$  have been calculated, over the period 2002–2007, in order to reproduce the seasonal cycle of the deposition processes at each site (Fig. 3). We note a fairly clear distinction between the different ecosystems and climatic domains. The monthly  $V_d$ values of each gas increase from the semi-arid savannas (Agoufou, Banizoumbou) to
- <sup>20</sup> the equatorial forested ecosystems (Zoetele, Bomassa) indicating that the dry deposition velocity increases with the vegetation density. As a first approximation, along the African ecosystem transect,  $V_d$  gradient thus follows the climatic gradient. In fact, there exists a marked latitudinal gradient over the West and Central African zone. Areas with the highest rainfall have the greatest volume of biomass or primary productivity; as <sup>25</sup> a consequence, surface deposition (cuticle) and stomatal uptake become important,



the canopy resistance ( $R_c$ ) is the main factor determining the deposition rate in vegetative zones (Tsai et al., 2010).

The monthly variation of NO<sub>2</sub>  $V_{d}$  follows the same pattern than O<sub>3</sub> but with slightly smaller values. The deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> is mostly controlled by stomatal uptake.  $NH_3V_d$  is similar to SO<sub>2</sub> but slightly higher due to its higher molecular diffusivity. 5 NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> are reasonably soluble gases in pure water and are effectively removed at higher rates under moist conditions (Erisman et al., 1993a,b; Erisman and Wyers, 1993). Even if the chemical characteristics of  $NH_3$  are not the same than  $SO_2$ , these two gases have similar deposition characteristics (Zhang et al. 2002, 2003b). HNO<sub>3</sub> presents the highest  $V_{\rm d}$  among all the chemical species in this study because of its 10 high solubility and reactivity. Note that in the big-leaf model of Zhang et al. (2003b),  $SO_2$  and  $O_3$  are used as base species to scale the dry deposition rate for other chemical species. The monthly means of dry deposition velocities range from 0.16 to  $0.84 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$  for SO<sub>2</sub>, from 0.14 to 0.40 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for O<sub>3</sub>, from 0.16 to 0.99 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub>, from 0.13 to 0.37 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub> and from 0.48 to 2.56 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for HNO<sub>3</sub> on the transect 15 dry savannas-wet savannas-forests. In addition,  $V_{d}$  are higher in the wet season for each ecosystem, especially in dry savannas where the dry season is well marked. The seasonal average  $V_{\rm d}$  of NH<sub>3</sub> increases from 0.18 to 0.74 cm s<sup>-1</sup> in the dry season and from 0.31 to 0.87 cm s<sup>-1</sup> in the wet season, and the  $V_d$  of NO<sub>2</sub> increases from 0.13 to  $0.31 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$  in the dry season and from 0.17 to  $0.33 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}}$  in the wet season on the transect of ecosystems. It is considered that higher deposition velocities in the wet season are mainly caused by non-stomatal uptake of wet canopies (Matsuda et al., 2006; Tsai et al., 2010). The 7 yr mean annual  $V_d$  of nitrogen compounds, sulfur dioxide and ozone at IDAF sites are presented in Table 4. The interannual variability of  $V_{d}$  for the seven year period is low and range from 3 to 12 % for NH<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub>, from 2 to 11 % for 25

 $NO_2$  and  $O_3$ , and from 2 to 17 % for  $HNO_3$  on the transect of ecosystems. These variations could be attributed to the spatio-temporal variations of meteorological data. The highest coefficients of variation were observed in the dry savannas with a maximum for  $HNO_3$  (17 %) in relation to negligible canopy resistance and to wind speed variations.



 $V_{d}$  values estimated in this work are lower or within a reasonable range compared to earlier studies (e.g., Hanson and Lindberg, 1991; Duyzer and Fowler, 1994; Zhang et al. 2002, 2009, Delon et al. 2010, 2012, Endo et al., 2011). For the dry savanna sites of IDAF, Delon et al. (2010) simulated monthly mean  $V_{d}$  from 0.1 to 0.33 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for NO<sub>2</sub>, from 0.11 to 0.39 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for NH<sub>3</sub> and from 0.34 to 0.61 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for HNO<sub>3</sub>, well comparable with NO<sub>2</sub>  $V_{d}$  (0.12–0.25 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) and NH<sub>3</sub>  $V_{d}$  (0.16–0.51 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) but slightly lower than HNO<sub>3</sub>  $V_{d}$  (0.48–1.39 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) found in this study. Zhang et al. (2005) reported that the monthly averaged NO<sub>2</sub> values are around 0.35–0.45 cm s<sup>-1</sup> in the summer months at seven eastern Canadian rural sites and HNO<sub>3</sub>  $V_{d}$  around six to nine times higher

- <sup>10</sup> than NO<sub>2</sub>. Flechard et al. (2011) simulated  $V_d$  of HNO<sub>3</sub> in the range of 1.0 to  $1.2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ over short vegetation in European Ecosystems, comparable to those calculated in this study for savanna sites (0.7–1.2 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) although the types of vegetation and soil are completely different between the tropical sites and the European sites (Table 4). However, the modeled  $V_d$  of HNO<sub>3</sub>over the forest estimated in this study ( $\approx 2 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$ ) was
- <sup>15</sup> in the lower range compared to 1.2–11.7 cm s<sup>-1</sup> range in Japanese forest (Endo et al., 2011). This is due to lower wind speeds in the forcing (0.7–1.5 m s<sup>-1</sup> in African forests, as a monthly average, compared to 0.6–6.7 m s<sup>-1</sup> in Endo et al. 2011). Low wind speed involves high aerodynamic resistance ( $R_a$ ) which is the dominant resistance for HNO<sub>3</sub>  $V_d$  (Wesely and Hicks, 2000). For NH<sub>3</sub>, the 7 yr mean annual  $V_d$  on forests (0.8 cm s<sup>-1</sup>)
- and savannas (0.2–0.5 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) for the IDAF sites are well comparable with those estimated at EANET sites in Japan (0.5–0.9 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for forest and 0.2–0.6 cm s<sup>-1</sup> for grass) for 5 yr (Endo et al., 2011). Moreover, the mean modeled  $V_d$  of SO<sub>2</sub> at a Japanese cedar forest (Takahashi et al., 2001), 0.88 cm s<sup>-1</sup>, is of the same order of magnitude that the one estimated at African forested ecosystems (0.7 cm s<sup>-1</sup>). For O<sub>3</sub>V<sub>d</sub>, in order
- to compare our simulation with other tropical forests, we have calculated monthly  $V_d$  diurnal variations (from 3-hourly value) at the forested ecosystem of Zoetele for the year 2006. The ranges of mean  $O_3 V_d$  were estimated to be 0.54–0.61 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (day-time) and 0.14–0.18 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (nighttime) in the dry season, 0.62–0.70 cm s<sup>-1</sup> (daytime)



and  $0.15-0.21 \,\mathrm{cm\,s}^{-1}$  (nighttime) in the wet season. These values are comparable to those observed above a tropical forest in northern Thailand using the aerodynamic gradient method:  $0.37-0.39 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (daytime) and  $0.12-0.13 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (nighttime) in the dry season;  $0.62-0.65 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (daytime) and  $0.25-0.27 \text{ cm s}^{-1}$  (nighttime) in the wet season (Matsuda et al., 2006). In general, the  $V_d$  diurnal variation is highly dependent on incoming solar radiation so that the daytime  $V_{d}$  increases with the solar radiation due to stomatal uptake (Tsai et al., 2010).

#### Dry deposition fluxes 3.2

Monthly dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, SO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> were estimated using the inferential method at West and Central African sites of the IDAF network over the study period (1998–2007). By using the monthly mean  $V_{\rm d}$  averaged over 6 yr (2002– 2007), the monthly deposition fluxes have been calculated for each year and then averaged over the study period in order to estimate the mean range values of gaseous deposition fluxes representative of African ecosystems.

#### 3.2.1 Nitrogen compounds (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>) 15

Table 5 presents a synthesis of the mean seasonal and annual dry deposition fluxes of nitrogen compounds (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>).

### Nitrogen dioxide (NO<sub>2</sub>)

20

Figure 4 presents the monthly evolution of NO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition flux (NO<sub>2</sub>-dd) estimated at the dry savannas of Niger and Mali (4a: Banizoumbou, Agoufou, Katibougou), at the wet savannas of Cote d'Ivoire and Benin (4b: Lamto, Djougou) and at the evergreen equatorial forests of Cameroon and Congo (4c: Zoetele, Bomassa). Vertical bars indicate the standard deviation calculated over the study period (1998-2007). Average monthly evolution of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the same study period is superimposed



on the monthly dry deposition fluxes. We note a good correlation between gas concentrations and dry deposition fluxes on the transect dry savannas-wet savannas-forests.

In the dry savannas, monthly mean dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> range between  $0.12 \pm 0.08$  and  $1.27 \pm 0.29$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. Maximum flux values are observed in May/June as for concentration values (Fig. 4a). In fact, significant deposition fluxes 5 start at the beginning of the wet season (usually around May in dry savanna areas) due to a pulse emission flux which is produced over very dry soils when the first rains fall (Johansson et al., 1988; Yienger and Levy, 1995; Laville et al., 2005; Delon et al., 2008, 2010). Adon et al. (2010) showed that the surface NO<sub>2</sub> concentration has its first maximum at the beginning of the rainy season. In the Sahelian savannas (Agoufou, 10 Banizoumbou), seasonal averaged dry deposition fluxes are two times higher in the wet season  $(0.75-0.93 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  than in the dry season  $(0.29-0.41 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$ (Table 5). In general, higher deposition fluxes in the wet season are due to both higher measured NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations and its higher dry deposition velocity calculated in this season at all the three dry savanna sites of West Africa. Annual average dry depo-15 sition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> over the period 1998–2007 are  $0.6 \pm 0.1 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Banizoumbou and  $0.7 \pm 0.1$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Katibougou, and over the period 2005–2009,  $0.4 \pm 0.1$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Agoufou. These values calculated in these pastoral areas are in the lower range of deposition fluxes calculated for a tropical pasture site in Brazil (Rondonia) (annual NO<sub>2</sub> deposition flux: 0.76-2.4 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>), which is consider-20 ably higher, mainly due to higher NO<sub>2</sub> mixing ratios observed (Trebs et al., 2006). Kirkman et al. (2002) estimated that the pasture site (Rondonia) constitutes a NO<sub>2</sub> sink of  $0.73 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , well comparable with our estimated values (0.4–0.7 kgNha $^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ).

