

This discussion paper is/has been under review for the journal Atmospheric Chemistry and Physics (ACP). Please refer to the corresponding final paper in ACP if available.

Global and regional trends of aerosol optical depth over land and ocean using SeaWiFS measurements from 1997 to 2010

N. C. Hsu¹, R. Gautam^{1,2}, A. M. Sayer^{1,2}, C. Bettenhausen^{1,3}, C. Li^{1,4},
M. J. Jeong⁵, S.-C. Tsay¹, and B. N. Holben¹

¹NASA Goddard Space Flight Center, Greenbelt, MD, USA

²Goddard Earth Sciences Technology And Research (GESTAR), Universities Space Research Association (USRA), Columbia, MD, USA

³Science Systems Applications Inc., Lanham, MD, USA

⁴University of Maryland, College Park, MD, USA

⁵Gangneung-Wonju National University, Gangneung City, Gangwon Province, Korea

Received: 5 March 2012 – Accepted: 20 March 2012 – Published: 29 March 2012

Correspondence to: N. C. Hsu (christina.hsu@nasa.gov)

Published by Copernicus Publications on behalf of the European Geosciences Union.

8465

Abstract

Both sensor calibration and satellite retrieval algorithm play an important role in the ability to determine accurately long-term trends from satellite data. Owing to the unprecedented accuracy and long-term stability of its radiometric calibration, the SeaWiFS measurements exhibit minimal uncertainty with respect to sensor calibration. In this study, we take advantage of this well-calibrated set of measurements by applying a newly-developed aerosol optical depth (AOD) retrieval algorithm over land and ocean to investigate the distribution of AOD, and to identify emerging patterns and trends in global and regional aerosol loading during its 13-yr mission. Our results indicate that the averaged AOD trend over global ocean is weakly positive from 1998 to 2010 and comparable to that observed by MODIS but opposite in sign to that observed by AVHRR during overlapping years. On a smaller scale, different trends are detected for different regions. For example, large upward trends are found over the Arabian Peninsula that indicate a strengthening of the seasonal cycle of dust emission and transport processes over the whole region as well as over downwind oceanic regions. In contrast, a negative-neutral tendency is observed over the desert/arid Saharan region as well as in the associated dust outflow over the North Atlantic. Additionally, we found decreasing trends over the Eastern US and Europe, and increasing trends over countries such as China and India that are experiencing rapid economic development. In general, these results are consistent with those derived from ground-based AERONET measurements.

1 Introduction

The impact of natural and anthropogenic sources of air pollution on Earth's weather and climate systems and their long-term tendencies have gained increasing attention from the scientific community in recent years. Indeed, tropospheric aerosols not only perturb the radiative energy balance by interacting with solar and terrestrial radiation

8466

* = references needed

and erratic global distribution
 you mean potential long-term trends. Aside from Zhang and Reid (2010), we really don't know of aerosol particles all trending in any significant way. Rephrase this for clarity.

They aren't required, per se, but they are definitely "useful"

(Ramanathan et al., 2001) but also by changing cloud properties and lifetimes (Rosenfeld et al., 2008). Furthermore, the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC, 2007) report indicates that the aerosol cooling effect could have partially counteracted warming from greenhouse gas increases over the past few decades. However, there are large uncertainties in the estimation of climate forcing from aerosols due to their complex nature and short lifetime. In order to achieve a better understanding of the spatial and temporal variability of aerosol distributions on both a regional and global scale, long-term satellite measurements of high fidelity are required. From this increased understanding we can then accurately evaluate aerosol effects in the climate models.

Despite its importance, deriving the small signal that represents the long-term trend in aerosol distribution from the large seasonal cycles and natural year-to-year variability which result from large-scale meteorology is highly challenging. In order to use satellite measurements to determine aerosol trends with the required level of robustness, several key elements must be addressed. They include: (1) accuracy, precision, and stability of the sensor calibration, (2) potential for sampling bias due to insufficient temporal or spatial coverage, (3) potential for algorithm bias (i.e., differences in the cloud screening scheme, temporal changes in the aerosol or surface properties that deviate from assumptions used in the retrieval algorithm), (4) sufficient length of the data record, and (5) potential effects of any measurement time drift due to the diurnal cycle of atmospheric aerosol loading. Early satellite sensors, such as the Advanced Very High Resolution Radiometer (AVHRR), provide a longer data record for trend determination compared to later, more advanced satellite sensors such as the Sea-viewing Wide Field-of-view Sensor (SeaWiFS), Moderate Resolution Imaging Spectroradiometer (MODIS), Multiangle Imaging Spectroradiometer (MISR), and others. However, due to the limited number of spectral bands and the lack of onboard calibration for these early sensors, the uncertainty associated with trends calculated using measurements from them can be significant.

Among the suite of NASA's Earth Observing System (EOS)-era satellites, the SeaWiFS instrument was primarily designed to measure ocean color; since its launch

8467

in 1997, it has been the major source for providing a comprehensive global data set of such measurements. However, the unprecedented set of well-calibrated radiances measured in the wavelength range from the visible (412 nm) to the near infrared (865 nm) also make it well-suited to providing information about atmospheric aerosols (McClain et al., 1998). In this study, we will attempt to estimate trends in aerosol optical depth (AOD) at 550 nm over land and ocean using SeaWiFS measurements from 1997 to 2010 using a new SeaWiFS aerosol dataset that has been produced as part of NASA's MEaSUREs project (<http://disc.sci.gsfc.nasa.gov/measures>).

