Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C259–C261, 2012 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C259/2012/ © Author(s) 2012. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Peroxy radical chemistry and OH radical production during the NO₃-initiated oxidation of isoprene" *by* A. J. Kwan et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 24 February 2012

General comments

This manuscript describes chamber experiments aimed at investigating the role of RO2 + HO2 and RO2 + RO2 reactions in the NO3-initiated oxidation of isoprene. The work was inspired by a previous study of SOA yields for this system carried out in the same lab. Due to the use of a selective chemical ionization mass spectrometry approach for the measurement of many of the relevant product species, many new insights are gained into the mechanism of this process. However, due to the complexity of the system, much of the interpretation must be considered tentative. Nonetheless, because the authors do thoroughly discuss the uncertainties in the analysis, I have little doubt that the work will be a quite useful contribution to our understanding of isoprene oxidation chemistry. While the manuscript is generally clearly written, I suggest that the

C259

authors consider the following points in preparing a revised version of the paper:

1) p. 2263, line 13: The experimental conditions are clearly aimed at low NOx conditions, and the authors make a broad claim here that these are also the relevant atmospheric conditions. However, there are atmospheric situations (urban environments), where higher NOx levels at night are possible.

2) p. 2263, line 24: Is the thermal decomposition of N2O5 virtually immediate on the time scale of mixing? This should be quantitatively justified.

3) p. 2267, line 21: The discussion of NO2 levels in the system made me wonder if the authors specifically considered (and apparently discounted) possible RO2 + NO2 reactions in their model (I don't see a RO2 + NO2 reaction listed in Table 2).

4) p. 2268, line 29: I'm not sure I understand how experimental conditions would affect the distribution of isomers. Is this expected to be sensitive to pressure and/or temperature, or some other experimental condition?

5) p. 2269, line 3: In the discussion of the carbon yield analysis, it is mentioned that some isoprene reacts immediately and that this complicates the analysis. Why couldn't a blank experiment be conducted (w/o N2O5) to determine the actual starting isoprene concentration in the chamber?

6) p. 2269, line 19: Since OH production is a major finding of this work, I think it deserves some further elaboration. In particular, it would be helpful to include a figure with the relevant products (and associated m/z carriers) to accompany this discussion.

7) p. 2273, line 6: OH production has also been observed from aromatic systems (JPC A, 2011, 115, 5397-5407).

8) p. 2277, line 23: Exactly how is the mechanism analogous to the formation of bicyclic peroxy radicals for aromatic compounds?

Editorial comments

p. 2261, line 10 and many other places: I think the use of the term "dimer" is not appropriate. These compounds should be referred to as organic peroxides to emphasize that they originate from the self-reactions of peroxy radicals.

Table 1: please add a column that computes the percent yield of each of the products.

C261

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 2259, 2012.