In the wet savannas, monthly mean  $NO_2$  dry deposition fluxes range from 0.17±0.01

to  $1.11 \pm 0.11 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ . Higher values are obtained in the dry season with maximum fluxes in December at Djougou and January at Lamto, such as NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (Fig. 4b). In the wet savannas, where the vegetation density is more important than in the dry savannas, biomass burning is the most important source of NO<sub>x</sub> (NO + NO<sub>2</sub>) in the dry season. Galanter et al. (2000) have shown that more than 75 %



of the NO<sub>x</sub> at the surface near equatorial Africa is the result of biomass burning that occurs from December to February. African fires have a well known seasonality with a northern fire belt in November-February and a southern fire belt in June-October (Hao and Liu, 1994; Cooke et al., 1996). Seasonal mean dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> are 0.5-0.6 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry season and 0.25-0.37 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet 5 season. In the dry season, flux values are 1.5 times higher at Lamto and 2.3 times higher at Djougou than in the wet season (Table 5), due to higher NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations. Adon et al. (2010) reported that seasonal NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations were 2 and 4 times higher in the dry season respectively at Lamto and Djougou. To the opposite, the  $NO_2V_d$  was slightly higher in the wet season (0.22–0.31 cm s<sup>-1</sup>) than in the dry 10 season  $(0.16-0.23 \,\mathrm{cm \, s^{-1}})$ . These observations indicate that ambient concentrations largely control dry deposition of NO<sub>2</sub> in the wet savannas. Annual average dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> are of the same order of magnitude  $(0.4 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  for the two wet savanna sites (Table 5).

- <sup>15</sup> In forests, monthly mean dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> range between  $0.31 \pm 0.15$  and  $1.32 \pm 0.69 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , with higher values observed in February and March, i.e. at the end of the dry season and at the beginning of the wet season (Fig. 4c). Seasonal average fluxes are of the same order of magnitude with values of  $0.6-0.8 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the dry season and  $0.5-0.76 \text{ kg N ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in the wet season (Table 5). As in the wet savannas, the difference in deposition fluxes between the two seasons in forests is
- reduced by values of NO<sub>2</sub>  $V_d$  slightly higher in the wet season. NO<sub>2</sub> concentration measurements tend to show that the biomass burning source during the dry season is equivalent to soil emissions buffered by canopy uptake in forests during the wet season (Adon et al., 2010). Annual average dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> over the ten year
- <sup>25</sup> period (1998–2007) are  $0.5 \pm 0.1 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Zoetele and  $0.8 \pm 0.2 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Bomassa. These values are well within the range of measurements derived by Hanson et al. (1989) who showed that N deposition from NO<sub>2</sub> was between 0.008 and  $1.9 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for natural forests. They are also comparable to NO<sub>2</sub> deposition fluxes



estimated by Zhang et al. (2005) that range from 0.1 to  $1.5 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at seven eastern Canadian rural sites for a 1 yr period.

# Nitric acid (HNO<sub>3</sub>)

Monthly mean dry deposition fluxes of HNO<sub>3</sub> (HNO<sub>3-</sub>dd) range from 0.08 ± 0.02 to  $2.67 \pm 0.59 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in the dry savannas, from  $0.26 \pm 0.08$  to  $1.26 \pm$  $0.13 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in the wet savannas and from  $0.57 \pm 0.31$  to  $2.29 \pm 1.41 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the forested ecosystems over the study period (1998-2007) (Fig. 5a, b, c). As for NO<sub>2</sub>, monthly evolution of HNO<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes is similar to that of HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations on the transect of ecosystems. In the dry savannas, HNO<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes is very low in the dry season, especially at Agoufou and Banizoumbou, and much (4–12 more times) higher in the wet season due to higher both HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations and dry deposition velocities in this season for the three sites. Seasonal average fluxes range from  $0.14 \pm 0.09$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry season to  $1.74 \pm 0.80$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet season (Table 5). In the wet savannas, the difference in deposition fluxes between the two seasons is low with higher values in the dry season at Lamto 15  $(0.90\pm0.29$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) and in the wet season at Djougou  $(0.79\pm0.27$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 5). The deposition fluxes are less important in the dry season at Djougou, due to the smaller HNO<sub>3</sub> $V_d$  in this season. In forests, seasonal average deposition fluxes of HNO<sub>3</sub> range between  $1.66 \pm 0.63$  and  $1.10 \pm 0.17$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry season, and between  $0.75 \pm 0.23$  and  $0.91 \pm 0.13$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet season, respectively at 20 Zoetele and Bomassa. The difference in deposition flux values between the two seasons is low for Bomassa, but important for Zoetele where fluxes are two times higher in the dry season due to higher values of HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations. Annual mean dry deposition fluxes of HNO<sub>3</sub> are  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry and wet savannas and  $1.0 \pm 0.3$  kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in forests (Table 5). Annual mean HNO<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes show 25 a low variability according to the ecosystems with seasonal differences especially pronounced in the dry savannas. Although HNO<sub>3</sub> concentrations (0.3–0.5 ppb) were lower



than NO<sub>2</sub> (0.9–2.4 ppb) on the African ecosystems transect (Table 2), HNO<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes were typically as important as NO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes owing to higher  $V_d$  values for HNO<sub>3</sub> (Table 4).

Few observational studies of HNO<sub>3</sub> dry deposition are available over tropical areas. Huebert and Robert (1985) measured the dry deposition flux of nitric acid vapor to a pasture near Champaign, Illinois during June 1982. The measured June dry deposition flux of HNO<sub>3</sub> was estimated to be 1.1–1.4 kgha<sup>-1</sup> month<sup>-1</sup> (or 2.93–3.75 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). This value is comparable to monthly mean deposition fluxes estimated at the pastoral sites of the dry savannas in the wet season where fluxes can
reach the value of 2.67 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>.

# Ammoniac (NH<sub>3</sub>)

15

On the transect of ecosystems, over the ten-year period (1998–2007), monthly mean  $NH_3$  dry deposition fluxes range from  $1.01 \pm 0.48$  to  $7.06 \pm 2.16$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry savannas, from  $1.24 \pm 0.60$  to  $5.43 \pm 2.26$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet savannas, and from  $6.58 \pm 2.29$  to  $13.57 \pm 4.07$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the forests (Fig. 6a, b, c).

- In the dry savannas, seasonal mean deposition fluxes of NH<sub>3</sub> are about 2 times higher in the wet season (4.21–5.74 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) than in the dry season (1.66– 2.54 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) (Table 5). In the two seasons, the deposition flux is more important at Katibougou (Sudano-sahelian site) due to higher NH<sub>3</sub>V<sub>d</sub>, compared to other Sahelian sites. Dry savannas are generally pastoral areas; the main sources include bacterial decomposition of urea in animal excreta, very active in the wet season with the hydrolysis of urea, and emissions from natural soils (Schlesinger and Hartley, 1992; Bouwman et al., 1997; Bouwman et al., 2002a). In these areas, high densities of domestic animals are concentrated on the fresh natural pastures which grow during the
- <sup>25</sup> rainy season. Delon et al. (2010) estimated NH<sub>3</sub> emission fluxes from domestic animal excreta for the three Sahelian sites of IDAF network. Annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> emission fluxes by volatilization are estimated around  $3.9\pm2.2$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry savannas.



Bouwman et al. (1997) estimated  $NH_3$  emission fluxes from animal source between 0.5 and 5 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the Sahelian area for 1990. Almost all emitted  $NH_3$  is returned to the surface by deposition (dry and wet) (Bouwman et al., 2002a). These values of  $NH_3$  emitted are comparable to dry deposition fluxes estimated in the dry savannas, knowing that a part of  $NH_3$  emitted will be deposited by wet and particulate deposition as well.

In the wet savannas, monthly deposition fluxes appear to be of the same order of magnitude between the two seasons whereas concentrations are higher at the beginning of the dry season (January–March) (Fig. 6b). Generally, higher concentrations of NH<sub>3</sub> in the dry season are due to savanna fires which are a significant source of ammonia in tropical regions (Lobert et al., 1990; Delmas et al., 1995). Another source of NH<sub>3</sub> is domestic fuelwood burning (Brocard et al., 1996). Seasonal average deposition fluxes of NH<sub>3</sub> range from  $3.91 \pm 1.29 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  to  $3.07 \pm 0.52 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Lamto, and from  $2.11 \pm 0.57 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  to  $2.45 \pm 0.67 \text{ kg Nha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Djougou,

- <sup>15</sup> in the dry and wet seasons, respectively.  $V_d$  values of NH<sub>3</sub>, 1.8 times higher at Djougou and 1.3 times higher at Lamto in the wet season, explain the lower difference in deposition fluxes between the two seasons. In the forests, no significant difference between wet and dry seasons exists. Seasonal NH<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes are  $8.86 \pm 1.42 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and  $9.91 \pm 2.35 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Zoetele and  $10.04 \pm 2.99 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and  $10.09 \pm 1.71 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Bomassa, in the dry and wet seasons, respectively. Concentrations and  $V_d$  of NH<sub>3</sub> are also of the same order of
  - magnitude between the two seasons in forests.

5

10

On the transect of ecosystems, annual average dry deposition fluxes of  $NH_3$  ( $NH_3$ -dd) range between 2.7 ± 0.9 and 3.9 ± 0.6 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry savannas, be-

tween  $2.3 \pm 0.8$  and  $3.4 \pm 1.0$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet savannas and between  $9.7 \pm 2.2$ and  $10.01 \pm 5.0$  kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the forests, over the study period (1998–2007) (Table 5). The unadjusted estimates of NH<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes in forests (without the correction factor applied for NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations, Sect. 2.2.3) would range between



 $6.4 \pm 1.5$  and  $6.7 \pm 2.3$  kgN ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. On the African ecosystems, NH<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes are about three times more important in forests than in dry and wet savannas. This result can be explained by higher  $V_d$  values for NH<sub>3</sub> estimated in forests (0.8 cm s<sup>-1</sup>), compared to savannas  $(0.2-0.5 \text{ cm s}^{-1})$  (Table 4). In fact, on the transect of ecosystems, <sup>5</sup> annual mean NH<sub>3</sub> concentrations measured were around 6–7.5 ppb in dry savannas and 4-5 ppb in wet savannas and forests (Table 2).

These estimates of nitrogen dry deposition from NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> on the transect of African ecosystems has allowed us to make a partial assessment of the total quantity of nitrogen deposited in gaseous form.

#### Total nitrogen dry deposition fluxes from NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> 10

Monthly total N dry deposition (NO<sub>2</sub> + HNO<sub>3</sub> + NH<sub>3</sub>) range from 1.3 to 9.7 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry savannas, from 2.5 to 7.1 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet savannas and from 7.6 to 16.0 kg Nha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in forests over the period 1998–2007. In the dry savannas, seasonal total N dry deposition is more important in the wet season  $(6.5-7.9 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  than dry season (2.2–3.5 kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>). This is related to the important sources of biogenic 15 emission for NO (microbial processes in soils) and NH<sub>3</sub> (hydrolysis of urea from animal urine deposited in grazing areas) in the wet season. Conversely, in the wet savannas, seasonal total N deposition is slightly higher in the dry season  $(5.4 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  than the wet season (4.0 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) at Lamto, and is of the same order of magnitude between the two seasons  $(3.2-3.5 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  at Djougou. We note that seasonal 20 total N dry deposition in wet season is higher in the dry savannas than in the wet savannas. For the evergreen forested ecosystems, seasonal total N dry flux is of the same order of magnitude between the dry season  $(11.1-12.0 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  and the wet season (11.2–11.8 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) at Zoetele and Bomassa, respectively.