We begin in Sect. 2 by evaluating the performance of the sensor calibration and aerosol retrieval algorithm throughout the entire SeaWiFS mission. Section 3 describes our methodology for trend determination. This is followed, in Sect. 4, by a discussion of the resulting annual and seasonal trends from SeaWiFS and comparisons to ground-based measurements from the Aerosol Robotic Network (AERONET, Holben et al., 1998). A summary and conclusions based on our study are given in the closing section.

2 Satellite data and their uncertainties for trend analysis

Since 90 % of the top-of-the-atmosphere (TOA) radiance received by a satellite sensor over ocean comes from contributions of the atmosphere such as Rayleigh and aerosol scattering, less than 10 % of the signal is attributable to the ocean itself. Consequently, the level of accuracy, precision, and long-term stability needed in radiometric measurements from such a sensor are much higher for ocean color retrievals than those needed for corresponding land or atmospheric products. As a result of rigorous on-orbit calibration techniques developed using a combination of lunar and solar diffuser measurements and vicarious procedures, the NASA Ocean Biology Processing Group (OBPG) Calibration and Validation Team achieved an extraordinary level of absolute accuracy (1–2 %), precision (0.1 %) and, most importantly, long-term stability (0.3 % over the 13-yr mission) for the TOA radiances from SeaWiFS (Eplee et al., 2011).

are

molecular

greatest

What bands?

8469

what does
+ his
mean }

exhibit

Need lat/lon
for each site.
What is
Table 1
then?

Ocean = Fig 2a
Land = Fig 2b

Why do you
introduce them
in text
backwards?

Over ocean, fewer sites are available, particularly for the early part of the time series, so only the years 1999–2010 are considered, from the AERONET sites at Arica, Capo Verde, Dakar, Helgoland, IMS METU-Erdemli, La Parguera, Nauru, Shirahama, and Venice. The intercept of $-0.008(\pm 0.005)$ and gradient of $-0.0014(\pm 0.0008)$ per year of the best-fit line are not significantly different from zero at the 95 % confidence level (the gradient is significant at the 90 % level, but is small in magnitude).

These results give confidence that the SeaWiFS aerosol dataset is suitable for the analysis of changes in AOD over this period. This does, of course, rely on the assumption that the evaluation at these sites is a good representation of the whole globe, although in the absence of long-term aerosol measurements at every location, this cannot be assessed directly.

3 Methodology

In order to show changes in spatial and temporal distribution of aerosol loading, linear trends were calculated based on the $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ spatial resolution monthly-mean SeaWiFS AOD data, from September 1997 to December 2010. These monthly datasets are calculated as the simple mean of the daily mean AOD for each month; the daily mean is computed from all those retrievals of sufficiently high QA (2 or 3 over ocean, 3 over land). In order to remove the large influence of the annual cycle, the data were first deseasonalized by obtaining the time series of AOT anomaly for each grid cell. The anomaly is defined as difference between monthly variation and climatology (i.e., the month-wise average over all the years). A least-squares fit was applied to the AOD anomaly time series to get the slope coefficient of the linear regression that represents annual trend (AOD yr^{-1}).

The statistical significance of the linear regression was assessed using the analysis of variance procedure which involves testing the F statistic and associated p value. The F statistic is referred to as the ratio between mean-squared error of regression and mean-squared error of residuals. The associated p -values (less than 0.05) are

8471

indicated as “dots” on global trend distribution maps for regions that are statistically significant at the 95 % confidence level, and the null hypothesis (that the regression coefficients are not statistically different from zero) can be rejected.

As least-squares regression can be sensitive to outliers, autocorrelation, and heteroscedasticity of errors in the input data, linear AOD trends were also estimated using the non-parametric Theil-Sen (aka “median gradients”) method, which is an estimator robust to these difficulties (Theil, 1950; Sen, 1968). Global trends calculated using the Theil-Sen method were within approximately 10 % of the least-squares regression values for all the sensors considered, suggesting the least-squares model is appropriate for this situation. As the least-squares regression technique more readily provides uncertainty estimates, only the least-squares results are used hereafter.

4 Results and discussions

4.1 Correlations between SeaWiFS AOD anomaly with ENSO and NAO

In order to estimate global and regional trends in AOD, we need to first examine the inter-annual variability of the aerosol distribution and its relationship with large-scale climatic variations such as the El Niño/La Niña-Southern Oscillation (ENSO) and the North Atlantic Oscillation (NAO). These large-scale meteorological processes could perturb the aerosol distributions through changes in aerosol emissions by altering the precipitation and soil moisture or ~~atmospheric~~ aerosol transport via varying ~~the~~ atmospheric circulation. The correlation coefficients (R) are calculated between deseasonalized monthly SeaWiFS AOD anomalies and the Multivariate ENSO Index (MEI; Wolter and Timlin, 1993, 1998) from 1997 to 2010.

As shown in Fig. 3a, the most prominent regions of high correlation with ENSO signatures are in the tropics near Indonesia and Central America. In Indonesia/Borneo, fires are primarily used to clear vegetation waste associated with deforestation for agricultural expansion and usually peak in the fall and spring season, when the air is relatively

Major Concern
See Notes

Thy and
Read 2010
Section 3

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

and

Reference

and/or

dry and precipitation is low. These smoke plumes, which can often stretch over hundreds of kilometers, are readily observed by satellites. The times series of SeaWiFS monthly AOD anomaly along with ENSO index is shown in Fig. 4 and indicates that the intensity of resulting smoke is predominantly linked to El-Nino-induced droughts over

