

Interactive comment on “Recent variability of the solar spectral irradiance and its impact on climate modelling” by I. Ermolli et al.

A. Robock

robock@envsci.rutgers.edu

Received and published: 10 October 2012

The title of this paper intrigued me, so I read the abstract, but it is an example of how not to write an abstract. An abstract needs to contain results, not tell us what the authors did. There is only one sentence in the entire abstract with any results, "the most recent SSI measurements show a larger variability in the UV spectral range and anomalous changes in the visible and near-infrared (NIR) bands with respect to those from earlier observations and from models." Everything else is about process. We don't want to know the subject of your study. We want to know what you found out.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 24557, 2012.

C7931