On the transect, over the 10 yr period (1998–2007), annual total N dry deposition 25 is more important in forests  $(11.2-11.8 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  due to higher NH<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes, and is of the same order of magnitude in wet savannas  $(3.4-4.6 \text{ kgN ha}^{-1} \text{ vr}^{-1})$ 

ACPD 13, 11689–11744, 2013 **Dry deposition of** nitrogen compounds M. Adon et al. **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Pape Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc Discussion **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

Discussion Pape

Discussion Pape

Pape

and dry savannas  $(4.0-5.3 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1})$  (Table 5; Fig. 7a). NH<sub>3</sub> is the dominant species contributing to total N dry deposition over African ecosystems. On an annual basis (Fig. 7b), NH<sub>3</sub> contributes 67-86%, HNO<sub>3</sub>, 8-20%, and NO<sub>2</sub>, 5-16%, to the total N dry deposition, depending on sites. Over the study period (1998-2007), the interannual variability of the dry deposition fluxes range from 10 to 35% for NH<sub>3</sub>, from 5 12 to 32 % for NO<sub>2</sub> and from 10 to 46 % for HNO<sub>3</sub> on the transect dry savannas-wet savannas-forests. No specific trend in the variability is observed, as already concluded in the study of Delon et al. (2012). The interannual variability is largely attributable to the variability of gaseous concentrations due to the potential variation of the intensity of atmospheric sources (Adon et al., 2010).

### 3.2.2 Sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>)

10

The monthly evolution of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition flux (SO<sub>2</sub>-dd) on the transect of ecosystems is generally comparable to SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations over the period 2002-2007, following the same gradient (Fig. 8a-c). We note that the standard deviation of monthly mean fluxes is important. In fact, monthly SO<sub>2</sub> concentrations measured at IDAF sites are low and vary considerably from one year to another for the same month. Monthly mean SO<sub>2</sub> deposition fluxes range between 0.21  $\pm$  0.16 and 1.17  $\pm$  0.71 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry savannas, between  $0.38 \pm 0.24$  and  $1.39 \pm 0.42$  kgSha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the wet savannas and between  $0.42 \pm 0.24$  and  $1.57 \pm 1.55$  kg S ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the forests.

If we consider the seasons, average SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes on the tran-20 sect are  $0.85-1.0 \text{ kg Sha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ,  $0.77-1.1 \text{ kg Sha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and  $0.7-1.0 \text{ kg Sha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ in the wet season and  $0.25-0.47 \text{ kgSha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ,  $0.77-0.82 \text{ kgSha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  and 0.8-0.9 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in the dry season, for dry savannas, wet savannas and forests, respectively. As in the case of nitrogen compounds, SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition is important during the wet season in the dry savannas and is of the same order of magnitude 25 between the two seasons for the wet savannas and forests. For the latter, dry deposition velocities, slightly higher in the wet season, reduce the difference between the two



seasons. Adon et al. (2010) observed the same order of magnitude of  $SO_2$  concentrations in dry and wet seasons in each ecosystem with a higher value in wet season for dry savannas. This suggests a contribution of soil emission, biosphere and biomass burning sources (Van Breemen, 1982, 1993; Macdonald et al., 2004; Bates et al., 1992; <sup>5</sup> Arndt et al., 1997). However, the sulphur content of vegetation is lower compared to carbon and nitrogen elements and SO<sub>2</sub> emissions factors for combustion processes are lower than those for carbonaceous or nitrogen species (Lacaux et al., 1995). The 6 yr mean annual dry deposition fluxes of SO<sub>2</sub> are  $0.5 \pm 0.2$  kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Banizoumbou,  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Katibougou and  $0.7 \pm 0.3$  kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Agoufou in dry savannas,  $0.8 \pm 0.4$  kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Lamto and  $1.0 \pm 0.3$  kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Djougou in 10 wet savannas, and  $0.8 \pm 0.4$  kgSha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Zoetele and  $1.0 \pm 0.5$  kgSha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Bomassa in forests. Along the ecosystem transect, annual mean of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes present low values and a small variability (0.5 to  $1 \text{ kgSha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). The interannual variability over the 6 yr period is between 30 and 48 % for all the sites and no specific trend is observed. 15

Takahashi et al. (2002) simulated the dry deposition of SO<sub>2</sub> onto a Japanese cedar forest located in Gumma Prefecture, based on the results of 1 yr concentration measurements. The inferential estimate of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition flux was 3.6 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>, which is three times higher than fluxes estimated at African tropical forests (around 1 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) although the V<sub>d</sub> modeled are of the same order of magnitude (0.88 cm s<sup>-1</sup> and 0.7 cm s<sup>-1</sup> in Japanese and African forests, respectively). In northern China (near Beijing), Sorimachi et al. (2003) measured sulfur dry deposition over short vegetation using the aerodynamic gradient method. The mean estimates of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes were 17.5±12 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in late summer and 50.3±45 kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in early winter. These high values of SO<sub>2</sub> deposition fluxes are due to high concentra-

in early winter. These high values of SO<sub>2</sub> deposition fluxes are due to high concentrations measured (17.2 ppb in summer and 37 ppb in winter) related to the influence of anthropogenic activities. In general, dry deposition fluxes of SO<sub>2</sub> estimated at African ecosystems are low due to low concentrations measured (order of 1–2 ppb). Indeed,



the remote measurement sites of IDAF network are not yet impacted by anthropogenic activities or industrial emissions and as a consequence SO<sub>2</sub> sources are low.

# 3.2.3 Ozone (O<sub>3</sub>)

The monthly evolution of  $O_3$  dry deposition fluxes ( $O_3$ -dd) is similar to that of  $O_3$ concentrations on the transect of ecosystems over the period 2001-2007, as in the case of NO<sub>2</sub> (Fig. 9a–c). Monthly average O<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes range from  $2.9 \pm 0.4$ to  $30.3 \pm 2.3 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in dry savannas, from  $11.6 \pm 4.3$  to  $22.2 \pm 2.2 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in wet savannas and from  $8.2 \pm 2.2$  to  $19.4 \pm 8.2$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> in forests. In the semi arid savannas, the seasonal cycle is clear with maximum O<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes in the heart of the wet season due to higher values of both  $O_3$  concentrations and  $V_d$ . In fact, high 10 ozone concentrations during the wet season are the result of active photochemical production of O<sub>3</sub> in the boundary layer associated with high NO<sub>x</sub> concentrations (Stewart et al., 2008). In the Sahelian region, the ozone production in the wet season is mainly related to natural biogenic precursor sources (Adon et al., 2010). The seasonal average deposition fluxes are  $6.4 \pm 4.1$  and  $21.1 \pm 2.7$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Agoufou,  $7.2 \pm 2.0$ 15 and  $20.5 \pm 3.6$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Banizoumbou and  $13.2 \pm 3.6$  and  $23.6 \pm 2.5$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Katibougou, in dry and wet seasons, respectively. These seasonal values are three times higher in the wet season than in the dry season for the Sahelian sites of Agoufou and Banizoumbou. In the wet savannas, the seasonal average O<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes are of the same order of magnitude with values of  $20.1 \pm 1.5$  and  $18.5 \pm 3.3$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at 20 Lamto, and  $16.4 \pm 3.5$  and  $18.3 \pm 4.0$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Djougou, in dry and wet seasons,

- respectively. The difference between the seasonal ozone depositions is reduced with higher values of  $V_d$  in the wet season and higher  $O_3$  concentrations in the dry season as a consequence of strong regional biomass burning activities. For forested ecosystems,
- the mean seasonal deposition fluxes of  $O_3$  are  $19.2 \pm 0.3$  and  $11.3 \pm 2.7$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Zoetele and  $10.1 \pm 1.4$  and  $10.8 \pm 1.5$  kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> at Bomassa, in dry and wet seasons, respectively. We note that these seasonal values are of the same order of magnitude



between the two seasons at Bomassa but they are higher in the dry season at Zoetele due to higher  $O_3$  concentrations measured in this season.

The 7 yr mean annual dry deposition fluxes of  $O_3$  are around  $11-19 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in dry and wet savannas  $(11.3 \pm 4.7 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Agoufou,  $12.8 \pm 2.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Banizoumbou and  $17.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Katibougou,  $17.5 \pm 3.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Djougou and  $19.2 \pm 2.9 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Lamto) and  $11-13 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in forests  $(13.2 \pm 3.6 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Zoetele and  $10.6 \pm 2.0 \text{ kg ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Bomassa). The unadjusted estimates of  $O_3$  dry deposition fluxes in forests (without the correction factor applied for  $O_3$  concentrations, Sect. 2.2.3) would range between 8–10 kg ha^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1} (8.2 ± 0.6 and

- <sup>10</sup>  $10.2 \pm 2.1 \text{ kgha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  at Bomassa and Zoetele, respectively). The interannaul variability of O<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes is between 7 and 21 % over the period 2001–2007 for all sites. This variability is mainly related to the spatio-temporal evolution of ozone concentrations which depends on various processes (precursor emissions, biogenic and anthropogenic sources) (Adon et al., 2010). Along the ecosystem transect, ozone
- <sup>15</sup> deposition is more important in the wet savannas (Djougou and Lamto) and in the Soudano-Sahelian site of Katibougou, with a value of 18–19 kgha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>. In savannas, the values of annual O<sub>3</sub> deposition fluxes are lower at Agoufou and Banizoumbou due to the low vegetation cover of the Sahelian zone (thus, low V<sub>d</sub>). The low values of O<sub>3</sub> dry deposition in forests are correlated to low values of O<sub>3</sub> concentrations which were
- <sup>20</sup> 2 to 3 times lower than those measured in the other ecosystems. The 10 yr annual concentrations range between 11–14 ppb in savannas (dry and wet) and 4–5 ppb in forests (Table 2). Several studies have shown that tropical forests appear to be a major  $O_3$  sink, through ground and foliage deposits and loss through chemical reactions with hydrocarbons and nitrogen oxides (Rummel at al., 2007; Jacob and Wofsy, 1990; Bakwin et al., 1990; Kaplan et al., 1988).