5 this region; strong peaks are seen in the years 1997–1998, 2002, and 2006. Since → The amount of precipitation affects the interannual variability of biomass burning activities and dust emission through changes in surface moisture, ~~these~~ these effects could be accumulative, ~~we~~ also calculate the correlation coefficients between SeaWiFS AOD anomaly and the ENSO index with various time lags. Figure 3b, c shows
10 these AOD anomaly correlations with ENSO index leading by six months and one year, respectively. We note that the intensity of biomass burning smoke in Central America is sensitive not only to the ENSO-induced rainfall changes during the peak burning season, but also to those accumulated throughout several months prior to the start of burning (i.e., on a 6-month time lag as shown in Fig. 3b). The lag time for South America
15 appears to be even longer (i.e., 6 months to a year) compared to that for Central America. Such time lags between ENSO and fire season severity in South America have also been reported by Chen et al. (2011), with significant lagged correlations emerging on a 3–7 month time scale. However, there seems to be little time lag observed over Indonesia between AOD anomaly and ENSO-induced drought. This is probably indicative
20 of the differences in agriculture/land clearing practices between South America, Central America, and Indonesia.

The results shown in Fig. 5 indicate that summertime Saharan dust loadings highly correlate ($R > 0.5$ at 95 % confidence) with the ENSO index from 6 months earlier over
25 dust source regions in Northern Sahel, as well as over the Atlantic along the dust transport pathway reaching as far as Barbados, West Indies. This is consistent with the findings of Prospero and Lamb (2003) and Chiapello et al. (2005), who reported the close link between dust concentrations observed in Barbados followed by the occurrence of major ENSO events and the associated drought in Sahel. In contrast to the Sahara, which receives little rainfall each year, dust emissions over the Sahel are

8473

apparently more influenced by the year-to-year variability of the precipitation, as expected. Besides the transport route from the Sahel to Barbados, the high ENSO/AOD correlation results shown in Fig. 5 also indicate the possibility of a widespread association between ENSO and dust transport in the western and northern parts of the North
5 Atlantic along the edge of the Saharan dust outflow regions. This anomalous pattern also coincides with the main development region (MDR), primarily between 10°–20° N, of tropical cyclone activity in the Atlantic. This is likely to be linked to the effects of ENSO induced changes of the atmospheric dynamics and circulation on the summer time Saharan dust transport into the Atlantic.

10 The effects of the NAO on the Saharan dust distribution are also investigated as shown in Fig. 6. The NAO is one of the dominant factors of winter climate variability in the North Atlantic region, spanning Central North America and Europe. The NAO winter index used in this study is based upon the difference of normalized sea level pressure between Lisbon, Portugal and Stykkisholmur, Iceland (Hurrell, 1995). Based
15 upon the results in Fig. 6, a sizeable and statistically significant (at 95 % confidence) correlation ($R > 0.5$) ~~between~~ is apparent between the SeaWiFS AOD anomaly and NAO index over extensive areas encompassing the western part of the North African continent into the North Atlantic. In addition, we also observe a secondary branch of positive correlation that is statistically significant along the pollution outflow of the
20 Eastern US across the most northern edge of the Atlantic. We found no statistically significant correlation over this region for seasons other than winter. This is consistent with the findings of Ginoux et al. (2004) and Chiapello et al. (2005) based upon the 17-yr record of TOMS data (1979–2000, except for 1982 and 1993–1996).

25 These results suggest that, while the NAO has a large influence on the wintertime dust distribution over the Atlantic, significant variability of the AOD anomaly in this region is controlled by ~~the~~ ENSO during the summer months. We also note that large scale meteorological events such as ENSO and NAO appear to have larger impacts on the interannual variability of aerosol distribution across the tropics, either in the dust-laden or biomass burning smoke-dominated regions, than in the extratropical zones.

8474

is all of this detail relevant? looks tangential?

Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper | Discussion Paper

This is a critical statement, and one I address further in the notes

Therefore, trend determination in the tropical regions is more susceptible to the influence of these large-scale climatic driving forces and consequently more difficult to derive, as compared to those outside the tropics.

4.2 Global trend

Figure 7 shows ⁵ comparisons of the time series of absolute AOD and AOD anomaly, averaged over global ocean from SeaWiFS with those from MODIS Terra (data record beginning in 2000) and Aqua (beginning 2002). Although there is an offset between MODIS Terra and Aqua in terms of absolute values of AOD, the short-term tendency during the overlapping period is similar between the two (Zhang and Reid, 2010). This comparison shows that the interannual variability of the SeaWiFS AOD anomaly follows both MODIS Terra and Aqua until mid-2009. From 2009 onwards, the SeaWiFS AOD anomaly is higher than that from MODIS, resulting in a more positive trend over global ocean compared to the MODIS values. According to Fig. 2, the comparisons of SeaWiFS retrieved AOD at 550 nm with the AERONET values do not indicate any drift from the zero line after the mid 2009.

It is worth pointing out that long-term global ocean AOD measurements based on AVHRR data have been shown to have a downward tendency for the period 1982–2005 (Mischenko et al., 2007; Zhao et al., 2008). Although revised calibration procedures applied to AVHRR radiances have broadly resulted in comparable AOD when compared to MODIS (Zhao et al., 2008; Li et al., 2009), the short-term trends of AOD in the period of overlap between MODIS and AVHRR are opposite in sign (positive for MODIS and negative for AVHRR) for the period 2000–2005 (Li et al., 2009; Zhang and Reid, 2010). This downward trend in AVHRR data continues until 2009, based on our analysis of the (PATMOS) AOD data (not shown), which is in contrast to the trends observed from SeaWiFS and MODIS from this study.

