Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C3255–C3257, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C3255/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



### **ACPD**

12, C3255-C3257, 2012

Interactive Comment

# Interactive comment on "Ozone production in the megacities of Tianjin and Shanghai, China: a comparative study" by L. Ran et al.

## **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 5 June 2012

The paper deals with the ozone production in two densely populated and highly industrialized regions in VR China also presenting speciated hydrocarbon measurements of the two regions. The paper contains interesting material from a part of the world from which information regarding air pollution is still sparse. I only recommend publication if the following points are modified or considered in a careful way. Main points: 1. The authors point out that "In general, the ozone problem in Shanghai is on urban scale" (see page (p) 9163, line 17-18) ... Whereas "By contrast, the ozone problem in Tianiin is a regional problem" (see p. 9163, line 18-20): I don't believe, that this general conclusion can be deduced from the presented ground-based measurements because they only refer to very few ground-based receptor sites covering only limited sampling times. However, (only) when additionally including the NO2 satellite measurements of OMI presented Figure 1 one might argue, that the related photooxidant problem is

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



C3255

expected to extend over a much larger area in the Tianjin region (Beijing and surroundings) than the area of Shanghai. 2. I would prefer to describe the field measurements of the organic compounds as Non-Methane hydrocarbon measurements (NM-HC) instead of VOC-measurements because the term VOC (Volatile Organic Compounds) is much broader than hydrocarbons also including carbonyls, chlorofluorocarbons, hydrogenated chlorofluorocarbons, etc. which are not measured nor discussed in this paper. 3. It might be valuable to check the English by a native English speaker. 4. Do what extend differs the submitted paper from the papers of Ran et al., 2009 and Ran et al., 2011 ? Are the measurements discussed in this paper the same as presented in the earlier papers? If yes which aspects are new in the submitted paper? I expect clarification in this question in the last paragraph of the introduction (not only learning in the middle of the paper that several aspects were already discussed the earlier papers, e.g. p. 9173, line 17; p. 9174, line 9; p. 9174, line 17; p. 9178, line 13-15). Specific points: Abstract: 5. Page (p) 9163, line 9: I suggest to write: Two intensive ... at an urban and a suburban site of Tianiin and in Shanghai, in addition to ... Introduction: 6. p. 9163, line 26: The references of Streets and Waldhoff, 2000 and Klimont et la., 2001) seem rather old to me and I suggest to use more recent references, possibly referring to Van der A, R.J., et al. (2008), Trends, seasonal variability and dominant NOx source derived for a ten year record of NO2 measured from space, J. Geophys. Res. 113, D04302, doi:10.1029/2007JD009021? Experiments and methodology: 7. p. 9165, line 16: How large is the spatial extension of NCP? Is the region 1150E to 114oE/37oN to 38oN part of NCP ? 8. p. 9165, line 16: I cannot see the Bahai Bay in Fig. 1a. 9. p. 9167, second paragraph: hydrocarbon sampling: The period of sampling is rather short (about one week, not simultaneously at the sites) so that the representativity of the measurements is restricted because of the variability due to meteorology. 10. p. 9167, second paragraph: You might refer to regarding analytical technique to Ran et al., 2009 (if applicable). 11. p. 9168, second paragraph, starting at line 6: Is this selection of measurements based on meteorological conditions only applied to O3. NOx and CO measurements or also to NM-HC measurements? Results and dis-

## **ACPD**

12, C3255-C3257, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

**Discussion Paper** 



cussion: 12. p. 9172, Characterization of hydrocarbon measurements: only averages of NM-HC are presented in the paper: I suggest also to summarize the distribution of the individual hydrocarbon concentrations NM-HC, possibly as tables in an annex – e.g. presenting together with mean values the largest and smallest concentrations measured for the individual compounds at the 4 sites. 13. p. 9172, Tab. 1: For me it is quite remarkable (and could/should be explicitly mentioned in the manuscript), that the total amount of hydrocarbons in ppb is substantially larger at Wuqing than at Tieta despite the fact that Tieta is the urban site and Wuqing is the suburban site in the Beijing area (see e.g. Table 1) which is explained by the authors by the (large) evaporation of alkanes at Wuqing. 14. p. 9174, line 27: do you mean "..... Jinshan is a sparsely populated (instead of located) residential area". 15. Table 3: How is "background" for NOx defined? Conclusions: 16. Last paragraph: I strongly recommend to include the NO2 satellite measurements shown in Figure 1 to underline the argument that the photooxidant problem extends over a larger area in the Tianjin region than in the Shanghai region (comp. point 1).

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 9161, 2012.

### **ACPD**

12, C3255-C3257, 2012

Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