Few observational studies of  $O_3$  deposition fluxes for a long term period are available over tropical areas. Although measurements of ozone deposition fluxes over African tropical savannas are rare, several studies have been conducted on the tropical rain forest (Cros et al., 1992, 2000; Andreae et al., 1992; Rummel et al., 2007; Matsuda et al.,



2005, ...) but these studies only concern the diurnal evolution of  $O_3$  deposition. Hourly and diurnal values of these fluxes are not comparable to monthly and annual values of  $O_3$  deposition fluxes estimated in this study. Mikkelsen et al. (2004) have measured ozone concentrations and fluxes continuously during 5 yr (1996–2000) by the gradient <sup>5</sup> method in a Norway spruce dominated forest stand in West Jutland, Denmark. The

- overall average concentration was 30.0 ppb during nighttime and 35.7 ppb during the day. The annual ozone deposition estimated was  $125 \text{ kg} \text{ ha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ , which is around 10 times higher than the annual mean from African forests. Zeller and Nikolov (2000) estimated fluxes above a subalpine coniferous forest in southern Wyoming (USA) by eddy
- <sup>10</sup> covariance and model analyses and found a total ozone deposition of 29 kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> for 1996, which is approximately 3 times higher than our estimates. In general, the low values of  $O_3$  deposition on African tropical forest are due to lower concentrations measured, compared to other tropical sites (Adon et al., 2010).

### 4 Conclusions

- In this study, we estimated dry deposition fluxes of nitrogen compounds (NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>), sulfur dioxide (SO<sub>2</sub>) and ozone (O<sub>3</sub>) in major African ecosystems, represented by IDAF sites. Monthly dry deposition fluxes have been estimated by the inferential technique, using the product of air concentrations measured monthly by passive samplers for a long term period (1998–2007) and dry deposition velocities modeled by the big-
- <sup>20</sup> leaf model of Zhang et al. (2003b). In the deposition model, surface and meteorological conditions specific to IDAF sites have been adapted in order to simulate  $V_d$  representative of major African ecosystems. Along the transect of ecosystems, simulation results show that  $V_d$  increases with the vegetation density. Thus, the lower values of  $V_d$  for all gases have been obtained in the dry savannas and the higher in the forests. For
- $_{\rm 25}$  each site,  $V_{\rm d}$  values are higher in the wet season than in the dry season for all gases. For each ecosystem, the monthly, seasonal and annual mean variations of gaseous dry deposition fluxes have been analyzed. Dry deposition fluxes are more important



in the wet season for all the gases in the dry savannas, due to higher values of both concentrations and  $V_d$  in this season. For the wet savannas and forested ecosystems, seasonal dry deposition fluxes are generally of the same order of magnitude between the dry and wet seasons for all the gases except for NO<sub>2</sub> in the wet savannas, and for HNO<sub>3</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> at the Zoetele forested site, where flux values are higher in the dry season due to much higher concentrations in this season.

5

Along the latitudinal transect of ecosystems, the annual mean dry deposition fluxes of nitrogen compounds range from 0.4 to  $0.8 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for NO<sub>2</sub>, from 0.7 to  $1.0 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for HNO<sub>3</sub>, and from 2.3 to  $10.0 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  for NH<sub>3</sub> over the study period (1998–2007). The total nitrogen dry deposition flux (NO<sub>2</sub>+HNO<sub>3</sub>+NH<sub>3</sub>) is more important in forests (11.2–11.8 kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup>) than in wet and dry savannas (3.4–  $5.3 \text{ kgNha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). NH<sub>3</sub> dominates nitrogen dry deposition, representing 67–86% of the total. Along the ecosystem transect, annual mean of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition fluxes present low values and a small variability (0.5 to  $1 \text{ kgSha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$ ). For ozone, the annual mean dry deposition fluxes are around  $11-19 \text{ kgha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in dry and wet savannas and  $11-13 \text{ kgha}^{-1} \text{ yr}^{-1}$  in forests. The lower O<sub>3</sub> dry deposition fluxes in forests are due to low measured O<sub>3</sub> concentrations despite higher V<sub>d</sub>. Over the study period, the interannual variability of gaseous dry deposition fluxes showed no specific trend.

This study allowed estimates of the mean range of gaseous dry deposition fluxes representative of major tropical African ecosystems in West and Central Africa. This is one of the major scientific objectives of the IDAF program. It is based on original and unique data from remote and seldom explored regions. To improve this work, it is important to address the uncertainties in the determination of dry deposition velocities. Within the IDAF network, we suggest that an experimental determination of dry deposition fluxes by other methods (e.g. gradient method, eddy correlation) on the measurement sites would be required to compare with fluxes estimated by inferential method. Furthermore, we plan to use the model RegCM4 (Regional Climatic Model) (Giorgi et al., 2012; Shalaby et al., 2012) to simulate the regional trends of gaseous dry deposition fluxes and to compare these results to long term IDAF observations. This



work will allow providing a high resolution map of dry deposition at regional scales of the African ecosystems useful for impact studies.

### Appendix A

10

<sup>5</sup> The dry deposition velocity ( $V_d$ ) is obtained from the sum of three resistances in series as follows:

$$V_d = (R_{\rm a} + R_{\rm b} + R_{\rm c})^{-1}$$

where  $R_a$  is the aerodynamic resistance to transfer of a species, as a result of atmospheric turbulence in the surface layer between a height Z and the surface, characterized by  $Z_0$  (roughness length). It is computed from the following equation (Padro et al., 1991):

$$R_{\rm a} = \frac{1}{ku_{*}} \left[ 0.74 \ln(Z/Z_{o}) - \Psi_{H}(Z/L) \right]$$
(A2)

where  $\Psi_H$  is the integrated stability function for heat, *k* is the von Karman constant (0.4). *L* is a stability parameter (Monin-Obukhov length) and can be computed jointly <sup>15</sup> with  $u_*$  (friction velocity) from the basic surface layer equations, requiring only the temperatures at two different heights and the wind at one height. The conditions of application of the Eq. (A2) are discussed in Padro et al. (1991). Under very stable condition, the Richardson number is constrained to an upper limit value of 0.21. For our simulation, after a statistical analysis, the friction velocity is constrained to a lower limit value of 0.1 ms<sup>-1</sup> for savannas sites and of 0.2 ms<sup>-1</sup> for forest sites.



(A1)

 $R_{\rm b}$  is the quasi-laminar sublayer resistance above the canopy and it is computed from the following equation (Padro and Edwards, 1991):

$$R_{\rm b} = \frac{2}{ku_*} \left( v/D_j \right)^{2/3}$$

10

where v is the kinematic viscosity of air and  $D_j$  is the molecular diffusivity of a species j in air.

 $R_{\rm c}$  is the surface or canopy resistance, and characterises the surface affinity for pollutant uptake. A large part of the uncertainty of inferential method might be attributed to the parameterization of  $R_{\rm c}$ . Zhang et al. (2003b) proposed a revised parameterization of  $R_{\rm c}$  by including non-stomatal resistance ( $R_{\rm ns}$ ) parameterizations based on study results over 5 different vegetation types in North America (i.e., Zhang et al., 2002b, 2003a):

$$\frac{1}{R_{c}} = \frac{1 - w_{st}}{R_{st} + R_{m}} + \frac{1}{R_{ns}}$$
(A4)  
$$\frac{1}{R_{ns}} = \frac{1}{R_{cut}} + \frac{1}{R_{ac} + R_{a}}$$
(A5)

<sup>15</sup> where the sub-resistances *R*<sub>st</sub>, *R*<sub>m</sub>, *R*<sub>cut</sub>, *R*<sub>ac</sub> and *R*<sub>g</sub> are respectively stomatal, mesophyl, cuticle, in-canopy aerodynamic and soil resistances. *w<sub>st</sub>* is the fraction of stomatal blocking under wet conditions. One of the improvements to the model of Zhang et al. (2003b) include more realistic treatment of cuticle resistance which is parameterized as functions of leaf wetness (dry vs. wet; dew vs. rain), relative humidity, leaf area index (LAI), friction velocity and land-use specific reference value. *R<sub>ac</sub>* is also a function of the LAI, the friction velocity and the land-use specific reference value. Note that *R<sub>g</sub>* and *R<sub>cut</sub> are* calculated for SO<sub>2</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> and then scaled for other gases. *R<sub>st</sub>* is calculated using a sunlit/shade stomatal resistance sub-model (Zhang et al., 2002a). Thus, in this improved parameterization, *R<sub>c</sub>* depends on the type of canopy,
<sup>25</sup> the chemical species and the meteorological conditions. The parameterizations of all



(A3)

these sub-resistances, the land use categories (LUC) and all related parameters, and more details can be found in (Zhang et al., 2003b).

Acknowledgements. This work is part of the IDAF (IGAC/DEBITS/AFRICA) project; and was funded by INSU/CNRS "Institut National des Sciences de l'Univers/ Centre National de Recherche Scientifique, in France" and the API "African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analyses" (AMMA in 2005).



The publication of this article is financed by CNRS-INSU.

### 10 References

5

15

- Adon, M., Galy-Lacaux, C., Yoboué, V., Delon, C., Lacaux, J. P., Castera, P., Gardrat, E., Pienaar, J., Al Ourabi, H., Laouali, D., Diop, B., Sigha-Nkamdjou, L., Akpo, A., Tathy, J. P., Lavenu, F., and Mougin, E.: Long term measurements of sulfur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ammonia, nitric acid and ozone in Africa using passive samplers, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 7467–7487, doi:10.5194/acp-10-7467-2010, 2010.
- Adon, M.: Etude des concentrations de gaz atmosphérique et estimation des flux de dépôt sec à l'échelle des principaux écosystèmes africains, Ph.D., Université Paul Sabatier-Toulouse III, France, 2011.

Al-ourabi, H. and Lacaux, J. P.: Dry and wet deposition for nitrogen and sulphur at seven IDAF

- stations in Tropical Africa, International Global Atmospheric Chemistry (IGAC), Symposium, Crete, Greece, 18–25 September, 2002.
  - Andreae, M. O., Chapuis, A., Cros, B., Fontan, J., Helas, G., Justice, C., Kaufman, Y. J., Minga, A., and Nganga, D.: Ozone and Aitken nuclei over Equatorial Africa: airborne observations during DECAFE 88, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 6137–6148, 1992.



11721

- Arndt, R., Carmichael, G. R., Streets, D. G., and Bhatti, N.: Sulfur dioxide emissions and sectorial contributions to sulfur deposition in Asia, Atmos. Environ., 31, 1553–1572, 1997.
- Bakwin, P. S., Wofsy, S. C., and Fan, S.-M.: Measurements of reactive nitrogen oxides (NO<sub>y</sub>) within and above a tropical forest canopy in the wet season, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 16765–16772, 1990.
- Baldocchi, D. D.: A multi-layer model for estimating sulfur dioxide deposition to a deciduous oak forest canopy, Atmos. Environ., 22, 869–884, 1988.

5

10

- Bash, J. O., Cooter, E. J., Dennis, R. L., Walker, J. T., and Pleim, J. E.: Evaluation of a regional air-quality model with bidirectional NH<sub>3</sub> exchange coupled to an agroecosystem model, Biogeosciences, 10, 1635–1645, doi:10.5194/bg-10-1635-2013, 2013.
- Bates, T. S., Lamb, B. K., Guenther, A., Dignon, J., and Stoiber, R. E.: Sulfur emissions to the atmosphere from natural sources, J. Atmos. Chem., 14, 315–317, 1992.