The difference in sign associated with trends in the past decade could partly be due to the overall calibration accuracy/orbital drift in the AVHRR data record. Thomas et al. (2010) compared changes in AOD between Along-Track Scanning Radiometer 2

8475

(ATSR-2) and AVHRR data for 1995–2001. They found that the global downward trend in the AVHRR AOD was largely driven by the southern oceans, for which the latitudinal range of sampling during daylight hours changed significantly during the study period, due to drifts in the AVHRR platform's orbit. Even for those regions where daylight availability is not an issue, diurnal variability in cloud cover can lead to changes in the availability of clear-sky pixels for AOD retrieval as the satellites drift, resulting in further sampling bias (e.g., Devasthale et al., 2012). The drifts in orbit time for the AVHRR sensors were generally larger than for SeaWiFS, and when compositing multiple AVHRR records together to create a longer time series, there are time discontinuities when one satellite is replaced by the next. Additionally, other factors contributing to this noted difference may be related to data sampling issues arising from different cloud-screening schemes employed by AVHRR and SeaWiFS/MODIS.

Also shown in Fig. 7 is the time series of over-ocean AOD and anomaly from the data assimilation (DA) grade MODIS-Terra dataset, which is ¹⁵ an attempt to create a product suitable for DA through bias correction and additional quality screening of the MODIS Collection 5 aerosol product (Zhang and Reid, 2006; Shi et al., 2011). Only the period 2000–2009 is available. These time series track the SeaWiFS record very closely. This is consistent with Sayer et al. (2012), who found, for collocated daily DA MODIS-Terra and SeaWiFS data over ocean, a correlation of 0.87 and bias of -0.006 between the two (SeaWiFS lower). In contrast, the Collection 5 MODIS Terra had a slightly lower correlation with SeaWiFS (0.80), and SeaWiFS a more negative bias (-0.027). Only Terra data are shown; the offset between the two MODIS sensors becomes smaller in the DA-MODIS product (Shi et al., 2011; Sayer et al., 2012).

Zhang and Reid (2010) found, using a similar methodology to this study, an annual trend of 0.001 yr^{-1} in global-average over-ocean DA MODIS Terra AOD (and a similar figure noted for Aqua). This is in good agreement with the results of this study from both the DA MODIS and SeaWiFS records. However, after performing a correction to both the Terra and Aqua data to account for a drift in the quality of the validation statistics against AERONET since 2005 (Levy et al., 2010; Zhang and Reid, 2010),

8476

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

Discussion Paper

respectively,

again, is this not tangential?

you have references that have already considered this issue, no?

based on

it is? you haven't provided a number for SeaWiFS yet?

the magnitude of this trend decreased by a factor of three, and it became statistically insignificant. Their best estimate is therefore that there has been no significant change in global-average over-ocean AOD during this period.

The resulting annual trends of SeaWiFS AOD anomaly over both land and ocean are derived for each $1^\circ \times 1^\circ$ grid cell and are shown in Fig. 8. Points marked with dots indicate trends significant at the 95% confidence level (p -value < 0.05). For comparison, we also calculate trends based upon AERONET measurements over selected sites using the same methodology, where sufficient and more-or-less continuous data records were acquired over a similar time period to SeaWiFS. These AERONET values and the corresponding SeaWiFS trends are listed in Table 1. Also, to help visualize the SeaWiFS and AERONET trend comparisons in the context of the surrounding regions and over the whole globe, we superimpose the locations of AERONET sites on top of the SeaWiFS annual trend map in Fig. 7. The black and pink boxes and symbol (\times) represent negative, positive, and negligible trends from AERONET data, respectively.

In general, the annual trends from 1998 to 2010 are small over most of the world. However, for the mineral dust dominant regions, strong positive trends are seen over the Arabian Peninsula, while negligible trends of no statistical significance exist over most of the desert regions in China. In contrast, there is a negative tendency in the surrounding regions of the western part of North Africa as well as the Atlantic. This downward trend, which is most likely associated with the decreasing export of Saharan dust from North Africa into the Atlantic, is also reflected in the AERONET data at Capo Verde. For fine mode anthropogenic aerosols, positive trends are observed in the fast developing countries over India and Eastern/Southern China, most likely associated with an increase in urban/industrial pollution. Negative trends are also seen over Eastern US and Europe, which are consistent with the AERONET trends at GSFC/COVE, and IFT-Leipzig, Germany, respectively. The downward trends over Europe and the Eastern US may partly be due to a decrease in pollution aerosols associated with emission control. For biomass burning regions, although there seems to be considerable downward trend over the Central America, the estimated trends are not statistically

See notes

8477

significant for other areas such as South America, North America, Africa, and South-east Asia.

Finally, it is evident from the results shown in Table 1 that, except for Capo Verde and COVE, most of the island/coastal AERONET measurements exhibit either positive or negligible trends over the tropical Pacific (Tahiti and Nauru), South Atlantic (Ascension Island), the Caribbean (La Parguera), and the Northwest Pacific (Shirahama). The corresponding trend values derived from the SeaWiFS records seem to be comparable to those from the AERONET data.

4.3 Seasonal and regional trends

Since, as shown in Fig. 1, there is strong seasonality in both the emission intensities of natural and anthropogenic aerosols over various source regions and their impact on large-scale aerosol distributions, trend analyses were performed separately for each season. These seasonal trends were calculated over each $10^\circ \times 10^\circ$ grid for the DJF, MAM, JJA and SON time spans and are presented in Fig. 9. The corresponding time series of seasonal mean AOD anomaly over Northern India (20° – 30° N, 75° – 85° E), Eastern China (30° – 40° N, 110° – 120° E), the Arabian Peninsula (10° – 35° N, 35° – 60° E), Europe (43° – 55° N, 0° – 30° E), and the Eastern United States (30° – 45° N, 70° – 90° W) are shown in Fig. 10. In order to have a reasonable temporal distribution in the analysis, trends were computed based on the time series of individual months for a particular season (stacked together), instead of calculating a seasonal mean trend. In other words, a slope coefficient was estimated from a time series consisting of DJF 1997, followed by DJF 1998 and so forth, thus from a total of 39 data points. Linear trends and their significance are shown in Fig. 9 in the same fashion as in Fig. 8.

