Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, C12853–C12855, 2013 www.atmos-chem-phys-discuss.net/12/C12853/2013/ © Author(s) 2013. This work is distributed under the Creative Commons Attribute 3.0 License.



Interactive comment on "Dynamics of nitrogen oxides and ozone above and within a mixed hardwood forest in Northern Michigan" by B. Seok et al.

Anonymous Referee #1

Received and published: 22 February 2013

This study describes a study of vertical profiles of ozone and NOx sampled through an inlet manifold with ports at six different heights over the course of several months at a remote site in northern Michigan. The different inlets were sampled sequentially with standard instrumentation. In part the profiles were used to investigate a consistent observation of early-morning NOx increase. To understand the dynamics of this variation, a vertical canopy exchange model was used to test the sensitivity of ground-level NOx to various mechanisms that could account for this consistent morning spike. The model results suggest that soil NOx emissions are not sufficient to account for the observations but that some local phenomena associated with foliar NOx exchange could contribute to this behavior. There are many things unknown about this exchange that ACPD

12, C12853–C12855, 2013

> Interactive Comment



Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



warrant further investigation.

Detailed comments 32519 L.25 While it is true that NOx is a large fraction of NOy in urban areas, this sampling site is far from urban. How can the authors justify using the catalytic channel of the TEI instrument as a measure of NOx? Wouldn't it be some fraction of total NOy? 32520 L.8 It would be clearer if the 5% accuracy error is specified as analytical accuracy and not overall measurement uncertainty. Does this value hold for both NO and "NOx"? 32521 Bias in sampling line: how important was NOx photochemistry inside of the PFA tubing? The lower inlets that were coiled inside the building experienced less sunlight. How does this bias correction factor into overall uncertainty of the measurement? 32522 L.22 The dynamical behavior of gases through the canopy are going to be influenced by in-canopy turbulence and air movement. How do the authors justify using above-canopy processes such as boundary layer dynamics and cloud formation to simulate in-canopy behavior, i.e. assuming that canopy acts as a uniform source of soil or foliar emissions. Can the importance of canopy-scale movement be addressed? 32523 L.20 The Zhou et al. reference is different from the one listed in Table 1. 32526 L.22 Is the buildup of BVOC inside the canopy an observation or an inference? It is discussed here as though it is commonly seen in this forest. 32526 L.26 Is there evidence of a canopy layer in this forest even in the winter? 32528 L.25 Does the "spatial and temporal evolution" refer to vertical motion or also some measure of horizontal composition? 32528 L.27 typo "suggests" 32528 L.28 It appears the authors are inferring process from correlation. I think they might want to be a bit more circumspect 32530 L.16 I'm not sure I follow the logic that the lack of large-scale sources of NOx in the region, the morning peak cannot be local. This is an important assertion but it's not clear that it follows from the data. 32530 L.25 I wonder if the treatment of timing for the NOx peak is oversimplified. Figure 4 shows that the NOx begins to increase before sunrise on most days. 32533 L.0 Is the disagreement of model and observations related to the fact that the authors are not measuring NOx per se, but a fraction of NOy? 32534 L.27 While it appears that soil NOx emissions have little influence in the model, it's not clear how to understand the spatial variation in this

12, C12853–C12855, 2013

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper



value given that Nave et al. reports a value 10x lower than the one used by Alaghmand et al.

Interactive comment on Atmos. Chem. Phys. Discuss., 12, 32515, 2012.

ACPD

12, C12853–C12855, 2013

> Interactive Comment

Full Screen / Esc

Printer-friendly Version

Interactive Discussion

Discussion Paper