Bates, T., Scholes, M., Doherty, S., and Young, B.: IGAC Science Plan and Implementation Strategy IGBP Report 56, IGBP Secretariat, Stockholm, Sweden, 44 pp., 2006.

- Bobbink, R., Hornung, M. and Roelofs, J. G. M.: The effects of air-borne nitrogen pollutants on species diversity in natural and semi-natural European vegetation, J. Ecol., 86, 717–738, 1998.
  - Boone, A., De Rosnay, P., Balsamo, G., Beljaars, A., Chopin, F., Decharme, B., Delire, C., Ducharne, A., Gascoin, S., Grippa, M., Guichard, F., Gusev, Y., Harris, P., Jarlan, L., Ker-
- goat, L., Mougin, E., Nasonova, O., Norgaard, A., Orgeval, T., Ottl, C., Poccard-Leclerq, I., Polcher, J., Sandholt, I., Saux-Picart, S., Taylor, C., and Xue, Y.: The AMMA Land Surface Model Intercomparison Project (ALMIP), B. Am. Meteorol. Soc., 90, 1865–1880, doi:10.1175/2009BAMS2786.1, 2009.

Bouwman, A. F., Boumans, L. J. M., and Batjes, N. H.: Estimation of global NH<sub>3</sub> volatilization

- loss from synthetic fertilizers and animal manure applied to arable lands and grasslands, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 16, 1024, doi:10.1029/2000GB001389, 2002a.
  - Bouwman, A. F., Van Vuuren, D. P., Derwent, R. G., and Posch, M.: A global analysis of acidification and eutrophication of terrestrial ecosystems, Water Air Soil Pollut., 141, 349–382, doi:10.1023/A:1021398008726, 2002b.
- <sup>30</sup> Brocard, D., Galy-Lacaux, C., Lacaux, J. P., Kouadio, G., and Yoboué, V.: Emissions from the combustion of biofuels in western Africa, in: Global Biomass Burning, edited by: Levine, J. S., MIT Press, Cambridge, Mass, 350–360, 1996.



- 11722
- Dorsey, J. R., Duyzer, J. H., Gallagher, M. W., Coe, H., Pilegaard, K., Weststrate, J. H., 30 Jensen, N. O., and Walton, S.: Oxidized nitrogen and ozone interaction with forests, I: Experimental observations and analysis of exchange with Douglas fir, Q. J. R. Meteor. Soc., 130, 1941-1955, doi:10.1256/gj.03.124, 2004.
- compounds emission and deposition in West African ecosystems: comparison between wet and dry savanna, Biogeosciences, 9, 385-402, doi:10.5194/bg-9-385-2012, 2012. 25 De Rosnay, P., Drusch, M., Boone, A., Balsamo, G., Decharme, B., Harris, P., Kerr, Y., Pellarin, T., Polcher, J., and Wigneron, J.-P.: AMMA land surface model intercomparison experiment coupled to the community microwave emission model: ALMIP-MEM, J. of Geophys.

Res., 114, D05108, doi:10.1029/2008JD010724, 2009,

- Lavenu, F., Mougin, E., and Timouk, F.: Atmospheric nitrogen budget in Sahelian dry savannas, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 2691–2708, doi:10.5194/acp-10-2691-2010, 2010. Delon, C., Galy-Lacaux, C., Adon, M., Liousse, C., Serca, D., Diop, B., and Akpo, A.: Nitrogen
- FOS/DECAFE 91 Experiment (Lamto, Ivory Coast), J. Atmos. Chem., 22, 175–194, 1995. 15 Delon, C., Reeves, C. E., Stewart, D. J., Serca, D., Dupont, R., Mari, C., Chaboureau, J.-P., and Tulet, P.: Biogenic nitrogen oxide emissions from soils - impact on NO<sub>x</sub> and ozone over West Africa during AMMA (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Experiment): modelling study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2351–2363, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2351-2008, 2008. Delon, C., Galy-Lacaux, C., Boone, A., Liousse, C., Serça, D., Adon, M., Diop, B., Akpo, A., 20
- Delmas, R. A., Lacaux, J. P., Menaut, J. C., Abbadie, L., Le Roux, X., Helas, G., and Lobert, G.: Nitrogen compound emission from biomass burning in tropical African savanna,
- above the African equatorial Forest, J. Geophys. Res., 97, 12877-12887, 1992. 10 Cros, B., Delon, C., Affre, C., Marion, T., Druilhet, A., Perros, P. E., and Lopez, A.: Sources and sinks of ozone in savanna and forest areas during EXPRESSO: airborne turbulent flux measurements, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 29347-29358, 2000.
- 5 21065, 1996. Cros, B., Fontan, J., Minga, A., Helas, G., Nganga, D., Delmas, R., Chapuis, A., Benech, B.,
  - Druilhet, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Vertical profiles of ozone between 0 and 400 meters in and
- sensing data and application to a global chemistry model, J. Geophys. Res., 101, 21051-

I: Model development, Atmos. Environ., 33, 5037–5052, 1999.

Brook, J., Zhang, L., Franco, D., and Padro, J.: Description and evaluation of a model of deposition velocities for routine estimates of air pollutant dry deposition over North America, Part

Cooke, W. F., Koffi, B., and Grégoire, J.-M.: Seasonality of vegetation fires in Africa from remote 13, 11689–11744, 2013 Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper

**Discussion** Paper



**ACPD** 





- Duyzer, J. and Fowler, D.: Modelling land atmosphere exchange of gaseous oxides of nitrogen in Europe, Tellus B, 46, 353–372, doi:10.1034/j.1600-0889.1994.t01-3-00002.x, 1994.
- Endo, T., Yagoh, H., Sato, K., Matsuda, K., Hayashi, K., Noguchi, I., and Sawada, K.: Regional characteristic of dry deposition of sulfur and nitrogen compounds at EANET sites in Japan from 2003 to 2008, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1259–1267, 2011.
- from 2003 to 2008, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1259–1267, 2011. Erisman, J. W. and Wyers, G. P.: Continuous measurements of surface exchange of SO<sub>2</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub>: implications for their possible interaction in the deposition process, Atmos. Environ., 27, 1937–1949, 1993.

Erisman, J. W., Verluis, A. H., Verplanke, T. A. J. W., de Haan, D., Anink, D., van Elza-

- 10 kker, B. G., Mennen, M. G., and van Aalst, R. M.: Monitoring the dry deposition of SO<sub>2</sub> in the Netherlands: results for grassland and heather vegetation, Atmos. Environ., 27A, 1153– 1161, 1993a.
  - Erisman, J. W., Mennen, M. G., Hogenkamp, J. Kemkers, E., Goedhart, D., van Pul, A., Boermans, J., Duyzer, J., and Wyers, P.: Dry deposition measurements of SO<sub>2</sub> over the Speulder
- <sup>15</sup> forest, estimation of a surface resistance parametrization, in: Air Pollution Report, edited by: Angeletti, G., Pio, C., and Slanina, J., CEC, Brussels, Belgium, 1993b.
  - Fensholt, R., Sandholt, I., and Rasmussen, M. S.: Evaluation of MODIS LAI, fAPAR and the relation between fAPAR and NDVI in a semi-arid environment using in situ-measurements, Remote Sens. Environ., 91, 490–507, 2004.
- Flechard, C. R., Nemitz, E., Smith, R. I., Fowler, D., Vermeulen, A. T., Bleeker, A., Erisman, J. W., Simpson, D., Zhang, L., Tang, Y. S., and Sutton, M. A.: Dry deposition of reactive nitrogen to European ecosystems: a comparison of inferential models across the NitroEurope network, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 11, 2703–2728, doi:10.5194/acp-11-2703-2011, 2011. Fowler, D., Pilegaard, K., Sutton, M. A., Ambus, P., Raivonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D.,
- Fagerli, E., Filegaard, K., Schloff, M. A., Ambus, F., Halvonen, M., Duyzer, J., Simpson, D.,
   Fagerli, H., Schjoerring, J. K., Neftel, A., Burkhardt, J., Daemmgen, U., Neiyrink, J., Personne, E., Wichink-Kruit, R., Butterbach-Bahl, K., Flechard, C., Tuovinen, J. P., Coyle, M., Gerosa, G., Loubet, B., Altimir, N., Gruenhage, L., Ammann, C., Cieslik, S., Paoletti, E., Mikkelsen, T. N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Cellier, P., Cape, J. N., Horvath, L., Loreto, F., Niinemets, U., Palmer, P. I., Rinne, J., Misztal, P., Nemitz, E., Nilsson, D., Pryor, S., Gallagher, M. W., Vesala, T., Skiba, U., Brüeggemann, N., Zechmeister-Boltenstern, S., Williams, J., O'Dowd, C., Facchini, M. C., de Leeuw, G., Flossman, A., Chaumerliac, N.,
  - Williams, J., O'Dowd, C., Facchini, M. C., de Leeuw, G., Flossman, A., Chaumerliac, N., Erisman, J. W.: Atmospheric composition change: ecosystems and atmosphere exchange, Atmos. Environ., 43, 5192–5263, 2009.



- Galanter, M., Levy II, H., and Carmichael, G. R.: Impacts of biomass burning on tropospheric CO, NO<sub>x</sub>, and O<sub>3</sub>, J. Geophys. Res., 105, 6633–6653, 2000.
- Galy-Lacaux, C. and Modi, A. I.: Precipitation chemistry in the Sahelian Savanna of Niger, Africa, J. Atmos. Chem., 30, 319–334, 1998.
- Galy-Lacaux, C., Carmichael, G. R., Song, C. H., Lacaux, J. P., and Modi, I.: Heterogenous processes involving nitrogenous compounds and Saharan dust inferred from measurements and model calculations Region, J. Geophys. Res., 106, 12559–12578, 2001.
  - Galy-Lacaux, C., Laouali, D., Descroix, L., Gobron, N., and Liousse, C.: Long term precipitation chemistry and wet deposition in a remote dry savanna site in Africa (Niger), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 9, 1579–1595, doi:10.5194/acp-9-1579-2009, 2009.
- Giorgi, F., Coppola, E., Solmon, F., Mariotti, L., Sylla, M. B., Bi, X., Elguindi, N., Diro, G. T., Nair, V., Giuliani, G., Turuncoglu, U. U., Cozzini, S., Guttler, I., O'Brien, T. A., Tawfik, A. B., Shalaby, A., Zakey, A. S., Steiner, A. L., Stordal, F., Sloan, L. C., and Brankovic, C.: RegCM4: Model description and preliminary tests over multiple CORDEX domains, Clim. Res., 52, 7–20. doi:10.2354/ar01018.2012
- <sup>15</sup> 29, doi:10.3354/cr01018, 2012.