It is apparent from the results shown in Fig. 9 that there are strong positive trends over both the Arabian Peninsula as well as the surrounding Arabian Sea, especially during spring and summer seasons. Strong upward trends are also found over the adjoining Persian Gulf. Thus, it is likely that the increasing aerosol load over the Arabian Peninsula, together with the increase over the Northern Arabian Sea, has contributed

? km?

8478

In contrast to the strong upward trends over the Arabian Peninsula, there appears to be negative trends over the Saharan dust dominant regions in Western African and

Over East Asia, the aerosol loading appears to exhibit negligible trends of no statistical significance in Eastern China during the active dust outbreak season (i.e., spring-time); the corresponding AOD anomaly time series is depicted in Fig. 10 (top-right panel). The amount of mineral dust reaching this region seems to decrease from 1998 to 2004–2005, but became quite high again in the later years (2006–2010). Overall, the insignificant trends for the dust-dominant season over Eastern China are due to large inter-annual variability in the observed aerosol loading. In South Asia, the regions over Northern India along the Indo-Gangetic plain are often influenced during the pre-monsoon season with heavy dust plumes transported from the Thar Desert in North-western India (Gautam et al., 2011). Based upon Fig. 10 (top-left panel), these dust activities seem to increase significantly from 1998 and peak around 2003. However, since 2003 the dust intensity is seen to steadily decrease during the spring (MAM). Recent studies based on satellite observations from MISR and MODIS have also alluded to this decrease in dust loading over Northern India from 2000 onwards (Dey and Di Girolamo, 2011; Kaskaoutis et al., 2011). However, since the SeaWiFS data record spans a longer time, our results indicate an overall slightly positive trend from 1998 to 2010 over Northern India. For the rest of the world, there are no statistically-significant trends over arid and semi-arid regions, including over Australia and the Southwest US.

Where is
this
quantified?

Wait
... huh?
do you
mean
Fig. 11?

For biomass burning regions such as Indonesia, South America, and in particular Central America, ~~the~~ aerosol trends are observed to be negative from 1998 to 2010. However, the year-to-year variability of smoke emission over these regions are most likely driven by the ENSO-induced effects discussed in the previous section. Our calculations also show that there are no observed aerosol trends of statistical significance over Southeast Asia (i.e., Indo-China) as well as regions in North America, Europe, and Siberia where forest fires frequently occur. *Regions*

~~Over regions where anthropogenic fine-mode aerosols are prevalent,~~ Our study shows that there are downward trends in AOD over the Eastern US and Europe during the summer months (i.e., the peak season of air pollution build-up in these regions). However, for ~~the~~ rapidly developing countries in Asia, ~~the~~ AOD tendencies are positive. In particular, during the heavy winter haze months, significant upward trends are observed in the regions of Eastern and Southern China, the East China Sea, and in Northern and Central India and the surrounding oceanic regions, particularly over the Bay of Bengal. In contrast to the weak tendencies in the boreal spring/pre-monsoon months that are largely due to inter-annual variability of dust transport, the relatively stable conditions during dry winter/post-monsoon period favors accumulation of aerosols/pollutants. Hence, the upward trends are most likely due to increase in regional urban/industrial pollution. Yoon et al. (2011b), using SeaWiFS data (with a different AOD retrieval algorithm) found positive trends in AOD during springtime and fall over the Pearl River delta in China; positive trends in this region are also seen in this study, although only statistically-significant on an annual, rather than seasonal scale due to frequent cloud cover. In terms of overall trends, it is interesting to note that both East and South Asia exhibit similar patterns during the high aerosol loading seasons, i.e., a systematic increasing trend during the winter haze period and a rather variable AOD distribution during the dust-dominant spring/pre-monsoon season (Fig. 10). A recent study also indicates upward trends in fine-mode anthropogenic aerosols over China and India using inventory emissions data for sulfate and carbonaceous aerosols (Lu et al., 2011).

8481

4.4 Zonal average trend

In order to help study the radiative effects of aerosols on Earth's climate, we also compute zonal-averaged trends (averaged from 180° W to 180° E over both land and ocean) based upon this 13 yr SeaWiFS data record; these trends are displayed in Fig. 12 (right panel). Trends from zonal mean of AOD anomalies for both annual and seasonal averages are calculated and shown from 55° S to 55° N at 5° intervals. The corresponding zonal-averaged absolute AOD values are also depicted in Fig. 12 (left panel) for comparison. As expected, the absolute AOD is much higher in the Northern Hemisphere compared to the Southern Hemisphere, which is mostly dominated by the oceans and is, in general, pristine. Most of the tropospheric aerosol burden resides between the equator and 40° N for all four seasons, except for the springtime Asian dust outbreak *that* which peaks at mid-latitudes in the Northern Hemisphere, and the biomass burning activities over South America, Africa, and Southeast Asia in the Southern Hemisphere during the fall months (SON).

