Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C762–C763, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C762/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



ACPD

12, C762-C763, 2012

Interactive Comment

Interactive comment on "Modelling atmospheric structure, cloud and their response to CCN in the Central Arctic: ASCOS case studies" by C. E. Birch et al.

Anonymous Referee #2

Received and published: 20 March 2012

This is an interesting paper that addresses important observations of clouds in the Arctic. Polar clouds are badly represented with NWP and Climate models and this is a good attempt to redress these failures. While I have no hesitation in recommending the paper for publication there are a few minor points that could be clarified.

The authors assume that the vertical distribution of LWC within the cloud is adiabatic (20 2565) and I wonder if that is true of the tenuous clouds observed in the 5th regime? I would like a bit explanation of the physics of the tenuous cloud.

I also wonder about the effect of open water leads on the albedo. The observed albedo seems to be much higher than the model albedo at most times even when a crude

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



estimate is made of the lead fraction. This could have quite a big impact on the model - could a better estimate of the area averaged albedo be made from satellite measurements?

Figure 2 has the temperature in K not degrees C - is think this may be a mistake.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 2559, 2012.

ACPD

12, C762-C763, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