10

20

Hamaoui-Laguel, L., Meleux, F., Beekmann, M., Bessagnet, B., Génermont, S., Cellier, P., and Létinois, L.: Improving ammonia emissions in air quality modelling for France, Atmos. Environ., doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2012.08.002, in press, 2013.

Hanson, P. J. and Lindberg, S. E: Dry deposition of reactive nitrogen compounds: a review of leaf, canopy and non-foliar measurements, Atmos. Environ. A, 25, 8, 1615–1634, 1991.

- Hanson, P. J., Rott, K., Taylor Jr., G. E., Gunderson, C. A., Lindberg, S. E., and Ross-Todd, B. M.: NO<sub>2</sub> deposition to elements representative of a forest landscape, Atmos. Environ., 23, 1783–1794, 1989.
- Hao, W. M. and Liu, M.-H.: Spatial and temporal distribution of tropical biomass burning, Global Biogeochem. Cy., 8, 495–503, 1994.
  - Huebert, B. J. and Robert, C. H.: The dry deposition of nitric acid to grass, J. Geophys. Res., 90, 2085–2090, 1985.
  - Jacob, D. J. and Wofsy, S. C.: Budgets of reactive nitrogen, hydrocarbons, and ozone over the Amazon-Forest during the wet season, J. Geophys. Res., 95, 16737–16754, 1990.
- <sup>30</sup> Janssen, L. H. J. M. and Romer, F. G.: The frequency and duration of dew occurrence over a year, Tellus B, 43, 408–419, 1991.
  - Jin, L., Shao, M., Zeng, L., Zhao, D., and Tang, D.: Estimation of dry deposition fluxes of major inorganic species by canopy throughfall approach, Chinese Sci. Bull., 51, 1818–1823, 2006.



- Johansson, C., Rodhe, H., and Sanhueza, E.: Emission of NO in tropical savanna and a cloud forest during the dry season, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 7180–7192, 1988.
- Kaplan, W. A., Wofsy, S. C., Keller, M., and Da Costa, J. M.: Emission of NO and deposition of O<sub>3</sub> in a tropical forest system, J. Geophys. Res., 93, 1389–1395, 1988.
- Kaptue, T. A. T., Roujean, J.-L., and Faroux, S.: ECOCLIMAP-II: an ecosystem classification and land surface parameter database of Western Africa at 1 km resolution for the Africa Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis (AMMA) project, Remote Sens. Environ., 114, 961–976, 2010.

Kirkman, G. A., Gut, A., Ammann, C., Gatti, L. V., Cordova, A. M., Moura, M. A. L.,

Andreae, M. O., and Meixner, F. X.: Surface exchange of nitric oxide, nitrogen dioxide, and ozone at a pasture in Rondonia, Brazil, J. Geophys. Res., 107, 8083, doi:10.1029/2001JD000523, 2002.

Krzyzamowki, J.: Ozone variation with height in a forest canopy – results from a passive sampling field campaign, Atmos. Environ., 38, 5957–5962, 2004.

Lacaux, J. P., Cachier, H., and Delmas, R.: Biomass burning in Africa: an overview of its impact on atmospheric chemistry, in: Fire in the Environment: The Ecological, Atmospheric and Climatic Importance of Vegetation Fires, edited by: Crutzen, P. J. and Goldammer, J. G., Environmental Science Research Report 13, John Wiley, New York, 159–191, 1993.

Lacaux, J. P., Brustet, J. M., Delmas, R., Menaut, J. C., Abbadie, L., Bonsang, B., Cachier, H.,

- Baudet, J. G. R., Andreae, M. O., and Helas, G.: Biomass burning in the tropical savannas of Ivory Coast: an overview of the field experiment Fire of Savannas (FOS/DECAFE 91), J. Atmos. Chem., 22, 195–216, 1995.
  - Lacaux, J. P., Tathy, J. P., and Sigha, L.: Acid wet deposition in the tropics: two case studies using DEBITS measurements, IGACtivities Newsletter of the International Global Atmospheric Chemistry Project, DEBITS Special Issue Nr. 2, 2003.

25

Laouali, D., Galy-Lacaux, C., Diop, B., Delon, C., Orange, D., Lacaux, J. P., Akpo, A., Lavenu, F., Gardrat, E., and Castera, P.: Long term monitoring of precipitation chemical composition and wet deposition over three Sahelian savannas, Atmos. Environ., 50, 314–327, 2012.

Laville, P., Hénault, C., Gabrielle, B., and Serça, D.: Measurement and modelling of NO fluxes

<sup>30</sup> over maize and wheat crops during their growing seasons: effect of crop management, Nutr. Cycl. Agroecosyst. 72, 159–171, 2005.



- Discussion Pape **ACPD** 13, 11689–11744, 2013 **Dry deposition of** nitrogen compounds **Discussion** Pape M. Adon et al. **Title Page** Abstract Introduction Conclusions References Discussion Paper Tables **Figures** Back Close Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion
- Liu, X., Zhang, Y., Han, W., Tang, A., Shen, J., Cui, Z., Vitousek, P., Erisman, J. W., Goulding, K., Christie, P., Fangmeier, A., and Zhang, F.: Enhanced nitrogen deposition over China, Nature, 494, 459–462, doi:10.1038/nature11917, 2013.

Lobert, J. M., Scharffe, D. H., Hao, W. M., and Crutzen, P. J.: Importance of biomass burning in the atmospheric budgets of nitrogen containing gases, Nature, 346, 552–524, 1990.

- the atmospheric budgets of nitrogen containing gases, Nature, 346, 552–524, 1990.
   Macdonald, B. C. T., Denmead, O. T., Ian White, I., Michael, D., and Melville, M. D.: Natural sulfur dioxide emissions from sulfuric soils, Atmos. Environ., 38, 1473–1480, 2004.
  - Martins, J. J., Dhammapala, R. S., Lachmann, G., Galy-Lacaux, C., Pienaar, J. J.: Long-term measurements of sulphur dioxide, nitrogen dioxide, ammonia, nitric acid and ozone in southern Africa using passive samplers, S. Afr. J. Sci., 103, 336–342, 2007.
- Massad, R. S., Nemitz, E., and Sutton, M. A.: Review and parameterization of bi-directional ammonia exchange between vegetation and the atmosphere, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 10, 10359–10386, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10359-2010, 2010.

10

Matsuda, K., Watanabe, I., Wingpud, V., Theramonkol, P., Khummongkol, P., Wangwong-

- watana, S., and Totsuka, T.: Ozone dry deposition above a tropical forest in the dry season in northern Thailand, Atmos. Environ., 39, 2571–2577, 2005.
  - Matsuda, K., Watanabe, I., Wingpud, V., Theramongkol, P., and Ohizumi, T.: Deposition velocity of  $O_3$  and  $SO_2$  in the dry and wet season above a tropical forest in northern Thailand, Atmos. Environ., 40, 7557–7564, 2006.
- Matt, D. R. and Meyers, T. P.: On the use of the inferential technique to estimate dry deposition of SO<sub>2</sub>, Atmos. Environ., 27, 493–501, 1993.
  - Mayaux, P., Bartholome, E., Fritz, S., and Belward, A.: A new land cover map of Africa for the year 2000, J. Biogeogr., 31, 861–877, 2004.
  - Mikkelsen, T. N., Ro-Poulsen, H., Hovmand, M. F., Jensen, N. O., Pilegaard, K., and
- Egelov, A. H.: Five-year measurements of ozone fluxes to Danish Norway spruce canopy, Atmos. Environ., 38, 2361–2371, 2004.
  - Mphepya, J. N., Pienaar, J. J., Galy-Lacaux, C., Held, G., and Turner, C. R.: Precipitation chemistry in semi-arid areas of Southern Africa: a case study of a rural and an industrial site, J. Atmos. Chem., 47, 1–24, 2004.
- Mphepya, J. N., Galy-Lacaux, C., Lacaux, J. P., Held, G., and Pienaar, J. J.: Precipitation chemistry and wet deposition in Kruger National Park, South Africa, J. Atmos. Chem., 53, 169– 183, 2006.

- Nemitz, E., Loubet, B., Lehmann, B. E., Cellier, P., Neftel, A., Jones, S. K., Hensen, A., Ihly, B., Tarakanov, S. V., and Sutton, M. A.: Turbulence characteristics in grassland canopies and implications for tracer transport, Biogeosciences, 6, 1519–1537, doi:10.5194/bg-6-1519-2009, 2009.
- <sup>5</sup> Pienaar, J. J.: Proposal of a new IGAC II task: DEBITS II (Deposition of Biogeochemically Important Trace Species), available at: http://www.igacproject.org/DEBITS, 2005.
  - Pineda Rojas, A. L. and Venegas, L. E.: Atmospheric deposition of nitrogen emitted in the Metropolitan Area of Buenos Aires to coastal waters of de la Plata River, Atmos. Environ., 43, 1339–1348, 2009.
- Pan, Y. P., Wang, Y. S., Tang, G. Q., and Wu, D.: Wet and dry deposition of atmospheric nitrogen at ten sites in Northern China, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 12, 6515–6535, doi:10.5194/acp-12-6515-2012, 2012.
  - Rodhe, H., Dentener, F., and Schulz, M.: The global distribution of acidifying wet deposition, Environ. Sci. Technol., 36, 4382–4388, 2002.
- Rummel, U., Ammann, C., Kirkman, G. A., Moura, M. A. L., Foken, T., Andreae, M. O., and Meixner, F. X.: Seasonal variation of ozone deposition to a tropical rain forest in southwest Amazonia, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 7, 5415–5435, doi:10.5194/acp-7-5415-2007, 2007.
  - Samain, O., Kergoat, L., Hiernaux, P., Guichard, F., Mougin, E., Timouk, F., and Lavenu, F.: Analysis of the in situ and MODIS albedo variability at multiple timescales in the Sahel, J.
- Geophys. Res., 113, D14119, doi:10.1029/2007JD009174, 2008.
  Schwede, D., Zhang, L., Vet, R., and Lear, G.: An intercomparison of the deposition model used in the CASTNET and CAPMoN networks, Atmos. Environ., 45, 1337–1346, 2011.
  - Seinfeld, J. and Pandis, S.: Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics: From Air Pollution to Climate Change, John Wiley and Sons, 2nd Edition, 1203 pp., 2006.
- Sentelhas, P. C., Marta, A. D., Orlandini, S., Santos, E. A., Gillespie, T. J., and Gleason, M. L. : Suitability of relative humidity as an estimator of leaf wetness duration, Agr. Forest Meteorol., 148, 392–400, 2008.
  - Sigha-Nkamdjou, L., Galy-Lacaux, C., Pont, V., Richard, S., Sighoumnou, D., and Lacaux, J. P.: Rainwater chemistry and wet deposition over the equatorial forested ecosystem of Zoétélé (Cameroon), J. Atmos. Chem., 46, 173–198, 2003.
- (Cameroon), J. Atmos. Chem., 46, 173–198, 2003.
   Shalaby, A., Zakey, A. S., Tawfik, A. B., Solmon, F., Giorgi, F., Stordal, F., Sillman, S., Zaveri, R. A., and Steiner, A. L.: Implementation and evaluation of online gas-phase chem-



istry within a regional climate model (RegCM-CHEM4), Geosci. Model Dev., 5, 741–760, doi:10.5194/gmd-5-741-2012, 2012.