As shown in Fig. 12 (right panel), the corresponding overall annual trends are mostly positive over the latitude zone from equator to 30° N, where most of the aerosol sources reside. Although there are significant self-compensating positive and negative trends within this zone as depicted in Fig. 8. On a seasonal basis, the primary peak tendency in AOD occurs during the winter months around 25°–40° N and is largely associated with changes ^{over} in India and China as well as adjacent waters. However, the springtime zonal mean trends are slightly negative around 35°–45° N due to weak decreases over the dust source regions in Northwestern China, while remaining positive in the 10°–30° N latitude zone as a result of larger increasing trends in the Arabian Peninsula dominating over the decreasing trend in Central America. The net positive summer trends over the tropics and subtropics in the Northern Hemisphere are also a product of the strong increasing Arabian trends and the weaker decreasing trends in the Saharan dust dominant Atlantic Ocean. Compared to those in the Northern Hemisphere, the aerosol emissions in Southern Hemisphere, which are mostly related to biomass burn-

8482

ing activities, peak in the fall (SON) months. Most of the aerosol tendency in this region is not statistically significant, especially near the smoke sources over land, due to large year-to-year fluctuation. However, a significant positive trend around 35° S is detected, which may be linked to the increasing amount of biomass burning smoke transported out of Southern Australia and Southeastern Africa. Overall, significant upward trends in annual mean AOD are found over the tropics and sub-tropics. They are largely amplified by the increasing dust emissions and outflow from the Arabian Peninsula during boreal spring and summer seasons.

5 Conclusions

- Compared to other satellite sensors, SeaWiFS has unprecedentedly high accuracy and long-term stability (1–2 % and 0.3 %, respectively) in radiometric calibration as a result of rigorous lunar, solar and vicarious calibrations performed by the OBPG team. Therefore, the corresponding long-term drift in SeaWiFS AOD time series due to sensor calibration is negligible. However, as described in Sect. 1, several other factors in addition to calibration, such as retrieval algorithm deficiency, could also contribute to the temporal variability of satellite-retrieved AOD data records. In order to examine the effect of retrieval algorithm on trend analysis, we compare the SeaWiFS v003 AOD products against ground-based AERONET measurements acquired over the 13 yr mission lifetime. The results indicate that there is no apparent year-to-year shift over either land or ocean between these two measurements, suggesting that the SeaWiFS v003 data are suitable for estimating the interannual changes in AOD on both global and regional scales.

Our trend analyses based upon the SeaWiFS data from 1998 to 2010 show that the global annual trend of AOD during this period, although weakly positive, is essentially negligible when compared to the magnitudes of contributions from other factors, including large-scale meteorological events such as ENSO and NAO. Our estimated SeaWiFS trend over global ocean is comparable to and has the same sign as the trend

8483

derived from the MODIS sensors aboard Terra and Aqua. However, it is in opposite sign to AVHRR data during the overlapping years. On regional scales, the estimated trends in this study suggest that the AOD tendency could be significantly large. We note that there are decreasing trends over the Eastern US and Europe, possibly due to a corresponding decrease manmade air pollution levels. Yet, over the rapidly developing countries, such as China and India, significant increasing trends in AOD are seen in these source regions and their surrounding downwind oceans, particularly during the dry winter/post-monsoon months when the atmosphere is relatively stable, thus favoring accumulation of aerosols.

- For the mineral dust-dominated parts of the world, strong positive trends are detected over the Arabian Peninsula and the adjacent waters. In contrast, a negative tendency is observed in the emission and export of Saharan dust over the Western North Africa and the North Atlantic. Overall, based on 13 yr of data, a relatively contrasting pattern in trends seem to have emerged in the tropics/subtropics largely modulated by dust emissions and transport processes encompassing the Saharan arid lands and the Arabian Peninsula and their downwind oceanic regions, with downward and upward tendencies, respectively.

In order to reduce the uncertainty in estimating climate forcing caused by tropospheric aerosols, it is important to produce the best quality climatology of aerosol properties with sufficient data length by merging aerosol information obtained from the multiple satellite sensors that are currently available. SeaWiFS data extends from 1997 to 2010, which has many overlapping years with MODIS, MISR, AVHRR, and TOMS, and thus provides a good benchmark to characterize instrument drifts due to calibration for other satellite measurements. However, 13 yr of satellite measurements is not enough to establish long-term trends of aerosols, particularly in the tropics where the ENSO and other large-scale climatic factors could play a large role in modulating aerosol variability. Therefore, it is imperative to continue the high quality-EOS aerosol data records from SeaWiFS and MODIS with Visible and Infrared Imager Radiometer Suite (VIIRS) measurements onboard the currently operational Suomi NPP satellite

retrievals have exhibited

you have not quantitatively proven this.

how do you know this

and to be flown on future JPSS satellites to achieve the statistically adequate length of data records necessary for climate studies.

Acknowledgement. This work was supported by the NASA MEaSUREs program, managed by Martha Maiden. The authors gratefully acknowledge the efforts made by the SeaWiFS Ocean Biology Processing Group for producing the SeaWiFS level 1 data, the SeaDAS software, and NCEP meteorological fields for SeaWiFS retrievals. We would also like to express our gratitude to several AERONET Pls in establishing and maintaining the long-term stations used in this investigation. We thank Jingfeng Huang for useful discussions related to Saharan dust activity and ENSO.

