Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C830–C831, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C830/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

12, C830–C831, 2012

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Fractionation of sulfur isotopes during heterogeneous oxidation of SO₂ on sea salt aerosol: a new tool to investigate non-sea salt sulfate production in the marine boundary layer" by E. Harris et al.

A.-L. Norman (Referee)

alnorman@ucalgary.ca

Received and published: 22 March 2012

This paper outlines a series of carefully constructed experiments designed to determine sulfur isotope fractionation on heterogenous oxidation of SO2 on sea salt particles over a range in pH. The authors have taken care to examine and eliminate or account for biases due to the methodology used and have demonstrated a significant sulfur isotope fractionation exists. The paper is very well written, clearly defines the terminology applied (an unambiguous specification of what the authors refer to with respect to the fractionation factor alpha), and outlines succinctly the results and implications of their



Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



experiments. In particular, the oxidation of SO2 by NaOCI aerosols was found to be highly efficient and attended by an inverse isotope fractionation, producing sulfate that was isotopically lighter than the reactant by 11.8 ± 3.6 ‰ at 19oC. Oxidation of SO2 by O3 on sea salt aerosols gave the opposite result: sulfate was enriched in 34S by 12.4 \pm 1.7 ‰ at 19oC and the opposing fractionations for alkalinity-limited and non-limited pathyways can potentially explain why ambient samples downwind of polluted coastal airmasses show variable to no fractionation for SO2 oxidation. This is a very important publication that is expected to contribute significantly to our understanding of SO2 oxidation in the marine boundary layer through the isotope composition of atmospheric sulfur species.

There are a few issues the authors should address prior to publication. These are itemized below:

pg 2722 line 6. Explain where, when and why SO23- is formed. I'm not familiar with it.

pg 2724 line 5: Spell out how the value of $17.3 \pm 3.6 \%$ was derived. Adding up the various fractionation factors associated with equilibrium reactions shown in equations 6 through 10 gives +12 to +23 ‰ and the summation of the error is much higher than 3.6 ‰

pg 2724 line 17 versus line 24. Clarify what the difference (29% versus 40%) is due to - HOCI formed in the presence of photolysis?

pg 2727 line 1: lower appears twice in this sentence - once on the previous page and as the first word on this page.

ACPD

12, C830–C831, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 2707, 2012.