Shen, J. L., Tang, A. H., Liu, X. J., Fangmeier, A., Goulding, K. T. W., and Zhang, F. S.: High concentrations and dry deposition of reactive nitrogen species at two sites in the North China

<sup>5</sup> Plain, Environ. Pollut., 157, 3106–3113, 2009.

- Sorimachi, A., Sakamoto, K., Ishihara, H., Fukuyama, T., Utiyama, M., Liu, H., Wang, W., Tang, D., Dong, X., and Quan, H.: Measurements of sulfur dioxide and ozone dry deposition over short vegetation in northern China a preliminary study, Atmos. Environ., 37, 3157–3166, 2003.
- Stewart, D. J., Taylor, C. M., Reeves, C. E., and McQuaid, J. B.: Biogenic nitrogen oxide emissions from soils: impact on NO<sub>x</sub> and ozone over west Africa during AMMA (African Monsoon Multidisciplinary Analysis): observational study, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 8, 2285–2297, doi:10.5194/acp-8-2285-2008, 2008.

Sutton, M. A., Burkhardt, J. K., Guerin, D., Nemitz, E., and Fowler, D.: Development of re-

- sistance models to describe measurements of bi-directional ammonia surface-atmosphere exchange, Atmos. Environ., 32, 473–480, 1998.
  - Sutton, M. A., Nemitz, E., Erisman, J. W., Beier, C., Butterbach Bahl, K., Cellier, P., de Vries, W., Cotrufo, F., Skiba, U., DiMarco, C., Jones, S., Laville, P., Soussana, J. F., Loubet, B., Twigg, M., Famulari, D., Whitehead, J., Gallagher, M. W., Neftel, A., Flechard, C. R.,
- Herrmann, B., Calanca, P. L., Schjoerring, J. K., Daemmgen, U., Horvath, L., Tang, Y. S., Emmett, B. A., Tietema, A., Penuelas, J., Kesik, M., Brueggemann, N., Pilegaard, K., Vesala, T., Campbell, C. L., Olesen, J. E., Dragosits, U., Theobald, M. R., Levy, P., Mobbs, D. C., Milne, R., Viovy, N., Vuichard, N., Smith, J. U., Smith, P., Bergamaschi, P., Fowler, D., and Reis, S.: Challenges in quantifying biosphere atmosphere exchange of nitrogen species, Environ. Pollut., 150, 125–139, 2007.
  - Takahashi, A., Sato, K., Wakamatsu, T., and Fujita, S.: Atmospheric deposition of acidifying components to a Japanese cedar forest, Water Air Soil Poll., 130, 559–564, 2001.
  - Takahashi, A., Sato, K., Wakamatsu, T., Fujita, S., and Yoshikawa, K.: Estimation of dry deposition of sulfur to a forest using an inferential method, influence of canopy wetness on SO<sub>2</sub>
- dry deposition, Journal of Japan Society for Atmospheric Environment, 37, 192–205, 2002.
   Trebs, I., Lara, L. L., Zeri, L. M. M., Gatti, L. V., Artaxo, P., Dlugi, R., Slanina, J., Andreae, M. O., and Meixner, F. X.: Dry and wet deposition of inorganic nitrogen compounds to a tropical



pasture site (Rondônia, Brazil), Atmos. Chem. Phys., 6, 447-469, doi:10.5194/acp-6-447-2006, 2006.

- Tsai, J. L., Chen, C. L., Tsuang, B. J., Kuo, P. H., Tseng, K. H., Hsu, T. F., Sheu, B. H., Liu, C. P., and Hsueh, M. T.: Observation of SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition velocity at a high elevation flux tower
- over an evergreen broadleaf forest in Central Taiwan, Atmos. Environ., 44, 1011–1019, 2010. 5 van Breemen, N.: Acid Sulfate Weathering, Soil Science Society of America, Madison, Wisconsin, USA, 95–108, 1982.
  - van Breemen, N.: Selected Papers of the Ho Chi Minh City Symposium on Acid Sulphate Soils, ILRI Pub No. 53, ILRI, Wageningen, the Netherlands, 391–401, 1993.
- Vitousek, P. M., Aber, J. D., Howarth, R. W., Likens, G. E., Matson, P. A., Schindler, D. W., 10 Schlesinger, W. H. and Tilman, D. G.: Human alteration of the global nitrogen cycle: sources and consequences, Ecol. Applic., 7, 737-750, 1997.
  - Walker, J. T., Robarge, W. P., Wu, Y., and Meyers, T. P.: Measurement of bi-directional ammonia fluxes over soybean using the modified Bowen-ratio technique, Agr. For. Meteorol., 138, 54-

68, doi:10.1016/j.agrformet.2006.03.011, 2006. 15

30

- Wesely, M. L.: Parameterization of surface resistances to gaseous dry deposition in regionalscale numerical models. Atmos. Environ., 23, 1293–1304, 1989.
  - Wesely, M. L. and Hicks, B. B.: A review of the current status of knowledge in dry deposition, Atmos. Environ., 34, 2261–2282, 2000.
- Wichink Kruit, R. J., van Pul, W. A. J., Otjes, R. P., Hofschreuder, P., Jacobs, A. F. G, and Holt-20 slag, A. A. M.: Ammonia fluxes and derived canopy compensation points over non-fertilized agricultural grassland in the Netherlands using the new gradient ammonia-High accuracy-Monitor (GRAHAM), Atmos. Environ., 41, 1275–1287, doi:10.1016/j.atmosenv.2006.09.039, 2007.
- Wichink Kruit, R. J., Jacobs, A. F. G., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Measurements and estimates of 25 leaf wetness over agricultural grassland for dry deposition modeling of trace gases, Atmos. Environ., 42, 5304-5316, 2008.
  - Wichink Kruit, R. J., van Pul, W. A. J., Sauter, F. J., van den Broek, M., Nemitz, E., Sutton, M. A., Krol, M., and Holtslag, A. A. M.: Modelling the surface-atmosphere exchange of ammonia, Atmos. Environ., 44, 945–957, 2010.
- Wichink Kruit, R. J., Schaap, M., Sauter, F. J., van Zanten, M. C., and van Pul, W. A. J.: Modeling the distribution of ammonia across Europe including bi-directional surface-atmosphere exchange, Biogeosciences, 9, 5261-5277, doi:10.5194/bg-9-5261-2012, 2012.



Wolff, V., Trebs, I., Foken, T., and Meixner, F. X.: Exchange of reactive nitrogen compounds: concentrations and fluxes of total ammonium and total nitrate above a spruce canopy, Biogeosciences, 7, 1729–1744, doi:10.5194/bg-7-1729-2010, 2010.

Yang, W., Shabanov, N., V., Huang, D., Wang, W., Dickinson, E. R., Nemani, R. R., Knyazikhin, Y., and Myneni, R. B.: Analysis of leaf area index products from combination

5 Knyazikhin, Y., and Myneni, R. B.: Analysis of leaf area index products from combination of MODIS Terra and Aqua data, Remote Sens. Environ., 104, 297–312, 2006.

Yienger, J. J. and Levy II, H.: Empirical model of global soil biogenic NO<sub>x</sub> emissions, J. Geophys. Res., 100, 1447–1464, 1995.

Yoboue, V., Galy-Lacaux, C., Lacaux, J. P., and Silue, S. : Rainwater chemistry and wet de-

- position over the wet Savanna ecosystem of Lamto (Côte d'Ivoire), J. Atmos. Chem., 52, 117–141, 2005.
  - Zeller, K. F. and Nikolov, N. T.: Quantifying simultaneous fluxes of ozone, carbon dioxide and water vapor above a subalpine forest ecosystem, Environ. Pollut., 107, 1–20, 2000.

Zhang, L., Moran, M., Makar, P., Brook, J., and Gong, S.: Modelling gaseous dry deposition

in AURAMS a unified regional air-quality modelling system, Atmos. Environ., 36, 537–560, 2002a.

15

20

Zhang, L., Brook, J., and Vet, R.: On ozone dry deposition with emphasis on non-stomatal uptake and wet canopies, Atmos. Environ., 36, 4787–4799, 2002b.

Zhang, L., Brook, J., and Vet, R.: Evaluation of a non-stomatal resistance parameterization for SO<sub>2</sub> dry deposition, Atmos. Environ., 37, 2941–2947, 2003a.

Zhang, L., Brook, J. R., and Vet, R.: A revised parameterization for gaseous dry deposition in air-quality models, Atmos. Chem. Phys., 3, 2067–2082, doi:10.5194/acp-3-2067-2003, 2003b.

Zhang, L., Brook, J. R., Vet, R., Wiebe, A., Mihele, C., Shaw, M., OBrien, J. M., and Iqbal, S.:

- Estimation of contributions of NO<sub>2</sub> and PAN to total atmospheric deposition of oxidized nitrogen across Eastern Canada, Atmos. Environ., 39, 7030–7043, 2005.
  - Zhang, L., Vet, R., OBrien, J. M., Mihele, C., Liang, Z., and Wiebe, A.: Dry deposition of individual nitrogen species at eight Canadian rural sites, J.Geophys. Res., 114, D02301, doi:10.1029/2008JD010640, 2009.
- <sup>30</sup> Zhang, L., Wright, P. L., and Asman, W. A. H.: Bi-directional air surface exchange of atmospheric ammonia – a review of measurements and a development of a big-leaf model for applications in regional-scale air-quality models, J. Geophys. Res., 115, D20310, doi:10.1029/2009JD013589, 2010.



**Table 1.** Geographic, ecologic and climatic characteristics of the Western and Central Africa IDAF sites. WS: Wet season, DS: Dry season.

Ecosystem		station	Location Latitude Longitude	Land cover classes (GLC 2000 Africa)	Climate	Country (region)	
Dry nas	savan-	Agoufou	15° 20' N 01° 29' W	Open grassland with sparse shrub	Sahelian WS: June-September DS: October-May	Mali (Mopti)	
		Banizoumbou	13° 31' N 02° 38' E	Open grassland with sparse shrub	Sahelian WS: June-September DS: October-May	Niger (Dosso)	
		Katibougou	12° 56' N 07° 32' W	Deciduous shrubland with sparse trees	Soudano-Sahelian WS: June-September DS: October-May	Mali (Koulikoro)	
Wet nas	savan-	Djougou	09° 39' N 01° 44' E	Deciduous open woodland	Soudano-Guinean WS: April-October DS: November-March	Benin (Atakora)	
		Lamto	06° 13' N 05° 02' W	Mosaic Forest/Savanna	Guinean WS: April-October DS: November-March	Cote d'Ivoire (V-Baoule)	
Forests		Zoetele	03° 15′ N 11° 53′ E	Closed evergreen low- land forest	Equatorial WS: March-November DS: December-February	Cameroon (Sud, Dja-et-Lobo)	
		Bomassa	02° 12′ N 16° 20′ E	Closed evergreen low- land forest	Equatorial WS: March-November DS: December-February	Congo (Sangha)	



Discussion Pa	<b>ACPD</b> 13, 11689–11744, 2013					
per	Dry deposition of nitrogen compounds					
Discuss	M. Ado	n et al.				
sion P	Title	Page				
aper	Abstract	Introduction				
	Conclusions	References				
Discu	Tables	Figures				
ISSIO	14	►I.				
n Pal		•				
oer	Back	Close				
—	Full Scre	en / Esc				
Discussion F	Printer-frier Interactive	ndly Version Discussion				
aper	œ	BY BY				

Table 2. Mean annual concentrations (in ppb) at IDAF sites over the study period (1998 2007) (adapted from Adon et al., 2010).