References

- Chen, Y., Randerson, J. T., Morton, D. C., DeFries, R. S., Collatz, G. J., Kasibhatla, P. S., Giglio, L., Jin, Y., and Marlier, M. E.: Forecasting fire season severity in South America using sea surface temperature anomalies, *Science*, 334, 787, 2011.
- Chiapello, I., Moulin, C., and Prospero, J. M.: Understanding the long-term variability of African dust transport across the Atlantic as recorded in both Barbados surface concentrations and large-scale Total Ozone Mapping Spectrometer (TOMS) optical thickness, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 110, D18S10, doi:10.1029/2004JD005132, 2005.
- Devasthale, A., Karlsson, K.-G., Quaas, J., and Grassl, H.: Correcting orbital drift signal in the time series of AVHRR derived convective cloud fraction using rotated empirical orthogonal function, *Atmos. Meas. Tech.*, 5, 267–273, doi:10.5194/amt-5-267-2012, 2012.
- Dey, S. and Di Girolamo, L.: A decade of change in aerosol properties over the Indian subcontinent, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 38, L14811, doi:10.1029/2011GL048153, 2011.
- Eplee, R. E., Meister Jr., G., Patt, F. S., Franz, B. A., and McClain, C. R.: Uncertainty assessment of the SeaWiFS on-orbit calibration, *Proc. SPIE*, 8153, 815310, 2011.
- Ginoux, P., Prospero, J., Torres, O., and Chin, M.: Long-term simulation of global dust distribution with the GOCART model: correlation with North Atlantic Oscillation, *Environ. Modell. Softw.*, 19, 113–128, 2004.
- Gautam, R., Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S. C., Lau, K. M., Holben, B., Bell, S., Smirnov, A., Li, C., Hansell, R., Ji, Q., Payra, S., Aryal, D., Kayastha, R., and Kim, K. M.: Accumulation of aerosols over the Indo-Gangetic plains and southern slopes of the Himalayas: distribution, properties and radiative effects during the 2009 pre-monsoon season, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12841–12863, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12841-2011, 2011.
- Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Tanre, D., Buis, J. P., Setzer, A., Vermote, E., Reagan, J. A., Kaufman, Y., Nakajima, T., Lavenue, F., Jankowiak, I., and Smirnov, A.: AERONET – a federated instrument network and data archive for aerosol characterization, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 66, 1–16, 1998.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S.-C., King, M. D., and Herman, J. R.: Aerosol properties over bright-reflecting source regions, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 42, 557–569, 2004.
- Hsu, N. C., Tsay, S.-C., King, M. D., and Herman, J. R.: Deep Blue retrievals of Asian aerosol properties during ACE-Asia, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 44, 3180–3195, 2006.
- Hurrell, J. W.: Decadal trend in the North Atlantic Oscillation: regional temperatures and precipitations, *Science*, 269, 676–679, 1995.
- Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC): The scientific basis, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, UK and New York, NY, USA, 996 pp., 2007.
- Kaskaoutis, D. G., Kharol, S. K., Sinha, P. R., Singh, R. P., Badarinath, K. V. S., Mehdi, W., and Sharma, M.: Contrasting aerosol trends over South Asia during the last decade based on MODIS observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 4, 5275–5323, doi:10.5194/amtd-4-5275-2011, 2011.
- Levy, R. C., Remer, L. A., Kleidman, R. G., Mattoo, S., Ichoku, C., Kahn, R., and Eck, T. F.: Global evaluation of the Collection 5 MODIS dark-target aerosol products over land, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10399–10420, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10399-2010, 2010.
- Li, Z., Zhao, X., Kahn, R., Mishchenko, M., Remer, L., Lee, K. H., Wang, M., Laszlo, I., Nakajima, T., and Maring, H.: Uncertainties in satellite remote sensing of aerosols and impact on monitoring its long-term trend: a review and perspective, *Ann. Geophys.*, 27, 2755–2770, 2009, <http://www.ann-geophys.net/27/2755/2009/>.
- Lu, Z., Zhang, Q., and Streets, D. G.: Sulfur dioxide and primary carbonaceous aerosol emissions in China and India, 1996–2010, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 9839–9864, doi:10.5194/acp-11-9839-2011, 2011.
- Meister, G., Franz, B. A., Kwiatkowska, E. J., and McClain, C. R.: Corrections to the calibration of MODIS aqua ocean color bands derived from SeaWiFS data, *IEEE Trans. Geosci. Remote Sens.*, 50, 310–319, 2012.

- Mishchenko, M. I., Geogdzhayev, I. V., Rossow, W. B., Cairns, B., Carlson, B. E., Lacis, A. A., Liu, L., and Travis, L. D.: Long-term satellite record reveals likely recent aerosol trend, *Science*, 315, 1543, doi:10.1126/science.1136709, 2007.
- Prospero, J. M. and Lamb, P. J.: African droughts and dust transport to the Caribbean: Climate change implications, *Science*, 302, 1024–1027, 2003.
- ⁵ Ramanathan, V., Crutzen, P. J., Kiehl, J. T., and Rosenfeld, D.: Aerosols, climate and the hydrological cycle, *Science*, 294, 2119–2124, 2001.
- Rosenfeld, D., Lohmann, U., Raga, G. B., O'Dowd, C. D., Kulmala, M., Fuzzi, S., Reissell, A., and Andreae, M. O.: Flood or drought: how do aerosols affect precipitation?, *Science*, 321, 1309–1313, doi:10.1126/science.1160606, 2008.
- ¹⁰ Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., Ahmad, Z., Holben, B. N., Smirnov, A., Thomas, G. E., and Zhang, J.: SeaWiFS Ocean Aerosol Retrieval (SOAR): algorithm, validation, and comparison with other datasets, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 117, D03206, doi:10.1029/2011JD016599, 2012a.
- ¹⁵ Sayer, A. M., Hsu, N. C., Bettenhausen, C., Jeong, M. J., Holben, B. N., and Zhang, J.: Global and regional evaluation of over-land spectral aerosol optical depth retrievals from SeaWiFS, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 5, 2169–2220, doi:10.5194/amtd-5-2169-2012, 2012.
- Sen, P. K.: Estimates of the regression coefficient based on Kendall's tau, *J. Am. Stat. Assoc.*, 63, 1379–1389, 1968.
- ²⁰ Shi, Y., Zhang, J., Reid, J. S., Holben, B., Hyer, E. J., and Curtis, C.: An analysis of the collection 5 MODIS over-ocean aerosol optical depth product for its implication in aerosol assimilation, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 557–565, doi:10.5194/acp-11-557-2011, 2011.
- Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Dubovik, O., and Slutsker, I.: Cloud-screening and quality control algorithms for the AERONET database, *Remote Sens. Environ.*, 73, 337–349, doi:10.1016/S0034-4257(00)00109-7, 2000.
- ²⁵ Smirnov, A., Holben, B. N., Eck, T. F., Slutsker, I., Chatenet, B., and Pinker, R. T.: Diurnal variability of aerosol optical depth observed at AERONET (Aerosol Robotic Network) sites, *Geophys. Res. Lett.*, 29, 2115, doi:10.1029/2002GL016305, 2002.
- Theil, H.: A rank-invariant method of linear and polynomial regression analysis. I, II, III, *Nederl. Akad. Wetensch. Proc.*, 53, 386–392, 521–525, 1397–1412, 1950.
- ³⁰ Thomas, G. E., Poulsen, C. A., Siddans, R., Sayer, A. M., Carboni, E., Marsh, S. H., Dean, S. M., Grainger, R. G., and Lawrence, B. N.: Validation of the GRAPE single view aerosol retrieval

