

## ***Interactive comment on* “Dynamics of nitrogen oxides and ozone above and within a mixed hardwood forest in Northern Michigan” by B. Seok et al.**

### **Anonymous Referee #2**

Received and published: 23 February 2013

Review of "Dynamics of nitrogen oxides and ozone above and within a mixed hardwood forest in Northern Michigan, by Seok et al.

General comments:

The authors use profile measurements and a single-column model to simulate the diurnal behavior of the vertical distribution (over a 40 m height) of NO<sub>x</sub> and O<sub>3</sub> at a forest site in northern Michigan. The months of August and November are simulated and contrasted, as the biology and weather differ significantly between these 2 months. An interesting feature in the observations is a morning peak in NO and also a morning peak in NO<sub>x</sub>. It is concluded that the morning peak in NO is due to the photolysis of

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

NO<sub>2</sub> advected to the site from urban areas to the south. However, the results are very unclear as to what causes the peak in NO<sub>x</sub>. In my view, the paper comes up very short on this important objective. Indeed when the authors address the cause of the NO<sub>x</sub> peak toward the end of the paper, this is the claim: "the observed morning NO<sub>x</sub> maximum appears to be caused by (1) the photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> . . . , or (2) . . ." I may be missing something, but I think the authors may not mean this. Photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> to NO does not cause any change in NO<sub>x</sub>. Is this a mis-statement or what the authors really intend? If it is intended, it merits further explanation, as many will interpret as I have done. I find it frustrating to have a lack of clarity on what is such a fundamental point of the paper. Also, the authors fail to address, head on, the fact that the high levels of NO<sub>x</sub>, which they attribute to advection from urban areas to the south, have a diurnal peak that consistently falls shortly after sunrise. This is bewildering. It seems that back trajectories are called for, along with a determination of transit times from the urban areas. I find it very puzzling, indeed interesting, that there is such a tight correlation of the timing of the NO<sub>x</sub> peak and sunrise. Why is it not much more variable? On some days, does the peak occur at a different that can be explained by differences in transport? Have the authors considered boundary layer development/growth (hence dilution)? In summary, I find the paper lacking in two important respects, important since they relate to a fundamental objective of the paper, and that is to explain the diurnal pattern in NO<sub>x</sub>. The two issues: (1) a claim that the NO<sub>x</sub> peak is due to photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> (2) if the NO<sub>x</sub> has an urban source, then why is its peak so tightly correlated with sunrise? (any relation to boundary-layer evolution?)

Specific comments:

p 32516, line 18: "on" → "to"

p 32517, line 2: Soil emissions are not too significant, so why list that one first?

p 32519, line 20: "including" → "and" (since NO<sub>2</sub> does not include the other species)

p 32519, line 28, and following: This depends on age. It is a reasonable estimate at

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)

young age, but is not reasonable, even for anthropogenic source, at old age (since NO<sub>x</sub> has been converted to other species).

p 32520, line 9: How is detection limit defined?

p 32554, fig 3 caption: "dotted" → "dashed"

p 32528, line 12: It is said that understory NO [at <=20 m?] is larger than above canopy NO. I do not see this in fig. 4.

p 32532, line 10: What is the "stability effect"?

section 4.3: I can see why there is a tendency for the NO peak to be tied to sunrise, but why the NO<sub>x</sub> peak? If the NO<sub>x</sub> peak is due to transport from polluted regions, is this just a coincidence then? And related to the transit time from the urban areas. I would not expect such close correlation with sunrise. This is puzzling.

p 32536, line 9: add 's' to 'mean'

p 32541, last 3 lines: "the observed morning NO<sub>x</sub> maximum appears to be caused by (1) the photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> . . ." This must not be what the authors really mean. Photolysis of NO<sub>2</sub> does not alter NO<sub>x</sub>. It converts one form of NO<sub>x</sub> to another form of NO<sub>x</sub>.

---

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 32515, 2012.

[Full Screen / Esc](#)[Printer-friendly Version](#)[Interactive Discussion](#)[Discussion Paper](#)