Concentration (ppb)	Station (période)	NO <sub>2</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>	$NH_3$	$O_3^{a}$	SO2 <sup>b</sup>
Dry	Agoufou (05-09)	$1.8 \pm 0.4$	$0.5 \pm 0.1$	7.3±0.8	$11.4 \pm 2.2$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$
savannas	Banizoumbou 98-07)	$2.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$	$6.3 \pm 2.0$	$11.9 \pm 2.3$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$
	Katibougou (98-07)	$1.9 \pm 0.3$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$6.6 \pm 1.0$	$12.6 \pm 2.2$	$0.6 \pm 0.2$
Wet	Djougou (05-09)	$1.2 \pm 0.1$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$	3.7 ± 1.1	$13.6 \pm 2.1$	$0.8 \pm 0.3$
Savannas	Lamto (98-07)	$1.0 \pm 0.3$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.0 \pm 1.2$	$10.9 \pm 1.8$	$0.5 \pm 0.2$
Forests	Zoetele (98-07)	$0.9 \pm 0.2$	$0.2 \pm 0.1$	$4.2 \pm 0.9$	$4.8 \pm 1.0$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$
	Bomassa (98-06)	$1.4 \pm 0.4$	$0.3 \pm 0.1$	$4.7 \pm 1.7$	$4.0 \pm 0.4$	$0.4 \pm 0.2$

 $^{a}$  O<sub>3</sub> starts monitoring in 2001 and  $^{b}$  SO<sub>2</sub> in 2002.

**Table 3.** Adaptation of Land use categories (LUC) used in the big-leaf model to IDAF sites for the calculation of canopy resistances ( $R_{ac}$ ,  $R_{cut}$ ,  $R_{st}$ ) in  $V_{d}$ .

Sites (type of ecosystem)	Land Use Cover (LUC) (resistances)	Reference
Agoufou, Banizoumbou (grassland)	Short grass and forbs $(R_{\rm ac}, R_{\rm cut}, R_{\rm st})$	Zhang et al. (2003b)
Katibougou (Shrubland)	Long grass ( $R_{ac}$ , $R_{cut}$ ) and Broadleaf shrubs with perennial ground cover ( $R_{st}$ )	Zhang et al. (2003b) Brook et al. (2009)
Djougou, Lamto (tree Savanna)	Long grass ( $R_{ac}$ , $R_{cut}$ ) and Broadleaf trees with ground cover or savanna( $R_{st}$ )	Zhang et al. (2003b) Brook et al. (2009)
Zoetele, Bomassa (Forest)	Tropical broadleaf trees $(R_{\rm ac}, R_{\rm cut}, R_{\rm st})$	Zhang et al. (2003b)



Diechleeion Da	<b>ACPD</b> 13, 11689–11744, 2013							
nor I	Dry deposition of nitrogen compounds							
	M. Ado	n et al.						
	Title	Page						
aner	Abstract	Introduction						
_	Conclusions	References						
	Tables	Figures						
	14	۶I						
		•						
ODr	Back	Close						
_	Full Scre	en / Esc						
	Printer-frier	dly Version						
	Interactive	Discussion						
Daner	œ	BY						

**Table 4.** Mean annual dry deposition velocities ( $V_d$  in cms<sup>-1</sup>) of gases on the transect of African ecosystems over the period 2002–2007.

V <sub>d</sub> (cms)	SO <sub>2</sub>	NH <sub>3</sub>	O <sub>3</sub>	NO <sub>2</sub>	HNO <sub>3</sub>
Dry savannas Wet savannas	0.21–0.29 0.31–0.42	0.22–0.32 0.35–0.51	0.16–0.22 0.21–0.29	0.15–0.20 0.20–0.28	0.68–0.99 0.97–1.17
Forests	0.64–0.69	0.77–0.84	0.33–0.35	0.31–0.32	2.0–2.2

Discussion Da	<b>ACPD</b> 13, 11689–11744, 2013							
aner	Dry deposition of nitrogen compounds							
Diecus	M. Ado	n et al.						
	Title	Page						
aner	Abstract	Introduction						
-	Conclusions	References						
	Tables	Figures						
	I							
Dar								
Dor	Back	Close						
_	Full Scre	en / Esc						
	Printer-frier	dly Version						
B	Interactive	Discussion						
aner	$\odot$	BY						

**Table 5.** Mean seasonal and annual dry deposition fluxes and standard deviation  $(kgNha^{-1}yr^{-1})$  of NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub> and total N at IDAF sites of West and Central Africa over the period 1998–2007.

Dry deposition fluxes (KaNha <sup>-1</sup> yr <sup>-1</sup> )		NO <sub>2</sub>			HNO <sub>3</sub>				NH <sub>3</sub>		Total N
seasons	,	Dry	wet	annual	dry	wet	annual	dry	wet	annual	annual
Dry savan- nas	Agoufou*	$0.29\pm0.12$	$0.75\pm0.24$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.14\pm0.09$	$1.74\pm0.80$	0.7 ± 0.1	$2.06\pm0.63$	$4.52\pm0.24$	$2.9 \pm 0.3$	4.0
	Banizoumbo	u0.41 ± 0.23	$0.93 \pm 0.25$	$0.6 \pm 0.1$	$0.17 \pm 0.09$	$1.40 \pm 0.43$	$0.7 \pm 0.3$	$1.66 \pm 0.69$	$4.21 \pm 0.72$	$2.7 \pm 0.9$	4.0
	Katibougou	$0.59 \pm 0.16$	$0.78 \pm 0.24$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$0.36 \pm 0.13$	$1.35 \pm 0.52$	$0.8 \pm 0.2$	$2.54 \pm 1.01$	$5.74 \pm 0.96$	$3.9 \pm 0.6$	5.3
Wet savan- nas	Djougou*	$0.57 \pm 0.32$	$0.25 \pm 0.10$	$0.4 \pm 0.0$	$0.54\pm0.19$	$0.79\pm0.27$	0.7 ± 0.2	$2.11\pm0.57$	$2.45\pm0.67$	$2.3 \pm 0.8$	3.4
	Lamto	$0.54 \pm 0.16$	$0.37 \pm 0.15$	$0.4 \pm 0.1$	$0.90 \pm 0.29$	$0.59 \pm 0.17$	$0.7 \pm 0.1$	$3.91 \pm 1.29$	$3.07 \pm 0.52$	$3.4 \pm 1.0$	4.6
Forests	Zoetele Bomassa	$\begin{array}{c} 0.60 \pm 0.19 \\ 0.83 \pm 0.37 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.52 \pm 0.19 \\ 0.76 \pm 0.28 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.5 \pm 0.1 \\ 0.8 \pm 0.2 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 1.66 \pm 0.63 \\ 1.10 \pm 0.17 \end{array}$	$\begin{array}{c} 0.75 \pm 0.23 \\ 0.91 \pm 0.13 \end{array}$	$1.0 \pm 0.3$ $1.0 \pm 0.3$	$8.86 \pm 1.42$ 10.04 $\pm 2.99$	$9.91 \pm 2.35$ $10.09 \pm 1.71$	9.7 ± 2.2 10.01 ± 5.0	11.2 11.8

\* Deposition flux values of Agoufou and Djougou are averaged over the period 2005-2009.



**Fig. 1.** Vegetation and location map of the 7 measurement stations of the IDAF network. Only the 7 IDAF stations of West and Central Africa included in the present study are represented.





**Fig. 2.** Monthly variation of MODIS LAI averaged over the period 2000–2007 for IDAF sites. Vertical bars depict the standard deviation over the study period.





Discussion Paper **ACPD** 13, 11689–11744, 2013 **Dry deposition of** nitrogen compounds M. Adon et al. **Discussion** Paper **Title Page** Introduction Abstract Conclusions References **Discussion** Paper **Tables** Figures Close Back Full Screen / Esc **Discussion** Paper **Printer-friendly Version** Interactive Discussion

**Fig. 3.** Monthly variation of dry deposition velocity ( $V_d$ ) of NO<sub>2</sub>, NH<sub>3</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub>, O<sub>3</sub> and SO<sub>2</sub> averaged over the period 2002–2007 for IDAF sites. Vertical bars depict standard deviation over the study period.





**Fig. 4.** Evolution of monthly dry deposition fluxes of NO<sub>2</sub> (NO<sub>2</sub>-dd) in kgNha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> (1998–2007) on the transect dry savannas (a), wet savannas (b), forests (c), associated to evolution of NO<sub>2</sub> concentrations (ppb).



**Fig. 5.** Evolution of monthly dry deposition fluxes of  $HNO_3$  ( $HNO_3\_dd$ ) in kgNha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (1998–2007) on the transect dry savannas (**a**), wet savannas (**b**), forests (**c**), associated to evolution of  $HNO_3$  concentrations (ppb).





**Fig. 6.** Evolution of monthly dry deposition fluxes of  $NH_3$  ( $NH_3$ -dd) in kg N ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (1998–2007) on the transect dry savannas (a), wet savannas (b), forests (c), associated to evolution of  $NH_3$  concentrations (ppb).





**Fig. 7.** Annual dry deposition fluxes in kgNha<sup>-1</sup>yr<sup>-1</sup> (a) and percentage (b) of total N dry deposition fluxes attributed to NO<sub>2</sub>, HNO<sub>3</sub> and NH<sub>3</sub> over the period 1998–2007 at IDAF sites.





**Fig. 8.** Evolution of monthly dry deposition fluxes of  $SO_2$  ( $SO_2$ -dd) in kg Sha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (2002–2007) on the transect dry savannas (a), wet savannas (b), forests (c), associated to evolution of  $SO_2$  concentrations (ppb).





**Fig. 9.** Evolution of monthly dry deposition fluxes of  $O_3$  ( $O_3$ -dd) in kg ha<sup>-1</sup> yr<sup>-1</sup> (2001–2007) on the transect dry savannas (a), wet savannas (b), forests (c), associated to evolution of  $O_3$  concentrations (ppb).