8487

- for ATSR-2 and insights into the long term global AOD trend over the ocean, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 4849–4866, doi:10.5194/acp-10-4849-2010, 2010.
- Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S.: Monitoring ENSO in COADS with a seasonally adjusted principal component index. *Proc. of the 17th Climate Diagnostics Workshop*, Norman, OK, NOAA/NMCC/CAC, NSSL, Oklahoma Clim. Survey, CIMMS and the School of Meteor., Univ. of Oklahoma, Norman, OK, 52–57, 1993.
- ⁵ Wolter, K. and Timlin, M. S.: Measuring the strength of ENSO events – how does 1997/98 rank?, *Weather*, 53, 315–324, 1998.
- Yoon, J., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Kokhanovsky, A. A., Vountas, M., and Burrows, J. P.: Trend analysis of the Aerosol Optical Thickness and Ångström Exponent derived from the global AERONET spectral observations, *Atmos. Meas. Tech. Discuss.*, 4, 5325–5388, doi:10.5194/amtd-4-5325-2011, 2011a.
- ¹⁰ Yoon, J., von Hoyningen-Huene, W., Vountas, M., and Burrows, J. P.: Analysis of linear long-term trend of aerosol optical thickness derived from SeaWiFS using BAER over Europe and South China, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 11, 12149–12167, doi:10.5194/acp-11-12149-2011, 2011b.
- ¹⁵ Zhang, J. and Reid, J. S.: MODIS aerosol product analysis for data assimilation: assessment of over-ocean level 2 aerosol optical thickness retrievals, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 111, D22207, doi:10.1029/2005JD006898, 2006.
- ²⁰ Zhang, J. and Reid, J. S.: A decadal regional and global trend analysis of the aerosol optical depth using a data-assimilation grade over-water MODIS and Level 2 MISR aerosol products, *Atmos. Chem. Phys.*, 10, 10949–10963, doi:10.5194/acp-10-10949-2010, 2010.
- Zhao, T. X.-P., Laszlo, I., Guo, W., Heidinger, A., Cao, C., Jelenak, A., Tarpley, D., and Sullivan, J.: Study of long-term trend in aerosol optical thickness observed from operational AVHRR satellite instrument, *J. Geophys. Res.*, 113, D07201, doi:10.1029/2007JD009061, 2008.
- ²⁵

8488




Fig. 2. Yearly variations in the differences between SeaWiFS and AERONET AOD over (a) ocean and (b) land. Red is the mean difference in each year, while solid black is the median for each year. Dotted black are the 68 % confidence interval.

8491




Fig. 3. Correlation coefficients of SeaWiFS deseasonalized monthly AOD anomaly with the multivariate ENSO index (MEI) (a) from the same month, (b) leading by 6 months, and (c) leading by 1 yr. Dots indicate significance at 95 % confidence level.

8492




Fig. 4. Time series of AOD anomaly and ENSO Index over Indonesia region (8°S – 8°N , 90°E – 130°E).

8493




Fig. 5. Lagged correlation of summertime average (JJA) mean AOD anomaly with winter (DJ) mean ENSO Index leading by 6 months. Dots indicate significance at 95 % confidence level.

8494




Fig. 8. Linear trend based upon deseasonalised monthly anomaly of AOD at 550 nm. Units are AOD yr^{-1} . Dots indicate significance at 95 % confidence level. The black and pink boxes and symbol (x) represent the ground based AERONET locations with AOD tendency of positive, negative, and negligible values, respectively.

Very difficult to see!

What resolution?

8497






Fig. 9. Seasonal trends of SeaWiFS AOD anomaly from January 1998 to December 2010. Dots indicate significance at 95 % confidence level. Units are AOD yr^{-1} . The gray color represents the regions with insufficient sampling sizes for trend analysis due to the frequent coverage of clouds and snow/ice.

What resolution?

8498



8499



8500




Fig. 12. The 13-yr SeaWiFS zonally averaged AOD values (left) and the corresponding trends per year (right) from 55° S to 55° N (land and ocean) for annual and four seasonal means denoted by different color lines.

